We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Relationships and School Success: From a Social-Emotional Learning Perspective

Chiaki Konishi and Tracy K.Y. Wong

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.75012>

Abstract

There is an increased body of research indicating the importance of social-emotional learning (SEL) in schools. SEL is the processes of acquiring the skills to recognize and manage emotions, develop caring and concern for others, make responsible decisions, establish positive relationships, and handle challenging situations effectively. It is promoted through both direct instruction and the establishment of safe, caring, and supportive learning environments in which all students feel valued, respected, and connected. In support of such arguments are studies linking SEL to a number of positive students' outcomes, including better academic achievement, social behavior, and emotional well-being. This chapter addresses how SEL, especially *relationships* as a critical component of SEL, contributes to school success and mental health especially among youth, with research evidence. Further, on the basis that we often do not feel efficacious in fostering SEL due to inadequate training and information, this chapter provides evidence-based practices to support healthy relationships and learning environments.

Keywords: social-emotional learning, relationships, bullying, school climate, youth, academic achievement, mental health

1. Introduction

Of all children and youth aged 5–18 in Canada and the U.S., 9 out of 10 attend school [1, 2]. Unfortunately, estimates suggest that students become increasingly disengaged as they progress through secondary school, with some studies estimating that 40–60% of youth show signs of disengagement [3], which often tend to be associated with other school maladjustment. Given that school adjustment problems foreshadow many types of dysfunction over the life

cycle [4, 5], it is important to understand the process through which students adapt to schools and identify different ways to support them.

Schools are challenging contexts for students, especially for youth, by nature and design. These challenges include the instructional features of classrooms and schools, such as didactic small- and large-group instruction, teacher-initiated/monitored learning activities, and programmatic curriculum sequences. At present, much is known about how students' cognitive and linguistic skills and their socioeconomic and ethnic backgrounds function as precursors of their achievement and adjustment. Less well recognized are the many types of interpersonal challenges that youth confront in school. Beyond basic tasks such as relating with classmates and schoolmates and forming ties with teachers, youth find that they are under increasing pressure to compare and evaluate themselves, their abilities, and their achievements to those of peers. Many of these challenges are repeated as students progress through grades. In each new classroom, they must negotiate their needs in dyadic and group settings and reestablish relationships with classmates and teachers. Moreover, it is likely that these challenges are intensified when students change schools or cope with school transitions [6, 7].

In light of the above, an important task facing educational and developmental researchers is to investigate the roles of students' classroom/school interpersonal skills and relationships as precursors of school adaption and adjustment. Indeed, diverging from the traditional focus on the three Rs, including *reading*, *writing*, and *arithmetic* [8], an emerging line of research points to the importance of the fourth *R* of education, *relationships*. In corroboration, the school-climate [9] and social-emotional learning (SEL) [10] literature highlights the role of relationships in supporting school success and mental well-being.

The recognition of SEL has been gradually spread around the world in recent years [10]. SEL refers to the process through which children and adults develop a set of skills and competencies to recognize and manage emotions, develop care and concern for others, make responsible decisions, establish positive relationships, and handle challenging situations effectively; these skills are promoted through both direct instructions and the establishment of a safe, caring, and supportive learning environment in which all students feel valued, respected, and connected [11]. Its importance is evidenced through its relationships with various positive student outcomes [12].

To illustrate the importance to consider which aspects of students' school adjustment are affected by interpersonal factors, this chapter will first address how interpersonal relationships, including relationships with peers, teachers, and family, contribute to school success and mental health among youth. We will end the chapter with a discussion about how we can better support these relationships.

2. Relationships with peers

During early adolescence, peer groups become increasingly important as young people start to seek autonomy from their parents [13, 14]. In this section, we particularly address school bullying as a critical peer-group phenomenon that often threatens academic and psychological well-being.

Bullying is typically defined as an aggressive peer-to-peer behavior involving a power differentiation between the perpetrator and the victim; this behavior is also enacted repeatedly over time with the intention to do harm [15]. Researchers and educators have increasingly acknowledged that bullying continues to be a serious problem in schools around the world, with evidence that involvement in bullying (as a victim or a bully) affects children and adolescents' health. For example, more than 40% Canadian students in grades 6–10 reported being both bullied and bullying others and this high prevalence remains [16].

Bullying takes several forms, including physical assault, ethnic discrimination, rumor victimization, sexual harassment, and verbal assault. Being a victim is not without consequence - indeed, victimization by peers is associated with a broad range of difficulties, both immediate and long term, in the areas of mental health, academic performance, and overall well-being [17]. The detrimental effects of bullying do not end with the victims, however. A recent study of students in grades 8 to 10 has reported that nearly 90% of the students had witnessed either their friends or other students being bullied at least a few times during the school year and that witnessing bullying was associated with higher levels of depression [18].

2.1. Associations with academic achievement

Academic achievements among youth are of great importance for prospective school and career choices. A growing body of research has demonstrated significant links between school bullying and academic achievement (e.g., see [19, 20]). Students who are bullied by peers are likely to demonstrate poor academic performance (e.g., see [19, 20]), as are children who bully others [21]. Together, this line of research is consistent with the arguments that children's social experiences at school affect their academic performance [11, 12]. A recent meta-analysis with 29,552 school students revealed significant negative correlation between peer victimization and academic achievement [22].

Few studies [20, 23] on bullying have investigated the influence of school-level factors on individual academic performance. Konishi et al. [20] conducted one of the few multilevel studies in this area and found that school-level bullying was associated with lower grades among 15-year-olds. This study has addressed the need to simultaneously investigate individual and contextual influences on students' academic achievement. There is also a link between bullying and high school dropout rates. Cornell and colleagues [24] have found that the prevalence of bullying as perceived by both ninth grade students and teachers was predictive of dropout rates for this cohort 4 years later.

2.2. Associations with mental health

Researchers have long demonstrated that being involved as both a victim and bully seems to compound the impact of bullying, with bully-victims experiencing worse outcomes than either bullies or victims and being at greater risk for various types of mental health problems. These include anxiety, low self-esteem, depression, self-harm, suicidality, physical injury, substance abuse, and delinquency [25–27]. A recent trajectory study [28] has further demonstrated that, as compared to low-involvement students and after controlling for initial psychopathology, stable victims showed greater levels of anxiety, depression, and attention-deficit hyperactivity

disorder; similarly, stable bullies reported higher levels of anxiety, and those who shifted from victimization to bullying reported more anxiety, depression, and somatization. These findings underscore the importance of considering a child's history of involvement in bullying over time and view bullying as a dynamic experience, influenced by the social ecology.

Given the growing efforts to reduce bullying, we would speculate that the prevalence of school bullying might be declining. However, this may not be the case, particularly for sexual minority students. Students who are stigmatized or marginalized due to ethnicity, sexual orientation, and mental and physical illness are often victims of bullying, and much of this harassment takes place in schools. A recent trend study on harassment among adolescents has shown that sexual minority students continued to report higher rates of victimization than exclusively heterosexual peers over time [29]. Victimized sexual minority youth were at greatest risk not only for mental health concerns [30–32].

3. Relationships with teachers

Educators and researchers have increasingly acknowledged the imperative for creating a positive school climate, both to promote social and emotional competencies and optimize students' learning; teachers hold the key to such a learning environment [12, 33, 34]. Strong and supportive relationships provided by teachers are fundamental to the healthy development of all students in schools [35, 36]. Positive student-teacher relationships serve as a resource for students at risk of school failure, whereas conflict or disconnection between students and adults may compound that risk [37]. Although the nature of these relationships changes as students mature, the need for connection between students and adults in the school setting remains strong from preschool to high school [38]. Even as schools place increasing attention on standardized testing and accountability, the social and emotional quality of student-teacher relationships contributes to both academic and social-emotional development [39]. As such, student-teacher relationships provide a unique entry point for educators and others working toward improving the SEL environments of schools and classrooms.

3.1. Associations with academic achievement

Although students have less time with teachers during high school, there is strong evidence that relationships with adults in these settings are among the most important predictors of school success [36]. The quality of relationships that students form with their teachers has been repeatedly associated with students' academic and social-emotional outcomes [40]. High-quality student-teacher relationships are most often characterized by high levels of warmth, sensitivity, and emotional connection, and low levels of dependency, negativity, and conflict, which are highlighted in both attachment and self-determination theories [41–43]. Although the need for emotional support is perhaps more self-evidently important in the lower grades, adolescents are highly sensitive to the emotional rapport they establish with adults in school settings, and experience of strong connections to adults has been consistently linked to long-term academic success [44]. By conducting a meta-analysis, Roorda and

colleagues [45] found significant associations between student-teacher relationships and students' academic engagement and achievement spanning from preschool through high school. Longitudinal research [40, 46] has also shown the positive associations between high-quality student-teacher relationships and academic adjustment. Although both family and teacher support are important in predicting students' achievement, research has indicated that student-teacher connection was the factor most closely associated with growth in academic achievement from eighth to twelfth grade [39].

3.2. Associations with mental health

Connectedness to school during adolescence has emerged as a key area for building protective factors for positive educational outcomes and lower rates of health-risk behaviors [47, 48]. Students who are not engaged with learning or who have poor relationships with teachers are more likely to use drugs and engage in socially disruptive and sexual risk behaviors, report anxiety/depressive symptoms, have poorer adult relationships, and fail to complete secondary school (e.g., see [49, 50]). Therefore, the potential consequences for the students to become disconnected from school are far reaching. Longitudinal research from the U. S. reveals that high school students reporting greater connectedness to teachers display lower rates of emotional distress, suicidal ideation, suicidal behavior, violence, substance abuse, and early sexual activity [51].

Teachers can also serve as a protective factor against negative developmental outcomes, especially for marginalized and minority youth (e.g., see [31, 52]). Indeed, since Werner and Smith's [53, 54] longitudinal study of over 30 years, the importance of having at least one significant adult as a means for fostering resiliency among children and youth identified as 'at risk' has become a well-documented phenomenon [55–57]. Previous research findings are in accordance with suggesting that this 'significant adult' needs not be a parent or relative. This may be especially true during adolescence when youth often seek nonparental mentors and role models. Many sexual minority youth fear or face rejection by their parents because of their sexual identity [58]. In support of this argument, a Canadian study, with population-based data from high schools, has shown that supportive relationships with teachers significantly contributed to reducing greater risk for social-emotional problems not only for sexual minority youth experiencing peer victimization, but also for heterosexual youth who had been victimized by peers [31]. The results support the resilience perspective that a significant adult is not necessarily a parent or relative but can be an outside adult, including a teacher.

4. Family involvement

Beyond peer and teacher relationships, the fourth R can also be manifested when the family proactively engages in practices and activities that serve to promote learning and development [59]. Given that these practices and activities can take place within the home, and in partnerships with the school and the community [60, 61], such involvement is in line with the ecological framework [62] that highlights the interplay between two important systems (i.e., the family and the school).

Family involvement is essential to academic and mental health outcomes for children [63] and youth alike [64–66]. Several frameworks are available in the conceptualization of family involvement. For instance, based on a sample of 24,599 eighth graders, Ho and Willms [67] established a four-factor model that includes home discussion (e.g., discussing school programs with the child), school communication (e.g., contacting school personnel), home supervision (e.g., limiting TV time), and school participation (e.g., attending parent-teacher meetings). More recently, Epstein and her colleagues [68] proposed a framework that details six common types of involvement in efforts to organize the disparate literature: (1) parenting: when schools and/or community provide help to the family in establishing a positive home environment that supports learning and development; (2) communicating: when the family and schools and/or community establish an effective channel to communicate about the child's progress; (3) volunteering: when the family supports school operations and functions in collaboration with the school itself and/or community; (4) learning at home: when the family supports the child's learning at home, such as by monitoring his/her homework or providing intellectual stimulations; (5) decision-making: when schools and/or community assist family members (e.g., parents) to become leaders and representatives in decision-making pertinent to school operations; and (6) collaborating with the community: when the family leverages school and community services and resources to better support the child's learning and development.

In light of these frameworks, family involvement encompasses not only home-based involvement but also a reciprocal relationship between the family and the school where they share responsibilities and goals to support learning and development [69].

4.1. Associations with academic achievement

There is little doubt that family involvement assumes a critical role in academic outcomes. Indeed, research has consistently indicated a significant association between family involvement, specifically that of parents, and academic achievement across students of different ages, cultural groups, and socioeconomic statuses [70, 71]. For example, a meta-analysis (50 studies) found that school-based involvement and academic socialization were positively and significantly associated with academic achievement among middle-school students [72]. Of note is that academic socialization, such as when parents communicate their expectations for education or discuss learning strategies with the adolescent, yielded the strongest effect size [72]. In corroboration, another meta-analysis (52 studies) involving a group of culturally diverse secondary school students revealed that parental academic expectations had the strongest significant relationship with overall academic achievement, followed by parenting style, homework assistance, and home-school communication [65]. Moreover, parental attendance and participation in school activities were strongly associated with specific grades [65]. In addition to achievement, youth of academically involved parents tend to use more self-regulated learning strategies, spend more time on schoolwork outside of class time, and show higher levels of academic engagement [73]. At the same time, they tend to exhibit stronger feelings of enjoyment, value, and interest toward learning [66, 74] and are more likely to pursue graduate studies [64].

In light of the multidimensional framework of family involvement, it is important to recognize that while certain aspects of family involvement may be positively associated with academic outcomes, other aspects may demonstrate a negative correlation. For example, in their meta-analysis, Hill and Tyson [72] found a negative correlation between homework assistance and academic achievement among middle school students. At first glance, these findings seem to be somewhat counter-intuitive because they imply that more parental involvement is linked with poorer academic achievement. Further considerations, however, suggest that these negative associations may reflect the tendency for parents to communicate more with schools or become more engaged with their child's homework when they realize the poor performance [63, 67, 72]. These associations may also illustrate age differences in terms of what constitute as effective forms of family involvement. For example, a reason why homework assistance is associated with poorer achievement among youth is that such aid may be viewed as threats to their sense of efficacy and autonomy [66, 75]. To elucidate these speculations, longitudinal studies are warranted.

4.2. Associations with mental health

To the extent that family involvement operates holistically as opposed to being geared toward academic achievement specifically, recent studies have associated such involvement with outcomes that extend beyond the academic domain. When families are involved in education, youth tend to have better relationships with their teachers [76], own a clearer sense of identity and future directions [77], and hold more positive perceptions of self-competence [73] and global self-worth [78]. In corroboration, a longitudinal study that followed a culturally diverse sample of students from grades 7 to 11 indicated that family-teacher communication and home-based involvement (e.g., scaffolding youth to take responsibility of learning) were associated with decreases in problematic behaviors and depressive symptoms over time [79]. Interestingly, the developmental benefits associated with home-based involvement were stronger for those experiencing more parental warmth. Of note is that although it remains unclear why family involvement promotes better mental health functioning, it is speculative that it does so by conveying a sense of caring and support that acts as a buffer toward maladaptive outcomes [66]. Another potential mechanism is that by engaging in frequent school-based involvement, families will have more opportunities to form positive relationships with teachers, which, as we will see below, also play an instrumental role in academic and mental health outcomes.

4.3. Parent-teacher relationship

Thus far, we have considered family involvement in broad terms that capture not only home-based but also the structural part of school-based involvement (e.g., parent-teacher discussions or meetings). We will now extend our focus to a more relational aspect of school-based involvement. Specifically, we will explore the parent-teacher relationship, which is perhaps the most salient fourth R within the dimension of home-school partnership. A positive parent-teacher relationship is one that is characterized by factors such as interpersonal trust, mutual respect and support, two-way communication, cooperation, coordination, and collaboration [80, 81].

At the same time, it is related to teachers' and parents' perceptions of one another's beliefs, attitudes, and values toward education and involvement [82–84]. To the extent that the parent-teacher relationship quality serves as a stronger predictor for achievement and social adjustment than the frequency of home-school contacts [80, 84], an emerging line of research is emphasizing the need to examine the quality of the parent-teacher or parent-school relationship in relation to developmental outcomes [83, 85, 86].

When the quality of the parent-teacher relationship is favorable, student outcomes tend to be more positive. In the academic domain, the high-quality parent-teacher relationship is associated with better test scores and competence in language and math [82, 84], as well as overall school performance [80, 87] among kindergartners and primary and secondary school students. Further, although research regarding the role of the parent-teacher relationship on youth's mental health functioning is limited as compared to those on children (e.g., [88, 89]), available evidence underscores its importance. Among a group of secondary school students in the U.S., Froiland and Davison [87] found a negative association between a satisfying and trusting parent-teacher relationship and problematic behaviors. Similarly, a study conducted across 10 provinces in China found that the parent-teacher relationship was linked with better social (e.g., relationships) and career (e.g., goal-settings) outcomes among high school students [90]. Therefore, there is a need for schools to devote efforts to increasing the number of family-school contacts *and* enhancing the quality of the parent-teacher relationship as they both contribute to academic and mental health outcomes.

5. Practices to foster positive relationships

As illustrated in previous sections, the fourth R is related to students' academic and mental health functioning in meaningful ways. Accordingly, we will now consider potential ways to support healthy peer and teacher relationships, and family involvement.

5.1. Social-emotional learning (SEL) interventions

SEL is an approach that aims to protect children and youth from maladaptive outcomes by supporting their mastery of a range of affective, behavioral, and cognitive competencies [12, 91]. Broadly speaking, these competencies fall under the groups of self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making [92]. Within the school context, SEL programs are designed to complement the school curricula to foster the core competencies in two steps. The first step involves informing and modeling SEL skills to students, followed by opportunities for them to practice and apply these skills in developmentally, contextually, and culturally appropriate ways [12]. The second step involves creating a safe and caring environment through peer and family initiatives, improved classroom management, effective teaching approaches, and whole-school community building activities [12, 91].

School-based SEL interventions serve as a potential avenue to support peer-, teacher-, and school-family relationships for a variety of reasons. First, the core competency of relationship skills focuses on promoting students' efficacy in establishing and maintaining healthy

relationships through effective communication, social engagement, relationship-building, and teamwork [92]. Accordingly, students will be more adept at creating trusting relationships with their peers and teachers. Second, by emphasizing teaching approaches that support the clear communication of expectations, cooperative learning, and classroom order [92], teachers can create a safe environment for students to become academically engaged. Third, interventions that invite the collaboration of family may also indirectly encourage more frequent home-school partnerships and better relationships.

A number of programs have been developed in line with the SEL approach. An exemplar is RULER [93], which equips primary and secondary school students with the competence to recognize and label emotions in oneself and others, understand potential causes and consequences of emotions, as well as express and regulate emotions in socially adaptive ways. By becoming emotionally literate, students will be able to interact with others more effectively; moreover, they will be able to problem-solve difficult emotional situations and have better mental health functioning [93]. Further, because RULER is incorporated into the English Language Arts (ELA) curriculum, in which books are often used to exemplify emotions and relationships, RULER also predicts improved ELA achievement [93]. Other effective programs are KiVa [94] and Roots of Empathy [95]. For example, KiVa is an antibullying program that has been found to reduce negative perceptions of peers (e.g., peers are seen as reliable and supportive), anxiety, and depression among children and youth [94].

5.2. Service learning programs

Similar to SEL interventions, research also suggests that service-learning programs can enhance a range of competencies pertinent to academic and mental health functioning. By providing meaningful services to the community in ways that connect with the school curriculum, students are empowered with social (e.g., cultural competence and empathy), personal (e.g., self-esteem), civic (e.g., community behaviors), and academic (e.g., learning engagement) competencies [96]. With its emphasis on contributing to the community, service-learning programs are particularly suitable for older adolescents. As an example, college students who participated in a 12-week service learning program (Campus Corps) in which they mentored at-risk adolescents demonstrated improved interpersonal and problem-solving skills, community service self-efficacy, self-esteem, civic action, and political awareness [97]. These mentors were also adept at sustaining positive relationships with their mentees and families [97]. In view of this line of evidence, service-learning programs may also be a promising approach to equipping students with social competence that can benefit their peer and teacher relationships.

5.3. Intervention programs and professional training for family and teachers

To encourage family involvement, it is important to first consider the underlying factors that may motivate or hinder such involvement. At the family level, three major factors have been identified to drive involvement, including parents' motivational beliefs, perception of invitations, and perceived life contexts [85]. Specifically, family involvement is more likely to occur when parents hold a belief that they should be involved in education, feel efficacious

that their involvement would promote better outcomes, perceive that they are welcomed by the school, teachers, and the child, as well as have the necessary skills, knowledge, time, and energy to become involved [85]. In support of this, children whose parents endorse the belief that it is their role to be involved in education and feel efficacious in doing so have more adaptive functioning [86]. When families feel that they are welcomed and respected, they are able to form more trusting relationships with schools; this is also especially true in inclusive [98] and culturally diverse schools [99].

At the school level, teachers and school personnel may face multiple barriers in their efforts to secure a family-school partnership. One of these salient barriers is the cultural differences that exist between families and schools. For example, African American parents often feel less welcomed in schools and experience barriers in securing resources for their child, possibly due to past and current discrimination [79]. Due to cultural differences in the conceptualization of parental roles or frustration that resulted from previous collaborative attempts, Latino families in the U.S. context may feel uncomfortable to participate in school events [100]. When schools and teachers do not share a common culture with the students and their families, it is also more difficult to establish a collaborative relationship that aims to support learning [101, 102]. In some cases, this collaboration is hindered by language barriers.

In light of the above, it would be important for school practitioners to offer training programs to families so as to heighten their confidence in their abilities to support learning. Moreover, given that some families may be more resistant to forming a coalition with schools, it is imperative that school psychologists provide teachers with assistance and guidance to develop individualized approaches [100]. Furthermore, training workshops that are tailored toward instilling teachers with a comprehensive understanding on different cultures and traditions are needed. Schools should also be prepared to include bilingual school personnel into the picture, who can help as an interpreter, or in preparing for bilingual signage and materials [100].

A plausible way to enhance more positive peer relationships, student-teacher relationships, and home-school partnerships is to provide relevant training opportunities to teachers and school personnel. First, given that some teachers may hold unfavorable views toward families who rarely participate in school events or whose child demonstrates academic and behavioral problems, intervention efforts are needed to challenge these beliefs [89]. Second, professional training workshops should aim to enhance teachers' efficacy in facilitating positive peer relationships, student-teacher relationships, and home-school partnerships in a welcoming manner. Third, educators, researchers, or other relevant providers should consider implementing intervention programs that target teachers' own social-emotional competence. Indeed, when teachers are socially and emotionally competent themselves, they are more effective in fostering and maintaining healthy teacher-student relationship, managing a safe classroom, and implementing quality SEL interventions [103]. Moreover, when teachers are comfortable with implementing SEL programs (i.e., an implicit indicator of their own social-emotional competence), they experience greater sense of teaching efficacy and job satisfaction, both of which are functional to more positive teacher-student relationships [104].

6. Conclusion

In this chapter, we have discussed the fourth R as it pertains to youth's academic and mental health functioning. The first relationship that is tapped by this "R" is among those with peers. Indeed, given that peers take a particularly strong presence during adolescence, it is perhaps not surprising that peer relationships have implications on both academic and mental health outcomes. On the one hand, peer relationships that take the forms of bullying and victimization are associated with lower achievement and dysfunctional well-being [17], potentially because poor relationships interfere with youth's ability to focus on their academic endeavors by placing an emotional burden on them. On the other hand, healthier peer relationships may promote adaptive outcomes by providing youth with academic (e.g., homework assistance) and social-emotional resources (e.g., emotional support) [105]. Thus, efforts are needed to equip youth with the skills and competence to establish and sustain healthy peer relationships. The second relationship that pertains to the fourth R is manifested between students and teachers. In view of the established literature highlighting a link between different pedagogical approaches and academic achievement (e.g., [106]), the significance of the student-teacher relationship on academic achievement is particularly telling. This significance illustrates that it is not only important for teachers to adopt appropriate instructional approaches, but it is also critical to maintain a supportive relationship with their students. To the extent that teachers and school personnel often receive very little or no training in building successful alliances with families and supportive and warm relationships with students [102], these efforts are necessary. Accordingly, there is an urgent need for preservice teacher training programs to revamp their curriculum so as to better prepare teachers. Finally, the fourth R is reflected through family involvement, and in particular, home-school partnership and parent-teacher relationship quality. Of note is that although the benefits associated with positive parent-teacher relationships are unlikely to differ as a function of age, the significance of specific types of family involvement may change over time [88]. For example, parents may provide less homework assistance as the adolescent grows older. Nonetheless, the positive association between family involvement and achievement may become stronger over time because older students become more adept at communicating to their parents regarding their learning needs, which can then facilitate more appropriate forms of involvement [63]. Moreover, recent studies have illustrated mental health benefits that accompany family involvement among youth. Given that adolescence is often marked by academic, social, and psychological challenges [107], it is of importance that efforts are dedicated to supporting policies that mandate family involvement in secondary schools, and perhaps even college. Similarly, it is critical to raise family's awareness regarding their significance in youth's learning and well-being. Ultimately, the concerted efforts of students, families, and school practitioners are needed to create a school climate where each member feels respected and supported.

Conflict of interest

We have no conflict of interest to declare.

Author details

Chiaki Konishi* and Tracy K.Y. Wong

*Address all correspondence to: chiaki.konishi@mcgill.ca

McGill University, Montreal, Canada

References

- [1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Adolescent and School Health: YRBSS Results [Internet]. 2015. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/yrebs/results.htm> [Accessed: Dec 18, 2017]
- [2] Van Pelt D, Clemens J, Brown B, Palacios M. Where Our Students are Educated: Measuring Student Enrolment in Canada. Vancouver, Canada: Fraser Institute; 2015. 50 p
- [3] Yazzie-Mintz T. From a place deep inside: Culturally appropriate curriculum as the embodiment of Navajo-ness in classroom pedagogy. *Journal of American Indian Education*. 2007;**46**:72-93
- [4] Domitrovich CE, Durlak JA, Staley KC, Weissberg RP. Social-emotional competence: An essential factor for promoting positive adjustment and reducing risk in school children. *Child Development*. 2017;**88**:408-416. DOI: 10.1111/cdev.12739
- [5] Greenberg MT, Domitrovich CE, Weissberg RP, Durlak JA. Social and emotional learning as a public health approach to education. *The Future of Children*. 2017;**1**:13-32
- [6] Dupere V, Archambault I, Leventhal T, Dion E, Anderson S. School mobility and school-age children's social adjustment. *Developmental Psychology*. 2015;**51**:197-210. DOI: 10.1037/a0038480
- [7] Eccles JS, Wigfield A, Schiefele U. Motivation to succeed. In: Damon W, Eisenberg N, editors. *Handbook of Child Psychology*. 5th ed. New York: Wiley; 1998. pp. 1017-1095
- [8] Jaffe PG, Wolfe D, Crooks C, Hughes R, Baker LL. The fourth R: Developing healthy relationships through school-based interventions. In: Jaffe PG, Baker LL, Cunningham AJ, editors. *Children from Domestic Violence*. New York: Guilford Press; 2004. pp. 200-218
- [9] Thapa A, Cohen J, Guffey S, Higgins-D'Alessandro A. A review of school climate research. *Review of Educational Research*. 2013;**83**:357-385. DOI: 10.3102/0034654313483907
- [10] Schonert-Reichl KA, Hymel S. Educating the heart as well as the mind social and emotional learning for school and life success. Education Canada. 2007;**47**:20-25
- [11] Weissberg RP, Durlak JA, Domitrovich CE, Gullotta TP. Social and emotional learning: Past, present, and future. In: Durlak JA, Domitrovich CE, Weissberg RP, Gullotta TP, editors. *Handbook of Social and Emotional Learning: Research and Practice*. New York: Guilford Press; 2015. pp. 3-19

- [12] Durlak JA, Weissberg RP, Dymnicki AB, Taylor RD, Schellinger KB. The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*. 2011;**82**:405-432. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x
- [13] Brown BB, Larson J. Peer relationships in adolescence. In: Lerner RM, Steinberg L, editors. *Handbook of Adolescent Psychology*. New Jersey: Wiley; 2009. pp. 74-103. DOI: 10.1002/9780470479193.adlpsy002004
- [14] Harter S. Causes, correlates, and the functional role of global self-worth: A life-span perspective. In: Sternberg RJ, Kolligian J, editors. *Competence Considered*. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1990. pp. 67-97
- [15] Hawley PH, Williford A. Articulating the theory of bullying intervention programs: Views from social psychology, social work, and organizational science. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. 2015;**37**:3-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.appdev.2014.11.006
- [16] Currie C, Zanotti C, Morgan A, Currie D, de Looze M, Roberts C, Samdal O, Smith OR, Barnekow V. Social determinants of health and well-being among young people. In: *Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) Study: International Report from the 2009/2010 Survey*. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2012. 27 p
- [17] McDougall P, Vaillancourt T. Long-term adult outcomes of peer victimization in childhood and adolescence: Pathways to adjustment and maladjustment. *American Psychologist*. 2015;**70**:300-310. DOI: 10.1037/a0039174
- [18] Bonanno RA, Hymel S. Beyond hurt feelings: Investigating why some victims of bullying are at greater risk for suicidal ideation. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*. 2010;**56**:420-440. DOI: 10.1353/mpq.0.0051
- [19] Buhs ES, Ladd GW, Herald SL. Peer exclusion and victimization: Processes that mediate the relation between peer group rejection and children's classroom engagement and achievement? *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2006;**98**:1-13. DOI: 10.1037/0022-0663.98.1.1
- [20] Konishi C, Hymel S, Zumbo BD, Li Z. Do school bullying and student-teacher relationships matter for academic achievement? A multilevel analysis. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*. 2010;**25**:19-39. DOI: 10.1177/0829573509357550
- [21] Pereira B, Mendonca D, Neto C, Valente L, Smith PK. Bullying in Portuguese schools. *School Psychology International*. 2004;**25**:241-254. DOI: 10.1177/0143034304043690
- [22] Nakamoto J, Schwartz D. Is peer victimization associated with academic achievement? A meta-analytic review. *Social Development*. 2010;**19**:221-242. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9507.2009.00539.x
- [23] Strøm IF, Thoresen S, Wentzel-Larsen T, Dyb G. Violence, bullying and academic achievement: A study of 15-year-old adolescents and their school environment. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 2013;**37**:243-251. DOI: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2012.10.010
- [24] Cornell D, Gregory A, Huang F, Fan X. Perceived prevalence of teasing and bullying predicts high school dropout rates. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2013;**105**:138-149. DOI: 10.1037/a0030416

- [25] Berkowitz R, Benbenishty R. Perceptions of teachers' support, safety, and absence from school because of fear among victims, bullies, and bully-victims. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*. 2012;**82**:67-74. DOI: 10.1111/j.1939-0025.2011.01132.x
- [26] Copeland WE, Wolke D, Angold A, Costello EJ. Adult psychiatric outcomes of bullying and being bullied by peers in childhood and adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013;**70**:419-426. DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.504
- [27] Chang FC, Lee CM, Chiu CH, Hsi WY, Huang TF, Pan YC. Relationships among cyber-bullying, school bullying, and mental health in Taiwanese adolescents. *Journal of school health*. 2013;**83**:454-462. DOI: 10.1111/josh.12050
- [28] Haltigan JD, Vaillancourt T. Joint trajectories of bullying and peer victimization across elementary and middle school and associations with symptoms of psychopathology. *Developmental Psychology*. 2014;**50**:2426. DOI: 10.1037/a0038030
- [29] Saewyc E, Konishi C, Poon C, Smith A. Is it safer to be gay in high school today? Trends in sexual orientation identity and harassment in Canada. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2011;**48**:S8-S9
- [30] Burton CM, Marshal MP, Chisolm DJ, Sucato GS, Friedman MS. Sexual minority-related victimization as a mediator of mental health disparities in sexual minority youth: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of youth and adolescence*. 2013;**42**:394-402. DOI: 10.1007/s10964-012-9901-5
- [31] Konishi C, Saewyc E. Still a target: Sexual diversity and power of caring. *School Psychology International*. 2014;**35**:504-515. DOI: 10.1177/0143034313512407
- [32] Gevonden MJ, Selten JP, Myin-Germeys I, De Graaf R, Ten Have M, Van Dorsselaer S, Van Os J, Veling W. Sexual minority status and psychotic symptoms: Findings from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Studies (NEMESIS). *Psychological Medicine*. 2014;**44**:421-433. DOI: 10.1017/S0033291713000718
- [33] Farmer TW, Lines MM, Hamm JV. Revealing the invisible hand: The role of teachers in children's peer experiences. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. 2011;**32**:247-256. DOI: 10.1016/j.appdev.2011.04.006
- [34] Williford AP, Wolcott CS. SEL and student-teacher relationships. In: Durlak JA, Domitrovich CE, Weissberg RP, Gullotta TP, editors. *Handbook of Social and Emotional Learning: Research and Practice*. New York: Guilford Press; 2015. pp. 229-243
- [35] Pianta RC, Hamre B, Stuhlman M. Relationships between teachers and children. In: Reynolds WM, Miller G, editors. *Handbook of Psychology: Educational Psychology*. New York: Wiley; 2003. pp. 199-234. DOI: 10.1002/0471264385.wei0710
- [36] Wang MT, Brinkworth M, Eccles J. Moderating effects of teacher-student relationship in adolescent trajectories of emotional and behavioral adjustment. *Developmental Psychology*. 2013;**49**:690-705. DOI: 10.1037/a0027916
- [37] Ladd GW, Burgess KB. Do relational risks and protective factors moderate the linkages between childhood aggression and early psychological and school adjustment? *Child Development*. 2001;**72**:1579-1601. DOI: 10.1111/1467-8624.00366

- [38] Crosnoe R, Johnson MK, Elder Jr GH. Intergenerational bonding in school: The behavioral and contextual correlates of student-teacher relationships. *Sociology of Education*. 2004;**77**:60-81. DOI: 10.1177/003804070407700103
- [39] Gregory A, Weinstein RS. Connection and regulation at home and in school: Predicting growth in achievement for adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Research*. 2004;**19**:405-427. DOI: 10.1177/0743558403258859
- [40] Hamre BK, Pianta RC. Early teacher-child relationships and the trajectory of children's school outcomes through eighth grade. *Child Development*. 2001;**72**:625-638. DOI: 10.1111/1467-8624.00301
- [41] Bowlby J. *Attachment and Loss*. Vol. 1. Attachment. 2nd ed. New York: Basic Books; 1982 (Original work published 1969). 326 p
- [42] Deci EL, Ryan RM. Motivation, personality, and development within embedded social contexts: An overview of self-determination theory. In: Ryan RM, editor. *The Oxford Handbook of Human Motivation*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. pp. 85-107
- [43] Spilt JL, Koomen HM, Thijs JT, van der Leij A. Supporting teachers' relationships with disruptive children: The potential of relationship-focused reflection. *Attachment & Human Development*. 2012;**14**:305-318. DOI: 10.1080/14616734.2012.672286
- [44] Bell KL, Allen JP, Hauser ST, O'Connor TG. Family factors and young adult transitions: Educational attainment and occupational prestige. In: Graber JA, Brooks-Gunn J, Petersen A, editors. *Transitions through Adolescence: Interpersonal Domains and Context*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1996. pp. 345-366
- [45] Roorda DL, Koomen HM, Spilt JL, Oort FJ. The influence of affective teacher-student relationships on students' school engagement and achievement: A meta-analytic approach. *Review of Educational Research*. 2011;**81**:493-529. DOI: 10.3102/0034654311421793
- [46] Maldonado-Carreño C, Votruba-Drzal E. Teacher-child relationships and the development of academic and behavioral skills during elementary school: A within-and between-child analysis. *Child Development*. 2011;**82**:601-616. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01533.x
- [47] Blum RW, Libbey HP. School connectedness-strengthening health and education outcomes for teenagers: Wingspread declaration on school connectedness. *Journal of School Health*. 2004;**74**:233-235
- [48] Lester L, Waters S, Cross D. The relationship between school connectedness and mental health during the transition to secondary school: A path analysis. *Journal of Psychologists and Counsellors in Schools*. 2013;**23**:157-171. DOI: 10.1017/jgc.2013.20
- [49] Bond L, Butler H, Thomas L, Carlin J, Glover S, Bowes G, Patton G. Social and school connectedness in early secondary school as predictors of late teenage substance use, mental health, and academic outcomes. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2007;**40**:357-e9-357-e18. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2006.10.013
- [50] Voisin DR, Salazar LF, Crosby R, Diclemente RJ, Yarber WL, Staples-Horne M. Teacher connectedness and health-related outcomes among detained adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2005;**37**:337-e17-337-e23. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2004.11.137

- [51] Resnick MD, Bearman PS, Blum RW, Bauman KE, Harris KM, Jones J, Tabor J, Beuhring T, Sieving RE, Shew M, Ireland M. Protecting adolescents from harm: Findings from the National Longitudinal Study on adolescent health. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1997;**278**:823-832. DOI: 10.1001/jama.1997.03550100049038
- [52] Meehan BT, Hughes JN, Cavell TA. Teacher-student relationships as compensatory resources for aggressive children. *Child Development*. 2003;**74**:1145-1157. DOI: 10.1111/1467-8624.00598
- [53] Werner EE, Smith RS. *Vulnerable but Invincible: A Longitudinal Study of Resilient Children and Youth*. New York: McGraw Hill; 1982. 229 p
- [54] Werner EE, Smith RS. *Overcoming the Odds: High-Risk Children from Birth to Adulthood*. New York: Cornell University Press; 1992. 280 p
- [55] Garmezy N, Masten AS. Stress, competence, and resilience: Common frontiers for therapist and psychopathologist. *Behavior Therapy*. 1986;**17**:500-521. DOI: 10.1016/S0005-7894(86)80091-0
- [56] Luthar SS, editor. *Resilience and Vulnerability: Adaptation in the Context of Childhood Adversities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003. 608 p
- [57] Noam GG, Hermann CA. Where education and mental health meet: Developmental prevention and early intervention in schools. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2002;**14**:861-875. DOI: 10.1017/S0954579402004108
- [58] Savin-Williams RC, Ream GL. Sex variations in the disclosure to parents of same-sex attractions. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2003;**17**:429-438. DOI: 10.1037/0893-3200.17.3.429
- [59] Fantuzzo J, Tighe E, Childs S. Family involvement questionnaire: A multivariate assessment of family participation in early childhood education. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2000;**92**:367-376. DOI: 10.1037/0022-0663.92.2.367
- [60] Epstein JL. School/family/community partnerships: Caring for the children we share. *Phi Delta Kappan*. 1995;**76**:701-712
- [61] Grolnick WS, Slowiaczek ML. Parents' involvement in children's schooling: A multidimensional conceptualization and motivational model. *Child Development*. 1994;**65**:237-252. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1994.tb00747.x
- [62] Bronfenbrenner U. Contexts of child rearing: Problems and prospects. *American Psychologist*. 1979;**34**:844-850. DOI: 10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.844
- [63] Ma X, Shen J, Krenn HY, Hu S, Yuan J. A meta-analysis of the relationship between learning outcomes and parental involvement during early childhood education and early elementary education. *Educational Psychology Review*. 2016;**28**:771-801. DOI: 10.1007/s10648-015-9351-1
- [64] Benner AD, Boyle AE, Sadler S. Parental involvement and adolescents' educational success: The roles of prior achievement and socioeconomic status. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 2016;**45**:1053-1064. DOI: 10.1007/s10964-016-0431-4

- [65] Jeynes WH. The relationship between parental involvement and urban secondary school student academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Urban Education*. 2007;**42**:82-110. DOI: 10.1177/0042085906293818
- [66] Wang MT, Sheikh-Khalil S. Does parental involvement matter for student achievement and mental health in high school? *Child Development*. 2014;**85**:610-625. DOI: 10.1111/cdev.12153
- [67] Ho ESC, Willms JD. Effects of parental involvement on eighth-grade achievement. *Sociology of Education*. 1996;**69**:126-141. DOI: 10.2307/2112802
- [68] Epstein JL, Sanders M, Sheldon S, Simon B, Salinas K, Jansorn N, Van Voorhis F, Martin C, Thomas B, Greenfeld M, Hutchins D, Williams K. *School, Family, and Community Partnerships: Your Handbook for Action*. 3rd ed. Corwin: Thousand Oaks; 2009
- [69] Raftery JN, Grolnick WS, Flamm ES. Families as facilitators of student engagement: Toward a home-school partnership model. In: Christenson SL, Reschly AL, Wylie C, editors. *Handbook of Research on Student Engagement*. Boston: Springer; 2012. pp. 343-364
- [70] Altschul I. Parental involvement and the academic achievement of Mexican American youths: What kinds of involvement in youths' education matter most? *Social Work Research*. 2011;**35**:159-170. DOI: <http://10.1093/swr/35.3.159>
- [71] Overstreet S, Devine J, Bevans K, Efreom Y. Predicting parental involvement in children's schooling within an economically disadvantaged African American sample. *Psychology in the Schools*. 2005;**42**:101-111. DOI: 10.1002/pits.20028
- [72] Hill NE, Tyson DF. Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of the strategies that promote achievement. *Developmental Psychology*. 2009;**45**:740-763. DOI: 10.1037/a0015362
- [73] Cheung CSS, Pomerantz EM. Parents' involvement in children's learning in the United States and China: Implications for children's academic and emotional adjustment. *Child Development*. 2011;**82**:932-950. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01582.x
- [74] Fan W, Williams CM. The effects of parental involvement on students' academic self-efficacy, engagement and intrinsic motivation. *Educational Psychology*. 2010;**30**:53-74. DOI: 10.1080/01443410903353302
- [75] Silinskas G, Kiuru N, Aunola K, Lerkkanen MK, Nurmi JE. The developmental dynamics of children's academic performance and mothers' homework-related affect and practices. *Developmental Psychology*. 2015;**51**:419-443. DOI: 10.1037/a0038908
- [76] Chen WB, Gregory A. Parental involvement as a protective factor during the transition to high school. *The Journal of Educational Research*. 2009;**103**:53-62. DOI: 10.1080/00220670903231250
- [77] Sartor CE, Youniss J. The relationship between positive parental involvement and identity achievement during adolescence. *Adolescence*. 2002;**37**:221-234
- [78] Toren NK, Seginer R. Classroom climate, parental educational involvement, and student school functioning in early adolescence: A longitudinal study. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2015;**18**:811-827. DOI: 10.1007/s11218-015-9316-8

- [79] Wang MT, Hill NE, Hofkens T. Parental involvement and African American and European American adolescents' academic, behavioral, and emotional development in secondary school. *Child Development*. 2014;**85**:2151-2168. DOI: 10.1111/cdev.12284
- [80] Adams KS, Christenson SL. Trust and the family-school relationship examination of parent-teacher differences in elementary and secondary grades. *Journal of School Psychology*. 2000;**38**:477-497. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-4405(00)00048-0
- [81] Vickers HS, Minke KM. Exploring parent-teacher relationships: Joining and communication to others. *School Psychology Quarterly*. 1995;**10**:133-150. DOI: 10.1037/h0088300
- [82] Hauser-Cram P, Sirin SR, Stipek D. When teachers' and parents' values differ: Teachers' ratings of academic competence in children from low-income families. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2003;**95**:813-820. DOI: 10.1037/0022-0663.95.4.813
- [83] Minke KM, Sheridan SM, Moorman KE, Ryoo JH, Koziol NA. Congruence in parent-teacher relationships: The role of shared perceptions. *Elementary School Journal*. 2014;**114**:527-546
- [84] Rimm-Kaufman SE, Pianta RC, Cox MJ, Bradley RH. Teacher-rated family involvement and children's social and academic outcomes in kindergarten. *Early Education and Development*. 2003;**14**:179-198. DOI: 10.1207/s15566935eed1402_3
- [85] Green CL, Walker JMT, Hoover-Dempsey KV, Sandler HM. Parents' motivations for involvement in children's education: An empirical test of a theoretical model of parental involvement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2007;**99**:532-544. DOI: 10.1037/0022-0663.99.3.532
- [86] Kim EM, Sheridan SM, Kwon K, Koziol N. Parent beliefs and children's social-behavioral functioning: The mediating role of parent-teacher relationships. *Journal of School Psychology*. 2013;**51**:175-185. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsp.2013.01.003
- [87] Froiland JM, Davison ML. Parental expectations and school relationships as contributors to adolescents' positive outcomes. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2014;**17**:1-17. DOI: 10.1007/s11218-013-9237-3
- [88] Serpell ZN, Mashburn AJ. Family-school connectedness and children's early social development. *Social Development*. 2012;**21**:21-46. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9507.2011.00623.x
- [89] Stormont M, Herman KC, Reinke WM, David KB, Goel N. Latent profile analysis of teacher perceptions of parent contact and comfort. *School Psychology Quarterly*. 2013;**28**:195-209. DOI: 10.1037/spq0000004
- [90] Deng L, Zhou N, Nie R, Jin P, Yang M, Fang X. Parent-teacher partnership and high school students' development in mainland China: The mediating role of teacher-student relationship. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*. 2017:1-17. DOI: 10.1080/02188791.2017.1361904
- [91] Taylor RD, Oberle E, Durlak JA, Weissberg RP. Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*. 2017;**88**:1156-1171. DOI: 10.1111/cdev.12864

- [92] Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning CASEL [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://casel.org> [Accessed: Dec 20, 2017]
- [93] Brackett MA, Rivers SE, Reyes MR, Salovey P. Enhancing academic performance and social and emotional competence with the RULER feeling words curriculum. *Learning and Individual Differences*. 2012;**22**:218-224. DOI: 10.1016/j.lindif.2010.10.002
- [94] Salmivalli C, Poskiparta E, Ahtola A, Haataja A. The implementation and effectiveness of the KiVa antibullying program in Finland. *European Psychologist*. 2013;**18**:79-88. DOI: 10.1027/1016-9040/a000140
- [95] Schonert-Reichl KA, Smith V, Zaidman-Zait A, Hertzman C. Promoting children's pro-social behaviors in school: Impact of the "roots of empathy" program on the social and emotional competence of school-aged children. *School Mental Health*. 2012;**4**:1-21. DOI: 10.1007/s12310-011-9064-7
- [96] Celio CI, Durlak JA, Dymnicki A. Helping others and helping oneself: A meta-analysis of service-learning programs. *Journal of Experiential Learning*. 2011;**3**:164-181
- [97] Weiler L, Haddock S, Zimmerman TS, Krafchick J, Henry K, Rudisill S. Benefits derived by college students from mentoring at-risk youth in a service-learning course. *American Journal of Community Psychology*. 2013;**52**:236-248. DOI: 10.1007/s10464-013-9589-z
- [98] Haines SJ, Gross JMS, Blue-Banning M, Francis GL, Turnbull AP. Fostering family-school and community-school partnerships in inclusive schools: Using practice as a guide. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*. 2015;**40**:227-239. DOI: 10.1177/1540796915594141
- [99] Jasis PM, Ordoñez-Jasis R. Latino parent involvement: Examining commitment and empowerment in schools. *Urban Education*. 2012;**47**:65-89. DOI: 10.1177/0042085911416013
- [100] Vega D, Lasser J, Fernandez SE. School psychologists' family-school partnering experiences with Latinos. *Psychology in the Schools*. 2017;**54**:169-182. DOI: 10.1002/pits.21988
- [101] Hornby G, Lafaele R. Barriers to parental involvement in education: An explanatory model. *Educational Review*. 2011;**63**:37-52. DOI: 10.1080/00131911.2010.488049
- [102] Hughes J, Kwok OM. Influence of student-teacher and parent-teacher relationships on lower achieving readers' engagement and achievement in the primary grades. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2007;**99**:39-51. DOI: 10.1037/0022-0663.99.1.39
- [103] Jennings PA, Greenberg MT. The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*. 2009;**79**:491-525. DOI: 10.3102/0034654308325693
- [104] Collie RJ, Shapka JD, Perry NE. School climate and social-emotional learning: Predicting teacher stress, job satisfaction, and teaching efficacy. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2012;**104**:1189-1204. DOI: 10.1037/a0029356
- [105] Wentzel KR, Muenks K. Peer influence on students' motivation, academic achievement, and social behavior. In: Wentzel KR, Ramani GB, editors. *Handbook of Social Influences*

in School Contexts: Social-Emotional, Motivation, and Cognitive Outcomes. New York: Talyor & Francis; 2016. pp. 13-30

- [106] Cheung AC, Slavin RE. The effectiveness of educational technology applications for enhancing mathematics achievement in K-12 classrooms: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*. 2013;**9**:88-113. DOI: 10.1016/j.edurev.2013.01.001
- [107] Goldstein SE, Boxer P, Rudolph E. Middle school transition stress: Links with academic performance, motivation, and school experiences. *Contemporary School Psychology*. 2015;**19**:21-29. DOI: 10.1007/s40688-014-0044-4