We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Oronasal Fistula: A Complication of Cleft Palate Surgery

Manal Abdalla Ali Eltahir

Abstract

Oronasal fistula is one of the disappointing expected outcomes of cleft palate surgery. It may follow palatoplasty for palatal clefting patients, which is defined as an abnormal communication between the oral and nasal cavities. More than one system has attempted its classification. It may be a small and a symptomatic or symptomatic fistula that requires correction. Its rate differs widely according to many factors. Both surgical and non-surgical methods (the pros and cons of each should be considered) are available for its correction, and there are adjunctive therapies to assist in improving the surgical outcome.

Keywords: cleft palate, oronasal fistula, palatoplasty, complication

1. Introduction

Cleft lip and palate are among the most common birth defects in the craniofacial region [1]. The prevalence of isolated cleft palate is 1 in 2000 live births [2].

Management of children born with a cleft lip and/or palate necessitates long-term and multidisciplinary involvement and multidisciplinary to obtain the most satisfactory results [3].

In an attempt to correct such abnormality, non-surgical appliances, as well as surgical correction procedures, could be adopted for the affected individuals.

Following surgical correction, oronasal fistula constitutes the most common complication, which compromises the goal of palatoplasty [4], and is further considered (with other two additional complications, namely velopharyngeal insufficiency [VPI] and midface hypoplasia) as a metric and quality indicator [5].

In this chapter, oronasal fistula, as one of the expected deleterious outcomes of cleft palate surgery, is discussed thoroughly. Its definition, causes, classification, incidence, diagnosis, and management (both non-surgical and surgical treatment) are identified.

2. Definition

Generally, fistula refers to a communicating track between two epithelial surfaces, commonly between a hollow viscus and the skin (external fistula) or between two hollow viscera (internal fistula), lined with granulation tissue which is subsequently epithelized [6, 7]. Specifically, the nasal cavity is separated from

the oral cavity anteriorly by the premaxilla and maxilla and posteriorly by the horizontal plate of the palatine bone [8]. The oronasal fistula (ONF) is an internal fistula and represents an abnormal epithelized track communicating between the nasal cavity and mouth [7].

There are many causes of fistulas of the hard palate that involve the nasal or antral cavities, or both [9]. Moreover, it is a known complication of cleft palate repair. Most commonly, sutures within the oral mucosa break down 1 week after surgery, leaving a local fissure at the surgical site in the oral cavity. This local fissure either eventually heals well after proper treatment or develops into a channel between the oral and nasal cavity, which is called an oronasal fistula, or ONF [10].

3. Incidence

3.1 Fistula occurrence and recurrence

There is substantial variation in fistula incidence following surgical repair of cleft palate. Its occurrence is ranging widely, between 12 and 45%, as reported by Schultz in 1986 [11], and from 4–60% according to other studies. The occurrence of fistula following surgery is as high as 4–45%, which makes the cleft palate repair more challenging. Unfortunately, to date [12], there remains a wide range in frequency, which may be due to the absence of one agreed (unanimous) surgical technique, the application of different surgical techniques for cleft palate repair, the timing of the repair, the severity of the cleft and the surgeon’s experience. (Figure 1). Moreover, the current literature on fistula rates and surgical techniques is inconsistent [13].

Practically, surgical treatment of fistulas is often complicated by high recurrence rates (up to 33%), and further aggravated by multiple scars surrounding the lesion, which lead to more complicated recalcitrant fistulas [14].

3.2 According to gender

Females’ patients are more frequently seen to be affected with CP [15]. However, oronasal fistulas after cleft palate repair seem to occur more commonly in males, for unknown reasons. Moreover, site-wise, Musgrave and Bremner’ found that the frequency of fistulas was significantly greater with bilateral cleft lip and palate than in unilateral cases [16].

Figure 1.
Potential factors behind the wide difference in fistula rate following Palatoplasty.

3.3 According to the site

The most common sites of fistulization are the hard palate and the transition between the hard and soft palates. However, it can also occur in the soft palate [17].

3.4 According to the technique

3.4.1 According to the staged techniques

A systematic review published in 2017 by Reddy et al., showed that there was no conclusive evidence for the effects of one-stage versus two-stage palate repair on the frequency of fistulas [18]. Another study showed that there is no difference in the fistula rate in one-stage or two-stage palatoplasty [19], although recent accumulative data showed that a one-stage repair of the cleft palate is associated with a decreased risk of fistula formation compared to a two-stage repair [20].

3.4.2 According to the specific technique

Furlow's technique is reported to be less prone to postoperative fistula than von Langenbeck and Veau/Wardill/Kilner techniques [20]. Furlow's technique bases its principle on gaining length at the expense of width. Accordingly, the Furlow technique's outcome is affected by the width as the only risk factor, as reported by Li et al. [21].

4. Causes

There are many causes for oronasal fistula, but the congenital cause in cleft palate patients (primary fistula) still remains the main etiology for oronasal fistulas [10].

The causes of fistula after palatoplasty (secondary fistula) may be precipitated by one of these factors (**Figure 2**):

- Causes related to the nature of the cleft, such as the width of the cleft.
- The amount of deficiency in the palate segments, as well as the misplacement and distortion of the cleft segment [22].
- Causes related to the procedure and its sequelae, such as inadequate dissection of the flaps, closure under tension, post-operative bleeding, hematoma formation between the oral and nasal layers, and infection [23].
- Causes related to the patient [24, 25].

5. Diagnosis

5.1 Diagnostic criteria

Oronasal fistula can be diagnosed depending on the criteria in the **Figure 3** [7, 26].

Figure 2.
Potential causes of ONF following palatoplasty.

Figure 3.
Diagnostic criteria of Oronasal fistula.

5.2 Symptoms of oronasal fistula

Small palatal fistulas may not be symptomatic, so in many cases, surgical intervention is not justifiable, on the other hand, large palatal fistulas produce various symptoms [27]; These symptoms, may related to some extent to the site of the fistula, which can manifest themselves as nasality in speech, leakage of fluids into the nose, and food lodging in the defect; bad odors, bad tastes, as well as upper respiratory tract infections (**Figure 4**) [10, 23].

6. Classification

The fistula may be present anywhere along the primary or secondary palate.

Figure 4.
Oronasal fistula after palatoplasty.

Palatal fistulae either being as:

- A primary fistula associated with the clefting of the hard palate, or a
- A secondary fistula may represent a failure of surgical techniques for the correction of cleft palate [4].

Numerous classification systems have been put forth over many years. But still, there is no agreed classification, examples of such classifications are Cohen et al. (1991) classification as well as Pittsburgh Fistula classification (**Table 1**) [12, 28, 29].

Unfortunately, both of them have helped in understanding the location of the fistula apart from putting guidance for the determination of the fistula's difficulty.

Classification's Name	Classification's Parameter	Description
<i>Pittsburgh Classification</i>	Fistula's site	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Type I, bifid uvula.• Type II, soft palate.• Type III, junction of the soft and hard palate.• Type IV, hard palate.• Type V, junction of the primary and secondary palates (for Veau IV clefts).• Type VI, lingual alveolar.• Type VII, labial alveolar.
<i>Cohen's et al Classification</i>	Fistula's site	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Prealveolar• Postalveolar• Hard Palate• Soft Palate• Uvula

Table 1.
Classifications of oronasal fistula.

7. Management of the oronasal fistula

7.1 Non-surgical treatment

Fistulas can be managed by prosthetic appliances such as obturators, but there are inherent problems with this approach, such as a sharp increase in the oral bacteria count, with a resultant increase in the incidence of dental caries. Moreover, it could not be considered as a definitive treatment [30].

7.2 Surgical treatment

Simple fistulas are successfully managed by local palatal flaps, but the success of such a way is limited in management in the situation of more complex fistulas [31, 32].

Treatment of the large fistulas is usually complex and difficult to be achieved by traditional local flaps. Accordingly, extensive palatal surgery and dissection are recommended.

Secondary repair of oronasal fistula (repair of the fistula many times) is one of the more challenging and difficult problems in the field of oral and maxillofacial.

Surgical closure can be fulfilled by more than one option such as; local flap, regional intraoral and extraoral flaps as well as a free flap [33].

7.2.1 Techniques used for correction of recurrent (recalcitrant) fistula

Repeated attempts for the fistula closure followed by failure, may end up by local tissue scarring.

Alternative methods of the traditional local flap are reported in the literature:

- Tongue flap, Guerrero-Santos, and Altamirano (1966) was first described the suitability of an anteriorly based dorsal tongue flap for repair of palatal fistulae [34], it can be attempted when other methods are not feasible, now it become widely accepted for the correction of the fistula following the failure of palatoplasty especially for the fistula with large size [23, 35, 36] it is a two-staged procedure and can be anteriorly or posteriorly depending on the fistula site with high success rate for the anterior fistulas [37].
- The use of decellularized dermal grafting (Alloderm) in persistent oro-nasal fistulas is also reported in the literature [38].

Other surgical techniques that have been described include free flaps, tissue expansion, interposition grafts of (bone, free periosteum, or dermis-fat) [15].

8. Adjunctive therapy

In the literature, there is more than one adjunctive therapy to improve the surgical outcome, in oronasal fistula, such as

1. Antibiotic therapy [39]
2. A resorbable collagen membrane (inter-positional barrier [40] to be an adjunct to standard surgical care, with the objective of improving the stability of the soft tissue and decreasing the incidence of new dehiscence [14].

3. PDS, polydioxanone sheet, is a synthetic polyether-ester, which is an unstable biodegradable polymer [41].
4. Plasma rich in growth factors (PRGF) mixed with autologous bone graft [42]
5. Topical phenytoin 2% (for promotion of healing) [43].

9. Conclusion

Oronasal fistula is one of the great challenges in an oral and maxillofacial specialty, there is no conclusive evidence have determined its actual rate and there are wide ranges for its occurrence and no agreement on which procedure is being the most superior, with the low rate of fistula formation following palatoplasty. Both old literature and the recent one could not put a consensus to unifying terminology in the context of definition and classification for further reliable diagnosis in an attempt to find a more accepted, predictable outcome for preventing its occurrence and find optimal managing of recurrent ONF in cleft palate patients.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Ahmed Mohamed Suliman (Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of dentistry, Khartoum University, Sudan, for being the first supervisor of the author in the subspeciality of cleft lip and Palate in Sudanese Medical Specialization Board, and Thanks also for Dr. Haithem Babiker MD, DMD FACS, Craniomaxillofacial surgeon, University of Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Ohio, USA, for his permission to attached the demonstrated picture.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CP	Cleft Palate
ONF	Oro nasal fistula
VPI	Velopharyngeal Insufficiency
PRGF	Plasma rich in growth factors
PDS	polydioxanone sheet

Appendices and Nomenclature

1. Introduction
2. Definition
3. Incidence
 - 3.1 Fistula occurrence and fistula recurrence
 - 3.2 According to gender
 - 3.3 According to site

3.4 According to the technique

3.4.1 According to the stage technique

3.4.2 According to the specific techniques

4. Causes

5. Diagnosis

5.1 Diagnostic criteria

5.2 Symptoms of oronasal Fistula

6. Classification

7. Management of the oronasal fistula

7.1 Non-surgical treatment

7.2 Surgical treatment

7.2.1 Techniques used for correction of fistula

8. Adjunctive therapy

9. Conclusion

Author details

Manal Abdalla Ali Eltahir

Department of Maxillofacial Surgery and Diagnostic sciences, College of Dentistry,
Qassim University, Qassim, Saudi Arabia

*Address all correspondence to: manltahir@yahoo.com

IntechOpen

© 2021 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1] Bekele et al. Anatomical patterns of cleft lip and palate deformities among neonates in Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia: Implication of environmental impact. *BMC Pediatrics*. 2019;**19**:254. DOI: 10.1186/s12887-019-1624-2
- [2] Vyas T, Gupta P, Kumar S, Gupta R, Gupta T, Singh HP. Cleft of lip and palate: A review. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*. 2020; **9**:2621-2625
- [3] Lethaus B, Grau E, Kloss-Brandstätter A, Brauer L, Zimmerer R, Bartella AK, et al. Clinical follow-up in orofacial clefts: Why multidisciplinary care is the key. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2021;**10**:842. DOI: 10.3390/jcm10040842
- [4] Bykowski MR, Naran S, Winger DG, Losee JE. The rate of oronasal fistula following primary cleft palate surgery: A meta-analysis. *The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal*. 2015;**52**:e81-e87
- [5] Phua YS, de Chalain T. Incidence of oronasal fistulae and velopharyngeal insufficiency after cleft palate repair: An audit of 211 children born between 1990 and 2004. *The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal*. 2008;**45**(2):172-178
- [6] Das S. Examination of a sinus or a fistula. In: *A Manual on Clinical Surgery*. 5th ed. Calcutta, India: Old Mayors Court; 2001. p. 55
- [7] Kaur P, Kaur J, Fistula O, Edentulism C. What to do? *Dental Journal*. 2014;**2**:142-154. DOI: 10.3390/dj2040142
- [8] Lang J. Nasal cavity. In: *Clinical Anatomy of the Nose, Nasal Cavity and Paranasal Sinuses*. New York, NY, USA: Thieme; 1989. p. 46
- [9] Yih WY et al. Secondary closure of oroantral and oronasal fistulas: A modification of existing techniques. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 1988;**46**:357-364
- [10] Leturc T et al. Oronasal fistula as a cleft palate sequela: Closure with tongue flap. Case report with review of the literature. *Med Buccale Chir Buccale*. 2017;**23**:192-195
- [11] Schultz RC. Management and timing of cleft palate fistula repair. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*. 1986;**78**:739-747
- [12] Yang AS et al. Closer to the truth on national fistula prevalence after unilateral complete cleft lip and palate repair? The Cleft Care UK Study. *The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal*. 2020;**57**(1):5-13
- [13] Sakran KA, Liu R, Yu T, Al-Rokhami RK, He D. A comparative study of three palatoplasty techniques in wide cleft palates. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2021;**50**:191-197
- [14] Kuttenger. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2010;**39**(5):497-499. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijom.2010.02.012
- [15] Yilmaz HN, Önem Özbilen E, Üstün T. The prevalence of cleft lip and palate patients: A single-center experience for 17 years. *Turkish Journal of Orthodontics*. 2019;**32**(3):139-144. DOI: 10.5152/TurkJOrthod.2019.18094
- [16] Amaratunga NADS. Occurrence of oronasal fistulas in operated cleft palate patients. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 1988;**46**:034-037
- [17] Li F, Wang H-T, Chen Y-Y, Wu W-L, Liu J-Y, Hao J-S, et al. Cleft relapse and oronasal fistula after Furlow palatoplasty in infants with cleft palate: Incidence and risk factors. *International*

Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2017;**46**:275-280.
DOI: 10.1016/j.ijom.2016.09.019

[18] Reddy RR, Reddy SG, Vaidhyanathan A, Berge A, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. Maxillofacial growth and speech outcome after one-stage or two-stage palatoplasty in unilateral cleft lip and palate. A systematic review. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery. 2017; **45**:995e1003

[19] Reddy RR et al. Effect of one-stage versus two-stage palatoplasty on hypernasality and fistula formation in children with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate: A randomized controlled trial. Palatoplasty and Fistula Occurrence. 2018;**142**(1):42e-50e. DOI: 10.1097/PRS.00000000000004486

[20] Stein MJ et al. Determining postoperative outcomes after cleft palate repair: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery: JPRAS. 2019;**72**:85-91

[21] Li F, Wang H-T, et al. Cleft relapse and oronasal fistula after Furlow palatoplasty in infants with cleft palate: Incidence and risk factors nt. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 2017;**46**:275-280. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijom.2016.09.019

[22] Millard DR Jr. Growth in the normal and the cleft palate patient and the effect of surgery on growth. In: Ralph Millard D Jr, editor. Cleft Craft: The Evolution of Its Surgery. Boston: Little, Brown; 1980. p. 51e77

[23] Ogle OE. The management of oronasal fistulas in the cleft palate patient. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinic of North America. 2002; **14**:553-562

[24] Kara M et al. Does early cleft palate repair make difference? Comparative

evaluation of the speech outcomes using objective parameters. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery. 2020;**48**(11): 1057-1065. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcms.2020.09.003

[25] Passos et al. Prevalence, cause, and location of palatal fistula in operated complete unilateral cleft lip and palate: Retrospective study. The Cleft Palate–Craniofacial Journal. 2014;**51**(2): 158-164

[26] Murthy J. Management of palatal fistula. Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery. 2011;**44**(1):41-46. DOI: 10.4103/0970-0358.81447

[27] Ahmed MK et al. Risk of persistent palatal fistula in patients with cleft palate. JAMA Facial Plastic Surgery. 2015;**17**(2):126-130. DOI: 10.1001/jamafacial.2014.1436

[28] Smith DM et al. The Pittsburgh fistula classification system: A standardized scheme for the description of palatal fistulas. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2007;**44**(6):5. DOI: 10.1597/06-204.1

[29] Cohen SR, Kalinowski J, LaRossa D, Randall P. Cleft palate fistulas: A multivariate statistical analysis of prevalence, etiology, and surgical management. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. 1991;**87**:1041-1047

[30] Jeffery SLA, Boorman JG, Dive DC. Use of cartilage grafts for closure of cleft palate fistulae. British Journal of Plastic Surgery. 2000;**53**:551-554. DOI: 10.1054/bjps.2000.3411

[31] Cervenka B, Setabutr D, Rubinstein BK. Surgical repair of the cleft palate. Operative Techniques in Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. 2015;**26**:121-126

[32] Sadhu P. Oronasal fistula in cleft palate surgery. Indian J Plast Surg. 2009;**42**(Suppl):S123-S128. DOI: 10.4103/0970-0358.57203

- [33] Denny AD, Amm CA. Surgical technique for the correction of palatoplasty fistula of the hard palate. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*. 2005;**115**:383
- [34] Carter KCBO'RJ. Tongue flap repair of oro-nasal fistulae in cleft palate patients: A review of 20 patients. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery*. 1989;**17**:255-259
- [35] Mahajan RK, Chhajlani R, Ghildiyal HC. Role of tongue flap in palatal fistula repair: A series of 41 cases. *Indian Journal of Plast Surgery*. 2014;**47**(2):210-215. DOI: 10.4103/0970-0358.138950
- [36] Leturc T, Chaux-Bodard A-G, Paulus C. Oronasal fistula as a cleft palate sequela: Closure with tongue flap; Case report with review of the literature. *Med Buccale Chir Buccale*. 2017;**23**:192-195. DOI: 10.1051/mbcb/2017012
- [37] Durmus Kocaaslan FN, Memic Z, Yalcin D, Celebiler O. Management of palatal fistulas and a simple surgical algorithm proposal. *Marmara Medical Journal*. 2020;**33**:17-22. DOI: 10.5472/marumj.681988
- [38] Cole P et al. The use of decellularized dermal grafting (Alloderm) in persistent oro-nasal fistulas after tertiary cleft palate repair. *Journal of Surgery Craniofacial*. 2006;**17**(4):636-641. DOI: 10.1097/00001665-200607000-00005
- [39] Reddy RR et al. Placement of an antibiotic oral pack on the hard palate after primary cleft palatoplasty: A randomized controlled trial into the effect on fistula rates. *Clinical Oral Investigations*. 2018;**22**:1953-1958. DOI: 10.1007/s00784-017-2286-9
- [40] Reddy GSP et al. Membrane assisted palatal fistula closure in a cleft palate patient: A novel technique. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2016;**10**(3):ZD22-ZD24
- [41] Ahmed A et al. Use of polydioxanone sheet to repair palatal fistulas in patients with cleft palate. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2013;**51**:e197-e198
- [42] Demetoglu U, Ocak H, Bilge S. Closure of oroantral communication with plasma rich fibrin membrane. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*. 2018;**29**(4):e367-e370. DOI: 10.1097/SCS.00000000000004360
- [43] Fakhim SA et al. Effects of phenytoin spray in prevention of fistula formation following cleft palate repair. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery*. 2019;**47**:e1887-e1890