We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Novel Biofloc Technology (BFT) for Ammonia Assimilation and Reuse in Aquaculture In Situ

Hai-Hong Huang

Abstract

Ammonia is one of the most harmful risks for success of fish and shrimp culture. There is no effective solution for harmlessness of ammonia in traditional aquaculture operations except exchanging water, which would bring negative effects on environment, or fixing expensive equipment. Biofloc technology (BFT) that appeared in recent years supplies a novel solution for this issue without exchanging huge water and fixing equipment. This technology could assimilate ammonia almost in real time with many other supplemental benefits. Because of the very high nutritional value for fish and shrimp, bioflocs, the by-product of BFT, could also be reused as a complemented food in situ or a gradient for feedstuff to replace expensive fishmeal or be processed to pellet diet to feed fish and shrimp directly. However, some aspects with regard to the effective use of biofloc as a food source for fish and shrimp, such as high lipid content, productivity, and palatability, need to be further researched in detail.

Keywords: ammonia, assimilation, reuse, biofloc technology, aquaculture

1. Introduction

The world population will exceed 9 billion people by the middle of the twenty-first century, indicating proportionate food should have to provide. Fisheries and aquaculture are the critical important sources against this challenge of food and nutrition [1]. Between 1961 and 2016, the average annual increase in global food fish consumption (3.2%) outpaced population growth (1.6%) and exceeded that of meat from all terrestrial animals combined (2.8%). Total fish production in 2016 reached 171 million tones, of which 88% was directly utilized for human consumption. In per capita terms, food fish consumption grew from 9.0 kg in 1961 to 20.2 kg in 2015, accounting for about 17% of their average per capita intake of animal protein consumed by the global population [1].

Since the late 1980s, the fishery production has been stable without obvious increase. But aquaculture has become more and more important, which production grew faster than other major food production sectors. The contribution of aquaculture to the global production of capture fisheries and aquaculture combined has risen continuously, reaching 46.8% in 2016 and representing 53% of fish production for food uses [1].

However, the development of aquaculture has faced challenges because of lack of land and water source and degradation of environment [1]. Therefore, turning of

aquaculture to intensive even high intensive model from extensive or semi-extensive model is a tendency all over the world. Intensive aquaculture utilizes limited land source to culture more fish and shrimp by excessively increasing aquatic animal density with little water exchange or even zero water exchange. However, one of the most harmful risks for success of fish and shrimp in intensive aquaculture system, especially in closed intensive culture system with little water exchange, is the accumulation of ammonia. Unfortunately, there is no effective solution for harmlessness of ammonia in practical operations except exchanging water or fixing some very expensive equipment for water treatment [2].

Biofloc technology (BFT) that appeared in recent years supplies a novel solution for this issue without exchanging huge water or fixing equipment [2]. BFT could assimilate ammonia almost in real time and reuse the by-product as a natural food source in situ in aquaculture water column. In this chapter, problems referred to ammonia in aquaculture (Part 2 and Part 3), principles of ammonia removal (Part 4), main operations of BFT (Part 5), applications of using biofloc produced as a by-product of BFT in aquaculture (Part 6 and Part 7), and some highlighting issues that should be paid attention to or need to be further researched (Part 8) are introduced in brief.

2. Toxicity of ammonia to fish or shrimp

Ammonia is one of the most harmful inorganic nitrogen compounds for fish or shrimp in aquaculture (another is nitrite), whose accumulation in pond water may deteriorate water quality, reduce growth, increase oxygen consumption, alter concentrations of hemolymph protein and free amino acid levels, and even cause high mortality [3]. For example, in water with pH 8.05 and temperature 23°C, the 96 h median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) value of ammonia on *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Pacific white-leg shrimp, the most important cultured crustacean species in the world [1]) juveniles is 35.4 mg/L at salinity of 25‰, indicating that the safety level of ammonia for rearing *L. vannamei* juveniles is only 3.55 mg/L [3]. A research conducted by the author of this chapter revealed that in a closed aquaculture system for *L. vannamei* without water exchange, the ammonia concentration accumulated up to a very high level of 10.81 mg/L, with an average of 6.35 mg/L, leading to a mortality rate as high as 70%.

There are two existent types for ammonia, ionic type (NH₄⁺) and free type (NH₃), both of which in general named together as total ammonia nitrogen (TAN). In fact, the toxicity of TAN is mainly from the free NH₃; in water, the 96 h LC₅₀ value to *L. vannamei* juveniles is 1.57 mg/L under conditions of pH 8.05, temperature 23°C, and salinity of 25‰, and the safety level is 0.16 mg/L [3]. Van Wyk et al. [4] even recommend a value of ≤0.03 ppm or mg/L for NH₃ when farming this shrimp in recirculating freshwater systems. There is an equilibrium between the two existences of ammonia in water [5]:

This equilibrium indicates that NH₄⁺ and NH₃ exist in water at the same time and their proportions are determined by the pH of the water body so that the toxicity of TAN is highly related to water pH. Actually, the relationship among water pH and the concentrations of NH₄⁺ and NH₃ could be described with an equation [6] as follows:

$$\frac{[\text{NH}_3]}{[\text{NH}_3] + [\text{NH}_4^+]} = \frac{10^{\text{pH}}}{\exp\left(\frac{6344}{273+T}\right) + 10^{\text{pH}}} \quad (2)$$

Figure 1.
 Percent of NH_4^+ and NH_3 changing with pH under 25°C.

In this equation, T means the water temperature. Based on Eq. (1), the proportions of NH_4^+ and NH_3 along pH gradient under a certain temperature (such as 25°C) could be calculated and pictured, respectively (**Figure 1**). From **Figure 1**, it is well known that there is almost no NH_3 existing in water when pH is below 7.0; however, after that, the proportion of NH_3 will exponentially elevate with increasing of pH, as well as the toxicity of TAN.

3. Deriving of ammonia in aquaculture water body

Ammonia in aquaculture water body is mostly produced from artificial feeds fed to fish animals. Estimated about 78% of nitrogen existing in aquaculture water body comes from feedstuff [7]. Artificial formulated feed for aquaculture animals contains a very high content of protein; in general, the crude protein content in finfish feedstuff is 25–30% [8] and higher for crustacean animals, which is even up to 40–45% for shrimp species like white-leg shrimp [4]. However, the utilization efficiency of those feeds in water is very low. When feed is added to water, only 25% of protein nitrogen in feedstuff is assimilated to body growth of aquatic animals, and the rest of about an approximate 75% proportion will lose into the water body, via directly excreting as metabolic ammonia from gill, evacuating as urea and feces by cloaca system, or dissolving as other organic nitrogen compounds [9], which are further degraded as inorganic ammonia by microorganisms with hydrolysis enzymes.

4. The main routes for ammonia transformation in aquaculture

There are three routes for ammonia removal or transformation in aquaculture system: intake by photoautotrophic algae, nitrification and nitration of autotrophic nitrobacteria, and assimilation of heterotrophic bacteria [10].

4.1 Route 1: photoautotrophic intake by algae or phytoplankton

Actually, the intake route of ammonia by photoautotrophic algae is the process of well-known photosynthesis as follows [10]:

Or when nitrate is as the nitrogen source

where $\text{C}_{106}\text{H}_{263}\text{O}_{110}\text{N}_{16}\text{P}$ represents the stoichiometric formula for algae.

In this process, the ionic ammonia of NH_4^+ is the first-order utilized inorganic nitrogen for synthesis of organic materials. However, a carbon to nitrogen to phosphorus ratio (C:N:P) of about 106:16:1 is also needed, indicating that to promote ammonia assimilation, exogenous additions of inorganic carbon and phosphorus sources are needed and that in general make the growth of algae, especially blue-green algae or cyanobacteria, to be very difficult to control and easily result in cyanobacteria blooming, a serious deterioration of water quality and a disaster for human daily life.

4.2 Route 2: autotrophic oxidation by nitrobacteria

Autotrophic nitrobacteria, the chemical autotrophic bacteria, can oxidize ammonia by using inorganic carbon sources without the need of phosphorus [10]:

where $\text{C}_5\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{N}$ represents the chemical formula for microbial biomass.

However, the growth rate of nitrobacteria is very low when compared to heterotrophic bacteria, which in turn leads to a low oxidized rate for ammonia. There are also no other efficient supplemental approaches to accelerate this process, which mainly relies on the natural development of nitrobacteria. Furthermore, an intermediate product of this process, nitrite or NO_2^- , another toxic inorganic nitrogen compound for aquaculture animals, would be produced. Nitrite is an unstable product with high oxidized ability comparable to oxygen and thus will oxidize Fe^{2+} in the center of hemoglobin to Fe^{3+} . As a result, oxygen could not combine to hemoglobin and transport to tissues, and thus animals will be asphyxiated, even though there is enough oxygen dissolved in water body [11]. Moreover, the oxidization of ammonia by nitrobacteria would cause numerous accumulation of nitrate (NO_3^-), another inorganic nitrogen compound which could be easily taken by phytoplankton, indicating a potential risk of algae blooming [10, 11]. Finally, the nitrification process could affect water quality, such as exhausting carbonate alkalinity (HCO_3^-) and resulting in reduction of water pH [10].

4.3 Route 3: assimilation by heterotrophic bacteria

Ammonia also could be assimilated by heterotrophic bacteria through a process different from those of photoautotrophic algae (route 1) and autotrophic nitrobacteria (route 2) [10]:

where $C_5H_7O_2N$ represents the chemical formula for microbial biomass like route 2, or Eq. (5). Compared to route 2, sufficient dissolved oxygen is needed for the processing of bio-reaction of Eq. (6) as well, but about half of HCO_3^- will be exhausted. Differently, in Eq. (6) of route 3, carbohydrate ($C_6H_{12}O_6$) is needed, and about 40 times microbial biomass is produced.

5. Novel solution for ammonia assimilation and reuse in aquaculture based on route 3: biofloc technology (BFT)

Ammonia accumulation is the head issue faced in aquaculture, and there are several routes referring to ammonia clearance mentioned above. However, routes 1 and 2 are all not suitable to apply in aquaculture. For route 1, intake of phytoplankton or algae might produce a large number of algae exceeding the biological capacity of water body, and those planktons will be old and die quickly and release toxins harmful to aquatic animals. In regard to route 2, it is mainly applied for effluent treatment in sewage plant, which needs inferior procedures of wastewater, and thus is not suitable in aquaculture as well. Fortunately, according to the principles of route 3 displayed in Eq. (6), a novel technology, in generic nicknamed as biofloc technology (BFT), is developed for aquaculture in recent years, to be used as effectively and environmental-friendly for transforming of ammonia.

5.1 Principal operations of BFT

In accordance with Eq. (6), existing of carbohydrate will promote assimilation of ammonia, companied with synthesis of microbial biomass. However, the content of carbohydrate or C:N in aquaculture water body is lower than the need for bio-reaction of Eq. (6) in general. Although the C:N of bacterial cell composition is about 5:1 [12], it needs a C:N of 15:1 for blooming growth of heterotrophic bacteria to assimilate ammonia [13, 14]. In aquaculture water body, the carbohydrate is mainly from feedstuff added in [7], whose content is usually inadequate for blooming growth of heterotrophic bacteria. For example, taking white-leg shrimp feed usually used in China into consideration, the contents of ingredients, such as crude protein, lipid, fiber, ash, and moisture, are 40, 5.0, 5.0, 15, and 12%, respectively, indicating a calculated C:N of approximate 6:1 according to the relationship between contents of carbohydrate and feed ingredients [15, 16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Carbon\%} = & 0.80 \times \text{Lipid\%} + 0.53 \times \text{Protein\%} + 0.42 \\ & \times \text{Carbohydrate\%} + 0.42 \times \text{Fiber\%} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Carbohydrate\%} = 100 - (\text{Protein} + \text{Lipid} + \text{Fiber} + \text{ash} + \text{moisture})\% \quad (8)$$

Therefore, additional exogenous organic carbon source containing carbohydrate ($C_6H_{12}O_6$) should be supplemented to prompt assimilation of ammonia by improving growth of heterotrophic bacteria, and this is one of the two principal operations for BFT [17].

The other principal operations for BFT are aeration and treatment of by-product. Known from Eq. (6), a huge number of dissolved oxygen is needed to assimilate ammonia by heterotrophic bacteria, and also massive bacteria biomass is produced as by-product, which needs to be treated.

5.2 Addition of carbohydrate

For assimilating 1 mole of ammonia, 1.18 mole of carbohydrate is exhausted according to Eq. (6), which indicates that when 1 g of NH_4^+ exists in water, about 12 g of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6$ should be added [10, 17]. This needs to supervise the ammonia concentration of water continuously, which is difficult to implement actually. Thus, a general manipulation is that carbon source is added only when ammonia concentration exceeds 1 mg/L with the NH_4^+ to $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6$ ratio (w:w) of 1:12 [10, 17]. Of course, the content of carbohydrate contained in material used as carbon source should be determined.

Another way for addition of carbohydrate to improve the bio-reaction of route 3 is adjustment of the C:N in water in real time. For this purpose, the contents of nitrogen in water are determined actually, and then materials rich in carbon or carbohydrate are added to adjust C:N. However, in fact, many times, the adjustment of C:N is not based on the actual carbon and nitrogen concentrations. Alternatively, only when feedstuff is fed, carbon source is considered to add, and the weight for addition is calculated according to the nitrogen content in feedstuff with a C:N of 15:1 [13].

Many materials could be used as carbon source for BFT system, such as acetate [18], glycerol [18], dextrose [19–21], cassava meal [22], cellulose [23], corn flour [24, 25], glucose [18], molasses [26–28], tapioca [29], wheat flour [28, 30], rice flour [16, 30], wheat bran [25, 31], rice bran [20, 29], starch [28, 32], poly- β -hydroxybutyrate (PHB) [33, 34], brewery residues [22], and sugar [32].

5.3 Aeration

The process of ammonia assimilation via heterotrophic microorganisms needs a huge number of oxygen, because of (1) oxygen consumption by respiration of blooming growth of bacteria and (2) oxidized fermentation of organic materials secreted by bacteria [17]. Thus, it is needed to usually equip a robust air blower to blow air into the water body to maintain a highly dissolved oxygen level in water [2], in general at least 5 mg/L [4]. In some cases, even pure oxygen is used for this purpose.

5.4 Treatment of by-product

A result induced by blooming growth of heterotrophic bacteria is substantial accumulation of suspended solids or bioflocs, one of the side effects of utilizing BFT. In a BFT system constructed by the author in the present article, bacteria secrete massive metabolic materials such as protein and polysaccharide, which could bond feeds, feces, debris, and other organic matters together, to become bioflocs and suspended in water under aeration condition (**Figures 2 and 3**). The author also found that sometimes the total suspended solid (TSS) content in BFT system would accumulate to above 800 mg/L (**Figure 3b**). That high level of TSS will be harmful to aquatic animals, which would lead to oxygen depletion, obstruction of fish or shrimp gills, and mortality due to asphyxiation [35]. Therefore, treatment of those accumulated TSS is an important operation for BFT [36].

There are three ways used for treating of TSS. The first one is in situ eaten by fish or shrimp as supplemental food [37, 38] which is also the most frequently used method. The second one is equipping a settling chamber to remove excessive solids [39]. And the last one is using separation systems for biofloc production and aquatic

Figure 2.
Sedimentation of biofloc in an Imhoff cone.

Figure 3.
Productivity characteristics of biofloc volume and TSS in closed traditional system (a) and BFT system (b) over time. Biofloc volume is defined as the volume of sinkable matter in 1 l water placed into an Imhoff cone in 15 min. TSS is represented as the mass (mg) of dry matter in 1 l water after filtering with a 0.45 μ l membrane.

animal production, respectively, so that the increasing TSS produced in biofloc production system will not affect the growth of fish or shrimp raised in another system whose water quality should remain controlled by the former system. And for this purpose, four 10 m³ composite tanks in general need to be fixed for water treatment of 12 tanks with a volume of 500 L per tank [40].

6. Management of dissolved oxygen and ammonia in BFT system

6.1 Dissolved oxygen level

Although numerous amounts of oxygen will be consumed by respiration of a large number of flourishing heterotrophic bacteria, the author in this article supervised that the dissolved oxygen continuously sustained a high level in fact in a *L. vannamei* BFT system equipping air blower, such as root blower (**Figure 4**). In general, air blew into per minute with 1–5% of water volume is adequate to maintain a high dissolved oxygen level. For example, in a pond reserving 1000 m³ water, the flow rate of root blower should be 10–50 m³/min.

6.2 Ammonia assimilation efficiency

The speed of ammonia assimilation in BFT system is very fast; Avnimelech [13] reported that ammonia added to water body with a final concentration of 10 mg/L disappeared over a period of about 2 h post addition of glucose as carbon source. The author of the present chapter found that in the BFT system culture *L. vannamei*, a low ammonia level averaging 0.78 mg/L lasted during the whole period of culture in water body (**Figure 5b**). Moreover, nitrite and nitrate concentrations would also be limited at low levels in this BFT system (**Figure 5b**). Conversely, in the control system without water exchange, the average ammonia concentration was up to 6.35 mg/L, which in turn resulted in a very high level of nitrite of 11.78 mg/L subsequently (**Figure 5a**). Consequently, a very high shrimp mortality rate of 70% was found in this control water body, very far higher than that of the BFT system without mortality over the whole experimental period of 70 d (data not shown). Cardona et al. [41] reported that the survival rate of *Litopenaeus stylirostris* was 27.2% higher in the BFT system than that of the conventional system with huge water exchange, which was also contributed to the good water quality represented by those low ammonia and nitrite levels.

Figure 4.
Dissolved oxygen level in a BFT system over time.

Figure 5.
TAN, nitrite, and nitrate concentrations in closed traditional system (a) and BFT system (b) at different time points.

7. Approaches for reusing of biofloc as supplemental food

Treatment of suspended solids or bioflocs is one of the most important operations for using BFT. Usually, those solids or bioflocs are not removed just as a waste from water. In contrast, they are reused as a complemented food source for aquatic animals, especially omnivorous species such as shrimp and tilapia, in a system adopting BFT. During development of bioflocs, bacteria secrete protein and polysaccharide, which bond with feeds, feces, debris, and other organic matters together. Furthermore, the author of this article found that biofloc was also a nutritional resource that could attract zooplanktons to prey, such as protozoa, rotifers, nematodes, ciliates, and flagellates (**Figure 6**), which in turn provides live and fresh food rich in protein for fish and shrimp.

7.1 Three ways for food use of biofloc

There are three ways for biofloc used as food in aquaculture currently: (i) as a complemented food for fish or shrimp in situ [42–45], (ii) as a gradient for feedstuff to replace fishmeal [46–49], and (iii) as a normal feed to replace partial artificial feedstuff [50–55]. In brief, fish and shrimp consume biofloc rich in microbe, phytoplankton, and zooplankton as a food directly. Because animals take biofloc as a vice food source, thus in fact the biofloc hunted by fish and shrimp is only a few

parts of the whole. In other words, most of the bioflocs remain in the water body, which may be an obstacle for growth of fish and shrimp. For alleviating the negative effect of biofloc on animal growth, excessive parts should be collected from water body and could be taken as an alternative protein source for preparing feedstuff. Even more, biofloc is fed to fish or shrimp as feedstuff directly due to its whole and high nutritional value.

7.2 Factors influencing nutritional value of biofloc

Nutritional value of biofloc is important for its reuse. However, this value is affected by several factors. Because the development of biofloc is sponsored and prompted by accumulation of ammonia and addition of carbon source, it is suspected that feedstuff and carbon source [30], especially the last one, would impressively affect biofloc nutritional composition and value (Table 1). For example, protein content and oil content of feedstuff will affect those of in biofloc. With regard to carbon source, there are two main types of carbon sources: (i) simple structure carbon sources with easily dissolving ability in water, such as glucose, sucrose, and sodium acetate [17, 20], and (ii) complex compounds, like flour or bran of rice and wheat [56] and brewery residues, which are a by-product from beer production industry [22]. In general, complex carbon source is more difficult to dissolve and more powerful in improving biofloc nutritional value, which in turn improves the growth of fish or shrimp [17, 20]. This carbon source is not easy to degrade with big diameter so that animals in water could easily prey and eat them directly; thus, except for being used as carbohydrate, those materials also contain other nutritional materials essential for growth of fish and shrimp, such as proteins, oils, vitamins, and minerals, even carotenoids [57, 58].

7.3 Applications of biofloc as complemented food in aquaculture

BFT has been successfully used for culture of fish and shrimp, such as tilapia, carp, and *L. vannamei*. Results showed that BFT increased individual fish weight,

Figure 6. Bioflocs observed with a light microscope. The minimal scale of the rule in the down part of the figure represents 25 μm . Arrows indicate free zooplanktons (a), and zooplanktons prey food from biofloc (b).

Animals	Carbon sources	Feedstuff composition		C:N	Biofloc composition		Ref.
		CP	CL		CP	CL	
<i>L. vannamei</i>	Molasses and wheat bran	42.5	–	20:1	28.7–43.1	2.11–3.62	[59]
	Sucrose	35–40	7–9	–	24.01	3.31	[60]
	Molasses	38	9	15:1	27.43	0.86	[28]
	Starch				23.1	1.14	
	Wheat flour				30.73	2.18	
	Mixture of molasses, starch, and wheat flour with equal weight ratio				25.46	1.24	
Tilapia	Wheat flour	24	6.23	–	37.93	3.16	[61]
		35	6.24		38.41	3.23	
	Feed	22	12.3	11.6:1	50.6	2.6	[62]
		35	119	8.4:1	53.5	1.9	
	Poly-β-hydroxybutyric	30	4	–	34.06	6.58	[34]
	Glucose				38.53	6.06	
<i>Farfantepenaeus paulensis</i>	Molasses and wheat bran	40	13.1	20:1	30.4	0.47	[37]
		35	–	–	18.4	0.3	[63]
<i>Labeo rohita</i>	Wheat flour	29.6–35.4	4.2–16.5	10:1	35.4	1.1	[52]
<i>Penaesus monodon</i>	Wheat flour	40	–	10:1	24.3	3.53	[53]
Catfish	Glycerol	43	6	10:1	44.27	5.84	[64]
				15:1	38.65	7.35	
				20:1	32.64	10.78	
Green cucumber	Glucose	20.37	2.45	15:1	32.29	4.19	[65]
	Sucrose				28.04	4.30	
	Starch				21.67	3.83	
White cucumber	Glucose				27.27	4.25	
	Sucrose				27.48	3.89	
	Starch				21.23	3.76	

Note: CP, crude protein; CL, crude lipid.

Table 1.
Compositions of biofloc produced from different feedstuff and carbon sources.

weight gain, and protein efficiency ratio of tilapia by 12.54, 9.46, and 22.2%; decreased feed conversion rate (FCR) by 17.5% [66]; elevated total weight gain and specific growth rate increase by 128% and 112% [67], respectively; and also significantly increased the final weight, weight gain, and length of *L. vannamei* [37, 63]. And those results for enhancing growth of fish and shrimp are mainly contributed to biofloc. Research from Tierney and Ray [2] revealed that the shrimp in the BFT treatment received an estimated 87% of their carbon and 66% of their nitrogen from the pelleted feed source, whereas the rest of 13% and 34% came from the biofloc, respectively.

When bioflocs were eaten directly by fish or shrimp, the protein utilization efficiency of feed elevated by 29% [68], and the FCR decreased by about 18% for tilapia [66, 67], and also decreased for *Penaeus monodon* [69]. It is found that the protease, lipase, and amylase activities in the intestine, liver, and stomach of tilapia [66, 67] and *L. vannamei* were all significantly raised [25], which improves the digestion and intake of feed. A large number of heterotrophic bacteria are located in biofloc, most of which will secrete enzymes like protease, lipase, and amylase, indicating that preying on biofloc would directly increase the content of those enzymes in digestive organs of fish and shrimp. Those bacteria also would secrete digestive enzymes in vivo after entering, colonizing and propagating in the intestine [25]. In a BFT system for culturing *L. vannamei*, the feedstuff containing 35% of protein could be altered with feedstuff whose protein content decreased to 25%, because most nutrition materials lacking in low protein content feed could be compensated from biofloc. In another way, about 25% feedstuff could be saved when culturing *L. vannamei* with BFT because biofloc was hunted as a food in situ [54]. It is even reported that no feed should be added in some BFT systems for culturing *L. vannamei* and *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* [18, 37].

Biofloc is also used as an alternative protein source for fishmeal sometimes. The protein content in biofloc is evidenced to be very high, in general 25–40% [34, 63, 66, 70], in a case even up to 50% [62]. The essential amino acids were also rich in biofloc, and its composition was also highly in agreement with that in the fish body [71], indicating that it is valuable for growth of fish and shrimp. Dantas et al. [46] and Kuhn et al. [48] replaced 30% of fishmeal or soy meal with biofloc to manufacture feedstuff for feeding of *L. vannamei*, and results showed that the growth of shrimp is not affected. Kuhn et al. [47] thought that the whole soy meal could be replaced with biofloc and 67% for fishmeal. However, only appropriate replacement of fishmeal with biofloc could prompt the growth of shrimp obviously, approximately 15.16–16.5% [49].

In some cases, biofloc was collected and dried to make pellets and then fed to fish or shrimp like artificial or formulated feedstuff. Carps, *Labeo rohita*, and *L. vannamei* was cultured successfully with biofloc pellets according to a replacement ratio of 25, 50, and 50% to formulated feedstuff, respectively, without any negative effects on their growth [50–52]. However, when feedstuff was replaced 35% with biofloc, the growth of *L. vannamei* appears to be the fastest [72]. In fact, a replacement ratio lower than 10% could improve the growth of animals [55]. For example, when the replacement ratio was 4 and 8%, the individual mean body weight of *P. monodon* increased by 16.9 and 13.9%, respectively [53].

8. Prospects

Meeting future demand for fish is very important for global food security. However, barriers to growth have to be explicitly recognized to the environmental and economic pillars of sustainability [73]. Fortunately, BFT could fulfill those requests for sustainable development of aquaculture.

8.1 Environmental advantages of BFT for sustainable development of aquaculture under framework of the FAO

Except availability of land and water, environmental impact is another possible main constraint to aquaculture growth. Thus, aquaculture systems that reduce eutrophication risks and other environmental costs while providing income and extended social benefits should be developed [73]. For this purpose, the FAO thinks that herbivorous and omnivorous species should be promoted and integrated

aquaculture including multitrophic aquaculture is also an alternative, in which by-products (wastes) from one species are recycled to become inputs (fertilizers, food, and energy) for another [73].

From this point of view, in practical aquaculture operations, BFT utilizes by-products from agriculture industries, such as cassava meal [22], molasses [26–28], tapioca [29], wheat bran [25, 31], rice bran [20, 29], and brewery residues [22], as fertilizers for assimilating organic and inorganic materials. And in turn, its own by-product, biofloc, becomes complemented food for aquatic suspension or deposit feeders, like herbivorous fish. Some omnivorous aquatic animals, such as shrimp and tilapia, were all very suitable to be cultured with BFT [44, 62]. Due to the characteristics of in situ treatment of water quality and supplying of organic biofloc food, aquaculture systems that adopted BFT only need a few water exchange, even no water exchange, and decrease artificial feedstuff inputs, indicating reduced eutrophication risks of environment and the use of wild fish for aquaculture feeds to reserve balance of ecosystem.

8.2 Practical application of BFT for economical benefits

Rego et al. [74] analyzed the financial viability of inserting the BFT system (625 m² each pond) and maintaining the conventional culture system (2.86 ha each pond) for the marine shrimp *L. vannamei* in a farm located in the state of Pernambuco, northeastern Brazil. The total production costs of BFT were eight times higher than the conventional system. However, operating profit and profitability index were US\$ 51,871.54 per hectare per year and 30.22% for BFT, and US\$ 21,523.83 and 59.79% for the conventional system, respectively. In an investment analysis, indicators were favorable for both systems, with greater expressiveness of the net present value (NPV) for the BFT (US\$ 142,004.42) and internal rate of return (IRR) 4.5 times higher for conventional system (131.86%). When the cash flows were designed for 10 years to the discount rates of 10, 13, and 16%, the BFT system showed greater sensitivity to changes in rates, reducing significantly the NPV when interest rates increased. Risk was only observed in the BFT system, with up to 15% of probability when subjected to the discount rate of 16%. Both shrimp production systems represent a significant investment alternative for the rural sector in northeastern Brazil, because even from the perspective of risk management, the IRR has 90% probability ranging from 7.66 to 59.40% for the BFT and from 67.96 to 201.03% for the conventional systems [75].

8.3 Further improvements for reuse of biofloc

Undoubtedly, BFT is a novel solution for transformation of ammonia in aquaculture. However, how to effectively reuse or deposit biofloc, the by-product of assimilation of ammonia in BFT system in situ, as a supplemental food for aquatic animals, needs more researches in detail.

The consumed efficiency of biofloc by fish or shrimp in situ is not adequate high, resulting in gradual accumulation of TSS in BFT system because of huge numerous organic materials produced by blooming growth of heterotrophic bacteria. Thus, the causes contributed to this low efficiency should be researched. Furthermore, the strategies for improving the utilization efficiency of biofloc should be assessed as well, such as improving accumulation of lipid of biofloc, which will increase the nutritional value. Usually, the total lipid content in biofloc is too low to be sufficient for demand of fish and shrimp (Table 1). Previous studies found that the lipid contents of biofloc were 0.5–0.6% [63, 70], 1.03% [66], or 4.0% [62], respectively,

which were all lower than the demands for lipid of aquatic animals [8]. For example, the recommended total lipid level in the diet for shrimp is in general higher than 6.5% [4]. Although external equipment could be used to settle the excessive part of biofloc, how to treat this deposit containing high content organic matter and bacteria, part of which may be pathogens, was also a problem [39].

The efficiency for producing biofloc also needs to be elevated, if biofloc is used as a gradient of formulated feedstuff for replacement of fishmeal or soybean meal or used to feed to aquatic animals directly as a food with whole nutritional gradients usually contained in artificial feedstuff. The productivity of biofloc recent is not adequate for those uses in practical operations.

Moreover, the improvement of biofloc palatability should be researched, which is important to the utilization of biofloc either eaten in situ or used as a food source [76]. Attractants or feeding promoting agents, like garlicin, betaine (trimethylglycine), trimethylamine oxide (TMAO), and *s,s*-dimethyl- β -propionic acid thietine (DMPT), could be taken into consideration as additives during development of biofloc in situ or preparing process for biofloc pellets. Thus, the effects of those agents on biofloc attraction to fish and shrimp should be studied in detail, respectively.

9. Conclusions

Biofloc technology (BFT) supplies a novel solution for this issue without huge water exchange, even zero water exchange. In general, ammonia would be removed quickly within several hours in a BFT system. Moreover, because of the very high nutritional value for fish and shrimp, bioflocs, the by-product of BFT, could also be reused as a complemented food in situ or a gradient for feedstuff to replace expensive fishmeal, and biofloc also could be processed to formulate diet to feed fish and shrimp directly. However, some aspects with regard to the effective use of biofloc as a food source for fish and shrimp, such as high lipid content, productivity, and palatability, need to be further researched in detail.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the development funds of the Chinese central government to guide local science and technology (2017CT5013); the Sci-Tech program of Hunan province, China (2016NK2132); and the science and research program of the Education Department of Hunan province, China (16C1085, 18B394).

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Notes/thanks/other declarations

The author also thanks the support from Collaborative Innovation Center (Hunan) for Efficient and Health Production of Fisheries, Hunan Engineering Research Center of Aquatic Organism Resources and Environmental Ecology, Hunan Engineering Research Center of Aquatic Organism Resources and Environmental Ecology, and Academician Workstation (Fisheries) of Hunan Province.

Appendices and nomenclature

BFT	biofloc technology
C:N (P)	carbon to nitrogen (to phosphorus) ratio
CL	crude lipid
CP	crude protein
DMPT	s,s-dimethyl-β-propionic acid thetine
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FCR	feed conversion rate
IRR	internal rate of return
LC ₅₀	median lethal concentration
NPV	net present value
NRC	National Research Council
PHB	poly-β-hydroxybutyric
ppm	part(s) per million
TAN	total ammonia nitrogen
TMAO	trimethylamine oxide
TSS	total suspended solids

Author details

Hai-Hong Huang
College of Life and Environmental Science, Hunan University of Arts and Science,
Key Laboratory of Health Aquaculture and Product Processing in Dongting Lake
Area, Zoology Key Laboratory of Hunan Higher Education, Changde, China

*Address all correspondence to: shinkanh@nwsuaf.edu.cn

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1] FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. Rome; 2018
- [2] Tierney TW, Ray AJ. Comparing biofloc, clear-water, and hybrid nursery systems (Part I): Shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) production, water quality, and stable isotope dynamics. *Aquacultural Engineering*. 2018;**82**: 73-79
- [3] Lin Y, Chen J. Acute toxicity of ammonia on *Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone juveniles at different salinity levels. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. 2001;**259**:109-119
- [4] Van Wyk P et al. Farming Marine Shrimp in Recirculating Freshwater Systems. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services: Tallahassee; 1999
- [5] Lekang OI. *Aquaculture Engineering*. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell: Chichester, UK; 2013
- [6] Mosquera-Corral A, Campos FGL, Mendez R. Partial nitrification in a SHARON reactor in the presence of salts and organic carbon compounds. *Process Biochemistry*. 2005;**40**:3109-3118
- [7] Fungesmith SJ, Mrp B. Nutrient budgets in intensive shrimp ponds: Implications for sustainability. *Aquaculture*. 1998;**164**:117-133
- [8] NRC. Nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp. In: *Animal Nutrition Series*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, National Research Council of the National Academies; 2011
- [9] Piedrahita RH. Reducing the potential environmental impact of tank aquaculture effluents through intensification and recirculation. *Aquaculture*. 2003;**226**:35-44
- [10] Ebeling JM, Timmons MB, Bisogni JJ. Engineering analysis of the stoichiometry of photoautotrophic, autotrophic, and heterotrophic removal of ammonia–nitrogen in aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture*. 2006;**257**:346-358
- [11] Noga EJ. *Fish Disease Diagnosis and Treatment*. 2nd ed. Ames: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010
- [12] Rittmann BE, McCarty PL. *Environmental Biotechnology: Principles and Applications*. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2002
- [13] Avnimelech Y. Carbon/nitrogen ratio as a control element in aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture*. 1999;**176**:227-235
- [14] Asaduzzaman M et al. C/N ratio control and substrate addition for periphyton development jointly enhance freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* production in ponds. *Aquaculture*. 2008;**280**:117-123
- [15] Hari B et al. Effects of carbohydrate addition on production in extensive shrimp culture systems. *Aquaculture*. 2004;**241**:179-194
- [16] Kumar S et al. Effects of biofloc under different carbon sources and protein levels on water quality, growth performance and immune responses in black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius, 1978). *Aquaculture Research*. 2017;**48**:1168-1182
- [17] Avnimelech Y. *Biofloc Technology: A Practical Hand Book*. 3rd ed. The World Aquaculture Society: Baton Rouge, Louisiana, EUA; 2015
- [18] Crab R et al. The effect of different carbon sources on the nutritional value of bioflocs, a feed for *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* postlarvae. *Aquaculture Research*. 2010;**41**:559-567

- [19] de Lorenzo MA et al. Intensive hatchery performance of the Pacific white shrimp in biofloc system. *Aquacultural Engineering*. 2015;**67**: 53-58
- [20] Serra FP et al. Use of different carbon sources for the biofloc system adopted during the nursery and grow-out culture of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture International*. 2015;**23**: 1325-1339
- [21] Suita SM et al. Dextrose as carbon source in the culture of *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931) in a zero exchange system. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*. 2015;**43**: 526-533
- [22] Sena Fugimura MM et al. Brewery residues as a source of organic carbon in *Litopenaeus schmitti* white shrimp farms with BFT systems. *Aquaculture International*. 2015;**23**:509-522
- [23] Deng M et al. The effect of different carbon sources on water quality, microbial community and structure of biofloc systems. *Aquaculture*. 2018;**482**: 103-110
- [24] Asaduzzaman M et al. Effects of C/N ratio and substrate addition on natural food communities in freshwater prawn monoculture ponds. *Aquaculture*. 2010;**306**:127-136
- [25] Wang C et al. Effects of different carbon sources addition on nutrition composition and extracellular enzymes activity of bioflocs, and digestive enzymes activity and growth performance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in zero-exchange culture tanks. *Aquaculture Research*. 2016;**47**: 3307-3318
- [26] de Souza DM et al. Use of molasses as a carbon source during the nursery rearing of *Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis* (Latreille, 1817) in a biofloc technology system. *Aquaculture Research*. 2014;**45**: 270-277
- [27] do Espirito Santo CM et al. Soybean molasses as an organic carbon source in the farming of *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931) in a biofloc system. *Aquaculture Research*. 2017;**48**: 1827-1835
- [28] Khanjani MH et al. Nursery performance of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone, 1931) cultivated in a biofloc system: The effect of adding different carbon sources. *Aquaculture Research*. 2017;**48**: 1491-1501
- [29] Ekasari J et al. Immune response and disease resistance of shrimp fed biofloc grown on different carbon sources. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*. 2014;**41**: 332-339
- [30] Panigrahi A et al. Carbohydrate sources differentially influence growth performances, microbial dynamics and immunomodulation in Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) under biofloc system. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*. 2019;**86**:1207-1216
- [31] Zhao D et al. Effects of different carbon sources on bioactive compound production of biofloc, immune response, antioxidant level, and growth performance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in zero-water exchange culture tanks. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*. 2016;**47**:566-576
- [32] Bakhshi F et al. Use of different carbon sources for the biofloc system during the grow-out culture of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) fingerlings. *Aquaculture*. 2018;**484**:259-267
- [33] da Silva BC et al. Dietary supplementation with butyrate and polyhydroxybutyrate on the performance of Pacific white shrimp in biofloc systems. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*. 2016;**47**:508-518

- [34] Zhang N et al. Growth, digestive enzyme activity and welfare of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) reared in a biofloc-based system with poly-beta-hydroxybutyric as a carbon source. *Aquaculture*. 2016;**464**:710-717
- [35] Gaona CAP et al. Effect of different total suspended solids levels on a *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931) BFT culture system during biofloc formation. *Aquaculture Research*. 2017;**48**:1070-1079
- [36] Arantes R et al. A comparison between water exchange and settling tank as a method for suspended solids management in intensive biofloc technology systems: effects on shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) performance, water quality and water use. *Aquaculture Research*. 2017;**48**:1478-1490
- [37] Emerenciano M et al. Biofloc technology application as a food source in a limited water exchange nursery system for pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis* (Latreille, 1817). *Aquaculture Research*. 2012;**43**:447-457
- [38] Hargreaves J. Biofloc Production Systems for Aquaculture. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center; 2013
- [39] Ray AJ et al. Suspended solids removal to improve shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) production and an evaluation of a plant-based feed in minimal-exchange, super intensive culture systems. *Aquaculture*. 2010;**299**:89-98
- [40] Nhi Nguyen Huu Y et al. Comparative evaluation of Brewer's yeast as a replacement for fishmeal in diets for tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), reared in clear water or biofloc environments. *Aquaculture*. 2018;**495**:654-660
- [41] Cardona E et al. Bacterial community characterization of water and intestine of the shrimp *Litopenaeus stylirostris* in a biofloc system. *BMC Microbiology*. 2016;**16**
- [42] Ekasari J et al. The size of biofloc determines the nutritional composition and the nitrogen recovery by aquaculture animals. *Aquaculture*. 2014;**426**:105-111
- [43] Burford MA et al. The contribution of flocculated material to shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) nutrition in a high-intensity, zero-exchange system. *Aquaculture*. 2004;**232**:525-537
- [44] Day SB, Salie K, Stander HB. A growth comparison among three commercial tilapia species in a biofloc system. *Aquaculture International*. 2016;**24**:1309-1322
- [45] Monroy-Dosta MC et al. Microbiology community composition and abundance associated to biofloc in tilapia aquaculture. *Revista De Biología Marina Y Oceanografía*. 2013;**48**:511-520
- [46] Dantas EM Jr et al. Partial replacement of fishmeal with biofloc meal in the diet of postlarvae of the Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*. 2016;**22**:335-342
- [47] Kuhn DD et al. Evaluation of two types of bioflocs derived from biological treatment of fish effluent as feed ingredients for Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*. 2010;**303**:28-33
- [48] Kuhn DD et al. Evaluation of bioflocs derived from confectionary food effluent water as a replacement feed ingredient for fishmeal or soy meal for shrimp. *Aquaculture*. 2016;**454**:66-71
- [49] Valle BCS et al. Replacement of fishmeal by fish protein hydrolysate and biofloc in the diets of *Litopenaeus vannamei* postlarvae. *Aquaculture Nutrition*. 2015;**21**:105-112

- [50] Najdegerami EH, Bakhshi F, Lakani FB. Effects of biofloc on growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and liver histology of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) fingerlings in zero-water exchange system. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*. 2016;**42**: 457-465
- [51] Khatoon H et al. Biofloc as a potential natural feed for shrimp postlarvae. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*. 2016;**113**:304-309
- [52] Mahanand SS, Moulick S, Rao PS. Optimum formulation of feed for rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton), with biofloc as a component. *Aquaculture International*. 2013;**21**:347-360
- [53] Anand PSS et al. Effect of dietary supplementation of biofloc on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities in *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture*. 2014;**418**:108-115
- [54] Rostika R. The reduction feed on shrimp vaname (*Litopenaues vannamei*) replaced by the addition biofloc in Ciamis District. *Research Journal of Biotechnology*. 2014;**9**:56-59
- [55] Sui LY et al. Increased carbon and nitrogen supplementation in Artemia culture ponds results in higher cyst yields. *Aquaculture International*. 2013;**21**:1343-1354
- [56] Vilani FG et al. Strategies for water preparation in a biofloc system: Effects of carbon source and fertilization dose on water quality and shrimp performance. *Aquacultural Engineering*. 2016;**74**:70-75
- [57] Zhang K et al. Effect of using sodium bicarbonate to adjust the pH to different levels on water quality, the growth and the immune response of shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in zero-water exchange biofloc-based culture tanks. *Aquaculture Research*. 2017;**48**:1194-1208
- [58] Ju ZY et al. Determination of microbial community structures of shrimp floc cultures by biomarkers and analysis of floc amino acid profiles. *Aquaculture Research*. 2010;**39**:118-133
- [59] Maicá PF, Borba MRD, Wasielesky W Jr. Effect of low salinity on microbial floc composition and performance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) juveniles reared in a zero-water-exchange super-intensive system. *Aquaculture Research*. 2012;**43**:361-370
- [60] Ray AJ, Lotz JM. Comparing salinities of 10, 20, and 30‰ in intensive, commercial-scale biofloc shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) production systems. *Aquaculture*. 2017;**476**:29-36
- [61] Azim ME, Little DC. The biofloc technology (BFT) in indoor tanks: Water quality, biofloc composition, and growth and welfare of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture*. 2008;**283**:29-35
- [62] Azim ME, Little DC, Bron JE. Microbial protein production in activated suspension tanks manipulating C:N ratio in feed and the implications for fish culture. *Bioresource Technology*. 2008;**99**: 3590-3599
- [63] Emerenciano M et al. Effect of biofloc technology (BFT) on the early postlarval stage of pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus paulensis*: Growth performance, floc composition and salinity stress tolerance. *Aquaculture International*. 2011;**19**:891-901
- [64] Dauda AB et al. Influence of carbon/nitrogen ratios on biofloc production and biochemical composition and subsequent effects on the growth, physiological status and disease resistance of African catfish (*Clarias*

- gariepinus*) cultured in glycerol-based biofloc systems. *Aquaculture*. 2018; S0044848617317131
- [65] Chen J et al. Regulation of growth, intestinal microbiota, non-specific immune response and disease resistance of sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka) in biofloc systems. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*. 2018;**77**:175-186
- [66] Long L et al. Effect of biofloc technology on growth, digestive enzyme activity, hematology, and immune response of genetically improved farmed tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture*. 2015;**448**:135-141
- [67] Luo G et al. Growth, digestive activity, welfare, and partial cost-effectiveness of genetically improved farmed tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cultured in a recirculating aquaculture system and an indoor biofloc system. *Aquaculture*. 2014;**422**:1-7
- [68] Avnimelech Y, Ritvo G. Shrimp and fish pond soils: processes and management. *Aquaculture*. 2003;**220**: 549-567
- [69] Kumar S et al. Effects of carbohydrate supplementation on water quality, microbial dynamics and growth performance of giant tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*). *Aquaculture International*. 2014;**22**:901-912
- [70] Emerenciano M et al. Evaluation of biofloc technology in pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus duorarum* culture: Growth performance, water quality, microorganisms profile and proximate analysis of biofloc. *Aquaculture International*. 2013;**21**:1381-1394
- [71] Wei Y, Liao SA, Wang AL. The effect of different carbon sources on the nutritional composition, microbial community and structure of bioflocs. *Aquaculture*. 2016;**465**:88-93
- [72] Xu WJ et al. Preliminary investigation into the contribution of bioflocs on protein nutrition of *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed with different dietary protein levels in zero-water exchange culture tanks. *Aquaculture*. 2012;**350**:147-153
- [73] FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture: Opportunities and Challenges. Rome: FAO; 2014
- [74] Soares Rego MA et al. Financial viability of inserting the biofloc technology in a marine shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* farm: A case study in the state of Pernambuco, Brazil. *Aquaculture International*. 2017;**25**: 473-483
- [75] Soares Rego MA et al. Risk analysis of the insertion of biofloc technology in a marine shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* production in a farm in Pernambuco, Brazil: A case study. *Aquaculture*. 2017; **469**:67-71
- [76] Sanhotra MK. Shrimp feed formulation and feed management. CMFRI Special Publication. 1994