We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Etiology of Secondary Caries in Prosthodontic Treatments

Arzu Zeynep Yildirim Bicer and Senem Unver

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.76097>

Abstract

When preparing prosthetic restorations, dentists always try to create restorations functionally ideal while not compromising on esthetics. The factors that make a restoration successful include how well they fit both internally and marginally, their ability to withstand punishment without breaking, and their visual appeal. Imperfect marginal adaptation can lead to unpleasant and unwanted side effects such as plaque accumulation, marginal discoloration, microleakage, carious and endodontic lesions, and periodontal disease. If there is a gap between the crown and the prepared tooth, this can result in the dissolution of the luting material. If the fit of the restoration and the thickness of the cement are designed to be favorable, the cement is not dissolved and the abutment tooth is prevented from secondary caries. The marginal fit of the restorations is considerably affected by the materials and techniques used when making dental crowns. This chapter contains reviews on marginal fitting and caries.

Keywords: caries, marginal fitting, restoration

1. Introduction

Throughout the history of dentistry, dental clinicians, prosthodontists, and manufacturers have strived to create dental restorations that are both esthetically pleasing and function perfectly. Multiple factors determine how therapeutic the restorations are and how long they last. Just how successful a dental restoration depends on three principal factors: how esthetically pleasing it is, how resistant it is to fracturing, and marginal adaptation, meaning how well they fit [1, 2]. More recently developed materials have a high esthetic value and are

mechanically very resilient. The factors that affect marginal adaption include how well the restoration bonds to the prepared surface, how effective the seal is, and the characteristics of the adhesive used to bond the restoration to the tooth. When a prosthetic restoration does not fit properly, this can cause plaque accumulation [2, 3]. This in turn can lead to microleakage and endodontic inflammation [4], and it increases the probability of carious lesions [5, 6]. The periodontal and endodontic lesions that form as a result may require the prosthetic restoration to be replaced or necessitate endodontic treatment, or even tooth extraction.

2. Caries

“Dental caries is determined by the dynamic balance between the pathological factors that lead to demineralization and the protective factors that lead to remineralization.” [7] Caries is a tissue consisting of densely packed crystallites formed in a single axis having both inter- and intra-prismatic micropores measuring between 1 and 30 nm in width. Caries appears in the enamel first and this is accompanied by hypermineralization of the dentine below the cavity [8]. One common characteristic of this is sclerotic dentinal tubules [9]. The dentine starts to demineralize at the outer edge of the lesion matching the outer edge of the enamel lesion [10]. Dentine caries develops and spreads quickly from the dentine-enamel border moving under the enamel, and this results in caries [8].

2.1. Secondary caries

Secondary caries develops at the site where the tooth and the prosthetic restoration interface. They are considered the main reason why prosthetics fail no matter what restorative material is used [11, 12].

If the conditions around the seal become acidic, the site will start to demineralize in a manner similar to primary caries because of the process of demineralization and remineralization [11]. All the factors that accelerate the accumulation of biofilm mass or impede its removal can be regarded as potential causes of secondary caries, and this is likely why secondary caries mainly occur on the adjacent surfaces [13] (**Figure 1**).

2.2. Diagnosis of secondary caries

Secondary caries has to be caught early on in order to increase the treatment's chances of success and to stop the hard tissue from being destructed [14–16]. To diagnose secondary caries adjacent to restorations, several different radiographic techniques can be used and these include periapical, bitewing, occlusal, and panoramic imaging (**Figure 2**). In order to prevent wrong diagnoses, radiographic examination must be made together with a clinical examination [17]. It is hard to diagnose secondary caries at the buccal or lingual area on the tooth because these methods only give 2D images. There is a 3D imaging method used by clinicians to assess the area being examined without the need to place other objects in the axial,

Figure 1. Image of a crown.

Figure 2. Radiographic image of secondary caries around a prosthetic restoration.

coronal, or sagittal planes. This method is called Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT). It is better than a medical CT because it gives 3D tomographic images while subjecting the patient to less radiation. While it is useful in cases where 2D imaging techniques are inadequate, it nevertheless uses more radiation than 2D radiographic imaging techniques, so the technician has to exercise care when using this method [18].

The condition can only be diagnosed as secondary caries if the mineralized tissues around the strain have become soft or if cavitation occurs at the edge of the restoration. The gap will probably contain bacteria, but that does not always mean that secondary caries is going to occur. It is useful to remember that many types of bacteria exist in the mouth and that only some of them can produce caries and only then under certain conditions. In fact, there is no documented proof of any relationship between the onset of secondary caries lesions and gaps where the prosthetic restoration joins the tooth, other than when the gaps are large, for example, 250 [19] or 400 μm [20].

3. Etiology of secondary caries

3.1. Microleakage

The classic definition of microleakage is the movement of matter, such as bacteria, oral fluids, even ions, into a fluid-filled gap or a naturally occurring structural defect, or between restorations and the tooth [21]. Microleakage is regarded as one of the principal causes of failure in crowns, so it is one of the main factors that determine the clinical lifespan of dental restorations [22]. Not only does microleakage adversely affect a restoration's clinical use, it can also lead to hypersensitivity, discoloration along the margin [23] (**Figure 3**), secondary caries, inflammation, or necrosis of the vital pulp and often requires endodontic treatment [24, 25].

The degree of microleakage depends on several factors including the tooth's own structure, the luting or bonding agents used to cement the restoration, and the interaction of other factors involved with dental restoration [26].

3.2. Marginal and internal fitting

One of the main factors affecting the longevity of dental restoration is marginal adaptation or how well it fits the tooth [27]. Any gap in the seal exposes the cement to the oral environment. With large gaps, the luting agent is more exposed to oral fluids, and this accelerates both the breakdown of the cement and microleakage [28]. These imperfections along the edge make it easier for oral bacteria to stick and for food and other refuse to build up ultimately leading to plaque retention. This alters the way the subgingival flora is distributed, which in turn leads to the onset of periodontal disease [29] and secondary caries [30].

Fit is determined by many factors such as fabrication [31], the type of CAM system used [32, 33], the number of units in the substructure [34], the tooth's location and preparation [35], the rigidity of the material [36], the type and thickness of the luting agent [37], and the presence of a luting agent [38]. Both the size of the gap at the edge and the amount of resin used have to be kept to a minimum in order to provide a better fit and to increase the cement's longevity [39].

Figure 3. Discoloration along the edge of an endocrown restoration.

Maintaining the gap along the edge as small as possible is very important because the potential for microleakage increases as the size of the gap increases [40]. No matter what type of cement is used, gaps between 100 and 120 μm are considered clinically acceptable [41] in terms of minimizing the problems that might result in cement loss [42]; 90 μm or less is the acceptable size for gaps in computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM)-generated restorations [27, 43–45]. Variations in the internal fit can cause fatigue, possibly weakening the restoration. The thickness of the layer of dental cement along the axial walls of the preparation affects how well the restoration sits in place. Among the factors that influence film thickness are preparation, how the margin is designed and configured, how rough the surface is, how much pressure is applied during cementation and for how long, the cement's powder/liquid ratio, the type of cement, the spacers used, and the method used for cementation [46].

The fitting of the restoration and proximal surfaces may be checked before cementation to prevent any overhangs that can cause plaque accumulation and secondary caries. Even tiny overhangs, which are often hard to detect clinically, can lead to plaque accumulation, periodontal disease, and the onset of secondary caries. The edges of crown's margins are susceptible to microleakage, and clinical tests have shown that large gaps can result in secondary caries [47, 48]. Caries is the second most common biological complaint in crowned teeth next to the loss of pulp vitality [49].

Laser videography [50], profile projection [51], micro-CT, and CAD/CAM scans [52] are some of the ways in which the adaptation of prosthetic restorations can be assessed. One commonly used technique is the cement analog or Replica Technique (RT). This method allows the dimensions of the internal and marginal gaps in prosthetic restorations to be estimated with a fair degree of accuracy [53]. This nondestructive technique involves sitting the restoration on top of a prepared die using an impression material instead of cement. Once set, the impression material and the restoration are carefully removed from the die and the thickness of the cement analog layer is measured [54–58]. Another nondestructive method that can be used to check the size and shape of gaps in prosthetic restorations is the "Weight Technique" (WT). It costs less than RT and is easier to do. In WT, the material used to simulate the cement layer is weighed at certain points rather than having its thickness measured like in RT. The weight corresponds to the thickness of the gap between the restoration and the die [59].

The gap between the tooth and the edge of the restoration, known as the marginal gap, is measured to determine how well the restoration fits the tooth and is called absolute margin discrepancy [60, 61]. Marginal gap has been given several definitions: vertical marginal discrepancy, horizontal marginal discrepancy, over-extended margin, under-extended margin, seating discrepancy, and absolute marginal discrepancy. Of them all, absolute marginal discrepancy is regarded as the best method for measuring the marginal gap because it yields the largest error [62]. Currently, there is no standard method for measuring how well the margin fits but the most popular method is to use a microscope to measure the distance once the embedded specimens have been sectioned. This method cannot be used "in vivo" [63].

The gap between the inside surface of the crown and the outside surface of the tooth can be checked using a silicone paste in order to evaluate discrepancies. This technique is not without its faults, and readings can be adversely affected by defects in the silicone material in the area being measured and by inaccuracies when reading the measurement of the thickness of the paste under a microscope [64].

3.3. Material type

Different materials such as metal or ceramic are used to make the framework for the prosthetic restorations. The “gold-standard” metal-supported restorations have superior mechanical properties and proven longevity in clinical trials and are the restoration of choice today [65]. While metal-ceramic hybrid crowns are very strong, the increase in the popularity of esthetically attractive restorations in recent years has promoted the development of crowns that are entirely ceramic [37]. Zirconia has started to become popular as a framework material in all ceramic restorations because of such characteristics as high biocompatibility, superior mechanical properties, corrosion resistance, low affinity for plaque accumulation, no allergic reaction to metal in the gingiva, as well as its poor ability to conduct heat and electricity [66]. Zirconia also has some downsides such as phase transformation in reaction to surface treatments, being opaque, and degrading at low temperatures [67]. The most common complication observed in zirconia substructure restorations is reportedly the superstructure ceramic layer coming away from the substructure in layers or by fracturing [68, 69].

All ceramic crowns are esthetically very pleasing and work just as well with anterior teeth as with posterior ones. They interact well with the gingival tissues and offer a great biocompatibility [70]. On the downside, however, they can be brittle (particularly those made from glass or feldspathic ceramics). They fracture easily and can cause excessive wear on the opposite teeth. They also necessitate a greater tooth reduction and tend to favor certain techniques over others [71].

Contrary to direct composite restorations, CEREC composite blocks are produced under the best conditions possible, thus improving the degree of monomer polymerization and preventing voids from being formed, thereby giving them optimal mechanical properties [72].

Semi-sintered zirconia requires shorter milling times and produces less wear on the cutting burs. However, this technique requires a final sintering stage after milling [73]. This sintering procedure entails a certain amount of shrinkage. This technique does have its downsides such as uncertainty with respect to the correct enlarging factor and a marginal fit that does not meet the most exacting demands. On the contrary, milled, fully sintered zirconia is subjected to hot isostatic pressing and offers a much better marginal fit [63].

The strength and fit of the final restoration are affected by such factors as the different materials and techniques used when manufacturing it [74]. Clinicians are advised to adhere closely to the technical guidelines in order to overcome the problems inherent with marginal gaps. It is recommended that they use only the highest-quality materials when constructing prostheses so as to achieve the best marginal compatibility [75, 76].

3.4. Fabrication method

Prosthetic restorations can be made in a number of ways depending on the material used for their cores [77].

Metal-ceramic crowns are still the most common way to make full coverage crowns and fixed partial dentures [78]. Many studies have been done into the fit and distortion of metal-ceramic crowns, including how the manufacturing process affects fit. Since the ceramic veneer and the alloy coping expand at different rates under heat, firing the ceramic might affect how the crown fits. The casting process is a complex one. This plus the different rates at which the various materials expand and contract make it very difficult indeed to ensure that a casted coping will fit.

The classic method for making the metal core is the “lost-wax technique.” However, this technique has several disadvantages such as possible distortion of the wax patterns, imperfections in the cast metal, complicated procedures, and it takes up much time. These disadvantages have been countered now by CAD/CAM and processes such as milling and Direct Metal Laser Sintering (DMLS), which are used now in fabricating the metal frameworks for metal-ceramic crowns. In the CAD/CAM milling system, CAD is used to design a pre-production digital frame, which is then manufactured (CAM) using this CAD data [79, 80]. A solid Co-Cr blank is milled into shape using the digitally created frame as a template. DMLS is a fabrication technology that uses metal powder as an additive. By means of a high-temperature laser beam, metal powder is smelted and forged into the shape of the digital CAD template to make the framework. A thin layer of the beamed area becomes fused, and the metal framework is manufactured by building up layer upon layer of metal in order to achieve the final shape [81]. CAD/CAM and DMLS make laboratory procedures easier and save time [82].

In contrast to metal-ceramic crowns, a high-strength ceramic framework is used that is resistant to loads when constructing ceramic crowns. In addition to being fracture resistant, ceramic crowns owe their success and quality to their esthetic value and near perfect marginal and internal fit [83, 84]. The use of the ceramic systems has increased as new technologies are developed [77].

Various different high-strength materials and manufacturing methods are used in making the framework for ceramic crowns [85–88]. Techniques such as slip casting [89], heat pressing [90], copy milling [85], CAM [86], and CAD-CAM [87, 88, 91] are widely used in the production of copings.

The use of full-ceramic materials in dentistry has developed in parallel with the introduction and use of CAD/CAM systems. Crowns, inlays, onlays, laminate veneers, and abutment are among the many dental restorative methods that make use of CAD/CAM systems [92, 93]. Resin composites or porcelain shaped using CAD/CAM technology give patients esthetically pleasing restorations that are of similar appearance to teeth and that can be cemented into the patient’s mouth during the same appointment. This decreases the treatment time and makes interim prostheses unnecessary (**Figures 4** and **5**). With the CAD/CAM milling of porcelain blocks and optimum manufacturing conditions, the restorations that have a higher intrinsic strength in the laboratory can be fabricated [94, 95].

Figure 4. CAD imaging.

Figure 5. All-ceramic restoration.

In CAD/CAM systems, extra space for the cement can be programmed, potentially making for a better fit both marginally and internally [63]. When casting a prosthetic restoration die, spacers have to be added to form the space for the cement but this space can be created and minutely adjusted digitally using CAD/CAM. The accuracy of fit was found to depend much on the spacing of dies [96].

There are some factors that can influence the marginal fit when using CAD/CAM system such as the scanning, the design software, sintering, and milling processes themselves, any and all of which can lead to errors when manufacturing the ceramic framework. One reason for the difference in marginal gaps seen between copings made using CAD/CAM technology and those made using only CAM technology might be the long fabrication chain involved in the CAM process, which is as follows: (1) preparing the master cast and spacers, (2) adding the wax, and then (3) removing the wax pattern from the master cast. Manually adding the wax can result in nonuniform layers, and this in turn can create a distorted product during the sintering process. Taking the cast off can also adversely affect accuracy. Furthermore, it is harder for a scanner to scan the concave inner surface of the wax pattern than the convex master cast [63].

There have been many studies evaluating marginal and internal fitting of fixed prosthetic restorations prepared with different production techniques from different materials [82, 97, 98]. No significant difference between the various manufacturing techniques was reported. While the thickness of occlusal cement was highest with the laser-sintering method, used for making the metal framework, this thickness is approved as acceptable values [82].

Even with all the advances in manufacturing technology, it is still a major challenge to create a long-lasting and well-sealed marginal fit where the tooth meets the crown [99]. As a result, CAD/CAM systems may be more advantageous because ceramic materials with a high mechanical resistance can produce more esthetic restorations in a shorter time.

3.5. Cementation

Marginal gaps that are an important component in fixed prosthetic restorations need to be sealed effectively with luting cements, and cements preserve the tooth from microbial invasion [100]. Microleakage and marginal openings are important causes of fixed restoration failures. The increase of the marginal gap in the fixed restorations results in greater microleakage and cement disintegration with cement exposed to oral fluids [37]. Because of the cement decomposition or dissolution in oral fluids, shrinkage on setting, the cement loses the bonding effect between the cement and the dentine or cement and restoration [101]. When the cement does not seal the gap properly, this can lead to inflammation in the pulp and subsequent pulpal necrosis, which in turn adversely affects longevity of the restorations [100, 102]. Other factors contributing to microleakage include the mechanical properties of the cement and the degree to which the cement adheres to the tooth. One final factor contributing to the severity of microleakage is the adhesive having weak-bonding properties [103].

Another cause of failure of nonmetallic esthetic restorations is clinical fractures [104]. It has been shown that resin-luting agents have the strength necessary for all-ceramic esthetic restorations when used together with established bonding procedures, resulting in a very strong luting unit with good retention properties and that is almost insoluble. Generally, resin cements are capable of dual polymerization and are known for being mechanically strong and having excellent esthetic properties [105, 106].

The past 20 years have seen ceramics and composites being used more and more in posterior teeth as well, thanks to the important improvements made in their mechanical properties in addition to advances in cements and their properties [21]. With the development of dentine-bonding agents and the improvements seen in the properties of resin composites for direct filling, resin-based cements have become popular with clinicians working with all-ceramic restorations [37, 106, 107]. The mechanical- and/or chemical-bonding properties of resin-luting agents between the tooth and the restoration are what contribute to the success of indirect, fixed restorations with resin bonding [108]. Resin-based cements possess many ideal properties such as insolubility, very good strength, better adhesion, and the ability to form a solid bond with the tooth [109].

Other factors affect how effectively the adhesive bonds are related to the actual material and they include filler content, monomer composition, and curing mode. The nature of the substrate surface, for example, enamel, alloys, ceramics, dentin, or composites, can also affect

the strength of the bond [110]. Significant differences have been noted between adhesive-luting agents in studies investigating at their ability to prevent leakage between the surfaces in cemented restorations [21, 111, 112].

Typically, there are three steps in the process of adhesive cementation: etching, priming, and applying the cement. Every step of this process is technique-sensitive and requires attention to detail [100, 113, 114]. The latest generation of proprietary self-adhesive resin cements is self-etching and bonds to dentine without the need for additional primers or etching agents. Resin cements are self-adhesive and dual-polymerizing. By design, they are easy to use and have good mechanical properties, high esthetic values, and adhere well to both the restoration and the tooth [115]. Even so, the durability of the bond, the resin cement to the tooth and the resin cement to the ceramic surface, is still a crucial point [116–118].

Crowns that are cemented using self-etching resin cements demonstrated much lower average microleakage scores than using self-adhesive resin cement. This might be due to differences in the different cements' adhesion mechanisms. Self-etching resin cement comes with an etch-prime agent with a 2.4 pH and monomers possessing low-molecular weight. They diffuse selectively into the dentine [119] and create a hybrid complex [120, 121]. As a result, these monomers create a small amount of dentine demineralization that allows the cement and the dentine to bond. However, this is not the case with self-adhesive resin cements. They contain multifunctional phosphonic acid methacrylates, and these react with hydroxylapatite [122]. One recent study showed that self-adhesive resin cement presented no evidence of decalcification/infiltration into dentine even though the initial pH value was acidic [123].

Resin-based materials have a tendency to accumulate more plaque, and this plaque is more cariogenic than that found on enamel and other materials used for restoration. Even so, one study has shown that cariogenic bacteria on enamel, glass ionomers, and resin-based materials are the same [124].

Glass-ionomer cement has properties that make it ideal for cementation such as a reduced film thickness and a very low coefficient of thermal expansion coupled to its strong physicochemical bond to both dentin and enamel, as well as its hydrophilic qualities and low solubility. Moreover, glass-ionomer cements leach calcium fluoride giving it the advantage of inhibiting caries [125]. The molecular interactions, ionic and polar, between the cement and the tooth affect the adhesive quality of glass-ionomer cement. These mechanisms are only effective if a close intermolecular contact is achieved between the cement and the tooth. One reason why glass-ionomer cements fail may be the porosities that can appear when the cement is mixing, and these porosities reduce the intermolecular contact between the tooth and the cement [74].

Rosentritt et al. [126] concluded that the resin cements and self-adhesive materials demonstrate good marginal integrity with minimal microleakage. They noted that the easily applied self-adhesive resin cements have the potential to be an alternative to resin cements.

Traditionally, water-based cements have been used to fill the space between the tooth and the restoration. However, the water-based cements are highly soluble in oral fluids, so their ability to seal depends largely on how well the restoration fits [75].

Different cements have different degrees of microleakage [104]. A study of the microleakage results obtained using resin, zinc phosphate, and glass-ionomer cements showed that zinc-phosphate cement is not as successful as glass-ionomer and resin cements in reducing microleakage. One reason for this may be the high solubility of zinc-phosphate cement when compared to glass-ionomer and resin cements in addition to the properties of its bond with dentine, which is entirely mechanical. Clinical studies have shown that despite these negative characteristics, restorations fixed with zinc-phosphate cement are stable for long periods of time. Resin and glass-ionomer cements are less soluble than zinc-phosphate cement and their chemical composition allows them to bond strongly both chemically and mechanically with dentine. In experimental conditions, resin and glass-ionomer cements performed better in terms of microleakage when compared to zinc-phosphate cement. However, only though long-term clinical trials will the advantages and disadvantages of the various cements in terms of durability become clear [127, 128].

Furthermore, maintaining microleakage to a minimum requires the use of cements with good sealing properties. Of all the different types of cements that are used in dentistry, resin-based and glass-ionomer cements have shown the best results due to their leaching of fluoride ions, creating an additional mechanical bond with the tooth [75, 76].

4. Conclusion

Nowadays, with the developing technology, there are many restorative materials and different fabrication methods for prosthetic restorations. Marginal adaptation is the most important factor for clinical use and success of the restorations. Failure to provide marginal adaptation increases microleakage and causes microorganisms to colonize between tooth and restoration, thus causing secondary caries. In fixed prosthetic restorations, CAD/CAM technologies can be used to prepare infrastructures to have optimal marginal and internal fitting, mechanically resistant, biocompatible, and low cement spacing. More bonding efficiency and less water solubility of the adhesive resin cements result in less microleakage than other cements; for this reason, adhesive resin cements can be preferred for a suitable option. In glass-ionomer cement, secondary caries risk is decreased because of the presence of fluoride. Before the planning of prosthetic restorations, abutment teeth, periodontal tissues, prosthetic material, cement, and fabrication method must be chosen carefully.

Author details

Arzu Zeynep Yildirim Bicer* and Senem Unver

*Address all correspondence to: dtzeynep@yahoo.com

Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Prosthodontic, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey

References

- [1] Felton DA, Kanoy BE, Bayne SC, Wirthman GP. Effect of in vivo crown margin discrepancies on periodontal health. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1991;**65**:357-364
- [2] Gardner FM. Margins of complete crowns-literature review. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1982;**48**:396-400
- [3] Behrend DA. Crown margins and gingival health. *Annals of the Royal Australasian Collage of Dental Surgeons*. 1984;**8**:138-145
- [4] Bergenholtz G, Cox CF, Loesche WJ, Syed SA. Bacterial leakage around dental restorations: Its effect on the dental pulp. *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*. 1982;**11**:439-450
- [5] Schwartz NL, Whitsett LD, Berry TG, Stewart JL. Unserviceable crowns and fixed partial dentures: Life-span and causes for loss of serviceability. *Journal of the American Dental Association*. 1970;**81**:1395-1401
- [6] Karlsson S. A clinical evaluation of fixed bridges, 10 years following insertion. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 1986;**13**:423-432
- [7] Featherstone JDB. The continuum of dental caries- evidence for a dynamic disease process. *Journal of Dental Research*. 2004;**83**:39-42
- [8] Mjör IA. Chapter 4: Dental caries: Characteristics of lesions and pulpal reactions. In: Mjör IA, editor. *Pulp-Dentinbiology in Restorative Dentistry*. Illinois: Quintessence Publishing Inc.; 2002
- [9] Tay FR, Messer H, Schwartz R. Chapter 14: Caries, restorative dentistry, and the pulp. In: Hargreaves KM, Goodis HE, Tay F, editors. *Seltzer and Bender's Dental Pulp*. 2nd ed. Illinois: Quintessence Publishing Inc.; 2012
- [10] Bjørndal L, Thylstrup A. A structural analysis of approximal enamel caries lesions and subjacent dentin reactions. *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. 1995;**103**:25-31
- [11] Jokstad A. Secondary caries and microleakage. *Dental Materials*. 2016;**32**(1):11-25
- [12] Mjör IA, Davis ME, Abu-Hanna A. CAD/CAM restorations and secondary caries: A literature review with illustrations. *Dental Update*. 2008;**35**(2):118-120
- [13] Mjör IA. Frequency of secondary caries at various anatomical locations. *Operative Dentistry*. 1985;**10**:88-92
- [14] Arnold WH, Sonkol T, Zoellner A, Gaengler P. Comparative study of in vitro caries-like lesions and natural caries lesions at crown margins. *Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2007;**16**(6):445-451
- [15] Ando M, Gonzalez-Cabezas C, Isaacs RL, Eckert GJ, Stookey GK. Evaluation of several techniques for the detection of secondary caries adjacent to amalgam restorations. *Caries Research*. 2004;**38**(4):350-356

- [16] Okida RC, Mandarino F, Sundfeld RH, de Alexandre RS, Sundfeld ML. In vitro evaluation of secondary caries formation around restoration. The Bulletin of Tokyo Dental College. 2008;**49**(3):121-128
- [17] Murat S, Kamburoglu K, Isayev A, Kursun S, Yuksel S. Visibility of artificial buccal recurrent caries under restorations using different radiographic techniques. Operative Dentistry. 2013;**38**:197-207
- [18] Vedpathak PR, Gondivkar SM, Bhoosreddy AR, Shah KR, Verma GR, Mehrotha GP, Nerkar AC. Cone beam computed tomography- an effective tool in detecting caries under fixed dental prostheses. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2016;**10**(8):10-13
- [19] Kidd EA, Joysten-Bechal S, Beighton D. Marginal ditching and staining as a predictor of secondary caries around amalgam restorations: A clinical and microbiological study. Journal of Dental Research. 1995;**74**:1206-1211
- [20] Özer L. The relationship between gap size microbial accumulation and the structural features of natural caries in extracted teeth with class II amalgam restorations [thesis]. Denmark: University of Copenhagen; 1997
- [21] Trajtenberg CP, Caram SJ, Kiat-amnuay S. Microleakage of all-ceramic crowns using self-etching resin luting agents. Operative Dentistry. 2008;**33**(4):392-399
- [22] Tay FR, Pashley DH, Suh BI, Carvalho R, Itthagarun A. Single-step adhesives are permeable membranes. Journal of Dentistry. 2002;**30**:371-382
- [23] Larson TD. The clinical significance of marginal fit. Northwest Dentistry. 2012;**91**(1):22-29
- [24] Alani AH, Toll CG. Detection of microleakage around dental restorations: A review. Operative Dentistry. 1997;**22**:173-185
- [25] Taylor MJ, Lynch E. Microleakage. Journal of Dentistry. 1992;**20**(1):3-10
- [26] Rossetti PHO, Valle AL, Carvalho RM, Goes MF, Pegoraro LF. Correlation between margin fit and microleakage in complete crowns cemented with three luting agents. Journal of Applied Oral Science. 2008;**16**(1):64-69
- [27] Syrek A, Reich G, Ranftl D, Klein C, Cerny B, Brodesser J. Clinical evaluation of all-ceramic crowns fabricated from intraoral digital impressions based on the principle of active wavefront sampling. Journal of Dentistry. 2010;**38**:553-559
- [28] Beschmidt SM, Strub JR. Evaluation of the marginal accuracy of different all-ceramic crown systems after simulation in the artificial mouth. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 1999;**26**:582-593
- [29] Bader JD, Rozier RG, McFall WT Jr, Ramsey DL. Effect of crown margins on periodontal conditions in regularly attending patients. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1991;**65**:75-79
- [30] Kokubo Y, Ohkubo C, Tsumita M, Miyashita A, Vult von Steyern P, Fukushima S. Clinical marginal and internal gaps of Procera AllCeram crowns. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2005;**32**:526-530

- [31] Att W, Komine F, Gerds T, Strub JR. Marginal adaptation of three different zirconium dioxide three-unit fixed dental prostheses. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2009; **101**:239-247
- [32] Beuer F, Aggstaller H, Edelhoff D, Gernet W, Sorensen J. Marginal and internal fits of fixed dental prostheses zirconia retainers. *Dental Materials*. 2009; **25**:94-102
- [33] Gonzalo E, Suarez MJ, Serrano B, Lozano JF. Comparative analysis of two measurement methods for marginal fit in metal-ceramic and zirconia posterior FPDs. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2009; **22**:374-377
- [34] Beuer F, Neumeier P, Naumann M. Marginal fit of 14-unit zirconia fixed dental prosthesis retainers. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 2009; **36**:142-149
- [35] Beuer F, Aggstaller H, Richter J, Edelhoff D, Gernet W. Influence of preparation angle on marginal and internal fit of CAD/CAM-fabricated zirconia crown copings. *Quintessence International*. 2009; **40**:243-250
- [36] Monaco C, Krejci I, Bortolotto T, Perakis N, Ferrari M, Scotti R. Marginal adaptation of 1 fiber-reinforced composite and 2 all-ceramic inlay fixed partial denture systems. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2006; **19**:373-382
- [37] Albert FE, El-Mowafy OM. Marginal adaptation and microleakage of Procera AllCeram crowns with four cements. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2004; **17**:529-535
- [38] Bindl A, Mörmann WH. Fit of all-ceramic posterior fixed partial denture frameworks in vitro. *The International Journal of Periodontics Restorative Dentistry*. 2007; **27**:567-575
- [39] Cho SH, Chang WG, Lim BS, Lee YK. Effect of die spacer thickness on shear bond strength of porcelain laminate veneers. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2006; **95**:201-208
- [40] Shiratsuchi H, Komine F, Kakehashi Y, Matsumura H. Influence of finish line desing on marginal adaptation of electroformed metal-ceramic crowns. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2006; **95**(3):237-242
- [41] Holmes JR, Sulik WD, Holland GA, Bayne SC. Marginal fit of castable ceramic crowns. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1992; **67**:594-599
- [42] Schwickerath H. Marginal cleft and solubility. *Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift*. 1979; **34**:664-669
- [43] Baig MR, Tan KBC, Nicholls JI. Evaluation of the marginal fit of a zirconia ceramic computer-aided machined (CAM) crown system. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2010; **104**:216-227
- [44] Abduo J, Lyons K, Swain M. Fit of zirconia fixed partial denture: A systematic review. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 2010; **37**:866-876
- [45] Ural C, Burgaz Y, Sarac, D. In vitro evaluation of marginal adaptation in five ceramic restoration fabricating techniques. *Quintessence International*. 2010; **41**:585-590

- [46] Chu-Jung W, Philip LM, Dan N. Effects of cement, cement space, marginal design, seating aid materials, and seating force on crown cementation. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1992;**67**:786-790
- [47] Sailer I, Feher A, Filser F, Gauckler LJ, Luthy H, Hammerle CH. Five-year clinical results of zirconia frameworks for posterior fixed partial dentures. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2007;**20**:383-388
- [48] Sailer I, Feher A, Filser F, Luthy H, Gauckler LJ, Scharer P, et al. Prospective clinical study of zirconia posterior fixed partial dentures: 3-year follow-up. *Quintessence International*. 2006;**37**:685-693
- [49] Pjetursson BE, Sailer I, Zwahlen M, Hammerle CH. A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of allceramic and metal-ceramic reconstructions after an observation period of at least 3 years. Part I: Single crowns. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*. 2007;**18**(3):73-85
- [50] Quintas AF, Oliveira F, Bottino MA. Vertical marginal discrepancy of ceramic copings with different ceramic materials, finish lines, and luting agents: An in vitro evaluation. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2004;**92**(3):250-257
- [51] Nakamura T, Nonaka M, Maruyama T. In vitro fitting accuracy of copy-milled alumina cores and all-ceramic crowns. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2000;**13**(3):189-193
- [52] Borba M, Cesar PF, Griggs JA, Della Bona A. Adaptation of all-ceramic fixed partial dentures. *Dental Materials*. 2011;**27**(11):1119-1126
- [53] Molin M, Karlsson S. The fit of gold inlays and three ceramic inlay systems. A clinical and in vitro study. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*. 1993;**51**(4):201-206
- [54] Rahme HY, Tehini GE, Adib SM, Ardo AS, Rifai KT. In vitro evaluation of the “replica technique” in the measurement of the fit of Procera crowns. *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*. 2008;**9**(2):25-32
- [55] Coli P, Karlsson S. Fit of a new pressure-sintered zirconium dioxide coping. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2004;**17**(1):59-64
- [56] Goldin EB, NW3rd B, Goldstein GR, Hittelman EL, Thompson VP. Marginal fit of leucite-glass pressable ceramic restorations and ceramic-pressed-to-metal restorations. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2005;**93**(2):143-147
- [57] Reich S, Wichmann M, Nkenke E, Proeschel P. Clinical fit of all-ceramic three-unit fixed partial dentures, generated with three different CAD/CAM systems. *European Journal of Oral Science*. 2005;**113**:174-179
- [58] Gemalmaz D, Kukrer D. In vivo and in vitro evaluation of marginal fit of class II ceromer inlays. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 2006;**33**(6):436-442

- [59] Lee KB, Park CW, Kim KH, Kwon TY. Marginal and internal fit of all-ceramic crowns fabricated with two different CAD/CAM systems. *Dental Materials Journal*. 2008; **27**(3):422-426
- [60] Suarez MJ, Gonzalez de Villaumbrosia P, Pradies G, Lozano JF. Comparison of the marginal fit of Procera AllCeram crowns with two finish lines. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2003; **16**:229-232
- [61] Pelekanos S, Koumanou M, Koutayas SO, Zinelis S, Eliades G. Micro-CT evaluation of the marginal fit of different In-Ceram alumina copings. *European Journal of Esthetic Dentistry*. 2009; **4**:278-292
- [62] Holmes JR, Bayne SC, Holland GA, Sulik WD. Considerations in measurement of marginal fit. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1989; **62**:405-408
- [63] Alghazzawi TF, Liu PR, Essig ME. The effect of different fabrication steps on the marginal adaptation of two types of glass-infiltrated ceramic crown copings fabricated by CAD/CAM Technology. *Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2012; **21**(3):167-172
- [64] Böning K, Wolf B, Schmidt A, Kästner K, Walter M. Klinische Randspaltmessungen an Procera AllCeram-Kronen. *Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift*. 2000; **55**:97-100
- [65] Zarone F, Russo S, Sorrentino R. From porcelain-fused-to-metal to zirconia: Clinical and experimental considerations. *Dental Materials*. 2011; **27**:83-96
- [66] Çömlekoğlu ME, Dündar M, Özcan M, Güngör MA, Gökçe B, Artunç C. Evaluation of bond strength of various margin ceramics to a zirconia ceramic. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2008; **36**:822-827
- [67] Kelly JR, Denry I. Stabilized zirconia as a structural ceramic: An overview. *Dental Materials*. 2008; **24**:289-298
- [68] Schmitter M, Mueller D, Rues S. Chipping behaviour of all-ceramic crowns with zirconia framework and CAD/CAM manufactured veneer. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2012; **40**:154-162
- [69] Uludamar A, Aygün Ş, Kulak ÖY. Zirkonya esaslı tam seramik restorasyonlar. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Diş Hekimliği Fakültesi Dergisi*. 2012; **5**:132-141
- [70] Toksavul S, Ulusoy, Toman M. Clinical application of all-ceramic fixed partial dentures and crowns *Quintessence International*. 2004; **35**(3):185-188
- [71] Blatz MB. Long-term clinical success of all-ceramic posterior restorations. *Quintessence International*. 2002; **33**:415-426
- [72] Kassem AS, Atta O, El-Mowafy O. Fatigue resistance and microleakage of CAD/CAM ceramic and composite molar crowns. *Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2012; **21**(1):28-32
- [73] Beuer F, Schweiger J, Edelhoff D. Digital dentistry: An overview of recent developments for CAD/CAM generated restorations. *British Dental Journal*. 2008; **204**:505-511
- [74] Yüksel E, Zaimoğlu A. Influence of marginal fit and cement types on microleakage of all-ceramic crown systems. *Brazilian Oral Research*. 2011; **25**(3):261-266

- [75] Hill EE, Lott J. A clinically focused discussion of luting materials. *Australian Dental Journal*. 2011;**56 Suppl 1**:67-76
- [76] Burke FJ. Trends in indirect dentistry: 3. Luting materials. *Dental Update*. 2005;**32**(5): 251-4,257-8,260
- [77] Qualtrough AJ, Piddock V. Dental ceramics: What's new? *Dental Update*. 2002;**29**(1):25-33
- [78] Petteno D, Schierano G, Bassi F, Bresciano ME, Carossa S. Comparison of marginal fit of 3 different metal-ceramic systems: An in vitro study. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2000;**5**:405-408
- [79] Hamza TA, Ezzat HA, El-Hossary MM, Katamish HA, Shokry TE, Rosenstiel SF. Accuracy of ceramic restorations made with two CAD/CAM systems. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2013;**109**:83-87
- [80] Andreiotelli M, Kamposiora P, Papavasiliou G. Digital data management for CAD/CAM technology. An update of current systems. *European Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry*. 2013;**21**:9-15
- [81] Persson A, Andersson M, Oden A, Sandborgh-Englund G. A three-dimensional evaluation of a laser scanner and a touch-probe scanner. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2006;**95**:194-200
- [82] Tamac E, Toksavul S, Toman M. Clinical marginal and internal adaptation of CAD/CAM milling, laser sintering, and cast metal ceramic crowns. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2014;**112**(4):909-913
- [83] Rinke S, Hüls A, Jahn L. Marginal accuracy and fracture strength of conventional and copy-milled all-ceramic crowns. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 1995;**8**(4): 303-310
- [84] Sulaiman F, Chai J, Jameson LM, Wozniak WT. A comparison of the marginal fit of In-Ceram, IPS empress and Procera crowns. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 1997;**10**(5):478-484
- [85] Raigrodski AJ. Contemporary all-ceramic fixed partial dentures: A review. *Dental Clinics of North America*. 2004;**48**(2):531-544
- [86] Komine F, Gerds T, Witkowski S, Strub JR. Influence of framework configuration on the marginal adaptation of zirconium dioxide ceramic anterior four-unit frameworks. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*. 2005;**63**(6):361-366
- [87] Apholt W, Bindl A, Lüthy H, Mörmann W. Flexural strength of Cerec 2 machined and jointed together in Ceram-alumina and InCeram-zirconia bars. *Dental Materials*. 2001;**17**(3):260-267
- [88] Raigrodski AJ. Clinical and laboratory considerations for the use of CAD/CAM Y-TZP-based restorations. *Practical Procedures & Aesthetic Dentistry*. 2003;**15**(6):469-476
- [89] Pröbster L, Diehl J. Slip casting alumina ceramics for crown and bridge restorations *Quintessence International*. 1992;**23**(1):25-29

- [90] Oh SC, Dong JK, Lüthy H, Schaörer P. Strength and microstructure of IPS empress 2 glass-ceramic after different treatments. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2000;**13**(6):468-472
- [91] Anderson M, Razzoog ME, Oden A, Hegenbarth EA, Lang BR. Procera a new way to achieve an all-ceramic crown. *Quintessence International*. 1998;**29**(5):285-296
- [92] Beuer F, Schweiger J, Eichberger M, Kappert HF, Gernet W, Edelhoff D. High-strength CAD/CAM-fabricated veneering material sintered to zirconia copings-a new fabrication mode for all-ceramic restorations. *Dental Materials*. 2009;**25**:121-128
- [93] Kanat B, Çömlekoğlu ME, Çömlekoğlu Dünder M, Şen BH, Özcan M, Güngör MA. Effect of various veneering techniques on mechanical strength of computer-controlled zirconia framework designs. *Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2014;**23**:445-455
- [94] Mormann WH, Bindl A. The Cerec 3-a quantum leap for computer-aided restorations: Initial clinical results. *Quintessence International*. 2000;**31**:699-712
- [95] Liu PR. A panorama of dental CAD/CAM restorative systems. *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry*. 2005;**25**:507-516
- [96] Gonzalo E, Suarez MJ, Serrano B, Lozano JFL. A comparison of the marginal vertical discrepancies of zirconium and metal ceramic posterior fixed dental prostheses before and after cementation. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2009;**102**(6):378-384
- [97] Quante K, Ludwig K, Kern M. Marginal and internal fit of metal-ceramic crowns fabricated with a new laser melting technology. *Dental Materials*. 2008;**24**(10):1311-1315
- [98] Colpani JT, Borba M, Della Bona A. Evaluation of marginal and internal fit of ceramic crown copings. *Dental Materials*. 2013;**29**(2):174-180
- [99] Van Meerbeek B, Perdigão J, Lambrechts P, Vanherle G. The clinical performance of adhesives. *Journal of Dentistry*. 1998;**26**(1):1-20
- [100] Rosenstiel SF, Land MF, Crispin BJ. Dental luting agents: A review of the current literature. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1998;**80**(3):280-301
- [101] Irie M, Suzuki K. Current luting cements: Marginal gap formation of composite inlay and their mechanical properties. *Dental Materials*. 2001;**17**:347-353
- [102] Mjor IA, Moorhead JE, Dahl JE. Reasons for replacement of restorations in permanent teeth in general dental practice. *International Dental Journal*. 2000;**50**(6):361-366
- [103] Lyons KM, Rodda JC, Hood JAA. Use of a pressure chamber to compare microleakage of three luting agents. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 1997;**10**(5):426-433
- [104] Gu X, Kern M. Marginal discrepancies and leakage of all-ceramic crowns: Influence of luting agent and aging conditions. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2003;**16**:109-116
- [105] Gernhardt CR, Bekes K, Schaller C. Short term retentive values of zirconium oxide post cemented with glass ionomer and resin cement: An in vitro study and a case report. *Quintessence International*. 2005;**36**:593-601

- [106] Chang JC, Hart DA, Esty AW, Chan JT. Tensile bond strength of five luting agents to two CAD/CAM restorative materials and enamel. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2003; **90**:18-23
- [107] Blatz M, Sadan A, Kern M. Resin-ceramic bonding: A review of the literature. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2003; **89**:268-274
- [108] Hooshmand T, Mohaherfar M, Keshvad A, Motahhary P. Microleakage and marginal gap of adhesive cements for noble alloy full cast crowns. *Operative Dentistry*. 2011; **36**(3):258-265
- [109] Heintze SD. Crown pull-off test (crown retention test) to evaluate the bonding effectiveness of luting agents. *Dental Materials*. 2010; **26**(3):193-206
- [110] Piwowarczyk A, Lauer HC, Sorensen JA. In vitro shear bond strength of cementing agents to fixed prosthodontic restorative materials. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2004; **92**(3):265-273
- [111] Jacques LB, Ferrari M, Cardoso PE. Microleakage and resin cement film thickness of luted all-ceramic and gold electroformed porcelain-fused-to-metal crowns. *Journal of Adhesive Dentistry*. 2003; **5**(2):145-152
- [112] Lindquist TJ, Connolly J. In vitro microleakage of cementing agents and crown foundation material. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2001; **85**(3):292-298
- [113] El-Mowafy OM. The use of resin cements in restorative dentistry to overcome retention problems. *Journal of Canadian Dental Association*. 2001; **67**:97-102
- [114] Bindl A, Mormann WH. Clinical and SEM evaluation of all ceramic chair side CAD/CAM generated partial crowns. *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. 2003; **3**:163-169
- [115] Gerth H, Dammaschke T, Zuchner H, Schafer E. Chemical analysis and bonding reaction of Unicem and Bifix composite. A comparative study. *Dental Materials*. 2006; **22**:934-941
- [116] Friederich R, Kern M. Resin bond strength to densely sintered alumina ceramic. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2002; **15**:333-338
- [117] Kern M, Thompson VP. Bonding to glass infiltrated alumina ceramic: Adhesive methods and their durability. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1995; **73**:240-249
- [118] Aoki K, Kitasako Y, Ichinose S, BurrowMF, AriyoshiM, Nikaido T, Tagami J. Ten-year observation of dentin bonding durability of 4-META/MMA-TBB resin cement-a SEM and TEM study. *Dental Materials*. 2011; **30**:438-447
- [119] Al-Assaf K, Chakmakchi M, Palaghias G, Karanika-Kouma A, Eliades G. Interfacial characteristics of adhesive luting resins and composites with dentine. *Dental Materials*. 2007; **23**:829-839
- [120] Reis A, Grandi V, Carlotto L, Bartoli G, Patzlaff R, Rodrigues Accorinte Mde L, Dourado Loguercio A. Effect of smear layer thickness and acidity of self-etching solutions on early and long-term bond strength to dentin. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2005; **33**:549-559

- [121] Walker MP, Wang Y, Spencer P. Morphological and chemical characterization of the dentin/resin cement interface produced with a self-etching primer. *The Journal of Adhesive Dentistry*. 2002;**4**:181-189
- [122] Moszner N, Salz U, Zimmermann J. Chemical aspects of self-etching enamel-dentin adhesives: A systematic review. *Dental Materials*. 2005;**21**:895-910
- [123] Yang B, Ludwig K, Adelung R, Kern M. Micro-tensile bond strength of three luting resins to human regional dentin. *Dental Materials*. 2006;**22**:45-56
- [124] Van Dijken JW, Persson S, Sjöström S. Presence of *Streptococcus mutans* and lactobacilli in saliva and on enamel, glass ionomer cement, and composite resin surfaces. *Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research*. 1991;**99**:13-19
- [125] Tjan AHL, Peach KD, VanDenburgh SL, Zbaraschuk ER. Microleakage of crowns cemented with glass ionomer cement: Effects of preparation finish and conditioning with polyacrylic acid. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1991;**66**(5):602-606
- [126] Rosentritt M, Behr M, Lang R, Handel G. Influence of cement type on the marginal adaptation of all-ceramic MOD inlays. *Dental Materials*. 2004;**20**(5):463-469
- [127] Gerdolle AD, Mortier E, Loos-Ayav C, Jacpot B, Panighi MM. In vitro evaluation of microleakage of indirect composite inlays cemented with four luting agents. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2005;**93**:563-570
- [128] Goodcare CJ, Bernal G, Rungcharassaeng K, Kan JYK. Clinical complications in fixed prosthodontics. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2003;**90**:31-41