We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Application of Density Functional Theory in Soil Science

Jiena Yun, Qian Wang, Chang Zhu and Gang Yang

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.74079>

Abstract

Soil is the basis for life and soil science is regarded as the final frontier; however, as compared to chemistry, physics, biology, and other disciplines, soil science undergoes an obviously slower development and remains almost stagnant in the past few decades, mainly due to two reasons: (1) wrong and outdated perceptions for a large portion of soil researchers; (2) complexity of soil systems that are difficult to characterize by current experimental techniques. Computer simulations have unique advantages to handle complex systems while currently, its role during soil researches is far from being recognized. In this chapter, several examples are given with respect to application of density functional theory (DFT) calculations to soil science, focusing on the adsorption of uranyl ion and SO₂ onto mineral surfaces and reaction mechanisms to form acid rain. In this way, insightful clues at the atomic level are provided for the adsorption, interaction, and reactions regarding soil systems. We believe that computer simulations including DFT are the right key to unravel the complicated processes occurring in soils. More efforts of computer simulations are anticipated for soil science with aim to decipher the experimental results and probe the uncharted principles that may result in a revolutionary in the near future.

Keywords: soil science, computer simulations, density functional theory, interfacial adsorption, reaction mechanism, complex systems

1. Introduction

According to Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), soil is defined as a natural body comprised of solids (mainly minerals and organic matters), liquids, and gases that occurs at the intermediate surface of the Earth, occupies space and is characterized by one or both of the following properties: horizons and layers, which are distinguishable from the initial materials as a result of addition, loss, transfer, and transformation of energy and matter or the ability to

support rooted plants in natural circumstances. Soil constitutes the basis for life and bridges the biosphere, atmosphere, hydrosphere, and geosphere. Despite these facts, apparently less attention has been given to soil science than to other disciplines such as physics, chemistry, and biology. As said by Gardner (the past president of Soil Science Society of America) [1], “not a few people mistakenly perceive that everything worth knowing about soils has already been understood, and all we need to do is merely to apply that knowledge properly. Even knowledgeable scientists assume that principles and theories developed from other disciplines can be applied to the researches of soil science in a straightforward way, requiring little imagination or creativity. In their opinions, soil science is just one of expressions for the applied physics, chemistry, or biology.”

The situation of soil science research is alarming. The core concepts of current soil science textbooks remain almost unchanged as compared to those of half a century ago. Obsolete or even incorrect standpoints are a commonplace [2]. Fortunately, a few researchers have recognized such a crisis. On the other hand, because of the complexity of systems and co-function of multiple factors, it seems challenging for experimental techniques to *in-situ* characterize “real” soil properties and processes; in addition, the experimental results from one lab may not be reproducible by others, since soil samples of different areas or even different batches may vary significantly. Computer simulations have unique advantages within this context: (1) probing the various influencing factors one by one; e.g., six factors (identity of heteroatoms, crystallographically distinct T sites, structural alterations, quantity of negative charges, distance from charge centers to metal ions and source of negative charges) were identified to affect the adsorption of metal ions at clay surfaces, and their respective contributions were estimated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The quantity of negative charges is the foremost factor that controls the adsorption processes, while other factors in certain circumstances can also play a critical role [3]. The adsorption strengths and numbers of all metal ions increase in a direct proportion to the intensities of electric fields [4]; (2) providing useful and detailed information at the femtosecond scale such as how ions from aqueous solutions diffuse toward to clay surfaces [5]; (3) understanding the adsorption, interaction and reaction processes at the atomic level such as how metal ions interact with surface-O atoms and respond to the increase of electric fields. Based on Hirshfeld, Mulliken, and NBO charge analyses, we found that polarization rather than electrostatic interactions are more likely to result in the pronounced cation-specific effects at clay surfaces [6]; (4) unraveling the exact reaction mechanism by comparing the structural and (especially) activation barriers of competing paths. This can be considered as an extension of (3). There are a plethora of competing reactions occurring in soils; e.g., with DFT calculations, it was clarified that Mn^{4+} rather than Mn^{3+} sites are more reactive for the oxidation of As^{3+} and the oxidation processes are significantly blocked by As^{5+} complexes [7]. A more convictive example is the mechanistic study of Brönsted acid-catalyzed conversion of biomass sugars [8]. More than 120 reaction paths were explored, and the low reactivity and selectivity of glucose conversions were clearly addressed: unlike fructose that prefers to dehydrate at the anomeric O_2H group and initiates a sequence of facile reaction steps toward 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furfural (HMF), the less reactive sites in glucose (O_2H and O_3H) produces levulinic acid not involving fructose and HMF intermediates, while the most reactive O_1H site leads to humin precursors or reversion products [8].

The relationship between system size and computational accuracy for representative theoretical levels is shown in **Figure 1**. For huge systems (100,000 or even more atoms), classical methods (Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics) seems to be the good choice, although the computational accuracy is relatively low; In contrast, *ab initio* quantum mechanical methods are restricted to relatively small systems (up to several hundred atoms or even fewer atoms) while achieve high accuracy. The computational accuracy of quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) [9] and semi-empirical methods fall in-between, and QM/MM methods have recently become increasingly popular due to the satisfying computational accuracy (active sites handled by QM methods) and the easy extension to large systems (all atoms except active sites disposed by classical methods). 2013 Noble Prize in Chemistry was awarded to Karplus, Levitt, and Warshel for the development of “multiscale methods for complex systems.”

Let us look back to *ab initio* quantum mechanical methods. Strictly speaking, a large portion of DFT methods fall outside this scope; e.g., B3LYP is probably the most popular DFT method and its exchange-correlation functional is written as [10, 11]

$$E_{xc}^{B3LYP} = (1 - a)E_x^{LSDA} + aE_x^{HF} + bE_x^{B88} + cE_c^{LYP} + (1 - c)E_c^{LSDA}$$

where a, b, and c are empirical parameters.

There is a five-rung Jacob’s ladder of common density functional approximations, as local spin-density approximation (LSDA), generalized-gradient approximation (GGA), meta-GGA, hyper-GGA, and random phase approximation (PRA-like functionals), see more details in [12]. As far as we know, the most widely density functionals are at GGA (e.g., B3LYP, PBE) and meta-GGA (e.g., M06 L, M06-2X) levels.

Computer simulations, as discussed above, are particularly useful to handle the complex soil systems, and two applications were illustrated in this chapter. Section 2 summarized DFT

Figure 1. The theoretical landscape regarding to the balance between system size and computational accuracy.

calculated results of uranyl adsorption onto mineral surfaces and Section 3 elaborately discussed DFT calculated results of SO_2 (one of the main precursors for acid rain) adsorption and formation mechanisms of acid rain. In the end, concluding remarks were provided and some suggestions for DFT applications to the future soil researches were presented as well. A more critical role of computer simulations should have played in soil science, and this chapter aims to arouse the attention of general soil researchers regarding to the applications of computer simulations. More efforts in this regard are greatly beneficial to decipher the experimental results and probe the uncharted principles that will result in a revolutionary for soil science in the near future.

2. Uranyl adsorption onto mineral surfaces

Release of radionuclides into the environment seriously threatens the ecosystem and human health, and adsorption of radionuclides onto mineral surfaces significantly affects their migration and transport into the environment [13]. Accordingly, knowledge about the interaction between radionuclides and minerals is essential for the long-term risk assessment of radioactive waste repositories. Uranium is usually present in the uranyl (UO_2^{2+}) form [14, 15] and DFT calculations have been conducted to understand the adsorption of UO_2^{2+} onto mineral surfaces [16–25].

Perron et al. [16] examined UO_2^{2+} adsorption onto rutile(110) surface, the most stable face of natural rutile reported by Jones and Hockey [26, 27]. UO_2^{2+} forms a bidentate inner sphere complex with three H_2O molecules to fill its first hydration shell, see **Figure 2**. There exist two types of surface-O atoms, as O_t (terminal-O) and O_b (bridging-O) that are singly and doubly coordinated, respectively. As a result, a total of three adsorption structures are produced: UO_2^{2+} coordinated to two O_b atoms (bb), two O_t atoms (tt) and both of O_b and O_t atoms (bt). The bb mode is the most preferred and has a lower energy than the bt and tt modes by 5.0 and 13.6 kJ/mol, respectively.

In addition to the $[\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^{2+}$ adsorption complexes, other uranyl species containing anionic ligands such as OH^- and CO_3^{2-} also play a critical role in the environmental circumstances. Pan and co-workers [17] investigated the effects of different ligands (H_2O , OH^- , CO_3^{2-}) on the adsorption on rutile(110) surface. Note that only the most stable adsorption mode (bb) has been taken into account therein. The uranyl ion (UO_2^{2+}) interacts more strongly with anionic ligands

Figure 2. Adsorption structures for uranyl ions on rutile(110) surface: tt (Left), bb (Middle), and bt (Right). U, Ti, O, and H are respectively in yellow, blue, red, and white, and H atoms to saturate surface-O atoms are not shown for clarity.

(OH^- and CO_3^{2-}) than H_2O in the first coordination sphere. The bond lengths of the U^{6+} center and two O_b atoms are respectively calculated at 2.248 and 2.333 Å for $[\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]^{2+}$, 2.808 and 2.930 Å for $[\text{UO}_2(\text{OH})_3]^-$, 2.852 and 2.960 Å for $[\text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2]^{2-}$, suggesting that the adsorption strengths of the uranyl ion with rutile(110) surface are significantly impaired in the latter two cases. Adsorption of these uranyl complexes on partially hydrated surfaces were then studied, which exhibit stronger interactions and are attributed to the formation of H-bonds between H_2O molecules and uranyl ion (UO_2^{2+}) that strengthen the adsorption processes.

Kaolinite is the major constituent of sedimentary clay rocks, and adsorption of uranyl species onto its (001) surface [20, 21] and (010) edge surface [22, 23] have been theoretically studied. The adsorption of uranyl species on tetrahedral SiO_4 surfaces is thermodynamically unfavorable, with the adsorption energies of inner- and outer-sphere complexes being, respectively, 239 and 206 kJ/mol [20]; in contrast, the adsorption at octahedral AlO_6 surfaces is preferred due to the presence of upright (perpendicular to the surface) and lying (parallel to the surface) OH groups. The most stable configuration corresponds to an inner-sphere monodentate complex, where UO_2^{2+} bonds directly to the lying surface-OH group and has an adsorption energy of −155 kJ/mol. Martorell et al. [21] continued to study the adsorption of uranyl ion on the bare and solvated octahedral AlO_6 surfaces of kaolinite, where UO_2^{2+} forms two direct bonds with

Figure 3. (a) Three sites for uranyl adsorption on gibbsite(001) surface and (b) top and (c) side views of the optimal adsorption complex. U, Al, O, and H are, respectively, in blue, pink, red, and white, and H-bonds are in black dash lines and water molecules are in circles.

the surface-O atoms of deprotonated OH groups. Two different adsorption structures are assigned according to the coordination of surface-O atoms: two surface-O atoms connected to one and two Al atoms are designated to be AlOO (short-bridge site) and AlO-AlO (long-bridge site), respectively. The formation of adsorption complex at the short-bridge site (AlOO) requires an energy of 195 kJ/mol and is obviously less than at the long-bridge site (AlO-AlO, 261 kJ/mol). Thus, the uranyl ion prefers to adsorption at the short-bridge site; furthermore, similar trends for uranyl adsorption remain when including solvent effects by adding a monolayer of water molecules.

Gibbsite is a primary mineral form of aluminum hydroxide, and adsorption of the uranyl ion onto its (001) surface [18, 24] and edge surface [25] have been investigated, similar to the situation of kaolinite discussed above. **Figure 3a** depicts three potential adsorption sites for uranyl adsorption: Sites I and III hold almost the same O-O distances (about 2.7 Å), while site II shows an apparently elongated O-O distance (about 3.4 Å). Site I represents the lowest-energy adsorption structure (**Figure 3**), and the adsorption structures of sites II and III are less stable with relative energies being 22.2 and 46.3 kJ/mol, respectively. Three H-bonds constructed between surface-OH groups and UO_2^{2+} center as shown in **Figure 3** stabilize the interactions between uranyl ion and gibbsite surfaces.

3. Acid rain

In 1852, Smith demonstrated the relationship between acid rain and atmospheric pollution in Manchester, and after 20 years (i.e., 1872), he coined the term “acid rain.” Now acid rain has become a popular term and one of the world’s biggest environmental concerns, especially in North America, Europe, and China [28]. Acid rain refers to any form of precipitations with acidic components that fall to the ground from the atmosphere, including rain, snow, fog, hail, or even dust that is acidic. It results mainly from SO_2 and NO_x emissions to the atmosphere and the further transport by wind and air currents, during when SO_2 and NO_x react with water, oxygen, and other substances leading to the formation of sulfuric (H_2SO_4) and nitric (HNO_3) acids. The pH of acid rain is approximately 5.6 and since 1940s, researchers began to recognize its strong impacts on the ecosystem and human health so that soils, freshwaters, forests, and buildings will be damaged. With regard to soils, acid rain inhibits the decomposition of organic matter [29], fixation of nitrogen [30], elution of calcium (Ca^{2+}), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), potassium (K^+), and other nutrients [31]. As a result, soil fertility and microbial activity show an obvious reduction [32]. Geochemical modeling indicated that Ca^{2+} leaching in marble due to acid rain neutralization approximates 0.158 mmol/L, in contrast to 10.5 mmol/L by dry deposition, and the corresponding Cu^{2+} losses in bronze are ca. 0.21 and 47.3 mmol/L, respectively [33].

As aforementioned, SO_2 emissions are one of the principal causes of acid rain and also represent a primary source of atmospheric aerosols, which can lead to respiratory diseases, premature deaths, and even climate changes by affecting the properties of clouds and the balance of solar radiation. Therefore, it is of great significance to convert SO_2 to other less contaminated compounds, and a number of measures to control SO_2 emissions have been

proposed. During 1982–1999, SO₂ emissions have reduced by approximately 65% in Europe and 40% in the United States, and SO₂ emissions in China decline in the late 1990s while again increase after then. DFT calculations provide useful information about the adsorption of SO₂ onto mineral surfaces as well as reaction mechanisms that seem difficult to capture by current experimental techniques [34–45], which are, however, critical to understand the formation of acid rain at the molecular level and to remediate the ecosystem. Clay minerals, such as alumina (Al₂O₃), iron oxides (Fe_xO_y), are good candidates for the adsorption of acid components from acid rain and then convert them into less hazardous compounds. Lo et al. [35] studied the adsorption of SO₂ on clean (100), dehydrated (110), and hydrated (110) surfaces of γ -Al₂O₃, finding that significant adsorption differences exist for the various surfaces and the calculated adsorption energies (–13 to –85 kcal/mol) are consistent with experimental results.

The γ -Al₂O₃(100) surface is composed by bridging-O and five-coordinated Al atoms, and a total of five stable configurations are produced for SO₂ adsorption (**Figure 4**). The feeble interaction between S and surface-O atoms results in the physisorption configuration (CM3) with the S–O distance of 2.915 Å, and the corresponding binding energy is very small (–2.0 kcal/mol). The interaction between O@SO₂ and Al atoms leads to a chemisorption state named CM4, and the O–Al bond distance and adsorption energy are 2.123 Å and –23.9 kcal/mol, respectively. The other three configurations are also ascribed to chemisorption. In CM5, one O@SO₂ atom is coordinated to two Al atoms in the vicinity of an octahedral vacancy, and

Figure 4. Optimized configurations of SO₂ adsorption on (a) dehydrated (100) and (b) hydrated (110) surfaces of γ -Al₂O₃, where Al, H, O, S, and O attached to S are presented in green, pink, white, blue, and red balls, respectively.

CM7 and CM8 can be considered to generate from CM5 conversion and recombination. As compared to CM5 and CM7, the adsorption configuration CM8 possesses a superior symmetry, and both O@SO₂ atoms participate in the formation of direct bonds with the Al atoms. The adsorption energies of SO₂ are calculated to be −39.2, −15.1, and −45.2 kcal/mol, respectively, for CM5, CM7, and CM8. In consequence, three types of sulfite (SO₃^{2−}) are produced during the adsorption of SO₂ onto γ -Al₂O₃(100) surface. For all adsorption configurations including three with positive adsorption energies (CM1: 1.0 kcal/mol, CM2: 2.7 kcal/mol, and CM6: 21.4 kcal/mol), no direct coupling is detected between S@SO₂ and Al atoms. When γ -Al₂O₃(110) surface is hydrated, five stable adsorption configurations arise that are distinct from dehydrated condition: two physisorption modes (HM1 and HM2) and three chemisorption modes (HM3, HM4, and HM5), see **Figure 4**. HM1 and HM2 are structurally similar in that their S atoms are coordinated to a surface hydroxyl, while the coordination numbers of their Al atoms are different from each other. HM3 is produced by interaction of O@SO₂ atom with five-fold Al sites. HM4 and HM5 contain the sulfite species where the S atom is coordinated with surface-O atoms. The adsorption energies are calculated to be −20.4, −25.3, −31.1, −17.5, and −35.0 kcal/mol, respectively, for HM1, HM2, HM3, HM4, and HM5. In consequence, HM5 with formation of the sulfite species represents the lowest-energy adsorption configuration, which is the same as in dehydrated condition (CM8). Two IR peaks at 1214 and 1349 cm^{−1} are assigned to the sulfate species, which can be finely interpreted by DFT calculated results.

Goethite (α -FeO(OH)), which can be found in soils and other low-temperature environments, is an iron-bearing hydroxide. Because of the considerable adsorption capacity for organic acids and anions, goethite has also been widely used in environmental remediation and protection [35]. Zubieta et al. [37] investigated the adsorption of SO₂ on partially and fully hydrated (110) surfaces of goethite and obtained eight stable products: six sulfite, one bisulfate, and one sulfate (**Figure 5**). The six adsorption structures containing sulfite species, created only on two types of partially dehydrated goethite surfaces, are further divided into two monodentate mononuclear (MdMn) and four bidentate (Bd) configurations. In the MdMn configurations (I and II), the S-O_{Fe} distances are elongated as compared to the other S-O distances, and they display two symmetrical stretching modes (OSO and OSO_{Fe}) centered at ca. 1126 and 976 cm^{−1}. In BdMn configurations (I and II), the two S-O_{Fe} distances are approximately 1.62 Å, and although with similar geometries and stretching modes, the vibrational frequencies deviate significantly from those of MdMn configurations and fall at around 672 and 661 cm^{−1}. In BdPn configurations (I and II), one S-O_{Fe} distance is optimized at 1.75 Å and lengthened as compared to those of BdPn configurations, while the other S-O_{Fe} distance equals 1.55 Å and is obviously contracted. The Bader analyses indicate that all sulfite species carry approximately −1.4 |e| charges.

The bisulfate species is formed when the S atom constructs direct bonds with μ_1 -OH (**Figure 5**), which is further stabilized by two H-bonds (1.77 and 1.81 Å). A similar bisulfate species was observed by Liu et al. [35] during the adsorption of SO₂ on γ -Al₂O₃ surfaces. The Bader analyses indicate that the HSO₃ species (bisulfate) carries −0.8 |e| charges. The computational sulfite and bisulfate species have IR spectra that are consistent with experimental results [37, 38]. The sulfate species emerges only when two water molecules are deprived from the (110)

Figure 5. Optimized configurations for the adsorption of SO_2 on partially hydrated goethite(110) surfaces forming the sulfite, bisulfite and sulfate species (violet = Fe, red = O, white = H, yellow = S).

surface of goethite. In this way, two O atoms are singly coordinated and one O atom is three-fold coordinated with the Fe ions of goethite(110) surface. The sulfate species is corroborated by one H-bond (1.81 Å) and carries $-1.6 |e|$ charges according to the Bader charge analyses. A stable sulfite structure can be produced under identical conditions, whereas its adsorption energy is obviously less, indicating that the formation of the sulfate species is significantly preferred.

The adsorption of SO_2 onto the Cu(100), MgO and carbon surfaces was discussed as well, which may provide insightful clues for resembling processes onto mineral surfaces. It was proposed that SO_2 and H_2O are co-adsorbed onto Cu(100) surfaces [30], through the direct coupling of Cu atom with S and O@ H_2O atoms. The Cu-O distances ascend in the order of co-adsorption of SO_2 and H_2O < adsorption of only SO_2 < < adsorption of only H_2O . Accordingly, the interaction between SO_2 and Cu(100) surface is stronger than that of H_2O , and the co-adsorption of SO_2 conduces to the enhanced interaction of H_2O with Cu(100) surface. At the same time, the Cu-S distance of the co-adsorption configuration is optimized at 2.385 Å and is shorter than that with only SO_2 adsorption. That is, water exhibits a promoting effect for the adsorption of SO_2 on Cu(100) surface, as corroborated by the calculated adsorption energies. Eid and collaborators [41] found that as compared to regular MgO surface, the adsorption capacity of SO_2 at MgO(Fs-center) defects is higher, and MgO(Fs-center) corresponds to an enhanced catalytic activity. With regard to pure carbon materials, SO_2 is physisorbed and van der Waals (vdW) is the driving force therein [42]. When carbon materials are modified with functional groups such as carboxyl, lactone, or/and phenolic hydroxyl, the adsorption strengths of SO_2 are enhanced pronouncedly, especially for the sites at edge surfaces. In addition, these functional groups show little effects on SO_2 adsorption, suggesting that the enhanced adsorption is mainly due to regulation of carbon surface properties.

Adsorption of SO_2 is the first step for the formation of acid rain. According to our preliminary studies, the reaction mechanisms of gas phase and mineral surfaces resemble each other, and hence the gas-phase results are beneficial to understand acid rain formation at mineral surfaces. The reaction of SO_2 and H_2O produces two isomers that have close electronic energies [43]; in addition, the two isomers have apparently lower electronic energies than H_2SO_3 . Accordingly, the gaseous SO_2 and H_2O mixture is likely to exist as the $\text{SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ complex rather than H_2SO_3 . The activation barrier of SO_2 reacting with H_2O to form H_2SO_3 is so high (146.7 kJ/mol) that it becomes very difficult to produce the sulfite species (H_2SO_3) in gas phase. Five years later, Stirling [44] investigated the hydrolysis of SO_2 in aqueous solutions, finding that hydrated SO_2 forms the bisulfite anion and hydronium ion after overcoming an energy barrier of about 17 kcal/mol, while the one-step formation of H_2SO_3 has not been detected. The orientation of water molecules in the hydration shell of SO_2 implies a more facile formation of the bisulfite anion rather than H_2SO_3 [45], in line with the results of meta-dynamics calculations [46]. When HO_2 participates in the reaction, the S atom constructs a new bond with $\text{O@H}_2\text{O}$ atom (S-O: 1.716 Å). The energy barrier reduces considerably and equals 56.6 kJ/mol. HO_2 exists widely in the atmosphere and participates in a variety of chemical reactions [47]. In addition to HO_2 , a number of computational studies explicitly indicated that acidic substances in the atmosphere play similar catalytic effects and reduce significantly the energy barriers for the hydrolysis of SO_2 [48–50]. The effects of H_2SO_3 and H_2O on the hydrolysis of SO_2 were studied by Liu et al. [48], showing that the energy barriers ascend in the order of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3 + \text{SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (26.4 kJ/mol) < $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (84.0 kJ/mol) < $\text{SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (154.6 kJ/mol). The catalytic effect of H_2SO_3 is obviously more pronounced than that of H_2O . As reflected by NBO analyses, the reactant complexes in presence of sulfurous acid have the enhanced second-order stabilization energies as compared to those with addition of only water molecules, which provides strong supports for the pronounced catalytic effect of H_2SO_3 . The kinetic calculations further show that the hydrolysis of SO_2 is a nearly autocatalytic reaction.

Alternatively, acid rain can be formed by release of SO_2 into the atmosphere and oxidization of SO_3 , which then reacts with H_2O to form sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4). There are many oxidants that are able to convert SO_2 to SO_3 , and Calvert [51] have provided the formation mechanism of sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4)

Interaction between SO_2 and the OH radical ($\text{OH}^\bullet$) has been addressed by *ab initio* electronic structure calculations [52], and the optimized structure of the HOSO_2 radical show differences with that predicted using a small basis set (MP4/6-31G**//HF/3-21G*) [53]: Inclusion of correlation effects results in an increase of S-O distances and OSO angle, whereas the HOS angle shows a substantial decrease. Although geometrically stable at all levels of theory, stability of the HOSO_2 radical is estimated to be 104–110 kJ/mol, suggesting that the direct dissociation to SO_3 is almost infeasible. O_3 and H_2O_2 are two oxidizing substances in atmosphere chemistry, and their reaction mechanisms with SO_2 were studied by Jiang et al. [54].

SO_2 and O_3 interact mainly through the S and $\text{O}@\text{O}_3$ atoms, and their reaction causes the formation of S-O bond (1.708 Å) and the rupture of O-O bond in O_3 . This is one-step process and requires to overcome an energy barrier of 46.5 kJ/mol. The reaction of SO_2 with H_2O_2 proceeds via the OH-abstraction mechanism, and two OH radicals generated from the dissociation of H_2O_2 are appended to SO_2 forming H_2SO_4 . However, the energy barrier of this reaction is 299.5 kJ/mol, which is extremely difficult to proceed at normal conditions. Chen et al. [46] reported the reaction mechanism between SO_2 and HO_2 and showed that there exist two types of $\text{SO}_2\cdot\text{HO}_2$ complexes: one is to combine the terminal $\text{O}@\text{HO}_2$ and S atoms. In this reaction, the O-S distance decreases from 2.966 Å (the initial complex: $\text{SO}_2\cdot\text{HO}_2$) to 1.598 Å while the O-O bond of HO_2 is gradually elongated until broken. The reaction of SO_2 and H_2O is divided into three stages, and the energy barrier of $\text{SO}_3\cdot\text{OH}$ formation is so small (1.7 kJ/mol) that can be considered negligible. The final product of this reaction is HSO_4 . The other complex is characterized by two types of intermolecular H-bonds that form between the terminal $\text{O}@\text{HO}_2$ and S atoms and between the $\text{H}@\text{HO}_2$ and $\text{O}@\text{SO}_2$ atoms. It is a one-step process and the product for this reaction is $\text{HOSO}\cdot\text{O}_2$. When a single water is added, it has a combined effect on the overall process, not only accelerating the reaction of generating HSO_4 by reducing the activation barrier of the second step from 48.8 to 44.8 kJ/mol but also inhibiting the reaction between SO_2 and HO_2 to produce HOSO due to blocking the interaction between the $\text{H}@\text{HO}_2$ and $\text{O}@\text{SO}_2$ atoms.

It can be seen from the above discussions that the SO_3 and water reaction is an integral section for the formation of acid rain. The direct reaction of SO_3 with one water to produce sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) requires to overcome a large energy barrier (28.7 kcal/mol) and seems difficult to occur at normal conditions [55–57]. When the second water participates, the energy barrier reduces substantially and the reaction becomes almost barrierless, which is probably due to the formation of a stable six-membered cyclic transition state, see Figure 6 [58]. The two water molecules transfer their protons in a concerted manner, and a new S-O bond is formed between the newly generated OH and SO_3 fragments. In consequence, multiple reaction pathways may co-exist as illustrated below

Figure 6. Optimized transition states for the formation of sulfuric acid from SO_3 in presence of one (left) and two (right) water molecules. Arrows indicate the reaction coordinate vectors, and some important distances (Å) and angles (degrees) are shown.

The energy barriers for the reaction of SO_3 and water clusters $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ to form $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_{n-1}$ are calculated to be 28.7, 11.1, and 4.6 kcal/mol for $n = 1, 2, 3$, respectively [59]. With increase of water numbers, more water molecules are available to solvate and stabilize the charged transition state complexes, which further reduce the energy barriers. That is, it is favorable to hydrolyze SO_3 to form sulfuric acid in presence of sufficient water vapor. The second molecule acts as a good catalyst that promotes proton transfer from water to SO_3 , and according to both experimental and theoretical reports, the rate constant of $\text{SO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ reaction is approximately $10^{-15} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{molecule}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ [60–62]. HO_2 [63], HCOOH [62, 64], H_2SO_4 [49], HNO_3 [65] can replace the role of the second water molecule and exhibit a similar catalytic effect on the formation of sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4).

4. Concluding remarks

In contrast to the rapid development of chemistry, physics, and biology and other disciplines, soil science remains almost stagnant in the past few decades, and to best of our knowledge, no breakthroughs have been reported for rather a long time. Despite that, no one can deny the vital significance of soil to our life, and soil science has been widely acknowledged as the final frontier.

The slow progresses for soil science, we think, should be attributed to two reasons: (1) wrong and outdated perceptions. A majority of soil researchers mistakenly believe that all knowledge worth knowing about soils has already been understood and no revolutionary progresses would take place; (2) complex systems. Soils are very structurally complicated and there are multiple factors to co-function, which makes it very difficult to characterize by experimental techniques. Computer simulations have unique advantages to handle complex systems, while currently its role in soil science is far from being recognized. In this chapter, two examples are elaborately discussed with regard to application of DFT calculations in soil science: one focuses on the adsorption of uranyl onto mineral surfaces, and the other involves the adsorption of SO_2 onto mineral surfaces and reaction mechanisms to form acid rain. It can be seen from these discussions that DFT calculations are able to provide useful and detailed information about the adsorption, interaction and reactions at the atomic level that greatly promote our understanding about soil science.

With advent of high-performance computing platforms, the same DFT calculation tasks of 10 years ago can now finish within a remarkably shorter time, even if you increase model size (periodic model is also an option), consider solvent effects by adding explicit solvent molecules or/and choose more accurate methods. The methodological developments regarding to DFT calculations have also made remarkable progresses over the recent three decades, and as a result, thermodynamics and reaction barriers can now be predicted with nearly chemical

accuracy (≤ 1 kJ/mol). In consequence, computer simulations including DFT are the right key to unravel the complicated phenomena and processes occurring within soils; e.g., with DFT calculations, the aggregation mechanisms of “real” soils and the driving force therein were unveiled at an atomic level [6].

In addition to DFT methods, there are a number of other computational methods, such as QM/MM and Molecular Dynamics (MD). The choice of suitable computational methods is strongly recommended. We are pleased to see the birth of the ClayFF force-field [66] that was developed specially for clay minerals and the capability of the ReaxFF force-field [67] to handle reaction mechanisms. The 2013 Noble Prize in Chemistry was awarded to the development of “multiscale methods for complex systems,” and now it is time to apply these methods to tackle complex soil systems.

Acknowledgements

This work was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21473137), the Fourth Excellent Talents Program of Higher Education in Chongqing (2014-03) and the Natural Science Foundation Project of CQ CSTC, China (cstc2017jcyjAX0145).

Author details

Jiena Yun, Qian Wang, Chang Zhu and Gang Yang*

*Address all correspondence to: theobiochem@gmail.com

College of Resources and Environment and Chongqing Key Laboratory of Soil Multi-Scale Interfacial Process, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

References

- [1] Sposito G, Reginato RJ, Luxmoore RJ, editors. Opportunities in Basic Soil Science Research. Madison, Wisconsin, USA: Soil Science Society of America, Inc.; 1992
- [2] Li H, Yang G. Rethink the methodologies in basic soil science research: From the perspective of soil chemistry. *Acta Pedologica Sinica*. 2017;**54**:819-826
- [3] Wang Q, Zhu C, Yun JN, Yang G. Isomorphic substitutions in clay materials and adsorption of metal ions onto external surfaces: A DFT investigation. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2017;**121**:26722-26732
- [4] Li X, Li H, Yang G. Electric fields within clay materials: How to affect the adsorption of metal ions. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 2017;**501**:54-59

- [5] Li X, Li H, Yang G. Configuration, anion-specific effects, diffusion, and impact on counterions for adsorption of salt anions at the interfaces of clay minerals. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2016;**120**:14621-14630
- [6] Tian R, Yang G, Tang Y, Liu XM, Li R, Zhu HL, Li H. Origin of Hofmeister effects for complex systems. *PLoS One*. 2015;**10**:e0128602
- [7] Zhu MQ, Paul KW, Kubicki JD, Sparks DL. Quantum chemical study of arsenic(III, V) adsorption on Mn-oxides: Implications for arsenic(III) oxidation. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2009;**43**:6655-6661
- [8] Yang G, Pidko EA, Hensen EJM. Mechanism of Brønsted acid-catalyzed conversion of carbohydrates. *Journal of Catalysis*. 2012;**295**:122-132
- [9] Lundberg M, Kawatsu T, Vreven T, Frisch MJ, Morokuma K. Transition states in a protein environment—ONIOM QM:MM modeling of isopenicillin N synthesis. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*. 2009;**5**:222-234
- [10] Perdew JP. Density-functional approximation for the correlation energy of the inhomogeneous electron gas. *Physical Review B*. 1986;**33**:8822-8824
- [11] Lee C, Yang WT, Parr RG. Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Physical Review B*. 1988;**37**:785-789
- [12] Perdew JP, Ruzsinszky A. Fourteen easy lessons in density functional theory. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*. 2010;**110**:2801-2807
- [13] Choppin GR. Actinide speciation in the environment. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. 2007;**273**:695-703
- [14] Kalsi PK, Tomar BS, Ramakumar KL, Venugopal V. Studies on recovery of uranium from fluoride matrix employing sonochemistry. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. 2012;**293**:863-867
- [15] Bieluszka P, Zakrzewska G, Chajduk E, Dudek J. Liquid-liquid extraction of uranium(VI) in the system with a membrane contactor. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. 2014;**299**:611-619
- [16] Perron H, Domain C, Roques J, Drot R, Simoni E, Catalette H. Periodic density functional theory investigation of the uranyl ion sorption on the TiO₂ rutile (110) face. *Inorganic Chemistry*. 2006;**45**:6568-6570
- [17] Pan QJ, Odoh SO, Asaduzzaman AM, Schreckenbach G. Adsorption of uranyl species onto the rutile (110) surface: A periodic DFT study. *Chemistry - A European Journal*. 2012;**18**:1458-1466
- [18] Roques J, Veilly E, Simoni E. Periodic density functional theory investigation of the uranyl ion sorption on three mineral surfaces: A comparative study. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2009;**10**:2633-2661

- [19] Sebbari K, Roques J, Simoni E, Domain C, Perron H, Catalette H. First-principles molecular dynamics simulations of uranyl ion interaction at the water/rutile $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ interface. *Surface Science*. 2012;**606**:1135-1141
- [20] Kremleva A, Krüger S, Rösch N. Density functional model studies of uranyl adsorption on (001) surfaces of kaolinite. *Langmuir*. 2008;**24**:9515-9524
- [21] Martorell B, Kremleva A, Krüger S, Rösch N. Density functional model study of uranyl adsorption on the solvated (001) surface of kaolinite. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2010;**114**:13287-13294
- [22] Kremleva A, Krüger S, Rösch N. Quantum chemical modeling of uranyl adsorption on mineral surfaces. *Radiochimica Acta*. 2010;**98**:635-646
- [23] Kremleva A, Krüger S, Rösch N. Uranyl adsorption at (010) edge surfaces of kaolinite: A density functional study. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*. 2011;**75**:706-718
- [24] Veilly E, Roques J, Jodin-Caumon M-C, Humbert B, Drot R, Simoni E. Uranyl interaction with the hydrated (001) basal face of gibbsite: A combined theoretical and spectroscopic study. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 2008;**129**:244704
- [25] Hattori T, Saito T, Ishida K, Scheinost AC, Tsuneda T, Nagasaki S, Tanaka S. The structure of monomeric and dimeric uranyl adsorption complexes on gibbsite: A combined DFT and EXAFS study. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*. 2009;**73**:5975-5988
- [26] Jones P, Hockey JA. Infra-red studies of rutile surfaces. Part 1. *Transactions of the Faraday Society*. 1971;**67**:2669-2678
- [27] Jones P, Hockey JA. Infra-red studies of rutile surfaces. Part 2—Hydroxylation, hydration and structure of rutile surfaces. *Transactions of the Faraday Society*. 1971;**67**:2679-2685
- [28] Larssen T, Lydersen E, Tang DG, He Y, Gao JX, Liu HY, Duan L, Seip HM, Vogt RD, Mulder J, Shao M, Wang YH, Shang H, Zhang XS, Solberg S, Aas W, Okland T, Eilertsen O, Angelf V, Li QR, Zhao DW, Xiang RJ, Xiao JS, Luo JH. Acid rain in China. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2006;**40**:418-425
- [29] Calace N, Fiorentini F, Petronio BM, Pietroletti M. Effects of acid rain on soil humic compounds. *Talanta*. 2001;**54**:837-846
- [30] Purvaja R, Ramesh R, Ray AK, Rixen T. Nitrogen cycling: A review of the processes, transformations and fluxes in coastal ecosystems. *Current Science*. 2008;**94**:1419-1438
- [31] Singh A, Agrawal M. Acid rain and its ecological consequences. *Journal of Environmental Biology*. 2008;**29**:15-24
- [32] Koptsik GN, Koptsik SV, Dan A. Pine needle chemistry near a large point SO_2 source in Northern Fennoscandia. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*. 2001;**130**:929-934
- [33] Livingston RA. Acid rain attack on outdoor sculpture in perspective. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2016;**146**:332-345

- [34] Lo JMH, Ziegler T, Clark PD. SO₂ Adsorption and transformations on γ -Al₂O₃ surfaces: A density functional theory study. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2010;**114**:10444-10454
- [35] Liu H, Chen T, Frost RL. An overview of the role of goethite surfaces in the environment. *Chemosphere*. 2014;**103**:1-11
- [36] Zubietta CE, Fortunato LF, Belelli PG, Patricia G, Ricardo M. Theoretical study of SO₂ adsorption on goethite(110) surface. *Applied Surface Science*. 2014;**314**:558-563
- [37] Zhang X, Zhuang G, Chen JM, Wang Y, Wang X, An ZS, Zhang P. Heterogeneous reactions of sulfur dioxide on typical mineral particles. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B*. 2006;**110**:12588-12596
- [38] Goodman AL, Li P, Usher CR, Grassian VH. Heterogeneous uptake of sulfur dioxide on aluminum and magnesium oxide particles. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. A*. 2001;**105**:6109-6120
- [39] Wei X, Dong CF, Chen ZH, Huang JY, Xiao K, Li XG. Insights into SO₂ and H₂O co-adsorption on Cu(100) surface with calculations of density functional theory. *Transactions of the Nonferrous Metals Society of China*. 2015;**25**:4102-4109
- [40] Sierraalta A, Bermudez A, Rosa-Brussin M. Density functional study of the interaction of Cu⁺ ion-exchanged zeolites with H₂O and SO₂ molecules. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*. 2005;**228**:203-210
- [41] Eid KM, Ammar HY. Adsorption of SO₂ on Li atoms deposited on MgO(100) surface: DFT calculations. *Applied Surface Science*. 2011;**257**:6049-6058
- [42] Liu X, Sun F, Qu ZB, Gao JH, Wu SH. The effect of functional groups on the SO₂ adsorption on carbon surface I: A new insight into noncovalent interaction between SO₂ molecule and acidic oxygen-containing groups. *Applied Surface Science*. 2016;**369**:552-557
- [43] Bandyopadhyay B, Kumar P, Biswas P. Ammonia catalyzed formation of sulfuric acid in troposphere: The curious case of a base promoting acid rain. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. A*. 2017;**121**:3101-3108
- [44] Stirling A. SO₂ hydrolysis: Ab initio MD study of the formation of bisulfite ion. *Journal of Computer Chemistry, Japan*. 2013;**12**:38-42
- [45] Brown RE, Barber F. Ab initio studies of the thermochemistry of the bisulfite and the sulfonate ions and related compounds. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 1995;**99**:8071-8075
- [46] Chen X, Tao C, Zhong L, Gao Y, Yao W, Li SJ. Theoretical study on the atmospheric reaction of SO₂ with the HO₂ and HO₂·H₂O complex formation HSO₄ and H₂SO₃. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 2014;**608**:272-276
- [47] Ehhalt DH, Dorn HP, Poppe D. The chemistry of the hydroxyl radical in the troposphere. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*. 1990;**97**:17-34
- [48] Liu J, Fang S, Bing Q, Tao FM, Liu JY. Theoretical study of the auto-catalyzed hydrolysis reaction of sulfur dioxide. *Computational & Theoretical Chemistry*. 2016;**1076**:11-16

- [49] Torrent-Sucarrat M, Francisco JS, Anglada JM. Sulfuric acid as autocatalyst in the formation of sulfuric acid. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2012;**134**:20632-20644
- [50] Hazra MK, Francisco JS, Sinha A. Hydrolysis of glyoxal in water-restricted environments: Formation of organic aerosol precursors through formic acid catalysis. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. A*. 2014;**118**:4095-4105
- [51] Calvert JG. *SO₂, NO and NO₂ Oxidation Mechanisms: Atmospheric Considerations*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 1984
- [52] Moore-Plummer PL, Wu RC, Flenner JJ. Ab initio investigation of the gas phase reaction $\text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SO}_2\text{OH}$ radical. *Molecular Physics*. 2002;**100**:1847-1853
- [53] Nagase S, Hashimoto S, Akimoto H. Bisulfite and peroxybisulfate radicals studied by ab initio calculation and matrix isolation technique. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 1988;**92**:641-644
- [54] Jiang SD, Wang ZH, Zhou JH, Wen ZC, Cen KF. A quantum chemistry study on reaction mechanisms of SO_2 with O_3 and H_2O_2 . *Journal of Zhejiang University. Science. A*. 2009;**10**:1327-1333
- [55] Chen TS, Plummer PLM. Ab initio MO investigation of the gas-phase reaction sulfur trioxide + water. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 1985;**89**:3689-3693
- [56] Morokuma K, Muguruma C. Ab initio molecular orbital study of the mechanism of the gas phase reaction $\text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$: Importance of the second water molecule. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1994;**116**:299-301
- [57] Hofmann M, Schleyer PVR. Acid rain: Ab initio investigation of the $\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{SO}_3$ complex and its conversion to H_2SO_4 . *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1994;**116**:4947-4952
- [58] Morokuma K, Muguruma C. Ab initio molecular orbital study of the mechanism of the gas phase reaction $\text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$: Importance of the second water molecule. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1994;**116**:10316-10317
- [59] Larson LJ, Kuno M, Tao FM. Hydrolysis of sulfur trioxide to form sulfuric acid in small water clusters. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 2000;**112**:8830-8838
- [60] Reiner T, Arnold F. Laboratory investigations of gaseous sulfuric acid formation via $\text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{M}$: Measurement of the rate constant and product identification. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 1994;**101**:7399-7407
- [61] Wang X, Jin YG, Suto M, Lee LC. Rate constant of the gas phase reaction of SO_3 with H_2O . *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 1988;**89**:4853-4860
- [62] Long B, Long ZW, Wang YB, Tan XF, Han YH, Long CY, Qin SJ, Zhang WJ. Formic acid catalyzed gas-phase reaction of H_2O with SO_3 and the reverse reaction: A theoretical study. *ChemPhysChem*. 2012;**13**:323-329

- [63] Gonzalez J, Torrent-Sucarrat M, Anglada JM. The reactions of SO_3 with HO_2 radical and H_2O . HO_2 radical complex. Theoretical study on the atmospheric formation of HSO_5 and H_2SO_4 . *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*. 2010;**12**:2116-2125
- [64] Hazra MK, Sinha A. Formic acid catalyzed hydrolysis of SO_3 in the gas phase: A barrierless mechanism for sulfuric acid production of potential atmospheric importance. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2011;**133**:17444-17453
- [65] Long B, Chang CR, Long ZW, Wang YB, Tan XF, Zhang WJ. Nitric acid catalyzed hydrolysis of SO_3 , in the formation of sulfuric acid: A theoretical study. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 2013;**581**:26-29
- [66] Cygan RT, Liang JJ, Kalinichev AG. Molecular models of hydroxide, oxyhydroxide, and clay phases and the development of a general force field. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B*. 2004;**108**:1255-1266
- [67] Pitman MC, van Duin ACT. Dynamics of confined reactive water in smectite clay-zeolite composites. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2012;**134**:3042-3053

IntechOpen