We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Consolidation: Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation in Acute Leukemia

Fatma Keklik Karadağ, Fahri Şahin and Güray Saydam

Abstract

The goal of complete remission (CR) in acute leukemias could be achieved with intensive induction chemotherapy however patients need post remission consolidation strategies such as high-dose chemotherapy, or autologous (ASCT) or allogeneic (allo-SCT) hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for durable response. However, Allo-SCT is getting more attention in last decades because of improvements of conditioning regimens and graft versus host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis strategies and alternatively available donor sources, it is not suitable for all leukemia patients. The patients who would benefit from Allo-SCT or ASCT could be defined more easily by using risk stratification systems and minimal residual disease (MRD) monitoring. ASCT is considered a treatment option even if its use is declining in the world. Herein, we tried to summarize the studies that report the outcomes of ASCT in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute, lymphoblastic leukemia and describe the patients who would be good candidate for ASCT.

Keywords: autologous stem cell, transplantation, acute leukemia, adult, lymphoblastic leukemia, myeloid leukemia

1. Introduction

Standard chemotherapy regimens are the first step for the treatment of acute leukemias. However, the complete remission could be achieved with intensive chemotherapy, durable remission is not common and patients will relapse within months unless additional therapy is given. There is an extensive debate about post remission therapy. There is no consensus about intensive chemotherapy as a consolidation and/or stem cell transplantation (SCT) after first remission (CR1). Allogeneic stem cell transplantation (Allo-SCT) for acute leukemias has been increased due to the developments of allo-SCT techniques. Availability of alternative donor sources (including haploidentical, matched unrelated donors and umbilical cord blood), improvements of graft versus host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis strategies and reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens are developed in last decades and Allo-SCT has been used widely all over the world. However, lower incidence of relapse rates after allo-SCT because of graft versus leukemia effect makes allo-SCT more popular, high morbidity rates due to chronic GVHD, secondary graft failure and high treatment related mortality (TRM) rates in the patients who underwent Allo-SCT should be considered and it is not recommended for the patients with good risk. Allo-SCT is not available for elderly patients and

Estimated Annual Number of AML and ALL HCT Recipients in the US by Transplant Type

Figure 1. The rates of autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) and allogeneic stem cell transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)*. (*Data presented in table is kindly provided by CIBMTR).

the patients who do not have HLA-matched related or unrelated donor. Autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) is an alternative and valuable treatment option with acceptable long term outcomes and lower TRM rates for the patients with low and intermediate risk after CR1 and the patients who are not eligible for Allo-SCT. Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplantation Research (CIBMTR) showed the rates of ASCT and Allo-SCT in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in the USA (**Figure 1**).

2. ASCT for acute myeloid leukemia

More than half of AML patients achieved complete remission after standard induction therapy but 60–70% of patients will relapse without consolidation therapy. ASCT, an effective therapy for AML was started to use in 1980’s for consolidation in AML patients [1–5]. Since then, it is a challenge to define the patients who would benefit from ASCT. Bone marrow (BM) initially preferred source of stem cells for ASCT. After hematopoietic growth factors provided the possibility to use peripheral blood stem cells (PBSC) grafts after intensive chemotherapy courses since 1994, the treatment compliance of ASCT has improved and the treatment-related mortality (TRM) has been reduced due to accelerated hematopoietic reconstitution [6]. Mobilized PBSCs have replaced bone marrow because of the main advantages of PBSCs as a stem cell source are markedly faster neutrophil and platelet recovery times than bone marrow, with consequently reduced infection, bleeding and hospitalization risks. The PBSC target dose is considered an amount of CD34+ cells $\geq 2 \times 10^6$ /kg body weight. There is numerous clinical studies compare ASCT with chemotherapy or Allo-SCT in AML patients according to cytogenetic risk groups and CR1 or second remission (CR2). National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and European leukemia network (ELN) divided patients with AML into three risk status groups: good/favorable, intermediate, and poor/adverse risk by genetic abnormality in 2017 (**Table 1**) [7]. The ‘favorable’ group includes patients with either inv.(16), t(16;16), t(8; 21), mutated NPM1 without FLT3

Risk category	Cytogenetic abnormality
Favorable	t(8;21)(q22;q22): <i>RUNX1-RUNX1T1</i>
	inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22): <i>CBFB-MYH11</i>
	Mutated <i>NPM1</i> without <i>FLT3</i> -ITD or with <i>FLT3</i> -ITD ^{low}
	Biallelic mutated <i>CEBPA</i>
Intermediate	Mutated <i>NPM1</i> and <i>FLT3</i> -ITD ^{high}
	Wild type <i>NPM1</i> without <i>FLT3</i> -ITD or with <i>FLT3</i> -ITD ^{low}
	t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3); <i>MLLT3-KMT2A</i>
	Cytogenetic abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse
Adverse	t(6;9)(p23;q34): <i>DEK-NUP214</i>
	t(v;11)(v;q23): <i>KMT2A</i> rearranged
	t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2); <i>BCR-ABL1</i>
	–7
	Complex karyotype
	Monosomal karyotype
	Wild type <i>NPM1</i> and <i>FLT3</i> -ITD ^{high}
	Mutated <i>RUNX1</i>
	Mutated <i>ASXL1</i>

Table 1.
Genetic risk stratification according to the ELN-2017.

ITD (internal tandem duplications) (*NPM*+/*FLT3* ITD–) or mutated *CEBPA*. An ‘adverse’ group consists of patients with inv. (3) or t (3;3), t(6;9), t(v;11) either –5 or del (5q), –7, abn (17p) or ≥3 cytogenetic abnormalities not including translocations (complex karyotype). An intermediate-1 group comprises patients with a normal karyotype (NK) and with the other genotypic combinations of *NPM1* and *FLT3* ITD (+/+, –/–, –/+) and an intermediate-2 group consists of patients with t (9;11) and cytogenetic abnormalities not noted above. Good-risk AML patients qualify for chemotherapeutic consolidation, but recent reports suggested favorable outcome for good-risk patients with ASCT, which provides a possible option in that category of patients [8, 9]. The survival outcomes of patients with good-risk or intermediate-risk AML who underwent ASCT as postremission therapy were favorable—probably due to the use of PBSC rather than instead of BM, which may decrease the risk of transplant-related complications—but that the survival outcomes of similarly treated poor-risk AML patients were not.

Gruppo Italiano Trapianto di Midollo Osseo (GITMO) analyzed 809 AML patients who were autografted in CR1 retrospectively [10]. Two year leukemia free survival (LFS) and Overall Survival (OS) rates were found 51% and 65%, respectively and it was reported that survival was significantly influenced by cytogenetic risk. Patients with good risk group had remarkable better outcomes in this study. The 2 year cumulative incidence of relapse was higher in poor risk patients (28 ± 7% for good risk group vs. 48 ± 8% for poor risk group, *p* < 0,0002). Patients with *CEBPA* double mutated (*CEBPA*adm) and nucleophosmin-1 (*NPM*) mutated AML have better outcome with ASCT [9, 11]. It has been already demonstrated that the subset of patients with *NPM1*⁺ mutations without *fms*-related tyrosine kinase 3 gene (*FLT3*) internal tandem duplications (*FLT3*-ITD) derive no survival benefit from allo-SCT [12].

Several historical randomized trials have reported that ASCT can significantly reduce the relapse rates compared with conventional chemotherapy alone. The study performed by the Dutch–Belgian Hemato-Oncology Cooperative Group/Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (HOVON-SAKK) Cooperative Consortium compared the outcomes of ASCT with chemotherapy including 517 patients who were randomly recorded between 1995 and 2006 [1]. Rates of relapse after chemotherapy vs. after ASCT were 70% vs. 58%, respectively ($P = .02$), 5 year follow up and no significant difference in LFS of 29% vs. 38% ($P = .065$). OS did not differ between these two groups and was estimated to be 41% vs. 44%, respectively, at 5 years from randomization. TRM was higher in ASCT group than chemotherapy group (4% vs. 1% respectively).

A meta-analysis which included 11 studies compared survival outcomes of alloSCT from matched sibling donor (MSD) or matched unrelated donor (MUD) versus ASCT in intermediate-risk AML and demonstrated alloSCT from MSDs rather than MUDs was associated with better OS than that with ASCT [13] however recent retrospective trials reported similar survival rates for AML patients who underwent autoSCT and allo-SCT from MSDs and MUDs [3, 14, 15].

The treatment options are not well defined in older patients with leukemia. Higher incidence of AML secondary to previous myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), adverse mutation pattern and karyotype and poor performance status are the reasons of poor outcomes in older AML patients [16–18]. They usually do not have MSD and available regimens are limited due to many of comorbidities especially cardiovascular disease. ASCT may be used in patients up to age 70 years with an acceptable TRM of approximately 8%, which compares favorably to 17% as was observed after RIC alloHSCT.

Several reports from EBMT and CIBMTR showed long-term leukemia free survival (LFS) rates are 45–55% in patients transplanted in CR 1 and 25–35% for those transplanted in CR2 [19–21]. The patients who are not eligible for Allo-SCT ASCT may be an acceptable post-remission therapy in CR1 [14]. Allo-SCT still remains first line treatment for poor risk patients while ASCT is getting attention for good risk and especially intermediate risk patients who have favorable prognostic factors, including MRD negativity after the completion of induction chemotherapy, a WBC count of $<20,000/\mu\text{L}$ at time of the diagnosis, an FAB classification of M1–5, and $\geq 50\%$ MPO positivity. Decision-making might benefit from taking minimal residual disease (MRD) into account [22, 23]. Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) and multiparameter flow cytometry (MFC) are effective techniques for monitoring MRD before and after ASCT in patients with AML, and MRD status pre-ASCT is an independent prognostic factor for both OS and LFS after ASCT [24, 25]. Whereby MRD-negative patients may be consolidated by ASCT and MRD-positive patients may proceed to allo-SCT. ASCT is generating new interest, especially in intermediate-risk patients who became MRD negative upon induction chemotherapy [26].

The traditional conditioning regimens before ASCT that are mostly myeloablative and based on busulfan; combination of busulfan/ cyclophosphamide (BUCY), busulfan/etoposide, cyclophosphamide/Total body irradiation (TBI), Busulfan/high dose melphalan. Different regimens such as modifications of the BCNU, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan (BEAM) regimen, busulfan/etoposide/ cytarabine, TBI/cytarabine/melphalan could be used in different centers. Three large retrospective studies showed that busulfan/high dose melphalan regimen has better outcomes than BUCY [27–29]. Although both oral and intravenous busulfan were used in various regimens, it has become clear that the intravenous administration of busulfan should be preferred because of fewer complications [30]. Favorable long-term LFS after auto-SCT using a high-dose cytarabine-containing regimen has been showed. The most common treatment related complication of ASCT is mucositis

and mucositis are usually more frequent in the patients who were treated with oral busulfan than iv busulfan.

3. ASCT for acute promyelocytic leukemia

Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) accounts 10–15% of AML in adults. It is highly curable disease and remission is achieved in 90% of APL patients after anthracycline-based induction therapy plus ATRA and recently arsenic trioxide (ATO). The combination of ATRA and anthracyclines remains the gold standard for high risk patients. There is not a role for stem cell transplantation in APL in CR1, independently from any initial risk category. ELN suggested that patients who relapsed after ATRA plus chemotherapy should be treated with an ATRA plus ATO based approach as salvage therapy until achievement of MRD negativity. Despite of SCT is accepted treatment for the 10–20% patients who relapsed, the choice of ASCT vs. Allo-SCT remains controversial.

EBMT reviewed 625 APL patients transplanted ASCT or Allo-SCT, lower relapse rates and higher 5 year LFS reported in Allo-SCT group. Although TRM was higher in Allo-SCT patients, Allo-SCT was recommended in CR2 when a sibling donor was available in this study [31]. Holter et al. reported OS was better after ASCT than after chemotherapy and ATO. ASCT was the preferred therapy for patients with CR2 status, and survival outcomes were superior in patients who received ASCT compared with those who received ATO-based consolidation therapy [32]. Besides ASCT is superior than allo-SCT in relapsed APL due to low TRM and durable remission, pre-SCT bone marrow cytogenetic and molecularly evaluation is important. It was recommended allogeneic HCT if the pre-HCT marrow was cytogenetically or molecularly positive [33]. ASCT is less toxic than allo-SCT, and appears equally potent particularly when a negative *PML-RARA* status is achieved before transplantation.

4. ASCT for acute lymphocytic leukemia

ALL is divided into tumors of B cell and T cell lineage and it is the most common cause of leukemia in children however up to 20% of the cases of ALL occur in adults. Despite of the developments of induction chemotherapy regimen, relapse rates and mortality still remain high in this century. Most of studies were designed according to risk stratification and categorized patients into standard, intermediate or poor risk. Poor risk criteria are cytogenetic abnormalities $t(9;22)$, $t(4;11)$, or $t(1;19)$; pro-B-cell immunophenotype; high WBC (i.e., $> 30 \times 10^9/L$ in case of B-ALL; $> 100 \times 10^9/L$ in case of T-cell ALL [T-ALL]) at the time of diagnosis. Although the introduction of more aggressive chemotherapy regimens has reduced the need for allo-HSCT in patients younger than 35 years of age, allo-HSCT remains the standard of care for high-risk patients and relapse after CR1. SCT is still a debate in ALL patients without poor-risk features however Allo-SCT is highly recommended in poor risk ALL patients in CR1. Allo-SCT is not certainly suggested in ALL patients without poor risk to avoid the unnecessary risks of transplantation procedure-related mortality and GVHD to patients, who may be cured with chemotherapy alone and to postpone allo-SCT to an eventual relapse. The standard risk patients rather than the high-risk patients, older patients and the patients who are not eligible for Allo-SCT may be the ones who are most likely to benefit from ASCT in first remission. MRD has emerged as a prognostic marker that can define patients to high-risk, making them candidates for Allo-SCT.

Several studies have been published about the experience of ASCT in ALL. The results of some recent trials are summarized in **Table 2**. Data from three prospective trials of the French group have failed to demonstrate any significant superiority of ASCT over chemotherapy, even in a subset of high-risk patients [39–41]. Conversely, it has been reported that ASCT may be an effective treatment for ALL patients who experienced an isolated extramedullary relapse. A recent randomized study of 433 adult standard risk ALL patients showed that LFS at 5 years was significantly better in patients who underwent allo-HSCT compared with ASCT (60% vs. 42%, $P = 0.01$). In a large study which is comparing chemotherapy and autologous transplantation in ALL patients, the LFS and OS were found superior for chemotherapy group [34]. In the LALA-87 trial, results in standard-risk ALL were similar for Allo-SCT [37] and for chemotherapy or ASCT and then the same group reported no benefit of ASCT for ALL in all risk groups [42].

The Philadelphia chromosome (Ph) translocation (9; 22) is the most common chromosomal abnormality seen in adult patients with ALL. The t(9;22) is observed

Reference	Patient number	Period	Age (years)	Study design	Outcomes
Goldstone et al. 2008 [34]	1929	1993–2006	15–59	Ph- ALL patients divided groups; with donor vs. no donor chemotherapy vs. ASCT group	5-year OS is better in donor group, 53% versus 45% ($P = .01$), and lower the relapse rate in donor group ($P < or = .001$) OS is better in Chemotherapy group than ASCT group (46% [95% CI = 39–53%] vs. 37% [95% CI = 31–44%]; $P = .03$)
Thomas et al. 2004 (LALA94 study) [35]	922	1994–2002	15–55	ASCT vs. chemotherapy	ASCT did not show superiority over chemotherapy in high-risk ALL patients.
Hunault et al. 2003 (GOELAMS) [36]	198	1994–1998	15–59	Allo-SCT vs. ASCT	OS and LFS is better in Allo-SCT (75% vs. 39% $P = .0027$ and 72% vs. 32% $P = .0004$ respectively) relapse rates higher in ASCT
Fiere et al. 1993 [37]	572	1986–1991	15–60	ASCT vs. consolidative chemotherapy	Not significantly benefit of ASCT over chemotherapy
Powles et al. 2002 [38]	77	1984–1998	16–59	All patients underwent ASCT	10-year LFS and OS rates are 50% (95% CI, 38–62%) and 53% (95% CI, 41–65%), respectively

Table 2.
Summaries of studies on autologous stem cell transplantation in ALL.

in 2 to 5% of children with ALL and 30% percent of adults. Historically, Ph-positive ALL (Ph + ALL) was considered a very high-risk subtype and Allo-SCT was highly recommended for all eligible patients. After the introduction of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (first TKI, imatinib; second-generation TKIs such as dasatinib or nilotinib; the third-generation TKI, ponatinib) which could be successfully used both as salvage therapy and upfront in combination with intensive chemotherapy, complete remission is achieved in 90% of Ph + ALL patients [43]. The critical role of MRD prior to ASCT was already confirmed in Ph-negative ALL and may also be important in the Ph + setting [44]. Results of ASCT for Ph + ALL improved markedly in recent years with more than half of patients being alive and leukemia-free at 2 years [43, 45, 46]. The role of biologic response modifiers such as α -interferon (α -IFN and interleukin-2) in Ph + ALL is analyzed and it was reported that combination of α -IFN with maintenance chemotherapy and ASCT improves the outcomes in Ph + ALL [47, 48].

5. Conclusion

According to NCCN guidelines; Patients with good-risk AML are recommended to undergo high-dose cytarabine-based chemotherapy. Patients with poor-risk AML are recommended to undergo allogeneic stem cell transplantation (alloSCT). However, the best post remission therapy for patients with intermediate-risk AML in first complete remission (AML/CR1) is still uncertain. ASCT would be an option in CR1 and MRD negative. ASCT is a kind of standard treatment of CR2 in APL patients. There is no benefit of ASCT in Ph negative ALL patients however ASCT is a therapeutic option for relapsed Ph + ALL. Although the main disadvantages of ASCT are the possibility of contamination of leukemic cells in the stem cell product and the absence of graft-versus-leukemia effect, which lead to a higher relapse rates than that of Allo-SCT, ASCT should be considered a standard therapy in acute leukemia patients who are not eligible Allo-SCT and MRD negative in CR1 and the patients without poor risk.

Author details

Fatma Keklik Karadağ, Fahri Şahin and Güray Saydam*
Ege University, School of Medicine, Hematology, Izmir, Turkey

*Address all correspondence to: guraysaydam@gmail.com

IntechOpen

© 2020 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1] Vellenga E, van Putten W, Ossenkoppele GJ, Verdonck LF, Theobald M, Cornelissen JJ, et al. Autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2011;**118**(23):6037-6042
- [2] Fernandez HF, Sun Z, Litzow MR, Luger SM, Paietta EM, Racevskis J, et al. Autologous transplantation gives encouraging results for young adults with favorable-risk acute myeloid leukemia, but is not improved with gemtuzumab ozogamicin. *Blood*. 2011;**117**(20):5306-5313
- [3] Mizutani M, Hara M, Fujita H, Aoki J, Kanamori H, Ohashi K, et al. Comparable outcomes between autologous and allogeneic transplant for adult acute myeloid leukemia in first CR. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 2016;**51**(5):645-653
- [4] Czerw T, Labopin M, Gorin NC, Giebel S, Blaise D, Meloni G, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with acute myeloid leukemia surviving and free of disease recurrence for at least 2 years after autologous stem cell transplantation: A report from the acute leukemia working Party of the European Society for blood and marrow transplantation. *Cancer*. 2016;**122**(12):1880-1887
- [5] Yanada M, Takami A, Mizuno S, Mori J, Chou T, Usuki K, et al. Autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in adults: 25 years of experience in Japan. *International journal of hematology* 2020;**111**(1):93-102.
- [6] Reiffers J, Labopin M, Sanz M, Korbaling M, Blaise D, De La Rubia J, et al. Autologous blood cell vs marrow transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in complete remission: An EBMT retrospective analysis. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 2000;**25**(11):1115-1119
- [7] Döhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, Amadori S, Appelbaum FR, Büchner T, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. *Blood*. 2017;**129**(4):424-447
- [8] Usuki K, Kurosawa S, Uchida N, Yakushiji K, Waki F, Matsuishi E, et al. Comparison of autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation and chemotherapy as postremission treatment in non-M3 acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission. *Clinical lymphoma, myeloma & leukemia*. 2012;**12**(6):444-451
- [9] Schlenk RF, Taskesen E, van Norden Y, Krauter J, Ganser A, Bullinger L, et al. The value of allogeneic and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in prognostically favorable acute myeloid leukemia with double mutant CEBPA. *Blood*. 2013;**122**(9):1576-1582
- [10] Saraceni F, Bruno B, Lemoli RM, Meloni G, Arcese W, Falda M, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation is still a valid option in good- and intermediate-risk AML: A GITMO survey on 809 patients autografted in first complete remission. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 2017;**52**(1):163-166
- [11] Gorin NC, Labopin M, Meloni G, Pigneux A, Esteve J, Mohamad M, et al. Impact of FLT3 ITD/NPM1 mutation status in adult patients with acute myelocytic leukemia autografted in first remission. *Haematologica*. 2013;**98**(2):e12-e14
- [12] Falini B, Bolli N, Liso A, Martelli MP, Mannucci R, Pileri S, et al. Altered nucleophosmin transport

in acute myeloid leukaemia with mutated NPM1: Molecular basis and clinical implications. *Leukemia*. 2009;**23**(10):1731-1743

[13] Li Z, Liu Y, Wang Q, Chen L, Ma L, Hao S. Autologous stem cell transplantation is a viable postremission therapy for intermediate-risk acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission in the absence of a matched identical sibling: A meta-analysis. *Acta haematologica*. 2019;**141**(3):164-175

[14] Keating A, DaSilva G, Perez WS, Gupta V, Cutler CS, Ballen KK, et al. Autologous blood cell transplantation versus HLA-identical sibling transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: A registry study from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplantation Research. *Haematologica*. 2013;**98**(2):185-192

[15] Gorin NC, Labopin M, Pabst T, Remenyi P, Wu D, Huynh A, et al. Unrelated matched versus autologous transplantation in adult patients with good and intermediate risk acute myelogenous leukemia in first molecular remission. *American Journal of Hematology*. 2017;**92**(12):1318-1323

[16] Ossenkoppele G, Löwenberg B. How I treat the older patient with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2015;**125**(5):767-774

[17] Ferrara F, Barosi G, Venditti A, Angelucci E, Gobbi M, Pane F, et al. Consensus-based definition of unfit to intensive and non-intensive chemotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia: A project of SIE, SIES and GITMO group on a new tool for therapy decision making. *Leukemia*. 2013;**27**(5):997-999

[18] Ye X, Chen D, Zheng Y, Wu C, Zhu X, Huang J. The incidence, risk factors, and survival of acute myeloid leukemia secondary to myelodysplastic

syndrome: A population-based study. *Hematological oncology*. 2019;**37**(4):438-446

[19] Gorin NC, Labopin M, Reiffers J, Milpied N, Blaise D, Witz F, et al. Higher incidence of relapse in patients with acute myelocytic leukemia infused with higher doses of CD34+ cells from leukapheresis products autografted during the first remission. *Blood*. 2010;**116**(17):3157-3162

[20] Wang J, Ouyang J, Zhou R, Chen B, Yang Y. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: A meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Acta haematologica*. 2010;**124**(2):61-71

[21] Meloni G, Vignetti M, Avvisati G, Capria S, Micozzi A, Giona F, et al. BAVC regimen and autograft for acute myelogenous leukemia in second complete remission. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 1996;**18**(4):693-698

[22] Jourdan E, Boissel N, Chevret S, Delabesse E, Renneville A, Cornillet P, et al. Prospective evaluation of gene mutations and minimal residual disease in patients with core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2013;**121**(12):2213-2223

[23] Walter RB, Gooley TA, Wood BL, Milano F, Fang M, Sorrow ML, et al. Impact of pretransplantation minimal residual disease, as detected by multiparametric flow cytometry, on outcome of myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2011;**29**(9):1190-1197

[24] Percival MM, Estey EH. Current treatment strategies for measurable residual disease in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer*. 2019;**125**(18):3121-3130

- [25] Jentzsch M, Schwind S, Bach E, Stasik S, Thiede C, Platzbecker U. Clinical Challenges and Consequences of Measurable Residual Disease in Non-APL Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cancers*. 2019;11(11).
- [26] Cornelissen JJ, Blaise D. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients with AML in first complete remission. *Blood*. 2016;127(1):62-70
- [27] Gorin NC, Labopin M, Czerw T, Pabst T, Blaise D, Dumas PY, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation for adult acute myelocytic leukemia in first remission-better outcomes after busulfan and melphalan compared with busulfan and cyclophosphamide: A retrospective study from the acute leukemia working Party of the European Society for blood and marrow transplantation (EBMT). *Cancer*. 2017;123(5):824-831
- [28] Gorin NC, Labopin M, Blaise D, Dumas PY, Pabst T, Trisolini SM, et al. Optimizing the pretransplant regimen for autologous stem cell transplantation in acute myelogenous leukemia: Better outcomes with busulfan and melphalan compared with busulfan and cyclophosphamide in high risk patients autografted in first complete remission: A study from the acute leukemia working party of the EBMT. *American Journal of Hematology*. 2018;93(7):859-866
- [29] Lemoli RM, D'Addio A, Marotta G, Pezzullo L, Zuffa E, Montanari M, et al. BU/melphalan and auto-SCT in AML patients in first CR: A 'Gruppo Italiano Trapianto di Midollo Osseo (GITMO)' retrospective study. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 2010;45(4):640-646
- [30] Ferrara F, Mele G, Palmieri S, Pedata M, Copia C, Riccardi C, et al. Continuous infusion idarubicin and intravenous busulphan as conditioning regimen to autologous stem cell transplantation for patients with acute myeloid leukaemia. *Hematological oncology*. 2009;27(4):198-202
- [31] Sanz MA, Labopin M, Gorin NC, de la Rubia J, Arcese W, Meloni G, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for adults with acute promyelocytic leukemia in the ATRA era: A survey of the European cooperative Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 2007;39(8):461-469
- [32] Holter Chakrabarty JL, Rubinger M, Le-Rademacher J, Wang HL, Grigg A, Selby GB, et al. Autologous is superior to allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for acute promyelocytic leukemia in second complete remission. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2014;20(7):1021-1025
- [33] Meloni G, Diverio D, Vignetti M, Avvisati G, Capria S, Petti MC, et al. Autologous bone marrow transplantation for acute promyelocytic leukemia in second remission: Prognostic relevance of pretransplant minimal residual disease assessment by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction of the PML/RAR alpha fusion gene. *Blood*. 1997;90(3):1321-1325
- [34] Goldstone AH, Richards SM, Lazarus HM, Tallman MS, Buck G, Fielding AK, et al. In adults with standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia, the greatest benefit is achieved from a matched sibling allogeneic transplantation in first complete remission, and an autologous transplantation is less effective than conventional consolidation/maintenance chemotherapy in all patients: Final results of the international ALL trial (MRC UKALL XII/ECOG E2993). *Blood*. 2008;111(4):1827-1833

- [35] Thomas X, Boiron JM, Huguet F, Dombret H, Bradstock K, Vey N, et al. Outcome of treatment in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Analysis of the LALA-94 trial. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2004;**22**(20):4075-4086
- [36] Hunault M, Harousseau JL, Delain M, Truchan-Graczyk M, Cahn JY, Witz F, et al. Better outcome of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia after early genoidentical allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (BMT) than after late high-dose therapy and autologous BMT: A GOELAMS trial. *Blood*. 2004;**104**(10):3028-3037
- [37] Fièrè D, Lepage E, Sebban C, Boucheix C, Gisselbrecht C, Vernant JP, et al. Adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A multicentric randomized trial testing bone marrow transplantation as postremission therapy. The French group on therapy for adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 1993;**11**(10):1990-2001
- [38] Powles R, Sirohi B, Treleaven J, Kulkarni S, Tait D, Singhal S, et al. The role of posttransplantation maintenance chemotherapy in improving the outcome of autotransplantation in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 2002;**100**(5):1641-1647
- [39] Gorin NC. Autologous stem cell transplantation in acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Stem Cells*. 2002;**20**(1):3-10
- [40] Patel B, Rai L, Buck G, Richards SM, Mortuza Y, Mitchell W, et al. Minimal residual disease is a significant predictor of treatment failure in non T-lineage adult acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: Final results of the international trial UKALL XII/ECOG2993. *British journal of haematology*. 2010;**148**(1):80-89
- [41] Dhedin N, Dombret H, Thomas X, Lheritier V, Boiron JM, Rigal-Huguet F, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first complete remission: Analysis of the LALA-85, -87 and -94 trials. *Leukemia*. 2006;**20**(2):336-344
- [42] Dhédin N, Dombret H, Thomas X, Lhéritier V, Boiron JM, Rigal-Huguet F, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first complete remission: Analysis of the LALA-85, -87 and -94 trials. *Leukemia*. 2006;**20**(2):336-344
- [43] Giebel S, Labopin M, Gorin NC, Caillot D, Leguay T, Schaap N, et al. Improving results of autologous stem cell transplantation for Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in the era of tyrosine kinase inhibitors: A report from the acute leukaemia working Party of the European Group for blood and marrow transplantation. *European journal of cancer*. 2014;**50**(2):411-417
- [44] Giebel S, Stella-Holowiecka B, Krawczyk-Kulis M, Gökbuget N, Hoelzer D, Doubek M, et al. Status of minimal residual disease determines outcome of autologous hematopoietic SCT in adult ALL. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 2010;**45**(6):1095-1101
- [45] Wetzler M, Watson D, Stock W, Koval G, Mulkey FA, Hoke EE, et al. Autologous transplantation for Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia achieves outcomes similar to allogeneic transplantation: Results of CALGB study 10001 (Alliance). *Haematologica*. 2014;**99**(1):111-115
- [46] Giebel S, Labopin M, Potter M, Poire X, Sengeloev H, Socie G, et al. Comparable results of autologous and allogeneic haematopoietic stem

cell transplantation for adults with Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in first complete molecular remission: An analysis by the acute leukemia working party of the EBMT. *European journal of cancer*. 2018;**96**:73-81

[47] Visani G, Martinelli G, Piccaluga P, Tosi P, Amabile M, Pastano R, et al. Alpha-interferon improves survival and remission duration in P-190BCR-ABL positive adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2000;**14**(1):22-27

[48] Piccaluga PP, Martinelli G, Isidori A, Malagola M, Rondoni M, Paolini S, et al. Long-term molecular complete remission with IFN-alpha in Ph+ adult acute lymphoid leukemia patients. *Leukemia*. 2008;**22**(8):1617-1618