We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Obstetric Antiphospholipid Syndrome

Ariela Hoxha and Paolo Simioni

Abstract

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) is characterized by thrombotic events and obstetric complications in the presence of persistently positive antiphospholipid antibodies. Obstetric manifestations include, recurrent miscarriages, fetal death at or beyond the 10th week of gestation, and premature birth due to pre-eclampsia/placental insufficiency. Even now, both clinical features and laboratory parameters are controversial. Both can be used to stratify women with APS in terms of risk of adverse pregnancy outcome, and thus adjust treatment. APS pregnancies should be classified into low, medium and high-risk classes based on clinical and laboratory features. Depending on the risk class, the most appropriate therapy must be then selected. Heparin plus LDA is considered the standard of care for patients with a confirmed diagnosis of obstetric APS and generally results in over 70–80% successful pregnancies. The 20–30% pregnancies in which treatment fails are defined as “high-risk” or “refractory” pregnancies. Numerous treatments have been used in addition to standard of care, to treat these patients, but no well-designed trial has yet been conducted. New insights into the etiopathogenetic mechanisms of obstetric APS have led to the testing of new therapeutic approaches, that may soon change the way we manage this condition.

Keywords: fetal death, obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome, antiphospholipid antibodies, pregnancy, therapy

1. Introduction

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) is a rare systemic autoimmune disease characterized by thrombotic events and obstetric complications in the presence of persistently positive antiphospholipid antibodies (aPLs) [1]. The condition may occur alone, that is primary APS, or in association with other autoimmune diseases, most commonly systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and is then referred to as secondary APS. The classification criteria (**Table 1**), developed in 1999 [2] and revised in 2006 [1] include clinical features consisting of thrombosis and/or obstetric morbidity in the presence of laboratory criteria such as lupus anticoagulant (LA), medium-high titer IgG/IgM anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) and/or anti- β 2 glycoprotein I (anti- β 2GPI). They are often used, also, as diagnostic tools. Obstetric APS (OAPS) subsets are featured by recurrent early miscarriages, fetal death at or beyond 10 weeks of gestation, and early delivery due to severe preeclampsia or placental insufficiency [3, 4]. The first associations between recurrent pregnancy loss and a circulating anticoagulant later known as LA, date back to 1975 [5], but it was not until 1984 Hughes linked the presence of aCL with recurrent miscarriages

defining APS [6]. Nowadays, OAPS is considered one of the few treatable causes of recurrent loss and represents an important health burden for women of childbearing age and a challenge for the physicians [7]. Management of OAPS is challenging for the physician, as individual women with APS do not have the same obstetric risk profile. In the last decade, the importance to stratifying them based on their laboratory and clinical features has been emphasized to quantify the risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. Many efforts have also been made to adjust therapy according to risk stratification [8]. Moreover, new insights into the pathogenesis and clinical understanding of APS have led to potential new therapeutic approaches [9, 10].

This chapter aims to clarify aspects of pathogenesis, clinical features, risk stratification and therapeutic strategies in OAPS.

2. Antiphospholipid antibodies

The aPLs are a heterogeneous group of autoantibodies that bind primarily to circulating plasma proteins such as β 2GPI, prothrombin, and others when bound to phospholipids themselves. The prevalence of aPL is about 1–5% in the general population and increase up to 40% in patients with pregnancy complications [11–14].

2.1 Criteria antiphospholipid antibodies

Currently, there are three types of aPLs, depending on the detection method, which are included in the laboratory classification criteria for APS (Table 1).

Clinical criteria
• Vascular thrombosis
One or more clinical episodes of arterial, venous or small vessels thrombosis in any organ or tissue
Thrombosis must be confirmed by appropriate imaging studies or histopathology
Thrombosis on histopathology specimen must be present without inflammation of vessel wall
• Obstetric morbidity
One or more unexplained fetal death of a morphologically normal fetus at or beyond 10th week of gestation
One or more premature birth of a morphologically normal neonate before 34th week of gestation due to placental insufficiency and/or eclampsia or severe pre-eclampsia
Three or more consecutive early miscarriages before 10th week of gestations; with maternal and paternal factors such as anatomical, hormonal and chromosomal abnormalities should be ruled out
Laboratory criteria
Medium to high levels of aPLs antibodies detected on two or more occasions, at least 12 weeks apart
• Lupus anticoagulant, detected according to the guidelines of the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis
• Anti-cardiolipin antibodies (IgG and/or IgM) at medium-high levels (>40 units or above the 99th percentile)
• Anti-B2-glycoprotein I antibodies IgG and/or IgM at medium-high levels (>40 units or above the 99th percentile)
aPLs: antiphospholipid antibodies, IgG: immunoglobulin G, IgM: immunoglobulin M.

Table 1. Clinical and laboratory criteria of definite antiphospholipid syndrome. APS is diagnosed when at least one of the following clinical criteria and one of the following laboratory criteria are met.

2.1.1 *Lupus anticoagulant*

LA are heterogenous antibodies detected with a functional test that measures the ability of aPL to prolong phospholipid-dependent clotting reactions. Anti- β 2GPI [15] and anti-prothombin (aPT) antibodies [16] have been identified as the main mediators of this reaction. LA detection is very challenging, as it has many pitfalls leading to either false positive or false negative results. The International Society for Thrombosis and Hemostasis (ISTH) guidelines released in 2009, updated in 2018 provided a step toward standardization of LA [17, 18]. Following those guidelines LA detection is based on the simultaneous use of two assays with different principles following a multi-step procedure, with screening, mixing and confirmation steps. The most commonly used are activated partial thromboplastin time followed by the diluted Russell's viper venom test. The presence of LA should always be confirmed by performing the assays in the presence of excess of phospholipids, with a correction of the prolongation of the times as a result.

2.1.2 *Anticardiolipin antibodies*

aCL are heterogeneous antibodies that in immunoassay's bind to a complex of phospholipids and plasma proteins, mainly β 2GPI. In this assay there can be measured two types of aCL, " β 2GPI-independent" that bind to phospholipids alone and are typically free of clinical significance and " β 2GPI-dependent" which are related to clinical manifestations of APS [19–21].

2.1.3 *Anti- β 2 glycoprotein antibodies*

Anti- β 2GPI antibodies are specific to the β 2GPI, a cofactor with affinity for anionic phospholipids which inhibits in vitro the activation of prothrombin and the ADP-dependent platelet aggregation [22].

Both aCL and anti- β 2GPI antibodies of IgM and IgG isotypes are detected by immunological assays following the ISTH subcommittee recommendation [23]. IgG and IgM isotype, at medium-high titer have greater clinical significance [24].

2.2 **Non-criteria antiphospholipid antibodies**

A series of autoantibodies non included in laboratory classification criteria the so called "non-criteria" aPLs have been reported in the last years related to APS manifestations. Those directed against two major phospholipids-binding protein representing the true antigenic targets for aPL (i.e. prothrombin and β 2GPI) have demonstrated the highest significant association with thrombotic and obstetric features of APS [25–27].

2.2.1 *Anti-prothrombin antibodies*

APT antibodies are detected by ELISA using a purified prothrombin as antigen coated onto irradiated plates [28] or phosphatidylserine/prothrombin complex [29]. Although a correlation between the two assays have been reported, these antibodies differed either in affinity or in epitopes that they recognized [30]. The ones directed against anti-phosphatidylserine/prothrombin complex (aPS/PT) seems having a closer association with APS and LA activity than with antibodies to prothrombin alone [31, 32]. aPS/PT have been reported to be significantly associated with both thrombotic and obstetric manifestations of APS [33–35]. Moreover, since they have

been shown to be closely related to LA, have been proposed as a surrogate test for and as an additional serologic marker of APS, to be performed with other aPL tests to improve diagnosis [36, 37].

2.2.2 Anti-domain I antibodies

A subgroup of anti- β 2GPI, those directed to domain I of the molecule [15], have been reported to be strongly associated with thrombosis and LA in APS patients while those directed to domain IV/V are less frequent [25, 26]. Recently has been suggested that the ratio between anti- β 2GPI-DI and anti- β 2GPI-DIV/V IgG can provide a better profile of anti- β 2GPI antibodies linked to APS and antibodies occurring in other pathologic condition [38].

To improve risk prediction of recurrent thrombosis and pregnancy loss the Global Anti-Phospholipid Syndrome Score (GAPSS) was developed, considering the aPL profile, conventional cardiovascular risk factors, and autoimmune antibody profile. Validated in APS and in SLE patients, a high GAPSS score predicted thrombosis better than aPLs alone [39, 40]. Recently, the GAPSS score has been shown to be a useful tool for predicting a higher likelihood of favorable pregnancy outcome in pregnant women treated with conventional therapy [41].

3. Pathogenesis of obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome

The exact etiopathogenetic mechanism liable for obstetric morbidity in APS is not yet known. The aPLs are not only a diagnostic marker but have a key role in determining thrombosis and obstetric complications [42]. In the early stages, during pregnancy, the cytotrophoblastic cells differentiates into two cell types. The villous trophoblast will fuse to form the syncytiotrophoblast, a barrier of protection between the mother and the fetus. While, the extravillous trophoblast will progressively invade and colonize the maternal endometrium [43]. aPLs target the placenta, especially the cytotrophoblastic cells. Trophoblast, synthesize β 2GPI, a 70 kDa cationic protein that is normally in a “closed conformation”, when free in the plasma of patients. It is composed of five homologous domains of approximately 60 amino acids each. Domains I and V are the two domains positively charged [44]. During normal pregnancy and syncytiotrophoblast formation, anionic phospholipids are externalized at trophoblastic cell surface, leading to the binding of β 2GPI via domain V. This binding offers a potential site of actions for aPL by changing the conformation of the protein from a circular to an open form and exposing domains I–IV to the surface [45, 46]. aPL have been incriminated in alteration of trophoblastic cells via different mechanisms. Pathogenesis of aPL in pregnancy include thrombotic mechanisms, inflammation, apoptosis and immunomodulatory molecules impairments in trophoblast [47].

3.1 Thrombotic mechanisms

The placental infarctions due to aPLs-mediated thrombosis of spiral arteries have been thought to be the main cause of fetal demise [48]. However, thrombosis of placental surface is not a universal feature. Recently, placental infarction has been demonstrated [49], only in one third of the placenta of aPLs-positive women and moreover, similar lesions were also reported in those of aPLs-negative women who had had a miscarriage [50, 51]. According to a review of 34 studies comparing the prevalence of placental features between aPL-positive women and aPLs-negative ones, five lesions, have been identified, as fingerprint of human placental aPLs including: placental

infarction, impaired spiral artery remodeling, decidual inflammation, an increase of syncytial knots and a decrease of vasculo-syncytial membranes [52]. These different features of aPLs placenta fingerprints give rise to thought that pregnancy complications by aPLs are due to different pathologic events mainly non-thrombotic related.

3.2 Non-thrombotic mechanisms

The non-thrombotic mechanism which leads to defective placentation are thought to be the main cause of obstetric manifestations. Especially, aPLs have direct effect on trophoblast viability and syncytialization as well as on trophoblast invasion and alter the production of syncytiotrophoblast hormones. Moreover, signs of inflammation within the decidua, such as fibrin deposits, were more represented than thrombosis in histological analysis of placenta from women with APS, suggesting another mechanism in pregnancies affected by aPL [52, 53].

3.2.1 Defective placentation

aPLs affect trophoblast viability by both decreasing their proliferation and promoting their death [49] and by altering their expression of the apoptotic regulators Bcl-2 and Bax [54]. Furthermore, aPLs decrease the expression of caspases 3 and 7, suggesting that they are involved in death mechanism of the trophoblast [55]. Moreover, as demonstrated by different studies [49, 56], aPLs are involved in the inhibition of syncytialization, an essential process for the replenishment of the syncytiotrophoblast. It has been speculated that the mechanism by which aPLs inhibit syncytialization is due to the decrease of caspase expression, the activation of which is required for cytotrophoblast fusion [52]. Thus, proliferation of cytotrophoblast is reduced, while death increases. This results in a fewer cytotrophoblasts available to replenish the syncytiotrophoblasts. On the other hand, increased death of the syncytiotrophoblasts, leads to increased production of trophoblast debris and increased denudation of syncytiotrophoblasts and fibrinoid deposition. This process leads to a decrease in de syncytialisation and thus impaired placentation [49].

3.2.2 Trophoblast invasion

Trophoblast invasion, into maternal spiral arteries, is an essential physiologic change that allows the anchoring of placenta to the decidua as well as the transplacental passage of nutrients and wastes between the mother and the fetus. Several studies in vitro [49] have shown that aPLs reduce the ability of extra-villous cytotrophoblast to invade the maternal decidua, so affecting both the anchorage of placenta and the spiral arteries transformation, the latter leading to a reduced blood flow to the placenta. The aPLs are thought to impair trophoblast invasion by altering the expression of adhesion molecules such as placental growth factor (PlGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and soluble Fms-like kinase I (sFlt-I) as well as cytokines such as interleukin 1 β [49, 52, 57]. Altered trophoblast invasion of leads to impaired transplacental passage resulting in pre-eclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). In fact, increased sFlt-I levels in the first trimester have been shown to correlate with later onset pre-eclampsia, suggesting them as predictors of preeclampsia [58].

3.2.3 Inflammation, complement activation, and disruption of annexin shield

A well-known fingerprint of APS placenta histology is inflammation. In addition to increased release of cytokines such as IL-1 β as described above, aPLs effect complement activation. Girardi et al. [59] had shown that aPLs increased complement

deposition on the trophoblast surface in vitro. While, murine models of APS demonstrate a crucial role of the complement system in determining pregnancy morbidity [59–61], on the other hand, the placenta of women with APS showed deposition of C4d and C3b [62]. Moreover, data from the PROMISSE study in pregnant SLE and/or APS or aPL positive patients, showed that detection of increased Bb and sC5b-9 levels early in pregnancy was predictive of adverse pregnancy outcome, confirming complement activation as a contributor to pregnancy failure [63]. Complement activation stimulates neutrophils to release tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α); pregnant mice lacking TNF- α are protected from pregnancy loss induced by injections of aPLs [64].

Last, but not least, aPLs disrupt the binding of annexin V, an anticoagulant protein that crystallizes over phospholipid bilayers blocking their availability for coagulation reactions. This disruption additionally contributes to both thrombosis and miscarriages in the APS [65, 66].

Overall, the pathogenetic mechanisms by which aPLs cause obstetric complications are complex and include both inflammatory and non-inflammatory mechanisms, which are not mutually exclusive and may coincide in time. This could reflect the different characteristics of fetal complications. Adequate invasion of the trophoblast into the maternal decidua remains crucial for a normal-evolving pregnancy. Inadequate invasion of the maternal spiral arteries by the extravillous cytotrophoblast and severe inflammation of the placenta, together with reduced hormone secretion leading to early miscarriages are thought to be the major pathogenic mechanisms of early pregnancy loss in APS patients. While, a lack of transformation of the maternal spiral arteries together with activation of the complement and of the coagulation cascade are responsible for late pregnancy loss and preeclampsia.

4. Clinical manifestations of obstetric APS

Obstetric morbidity of APS is characterized by various pregnancy complications such as recurrent miscarriage, fetal death, and premature birth. These manifestations can occur in the same patient during her childbearing years.

Recurrent early miscarriage (REM) is the most frequent obstetric feature of APS. In the European Registry on Obstetric Antiphospholipid Syndrome REM was observed in almost 54% of women with obstetric APS [67]. On the other hand, aPLs are found in up to 20% of women who experience an early abortion [11]. REM can be caused by various maternal and paternal factors such as anatomical abnormalities, endocrine diseases such as diabetes and thyroiditis, autoimmune diseases, parental chromosomal abnormalities and infectious agents. Therefore, these causes must be systematically excluded specifically in cases where REM is the only clinical manifestation.

In contrast, fetal death and premature birth due to pre-eclampsia and/or placental insufficiency are considered more specific clinical manifestations of APS [3]. Fetal death, in particular the late fetal loss, i.e. beyond 20 weeks of gestation is strongly associated with aPLs [68, 69]. In a population-based study by The Stillbirth Collaborative Research Network in the United States [69], elevated aCL and anti- β 2GPI levels were associated with a 3- to 5-fold increased likelihood of stillbirth. Pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, and placental abruption are maternal complications of APS. Preeclampsia occurs in approximately 10–17% of pregnancies with APS, compared to 3–5% of pregnancies without the condition [3, 70]. Preeclampsia in patients with APS is often severe and occurs early in pregnancy [70]. These data were recently confirmed in a case-control study, which found that more than 10% of women who gave birth before 34 weeks' gestation due to pre-eclampsia or

placental insufficiency were positive for aPLs [71]. In a study of 1000 consecutive APS pregnancies, the presence of early pre-eclampsia and early IUGR was found in 181 (18.1%) and 161 (16.1%), respectively, despite treatment [67].

Even when treated with heparin plus low-dose aspirin (LDA), 9–10% of pregnant women with APS develop pre-eclampsia. This highlights the fact that counseling these pregnancies and identifying risk factors are very important to personalize therapy, as will be discussed later.

5. Risk stratification in obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome

The outcome of pregnancy in women with APS depends on their clinical history and aPLs profile. Therefore, women with APS or high levels of aPLs should be counseled before pregnancy to perform risk stratification.

Several risk factors are predictors of poor pregnancy outcome. The presence of triple aPL positivity [72, 73], which refers to IgG/IgM aCL plus IgG/IgM anti-β2GPI plus LA, correlates strongly with vascular thrombosis and pregnancy morbidity. Moreover, the presence of persistent positive LA [74, 75] has been reported as the strongest predictor for either pregnancy loss or recurrent thrombosis. These high-risk aPL profiles (**Table 2**) are associated with an increased risk of pregnancy morbidity such as intrauterine growth restriction and premature birth as well as pre-eclampsia despite appropriate anticoagulant treatment [73, 76–78]. Regarding clinical features, women with aPLs and a history of thrombosis, severe pregnancy complications such as pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, or HELLP syndrome, a concomitant SLE diagnosis, or low complement levels are associated with a higher risk of pregnancy morbidity [78, 79]. On the other hand, there are consistent data showing that a history of pregnancy morbidity alone and a single aPLs positivity for aCL or anti-β2GPI are associated with a higher live birth rate [73].

According to the risk stratification, women with obstetric APS can be divided into three groups (**Figure 1**), namely A-low risk pregnancy, those with obstetric morbidity alone and single or double positivity for aPL, B-medium risk pregnancy, those with prior thrombosis and single or double positivity for aPL, and C-high risk pregnancy, those with prior thrombosis and/or severe pregnancy complications and LA/triple positivity for aPL. This subdivision could guide the therapeutic approach in these patients.

Pregnant women with APS must also be closely monitored during pregnancy to promptly identify signs of placental insufficiency. It has been shown that upgrading therapy at the first signs of placental insufficiency results in a higher birth rate [80].

High-risk aPLs profiles
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Persistent LA positivity (measured according to ISTH guidelines in two or more occasions at least 12 weeks apart)• Double aPL positivity (any combination of lupus anticoagulant, aCL antibodies or anti-β2 glycoprotein I antibodies)• Triple aPL positivity (LA + aCL IgG/IgM + anti-β2GPI IgG/IgM)
Low-risk aPLs profiles
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Isolated, positive aCL or anti-β2GPI IgG/IgM at low-medium titres, particularly if transiently positive
<i>aPLs: antiphospholipid antibodies, LA: lupus anticoagulant, aCL: anti-cardiolipin antibodies, anti-β2GPI: anti-β2glycoprotein I, IgG: immunoglobulin G, IgM: immunoglobulin M.</i>

Table 2.
Definition of high-risk and low-risk antiphospholipid antibodies profile.

Figure 1. Risk stratification and management of antiphospholipid pregnancies. aPL: antiphospholipid antibodies, LDA: low dose aspirin; IVIG: intravenous immunoglobulin; PE: plasma-exchange; HCQ: hydroxychloroquine.

Abnormal uterine artery flow on Doppler ultrasound is an indirect indicator of the development of placental insufficiency and/or pre-eclampsia [81]. Therefore, the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology, (EULAR) guidelines recommend the use of uterine artery Doppler ultrasonography for the management of SLE/APS patients [82]. In addition, a drop in platelet count in the first trimester has recently been found to be associated with the development of pre-eclampsia in APS pregnancy compared to non-APS pregnancies. APS women who later developed pre-eclampsia and/or placental insufficiency had a decrease in initial platelet count of more the 20% (personal observation, not published).

6. Management of the obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome

The current standard of care (Table 3 and Figure 1) for the management of APS pregnancies [83], although controversial and supported by only a limited number of well-designed studies, is the prophylactic administration of heparin plus LDA for individuals with pregnancy morbidity alone. Mothers with a history of thrombosis alone or in association with pregnancy morbidity are usually treated with therapeutic heparin in combination with LDA to prevent both thrombosis and pregnancy morbidity. The data supporting these recommendations come almost exclusively from clinical trials evaluating the prevention of recurrent early miscarriages rather than late pregnancy complications. A total of 140 women with APS-related recurrent early miscarriages, were enrolled in two randomized control trials comparing treatment with LDA alone or in combination with heparin [84, 85]. The combination of LDA with heparin showed a significantly higher live birth rate than LDA alone. These data were however not confirmed in two subsequent studies [86, 87]. Nevertheless, a subsequent follow-up meta-analysis [88] and a recent Cochrane review [89] concluded that combining heparin with LDA during pregnancy may increase the live birth rate in women with APS compared with LDA alone. Since low

Clinical/laboratory features	Treatment
High-risk aPLs profile but no history of thrombosis or pregnancy complications, with or without SLE.	Close monitoring of mother and fetus; LDA (75–100 mg daily) during pregnancy should be considered.
Obstetric APS only (no prior thrombotic events), with or without SLE:	
a. History of ≥ 3 recurrent spontaneous miscarriages <10th week of gestation or history of fetal loss (≥ 10 th week of gestation),	LDA and heparin at prophylactic dosage.
b. History of delivery <34 weeks of gestation due to eclampsia or severe pre-eclampsia or due to recognized features of placental insufficiency,	LDA or LDA and heparin at prophylactic dosage considering the individual's risk profile.
c. Clinical 'non-criteria' obstetric APS such as the presence of two recurrent spontaneous miscarriages <10th week of gestation, or delivery ≥ 34 weeks of gestation due to severe pre-eclampsia or eclampsia.	LDA alone or in combination with heparin might be considered based on the individual's risk profile.
Thrombotic APS.	LDA and therapeutic dose of heparin.
Patients with obstetric APS with recurrent pregnancy complications despite combination treatment with LDA and heparin at prophylactic dosage.	Increasing heparin dose to therapeutic dose; consider addition of HCQ or low-dose prednisolone in the first trimester and IVIG in highly selected cases.

APS: antiphospholipid syndrome, aPLs: antiphospholipid antibodies, LDA: low dose aspirin, HCQ: hydroxychloroquine, IVIG: intravenous immunoglobulin, SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus.

Table 3.
Current recommendation [83] for the management of pregnant women with antiphospholipid antibodies or APS.

molecular weight heparin (LMWH) is easier to administer and have less adverse events it is the drug of choice in most cases. Furthermore, the dose of LMWH should be personalized. Case-control studies comparing a fixed dose of LMWH with a weight-adjusted dose of LMWH have shown a higher live birth rate with the latter [80, 90]. Several studies, recently summarized [91] suggest that women with either clinical and/or laboratory non-criteria manifestations of obstetric APS may benefit from standard obstetric APS treatment with LMWH plus LDA, with good pregnancy outcomes.

6.1 Management of refractory/high-risk pregnancies

Even if current recommendations are carefully followed [83], 20–30% of pregnancies fail [92], and these are the so-called refractory pregnancies and/or high-risk pregnancies. High-risk pregnancies are pregnancies of APS patients with one or more laboratory or clinical risk factors who may or may not have experienced adverse pregnancy outcomes despite treatment with heparin/LDA [8]. Experts in the field believe that women should receive additional treatments when the risk of having pregnancy complications is elevated based on their antibody profile and certain clinical characteristics [93] as this will improve these women's live birth rate and/or reduce their pregnancy complications. Various therapeutic options, such as low-dose prednisolone, intravenous immunoglobulins, hydroxychloroquine, plasmapheresis alone or in combination have been used in an attempt to achieve a better pregnancy outcome [94–104]. Usually, these treatments were administered in conjunction with conventional heparin/LDA therapy. An Experts' Consensus [8] following a systematic review of the literature recently suggested that hydroxy-chloroquine and low-dose steroids, alone or in combination, may be an option for

pregnant APS women whose previous pregnancies were not successful despite receiving conventional therapy. Intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG) and plasma exchange (PE), alone or in combination, could be considered in refractory high-risk APS pregnancies. Furthermore, a recent systematic literature review [9] analyzed 313 refractory/high-risk pregnancies from 14 studies comprising 134 (42.8%) pregnancies refractory to conventional treatment, and 179 (57.2%) high-risk/refractory pregnancies. The findings from this review suggest introducing low-dose IVIG (< 2 g/kg/month) or HCQ 400 mg/day before pregnancy in women with APS refractory to conventional therapy, and high-dose IVIG (2 g/kg/month) in combination with PE or alone in those with high risk/refractory APS with both approaches leading to improved pregnancy outcome. It should be noted that, drug related side-effects were observed in 3/313 (0.9%) of pregnancies, and none of which required hospitalization.

Although statins appear to be a potential therapy in the treatment of APS refractory pregnancies, as suggested by murine studies [105] and a small case-control study of the use of pravastatin for placental dysfunction/pre-eclampsia in patients with APS [106], they have not yet been routinely used to date. Pravastatin was administered to 11 women with obstetric APS and preeclampsia/IUGR at the time of diagnosis of the complication (range 22–30 weeks) in addition to standard of care; they were compared with 10 control patients with preeclampsia/IUGR who did not receive pravastatin. The pravastatin group achieved a 100% rate of live births (34–36 week of gestation) and rapid improvement in uterine artery hemodynamics was observed, while the control group had a 50% rate of live births, all of which were delivered preterm (26–32 week of gestation). An ongoing multicenter study, the StAmP trial, is testing pravastatin for the prophylaxis of preeclampsia (double-blind, randomized and placebo-controlled) in the general population [107]. However, several concerns remain about their use, as they are classified as FDA category X. However, no congenital abnormalities have been reported in the pilot studies to date.

7. Future perspectives

Much has been done over the past three decades to understand the pathogenesis of aPL-mediated obstetric injury and to diagnose and treat obstetric APS. However, much remains to be done to provide the best diagnostic and therapeutic approach to our patients.

Future research should be conducted to evaluate the intracellular signaling pathways that are affected by aPLs and lead to trophoblastic dysfunction. Identification of these mechanisms could lead to identification of potential therapeutic targets in the future.

The redefinition of the classification criteria is currently under evaluation and should provide a valuable tool for future clinical trials of APS. It is important to include in these studies the concept of risk stratification according to aPLs profile and clinical features. The possible inclusion of new autoantibodies such as anti-domain I and aPS/PT in the new classification criteria could be helpful in improving the diagnosis of obstetric APS, especially in cases where conventional antibodies are not detectable.

Clinical trials of new therapeutic approaches for refractory obstetric APS syndrome are currently underway. Two randomized clinical trials (NCT04275778 and NCT04624269) and two prospective studies [108, 109] are evaluating the effect of HCQ in addition to standard treatment to prevent pregnancy morbidity in APS patients. According to a recent prospective case series, the use of TNF-alpha

inhibitors in addition to standard treatment seems to be a promising treatment for refractory obstetric APS [10]. If these findings are confirmed by the ongoing IMPACT Study: IMProve Pregnancy in APS with certolizumab (NCT03152058), the TNF- α inhibitors may constitute a valid second-line treatment for refractory and/or high-risk APS pregnancies in the near future.

8. Conclusions

Nowadays, we have gained new insights into the pathogenesis and management of obstetric APS. Contrary to what was first thought, aPLs determine pregnancy morbidity with both inflammatory and non-inflammatory mechanisms. These findings have led to a better understanding of the different features of obstetric APS. While, inadequate invasion of maternal spiral arteries by the extravillous cytotrophoblast leads to early miscarriage, a lack of transformation of these arteries along with activation of the complement and the coagulation cascade is responsible for late pregnancy loss and preeclampsia. APS pregnancies should be classified into low, medium and high-risk classes based on clinical and laboratory features. Depending on the risk class, the most appropriate therapy must then be selected. Although studies have shown that intervention at the first signs of placental insufficiency can improve the pregnancy outcome, it is advisable to initiate the most appropriate therapy based on the risk class immediately at the beginning of pregnancy. It should be remembered that invasion of the trophoblast into the maternal spiral arteries occurs in the very early stages of placentation and adequate anchorage of the placenta is essential for the development of the pregnancy. Therefore, we need to start most appropriate therapy as soon as possible to facilitate a favorable pregnancy outcome.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

Ariela Hoxha^{1*} and Paolo Simioni²

¹ Internal Medicine Unit, Department of Medicine, San Bortolo Hospital, Vicenza, Italy

² General Internal Medicine and Thrombotic and Hemorrhagic Unit, University of Padua, Italy

*Address all correspondence to: arielahoxha@hotmail.com

IntechOpen

© 2022 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1] Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, Branch DW, Brey RL, Cervera R, et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2006;**4**:295-306. DOI: 10.1111/j.1538-2078.2006.01753
- [2] Wilson WA, Gharavi AE, Koike T, Lockshin MD, Branch WD, Piette JC, et al. International consensus statement on preliminary classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome: Report of an international workshop. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. 1999;**42**: 1309-1311
- [3] Cervera R, Piette JC, Font J, Khamashta M, Shoenfeld Y, Camps MT, et al. Antiphospholipid syndrome: Clinical and immunologic manifestations and patterns of disease expression in a cohort of 1000 patients. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. 2002;**46**:1019-1027. DOI: 10.1002/art.10187
- [4] Rai RS, Regan L, Clifford K, Pickering W, Dave M, Mackie I, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies and beta2-glycoprotein-I in 500 women with recurrent miscarriages: Results of a comprehensive screening approach. *Human Reproduction*. 1995;**10**:2001-2005. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a136224
- [5] Nilsson IM, Åstedt B, Hedner U, Berezin D. Intrauterine death and circulating anticoagulant ("antitromboplastin"). *Acta Medicine Scandinavica*. 1997;**197**:153-159. DOI: 10.1111/j.0954-6820.1975.tb04897.x
- [6] Hughes GR. The Prosser-White oration 1983. Connective tissue disease and the skin. *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology*. 1984;**9**:535-544. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2230.1984.tb00856.x
- [7] Schreiber K, Hunt BJ. Pregnancy and antiphospholipid syndrome. *Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis*. 2016;**42**:780-788. DOI: 10.1055/s-0036-1592336
- [8] Giacomelli R, Afeltra A, Bartoloni E, Berardicurti O, Bombardieri M, Bortoluzzi A, et al. The growing role of precision medicine for the treatment of autoimmune diseases; results of a systematic review of literature and experts' consensus. *Autoimmunity Reviews*. 2021;**20**:102738. DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102738
- [9] Ruffatti A, Tonello M, Favaro M, Del Ross T, Calligaro A, Ruffatti AT, et al. The efficacy and safety of second-line treatments of refractory and/or high risk pregnant antiphospholipid syndrome patients. A systematic literature review analyzing 313 pregnancies. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2021;**51**: 28-35. DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2020.10.001
- [10] Alijotas-Reig J, Esteve-Valverde E, Llurba E, et al. Treatment of refractory poor aPL-related obstetric outcomes with TNF-alpha blockers: Maternal-fetal outcomes in a series of 18 cases. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2019;**49**:314-318. DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2019.02.006
- [11] Lockwood CJ, Romero R, Feinberg RF, Clyne LP, Coster B, Hobbins JC. The prevalence and biologic significance of lupus anticoagulant and anticardiolipin antibodies in a general obstetric population. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 1989;**161**: 369-373. DOI: 10.1016/0002-9378(89)90522-x
- [12] Petri M. Epidemiology of antiphospholipid antibody syndrome. In: Khamashta MA, editor. *Hughes Syndrome*. London: Springer-Verlag; 2006. pp. 22-28

- [13] Rai RS, Clifford K, Cohen H, Regan L. High prospective fetal loss rate in untreated pregnancies of women with recurrent miscarriage and antiphospholipid antibodies. *Human Reproduction*. 1995;**10**:3301-3304. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a135907
- [14] Andreoli L, Chighizola CB, Banzato A, Pons-Estel GJ, de Jezus GR, Erkan D. Estimated frequency of antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with pregnancy morbidity, stroke, myocardial infarction and deep vein thrombosis: A critical review of the literature. *Arthritis Care and Research*. 2013;**65**:1869e73. DOI: 10.1002/acr.22066
- [15] de Laat B, Derksen RH, Urbanus RT, de Groot PG. IgG antibodies that recognize epitope Gly40-Arg43 in domain I of beta 2-glycoprotein I cause LAC, and their presence correlates strongly with thrombosis. *Blood*. 2005;**105**:1540-1545. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2004-09-3387
- [16] Bevers EM, Galli M, Barbui T, Comfurius P, Zwaal RF. Lupus anticoagulant IgG's (LA) are not directed to phospholipids only, but to a complex of lipid-bound human prothrombin. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 1991;**66**:629-632
- [17] Pengo V, Tripodi A, Reber G, Rand JH, Ortel TL, Galli M, et al. Update of the guidelines for lupus anticoagulant detection. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2009;**7**:1737-4170. DOI: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03555.x
- [18] Devreese KMJ, Ortel TL, Pengo V, de Laat B, Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibodies. Laboratory criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome: Communication from the SSC of the ISTH. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2018;**16**:809-813. DOI: 10.1111/jth.13976
- [19] Galli M, Comfurius P, Maassen C, Hemker HC, de Baets MH, van Breda-Vriesman PJ, et al. Anticardiolipin antibodies (ACA) directed not to cardiolipin but to a plasma protein cofactor. *Lancet*. 1990;**335**:1544-1547. DOI: 10.1016/0140-6736(90)91374-j
- [20] McNeil HP, Simpson RJ, Chesterman CN, Krilis SA. Anti-phospholipid antibodies are directed against a complex antigen that includes a lipid binding inhibitor of coagulation: Beta 2-glycoprotein I (apolipoprotein H). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1990;**87**:4120-4124. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.87.11.4120
- [21] Hunt JE, McNeil HP, Morgan GJ, Crameri RM, Krilis SA. A phospholipid-beta 2-glycoprotein I complex is an antigen for anticardiolipin antibodies occurring in autoimmune disease but not with infection. *Lupus*. 1992;**1**:75-81. DOI: 10.1177/096120339200100204
- [22] de Laat B, Derksen RH, van Lummel M, Pennings MT, de Groot PG. Pathogenic anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies recognize domain I of beta2-glycoprotein I only after a conformational change. *Blood*. 2006;**107**:1916-1924. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-05-1943
- [23] Devreese KM, Pierangeli SS, de Laat B, Tripodi A, Atsumi T, Ortel TL, et al. Testing for antiphospholipid antibodies with solid phase assays: Guidance from the SSC of the ISTH. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2014;**12**:792-795. DOI: 10.1111/jth.12537
- [24] Kelchtermans H, Pelkmans L, de Laat B, Devreese KM. IgG/IgM antiphospholipid antibodies present in the classification criteria for the antiphospholipid syndrome: A critical review of their association with thrombosis. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2016;**14**:1530-1548. DOI: 10.1111/jth.13379

- [25] de Laat B, Pengo V, Pabinger I, Musial J, Voskuyl AE, Bultink IE, et al. The association between circulating antibodies against domain I of beta2-glycoprotein I and thrombosis: An international multicenter study. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2009;7:1767-1773. DOI: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03588.x
- [26] Meneghel L, Ruffatti A, Gavasso S, Tonello M, Mattia E, Spiezia L, et al. Detection of IgG anti-domain I β 2-glycoprotein I antibodies by chemiluminescence immunoassay in primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Clinica Chimica Acta*. 2015;446:201-205. DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2015.04.033
- [27] Hoxha A, Mattia E, Tonello M, Grava C, Pengo V, Ruffatti A. Antiphosphatidylserine/prothrombin antibodies as biomarkers to identify severe primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*. 2017;55:890-898. DOI: 10.1515/cclm-2016-0638
- [28] Arvieux J, Darnige L, Caron C, Reber G, Bensa JC, Colomb MG. Development of an ELISA for autoantibodies to prothrombin showing their prevalence in patients with lupus anticoagulant. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 1995;74:1120-1125
- [29] Atsumi T, Ieko M, Bertolaccini ML, Ichikawa K, Tsutsumi A, Matsuura E, et al. Association of autoantibodies against the phosphatidylserine-prothrombin complex with manifestations of the antiphospholipid syndrome and with the presence of lupus anticoagulant. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2000;43:1982-1993. DOI: 10.1002/1529-0131(200009)43:9<1982::AID-ANR9>3.0.CO;2-2
- [30] Matsuda J, Sanaka T, Nishizawa A, Gotoh M, Gohchi K. Two antiprothrombin antibodies against prothrombin and prothrombin-phosphatidyl serine show partial but not total identity. *Blood Coagulation & Fibrinolysis*. 2002;8:697-702. DOI: 10.1097/00001721-200212000-00005
- [31] Hoxha A, Ruffatti A, Pittoni M, Bontadi A, Tonello M, Salvan E, et al. The clinical significance of autoantibodies directed against prothrombin in primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Clinica Chimica Acta*. 2012;413:911-913. DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2012.02.004
- [32] Bertolaccini ML, Sciascia S, Murru V, Garcia-Fernandez C, Sanna G, Khamashta MA. Prevalence of antibodies to prothrombin in solid phase (aPT) and to phosphatidylserine-prothrombin complex (aPS/PT) in patients with and without lupus anticoagulant. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2013;109:207-213. DOI: 10.1160/TH12-07-0527
- [33] Mishima S, Kaneko K, Okazaki Y, Murashima A. A woman with systemic lupus erythematosus multiple pregnancy complications, and cerebral infarction who was only positive for phosphatidylserine-dependent antiprothrombin antibodies. *Modern Rheumatology Case Reports*. 2021;5:47-51. DOI: 10.1080/24725625.2020.1782030
- [34] Žigon P, Pirkmajer KP, Tomšič M, Kveder T, Božič B, Šemrl SS, et al. Anti-phosphatidylserine/prothrombin antibodies are associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Journal of Immunology Research*. 2015;2015:975704. DOI: 10.1155/2015/975704
- [35] Hoxha A, Ruffatti A, Tonello M, Bontadi A, Salvan E, Banzato A. Antiphosphatidylserine/prothrombin antibodies in primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Lupus*. 2012;21:787-789. DOI: 10.1177/0961203312441983
- [36] Sciascia S, Sanna G, Murru V, Roccatello D, Khamashta M, Bertolaccini ML. Anti-prothrombin

- (aPT) and anti-phosphatidylserine/prothrombin (aPS/PT) antibodies and the risk of thrombosis in the antiphospholipid syndrome: a systematic review. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2014;**111**:354-364. DOI: 10.1160/TH13-06-0509
- [37] Chighizola CB, Raschi E, Banzato A, Borghi MO, Pengo V, Meroni PL. The challenges of lupus anticoagulants. *Expert Review of Hematology*. 2016;**9**:389-400. DOI: 10.1586/17474086.2016.1140034
- [38] Andreoli L, Chighizola CB, Nalli C, Gerosa M, Borghi MO, Pregnolato F, et al. Clinical characterization of antiphospholipid syndrome by detection of IgG antibodies against β 2-glycoprotein I domain 1 and domain 4/5: Ratio of anti-domain 1 to anti-domain 4/5 as a useful new biomarker for antiphospholipid syndrome. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. 2015;**67**:2196-2204. DOI: 10.1002/art.39187
- [39] Sciascia S, Sanna G, Murru V, Roccatello D, Khamashta MA, Bertolaccini ML. The global antiphospholipid syndrome score in primary APS. *Rheumatology*. 2015;**54**:134-138. DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/keu307
- [40] Sciascia S, Cuadrado MJ, Sanna G, Murru V, Roccatello D, Khamashta MA, et al. Thrombosis risk assessment in systemic lupus erythematosus: Validation of the global antiphospholipid syndrome in a prospective cohort. *Arthritis Care and Research*. 2014;**66**:1915-1920. DOI: 10.1002/acr.22388
- [41] Radin M, Cecchi I, Schreiber K, Rubini E, Roccatello D, Cuadrado MJ, et al. Pregnancy success rate and response to heparins and/or aspirin differ in women with antiphospholipid antibodies according to their global antiphospholipid syndrome score. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2020;**50**:553-556. DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2020.01.007
- [42] de Groot PG, Urbanus RT. Antiphospholipid syndrome not a non-inflammatory disease. *Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis*. 2015;**41**:607e14. DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1556725
- [43] Evain-Brion D, Guibourdenche J, Tsatsaris V, Fournier T. Human trophoblast differentiation. *Bulletin de l'Academie Nationale de Medecine*. 2009;**193**:1017-1025
- [44] Mahler M, Norman GL, Meroni PL, Khamashta M. Autoantibodies to domain 1 of beta 2 glycoprotein 1: A promising candidate biomarker for risk management in antiphospholipid syndrome. *Autoimmunity Reviews*. 2012;**12**:313-317. DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2012.05.006
- [45] Meroni PL, Di Simone N, Testoni C, D'Asta M, Acaia B, Caruso A. Antiphospholipid antibodies as cause of pregnancy loss. *Lupus*. 2004;**13**:649-652. DOI: 10.1191/0961203304lu2001oa
- [46] Meroni PL, Borghi MO, Grossi C, Chighizola CB, Durigutto P, Tedesco F. Obstetric and vascular antiphospholipid syndrome: Same antibodies but different diseases? *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*. 2018;**14**:433-440. DOI: 10.1038/s41584-018-0032-6
- [47] Harper BE, Willis R, Pierangeli SS. Pathophysiology mechanism in antiphospholipid syndrome. *International Journal of Clinical Rheumatology*. 2011;**6**:157-171. DOI: 10.2217/ijr.11.9
- [48] De Wolf F, Carreras LO, Moerman P, Vermeylen J, Van Assche Am Renaer M. Decidual vasculopathy and extensive placental infarction in a patient with repeated thromboembolic accidents, recurrent fetal loss and a lupus anticoagulant. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1982;**142**:829-834. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9378(16)32527-3

- [49] Tong M, Viall CA, Chamley LW. Antiphospholipid antibodies and the placenta: A systematic review of their in vitro effects and modulation by treatment. *Human Reproduction*. 2015;**21**:97-118. DOI: 10.1093/humupd/dmu049
- [50] Lockshin MD, Druzin ML, Goei S, Qamar T, Magin MS, Jovanovic L, et al. Antibody to cardiolipin as a predictor of fetal distress or death in pregnant patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1985;**313**:152-156. DOI: 10.1056/NEJM198507183130304
- [51] Sebire NJ, Fox H, Backos M, Rai R, Paterson C, Regan L. Defective endovascular trophoblast invasion in primary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome-associated early pregnancy failure. *Human Reproduction*. 2002;**17**: 1067-1071. DOI: 10.1093/humrep/17.4.1067
- [52] Viall CA, Chamley LW. Histopathology in the placentae of women with antiphospholipid antibodies: A systematic review of the literature. *Autoimmunity Reviews*. 2015;**14**:446-471. DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2015.01.008
- [53] Marchetti T, Cohen M, de Moerloose P. Obstetrical antiphospholipid syndrome: From the pathogenesis to the clinical and therapeutic implications. *Clinical and Development Immunology*. 2013;**2013**:159124. DOI: 10.1155/2013/159124
- [54] Simone D, Castellani R, Raschi E, Borghi MO, Meroni PL, Caruso A, et al. Anti-beta-2glycoprotein I antibodies affect Bcl-2 and Bax trophoblast expression without evidence of apoptosis. *Annals of New York Academy of Sciences*. 2006;**1069**:462-467. DOI: 10.1196/annals.1351.034
- [55] Chen Q, Viall C, Kang Y, Liu B, Stone P, Chamley L. Anti-phospholipid antibodies increase non-apoptotic trophoblast shedding: A contribution to the pathogenesis of pre-eclampsia in affected women? *Placenta*. 2009;**30**: 767-773. DOI: 10.1016/j.placenta.2009.06.008
- [56] Marchetti T, Ruffatti A, Wuillemin C, de Moerloose P, Cohen M. Hydroxychloroquine restores trophoblast fusion affected by antiphospholipid antibodies. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2014;**12**:910-920. DOI: 10.1111/jth.12570
- [57] Mulla MJ, Brosens JJ, Chamley LW, Giles I, Pericleous C, Rahman A, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies induce a pro-inflammatory response in first trimester trophoblast via the TLR4/MyD88 pathway. *American Journal of Reproduction Immunology*. 2009;**62**: 96-111. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0897.2009.00717.x
- [58] Baumann MU, Bersinger NA, Mohaupt MG, Raio L, Gerber S, Surbek DV. First-trimester serum levels of soluble endoglin and soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 as first-trimester markers for late-onset preeclampsia. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2008;**199**:266.e1-266.e6. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2008.06.069
- [59] Girardi G, Redecha P, Salmon JE. Heparin prevents antiphospholipid antibody-induced fetal loss by inhibiting complement activation. *Nature Medicine*. 2004;**10**:1222-1226. DOI: 10.1038/nm1121
- [60] Salmon JE, Girardi G, Holer VM. Complement activation as a mediator of antiphospholipid antibody induced pregnancy loss and thrombosis. *Annals of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2002;**61**:ii46-ii50. DOI: 10.1136/ard.61.suppl_2.ii46
- [61] Agostinis C, Durigutto P, Sblattero D, Borghi MO, Grossi C, Guida F, et al. A non-complement-fixing antibody to β 2 glycoprotein I as a novel therapy for antiphospholipid

syndrome. *Blood*. 2014;**123**:3478-3487.
 DOI: 10.1182/blood-2013-11-537704

Reviews. 2019;**18**:406-414. DOI:
 10.1016/j.autrev.2018.12.006

[62] Shamonki JM, Salmon JE, Hyjek E, Baergen RN. Excessive complement activation is associated with placental injury in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2007;**196**: 167e161-167e165. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2006.10.879

[68] Abou-Nassar K, Carrier M, Ramsay T, Rodger MA. The association between antiphospholipid antibodies and placenta mediated complications: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thrombosis Research*. 2011;**128**:77-85. DOI: 10.1016/j.thromres.2011.02.006

[63] Kim MY, Guerra MM, Kaplowitz E, Laskin CA, Petri M, Branch DW, et al. Complement activation predicts adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and/or antiphospholipid antibodies. *Annals of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2018;**77**:549-555. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212224

[69] Silver RM, Parker CB, Reddy UM, Goldenberg R, Coustan D, Dudley DJ, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies in stillbirth. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2013;**122**:641-657. DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182a1060e

[64] Berman J, Girardi G, Salmon JE. TNF- α is a critical effector and a target for therapy in antiphospholipid-induced pregnancy loss. *Journal of Immunology*. 2005;**174**:485-490. DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.174.1.485

[70] Clark EA, Silver RM, Branch DW. Do antiphospholipid antibodies cause preeclampsia and HELLP syndrome? *Current Rheumatology Reports*. 2007;**9**:219-225. DOI: 10.1007/s11926-007-0035-9

[65] Rand JH, Wu XX, Quin AS, Ashton AW, Chen PP, Hathcock JJ, et al. Hydroxychloroquine protects the annexin A5 anticoagulant shield from disruption by antiphospholipid antibodies: Evidence for a novel effect for an old antimalarial drug. *Blood*. 2010;**115**:2292-2299. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2009-04-213520

[71] Gibbins KJ, Tebo A, Nielsen SK, Branch DW. Antiphospholipid antibodies in women with severe pre-eclampsia and placental insufficiency: A case-control study. *Lupus*. 2018;**27**:1903-1910. DOI: 10.1177/0961203318787035

[66] Irman S, Škarabot M, Mušević I, Rozman B, Božič B. Thrombomodulatory effect of anti-B2-glycoprotein I antibodies on crystalline annexin A5 on phospholipid bilayers, as observed by atomic force microscopy. *EJIFCC*. 2011;**3**:81-93

[72] Saccone G, Berghella V, Maruotti GM, Ghi T, Rizzo G, Simonazzi G, et al. Antiphospholipid antibody profile based obstetric outcomes of primary antiphospholipid syndrome: The PREGNANTS study. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2017;**216**:525:e1-525 e12. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2017.01.026

[67] Alijotas-Reig J, Esteve-Valverde E, Ferrer-Oliveras R, Sáez-Comet L, Lefkou E, Mekinian A, et al. The European registry on obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome (EUROAPS): A survey of 1000 consecutive cases. *Autoimmunity*

[73] Ruffatti A, Tonello M, Visentin MS, Bontadi A, Hoxha A, De Carolis S, et al. Risk factors for pregnancy failure in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome treated with conventional therapies: A multicentre, case-control study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2011;**50**:1684-1689. DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker139

- [74] Sailer T, Zoghalmi C, Kurz C, Rumpold H, Quehenberger P, Panzer S, et al. Anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies are associated with pregnancy loss in women with the lupus anticoagulant. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2006;**95**:796-801
- [75] Lockshin MD, Kim M, Laskin CA, Guerra M, Branch DW, Merrill J, et al. Prediction of adverse pregnancy outcome by the presence of lupus anticoagulant, but not anticardiolipin antibody, in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. 2012;**64**:2311-2318. DOI: 10.1002/art.34402
- [76] Matsuki Y, Atsumi T, Yamaguchi K, Hisano M, Arata N, Oku K, et al. Clinical features and pregnancy outcome in antiphospholipid syndrome with history of severe pregnancy complications. *Modern Rheumatology*. 2015;**25**:215-218. DOI: 10.3109/14397595.2014.942503
- [77] Latino JO, Udry S, Aranda FM, Peres Wingeyer SDA, Fernandez Romero DS, de Larranaga GF. Pregnancy failure in patients with obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome with conventional treatment: The influence of a triple positive antibody profile. *Lupus*. 2017;**26**:983-988. DOI: 10.1177/0961203317692432
- [78] Ruffatti A, Calligaro A, Hoxha A, Trevisanuto D, Ruffatti AT, Gervasi MT, et al. Laboratory and clinical features of pregnant women with antiphospholipid syndrome and neonatal outcome. *Arthritis Care and Research (Hoboken)*. 2010;**62**:302-307. DOI: 10.1002/acr.20098
- [79] Bouvier S, Cochery-Nouvellon E, Lavigne-Lissalde G, et al. Comparative incidence of pregnancy outcome in treated obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome: The NOH-APS observational study. *Blood*. 2014;**123**:404-414. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2013-08-522623
- [80] Hoxha A, Favaro M, Calligaro A, Del Ross T, Ruffatti AT, Infantolino C, et al. Upgrading therapy strategy improves pregnancy outcome in antiphospholipid syndrome: A cohort management study. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2020;**120**:36-43. DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1697665
- [81] Le Thi Huong DW, Wechsler B, Vauthier-Brouzes D, Duhaut P, Costedoat N, Andreu MR, et al. The second trimester Doppler ultrasound examination is the best predictor of late pregnancy outcome in systemic lupus erythematosus and/or the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Rheumatology*. 2006;**45**:332-338. DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kei159
- [82] Andreoli L, Bertsi G, Agmon-Levi N, Brown S, Cervera R, Costedoat-Chalumeau N, et al. EULAR recommendation for women's health and the management of family planning, assisted reproduction, pregnancy and menopause in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and/or antiphospholipid syndrome. *Annals of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2017;**76**:476-485. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-209770
- [83] Tektonidou MG, Andreoli L, Limper M, Amoura Z, Cervera R, Costedoat-Chalumeau N, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of antiphospholipid syndrome in adults. *Annals of Rheumatic Disease*. 2019;**78**:1296-1304. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215213
- [84] Kutteh WH. Antiphospholipid antibody-associated recurrent pregnancy loss: Treatment with heparin and low-dose aspirin is superior to low-dose aspirin alone. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 1996;**174**:1584e9. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9378(96)70610-5
- [85] Rai R, Cohen H, Dave M, Regan L. Randomized controlled trial of aspirin and aspirin plus heparin in pregnant

women with recurrent miscarriage associated with phospholipid antibodies (or antiphospholipid antibodies). *BMJ*. 1997;**314**:253e7. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.314.7076.253

[86] Farquharson RG, Quenby S, Greaves M. Antiphospholipid syndrome in pregnancy: A randomized, controlled trial of treatment. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2002;**100**:408e13. DOI: 10.1016/s0029-7844(02)02165-8

[87] Laskin CA, Spitzer KA, Clark CA, Crowther MR, Ginsberg JS, Hawker GA, et al. Low molecular weight heparin and aspirin for recurrent pregnancy loss: Results from the randomized, controlled HepASA trial. *Journal of Rheumatology*. 2009;**36**:279e87. DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.080763), 10.3899/jrheum.080763)

[88] Mak A, Cheung MW, Cheak AA, Ho RC. Combination of heparin and aspirin is superior to aspirin alone in enhancing live births in patients with recurrent pregnancy loss and positive anti-phospholipid antibodies: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and meta-regression. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2010;**49**:281-288. DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kep373

[89] Hamulyák EN, Scheres LJ, Marijnen MC, Goddijn M, Middeldorp S. Aspirin or heparin or both for improving pregnancy outcomes in women with persistent antiphospholipid antibodies and recurrent pregnancy loss. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2020;**5**:CD012852. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012852

[90] Ruffatti A, Gervasi MT, Favaro M, Ruffatti AT, Hoxha A, Punzi L. Adjusted prophylactic doses of nadroparin plus low dose aspirin therapy in obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome. A prospective cohort management study. *Clinical and Experimental and Rheumatology*. 2011;**29**:551-554

[91] Arachchillage DR, Machin SJ, Mackie IJ, Cohen H. Diagnosis and management of non-criteria obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2015;**113**:13-19. DOI: 10.1160/TH14-05-0416

[92] Lassere M, Empson M. Treatment of antiphospholipid syndrome in pregnancy—A systematic review of randomized therapeutic trials. *Thrombosis Research*. 2004;**114**:419-426. DOI: 10.1016/j.thromres.2004.08.006

[93] Ruffatti A, Favaro M, Calligaro A, Zambon A, Del Ross T. Management of pregnant women with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Expert Review in Clinical Immunology*. 2019;**15**:347-358. DOI: 10.1080/1744666X.2019.1565995

[94] Bramham K, Thomas M, Nelson-Piercy C, Khamashta M, Hunt BJ. First-trimester low-dose prednisolone in refractory antiphospholipid antibody-related pregnancy loss. *Blood*. 2011;**117**:6948-6951. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2011-02-339234

[95] Ruffatti A, Salvan E, Del Ross T, Gerosa M, Andreoli L, Maina A, et al. Treatment strategies and pregnancy outcomes in antiphospholipid syndrome patients with thrombosis and triple antiphospholipid positivity. a European multicentre retrospective study. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2014;**112**:727-735. DOI: 10.1160/TH14-03-0191

[96] Mekinian A, Lazzaroni MG, Kuzenko A, Alijotas-Reig J, Ruffatti A, Levy P, et al. The efficacy of hydroxychloroquine for obstetrical outcome in anti-phospholipid syndrome: Data from a European multicenter retrospective study. *Autoimmunity Reviews*. 2015;**14**:498-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2015.01.012

[97] El-Haieg DO, Zanati MF, El-Foual FM. Plasmapheresis and

pregnancy outcome in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2007;**99**:236-241. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2007.05.045

[98] Heilmann L, Schorch M, Hahn T, Adasz G, Schilberz K, Adiguzel C, et al. Pregnancy outcome in women with antiphospholipid antibodies: Report on a retrospective study. *Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis*. 2008;**34**: 794-802. DOI: 10.1055/s-0029-1145261

[99] Ruffatti A, Tonello M, Hoxha A, Sciascia S, Cuadrado MJ, Latino JO, et al. Effect of additional treatments combined with conventional therapies in pregnant patients with high-risk antiphospholipid syndrome: A multicentre study. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2018;**118**:639-646. DOI: 10.1055/s-0038-1632388

[100] Stojanovich L, Mikovic Z, Mandic V, Popovich-Kuzmanovich D. Treatment of anti-phospholipid syndrome in pregnancy with low doses of intravenous immunoglobulin. *Israel Medical Association Journal*. 2007;**9**:555-556

[101] Chang P, Millar D, Tsang P, Lim K, Houlihan E, Stephenson M. Intravenous immunoglobulin in antiphospholipid syndrome and maternal floor infarction when standard treatment fails: A case report. *American Journal of Perinatology*. 2006;**23**:125-129. DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-931805

[102] Watanabe N, Yamaguchi K, Motomura K, Hisano M, Sago H, Murashima A. Combination therapy with anticoagulants, corticosteroids and intravenous immunoglobulin for women with severe obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome. *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology*. 2014;**32**:299-300

[103] De Carolis S, Botta A, Salvi S, di Pasquo E, Del Sordo G, Garufi C, et al. Is there any role for the hydroxychloroquine

(HCQ) in refractory obstetrical antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) treatment? *Autoimmunity Reviews*. 2015;**14**:760-762. DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2015.04.010

[104] Mayer-Pickel K, Horn S, Lang U, Cervar-Zivkovic M. Response to plasmapheresis measured by angiogenic factors in a woman with antiphospholipid syndrome in pregnancy. *Case Reports in Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2015;**2015**:123408. DOI: 10.1155/2015/123408

[105] Abrahams VM, Chamley LW, Salmon JE. Antiphospholipid syndrome and pregnancy: Pathogenesis to translation. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. 2017;**69**:1710e4. DOI: 10.1002/art.40136

[106] Lefkou E, Mamopoulos A, Dagklis T, Vosnakis C, Rouso D, Giradi G, et al. Pravastatin improves pregnancy outcomes in obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome refractory to antithrombotic therapy. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2016;**126**: 2933e40. DOI: 10.1172/JCI86957

[107] Costantine MM, Cleary K. Pravastatin for the prevention of preeclampsia in high-risk pregnant women. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2013;**121**:349e53. DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31827d8ad5

[108] Belizna C, Pregnotato F, Abad S, Alijotas-Reig J, Amital H, Amoura Z, et al. HIBISCUS: Hydroxychloroquine for the secondary prevention of thrombotic and obstetrical events in primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Autoimmunity Reviews*. 2018;**17**:1153-1168. DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2018.05.012

[109] Schreiber K, Breen K, Cohen H, Jacobsen S, Middeldorp S, Pavord S, et al. Hydroxychloroquine to improve pregnancy outcome in women with antiphospholipid antibodies (HYPATIA) protocol: A multinational randomized controlled trial of

hydroxychloroquine versus placebo in addition to standard treatment in pregnant women with antiphospholipid syndrome or antibodies. *Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis*. 2017;**43**:562-571. DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1603359

IntechOpen

IntechOpen