We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Lung Transplantation: A Final Option for End-Stage Interstitial Lung Diseases

Mohammed Fakhro and Sandra Lindstedt

Abstract

Lung transplantation (LTx) is an established, well-recognized medical intervention for treating patients with an end-stage irreversible pulmonary disease. Such diseases include interstitial lung disease (ILD), where other standard medical options often have been proven as insufficient. Post-operative survival after LTx depends on various factors such as the general and organ-specific recipient status in addition to donor organ condition and operative technique. The prolonged survival rates obtained during the 1980s and 1990s have established LTx in the medical field. Reflecting the improvements made in the field of organ preservation, operative technique, immunosuppressants, recipient and donor organ selection and prophylactic as well as direct treatment in the wide spectrum of possible infections in the recipient. Despite LTx being the golden standard for treating end-stage irreversible ILD, with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) as the most common cause, post-operative outcome is greatly hampered compared to the outcome of other patient categories within LTx. This chapter will provide insight in the outcome of ILD and subsequently IPF after LTx.

Keywords: lung, transplantation, post-operative outcome, long-term follow-up, interstitial lung diseases, interstitial pulmonary fibrosis

1. Introduction

Lung transplantation (LTx) is a known medical intervention for irreversible/end stage lung diseases, where standard medical treatment has been proven to be insufficient, such as patients with end-stage interstitial lung disease (ILD) [1].

Other medical interventions for ILD are restricted to corticosteroids and cytotoxic pharmaceuticals with reports showing up to 90% of this patient group as non-responsive to pharmaceuticals [2–4].

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) was the diagnosis for the first LTx among long-term surviving patients that was successful, performed in 1983 at Toronto. IPF is regularly found in recipients that have undertaken LTx for end-stage pulmonary disease [5, 6].

ILD is problematic to treat and often with poor prognosis. Especially IPF that has an outcome that is among the poorest in ILD, with a median survival of up to 3 years after time of diagnosis [7]. As IPF is an incurable disease, it has additionally been reported that if untreated presents with a 5-year survival of 30–50% [3, 4, 8, 9].

Post-operative outcome of LTx rests on several aspects, for instance general and organ specific patient status, donor graft status and operative method. The extended survival estimates attained in the 80s and 90s most probably mirror developments in graft preservation, operative practice, immunosuppressive agents, recipient/donor graft selection, and prophylactic therapies of opportunistic infections in the recipient [10].

These progressions in averting early adverse events have permitted a wider incline of indications in LTx with a steady liberalization of donor criteria. This has provided a general growth in LTx, although this quantity is yet to date constrained by donor organ scarcity. Even though numerous difficulties after LTx persist (e.g., opportunistic infections, graft rejection, and pathological relapse in the donor graft), LTx is the definitive option for candidates with advanced loss of pulmonary function triggered by advanced IPF. The quantity of LTxs achieved is principally restricted by the low availability of donor organs [11]. Survival is meager for IPF recipients when compared to basically all other pulmonary disease groups.

2. Patients and methods

2.1 Transplant procedure

Five types of Tx procedures are normally presented:

- Single lung transplantation (SLTx)
- Double lung transplantation (DLTx)
- Heart-lung transplantation (HLTx)
- Cadaveric lobar transplants (CLTx)
- Transplantation of lobes from living related donors

Throughout the course of listing a patient with ILD for LTx, the patient's indication for Tx as well as preference for DLTx or SLTx, comorbidities, operative risk, blood type, and donor specific antibodies are investigated beforehand. Both SLTx and DLTx are achieved in recipients with IPF and discussion is present yet to date whether SLTx or DLTx is the superior alternative in this specific group [12].

2.2 Recipient selection

Recipients are selected differently from center to center. In the Lund university model as an example, recipients can be selected according to the guidelines by the consensus report from the Pulmonary Scientific Council of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation [13]. Inclusion norms are often candidates diagnosed with chronic lung pathology who are non-responsive to other medical treatment. LTx candidates characteristically have a life expectancy of less than 18 months and are often dependent on additional oxygen present and are often physically restricted. Before entering a LTx programme, candidates usually undergo a clinical investigation that can consist of following:

- a. evaluation of kidney function (GFR) by iohexol clearance;
- b. immunological screening;
- c. microbiological screening;
- d. hematological and biochemical laboratory testing;
- e. virologic screening;
- f. dental examination;
- g. densitometry;
- h. stress test with arterial blood gas, lung scintigraphy and spirometry;
- i. Doppler of the carotid arteries (>50 years);
- j. CT scan of the chest and abdomen;
- k. echocardiogram;
- l. coronary angiography of coronary blood vessels; and
- m. 24 hours pH evaluation, sometimes followed by gastroscopy.

Patients are often reviewed by a multidisciplinary team before accepted for LTx. Recipient/donor matching can be based on ABO blood type and graft size.

The United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) has implemented a lung allocation score (LAS) in the United States. The LAS is a resolve to classify patients in most need of a LTx. The LAS is designed by means of numerous evaluations of a patient's status that approximate outcome and predicting the period of survival with or without a LTx. Application of the LAS gave rise to an improved quantity of IPF patients getting a LTx as IPF developed into the biggest and most common major indication to accept a LTx in 2007 in the United States [14]. In 2011, patients with IPF yielded the biggest proportion (46%) of candidates on the LTx wait-list in the US.

Diverse clinical methods are utilized to properly rank for LTx. Biopsy results are supportive in deciding the precise diagnosis for IPF [13, 15]. Conventionally, numerous clinicians have utilized lung function tests to decide the appropriate period of listing a ILD/IPF patient for LTx, with a report that lung function testing through diffusion pulmonary function for CO < 39% may predict early mortality [16] in addition to 6-minute walk test (6MWT) that can predict early mortality [17]. Radiologic findings are of great value in sorting ILD patients in terms of hazard. High-resolution computed tomography has shown that a greater grade of fibrosis forecasts greater risk for death [18, 19].

Patients with IPF although placed on the waiting list for LTx, such a patient is still exposed to great hazard. In history, IPF patients have the highest waiting list mortality estimates of all candidates for LTx [20, 21]. Before the LAS, candidates with IPF showed a waiting list mortality between 28 and 47% with other recipient groups presenting a waiting-list mortality at only 15% [2, 3, 22]. Due to the great risk in mortality as well as morbidity on the waiting list, it is of great interest to investigate methods to optimize this process. 15-step oximetry test, 6MWT as well as

the quantity of oxygen that the IPF recipient is dependent on have all a role to play in reflecting the risk of mortality on the waiting list [22–24]. Further improvements are needed in maintaining the well-being of this patient group in waiting for a LTx.

2.3 Donor organ selection

Matching a donor lung with a recipient can be grounded on donor/recipient height and even pulmonary volume from chest radiographs [25, 26]. The optimal grade of fit is not known and the association between donor and recipient do not have to be a perfect match, but a close match is favored in terms of size [27].

“Ideal donor criteria” are often thought of as age < 55 years, normal chest X-ray, $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 > 300$ mmHg at five PEEP, <20 pack year smoking history, lack of chest trauma and lack of aspiration/sepsis [27, 28].

2.4 Immunosuppression

Maintenance of immunoregulation depends on the center and region. With the Lund University model as an example, it has remained more or less the same during the LTx programmes inception, based on a practice of cyclosporine, corticosteroids and azathioprine or mykofenolatmofetil as a lifelong routine.

2.5 Antimicrobial and infection prophylaxis

LTx patients are at increased hazard for infectious difficulties because of subsequent features:

- High level of immunosuppression to prevent rejection
- Adversative consequences from Tx on the lung host defenses
- Persistent environmental interaction permitting microbiological agents direct admission into the graft

The probability and category of infection differs with the degree of recipient immunosuppression, timing since LTx, variety and period of anti-microbial prophylaxis in addition to the local center and regional microbiology. The most common type presented in LTx recipients are bacterial pneumonia [29, 30].

Prophylactic administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics such as carbapenem are preferred until cultures can be available and then initiate target treatment for specific infection. Antiviral therapy may be directed against CMV for recipients with positive CMV serology. Preoperative screening for CMV is performed routinely in recipients/donors. Antifungal prophylaxis may include low-dose fluconazole for *Candida* and sulfametoxazol/trimethoprim for *Pneumocystis carinii*. Broad-spectrum antibiotics with colistin may be utilized for *Pseudomonas* if present.

2.6 Follow-up

Routine follow-up after LTx is intended to avert complications or to distinguish them as soon as possible. While follow-up is most rigorous during the first year after LTx, it is necessary to proceed with a lifetime of follow-up of the LTx recipient. Pulmonary function progressively advances and typically scopes a plateau by the end of year 1 after LTx [31, 32].

The practices include:

- Follow-up with a (trained) nurse coordinator
- Check-ups by a pneumonologist
- Chest X-ray
- Spirometry
- (Bronchoscopy)
- Selected hematological testing to supervise the immunosuppressive serum levels

There are also possibilities for the LTx recipient to be undergo follow-up from the home, such as daily home spirometry. This form of monitoring has been associated with earlier detection of chronic forms of rejection [33–37].

3. Outcomes after LTx

3.1 Survival

Outcome after LTx can be evaluated founded on numerous different measures: survival, quality of life, physiologic changes and cost benefit [38, 39]. Survival is feasibly the most objective calculation of outcome. The International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) has created a registry of survival estimates from international data that have become a benchmark for the field [40, 41]. According to the 2017 ISHLT registry report, the median survival for all adult LTx recipients is 6 years [38]. Nevertheless, it is uncertain if survival benefit is primarily connected to the type of procedure or the underlying LTx patient's features that does the impact. A report that assessed the influence of patient age and procedure on the survival outcome of patients with IPF showed that SLTx recipients younger than 60 years displayed a survival benefit over DLTx in the same age category [6].

The influence of the diagnostic type of recipient on survival after LTx has been studied expansively [38, 42, 43]. The primary major indication is habitually connected to age. Furthermore, specific diagnostic groups have a greater hazard of complications during LTx and primary graft dysfunction (PGD). Nevertheless, patients with COPD have the most superior first-year survival but then again a worse 10-year survival compared to other recipient groups such as pulmonary hypertension, sarcoidosis, and alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency patients [38]. Those with IPF have the lowest 10-year outcome when compared to all other diagnostic groups.

Multiple report that have studied the post-LTx survival for IPF patients showed that 1-year survival range from 68 to 80%, 3-year survival from 50 to 61% and 5-year survival between 32 and 59%, with earlier LTx periods even tend to have worse outcome than recent LTxs performed [44–48]. There are various reports in post-LTx survival from monocentric data from Europe, North America as well as Brazil and Australia that show corresponding survival data for 1-year survival data ranging between 25 and 87% while 5-year survival has showed between 33 and 63% [22, 49–58].

3.2 DLTx versus SLTx

SLTx was the typical Tx type for recipients with IPF for several years and survival outcomes were analogous to other diagnostic groups within LTx [2, 5, 6, 22]. Though, a major proportion of IPF recipients are presently undergoing DLTx [59–61].

In 2011, almost half of all IPF patients where DLTxs while the other half where almost entirely SLTx [62]. More than 60% of LTxs in 2014 for IPF recipients was DLTx while in 1991 this number was only 15% [60]. This alteration in trend is not entirely clear but it has been proposed that probable factors are better operative technique in addition to improved pulmonary function and survival for DLTx.

IPF patients that underwent DLTx has shown significantly better survival for DLTx versus SLTx, where survival has improved for DLTx patients with IPF compared to SLTx patients in this patient category [63]. Especially if IPF patients manage to survive beyond the 10-year mark, with attributed survival benefits in DLTx [64]. However it has also been reported that IPF patients that underwent DLTx do have an increased mortality risk in the early postoperative period [47]. In addition, when adjusting for risk factors in such analyses for DLTx vs. SLTx among IPF patients, no difference in outcome has been shown [65]. Other single centers such as from the US and Australia and data from the ISHLT from the period of 1994 to 2004 showed no difference in outcome regarding DLTx vs. SLTx for IPF patients [53, 66–68]. Interestingly, European centers has been able to show in contrast from the literature that SLTx has the ability to yield survival benefit for IPF patients than DLTx [69].

Living donor lobar LTx has been proposed as an option for LTx candidates with end-stage IPF with low probability to survive the entire period on the waiting list for standard donor LTx [70].

3.3 Ventilator support, intensive care and postoperative stay

Few studies have reported data on the early period in hospital stay post-LTx. One single center in Sweden however has reported ventilator support, intensive care unit stay and overall post-operative stay until discharge for all types of LTx recipients including IPF recipients [71]. This report showed that the median time for ventilator support after LTx was 2 days while for IPF patients that required almost 3 days. The same pattern followed for intensive care unit time after LTx, showing a median of over 6 days for all recipients while PF patients had a median of 8 days. Finally, the total time of hospital stay after LTx was a median of 43 days in all recipients while IPF patients had one of the longest at 46 days.

The studies that report post-LTx in hospital time and need of medical resources suggest that LTx in IPF patients has been associated with substantial resource use.

3.4 Cause of death and complications

Among IPF patients who underwent a LTx in the United States (1987–2009), the principal cause of death was infection or sepsis causing almost a quarter of the deaths of IPF recipients [2, 22, 47, 50, 55, 65, 68, 72, 73], followed by bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS)/chronic rejection [74].

As infection is the number one cause regarding complications, others causes include hemodynamic instability, kidney failure, myopathy, bleeding, and reoperation [75]. Almost a tenth of all LTx recipients may experience airway complications [76]. Malignancy is a long-term complication that almost a third of IPF patients may experience at 10 years after LTx [77]. In addition it is more common with lung embolisms in IPF patients with over a quarter of all patients in this category [49].

3.5 Rejection

PGD, a form of acute rejection is a severe complication that is a risk for all LTx recipients which include ILD patients. The background for this pathology depends on several factors though ischemia-reperfusion injury is assumed to be the main instrument of this pathology [78]. The incidence has been reported to be between 10 and 25% [78, 79]. Nonetheless, PGD in ILD patients are significantly more associated with worse mortality in the early outcome, whilst in the long-term is has been associated with increased risk of a form of chronic rejection as BOS [80]. Despite pulmonary hypertension recipients having a higher incidence of ischemia-reperfusion injury, recipients with IPF have a higher probability of experiencing ischemia-reperfusion injury than COPD patients, putting them at greater hazard of experiencing PGD [81].

BOS, a form of chronic lung allograft dysfunction, is the biggest factor hampering long-term outcome. Regrettably, it is likewise a common obstacle in LTx, where LTx recipients in general experience an incidence of almost 30% after 2 years and three quarters of recipients experience this pathology after 10 years [77, 82]. Recipients with IPF have a greater hazard of contracting PGD and therefore a greater hazard of BOS, where it is proposed that this patient group have steeper deterioration in lung function and greater risk of mortality than recipients without IPF that has been exposed to BOS [83, 84].

4. Conclusions

LTx is a well-known treatment strategy for an extensive number of irreversible end-stage pulmonary disease, including ILD with focus on IPF. There is yet to date a great gap among patients that is qualified and patients that are admitted for a LTx. IPF patients have a greater mortality on the waiting list versus other major indications. A great share of IPF patients deace before the possibility of undergoing LTx. This makes it important to prioritize this patient population for LTx as the need is unfortunately not met. IPF patients have been linked to greater risk of complications than other patient groups in parameters such as time in the intensive care unit, time in mechanical ventilator and in hospital time. It is still not entirely clear whether a SLTx or a DLTx is of greatest benefit to a IPF patient. The most recent trend has been in favor for DLTx rather than SLTx. It has been suggested that the increased survival in IPF patients is due to DLTx while on the other hand the data is conflicting. It is possible that a more accurate survival benefit is resulted among different subcategories of IPF candidates whilst on the other hand certain IPF candidates may not yield any survival benefit at all from a DLTx where greater understanding is required.

The application of the LAS has reduced the time on the waiting list and improved survival by yielding precedence for patients that are in the greatest need for a LTx. The implementation of the LAS gave rise to the IPF patient category to even surpass COPD as the greatest indication to undergo LTx. However, carrying out LTx in candidates with a greater LAS score does result in more extensive use of medical resources.

There is no curative treatment for IPF patients with a miserable prognosis. Up-to-date strategies for the inclusion of LTx candidates endorse that suitable IPF patients ought to be recruited for LTx at the earliest. IPF recipients show the worst outcome of all LTx patients in addition to the donor pool being hampered by the scarcity of donor organs. It is therefore essential that qualified ILD patients and above all IPF patients get selected for LTx in order to attain favorable long-term outcomes.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Declarations

None declared.

Acronyms and abbreviations

LTx	lung transplantation
ILD	interstitial lung disease
IPF	idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
SLTx	single lung transplantation
DLTx	double lung transplantation
HLTx	heart-lung transplantation
CLTx	cadaveric lobar transplants
UNOS	The United Network for Organ Sharing
LAS	lung allocation score
6MWT	6-minute walk test
ISHLT	The International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation
PGD	primary graft dysfunction
BOS	bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome

Author details

Mohammed Fakhro and Sandra Lindstedt*
Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Skåne University Hospital, Lund University,
Lund, Sweden

*Address all correspondence to: sandra.lindstedt_ingemansson@med.lu.se

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1] Van Trigt P, Davis RD, Shaeffer GS, Gaynor JW, Landolfo KP, Higginbotham MB, et al. Survival benefits of heart and lung transplantation. *Annals of Surgery*. 1996;**223**:576-584
- [2] Meyers BF, Lynch JP, Trulock EP, Guthrie T, Cooper JD, Patterson GA. Single versus bilateral lung transplantation for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: A ten-year institutional experience. *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2000;**120**:99-107
- [3] Sulica R, Teirstein A, Padilla ML. Lung transplantation in interstitial lung disease. *Current Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine*. 2001;**7**:314-322
- [4] Wahidi MM, Ravenel J, Palmer SM, McAdams HP. Progression of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in native lungs after single lung transplantation. *Chest*. 2002;**121**:2072-2076
- [5] Toronto Lung Transplant G. Unilateral lung transplantation for pulmonary fibrosis. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1986;**314**:1140-1145
- [6] Meyer DM, Edwards LB, Torres F, Jessen ME, Novick RJ. Impact of recipient age and procedure type on survival after lung transplantation for pulmonary fibrosis. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2005;**79**:950-957 discussion 957-958
- [7] Raghu G, Collard HR, Egan JJ, Martinez FJ, Behr J, Brown KK, et al. An official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT statement: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: Evidence-based guidelines for diagnosis and management. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2011;**183**:788-824
- [8] Elicker BM, Golden JA, Ordovas KG, Leard L, Golden TR, Hays SR. Progression of native lung fibrosis in lung transplant recipients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2010;**104**:426-433
- [9] O'Beirne S, Counihan IP, Keane MP. Interstitial lung disease and lung transplantation. *Seminars in Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2010;**31**:139-146
- [10] Hosenpud JD, Bennett LE, Keck BM, Fiore B, Boucek MM, Novick RJ. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Sixteenth official report—1999. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 1999;**18**:611-626
- [11] Glanville AR, Estenne M. Indications, patient selection and timing of referral for lung transplantation. *The European Respiratory Journal*. 2003;**22**:845-852
- [12] Rinaldi M, Sansone F, Boffini M, El Qarra S, Solidoro P, Cavallo N, et al. Single versus double lung transplantation in pulmonary fibrosis: A debated topic. *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2008;**40**:2010-2012
- [13] Orens JB, Estenne M, Arcasoy S, Conte JV, Corris P, Egan JJ, et al. International Guidelines for the selection of lung transplant candidates: 2006 update—A consensus report from the Pulmonary Scientific Council of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2006;**25**:745-755
- [14] Egan TM, Murray S, Bustami RT, Shearon TH, McCullough KP, Edwards LB, et al. Development of the new lung allocation system in the United States. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2006;**6**:1212-1227
- [15] Monaghan H, Wells AU, Colby TV, du Bois RM, Hansell DM, Nicholson AG. Prognostic implications of

histologic patterns in multiple surgical lung biopsies from patients with idiopathic interstitial pneumonias. *Chest*. 2004;**125**:522-526

[16] Mogulkoc N, Brutsche MH, Bishop PW, Greaves SM, Horrocks AW, Egan JJ, et al. Pulmonary function in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and referral for lung transplantation. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2001;**164**:103-108

[17] Lama VN, Flaherty KR, Toews GB, Colby TV, Travis WD, Long Q, et al. Prognostic value of desaturation during a 6-minute walk test in idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2003;**168**:1084-1090

[18] Flaherty KR, Travis WD, Colby TV, Toews GB, Kazerooni EA, Gross BH, et al. Histopathologic variability in usual and nonspecific interstitial pneumonias. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2001;**164**:1722-1727

[19] Flaherty KR, Toews GB, Travis WD, Colby TV, Kazerooni EA, Gross BH, et al. Clinical significance of histological classification of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. *The European Respiratory Journal*. 2002;**19**:275-283

[20] Hosenpud JD, Bennett LE, Keck BM, Edwards EB, Novick RJ. Effect of diagnosis on survival benefit of lung transplantation for end-stage lung disease. *Lancet*. 1998;**351**:24-27

[21] De Meester J, Smits JM, Persijn GG, Haverich A. Listing for lung transplantation: Life expectancy and transplant effect, stratified by type of end-stage lung disease, the Eurotransplant experience. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2001;**20**:518-524

[22] Thabut G, Mal H, Castier Y, Groussard O, Brugiere O, Marrash-Chahla R, et al. Survival benefit of

lung transplantation for patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2003;**126**:469-475

[23] Lederer DJ, Arcasoy SM, Wilt JS, D'Ovidio F, Sonett JR, Kawut SM. Six-minute-walk distance predicts waiting list survival in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2006;**174**:659-664

[24] Shitrit D, Rusanov V, Peled N, Amital A, Fuks L, Kramer MR. The 15-step oximetry test: A reliable tool to identify candidates for lung transplantation among patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2009;**28**:328-333

[25] Eberlein M, Permutt S, Chahla MF, Bolukbas S, Nathan SD, Shlobin OA, et al. Lung size mismatch in bilateral lung transplantation is associated with allograft function and bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Chest*. 2012;**141**:451-460

[26] Eberlein M, Reed RM, Permutt S, Chahla MF, Bolukbas S, Nathan SD, et al. Parameters of donor-recipient size mismatch and survival after bilateral lung transplantation. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2012;**31**:1207-1213, e1207

[27] Mason DP, Batizy LH, Wu J, Nowicki ER, Murthy SC, McNeill AM, et al. Matching donor to recipient in lung transplantation: How much does size matter? *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2009;**137**: 1234-1240 e1231

[28] Chaney J, Suzuki Y, Cantu E 3rd, van Berkel V. Lung donor selection criteria. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 2014;**6**:1032-1038

[29] Aguilar-Guisado M, Givalda J, Ussetti P, Ramos A, Morales P, Blanes M, et al. Pneumonia after lung

- p>transplantation in the RESITRA Cohort: A multicenter prospective study.
- American Journal of Transplantation*
- . 2007;7:1989-1996
- [30] Remund KF, Best M, Egan JJ. Infections relevant to lung transplantation. *Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society*. 2009;6:94-100
- [31] Mason DP, Rajeswaran J, Murthy SC, McNeill AM, Budev MM, Mehta AC, et al. Spirometry after transplantation: How much better are two lungs than one? *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2008;85:1193-1201, 1201 e1191-1192
- [32] Fakhro M, Ingemansson R, Algotsson L, Lindstedt S. Impact of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) and 6-minute walking distance at 3, 6, and 12 months and annually on survival and occurrence of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) after lung transplantation. *Annals of Transplantation*. 2017;22:532-540
- [33] Becker FS, Martinez FJ, Brunsting LA, Deeb GM, Flint A, Lynch JP 3rd. Limitations of spirometry in detecting rejection after single-lung transplantation. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 1994;150:159-166
- [34] Martinez JA, Paradis IL, Dauber JH, Grgurich W, Richards T, Yousem SA, et al. Spirometry values in stable lung transplant recipients. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 1997;155:285-290
- [35] de Wall C, Sabine D, Gregor W, Mark G, Axel H, Thomas F, et al. Home spirometry as early detector of azithromycin refractory bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2014;108:405-412
- [36] Robson KS, West AJ. Improving survival outcomes in lung transplant recipients through early detection of bronchiolitis obliterans: Daily home spirometry versus standard pulmonary function testing. *Canadian Journal of Respiratory Therapy*. 2014;50:17-22
- [37] Belloli EA, Wang X, Murray S, Forrester G, Weyhing A, Lin J, et al. Longitudinal forced vital capacity monitoring as a prognostic adjunct after lung transplantation. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2015;192:209-218
- [38] Chambers DC, Yusen RD, Cherikh WS, Goldfarb SB, Kucheryavaya AY, Khusch K, et al. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Thirty-fourth adult lung and heart-lung transplantation Report-2017; focus theme: Allograft ischemic time. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2017;36:1047-1059
- [39] Thabut G, Mal H. Outcomes after lung transplantation. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 2017;9:2684-2691
- [40] Trulock EP, Edwards LB, Taylor DO, Boucek MM, Keck BM, Hertz MI. Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Twenty-second official adult lung and heart-lung transplant report—2005. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2005;24:956-967
- [41] Yusen RD, Edwards LB, Kucheryavaya AY, Benden C, Dipchand AI, Goldfarb SB, et al. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Thirty-second official adult lung and heart-lung transplantation report—2015; focus theme: Early graft failure. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2015;34:1264-1277
- [42] Titman A, Rogers CA, Bonser RS, Banner NR, Sharples LD. Disease-specific survival benefit of lung transplantation in adults: A national

cohort study. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2009;**9**:1640-1649

[43] Liu V, Zamora MR, Dhillon GS, Weill D. Increasing lung allocation scores predict worsened survival among lung transplant recipients. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2010;**10**:915-920

[44] Edwards LB, Keck BM. Thoracic organ transplantation in the US. *Clinical Transplants*. 2002:29-40

[45] Chen H, Shiboski SC, Golden JA, Gould MK, Hays SR, Hoopes CW, et al. Impact of the lung allocation score on lung transplantation for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2009;**180**:468-474

[46] McCurry KR, Shearon TH, Edwards LB, Chan KM, Sweet SC, Valapour M, et al. Lung transplantation in the United States, 1998-2007. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2009;**9**:942-958

[47] Thabut G, Christie JD, Ravaud P, Castier Y, Dauriat G, Jebrak G, et al. Survival after bilateral versus single-lung transplantation for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2009;**151**:767-774

[48] Freitas MC. Trend in lung transplantation in the U.S.: An analysis of the UNOS registry. *Clinical Transplants*. 2010:17-33

[49] Nathan SD, Barnett SD, Urban BA, Nowalk C, Moran BR, Burton N. Pulmonary embolism in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis transplant recipients. *Chest*. 2003;**123**:1758-1763

[50] Schachna L, Medsger TAJ, Dauber JH, Wigley FM, Braunstein NA, White B, et al. Lung transplantation in scleroderma compared with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension.

Arthritis and Rheumatism. 2006;**54**:3954-3961

[51] Burton CM, Carlsen J, Mortensen J, Andersen CB, Milman N, Iversen M. Long-term survival after lung transplantation depends on development and severity of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2007;**26**:681-686

[52] Di Giuseppe M, Gambelli F, Hoyle GW, Lungarella G, Studer SM, Richards T, et al. Systemic inhibition of NF-kappaB activation protects from silicosis. *PLoS One*. 2009;**4**:e5689

[53] Keating D, Levvey B, Kotsimbos T, Whitford H, Westall G, Williams T, et al. Lung transplantation in pulmonary fibrosis: Challenging early outcomes counterbalanced by surprisingly good outcomes beyond 15 years. *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2009;**41**:289-291

[54] Algar FJ, Espinosa D, Moreno P, Illana J, Cerezo F, Alvarez A, et al. Results of lung transplantation in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients. *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2010;**42**:3211-3213

[55] Neurohr C, Huppmann P, Thum D, Leuschner W, von Wulffen W, Meis T, et al. Munich lung transplant G. potential functional and survival benefit of double over single lung transplantation for selected patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Transplant International*. 2010;**23**:887-896

[56] Machuca TN, Schio SM, Camargo SM, Lobato V, Costa CD, Felicetti JC, et al. Prognostic factors in lung transplantation: The Santa casa de Porto Alegre experience. *Transplantation*. 2011;**91**:1297-1303

[57] Nathan SD, Shlobin OA, Weir N, Ahmad S, Kaldjob JM, Battle E,

et al. Long-term course and prognosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in the new millennium. *Chest*. 2011;**140**:221-229

[58] Rivera-Lebron BN, Forfia PR, Kreider M, Lee JC, Holmes JH, Kawut SM. Echocardiographic and hemodynamic predictors of mortality in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Chest*. 2013;**144**:564-570

[59] Weiss ES, Allen JG, Merlo CA, Conte JV, Shah AS. Survival after single versus bilateral lung transplantation for high-risk patients with pulmonary fibrosis. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2009;**88**:1616-1625 discussion 1625-1616

[60] Christie JD, Edwards LB, Kucheryavaya AY, Benden C, Dobbels F, Kirk R, et al. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Twenty-eighth adult lung and heart-lung transplant report—2011. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2011;**30**:1104-1122

[61] Yusen RD, Edwards LB, Dipchand AI, Goldfarb SB, Kucheryavaya AY, Levvey BJ, et al. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Thirty-third adult lung and heart-lung transplant Report-2016; focus theme: Primary diagnostic indications for transplant. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2016;**35**:1170-1184

[62] Christie JD, Edwards LB, Kucheryavaya AY, Benden C, Dipchand AI, Dobbels F, et al. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: 29th adult lung and heart-lung transplant report—2012. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2012;**31**:1073-1086

[63] Force SD, Kilgo P, Neujahr DC, Pelaez A, Pickens A, Fernandez FG, et al. Bilateral lung transplantation

offers better long-term survival, compared with single-lung transplantation, for younger patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2011;**91**:244-249

[64] Weiss ES, Allen JG, Merlo CA, Conte JV, Shah AS. Factors indicative of long-term survival after lung transplantation: A review of 836 10-year survivors. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2010;**29**:240-246

[65] Mason DP, Brizzio ME, Alster JM, McNeill AM, Murthy SC, Budev MM, et al. Lung transplantation for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2007;**84**:1121-1128

[66] Trulock EP, Edwards LB, Taylor DO, Boucek MM, Keck BM, Hertz MI, et al. Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Twenty-third official adult lung and heart-lung transplantation report—2006. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2006;**25**:880-892

[67] Nwakanma LU, Simpkins CE, Williams JA, Chang DC, Borja MC, Conte JV, et al. Impact of bilateral versus single lung transplantation on survival in recipients 60 years of age and older: Analysis of united network for organ sharing database. *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2007;**133**:541-547

[68] De Oliveira NC, Osaki S, Maloney J, Cornwell RD, Meyer KC. Lung transplant for interstitial lung disease: Outcomes before and after implementation of the united network for organ sharing lung allocation scoring system. *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery*. 2012;**41**:680-685

[69] Smits JM, Vanhaecke J, Haverich A, de Vries E, Smith M, Rutgrink E, et al. Three-year survival rates for all consecutive heart-only and lung-only transplants performed in

Eurotransplant, 1997-1999. *Clinical Transplants*. 2003;89-100

[70] Date H, Tanimoto Y, Goto K, Yamadori I, Aoe M, Sano Y, et al. A new treatment strategy for advanced idiopathic interstitial pneumonia: Living-donor lobar lung transplantation. *Chest*. 2005;128:1364-1370

[71] Fakhro M, Ingemansson R, Skog I, Algotsson L, Hansson L, Koul B, Gustafsson R, Wierup P, Lindstedt S. 25-year follow-up after lung transplantation at Lund University Hospital in Sweden: Superior results obtained for patients with cystic fibrosis. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. Jul, 2016;23(1):65-73

[72] Wille KM, Gaggar A, Hajari AS, Leon KJ, Barney JB, Smith KH, et al. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and survival following lung transplantation for patients with sarcoidosis. *Sarcoidosis, Vasculitis, and Diffuse Lung Diseases*. 2008;25:117-124

[73] Saggar R, Khanna D, Furst DE, Belperio JA, Park GS, Weigt SS, et al. Systemic sclerosis and bilateral lung transplantation: A single Centre experience. *The European Respiratory Journal*. 2010;36:893-900

[74] Grossman RF, Frost A, Zamel N, Patterson GA, Cooper JD, Myron PR, et al. Results of single-lung transplantation for bilateral pulmonary fibrosis. The Toronto Lung Transplant Group. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1990;322:727-733

[75] Vicente R, Morales P, Ramos F, Sole A, Mayo M, Villalain C. Perioperative complications of lung transplantation in patients with emphysema and fibrosis: Experience from 1992-2002. *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2006;38:2560-2562

[76] de Perrot M, Chaparro C, McRae K, Waddell TK, Hadjiliadis D, Singer

LG, et al. Twenty-year experience of lung transplantation at a single center: Influence of recipient diagnosis on long-term survival. *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2004;127:1493-1501

[77] Christie JD, Edwards LB, Aurora P, Dobbels F, Kirk R, Rahmel AO, et al. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Twenty-sixth official adult lung and heart-lung transplantation Report—2009. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2009;28:1031-1049

[78] Lee JC, Christie JD. Primary graft dysfunction. *Clinics in Chest Medicine*. 2011;32:279-293

[79] Arcasoy SM, Fisher A, Hachem RR, Scavuzzo M, Ware LB, Dysfunction IWGoPLG. Report of the ISHLT working group on primary lung graft dysfunction part V: Predictors and outcomes. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2005;24:1483-1488

[80] Daud SA, Yusef RD, Meyers BF, Chakinala MM, Walter MJ, Aloush AA, et al. Impact of immediate primary lung allograft dysfunction on bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2007;175:507-513

[81] Fiser SM, Kron IL, McLendon Long S, Kaza AK, Kern JA, Tribble CG. Early intervention after severe oxygenation index elevation improves survival following lung transplantation. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2001;20:631-636

[82] Fakhro M, Broberg E, Algotsson L, Hansson L, Koul B, Gustafsson R, et al. Double lung, unlike single lung transplantation might provide a protective effect on mortality and bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery*. 2017;12:100

[83] Haider Y, Yonan N, Mogulkoc N, Carroll KB, Egan JJ. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in single lung transplant recipients—Patients with emphysema versus patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2002;**21**:327-333

[84] Lama VN, Murray S, Lonigro RJ, Toews GB, Chang A, Lau C, et al. Course of FEV(1) after onset of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2007;**175**:1192-1198