We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Effect of Switchover Time in Cyclically Switched Systems

Khurram Aziz

*School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science,
National University of Sciences and Technology
Pakistan*

1. Introduction

Cyclic service queueing systems have a broad range of applications in communication systems. From legacy systems like the slotted ring networks and switching systems, to more recent ones like optical burst assembly, Ethernet over SDH/SONET mapping and traffic aggregation at the edge nodes, all may employ cyclic service as a means of providing fairness to incoming traffic. This would require the server to switch to the next traffic stream after serving one. This service can be exhaustive, in which all the packets in the queue are served before the server switches to the next queue, or non-exhaustive, in which the server serves just one packet (or in case of batch service, a group of packets) before switching to the next queue.

Most of the study on systems with cyclic service has been performed on queues of unlimited size. Real systems always have finite buffers. In order to analyze real systems, we need to model queues with finite capacity. The analysis of such systems is among the most complicated as it is very difficult to obtain closed-form solutions to systems with finite capacity.

An important parameter in cyclic service queueing systems with finite capacity is the switchover time, which is the time taken for the server to switch to a different queue after a service completion. This is especially true for non-exhaustive cyclic service systems, in which the server has to switch to the next queue after serving each packet. The switchover time is usually very small as compared to the service time, and is generally ignored during analysis. In such cases, the edge node can be modeled as a server, serving the various access nodes - that can be modeled as queues - in a cyclic manner. Hence, we assume that on finding an empty queue, the server will go to the next queue with a switchover rate of, say ε , but if the queue is not empty, we ignore the switchover time and assume that the server will switch to the next queue with rate μ after serving one packet in the queue.

While this generally led to quite accurate results in the past due to a large difference in ratios between the service and switchover times, this might not be the case today as optical communication systems are getting faster and faster. Thus the switchover time cannot always be safely ignored as smaller differences between switchover times and service times may introduce significant differences in the results. In order to analyze such systems, the switchover process can be modeled as another phase in the service process.

The focus of this chapter is on the analysis of non-exhaustive cyclic service systems with finite capacity using state space modeling technique. A brief summary on the work done to date, in cyclic service systems is presented in Section 2, while some applications of such systems

are discussed in Section 3. Analytical models of systems in which the switchover times can be ignored during service are presented in Section 4, in which we start from a simple two-queue system and generalize for an n -queue system. Analytical study of edge nodes that employ non-exhaustive cyclic service to serve various incoming streams as a two stage process (serving and switchover) in which switchover times are not ignored during service is presented in Section 5, followed by a detailed comparison of systems with and without switchover times in Section 6. Scenarios in which switchover cannot be ignored are also discussed in this section. Finally, the results are summarized in Section 7.

2. Related work

The study on cyclic service queueing systems is quite extensive. It would thus be helpful if these systems can be categorized. Several types of classifications have been presented in the literature, with the most recent being the survey by Vishnevskii and Semenova (Vishnevskii & Semenova, 2006). The classification presented here, however, is based on the most widely used parameters and related work in those categories is then presented.

2.1 Categorization of cyclic service queueing models

In a cyclic service queueing system, two or more queues are associated with the same server, which scans different queues in a round-robin manner and serves the queue if a packet is present. This service can be of three types – exhaustive, non-exhaustive and gated. In an exhaustive service model, the server switches over to the next queue only after completing service for all the customers in the queue. This also includes any new customers that may arrive during this time. On the other hand, in a gated system, service is provided to only those customers that were present in the queue when the server arrives to that queue. A limited, or non-exhaustive service is one in which a fixed number of customers – typically one – are serviced by the server during one visit. Usually, the exhaustive service is considered more efficient in terms of the waiting time of the customers than the gated service, which in turn is considered more efficient than the non-exhaustive service. Hence, in case of the exhaustive and gated service policies, queues with a large number of customers get more attention than those with a small number of customers, resulting in a less fair service as compared to the non-exhaustive service policy. So depending on the definition of "fairness", the non-exhaustive service policy is the fairest. This is especially true for communication systems, as different queues usually represent different traffic streams and it is undesirable to prefer one stream to another if their priorities are equal.

Another important consideration in such systems is the switchover time which is the time taken by the server to move to the next queue, after finishing service in the current queue. The switchover time is usually quite small as compared to the service time and is ignored in most studies. However, this can cause large differences in results especially if the switchover rate is not large as compared to the service rate.

In addition to the finite switchover rate, another issue is the size of the queues. Most of the studies on systems with cyclic service have been performed on queues of unlimited size. Real systems always have finite buffers. In order to analyze real systems, queues with finite capacity need to be modelled. An important feature of such systems is blocking, which happens when the queue becomes full and any subsequent arrivals are lost.

The cyclic service queueing models can thus be mainly categorized in the following different ways:

- Service discipline – exhaustive, gated and non-exhaustive.

- Switchover times – zero and non-zero.
- Buffer capacity – infinite, finite and single buffer.

2.2 Cyclic service systems with infinite buffers

Cyclic service queueing systems have been extensively studied in the literature. The first study on the cyclic polling systems available is the patrolling machine repairman model (Mack et al., 1957) where a single repairman visits a sequence of machines in cyclic order, inspecting them and repairing them when failure has occurred. The first study on cyclic polling models relating to communication networks was in the early 1970s to model the time-sharing computer systems. Since then, there has been an extensive research in this area, especially since the range of applications in which cyclic polling models can be used is very broad.

Leibowitz (Leibowitz, 1961) was among the first to study an approximate solution for symmetrically loaded cyclic polling system with gated service and constant switchover time. Cooper and Murray (Cooper & Murray, 1969; Cooper, 1970) analyzed exhaustive and gated service systems using an imbedded Markov chain technique for zero switchover time. Eisenberg (Eisenberg, 1971) studied a two-queue system with general switchover time, while Eisenberg (Eisenberg, 1972) and Hashida (Hashida, 1972) generalized the results of Cooper and Murray for non-zero switchover times. Bux and Truong (Bux & Truong, 1983) provided a simple approximation analysis for an arbitrary number of queues, constant switchover time and exhaustive service discipline. Lee (Lee, 1996) studied a two-queue model where the server serves customers in one queue according to an exhaustive discipline and the other queue according to a limited discipline, while Boxma (Boxma, 2002) studied a combination of exhaustive and limited disciplines in the two queues along with a patient server, which waits for a certain time in case there are no customers present in one of the queues.

For non-exhaustive cyclic service and general switchover times, Kuehn (Kuehn, 1979) developed an approximation technique based on the concept of conditional cycle times and derived a stability criteria for the general case of $GI/G/1$ systems with a cyclic priority service. Boxma (Boxma, 1989) related the amount of work in a polling system with switchover times to the amount of work in the same polling system without switchover times, leading to several studies on this relationship, notably by Cooper et al. (Cooper et al., 1996), Fuhrmann (Fuhrmann, 1992), Srinivasan et al. (Srinivasan et al., 1995), and Borst and Boxma (Borst & Boxma, 1997). An important question is that how large should the switchover rate be as compared to the service rate, so that it can be safely ignored. The answer is not simple and this study will attempt to answer this question in relation to the cyclic service queueing models with finite buffers and non-exhaustive service in later sections.

2.3 Cyclic service systems with finite buffers

While the study of cyclic service systems with infinite buffer capacity has been very extensive and closed form solutions for several such systems with exhaustive service discipline exist, the study of cyclic service systems with finite capacity queues and non-exhaustive service discipline is rather limited in the literature. Single buffer systems have been studied by Chung and Jung (Chung & Jung, 1994), and Takine et al., (Takine et al., 1986; 1987; 1990). Magalhaes et al., (Magalhaes et al., 1998) present a distribution function for the interval between the instant when the customers leave each queue, in a two-queue $M/M/1/1$ polling system. Titenko (Titenko, 1984) established formulae for the calculation of the moments of any order of the waiting times for single-buffer queues. Takagi (Takagi, 1992) presented the Laplace-Stieltjes transform (LST) of the cycle time for an exhaustive service, $M/G/1/n$ polling

system. A virtual buffer scheme for customers entering the system when the queue is full is suggested by Jung (Jung & Un, 1994). Tran-Gia and Raith have several important studies in this area. In (Tran-Gia & Raith, 1985a;b), a non-exhaustive cyclic queueing systems with finite buffers is analyzed based on the imbedded Markov chain approach in conjunction with a two-moment approximation for the cycle time. In (Tran-Gia, 1992), the stationary probability distributions of the number of waiting customers at polling instants as well as arbitrary instants for a $GI/G/1/n$ polling system with a 1-limited service discipline is obtained using discrete time analysis. Onvural and Perros (Onvural & Perros, 1989) present an approximation method for obtaining the throughput of cyclic queueing networks with blocking as a function of the number of customers. A polling system with Munit capacity queues and one infinite capacity queue with exhaustive service is described in (Takine et al., 1990).

The work on polling systems has been well summarized by Takagi in various papers. In (Takagi, 1986), all the results available till 1986 were organized, while an up-to-date summary on polling systems was presented in (Takagi, 1988). This survey was updated twice in 1990 (Takagi, 1990) for all work until 1989 and 1997 (Takagi, 1997) for the advances made after his previous update between the years 1990 to 1994. A more recent survey by Vishnevskii and Semenova (Vishnevskii & Semenova, 2006) covers various polling models, including the cyclic service models with finite service in great detail. It is clear, however, that accurate and generalized results for cyclic service finite queueing models are still not available. Some authors have provided a few closed form solutions for some specific models, but most of the time, these are approximate solutions, mostly for single buffer systems.

3. Applications of cyclic service queueing systems

Polling models with cyclic service can be used in a wide range of applications, from computer communications to robotics, production, manufacturing, and transportation. In computer communications, the queueing model with cyclic service was first used in the analysis of time-sharing computer systems in the early 1970's. In the 1980's, the token passing systems such as the token ring and token bus, as well as other demand-based channel access schemes in local area networks, such as the one shown in Figure 1, were analysed using such queueing systems with cyclic service.

From legacy systems like the slotted ring networks and switching systems, to more recent systems like wireless networks, optical burst assembly, Ethernet over SDH/SONET mapping and traffic aggregation at the edge nodes, and all may employ cyclic service as a means of providing fairness to incoming traffic. Queueing systems with cyclic service are extensively used especially at the edge nodes to provide fairness to the different flows that arrive at the node. One such example is the fair queueing system proposed by Nagel (Nagle, 1987). Another example is the mapping of Ethernet over SDH/SONET, as shown in Figure 2. Cyclic service can also be employed by the burst assembler in an optical burst switching node as shown in Figure 3.

Ibe and Trivedi (Ibe & Trivedi, 1990) propose the use of stochastic Petri Net models for obtaining the performance measures of a finite buffer polling system using the exhaustive, gated and limited service disciplines. Choi (Choi, 2004) proposes a cyclic polling based algorithm for differentiated class of services in Ethernet passive optical networks. Takagi highlights three classical but instructive applications of polling models to the performance evaluation of communication networks in (Takagi, 2000). The three applications discussed are the half-duplex transmission for an inquiry system, the polling data link control and the token ring network. Bruneel and Kim (Bruneel & Kim, 1993), Grillo (Grillo, 1990), and Levy and Sidi (Levy & Sidi,

Fig. 1. Token ring network and its equivalent queueing model

1990) analyze several applications examples of communication networks, including the ATM systems that employ the cyclic polling systems. In the late nineties, rapid development of the broadband wireless transmission networks prompted several studies of the polling system models in this area, especially by Ziouva and Antonakopoulos (Ziouva & Antonakopoulos, 2002/2007; 2003), and Vishnevskii (Vishnevsky et al., 1999; 2004). Miorandi et al., (Miorandi et al., 2004) performed an interesting study on the performance evaluation of the Bluetooth polling schemes.

The focus in this chapter is to study the basic polling models that employ non-exhaustive cyclic service and finite queues, independent of the communication system involved, and study the effect of switchover time on these systems.

4. Systems with zero switchover times

In this section, cyclic service queueing systems that ignore the switchover times during service are studied. Typically, a server spends some time serving a customer and then switches over to the next queue. The time taken for the server from the completion of service in one queue to the commencement of service in the next queue is known as the switchover time. This switchover takes a small amount of time as compared to the service time and is usually ignored. The assumption here is that the switchover times in such systems will be very small as compared to the service times and when ignored, they will not have a considerable effect on the overall system performance.

Fig. 2. Mapping Ethernet over SDH/SONET in an edge node

Fig. 3. Burst assembly in an OBS edge node using cyclic service

4.1 Model description

Cyclic service systems can be modelled as shown in Figure 4, which shows N queues, each of size s_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$), being served in a round-robin manner by a server with an exponentially distributed service rate of mean μ . The arrival rate to each queue is also exponentially distributed with mean λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$). The average time taken by the server to switch over from one queue to the next is given by $1/\varepsilon$ where ε is the mean switchover rate. At each scanning epoch, the server processes one packet in the queue if there is at least one packet waiting. In case there is no waiting packet in the queue, the server switches over to the next queue with a switchover rate of ε .

The following parameters are used:

- N = number of queues in the system
- λ_i = arrival rate of packets offered to queue i ; $i = 1, \dots, N$
- S_i = capacity of queue i ; $i = 1, \dots, N$
- μ = mean service rate of the server
- ε = mean switchover rate of the server

Fig. 4. System model for a cyclic service queueing system

4.2 Basic two-queue system

The analysis of cyclic service queueing systems is presented with a model that has only two queues as shown in Figure 5. Such a system can be considered as an $M/M/1-s$ system.

The two-queue cyclic service system consists of one server and two queues with a capacity of s_1 and s_2 respectively, as shown in Figure 5. The mean arrival rates to the two queues are given by λ_1 and λ_2 respectively, while server completes each service with a mean rate of μ .

4.2.1 Analysis

For an exact analysis, the system states can be described by a vector $\{Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_n(t), I(t), X(t)\}$, where $Q_i(t)$ is the number of packets in the i th queue, $I(t)$ is the current location of the server within the cycle and $X(t)$ is the age of the current service (Kuehn, 1979). In this study, the single-stage service process is taken to be a Markov process having a mean rate of μ . $X(t)$ can then be ignored due to the PASTA (Poisson Arrivals See Time Averages) property of the service process, which leaves us the vector $\{Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_n(t), I(t)\}$ that accurately describes the system states. Hence for this two-queue system, three variables for each system state are required – one each for the number of occupied queue places – while another to show which queue's customer is currently undergoing service. Each state is then defined by the vector $\{Q_1(t), Q_2(t), I(t)\}$, where $Q_1(t)$ is the number of customers in the system coming through the first queue, $Q_2(t)$ is the number of customers in the system coming through the second queue and $I(t)$ is the current location of the server within the cycle. Clearly, $I(t)$ can have only two values where a value of 1 means that the server is serving a customer from queue 1 while 2 means that the server is serving a customer from queue 2. $Q_1(t)$ and $Q_2(t)$ can vary from zero to s_1 and s_2 , respectively. The state diagram will hence be three-dimensional as shown in Figure 6, where transitions along the x-axis show arrivals of customers from queue 1 while transitions along the y-axis show arrivals of customers from queue 2. The z-axis shows the current location of the server within the cycle, with the front xy-plane showing the service of packets from queue 1 and the back xy-plane showing the service of packets from queue 2. State diagram of

Fig. 5. System diagram for a two-queue cyclic service system

such systems usually consists of two parts – a boundary portion and a repeating portion. The boundary portion usually shows the states and transitions when the queues of the system are either empty or full, while the repeating portion usually shows the states and transitions when there is something in the queues but the queues are still not full. For very large state diagrams, such a depiction is very useful in studying the behavior of the system. Figure 7 shows a simplified view of the repeating portion of the state diagram in which transitions to and from just one state are shown. The server will switch from one queue to the other with a mean rate of ϵ .

Using the state diagram, the state probabilities p_i of all the states can be calculated by solving the system of linear equations. Using these state probabilities, the mean number in system and mean number in queue can then be found using the following equations.

Mean number of customers in system:

$$E[N] = \sum_{x=0}^{s+1} x p_x \tag{1}$$

Mean number of customers in queue:

$$E[Q] = \sum_{x=1}^{s+1} (x - 1) p_x \tag{2}$$

From these equations, using the Little’s theorem (Little, 1961), we get
Mean time in system:

$$T_S = \frac{E[N]}{\lambda} \tag{3}$$

Mean waiting time:

$$T_W = \frac{E[Q]}{\lambda} \tag{4}$$

Fig. 6. State diagram for a two-queue cyclic service system

Fig. 7. Simplified view of the transitions to and from a state for a two-queue cyclic service system

An important point to note here is that the number in system are being considered, i.e., number in queue plus any customer that may be in service, and not just the number in queue. Hence in the state diagram of Figure 6 as well as the equations, Q_1 goes from 0 to $s_1 + 1$ and not s_1 , while Q_2 goes from 0 to $s_2 + 1$ and not s_2 .

Using (1) to (4), the various characteristic measures can be calculated for each queue as given in (5) to (8).

$$E[N_1] = \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1+1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, 1) + \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, 2) \quad (5)$$

$$E[Q_1] = \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=2}^{s_1+1} (i_1 - 1) P(i_1, i_2, 1) + \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, 2) \quad (6)$$

$$T_{S_1} = \frac{E[N_1]}{\lambda_1} \quad (7)$$

$$T_{W_1} = \frac{E[Q_1]}{\lambda_1} \quad (8)$$

When a customer arrives in a system and finds the server busy, it has to wait. If all the probabilities for the states in which the customer has to wait are summed up, the probability of waiting is obtained. Similarly, when a customer arrives to a system and finds the queue full, it will be blocked. If all the probabilities of such states are summed, the probability of blocking is obtained. The probabilities of waiting and blocking for this system are as follows:

$$W_1 = \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} P(i_1, i_2, 1) + \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} P(i_1, i_2, 2) \quad (9)$$

$$B_1 = \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} P(s_1 + 1, i_2, 1) + \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} P(s_1, i_2, 2) \quad (10)$$

4.2.2 Results

The various characteristic measures for customers in queue 1 will be affected not only by the queue length and arrival rate in queue 1, but also the arrival rate and maximum queue size of queue 2. Similarly, the switchover rate, although ignored during service, may still have an effect on the characteristic measures, especially at lower arrival rates and needs to be studied further.

In order to study these effects, various characteristic measures for customers in queue 1 given by (5) to (10) are plotted against arrival rate in queue 1 for different queue sizes and different arrival rates in queue 2. Symmetric as well as asymmetric traffic loads and queue sizes for both queues are studied.

Figure 8 shows the mean number of customers in queue 1 against varying arrival rate in queue 1, for various queue capacities. The graph shows that the mean number of customers in queue 1 increases slowly for low arrival rates up to 0.4, but increases rapidly from 0.4 to 0.7. It then stabilizes and levels out after the saturation point (arrival rate of 1.0). The graph also shows that increasing the capacity in queue 2 from 3 to 10 has a very small effect on the mean number of customers in queue 1. On the other hand, Figure 9 shows the mean number of customers

in queue 1 against varying arrival rate in queue 1, for various arrival rates in queue 2. It can be clearly seen that the arrival rate of queue 2 has a significant effect on the queue length distribution in queue 1. At low arrival rates in queue 2, the rate of increase in the queue length of queue 1 is much slower than the rate of increase observed for a high arrival rate in queue 2, as on average, the server spends more time serving customers of queue 2, especially at lower arrival rates of queue 1.

Fig. 8. Effect of varying queue sizes of queues 1 and 2 on number of customers in queue 1 for a two-queue system

Figures 10 and 11 show the mean waiting time for customers of queue 1 against the arrival rate of customers in queue 1, for varying queue capacities of both queues and varying arrival rate of customers in queue 2. Here again, a similar behavior is seen, whereby the queue capacity of queue 2 has a very small effect on the waiting time of customers in queue 1, as shown in Figure 10, but the increase of the arrival rate in queue 2 significantly increases the mean waiting time of customers in queue 1. Finally, in Figures 12 and 13, the effect of queue 2 on the probability of blocking and the probability of waiting for customers in queue 1 is observed. Only the effect of increasing the arrival rate in queue 2 are shown as it has been observed that queue capacity of queue 2 has little effect on measures of queue 1. Here again, it is observed that a lower arrival rate in queue 2 results in a gradual increase in the blocking and waiting for customers of queue 1 as compared to a higher arrival rate, in which case this increase is quite abrupt.

4.3 Generalization to n-queue systems

An n-queue cyclic service system requires $n + 1$ state variables to describe a state and hence, an $n + 1$ dimensional state diagram. An important feature that is observed in these systems is the symmetry of the model. Extending the two-queue model to a more general n-queue model

Fig. 9. Effect of varying arrival rate to queue 2, on number of customers in queue 1 for a two-queue system

Fig. 10. Effect of varying queue sizes of queues 1 and 2, on waiting time of customers in queue 1 for a two-queue system

Fig. 11. Effect of varying arrival rate to queue 2, on waiting time of customers in queue 1 for a two-queue system

Fig. 12. Effect of varying arrival rate and maximum queue size of queue 2 on probability of blocking for customers in queue 1, for a two-queue system

Fig. 13. Effect of varying arrival rate and maximum queue size of queue 2, on probability of waiting for customers in queue 1, for a two-queue system

is quite straight-forward. The complex part is the difficulty in drawing a state diagram with more than three dimensions. Due to the symmetry of the model, however, it is quite sufficient to draw a subset of the diagram for the boundary portion and the repeating portion of the system. The derivation of the system equations is also straightforward and (11) to (16) give the various measures for an n -queue system with switchover time ignored during service. The mean number in system and mean number in queue are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} E[N_1] = & \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1+1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n, 1) \\ & + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n, 2) \\ & + \cdots + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n+1} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n, n) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E[Q_1] = & \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=2}^{s_1+1} (i_1 - 1) P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, 1) \\
& + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, 2) \\
& + \cdots + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n+1} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, n)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using Little's theorem, the mean time in system and the mean waiting time can be obtained as follows:

$$T_{S_1} = \frac{E[N_1]}{\lambda_1} \tag{13}$$

$$T_{W_1} = \frac{E[Q_1]}{\lambda_1} \tag{14}$$

The probability of waiting and probability of blocking can be calculated from the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 = & \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, 1) \\
& + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, 2) \\
& + \cdots + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n+1} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} P(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, n)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 = & \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} P(s_1 + 1, i_2, \dots, i_n, 1) \\
& + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2+1} P(s_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, 2) \\
& + \cdots + \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n+1} \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} P(s_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, n)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

5. Systems with non-zero switchover times

Cyclic service queueing systems have a broad range of applications in communication systems mainly as a means of providing fairness to incoming traffic. In such systems, the server is required to switch to the next traffic stream after serving one. Usually in optical networks, this switching is done very fast as compared to the service and hence, the switchover time – which is the time taken by the server to switch from one stream to the next – is usually ignored. This however, can cause large differences in the results, especially if the switchover time is not very small as compared to the service time.

This section presents an analytical study of an edge node that employs non-exhaustive cyclic service to serve various incoming streams as a two-stage process (serving and switchover), and finite size queues to model real systems as closely as possible. The effect of switchover time on the performance of such systems is then studied. Comparison of systems with various ratios of switchover times to the service times is also done and the scenarios under which switchover times cannot be ignored are discussed.

5.1 Cyclic service system with two-stage service

An n -queue cyclic service system with two-stage non-exhaustive service can be modelled as shown in Figure 14. The n queues, each of size s_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), are served in a round-robin manner by a server with a negative exponentially distributed service rate of mean μ . The arrival rate to each queue is Poisson with mean λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The average time taken by the server to switch over from one queue to the next is given by $1/\varepsilon$ where ε is the mean switchover rate.

At each scanning epoch, the server processes one packet in the queue if there is at least one packet waiting, with a rate of μ and then switches over to the next queue with a rate of ε . In case there is no waiting packet in the queue, the server simply switches over to the next queue with a switchover rate of ε .

Fig. 14. System model for a queueing system with two-stage cyclic service

5.1.1 Analysis

For an exact analysis, the system states can be described by a vector $\{Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_n(t), I(t), X(t)\}$, where $Q_i(t)$ is the number of packets in the i th queue, $I(t)$ is the current location of the server within the cycle and $X(t)$ is the age of the current service (Kuehn, 1979). In this study, a two-stage service process is assumed, with each of its two stages as a Markov process having mean rates of μ and ε for service and switchover,

respectively. $X(t)$ can then be ignored due to the PASTA (Poisson Arrivals See Time Averages) property of the service process, which leaves us the vector $\{Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_n(t), I(t)\}$ that accurately describes the system states.

In case of the two-stage process presented here however, an additional state is needed to differentiate between two switchover cases. The first occurs after the processing of the packet in a non-empty queue, in which case the service will be two-stage; and the switchover that occurs for an empty queue, in which case the service will consist of only the switchover. The state space is hence modelled using the vector $\{Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_n(t), I(t), K(t)\}$, where $K(t)$ is defined as the status of the server.

This study is restricted to two queues ($n = 2$). Each state is described by four parameters (i_1, i_2, j, k) , where i_1 is the number of packets in queue 1, i_2 is the number of packets in queue 2, j is the current location of the server within the cycle ($j = 1$ means the server is scanning queue 1 while $j = 2$ means the server is scanning queue 2) and k is the status of the server. This server status can have three possible values: $k = 0$ means that the server encountered an empty queue and will simply switchover to the next queue without processing a packet, $k = 1$ means that the server is processing a packet, and $k = 2$ means that the server is switching over to the next queue after processing a packet in a non-empty queue. The resulting three-dimensional state diagram with a queue capacity of two for each queue is shown in Figure 15. It can be easily seen that a state diagram for more than two queues needs a four-dimension representation, which is not possible to draw on paper.

Figure 16 shows a simplified view of the repeating portion of the state diagram in which transitions to and from just one state are shown. Note that these transitions can be divided into four main parts:

- Arrivals to queue 1, which result in an increment of i_1 . All other parameters describing the state remain unchanged.
- Arrivals to queue 2, which result in an increment of i_2 . All other parameters remain unchanged.
- Service followed by switchover from queue 1. In this case, processing of a packet results in a decrement of i_1 , while the server status changes to 2 from 1. Switchover then changes j to 2 from 1, indicating that server is now pointing at queue 2, while the server status k changes to 1 from 2 indicating that the server is ready to serve queue 2.
- Service followed by switchover from queue 2. In this case, processing of a packet results in a decrement of i_2 , while the server status k changes to 2 from 1. Switchover then changes j to 1 from 2, indicating that the server is now pointing at queue 1, while the server status changes to 1 from 2 indicating that the server is ready for service.

Figure 17 shows the states of the system and the transitions that occur when the queues are empty. Note that in this case, no packets will be processed and the service process will consist of just the switchover. The transitions would be similar to those described above with the exception that after service and switchover, if the server sees an empty queue, the server status k will go to 0 instead of 1.

The steady state solution of the state-space model shown in Figure 15 can be obtained by solving the system of equations that is obtained from the state diagram. The steady state probabilities thus obtained can be used to solve for the various system measures. The mean number in the system, $E[N_1]$ and the mean number in queue $E[Q_1]$ for packets of type 1, i.e., packets that arrive to queue 1 are given by (17) and (18), respectively.

Fig. 15. Three dimensional state diagram of a non-exhaustive cyclic queueing system with two queues, each of size two and a two-stage service process

Fig. 16. Simplified view of the transitions to and from a state when queue are not empty

Fig. 17. Simplified view of the transitions to and from a state when queue are empty

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[N_1] = & \sum_{i_2=0}^s \sum_{i_1=1}^{s+1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, 1, 1) \\
 & + \sum_{i_1=0}^s \left(i_1 P(i_1, 0, 2, 0) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{s+1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, 2, 1) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i_2=0}^s \sum_{i_1=0}^s i_1 P(i_1, i_2, j, 2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[Q_1] = & \sum_{i_2=0}^s \sum_{i_1=1}^{s+1} (i_1 - 1) P(i_1, i_2, 1, 1) \\
 & + \sum_{i_1=0}^s \left(i_1 P(i_1, 0, 2, 0) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{s+1} i_1 P(i_1, i_2, 2, 1) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i_2=0}^s \sum_{i_1=0}^s i_1 P(i_1, i_2, j, 2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Applying Little's law (Little, 1961), the mean system time, T_{S1} , and mean waiting time, T_{W1} , can be found from (17) and (18) as follows:

$$T_{S1} = \frac{E[N_1]}{\lambda_1} \tag{19}$$

$$T_{W1} = \frac{E[Q_1]}{\lambda_1} \tag{20}$$

The probability of waiting, W_1 , is obtained by summing up the probabilities of the state, where on arrival, a packet has to wait, and is given by (21) for the packets of queue 1, while the probability of blocking, B_1 , is obtained by summing up the state probabilities where queue 1 is full as given in (22).

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_1 = & \sum_{i_2=0}^s \sum_{i_1=1}^s P(i_1, i_2, 1, 1) + \sum_{i_1=0}^{s-1} \left(P(i_1, 0, 2, 0) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{s+1} P(i_1, i_2, 2, 1) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i_2=0}^s \sum_{i_1=0}^{s-1} P(i_1, i_2, j, 2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1 = & \sum_{i_2=0}^s P(s+1, i_2, 1, 1) + P(s, 0, 2, 0) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{s+1} P(s, i_2, 2, 1) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i_2=0}^s P(s, i_2, j, 2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

5.1.2 Results

The effect of varying the switchover rate and input load on the mean number in system, mean waiting time, probability of waiting and probability of blocking is studied. In Figure 18, the input load for queue 2 is fixed at 0.1 and queue size of both queues to 10. The resulting graph shows that for values of switchover rate comparable to the service rate, the queue capacity is reached quickly and at a much lower load as compared to when the switchover rate is ten times that of the service rate. This effect is significantly reduced when the switchover rate is increased to hundred times that of the service rate and beyond. The same effect can also be noted in Figure 19 that shows the mean waiting time against the arrival rate for queue 1.

In Figures 20 and 21, the load of queue 2 is also varied from 0.1 to 0.9 along with the switchover rate to service rate ratio from 1 to 10, to see their combined effect. An interesting phenomenon to note here is that when the switchover rate is equal to the service rate, the effect of varying the load of queue 2 does not significantly affect the mean number in queue or the mean waiting time for queue 1. However, when the switchover rate is ten times faster than the service rate, the effect of varying the load in queue 2 has a significant impact on the mean number in queue and the mean waiting time for customers in queue 1. Note that the mean waiting time increases rapidly with increasing traffic until a certain level, after which the overload in the system results in blocking, thus reducing the overall waiting.

Figure 22 shows the probability of waiting for customers in queue 1 for an arrival rate in queue 2 of 0.1. Probability of waiting is the probability that a customer, on entering the system, finds the server busy and has to wait in queue. Again it is observed that the effect of switchover rate is dominant when it is equal to the service rate but its impact is reduced as it is increased to 10 or 100 times the service rate.

Finally, Figure 23 shows the probability of blocking against the arrival rate of queue 1. The probability of blocking is the probability that the customer, on entering the system, finds the server and all queues full and is lost. Here, the switchover to service rate ratio as well as the arrival rate of queue 2 is varied again and it is observed that varying the arrival rate has little effect on the probability of blocking when the switchover and service rates are the same. However, at higher ratios of the switchover rate to service rate, the effect of varying the load on queue 2 has a big impact on the probability of blocking for customers in queue 1.

It can be concluded that switchover time should not be ignored in systems where the ratio of service time to switchover time is small (or conversely, the ratio of switchover rate to service rate is small) as it significantly affects the performance of the system. It is also observed that at switchover rates comparable to those of the service rates, the effect of varying arrival rates in the other queues has little effect on the system performance, but this effect becomes more pronounced as the ratio between switchover rate and service rate increases. Hence for high speed optical communication systems, like edge nodes that map Ethernet over SDH/SONET or burst assemblers in optical burst switching nodes, one should proceed with care whenever switchover times are involved as the high data rates usually mean that the ratio between the switchover rate and service rate might not be high enough to ignore the switchover rate during analysis.

6. Comparing systems with and without switchover times

In the previous section, the effect of the increase and decrease in the switchover time on the various characteristic measures was studied. This section compares identical cyclic service queueing systems with and without switchover times. Hence the results from Sections 5.4.2 and 5.5.1 will be reused to make this comparison.

Fig. 18. Effect of varying the switchover rate on number of customers in queue 1

Fig. 19. Effect of varying the switchover rate on mean waiting time for customers in queue 1

Fig. 20. Effect of varying the switchover rate and the arrival rate for queue 2 on number of customers in queue 1

Fig. 21. Effect of varying the switchover rate and the arrival rate for queue 2 on mean waiting time for customers in queue 1

Fig. 22. Effect of varying the switchover rate on probability of waiting for customers in queue 1

Fig. 23. Effect of varying the switchover rate and the arrival rate for queue 2 on probability of blocking for customers in queue 1

Figure 24 shows two sets of plots for the mean number in queue for customers of queue 1. The first set of plots is for systems in which the switchover rate is ignored during service. The second set of plots is for systems in which this rate is not ignored. These two sets of plots are drawn for switchover rates of 1, 10 and 100, respectively. It is clearly observed that for a switchover rate of 100 times the service rate, the plots for these two cases are almost identical. The difference, however, is not negligible when the switchover rate is decreased to 10 times the service rate. This difference becomes very significant when the switchover rate is of the order of the service rate. This shows that although for higher ratios of the switchover rate versus the service rate, it is safe to ignore the switchover rate during service, however, as this ratio decreases, the difference in values of the characteristic measures becomes too significant for the switchover time to be ignored.

Fig. 24. Comparison of the mean number in queue 1 for systems with and without switchover rates during service, for a queue size of 10 and arrival rate of 0.1 in queue 2

The same phenomenon can be observed in Figure 25, which shows two sets of plots for the mean waiting time for customers of queue 1. Here again, the first set of plots is for systems in which the switchover rate is ignored during service while the second set of plots is for systems in which this rate is not ignored. These two sets of plots are drawn for switchover rates of 1, 10 and 100, respectively. Again, it can be observed that for higher ratios of the switchover rate versus the service rate, it is safe to ignore the switchover rate during service, however, as this ratio decreases, the difference in values of the characteristic measures becomes too significant for the switchover time to be ignored. Typically for these systems, ratios of the switchover rate versus the service rate of more than 100 should be sufficiently large for the switchover time to be ignored. If this ratio is less than 100, ignoring the switchover time could lead to large differences in results.

Fig. 25. Comparison of the mean waiting time in queue 1 for systems with and without switchover rates during service, for a queue size of 10 and arrival rate of 0.1 in queue 2

7. Summary

This chapter presented an overview of the various types of polling systems. Polling systems were classified and the existing work was summarized. Cyclic service queueing systems and their applications in modern day communication systems were then discussed. While a lot of work has been done on polling systems with exhaustive service and infinite queues, with several closed form solutions, the work on finite queue, non-exhaustive cyclic polling systems is very limited, and only approximate solutions are available. Starting with a simple two-queue cyclic polling model with switchover time ignored during service, various characteristic measures were studied, including the mean waiting time and the blocking probability for the customers in the system. This simple two-queue model was then extended to an n -queue model and generalized formulae were developed. In most of the studies, the switchover time – an important parameter – has been ignored. In order to see the effect of the switchover time, especially in optical communication systems where ever increasing speeds imply an ever diminishing ratio of service time to switchover time, a two-stage service model was developed for a two-queue system with service followed by switchover. This model was then compared with the model in which switchover time was ignored during service. Significant differences were noted when the ratio of service time to switchover time was small. However, this difference was negligible where the ratio between service time and switchover time was greater than 100. It can thus be concluded that it is not always safe to ignore the switchover times. It is important to note that the various techniques discussed here have been mostly for small systems with two, or three-queues. It is straightforward to extend this study to multiple queues with large queue sizes because of the symmetric nature of the systems. The practical limita-

tion is due to the state space explosion that occurs when large systems are modelled, which result in large computational times and require heavy computational resources.

8. References

- Borst, S. C. & Boxma, O. J. (1997). Polling Models With and Without Switchover Times, *Operations Research* **45**(4): 536–543.
- Boxma, O. J. (1989). Workloads and Waiting Times in Single-server Systems with Multiple Customer Classes, *Queueing Systems* **5**: 185–214.
- Boxma, O. J. (2002). Two-Queue Polling Models with a Patient Server, *Operations Research* **112**: 101–121.
- Bruneel, H. & Kim, B. G. (1993). *Discrete-time Models for Communication Systems Including ATM*, Boston: Kluwer.
- Bux, W. & Truong, H. L. (1983). Mean-delay Approximation for Cyclic-Service Queueing Systems, *Performance Evaluation* **3**(3): 187–196.
- Choi, S. (2004). Cyclic Polling Based Dynamic Bandwidth Allocation for Differentiated Classes of Service in Ethernet Passive Optical Networks, *Photonic Network Communications* **7**(1): 87–96.
- Chung, H. U. C. & Jung, W. (1994). Performance Analysis of Markovian Polling Systems with Single Buffers, *Performance Evaluation* **19**(4): 303–315.
- Cooper, R. B. & Murray, G. (1969). Queues Served in Cyclic Order, *The Bell Systems Technical Journal* **48**: 675–689.
- Cooper, R. B. (1970). Queues Served in Cyclic Order : Waiting Times, *The Bell Systems Technical Journal* **49**: 399–413.
- Cooper, R. B., Niu, S.-C. & Srinivasan, M. M. (1996). A Decomposition Theorem for Polling Models: The Switch-over Times Are Effectively Additive, *Operations Research* **44**(4): 629–633.
- Eisenberg, M. (1971). Two Queues with Changeover Times, *Operations Research* **19**: 386–401.
- Eisenberg, M. (1972). Queues with Periodic Service and Changeover Times, *Operations Research* **20**: 440/451.
- Fuhrmann, S. W. (1992). A Decomposition Result for a Class of Polling Models, *Queueing Systems* **11**: 109/120.
- Grillo, D. (1990). Polling Mechanism Models in Communication Systems - Some Application Examples, *Stochastic Analysis of Computer and Communication Systems*, Amsterdam: North-Holland pp. 659–698.
- Hashida, O. (1972). Analysis of Multiqueue, *Review of the Electrical Communication Laboratories, Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Public Corporation* **20**(3): 189–199.
- Ibe, O. C. & Trivedi, K. S. (1990). Stochastic Petri Net Models of Polling Systems, *IEEE Journal for Selected Areas in Communications* **8**(9): 1649–1657.
- Jung, W. Y. & Un, C. K. (1994). Analysis of a Finite-buffer Polling System with Exhaustive Service Based on Virtual Buffering, *IEEE Transactions on Communications* **42**(12): 3144–3149.
- Kuehn, P. J. (1979). Multiqueue Systems with Nonexhaustive Cyclic Service, *The Bell Systems Technical Journal* **58**(3): 671–699.
- Lee, D.-S. (1996). A two-queue model with exhaustive and limited service disciplines, *Stochastic Models* **12**(2): 285–305.
- Leibowitz, M. A. (1961). An Approximate Method for Treating a Class of Multiqueue Problems, *IBM J. Res. Develop.* **5**: 204–209.

- Levy, H. & Sidi, M. (1990). Polling Systems: Applications, Modeling and Optimization, *IEEE Transactions on Communications* **38**(10): 1750–1760.
- Little, J. D. C. (1961). A proof of the queueing formula $L=\lambda W$, *Operations Research* **9**: 383–387.
- Mack, C., Murphy, T. & Webb, N. (1957). The efficiency of N machines unidirectionally patrolled by one operative when walking times and repair times are constants, *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B* **19**: 166–172.
- Magalhaes, M. N., McNickle, D. C. & Salles, M. C. B. (1998). Outputs from a Loss System with Two Stations and a Smart (Cyclic) Server, *Investigacion Oper.* **16**(1-3): 111–126.
- Miorandi, D., Zanella, A. & Pierobon, G. (2004). Performance Evaluation of Bluetooth Polling Schemes: An Analytical Approach, *ACM Mobile Networks Applications* **9**(2): 63–72.
- Nagle, J. B. (1987). On Packet Switches with Infinite Storage, *IEEE Transactions on Communications* **35**(4): 435–438.
- Onvural, R. O. & Perros, H. G. (1989). Approximate Throughput Analysis of Cyclic Queueing Networks with Finite Buffers, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* **15**(6): 800–808.
- Srinivasan, M. M., Niu, S.-C. & Cooper, R. B. (1995). Relating Polling Models with Nonzero and Zero Switchover Times, *Queueing Systems* **19**: 149–168.
- Takagi, H. (1986). *Analysis of Polling Systems*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, chapter 2.
- Takagi, H. (1988). Queueing analysis of polling models, *ACM Computing Surveys* **20**(1): 5–28.
- Takagi, H. (1990). Queueing analysis of polling models: an update, *Stochastic Analysis of Computer and Communication Systems*, Elsevier Science Publishers B. V. (North-Holland), Amsterdam pp. 267–318.
- Takagi, H. (1992). Analysis of an M/G/1//N Queue with Multiple Server Vacations, and its Application to a Polling Model, *J. Oper. Res. Soc. Japan* **35**: 300–315.
- Takagi, H. (1997). Queueing analysis of polling models: progress in 1990-1994, *Frontiers in Queueing: Models and Applications in Science and Technology*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida (Chapter 5): 119–146.
- Takagi, H. (2000). Analysis and Applications of Polling Models, *Performance Evaluation LNCS-1769*: 423–442.
- Takine, T., Takahashi, Y. & Hasegawa, T. (1986). Performance Analysis of a Polling System with Single Buffers and its Application to Interconnected Networks, *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communication SAC-4(6): 802–812.*
- Takine, T., Takahashi, Y. & Hasegawa, T. (1987). Analysis of a Buffer Relaxation Polling System with Single Buffers, *Proceedings of the Seminar on Queueing Theory and its Applications, May 11-13, Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, Japan* pp. 117–132.
- Takine, T., Takahashi, Y. & Hasegawa, T. (1990). Modelling and Analysis of a Single-buffer Polling System Interconnected with External Networks, *INFOR.* **28**(3): 166–177.
- Titenko, I. M. (1984). On Cyclically Served Multi-Channel Systems with Losses, *Avtom. Telemekh.* (10): 88–95.
- Tran-Gia, P. (1992). Analysis of Polling Systems with General Input Process and Finite Capacity, *IEEE Transactions on Communications* **40**(2): 337–344.
- Tran-Gia, P. & Raith, T. (1985a). Approximation for Finite Capacity Multiqueue Systems, *Conf. on Measurement, Modelling and Evaluation of Computer Systems, Dortmund, Germany*.
- Tran-Gia, P. & Raith, T. (1985b). Multiqueue Systems with Finite Capacity and Nonexhaustive Cyclic Service, *International Seminar on Computer Networking and Performance Evaluation, Tokyo, Japan*.

- Vishnevskii, V. M. & Semenova, O. V. (2006). Mathematical Models to Study the Polling Systems, *Automation and Remote Control* **67**(2): 173–220.
- Vishnevsky, V. M., Lyakhov, A. I. & Bakanov, A. S. (1999). Method for Performance Evaluation of Wireless Networks with Centralized Control, *Proceedings of Distributed Computer Communication Networks (Theory and Applications)*, Tel-Aviv, Israel pp. 189–194.
- Vishnevsky, V. M., Lyakhov, A. I. & Guzakov, N. N. (2004). An Adaptive Polling Strategy for IEEE 802.11 PCF, *Proceedings of 7th International Symposium on Wireless Personal Multimedia Communications, Abano Terme, Italy* **1**: 87–91.
- Ziouva, E. & Antonakopoulos, T. (2002/2007). Efficient Voice Communications over IEEE802.11 WLANs Using Improved PCF Procedures, *Proc. INC, Plymouth*.
- Ziouva, E. & Antonakopoulos, T. (2003). Improved IEEE 802.11 PCF Performance Using Silence Detection and Cyclic Shift on Stations Polling, *IEE Proceedings Communications* **150**(1): 45–51.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen

IntechOpen

Switched Systems

Edited by Janusz Kleban

ISBN 978-953-307-018-6

Hard cover, 174 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 01, December, 2009

Published in print edition December, 2009

This book presents selected issues related to switched systems, including practical examples of such systems. This book is intended for people interested in switched systems, especially researchers and engineers. Graduate and undergraduate students in the area of switched systems can find this book useful to broaden their knowledge concerning control and switching systems.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Khurram Aziz (2009). Effect of Switchover Time in Cyclically Switched Systems, Switched Systems, Janusz Kleban (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-018-6, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/switched-systems/effect-of-switchover-time-in-cyclically-switched-systems>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2009 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen