We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Data Mining Approaches for Understanding of Regulation of Expression of the Urea Cycle Genes

Ljubica Caldovic

Abstract

Urea cycle converts ammonia, a waste product of protein catabolism and a neurotoxin, into non-toxic urea. Urea cycle disorders are a group of rare genetic diseases that have protein-restricted diet as a common treatment modality. Expression of urea cycle genes is regulated in concert by the dietary protein intake, but the mechanism of this regulation is not well understood. Data mining of databases such as ENCODE and Cistrome can be used to gain new information about regulatory elements, transcription factors, and epigenetic mechanisms that regulate expression of urea cycle genes. This can lead to better understanding of the common mechanism, which regulates urea cycle genes, and can generate testable hypotheses about regulation of gene expression and new treatments for urea cycle disorders.

Keywords: urea cycle, transcriptional regulation, transcription factor, data mining, ChIP-Seq, epigenetic, histone modification, chromatin remodeler

1. Introduction

Transcriptional regulation of gene expression is essential for development, tissue differentiation, and organisms' responses to changes in their environment. Maintenance of homeostasis would be impossible without regulation of expression of genes that code for enzymes in the carbohydrate, fat, and protein metabolism. Omnivorous mammals, such as humans, mice, and rats, can adapt their metabolism to varying proportions of amino acids, fats, and carbohydrates as sources of energy [1, 2]. Strict carnivores, such as cats, lack such adaptive mechanisms [3]. Diet rich in carbohydrates and fats triggers utilization of these nutrients as sources of energy and storage of excess sugars and fat in the form of glycogen and adipose tissue [1, 4]. On the other hand, a diet rich in proteins imposes changes in nitrogen balance because excess proteins and amino acids cannot be stored [1]. High intake of proteins, combined with low intake of carbohydrates and fats, leads to utilization of amino acids as energy sources and results in increased catabolism of amino acids [1, 4] and increased need to dispose of waste nitrogen, generated in this process. Transcriptional regulation of expression of enzymes in the pathways for degradation of nutrients as well as biosynthesis of molecules that can be stored is necessary for adaptations to these dietary changes.

Urea cycle is a liver metabolic pathway that converts ammonia into urea. Ammonia is a waste product of catabolism of dietary and cellular proteins, and a potent neurotoxin [5]. Defects in any of the enzymes of the urea cycle lead to partial or complete block of urea production and accumulation of ammonia, which damages the brain and can be lethal [5]. Therefore, the physiological role of urea cycle is to protect the brain from the toxic effects of ammonia.

Five enzymes of the urea cycle, carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1 (CPS1), ornithine transcarbamylase (OTC), argininosuccinate synthase (ASS), argininosuccinate lyase (ASL) and arginase 1, catalyze conversion of ammonia into urea. In addition, N-acetylglutamate synthase (NAGS), ornithine/citrulline transporter (ORNT), and aspartate/glutamate transporter (also known as ARALAR2 or Citrin) are required for the normal function of the urea cycle. The pathway is partitioned between mitochondria and cytoplasm of the liver cells. NAGS, CPS1, and OTC are located in the mitochondrial matrix [6] while ASS, ASL, and arginase 1 are in the cytosol [6]. **Figure 1** shows enzymes, transporters, and intermediates of the urea cycle together with their cellular localization. The NAGS (EC 2.3.1.1) uses glutamate and acetyl coenzyme A to produce NAG, an obligatory allosteric activator of CPS1 [6–9]. Upon binding of NAGS, CPS1 (EC 6.3.4.16) consumes two molecules of ATP to catalyze formation of carbamoyl phosphate from ammonia and bicarbonate [6, 10]. Carbamoyl phosphate and ornithine, which is transported into mitochondria by ORNT, are converted to citrulline by OTC (EC 2.1.3.3), followed by the transport of citrulline from mitochondria into cytoplasm by ORNT [6]. ASS (EC 6.3.4.5) catalyzes formation of argininosuccinate from citrulline and aspartate, which is supplied by the Citrin [6]. The argininosuccinate is then converted

Figure 1. *Enzymes, transporters, and intermediates of the urea cycle. Urea cycle enzymes are shown in blue typeface: NAGS—N-acetylglutamate synthase, CPS1—carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1, OTC—ornithine transcarbamylase, ASS—argininosuccinate synthase, ASL—argininosuccinate lyase, and Arg1—arginase 1. Urea cycle transporters ORNT (ornithine/citrulline transporter) and Citrin (glutamate/aspartate transporter) are shown as ovals. The orange arrow indicates activation of CPS1 by N-acetylglutamate (NAG). AcCoA—acetyl coenzyme A. CoASH—coenzyme A.*

to arginine by the ASL (EC 4.3.2.1). Arginase 1 (EC 3.5.3.1) completes the cycle by hydrolyzing arginine into urea and ornithine, which is transported into mitochondria to be a substrate for OTC (**Figure 1**). Urea cycle genes and enzymes are not uniformly expressed in the liver; their expression follows a gradient from high in hepatocytes surrounding portal vein to no expression in hepatocytes surrounding central vein [11]. NAGS, CPSI, and OTC are also expressed in the small intestine, where they synthesize citrulline which is then transported to the kidneys for the biosynthesis of arginine by the ASS and ASL [6]. Argininosuccinate synthase and lyase also function in the NO signaling and are present in the tissues that express nitrous oxide synthase [5].

Long-term changes in dietary protein intake lead to adaptive changes in expression of urea cycle enzymes. Their expression increases in rats and monkeys fed high-protein diet and decreases upon feeding of low-protein diet [4, 12]. These adaptive changes seem to be mediated, at least in part, by the hormones glucagon, glucocorticoid, and insulin [13–25]. Glucagon and glucocorticoid hormones trigger changes in mRNA and protein levels of all five urea cycle enzymes, but the mechanisms responsible for these changes seem to differ for each enzyme [21]. It is not known whether changes in the dietary protein intake trigger similar changes in the expression of the NAGS gene because it has not been identified at the time. Also unknown are signaling cascades that mediate effects of hormones to regulate expression of urea cycle genes and whether specific amino acids and/or other metabolites act as sensors of the dietary protein intake.

Inspection of the regulatory regions of genes for urea cycle enzymes (**Figure 2**) does not reveal a common regulatory element that would bind one or more transcriptional factors to coordinately regulate transcription of all urea cycle genes [13, 26–36]. The studies of expression of urea cycle genes in knockout mice also show a lack of common regulatory mechanism. Ureagenesis is defective in mice lacking hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 α (HNF4 α) due to absence of OTC mRNA and protein [37] as well as in mice lacking CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α (C/EBP α) due to lack of CPSI mRNA [38]. However, ureagenesis appears normal in mice lacking C/EBP β although this transcriptional factor appears to regulate expression of the arginase 1 gene [39]. It is also unknown if short-term increases in nitrogen load following a meal trigger any change in expression of urea cycle enzymes.

This chapter focuses on the regulation of NAGS, CPS1, and OTC expression because their only known functions are protection of the brain from ammonia toxicity through participation in the urea cycle and intestinal biosynthesis of citrulline. The three genes share common expression pattern in the liver, intestine, and during development. Because of the role of urea cycle in protecting the brain from ammonia toxicity, expression of the three genes have been studied in much greater detail in the liver than intestinal cells. Detailed understanding of the transcriptional regulation of the urea cycle genes is important for our understanding of bodies' response to changes in the environment such as dietary changes as well as events that trigger increased catabolism of cellular proteins such as starvation, infections, and invasive medical procedures [6, 40–43]. Because regulation of expression of the mammalian CPS1 and OTC genes has been studied for more than three decades while expression of human NAGS, which was identified and cloned in 2002, took place less than a decade ago the approaches taken in these studies differed greatly. Knowledge of transcriptional regulation of mammalian CPS1 and OTC was gained through cloning of genomic DNA, construction of reporter gene plasmids with various fragments from the CPS1 and OTC regulatory regions and their expression in cultured cells and transgenic mice, whereas regulatory elements of the NAGS gene have been identified using comparative genomics approaches.

Figure 2. Transcriptional regulatory elements of the urea cycle genes. Hooked arrows indicate transcriptional initiation sites. Gray boxes—cis-acting regulatory elements. Proteins that bind to cis-acting regulatory elements are shown above each box. C/EBP—CCAAT/enhancer binding proteins; HNF4α—hepatic nuclear factor α ; COUP-TF—chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcriptional factor; FoxA—forkhead box A transcriptional factor/hepatic nuclear factor 3; GR—glucocorticoid receptor; P3—unidentified protein of approx. 75 kDa; P1, P2—two unidentified proteins; NF-Y—CCAAT-binding factor; AP-2—activator protein 2; Sp1—Sp1 transcriptional factor; CREB—cAMP response element binding transcription factor.

2. Transcriptional regulation of urea cycle genes

2.1 Transcriptional regulation of mammalian NAGS gene

Although the existence of mammalian NAGS gene and its product have been known since the 1950s [44], the gene remained elusive until 2002, when it was identified and cloned in mice and humans [45–48]. The human NAGS gene is located on chromosome 17 within band 17q21.31 and spans approximately 8.5 kb. This includes seven exons that encode a 1605 bp open reading frame, six introns, a promoter, and an enhancer located about 3 kb upstream of the transcription start sites [45, 47, 49–51]. The mouse *Nags* gene is located in the syntenic region on chromosome 11. Pairwise BLAST [52] was used for comparison of the regions upstream of the NAGS genes from seven mammals including human; this analysis revealed two conserved elements, one located immediately upstream of the first exon of

the *NAGS* gene and a putative regulatory element located about 3 kb upstream of the *NAGS* translation initiation site [50]. The pattern of DNA sequence conservation within the conserved region immediately upstream of the first exon of the *NAGS* gene suggested that it might consist of a promoter and a proximal regulatory element, which is similar to the *CPS1* regulatory region that will be described in the next section. Cis-element over-representation (CLOVER) software [53] was then used to identify binding sites for specificity protein 1 (Sp1), cAMP response element binding/activating transcription factor (CREB/ATF), and CCAAT-enhancer binding protein (C/EBP) transcription factors in the putative *NAGS* promoter, while activator protein-2 (AP2), hepatic nuclear factor 1 (HNF1), nuclear factor-Y (NF-Y), and mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 3 (SMAD3) binding sites were found in the upstream regulatory element, which was named –3 kb enhancer. These findings were then experimentally verified.

Reporter gene assays were used to confirm that conserved regions located adjacent to and –3 kb upstream of the first *NAGS* exon indeed function as a promoter and enhancer in the HepG2 hepatoma cells [50]. Consistent with the absence of the TATA-box in the *NAGS* promoter, transcription of the *NAGS* mRNA in the liver and intestine initiates at multiple sites located between 50 and 150 bp upstream of the *NAGS* translation initiation codon [50]. Binding of the Sp1 and CREB transcription factors to the *NAGS* promoter, and binding of the HNF1 and NF-Y transcription factors to the –3 kb enhancer were confirmed with chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and DNA pull-down assays [50]. Binding of HNF1 to the –3 kb *NAGS* enhancer is responsible for the liver-specific expression of the *NAGS* gene [50] and the role of HNF1 transcription factor in expression of the *NAGS* gene was confirmed when a sequence variant that caused decreased HNF1 binding to its site was found in a patient with *NAGS* deficiency [49].

2.2 Transcriptional regulation of mammalian *CPS1* gene

Regulatory region of the rat *Cps1* gene has been cloned in 1985 [54]. Almost all of our knowledge of transcriptional regulation of the *CPS1* gene is based on experiments with the rat *Cps1* gene in the rat and human hepatoma cell lines and transgenic mice. The aim of these studies was to elucidate the mechanism of regulation of *Cps1* expression by glucagon and glucocorticoids as well as identify regulatory elements that restrict *Cps1* expression to periportal hepatocytes [55–57].

A promoter, located immediately upstream of the first *Cps1* exon, a proximal enhancer that is immediately adjacent to the promoter, a distal enhancer located about 6 kb upstream of the *Cps1* translation initiation codon, and another regulatory element located about 10 kb upstream of the *Cps1* translation initiation site are responsible for transcriptional regulation of the rat *Cps1* gene [27, 29, 56, 58]. Transcription of the rat *Cps1* mRNA is initiated 138–140 bp upstream of the translation initiation site by the promoter that has TATA and CAAT motifs [59] and binds C/EBP transcription factor [29]. The distal *Cps1* enhancer consists of a cAMP response unit (CRU) and a glucocorticoid response unit (GRU); each response unit binds multiple transcription factors that activate *Cps1* expression in response to glucagon and glucocorticoids, and are responsible for *Cps1* expression in periportal hepatocytes [26, 55, 56, 60]. The CRU binds CREB, HNF3, C/EBP transcription factors, and a yet to be identified protein P1 [60, 61], while GRU binds glucocorticoid receptor, hepatocyte nuclear factor 3/forkhead box A (HNF3/FOXA), C/EBP, a 75 kDa protein P3, and a yet to be identified protein P2 [26, 61, 62]. The distal *Cps1* enhancer activates *Cps1* transcription via proximal enhancer that binds C/EBP and glucocorticoid receptor and is located immediately upstream of the *Cps1* promoter [63]. These studies of the rat *Cps1* gene regulation rest on the premise that the rat

gene is a good model for human *CPS1*. However, the two species have different metabolic rates due to their different sizes and regulation of the *CPS1* gene and urea cycle may differ in the two organisms. More recently, a region of the human *CPS1* gene that corresponds to the rat *Cps1* promoter and proximal enhancer has been shown to bind HNF3 and direct reporter gene expression in hepatoma cells [64]. Human *CPS1* gene is located on chromosome 2, band 2q34 where it spans approx. 125 kb and has 38 exons that encode a 1500 amino acids long protein.

2.3 Transcriptional regulation of mammalian OTC gene

The human *OTC* gene is 70 kb long and has 10 exons which contain a 1062 bp long coding sequence [65]. Transcription of the human *OTC* gene initiates at multiple transcription start sites (TSS) [66], while transcription of the mouse and rat *Otc* genes initiate at a single transcription start site located 136 and 98 bp upstream of the translation initiation codon [67, 68]. Within the rat *Otc* promoter, four regions, A–D, bind transcription factors that regulate expression of the *Otc* gene [31]. Region A is a negative regulator of *Otc* transcription [31], and transcription factors that bind to this region have not been identified. Regions B and C bind transcriptional activator HNF4, and transcriptional repressor chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter—transcription factor (COUP-TF) [30, 31]. Region D is located downstream of the transcription start site and its role in expression of the *Otc* gene remains to be elucidated [31].

The rat *Otc* promoter is sufficient for expression of transgenes in the liver and intestine of transgenic mice [69, 70]. An enhancer located approximately 11 kb upstream of the first exon of the rat *Otc* gene is responsible for a high level of expression of the *Otc* gene in the liver [31]. This –11 kb enhancer has four transcription factor-binding sites, designated I–IV [31]; sites I and II bind C/EBP β , while transcription factor HNF4 binds to sites I and IV in the rat *Otc* enhancer to activate expression of the *Otc* gene [32, 37, 38]. Since comparative genomics studies revealed that the distance between regions that correspond to the –11 kb rat *Otc* enhancer and *OTC* promoter vary in mammalian genomes, this region was renamed as the liver-specific enhancer (LSE) [71].

2.4 Transcriptional regulation of the NAGS, CPS1, and OTC genes in the genomics era

Advances in sequencing technology-enabled sequencing of dozens of mammalian genomes and comparisons of their sequences revealed conserved regions in non-coding regions that could function as regulatory elements [72, 73]. This strategy was used to identify NAGS promoter and enhancer [50]. Next-generation sequencing also enabled examination of the function of non-coding regions in the human and mouse genomes including their chromatin structure, and binding of transcription and chromatin remodeling proteins to generate an Encyclopedia of Non-coding DNA Elements (ENCODE). These studies were first carried out in the limited number of cultured cell lines, but are now expanding to include tissues and cultured primary cells and their results have been stored in the ENCODE database [74, 75]. In addition to these large-scale projects, many individual labs have been performing ChIP-Seq experiments and the publically available results of their experiments are being gathered in the Cistrome database [76]. The advantage of the Cistrome database is ability to compare chromatin states and track changes in binding of transcription factors in response to signaling molecules, treatments, and environmental stimuli. Data mining of the ENCODE and Cistrome databases present an opportunity to identify novel regulatory elements in the *NAGS*, *CPS1*,

and *OTC* genes and transcription factors that bind to the regulatory elements. Both databases were queried for chromatin modifications and binding of transcription factors to the *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes and their flanking regions in the liver tissue using following coordinates of the GRCh38/hg38 human genome assembly: chr17:43,994,682-44,012,832 for the *NAGS* gene, chr2:210,499,833-210,691,279 for the *CPS1* gene, and chrX:38,334,777-38,459,529 for the *OTC* gene. The following filters were applied to experimental matrix of the ENCODE database (www.encodeproject.org): organism—*Homo sapiens*, biosample type—tissue, organ—liver, project—ENCODE, genome assembly—GRCh38, assay category—ChIP-Seq, assay category—DNA binding, and target of assay—transcription factor, histone, broad and narrow histone mark, and chromatin remodeler. Results of the query were visualized using UCSC Genome Browser. Results of the ChIP-Seq experiments for the genomic region of interest can be downloaded as either wiggle or bed files using Tools and Table Browser menus of the UCSC Genome Browser. The ChIP-Seq data for each DNA binding protein and histone modification of interest can be acquired by selecting ENCODE Hub from the group menu, ENCODE ChIP-Seq from the track menu and experiment ID from the table menu of the Table Browser page. Cistrome Data Browser was used to query Cistrome database (www.cistrome.org); *Homo sapiens* was selected as species and hepatocyte as biological source. Experimental results that passed quality controls were visualized in the UCSC Genome Browser and results of experiments were obtained in the same way as for the ENCODE database.

The 5'-ends of each region were chosen based on the presence of RAD21, a component of cohesion, and CTCF binding that can indicate boundaries of chromatin domains, whereas the 3'-ends of the *NAGS* and *OTC* genomic region have been chosen to be either within or close to their downstream neighboring genes; the 3'-end of the *CPS1* genomic region was chosen to include a conserved region downstream of the last exon of the *CPS1* gene (**Figures 3A, 4A, and 5A**). RAD21 and CTCF bind to additional sites within *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes and the role of cohesion and CTCF in expression of the three genes is yet to be determined (**Figures 3A, 4A, and 5A**).

The H3K4me3 histone 3 modifications that mark active promoters and H3K27ac modifications that mark active enhancers are present at upstream regions of all three genes (**Figures 3B, 4B, and 5B**). The ENCODE database also has the DNaseI sensitivity data from the human fetal liver tissue that show open chromatin state for the *NAGS* gene and closed chromatin for the *CPS1* and *OTC* genes (**Figures 3B, 4B, and 5B**). This difference could be due to presence of the ubiquitously expressed *TMEM101* gene downstream of the *NAGS* gene (**Figure 3**).

Query of the ChIP-Seq data in the ENCODE database confirmed binding of the Sp1, CREB/ATF3, HNF4A, HNF3/FOXA1, HNF3/FOXA2, and COUP-TF to the promoters and enhancers of the *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes and identified binding of these and several other transcription factors to previously identified as well as novel regulatory elements (**Figures 3C, 4C, and 5C**). Transcription factors RXRA, COUP-TF and HNF4A, YY1 and JUND/AP1 also appear to bind *NAGS* promoter while RXRA, HNF4A, YY1, and REST bind the -3 kb *NAGS* enhancer (**Figure 3C**). The ChIP-Seq data also show binding of transcription factor to regions of the *NAGS* gene that could be novel regulatory elements. For example, Sp1, RXRA, and HNF4A bind to a region in the first intron of the *NAGS* gene. ChIP-Seq data also show that transcription factors bind to a region located between *NAGS* promoter and -3 kb enhancer as well as to the two regions upstream of the -3 kb enhancer; these sites could be novel regulatory elements of the *NAGS* gene (**Figure 3C**). The map of the *NAGS* genomic region shows that transcription of the *PYY* gene initiates at the *NAGS* promoter but in the opposite direction (**Figure 3D**). This is because a

Figure 3. Overview of the NAGS genomic region. (A) Binding sites for chromatin remodeling proteins. (B) Epigenetic marks. (C) Transcription factor binding sites. (D) Map and sequence conservation of the NAGS genomic region.

PYY cDNA (GenBank ID BC041057.1) has been isolated from a brain astrocytoma sample and sequenced [77]. This PYY transcript may have resulted from aberrant expression of the PYY gene in the brain astrocytoma cells since PYY is not expressed in the brain according to the Human Protein Atlas [78] and the GTEx track of the UCSC Genome Browser [79].

Transcription factors HNF3/FOXA1, HNF3/FOXA2, and CREB bind to the human *CPS1* promoter and the region upstream of the human *CPS1* that corresponds to the rat *Cps1* distal enhancer as well as additional sites located within the first intron of the *CPS1* gene and upstream of the distal enhancer (**Figure 4C**). Moreover, HNF4A, RXRA, SP1, YY1, JUND/AP2, and REST also bind to the *CPS1*

Figure 4. Overview of the *CPS1* genomic region. (A) Binding sites for chromatin remodeling proteins. (B) Epigenetic marks. (C) Transcription factor binding sites. (D) Map and sequence conservation of the *CPS1* genomic region.

upstream region and first intron (**Figure 4C**). It is possible that yet to be identified proteins P1, P2, and P3 that bind to the rat *Cps1* distal enhancer are among these transcription factors. Likewise, the HNF4A and COUP-TF transcription factors that are known to bind to the *OTC* promoter and LSE also bind to sites upstream of the LSE and within first *OTC* intron, and additional transcription factors bind to these regions (**Figure 5C**). The novel regulatory elements that have been identified by the binding of transcription factors coincide with regions that are conserved in vertebrates as indicated by the phyloP and phastCons tracks of the UCSC Genome Browser (**Figures 3D, 4D, and 5D**).

These data mining efforts identified a common set of transcription factors that bind to the regulatory regions of the *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes in the liver and may be responsible for the coordinated changes in their expression in response to dietary protein intake and hormonal signaling. The knowledge of transcription

Figure 5. Overview of the *OTC* genomic region. (A) Binding sites for chromatin remodeling proteins. (B) Epigenetic marks. (C) Transcription factor binding sites. (D) Map and sequence conservation of the *OTC* genomic region.

factors that regulate expression of urea cycle genes could provide a clue about amino acid(s) and metabolites that act as sensors of the dietary protein intake. Data mining of the ENCODE and Cistrome databases presented here revealed that transcription factor RXRA binds to regulatory elements of *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes. The RXRA transcription factor regulates gene expression by forming heterodimers with several transcription factors including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR γ), which regulated glucose metabolism [80]. If future studies show that PPAR γ -RXRA heterodimer regulates expression of the *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes, that would suggest that glucose, rather than amino acid(s), might be a sensor of the balance of protein and carbohydrate intake that regulates expression of the urea cycle genes. Although similar data sets are not yet available for the human small intestine cells, the ENCODE project is ongoing and future data mining efforts will provide a more complete information about transcriptional regulation of the *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes. Similarly, the Cistrome

database is growing and queries of its data may reveal molecular mechanisms of *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* regulation through differential binding of transcription factors to their regulatory elements. The utility of the data mining approach goes beyond understanding of transcriptional regulation of genes. This approach can be used to explain deleterious effects of sequence variants on expression of genes that are associated with human diseases and identify drug targets for treatment of diseases that can benefit from increased expression of hypomorphic alleles. In the case of *NAGS*, *CPS1*, or *OTC* deficiencies, which have high plasma ammonia, or hyperammonemia, as a common symptom, partial defects in any of the three genes result in the decreased activity or abundance of the corresponding enzyme and decreased capacity for ureagenesis. Protein-restricted diet, which minimizes ammonia production, is standard therapy for patients with partial defects of the *NAGS*, *CPS1*, *OTC*, and other urea cycle genes that were not discussed in this chapter. However, protein-restricted diet also leads to decreased expression of urea cycle genes, including the defective one, leading to further decrease patient's capacity for ureagenesis and increased risk of hyperammonemia. A drug therapy that is based on transcriptional regulation of the *NAGS*, *CPS1*, and *OTC* genes might be able to increase their expression even when patients are on the protein-restricted diet and decrease patients' risk of hyperammonemia-induced brain damage.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by public health service grants K01DK076846 and R01DK064913 from the National Institute of Diabetes Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services.

Conflict of interest

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Author details

Ljubica Caldovic
Children's National Medical Center, Center for Genetic Medicine Research, The George Washington University, Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Washington, DC, USA

*Address all correspondence to: lcaldovic@childrensnational.org

IntechOpen

© 2018 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1] Waterlow JC. The mysteries of nitrogen balance. *Nutrition Research Reviews*. 1999;**12**:25-54
- [2] Waterlow JC. The nature and significance of nutritional adaptation. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1999;**53**(Suppl. 1):S2-S5
- [3] Stewart PM, Batshaw M, Valle D, Walser M. Effects of arginine-free meals on ureagenesis in cats. *The American Journal of Physiology*. 1981;**241**(4):E310-E315
- [4] Schimke RT. Adaptive characteristics of urea cycle enzymes in the rat. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1962;**237**:459-468
- [5] Ah Mew N, Simpson KL, Gropman AL, Lanpher BC, Chapman KA, Summar ML. Urea cycle disorders overview. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, LJH B, Stephens K, et al., editors. *GeneReviews®*. Seattle (WA): University of Washington; 1993
- [6] Brusilow SW, Horwich AL. Urea cycle enzymes. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, Valle D, editors. *The Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease*. Vol. 2. McGraw-Hill; 2001. pp. 1909-1963
- [7] Caldovic L, Tuchman M. N-acetylglutamate and its changing role through evolution. *Biochemical Journal*. 2003;**372**(Pt 2):279-290
- [8] Meijer AJ, Lamers WH, Chamuleau RAFM. Nitrogen metabolism and ornithine cycle function. *Physiological Reviews*. New-York. 1990;**70**(3):701-748
- [9] Powers-Lee SG. N-Acetylglutamate synthase. *Methods in Enzymology*. 1985;**113**:27-35
- [10] Shi D, Caldovic L, Tuchman M. Sources and fates of carbamyl phosphate: A labile energy-rich molecule with multiple facets. *Biology*. 2018;**7**(2). DOI: 10.3390/biology7020034. Basel
- [11] Haussinger D, Lamers WH, Moorman AF. Hepatocyte heterogeneity in the metabolism of amino acids and ammonia. *Enzyme*. 1992;**46**(1-3):72-93
- [12] Felipo V, Minana MD, Grisolia S. Control of urea synthesis and ammonia utilization in protein deprivation and refeeding. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*. 1991;**285**(2):351-356
- [13] Hoogenkamp M, Gaemers IC, Schoneveld OJ, Das AT, Grange T, Lamers WH. Hepatocyte-specific interplay of transcription factors at the far-upstream enhancer of the carbamoylphosphate synthetase gene upon glucocorticoid induction. *The FEBS Journal*. 2007;**274**(1):37-45
- [14] McLean P, Gurney MW. Effect of adrenalectomy and of growth hormone on enzymes concerned with urea synthesis in rat liver. *Biochemical Journal*. 1963;**87**:96-104
- [15] Schimke RT. Studies on factors affecting the levels of urea cycle enzymes in rat liver. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1963;**238**:1012-1018
- [16] McLean P, Novello F. Influence of pancreatic hormones on enzymes concerned with urea synthesis in rat liver. *Biochemical Journal*. 1965;**94**:410-422
- [17] Snodgrass PJ, Lin RC, Muller WA, Aoki TT. Induction of urea cycle enzymes of rat liver by glucagon. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1978;**253**(8):2748-2753
- [18] Gebhardt R, Mecke D. Permissive effect of dexamethasone on glucagon

induction of urea-cycle enzymes in perfused primary monolayer cultures of rat hepatocytes. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1979;**97**(1):29-35

[19] Lin RC, Snodgrass PJ, Rabier D. Induction of urea cycle enzymes by glucagon and dexamethasone in monolayer cultures of adult rat hepatocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1982;**257**(9):5061-5067

[20] Nebes VL, Morris SM Jr. Regulation of messenger ribonucleic acid levels for five urea cycle enzymes in cultured rat hepatocytes. Requirements for cyclic adenosine monophosphate, glucocorticoids, and ongoing protein synthesis. *Molecular Endocrinology*. 1988;**2**(5):444-451

[21] Ulbright C, Snodgrass PJ. Coordinate induction of the urea cycle enzymes by glucagon and dexamethasone is accomplished by three different mechanisms. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*. 1993;**301**(2):237-243

[22] Kitagawa Y, Sugimoto E. Interaction between glucocorticoids, 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-monophosphate, and insulin in regulation of synthesis of carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase I in Reuber hepatoma H-35. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1985;**150**(2):249-254

[23] Kitagawa Y, Ryall J, Nguyen M, Shore GC. Expression of carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase I mRNA in Reuber hepatoma H-35 cells. Regulation by glucocorticoid and insulin. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. 1985;**825**(2):148-153

[24] Kitagawa Y. Hormonal regulation of carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase I synthesis in primary cultured hepatocytes and Reuber hepatoma H-35. Defective regulation in hepatoma cells. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1987;**167**(1):19-25

[25] Husson A, Renouf S, Fairand A, Buquet C, Benamar M, Vaillant R. Expression of argininosuccinate lyase mRNA in foetal hepatocytes. Regulation by glucocorticoids and insulin. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1990;**192**(3):677-681

[26] Christoffels VM, Grange T, Kaestner KH, Cole TJ, Darlington GJ, Croniger CM, et al. Glucocorticoid receptor, C/EBP, HNF3, and protein kinase A coordinately activate the glucocorticoid response unit of the carbamoylphosphate synthetase I gene. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. 1998;**18**(11):6305-6315

[27] Goping IS, Shore GC. Interactions between repressor and anti-repressor elements in the carbamyl phosphate synthetase I promoter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1994;**269**(5):3891-3896

[28] Goping IS, Lagace M, Shore GC. Factors interacting with the rat carbamyl phosphate synthetase promoter in expressing and nonexpressing tissues. *Gene*. 1992;**118**(2):283-287

[29] Lagace M, Goping IS, Mueller CR, Lazzaro M, Shore GC. The carbamyl phosphate synthetase promoter contains multiple binding sites for C/EBP-related proteins. *Gene*. 1992;**118**(2):231-238

[30] Kimura A, Nishiyori A, Murakami T, Tsukamoto T, Hata S, Osumi T, et al. Chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor (COUP-TF) represses transcription from the promoter of the gene for ornithine transcarbamylase in a manner antagonistic to hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 (HNF-4). *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1993;**268**(15):11125-11133

[31] Murakami T, Nishiyori A, Takiguchi M, Mori M. Promoter and 11-kilobase upstream enhancer elements responsible for hepatoma cell-specific expression

of the rat ornithine transcarbamylase gene. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. 1990;**10**(3):1180-1191

[32] Nishiyori A, Tashiro H, Kimura A, Akagi K, Yamamura K, Mori M, et al. Determination of tissue specificity of the enhancer by combinatorial operation of tissue-enriched transcription factors. Both HNF-4 and C/EBP beta are required for liver-specific activity of the ornithine transcarbamylase enhancer. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1994;**269**(2):1323-1331

[33] Anderson GM, Freytag SO. Synergistic activation of a human promoter in vivo by transcription factor Sp1. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. 1991;**11**(4):1935-1943

[34] Boyce FM, Anderson GM, Rusk CD, Freytag SO. Human argininosuccinate synthetase minigenes are subject to arginine-mediated repression but not to trans induction. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. 1986;**6**(4):1244-1252

[35] Hooft van Huijsduijnen R, Li XY, Black D, Matthes H, Benoist C, Mathis D. Co-evolution from yeast to mouse: cDNA cloning of the two NF-Y (CP-1/CBF) subunits. *The EMBO Journal*. 1990;**9**(10):3119-3127

[36] Takiguchi M, Mori M. In vitro analysis of the rat liver-type arginase promoter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1991;**266**(14):9186-9193

[37] Inoue Y, Hayhurst GP, Inoue J, Mori M, Gonzalez FJ. Defective ureagenesis in mice carrying a liver-specific disruption of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4a (HNF4a). HNF4a regulates ornithine transcarbamylase *in vivo*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2002;**277**(28):25257-25265

[38] Kimura T, Christoffels VM, Chowdhury S, Iwase K, Matsuzaki H, Mori M, et al. Hypoglycemia-associated

hyperammonemia caused by impaired expression of ornithine cycle enzyme genes in C/EBPα knockout mice. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1998;**273**(42):27505-27510

[39] Kimura T, Chowdhury S, Tanaka T, Shimizu A, Iwase K, Oyadomari S, et al. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta is required for activation of genes for ornithine cycle enzymes by glucocorticoids and glucagon in primary-cultured hepatocytes. *FEBS Letters*. 2001;**494**(1-2):105-111

[40] Felipo V, Butterworth RF. Neurobiology of ammonia. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 2002;**67**(4):259-279

[41] Martinez de Lapiscina EH, Aguirre ME, Giadas TC, Alvarez MT. 5-Fluorouracil induced hyperammonemic encephalopathy: Etiopathologic correlation. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences*. 2012;**39**(4):553-554

[42] Nurmohamed S, Weenink A, Moeniralam H, Visser C, Bemelman F. Hyperammonemia in generalized *Mycobacterium genavense* infection after renal transplantation. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2007;**7**(3):722-723. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2006.01680.x

[43] Yeh KH, Cheng AL. High-dose 5-fluorouracil infusional therapy is associated with hyperammonemia, lactic acidosis and encephalopathy. *British Journal of Cancer*. 1997;**75**(3):464-465

[44] Metzenberg RL, Hall LM, Marshall M, Cohen PP. Studies on the biosynthesis of carbamyl phosphate. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1957;**229**(2):1019-1025

[45] Caldovic L, Morizono H, Gracia Panglao M, Gallegos R, Yu X, Shi D, et al. Cloning and expression of the human N-acetylglutamate synthase gene. *Biochemical and*

Biophysical Research Communications. 2002;**299**(4):581-586

[46] Caldovic L, Morizono H, Yu X, Thompson M, Shi D, Gallegos R, et al. Identification, cloning and expression of the mouse N-acetylglutamate synthase gene. *Biochemical Journal*. 2002;**364**(Pt 3):825-831

[47] Elpeleg O, Shaag A, Ben-Shalom E, Schmid T, Bachmann C. N-acetylglutamate synthase deficiency and the treatment of hyperammonemic encephalopathy. *Annals of Neurology*. 2002; **52**(6):845-849

[48] Haberle J, Schmidt E, Pauli S, Kreuder JG, Plecko B, Galler A, et al. Mutation analysis in patients with N-acetylglutamate synthase deficiency. *Human Mutation*. 2003;**21**(6):593-597

[49] Heibel SK, Ah Mew N, Caldovic L, Daikhin Y, Yudkoff M, Tuchman M. N-carbamylglutamate enhancement of ureagenesis leads to discovery of a novel deleterious mutation in a newly defined enhancer of the NAGS gene and to effective therapy. *Human Mutation*. 2011;**32**(10):1153-1160. DOI: 10.1002/humu.21553

[50] Heibel SK, Lopez GY, Panglao M, Sodha S, Marino-Ramirez L, Tuchman M, et al. Transcriptional regulation of N-acetylglutamate synthase. *PLoS One*. 2012;**7**(2):e29527. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029527

[51] Haberle J, Denecke J, Schmidt E, Koch HG. Diagnosis of N-acetylglutamate synthase deficiency by use of cultured fibroblasts and avoidance of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease*. 2003;**26**(6):601-605

[52] Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 1990;**215**(3):403-410

[53] Frith MC, Fu Y, Yu L, Chen JF, Hansen U, Weng Z. Detection of functional DNA motifs via statistical over-representation. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2004;**32**(4):1372-1381. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkh299 32/4/1372

[54] Nyunoya H, Broglie KE, Widgren EE, Lusty CJ. Characterization and derivation of the gene coding for mitochondrial carbamyl phosphate synthetase I of rat. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1985;**260**(16):9346-9356

[55] Christoffels VM, Habets PE, Das AT, Clout DE, van Roon MA, Moorman AF, et al. A single regulatory module of the carbamoylphosphate synthetase I gene executes its hepatic program of expression. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2000;**275**(51):40020-40027. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M007001200

[56] Christoffels VM, van den Hoff MJ, Lamers MC, van Roon MA, de Boer PA, Moorman AF, et al. The upstream regulatory region of the carbamoylphosphate synthetase I gene controls its tissue-specific, developmental, and hormonal regulation in vivo. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1996;**271**(49):31243-31250

[57] van den Hoff MJ, van de Zande LP, Dingemanse MA, Das AT, Labruyere W, Moorman AF, et al. Isolation and characterization of the rat gene for carbamoylphosphate synthetase I. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1995;**228**(2):351-361

[58] Goping IS, Lamontagne S, Shore GC, Nguyen M. A gene-type-specific enhancer regulates the carbamyl phosphate synthetase I promoter by cooperating with the proximal GAG activating element. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 1995;**23**(10):1717-1721

[59] Lagace M, Howell BW, Burak R, Lusty CJ, Shore GC. Rat carbamylphosphate synthetase I gene. Promoter sequence and tissue-specific

transcriptional regulation in vitro. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1987;**262**(22):10415-10418

[60] Christoffels VM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF, Lamers WH. The far-upstream enhancer of the carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase I gene is responsible for the tissue specificity and hormone inducibility of its expression. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1995;**270**(42):24932-24940

[61] Hoogenkamp M, Stallen JM, Lamers WH, Gaemers IC. In vivo footprinting of the carbamoylphosphate synthetase I cAMP-response unit indicates important roles for FoxA and PKA in formation of the enhanceosome. *Biochimie*. 2006;**88**(10):1357-1366. DOI: 10.1016/j.biochi.2006.06.009

[62] Schoneveld OJ, Gaemers IC, Das AT, Hoogenkamp M, Renes J, Ruijter JM, et al. Structural requirements of the glucocorticoid-response unit of the carbamoyl-phosphate synthase gene. *Biochemical Journal*. 2004;**382**(Pt 2):463-470. DOI: 10.1042/BJ20040471

[63] Schoneveld OJ, Gaemers IC, Hoogenkamp M, Lamers WH. The role of proximal-enhancer elements in the glucocorticoid regulation of carbamoylphosphate synthetase gene transcription from the upstream response unit. *Biochimie*. 2005;**87**(11):1033-1040. DOI: 10.1016/j.biochi.2005.02.015

[64] Chen Z, Tang N, Wang X, Chen Y. The activity of the carbamoyl phosphate synthase 1 promoter in human liver-derived cells is dependent on hepatocyte nuclear factor 3-beta. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*. 2017;**21**(9):2036-2045. DOI: 10.1111/jcmm.13123

[65] Hata A, Tsuzuki T, Shimada K, Takiguchi M, Mori M, Matsuda I. Isolation and characterization of the human ornithine transcarbamylase

gene: Structure of the 5'-end region. *Journal of Biochemistry*. 1986;**100**(3):717-725

[66] Luksan O, Jirsa M, Eberova J, Minks J, Treslova H, Bouckova M, et al. Disruption of OTC promoter-enhancer interaction in a patient with symptoms of ornithine carbamoyltransferase deficiency. *Human Mutation*. 2010;**31**(4):E1294-E1303. DOI: 10.1002/humu.21215

[67] Veres G, Craigen WJ, Caskey CT. The 5' flanking region of the ornithine transcarbamylase gene contains DNA sequences regulating tissue-specific expression. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1986;**261**(17):7588-7591

[68] Takiguchi M, Murakami T, Miura S, Mori M. Structure of the rat ornithine carbamoyltransferase gene, a large, X chromosome-linked gene with an atypical promoter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1987;**84**(17):6136-6140

[69] Murakami T, Takiguchi M, Inomoto T, Yamamura K, Mori M. Tissue- and developmental stage-specific expression of the rat ornithine carbamoyltransferase gene in transgenic mice. *Developmental Genetics*. 1989;**10**(5):393-401. DOI: 10.1002/dvg.1020100507

[70] Jones SN, Grompe M, Munir MI, Veres G, Craigen WJ, Caskey CT. Ectopic correction of ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency in sparse fur mice. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1990;**265**(24):14684-14690

[71] Jang YJ, LaBella AL, Feeney TP, Braverman N, Tuchman M, Morizono H, et al. Disease-causing mutations in the promoter and enhancer of the ornithine transcarbamylase gene. *Human Mutation*. 2018;**39**(4):527-536. DOI: 10.1002/humu.23394

- [72] Pollard KS, Hubisz MJ, Rosenbloom KR, Siepel A. Detection of nonneutral substitution rates on mammalian phylogenies. *Genome Research*. 2010;**20**(1):110-121. DOI: 10.1101/gr.097857.109
- [73] Siepel A, Bejerano G, Pedersen JS, Hinrichs AS, Hou M, Rosenbloom K, et al. Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes. *Genome Research*. 2005;**15**(8):1034-1050. DOI: 10.1101/gr.3715005
- [74] Consortium EP. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature*. 2012;**489**(7414):57-74. DOI: 10.1038/nature11247
- [75] Yue F, Cheng Y, Breschi A, Vierstra J, Wu W, Ryba T, et al. A comparative encyclopedia of DNA elements in the mouse genome. *Nature*. 2014;**515**(7527):355-364. DOI: 10.1038/nature13992
- [76] Liu T, Ortiz JA, Taing L, Meyer CA, Lee B, Zhang Y, et al. Cistrome: An integrative platform for transcriptional regulation studies. *Genome Biology*. 2011;**12**(8):R83. DOI: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-8-r83
- [77] Strausberg RL, Feingold EA, Grouse LH, Derge JG, Klausner RD, Collins FS, et al. Generation and initial analysis of more than 15,000 full-length human and mouse cDNA sequences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2002;**99**(26):16899-16903. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.242603899
- [78] Uhlen M, Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, et al. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science*. 2015;**347**(6220):1260419. DOI: 10.1126/science.1260419
- [79] Consortium GT, Laboratory DA, Coordinating Center–Analysis Working G, Statistical Methods groups–Analysis Working G, Enhancing Gg, Fund NIHC et al. Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. *Nature*. 2017;**550**(7675):204-213. DOI: 10.1038/nature24277
- [80] Dawson MI, Xia Z. The retinoid X receptors and their ligands. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. 2012;**1821**(1):21-56. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbali.2011.09.014