We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Breeding for Disease Resistance in Brassica Vegetables Using DNA Marker Selection

Mst Arjina Akter, Hasan Mehraj, Takeru Itabashi, Tomoe Shindo, Masaaki Osaka, Ayasha Akter, Naomi Miyaji, Naoki Chiba, Junji Miyazaki and Ryo Fujimoto

Abstract

The Brassica genus comprises of agro-economically important vegetables. Disease causes great yield loss of Brassica vegetables worldwide. Different traditional methods such as crop rotation and chemical control have limited effect on different diseases of Brassica vegetables and cannot completely eradicate the pathogens by these methods. Development of disease resistant cultivars is one of the most effective, ecofriendly, and cheapest measure to control Brassica diseases. With the development of genomics, molecular biology techniques, and biological methods, it is possible to discover and introduce resistance (*R*) genes to efficiently control the plant diseases caused by pathogens. Some *R* genes of major diseases such as Fusarium wilt and clubroot in Brassica vegetables have been already identified. Therefore, we will focus to review the Fusarium wilt and clubroot resistance in Brassica vegetables and the methodologies for identification, mapping, and pyramiding of *R* genes/quantitative trait loci (QTLs) to develop disease resistant cultivars. These techniques will be helpful for sustainable crop production and to maintain global food security and contribute to ensure protection of food supply in the Asian country as well as throughout the world.

Keywords: *R* gene, marker assisted selection, Fusarium wilt, clubroot, Brassica

1. Introduction

Brassica is a commercially important genus that contains vegetables, oilseeds, condiments, and fodder crops, and they provide nutrition and health-promoting substances to humans worldwide [1]. The commercially important vegetables such as Chinese cabbage (var. *pekinensis*), pak choi (var. *chinensis*), and turnip (var. *rapa*) are involved in *Brassica rapa* L., and cabbage (var. *capitata*), broccoli (var. *italica*), and cauliflower (var. *botrytis*) are involved in *Brassica oleracea* L. [1].

Production of Brassica vegetables constantly threatened by emerging viral, bacterial, and fungal diseases, whose incidence has increased in recent years [2, 3]. The major diseases of Brassica vegetables are Black rot, clubroot, Downy mildew, Fusarium wilt, soft rot, and Turnip mosaic virus [2]. Cultural, physical, biological, or chemical controls, or a combination of these controls, integrated

pest management, are used for disease control [2, 3]. However, soil-borne phytopathogens such as *Fusarium* wilt or clubroot are hard to control by physical and chemical methods, and they can survive in the soil for many years in dormant conditions and become devastating when they find suitable host [2–4]. Thus, breeding the disease resistant cultivars of Brassica vegetables, especially against soil-borne phytopathogens, is the best way for effective disease control. Recently, some disease resistance genes (*R* genes) have been isolated in Brassica vegetables, and DNA marker assisted selection is applicable in some diseases [2, 3].

In this chapter, we focus on the *Fusarium* wilt and clubroot and present the breeding for these disease resistances in Brassica vegetables using DNA marker selection.

2. DNA marker selection for breeding *Fusarium* wilt disease resistant cultivars in Brassica vegetables

Fusarium species are highly host specific and comprise more than 120 *formae speciales* (f. sp.) further sub grouped into races [5, 6]. *Fusarium oxysporum* is considered as one of the top ten most devastating plant pathogens throughout the world and can infect approximately 150 of independent host plants or over, including economically important agricultural crops such as cabbage, tomato, onion, pepper, cucumbers, bananas, melons, cotton, etc. [5, 7–12]. Two *formae speciales* of *F. oxysporum* (f. sp. *conglutinans* and f. sp. *rapae*) mainly invade in Brassica vegetables [3, 13].

Fusarium wilt was first identified in the United States by Smith in the 1890s, and in the following decades it was subsequently found in Japan and several other countries [14, 15]. In recent years, *Fusarium* wilt has been overspread in China [16]. *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* infects Brassica vegetable roots (young roots are more vulnerable), and thereafter, it colonizes and blocks the xylem vessels by their growth leading to blockage of the water transport inside the plant. Finally, it leads to show the disease symptoms such as dull green to yellow green color of the leaves initially, yellowing, wilting, necrosis of leaf, defoliation, stunting, and death of seedling [17].

2.1 Traditional management

A number of traditional techniques have been adopted to manage *Fusarium* wilt disease. Crop rotation is effective to control *Fusarium* wilt disease [18], and soil solarization [19] and soil steam sterilization [20, 21] can suppress significantly the *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* population. Application of chemical fungicides such as prochloraz, carbendazim, and Bavistin is also used [22], but it is not strongly recommended to control *Fusarium* wilt [23, 24]. Chemical fumigants such as sodium azide, chloropicrin, and methyl bromide etc. are environmentally hazardous and most of them are not available nowadays. A few chemical fumigants may be available in commercial market in some countries, but it needs to be applied according to the sustainable regulations [25–27]. An alternative environment friendly method is biological control, but there are not any registered biological control agents for the *Fusarium* wilt in Brassica vegetables [27]. Combining different independent strategies are used for the more efficient control of *Fusarium* wilt. For example, combining the organic soil amendment (*Brassica carinata* defatted seed meals and compost) with a short period of soil solarization can significantly reduce both *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *raphani* [28].

2.2 Isolation of resistance genes

Most *R* genes encode proteins with leucine-rich repeats (LRR), a central nucleotide binding site (NBS) domain, and in the N-terminus a domain that contains homology to cytosolic domains of the *Drosophila* Toll or animal interleukin-1 receptors (TIR) (termed TIR–NBS–LRR) or a potential coiled coil (CC) domain (termed CC–NBS–LRR) [29–31]. *R* gene of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* has been identified in *B. rapa* using transcriptome analysis focusing on differentially expressed putative *R* genes that have NBS, LRR, TIR, or CC motifs between Fusarium wilt resistant and susceptible lines [32]. Two TIR–NBS–LRR genes (Bra012688 and Bra012689), which located next to each other in the same transcriptional direction, have been identified as candidates of *R* gene (*FocBr1*), and presence and absence of these two genes were identical to the resistant and susceptible phenotypes, respectively, by inoculation test using F₂ population derived from crossing between susceptible and resistant lines [32, 33]. However, it has not been clarified which gene is *FocBr1* [32].

R gene of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* (*FocBo1*) has also been identified in *B. oleracea* by genetic approach [34, 35], and candidate *R* gene in *B. oleracea* is ortholog of Bra012688 [35]. It suggests that Bra012688 could be *FocBr1*. The susceptible *B. oleracea* lines have mutations causing frame shift and there are several susceptible alleles [34–36], suggesting that mutations leading to susceptibility have occurred multiple times independently. In contrast, no mutations other than deletion leading to loss of function of *FocBr1* have been found in *B. rapa* [32, 33].

2.3 DNA marker selection system

Selection by inoculation test is labor-intensive and highly influenced by the environmental factors, and selection of suitable plants highly depends on the experience of breeders. In contrast, DNA marker selection is rarely affected by the environmental conditions. DNA marker selection also has merits that it can be performed at early developmental stages, can handle many samples, and can test multiple traits in a sample [37]. Identification of *R* gene or locus linked to *R* gene enables us to develop DNA marker for disease resistance [2, 3, 38].

As the susceptible allele (*focbr1–1*) of Fusarium wilt in *B. rapa* is due to deletion of *FocBr1*, a dominant DNA marker (Bra012688m), which confirms amplification of *FocBr1*, has been developed. This dominant DNA marker cannot distinguish the homozygous (*FocBr1/FocBr1*) and heterozygous (*FocBr1/focbr1–1*) alleles (**Table 1**). The SSR marker (SSR687int), which locates close to *FocBr1*, was identified, and we have confirmed this DNA marker can identify the heterozygous alleles in some lines (**Figure 1**). However, as there were several lines showing not identical to genotype information with resistance phenotypes by inoculation test, the genotypes determined by this DNA marker (SSR687int) and disease resistance by inoculation test must be confirmed before applying the DNA marker selection. To shorten the time required for PCR and to allow simultaneous determination of two dominant DNA markers of Bra012688 and Bra012689 (multiplex), the new DNA marker sets (YR688s and YR689s) were developed (**Table 1**).

In *B. oleracea*, three different susceptible alleles (*focbo1–1*, *focbo1–2*, and *focbo1–3*) were found [36]. As DNA marker sets covering these three susceptible alleles have been developed [36], it is necessary to select a DNA marker suitable for lines and will be available for breeder to use these primer sets (**Table 2**).

Primer sequence			PCR condition	R	S	Ref.
Bra012688 (<i>FocBr1</i>)						
Bra012688m	F	AGTCGCTTGGAAGTCTGAGG	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min.	A	NA	[32, 33]
	R	GAGCTAACCAACTATACATTGAACC				
YR688s	F	CTCCATCTGAGGATGGAAGTTGTACAAGCTCGGA	1 cycle of 94 °C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s and 68 °C for 30s, and final extension at 68 °C for 2 min. This primer set is used with YR689s for multiplex PCR.	A	NA	
	R	GCTCCGAATTCTGAATTGGTGAATATCGCATACGAG				
SSR687int	F	CGTCAAAACCCTTTTGCCTA	1 cycle of 94 °C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 30s, and final extension at 72 °C for 2 min. This marker is co-dominant DNA marker	LB	SB	
	R	CAAACGCTCGGTCCTGAAAT				
Bra012689						
Bra012689m	F	GCATCAAGGCAAAAATGTCA	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min.	A	NA	[32, 33]
	R	CATTATAGTAGAACCCAAGTTGATCC				
YR689s	F	CCACTCAGATGTCGTTGAGAAGTCTGATACCATCG	1 cycle of 94 °C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s and 68 °C for 30s, and final extension at 68 °C for 2 min. This primer set is used with YR688s for multiplex PCR	A	NA	
	R	AGGAGACGACGACTGATCCACAAAGTGTGTATC				
<i>R, resistance; S, susceptible; A, amplification of PCR product; NA, no amplification of PCR product; LB, large sized band; SB, small sized band.</i>						

Table 1.
DNA marker for predicting fusarium wilt resistance in Brassica rapa.

Figure 1.

Determining of *Fusarium* wilt resistance by DNA marker. A dominant DNA marker (Bra012688m) and co-dominant DNA marker (SSR687int) are used. Lane 3 and 4 show the heterozygosity of FocBr1 and focbr1-1 alleles.

3. DNA marker selection for breeding clubroot disease resistant cultivars in Brassica vegetables

Clubroot is also one of the most devastating diseases in Brassica vegetables and spreads almost all over the world [39]. Clubroot disease is caused by an obligate plant pathogen *Plasmodiophora brassicae*, which has distinct pathotypes or physiological races over the world [40, 41]. Plants infected by *P. brassicae* form clubs on roots, which interfere with the host plant's water and nutrients uptake. This interference leads to leaf yellowing, wilting, stunted growth, and death of the host plants.

3.1 Traditional management

Clubroot is quite difficult to control completely by the traditional methods due to the long survival spores of the *P. brassicae* in soil, their pattern of life cycle, and their pathotype specific infection, so that *P. brassicae* ultimately causes a broad diversity of virulence [3]. However, some traditional management system can control clubroot disease in some extent. Crop rotation with non-cruciferous plants can reduce the infestation of *P. brassicae* [18, 42], but cannot eliminate the *P. brassicae* completely [43, 44]. It is recommended not to grow any cruciferous plants on the infested site at least five to seven years.

Some biocontrol agents against *P. brassicae* such as *Bacillus subtilis*, *Streptomyces griseorube*, etc. are able to reduce the severity of clubroot infection [45, 46]. Soil sterilants like chloropicrin, diazomet, methyl, or ethylene dibromide etc. are effective to control clubroot [47]. Application of fungicides fluazinam and cyazofamid can effectively reduce the viability of resting *P. brassicae* spores and prevent infection [47]. However, the real fact is that these chemicals are not commercially approved for clubroot management since a long ago [47]. Integrated application of cultural or physical, chemical, and biocontrol agents can also be practiced for the more efficient management of *P. brassicae* [47, 48].

3.2 Isolation of resistance genes

In *B. rapa*, clubroot resistance is controlled by major dominant genes and pathotypes specific [3]. About 20 clubroot resistance loci have been identified, and highest numbers of clubroot resistance genes were found in chromosome A03 [3]. Two clubroot resistance genes (*CRA/b* and *Crr1a*) have been cloned and both genes encode a TIR-NB-LRR class R protein [49–51]. Recently, new clubroot resistance loci were found in a locus close to *Crr1a* in chromosome A08. A clubroot resistance locus, covering *CRs* gene, has been identified, and Bra020876 and Bra020918 have been identified as candidates of the *R* gene. Another clubroot resistance locus, covering

		Primer sequence	PCR condition	R	S	Ref.
Fusa-6	F	TGATGCAAGTGTGGTGACAA	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min After PCR, <i>Hind</i> III digestion <i>FocBo1</i> vs. <i>focbo1-1</i>	D	ND	[61]
	R	CAATCGCTTCTTTGCTTCTCC				
Fusa-4	F	ATCATGGGATCGAGAGAAGCCGCCC	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min After PCR, <i>Eco</i> RI digestion <i>FocBo1</i> vs. <i>focbo1-1</i>	ND	D	[61]
	R	TAGCTTCATGCCATAGTCGTCCTGG				
#1	F	AGATTGTGCAATTAAACGCGACG	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min After PCR, <i>Eco</i> RI digestion <i>FocBo1</i> vs. <i>focbo1-1</i>	ND	D	[36]
	R	CATCCTCAGATTCCAAGCACAAAC				
#2	F	GAAGTTGGGTAAAGAAATTGTTCTGTGC	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min <i>FocBo1</i> vs. <i>focbo1-2</i>	SB	LB	[36]
	R	ATCCCAAGTTGATATCAGTAGGAAGAG				
#3	F	AATGGTTGCTCAATGAGAAGTATGC	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min After PCR, <i>Eco</i> RI d-caps marker <i>FocBo1</i> vs. <i>focbo1-3</i>	ND	D	[36]
	R	GCCTCTGAAAGATCTGGAAAAAGAA				
<i>R, resistance; S, susceptible; D, PCR products are digested; ND, PCR products are not digested; LB, large sized band; SB, small sized band.</i>						

Table 2.
DNA marker for predicting fusarium wilt resistance in *Brassica oleracea*.

Rcr9 gene, was also identified in chromosome A08, and Bra020936 has been identified as a candidate gene [52]. Two clubroot resistance loci, *Rcr3* and *Rcr9*^{wa}, have been mapped in chromosome A08 being 1.17 Mb apart each other. Three genes, Bra020951, Bra020974, and Bra020979, have been identified as candidates of the *Rcr3* gene, and three genes related to immune-system-process (Bra020827, Bra020828, Bra020814) have been identified as candidates of the *Rcr9*^{wa} gene [53]. A clubroot resistance locus, covering *PbBrA08*^{Banglim} gene, was also detected on chromosome A08, where is near to *Crr1*, *CRs*, and *Rcr9* [54]. These reports suggest that they are not allelic, thus chromosome A08 covering these genes has an *R* gene cluster.

In *B. oleracea*, clubroot resistance is quantitative, and QTLs have been identified. Effect of each QTL is weak, and little progress in isolation of *R* gene or fine mapping of *R* gene in *B. oleracea* [3]. It clarifies resistant mechanism of clubroot in *B. oleracea* is polygenic nature where multiple clubroot loci combinedly responsible to the clubroot resistance [55].

3.3 DNA marker selection system

A breeding for clubroot resistance is much more complex compared with Fusarium wilt resistance due to the complexity of plant–pathogen interactions. A number of clubroot resistance locus has been identified by the different research groups in *B. rapa*, and this variation is due to the pathotype specific pathogenicity of *P. brassicae* [2, 3]. As *CRA/CRb* and *Crr1a* have been isolated, DNA markers in these genes have been developed. A dominant resistance (*CRaim-T*) and susceptible (*CRaim-Q*) DNA marker set of *CRA* has been developed [49], and co-dominant indel marker (*mCrr1a*) of *Crr1a* has been developed (**Table 3**) [33, 50]. In *B. rapa*, as the other clubroot resistance genes have not been isolated, linkage DNA markers are developed (**Table 3**). Some clubroot resistant cultivars in Chinese cabbage have been produced by introducing a single gene for clubroot resistance from European turnip. However, there is a problem that the loss of resistance by the presences of multiple pathotypes of *P. brassicae* or arising new pathotypes has been found [56, 57]. Thus, the accumulation of multiple genes of clubroot resistance could make small risks to the breakdown of resistance [58, 59]. The introduction of DNA marker selection is essential for the simultaneous selection of multiple clubroot resistance genes. Furthermore, high-throughput genotyping system such as multiplex PCR could be useful. We have developed the multiplex DNA marker selection system (**Figure 2**). Indeed, the accumulation of three major clubroot resistance genes (*CRA/CRb*, *CRk*, and *CRc*) by DNA marker selection in Chinese cabbage represented the highly resistance against six isolates of *P. brassicae* [60]. A high clubroot resistant Chinese cabbage cultivar, ‘Akimeki’, was also developed by the accumulation of *Crr1a*, *Crr2*, and *CRA/CRb* genes by DNA marker selection [3].

In *B. oleracea*, it has also been compared by the independent and cumulative incorporation of the clubroot resistance locus to combat various isolates, where one major clubroot resistance gene (*PbBo(Anju)1*) accumulated independently, as well as combined with four minor clubroot resistance genes (*PbBo(Anju)2*, *PbBo(Anju)3*, *Pb-Bo(Anju)4*, *Pb-Bo(GC)1*). Accumulation of five clubroot resistance genes (one major and four minor clubroot resistance genes) represented the highest resistance against six *P. brassicae* isolates. Here, the major QTL, *PbBo(Anju)1*, is a main player for the resistance mechanism against *P. brassicae* and the introgression of other four minor clubroot resistance QTLs boosted up the resistance [55]. As this major clubroot resistance gene acts as repressive, heterozygous of this gene shows susceptibility to *P. brassicae*. Previously, we have tested using linkage DNA marker of *PbBo(Anju)1* in 35 cabbage F₁ cultivars in Japan, and 12 cultivars (34%) have homozygous of *PbBo(Anju)1* allele [61], suggesting that about 60%

Primer sequence			PCR condition	R	S	Ref.
CRa/CRb						
CRaim-T	F	TATATTAATGATAAAGCAGAAGAAGAAA	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min.	A	NA	[33, 49]
	R	AATGCGACTGAGAAAAGTTGTAG				
Craim-Q	F	TGAAGAATGCGGGCTACGTCCTCTGAAATC				
	R	GAAGTAGATGAACGTGTTTATTTTAGAAA				
CRbzhang						
TCR108	F	CGGATATTCGATCTGTGTTCA	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min.	A	NA	[33, 62]
	R	AAAATGTATGTGTTTATGTGTTTCTGG				
Crr1a						
mCrr1a	F	CGATGACATGTCTGCCTTCT	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 58 °C for 30s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 3 min.	SB	LB	[33]
	R	TCTGAGATTCAACCGCTTCA				
CRc						
B50-C9	F	GATTCAATGCATTTCTCTCGAT	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1.5 min, and 72 °C for 2 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 7 min.	A	NA	[60]
B50	R	CGTATTATATCTCTTTCTCCATCCC				
B50-6R	F	AATGCATTTTCGCTCAACC				
B50	R	CGTATTATATCTCTTTCTCCATCCC				
CRk						
HC688-4	F	TCTCTGTATTGCGTTGACTG	1 cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 1.5 min, and 72 °C for 2 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 7 min.	A	NA	[60]
HC688-6	R	ATATGTTGAAGCCTATGTCT				
HC688-4	F	TCTCTGTATTGCGTTGACTG				
HC688-7	R	AAATATATGTGAAGTCTTATGATC				
Crr2						

Primer sequence			PCR condition	R	S	Ref.
BRMS-096	F	AGTCGAGATCTCGTTCGTGTCTCCC	1 cycle of 94 °C for 1 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 40 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 4 min.	LB	SB	[63]
	R	TGAAGAAGGATTGAAGCTGTTGTTG				
<i>Crr3</i>						
OPC11-2S	F	GTAAC TTGGTACAGAACAGCATAG	1 cycle of 94 °C for 30s, 45 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 40 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 7 min.	LB	SB	[64, 65]
	R	ACTTGTCTAATGAATGATGATGG				
<i>R, resistance; S, susceptible; A, amplification of PCR product; NA, no amplification of PCR product; LB, large sized band; SB, small sized band.</i>						

Table 3.
DNA marker for predicting clubroot resistance in *Brassica rapa*.

Figure 2.

Determining of clubroot resistance by DNA marker. A dominant DNA marker (TCR108) or co-dominant DNA marker (OPC11-2S) is used in left or middle panel, respectively. In right panel, two primer sets (TCR108⁺ OPC11-2S) were used for the simultaneous selection of multiple clubroot resistance genes.

of cabbage cultivars could be susceptible to *P. brassicae*. One reason for clubroot resistance breeding in *B. oleracea* being behind in *B. rapa* is due to the theoretically impossible to introduce recessive resistance gene by backcrossing with inoculation test. However, DNA marker selection can overcome this problem as co-dominant DNA marker can distinguish the heterozygosity and homozygosity of *PbBo*(*Anju*)1 allele, suggesting that introduction of DNA marker selection is indispensable in *B. oleracea*. However, the current DNA markers are linkage markers, making it difficult to use them universally. Thus, it will be necessary to develop gene markers based on mutations that cause susceptibility, and this will require the isolation of clubroot resistance genes in *B. oleracea*.

4. Perspective

Both Fusarium wilt and clubroot are the serious disease for Brassica vegetables. Breeders are trying to develop the resistant lines for the both diseases by DNA marker assisted breeding. It has already been successfully developed Fusarium wilt and clubroot resistant lines. However, a Fusarium wilt resistant line can be infested by the clubroot or vice versa, while the clubroot has the virulence complexity. It is quite difficult to inoculate the multiple pathogens/races in an individual plant, while resistant breeding independently for each disease will make a further issue. DNA marker-based selection will enable us to overcome the mentioned issue. It has already found an association between a Fusarium wilt resistance allele and clubroot susceptible allele in *B. napus*, but their recombination was also reported [66]. It is necessary to identify the possible linkage between the genes responsible for the Fusarium wilt and clubroot diseases in Brassica vegetables. A Fusarium wilt resistance gene (*FocBr1*) is located on the region covering CR genes (*CRa/CRb*, *Rcr1*, *Crr3*, and *CRk*) with a physical distance approximately 2 Mb in chromosome A03 [3]. Recombination of two genes has been found [33], thus we can accumulate Fusarium and clubroot resistant alleles. In *B. oleracea*, *FocBo1* is close to a minor clubroot QTL in chromosome C06, but they are not closely linked each other [35, 55, 61, 67]. A linkage between dissimilar resistance loci can allow to inherit the resistance genes both for Fusarium wilt and clubroot, which can lead us for the development of resistant cultivars for both diseases.

Acknowledgements

This chapter includes results supported by grants from Project of the NARO Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution (Research program on development of innovation technology).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

Mst Arjina Akter^{1,2}, Hasan Mehraj^{1*}, Takeru Itabashi³, Tomoe Shindo³, Masaaki Osaka³, Ayasha Akter^{1,4}, Naomi Miyaji¹, Naoki Chiba³, Junji Miyazaki⁵ and Ryo Fujimoto^{1*}

1 Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan

2 Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

3 Miyagi Prefectural Agriculture and Horticulture Research Center, Natori, Japan

4 Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

5 Agriculture Victoria Research Division, Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions, AgriBioscience, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia

*Address all correspondence to: hmehraj34@stu.kobe-u.ac.jp
and leo@people.kobe-u.ac.jp

IntechOpen

© 2021 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1] Lv H, Miyaji N, Osabe K, Akter A, Mehraj H, Shea DJ, Fujimoto R. The importance of genetic and epigenetic research in the *Brassica* vegetables in the face of climate change. In: Kole C, editor. Genomic Designing of Climate-Smart Vegetable Crops. Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 161-255. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-97415-6_3
- [2] Lv H, Fang Z, Yang L, Zhang Y, Wang Y. An update on the arsenal: Mining resistance genes for disease management of Brassica crops in the genomic era. Horticulture Research. 2020;7:34. DOI: 10.1038/s41438-020-0257-9
- [3] Mehraj H, Akter A, Miyaji N, Miyazaki J, Shea DJ, Fujimoto R, Doullah MA. Genetics of clubroot and Fusarium wilt disease resistance in Brassica vegetables: The application of marker assisted breeding for disease resistance. Plants. 2020;9:726. DOI: 10.3390/plants9060726
- [4] Shlevin E, Mahrer Y, Katan J. Effect of moisture on thermal inactivation of soilborne pathogens under structural solarization. Phytopathology. 2004;94:132-137. DOI: 10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.2.132
- [5] Armstrong GM, Armstrong JK. Formae speciales and races of *Fusarium oxysporum* causing wilt diseases. In: Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, editors. Fusarium: Diseases, Biology and Taxonomy. University Park, Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania State University Press; 1981. p. 391-399.
- [6] Lievens B, Houterman PM, Rep M. Effector gene screening allows unambiguous identification of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* races and discrimination from other formae speciales. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 2009;300:201-215. DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01783.x
- [7] MacHardy WE, Beckman CH. Vascular wilt Fusaria: Infection and pathogenesis. In: Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, editors. Fusarium: Disease, Biology, and Taxonomy. University Park, Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania State University Press; 1981. p. 365-390.
- [8] Fourie G, Steenkamp ET, Ploetz RC, Gordon TR, Viljoen A. Current status of the taxonomic position of *Fusarium oxysporum* formae specialis *cubense* within the *Fusarium oxysporum* complex. Infection, Genetics and Evolution. 2011;11:533-542. DOI: 10.1016/j.meegid.2011.01.012
- [9] Dean R, Van Kan JAL, Pretorius ZA, Hammond-Kosack KE, Di Pietro A, Spanu PD, Rudd JJ, Dickman M, Kahmann R, Ellis J, Foster GD. The top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Molecular Plant Pathology. 2012;13:414-430. DOI: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00783.x
- [10] Khan N, Maymon M, Hirsch AM. Combating *Fusarium* infection using *Bacillus*-based antimicrobials. Microorganisms. 2017;5:75. DOI: 10.3390/microorganisms5040075
- [11] Sun Y, Wang M, Li Y, Gu Z, Ling N, Shen Q, Guo S. Wilted cucumber plants infected by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum* do not suffer from water shortage. Annals of Botany. 2017;120:427-436. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcx065
- [12] de Lamo FJ, Takken FLW. Biocontrol by *Fusarium oxysporum* using endophyte-mediated resistance. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2020;11:37. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00037
- [13] Edel-Hermann V, Lecomte C. Current status of *Fusarium oxysporum* formae speciales and races.

- Phytopathology. 2019;109:512-530. DOI: 10.1094/PHYTO-08-18-0320-RVW
- [14] Smith EF. The fungus infection of agricultural soils in the United States. Scientific American Supplementary. 1899;48:19981-19982. DOI: 10.1038/scientificamerican11181899-19981supp
- [15] Gillman JC. Cabbage yellows and the relation of temperature to its occurrence. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden. 1916;3:25-84. DOI: 10.2307/2990072
- [16] Xing M, Lv H, Ma J, Xu D, Li H, Yang L, Kang J, Wang X, Fang Z. Transcriptome profiling of resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* in cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) roots. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0148048. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148048
- [17] Gaetán SA. Occurrence of *Fusarium* wilt on canola caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* in Argentina. Plant Disease. 2005;89:432-432. DOI: 10.1094/PD-89-0432C
- [18] Shafique HA, Sultana V. Ehteshamul-Haque S, Athar M. Management of soil-borne diseases of organic vegetables. Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2016;56:221-230. DOI: 10.1515/jppr-2016-0043
- [19] Ramirez-Villapudua J, Munnecke DE. Control of cabbage yellow (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans*) by solar heating of field soils amended with dry cabbage residues. Plant Disease. 1987;71:217-221. DOI: 10.1094/PD-71-0217
- [20] Afek U, Orenstein J. Disinfecting potato tubers using steam treatments. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology. 2002;24:36-39. DOI: 10.1080/07060660109506968
- [21] Panth M, Hassler SC, Baysal-Gurel F. Methods for management of soilborne diseases in crop production. Agriculture. 2020;10:16. DOI: 10.3390/agriculture10010016
- [22] Song W, Zhou L, Yang C, Cao X, Zhang L, Liu X. Tomato Fusarium wilt and its chemical control strategies in a hydroponic system. Crop Protection. 2004;23:243-247. DOI: 10.1016/j.cropro.2003.08.007
- [23] Uesugi Y. Fungicide Classes: Chemistry, Uses and Mode of Action. In: Hutson D, Miyamoto J, editors. Fungicidal activity: Chemical and biological approaches to plant protection. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1998. p. 23-56.
- [24] Ma YT, Fan HF, Gao YQ, Li H, Zhang AL, Gao JM. Natural products as sources of new fungicides (I): synthesis and antifungal activity of acetophenone derivatives against phytopathogenic fungi. Chemical Biology & Drug Design. 2013;81:545-552. DOI: 10.1111/cbdd.12064
- [25] Colla P, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML. A review and critical analysis of the European situation of soilborne disease management in the vegetable sector. Phytoparasitica. 2012;40:515-523. DOI: 10.1007/s12600-012-0252-2
- [26] Colla P, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML. Consequences of European pesticide policies enforcement in soil disinfestation sector. Acta Horticulturae. 2014;1044:363-366. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1044.48
- [27] Arie T. *Fusarium* diseases of cultivated plants, control, diagnosis, and molecular and genetic studies. Journal of Pesticide Science. 2019;44:275-281. DOI: 10.1584/jpestics.J19-03
- [28] Gilardi G, Demarchi S, Moizio M, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A. Disease management strategies against *Fusarium* wilt of basil and rocket by combining amendments and soil

- solarization. *Acta Horticulturae*. 2014;1044:319-324. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1044.41
- [29] Meyers BC, Kozik A, Griego A, Kuang H, Michelmore RW. Genome-wide analysis of NBS-LRR-encoding genes in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell*. 2003;15:809-834. DOI: 10.1105/tpc.009308
- [30] Joshi RK, Nayak S. Functional characterization and signal transduction ability of nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat resistance genes in plants. *Genetics and Molecular Research*. 2011;10:2637-2652. DOI: 10.4238/2011.October.25.10
- [31] Marone D, Russo MA, Laidò G, De Leonardis AM, Mastrangelo AM. Plant nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) genes: active guardians in host defense responses. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2013;14:7302-7326. DOI: 10.3390/ijms14047302
- [32] Shimizu M, Fujimoto R, Ying H, Pu ZJ, Ebe Y, Kawanabe T, Saeki N, Taylor JM, Kaji M, Dennis ES, Okazaki K. Identification of candidate genes for fusarium yellows resistance in Chinese cabbage by differential expression analysis. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 2014;85:247-257. DOI: 10.1007/s11103-014-0182-0
- [33] Kawamura K, Kawanabe T, Shimizu M, Nagano AJ, Saeki N, Okazaki K, Kaji M, Dennis ES, Osabe K, Fujimoto R. Genetic characterization of inbred lines of Chinese cabbage by DNA markers; towards the application of DNA markers to breeding of F₁ hybrid cultivars. *Data in Brief*. 2016;6:229-237. DOI: 10.1016/j.dib.2015.11.058
- [34] Lv H, Fang Z, Yang L, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Liu Y, Zhuang M, Yang Y, Xie B, Liu B, Liu J, Kang J, Wang X. Mapping and analysis of a novel candidate Fusarium wilt resistance gene *FOC1* in *Brassica oleracea*. *BMC Genomics*. 2014;15:1094. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-1094
- [35] Shimizu M, Pu ZJ, Kawanabe T, Kitashiba H, Matsumoto S, Ebe Y, Sano M, Funaki E, Fujimoto R, Okazaki K. Map-based cloning of a candidate gene conferring Fusarium yellows resistance in *Brassica oleracea*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 2015;128:119-130. DOI: 10.1007/s00122-014-2416-6
- [36] Sato M, Shimizu M, Shea DJ, Hoque M, Kawanabe T, Miyaji N, Fujimoto R, Fukai E, Okazaki K. Allele specific DNA marker for fusarium resistance gene *FocBo1* in *Brassica oleracea*. *Breeding Science*. 2019;69:308-315. DOI: 10.1270/jsbbs.18156
- [37] Collard BYC, Mackill DJ. Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*. 2008;363:557-572. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2007.2170
- [38] Hirani AH, Gao F, Liu J, Fu G, Wu C, Yuan Y, Hou J, Duncan R, Li G. Transferring clubroot resistance from Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa*) to canola (*B. napus*). *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2016;38:82-90. DOI: 10.1080/07060661.2016.1141799
- [39] Hirani AH, Li G. Understanding the genetics of clubroot resistance for effectively controlling this disease in Brassica species. In: Hany El-Shemy, editor. *Plants for the Future*. IntechOpen; 2015. DOI: 10.5772/60936.
- [40] Kageyama K, Asano T. Life cycle of *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*. 2009;28:203-211. DOI: 10.1007/s00344-009-9101-z
- [41] Tommerup IC, Ingram DS. The life-cycle of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woron. In *Brassica* tissue cultures and in intact roots. *New Phytologist*.

1971;70:327-332. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1971.tb02531.x

[42] Wallenhammar AC, Johnsson L, Gerhardson B. Agronomic performance of partly clubroot-resistant spring oilseed turnip rape lines. *Journal of Phytopathology*. 2000;148:495-499. DOI: 10.1046/j.1439-0434.2000.00536.x

[43] Faggian R, Strelkov SE. Detection and Measurement of *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*. 2009;28:282-288. DOI: 10.1007/s00344-009-9092-9

[44] Daniel C, Hommes M, Koller M. Plant protection in organic production of *Brassica* vegetables and oilseed rape. *IOBC-WPRS Bulletin*. 2016;118:110-115.

[45] Peng G, McGregor L, Lahlali R, Gossen BD, Hwang SF, Adhikari KK, Strelkov SE, McDonald MR. Potential biological control of clubroot on canola and crucifer vegetable crops. *Plant Pathology*. 2011;60:566-574. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02400.x

[46] Wang J, Huang Y, Lin S, Liu F, Song Q, Peng Y, Zhao L. A strain of *Streptomyces griseoruber* isolated from rhizospheric soil of Chinese cabbage as antagonist to *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *Annals of Microbiology*. 2012;62:247-253. DOI: 10.1007/s13213-011-0253-2

[47] Donald C, Porter I. Integrated control of clubroot. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*. 2009;28:289-303. DOI: 10.1007/s00344-009-9094-7

[48] Donald EC, Porter IJ, Faggian R, Lancaster RA. An integrated approach to the control of clubroot in vegetable Brassica crops. *Acta Horticulturae*. 2006;706:283-300. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2006.706.33

[49] Ueno H, Matsumoto E, Aruga D, Kitagawa S, Matsumura H, Hayashida N. Molecular characterization of the *CRA* gene conferring clubroot resistance in *Brassica rapa*. *Plant Molecular*

Biology. 2012;80:621-629. DOI: 10.1007/s11103-012-9971-5

[50] Hatakeyama K, Suwabe K, Tomita RN, Kato T, Nunome T, Fukuoka H, Matsumoto S. Identification and characterization of *Crr1a*, a gene for resistance to clubroot disease (*Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woronin) in *Brassica rapa* L. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e54745. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0054745

[51] Hatakeyama K, Niwa T, Kato T, Ohara T, Kakizaki T, Matsumoto S. The tandem repeated organization of NB-LRR genes in the clubroot-resistant *CRb* locus in *Brassica rapa* L. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*. 2017;292:397-405. DOI: 10.1007/s00438-016-1281-1

[52] Laila R, Park JI, Robin AHK, Natarajan S, Vijayakumar H, Shirasawa K, Isobe S, Kim HT, Nou IS. Mapping of a novel clubroot resistance QTL using ddRAD-seq in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L.). *BMC Plant Biology*. 2019;19:13. DOI: 10.1186/s12870-018-1615-8

[53] Karim MM, Dakouri A, Zhang Y, Chen Q, Peng G, Strelkov SE, Gossen BD, Yu F. Two clubroot-resistance genes, *Rcr3* and *Rcr9^{wa}*, mapped in *Brassica rapa* using bulk segregant RNA sequencing. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2020;21:5033. DOI: 10.3390/ijms21145033

[54] Choi SR, Oh SH, Chhapekar SS, Dhandapani V, Lee CY, Rameneni JJ, Ma Y, Choi GJ, Lee SS, Lim YP. Quantitative trait locus mapping of clubroot resistance and *Plasmodiophora brassicae* pathotype Banglim-specific marker development in *Brassica rapa*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2020;21:4157. DOI: 10.3390/ijms21114157

[55] Tomita H, Shimizu M, Doullah MA, Fujimoto R, Okazaki K. Accumulation of quantitative trait loci conferring

broad-spectrum clubroot resistance in *Brassica oleracea*. *Molecular Breeding*. 2013;32:889-900. DOI: 10.1007/s11032-013-9918-9

[56] Kuginuki Y, Yoshikawa H, Hirai M. Variation in virulence of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in Japan tested with clubroot-resistant cultivars of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. ssp. *pekinensis*). *European Journal of Plant Pathology*. 1999;105:327-332. DOI: 10.1023/A:1008705413127

[57] Hatakeyama K, Fujimura M, Ishida M, Suzuki T. New classification method for *Plasmodiophora brassicae* field isolates in Japan based on resistance of F₁ cultivars of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L.) to clubroot. *Breeding Science*. 2004;54:197-201. DOI: 10.1270/jsbbs.54.197

[58] Neik TX, Barbetti MJ, Batley J. Current status and challenges in identifying disease resistance genes in *Brassica napus*. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2017;8:1788. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01788

[59] Strelkov SE, Hwang SF, Manolii VP, Cao T, Fredua-Agyeman R, Harding MW, Peng G, Gossen BD, McDonald MR, Feindel D. Virulence and pathotype classification of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* populations collected from clubroot resistant canola (*Brassica napus*) in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2018;40:284-298. DOI: 10.1080/07060661.2018.1459851

[60] Matsumoto E, Ueno H, Aruga D, Sakamoto K, Hayashida N. Accumulation of three clubroot resistance genes through marker-assisted selection in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* spp. *pekinensis*). *Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science*. 2012;81:184-190. DOI: 10.2503/jjshs1.81.184

[61] Kawamura K, Shimizu M, Kawanabe T, Pu Z, Kodama T,

Kaji M, Osabe K, Fujimoto R, Okazaki K. Assessment of DNA markers for seed contamination testing and selection of disease resistance in cabbage. *Euphytica*. 2017;213:28. DOI: 10.1007/s10681-016-1821-0

[62] Zhang T, Zhao Z, Zhang C, Pang W, Choi SR, Lim YP, Piao Z. Fine genetic and physical mapping of the *CRb* gene conferring resistance to clubroot disease in *Brassica rapa*. *Molecular Breeding*. 2014;34:1173-1183. DOI: 10.1007/s11032-014-0108-1

[63] Suwabe K, Tsukazaki H, Iketani H, Hatakeyama K, Fujimura M, Nunome T, Fukuoka H, Matsumoto S, Hirai M. Identification of two loci for resistance to clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woronin) in *Brassica rapa* L. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 2003;107:997-1002. DOI: 10.1007/s00122-003-1309-x

[64] Saito M, Kubo N, Matsumoto S, Suwabe K, Tsukada M, Hirai M. Fine mapping of the clubroot resistance gene, *Crr3*, in *Brassica rapa*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 2006;114:81-91. DOI: 10.1007/s00122-006-0412-1

[65] Hirai M, Harada T, Kubo N, Tsukada M, Suwabe K, Matsumoto S. A novel locus for clubroot resistance in *Brassica rapa* and its linkage markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 2004;108:639-643. DOI 10.1007/s00122-003-1475-x

[66] Rahman H, Franke C. Association of fusarium wilt susceptibility with clubroot resistance derived from winter *Brassica napus* L. 'Mendel'. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2019;41: 60-64. DOI: 10.1080/07060661.2018.1505780

[67] Pu ZJ, Shimizu M, Zhang YJ, Nagaoka T, Hayashi T, Hori H, Matsumoto S, Fujimoto R, Okazaki K. Genetic mapping of a fusarium wilt resistance gene in *Brassica oleracea*. *Molecular Breeding*. 2012;30:809-818. DOI: 10.1007/s11032-011-9665-8