We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Colony Assay for Antibody Library Screening: Outlook and Comparison to Display Screening

Mieko Kato and Yoshiro Hanyu

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72149>

Abstract

Recombinant monoclonal antibodies are established by screening the antibody libraries. To obtain antibodies with a high specificity and affinity, an efficient screening process with a highly diverse library including low background signals is necessary. One of the most extensively used methods is the phage display method. Although phage display screening is a powerful tool for enriching clones from vast libraries, it is not easy to identify single clones with an antigen recognition function only through several rounds of biopanning. The application of colony assays for screening antibody libraries can identify clones with a high reliability by a direct observation of the antibody-antigen binding during the screening process; however, the size of the library that can be dealt with is limited. This chapter describes the colony assay as a current screening technology used in recombinant monoclonal antibody production, the possible problems in this method, and discusses the outlook for this technology.

Keywords: colony assay, screening, antibody library, scFv, *E. coli*, phage display

1. Introduction

The use of recombinant technology for antibody selection offers several advantages over conventional antibody selection strategies, such as the selection of antibodies against toxic or non-immunogenic antigens unattainable using conventional methods [1, 2], the ability to select positive clones from vast libraries [3], the realization of in vitro screening [4], and the bypass of animal usage [5]. The selection and production of recombinant monoclonal antibodies require the creation of highly diverse libraries [6] and the subsequent identification of positive clones using a screening technology with low background signals [7]. In particular, the variable domains of the antibody heavy and light chain (V_H and V_L) are isolated

from the lymph tissue of immunized animals and linked together for creating a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) library, and Fab libraries are constructed too. In general, the antibody fragments used for screening are the scFvs. Currently, entirely synthetic libraries [8–11] and naïve libraries [12] are being used. These antibody gene libraries are incorporated into a phagemid or plasmid and expressed in phage or *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*). Further, panning [13] or colony assays [14] are performed to isolate scFvs possessing affinity to the antigen, thereby establishing monoclonal antibodies. This step, the screening of antibody libraries, is critical for establishing monoclonal antibody fragments with a high affinity and specificity against the antigen. One of the most extensively used methods is the phage display method [15, 16]. The display of the antibody repertoires on the surface of bacteriophages and their selection through panning enables the isolation of monoclonal antibodies [17]. Phage display is also widely used for affinity maturation [18, 19], in which mutations are introduced into the variable domains of an antibody gene mainly into CDRs to produce antibodies with a higher affinity as the original clone [20]. In addition, cell surface panning techniques [1, 21, 22] are being developed to establish antibodies recognizing membrane proteins on living cells that are difficult to produce using the conventional methods. Technologies that enable liquid panning rather than immobilizing the antigens to a solid phase have also been proposed for phage display to establish antibodies that recognize protein conformation [23]. Screening with a colony assay induces the actual expression of the scFvs themselves and involves a direct confirmation of the antigen-antibody binding, lending it the advantage of a low false-positive rate. In addition, the method can be easily used to screen libraries in the order of magnitude larger than those that can be screened with the hybridoma technology. However, this method poses several problems: it requires extensive and complex manipulation of assay steps, the expression of antibody fragments could be at times nonexistent or very low, and the extensive manipulation during the assay can lead to contamination and death of the *E. coli* cells, potentially preventing gene retrieval. Although this technique is not complete and not widely applied, further development and improvement can render it highly beneficial.

2. Antibody library screening

A critical step in the establishment of antigen-specific monoclonal antibody fragment clones is the screening of the recombinant-antibody libraries [6, 7]. Methods for screening the antibody libraries can be largely divided into two strategies [24]: the display and repertoire cloning strategies (**Figure 1**).

2.1. Display strategies for antibody library screening

In the display strategy [25], the antibody fragment and its gene, i.e., the antigen recognition function and information, are joined, and antibody fragments with an affinity against the antigen are screened. Phage display systems in which an scFv joined to the filamentous phage coat protein, g3p, is displayed on the phage are extensively used [15, 16]. Other display systems include yeast display systems in which scFvs are displayed on the surface of yeast [26]; mRNA display [27]; ribosome display in which a ribosome, mRNA, and an scFv are

Figure 1. Strategies for antibody library screening. (A) Display strategy: scheme of the phage display panning process. (B) Repertoire cloning strategy: scheme of the cloning and assay process. (C) Detection of antigen-specific antibody fragments released from a bacterial colony by a colony assay.

integrated [28]; bacterial surface display [29]; and mammalian cell surface display [30, 31] for human antibody discovery. In these display systems, panning is applied for screening [13]. The antigen is immobilized on the surface of a microtiter plate, and the scFv library can be screened with phage display and with ribosome/mRNA display. Weakly bound clones are removed by washing, retaining the specific clones bound to the antigen (**Figure 1A**). This panning method is characterized by repeated selection, proliferation, and the enrichment of positive clones for enabling the processing of large libraries. For yeast, bacterial and mammalian cell surface display FACS with the cells displaying the recombinant antibody fragments using labeled antigen is applied.

2.2. Repertoire cloning strategies for antibody library screening

In contrast, in repertoire cloning strategies, the antibody library is transformed into *E. coli*; the scFvs are expressed and secreted from a single clone, and scFvs are screened by ELISA (**Figure 1B**). Clones are selected based on assays, using scFv characteristics such as the affinity; thereby, this method offers advantages such as low false-positive rates and the ability to reliably identify clones with a high affinity. However, an assay must be performed for each individual *E. coli* clone, and only the positive clones are selected. There is no enrichment process in the screening method, and only limited libraries can be used for antibody selection.

Particularly, antibody repertoires from immunized animals with a clone number of approximately 10^6 are suitable for the repertoire cloning but not naïve and synthetic antibody

repertoires with high clone numbers (10^9 – 10^{12} clones). During the assay, a clone from an *E. coli* library is cultured, and its expression is induced. The reactivity of the expressed scFv against the antigen is measured. Clones exhibiting high reactivity are selected as the positive clones. In this method, only a few thousands of clones can be examined simultaneously, even if a multi-well microtiter plate is used. Although this number is higher than the clones obtained by the hybridoma technique, positive clones cannot be efficiently obtained, when the positive ratio is low.

Colony assay in which periplasmic expression and *E. coli* colony formation lifted onto filters are used provides a method for handling large libraries (**Figure 1C**). In colony assay, the clones do not need to be picked up individually before screening; all the colonies on the plate can be assayed simultaneously. Thus, numerous clones can be assayed from a single plate. Antibody fragments released by bacteria were detected by a phage plaque assay in earlier experiments [32, 33]. Libraries of the antibody fragments were expressed in *E. coli* using phage λ vectors [34, 35]. Then, the active fragments secreted from the viable *E. coli* colonies were detected by colony-lift immunoassay [36]. With the colony assay, considerably larger libraries can be dealt with because the number of colonies screened can be easily increased.

2.3. Screening with a phage display

In a phage display system, panning is used to isolate phages that display the antibody fragments exhibiting affinity to the antigen (**Figure 1A**). Positive clones are established by selecting only the phages that displays antibody fragments (primarily scFvs) fused to the g3p coat proteins on the surface of the filamentous phage, which have affinity to the antigen. This method has the advantage of processing large libraries ($\sim 10^{11}$) [3, 37]. The antigen is immobilized, and the recombinant phage bound to the antigen is left intact; weakly bound recombinant phages are washed away. The remaining recombinant phages, which possess a binding capacity are detached from the antigen by acid treatment and infected into *E. coli*. Further, *E. coli* cells are cultured to propagate positive clones. The *E. coli* clones expressing the phagemids are then infected with a helper phage, and the phages displaying scFvs with binding capacities are collected. Panning is performed repeatedly for the selected group of phages. The repeated selection and propagation of positive clones enrich clones with antibodies comprising binding capacities to the antigen. Then, single clones are isolated at the final step with high binding capacities [38]. This method renders it possible to handle large libraries.

One limitation of this method is that the high background during panning selection often results in false-positive clones. A specific antigen-binding activity is typically not the only driving force exploited during the panning process [39, 40]. Multiple rounds of panning have been documented to frequently cause a strong bias for antibodies directed against immunodominant epitopes and abundant proteins [41], resulting in the loss of the library's diversity and of valuable antibody clones. Several factors influence the selection of the antigen-specific clones and produce undesired effects; these factors include a high efficiency of expression and folding despite poor antigen-binding activity, the nonspecific hydrophobic binding properties of the phage particle itself, and a superior compatibility with the host cells, not related to the antibody fragment affinity.

However, as several antibody fragments are themselves toxic to *E. coli*, these clones will be lost during panning, even if they possess a high affinity. Conversely, repeated panning may result in the relatively preferential propagation of clones with reduced *E. coli* toxicity, even if the clones do not possess a high binding capacity. Toxicity to *E. coli* can increase the background, resulting in several false-positive clones being obtained. This situation renders panning extremely difficult; it is not easy to establish single positive clones only through several rounds of panning [14]. Although the phage display is a powerful tool for establishing monoclonal antibodies, it is used less frequently than expected [39].

3. Colony assay for antibody library screening

As an alternative antibody-screening tool, the colony assay can be used which is sometimes superior to the phage display method [42]. The advantage of this method is that the antibody-antigen binding can be directly observed during the screening process, reducing the selection of false-negative clones [24]. Thus, the colony assay presents notable advantages over the phage display and biopanning method.

3.1. Principle of the colony assay

In the colony assay (**Figure 2**), antibody libraries are expressed in *E. coli* for the selection of clones with a favorable affinity to the antigen. An scFv library is transformed into *E. coli* cells, and afterward transformed *E. coli* cells are plated on appropriate agar plates. After growing of the colonies, they are lifted onto a filter. Further, an expression-inducing reagent such as isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) is applied, inducing the expression and secretion of scFvs from the *E. coli* cells (**Figure 2A**). scFvs with the desired affinity will diffuse out and bind the antigen coated on the membrane beneath the colonies. However, scFvs without affinity will not bind the antigen (**Figure 2B**), and the unbound scFvs are washed away. Then, the bound scFvs with an affinity against the antigen are detected using an enzymatic method. The His-tags attached to the scFvs are detected with anti-His antibodies (**Figure 2C**). Positive clones are identified as the colonies corresponding to positive signals (**Figure 2D**).

3.2. Filter-sandwich assay

Dreher et al. [43, 44] improved the colony assay by developing the filter-sandwich colony-screening assay (hereafter, the filter-sandwich assay) for selecting positive clones; *E. coli* colonies are grown directly on a hydrophilic filter, which is then transferred to an antigen-coated membrane soaked with IPTG solution and placed on an agar plate containing IPTG to induce antibody fragment production. The antibody fragments produced by the colonies diffuse out and bind to the antigen on the membrane. The presence of antibody fragments bound to the membrane is then detected, and the spot is superimposed on the colony. This method circumvents the difficult technique of lifting the colony [14, 36]. In addition, the filter-sandwich assay was further optimized. The procedure can now be performed by a single step [45] under tightly controlled IPTG concentration for expression of the scFvs.

Figure 2. Scheme of the colony assay principle. (A) scFvs are expressed and secreted from *E. coli*. (B) scFvs with the desired affinity bind the antigen beneath the colonies. (C) Bound scFvs with an affinity against the antigen are detected using an enzymatic method. (D) Positive clones are identified as the colonies corresponding to positive signals.

3.3. Procedure for filter-sandwich assay

The procedure used in the filter-sandwich colony assay is depicted schematically in **Figure 3**.

In particular, the RNAs are isolated from the lymph tissue of immunized animals, and the corresponding cDNA is synthesized; this cDNA is used as the template for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the V_L and V_H domains. Further, the variable domains are assembled to an scFv and cloned into an expression vector to create the scFv libraries [46]. As expression vector, for example, pET22b (+), containing a *pelB* signal sequence for periplasm expression and a His-tag sequence for the detection of the scFv expression driven by the T7 promoter, is used. The antibody repertoire is transformed into *E. coli*, and the filter sandwich assay is performed as described in **Figure 3**.

The hydrophilic PVDF filter is placed on an agar plate. Transformed *E. coli* with the scFv libraries is spread onto the filter and incubated. After the formation of the bacterial colonies on the filter surface, the filter harboring the colonies is transferred to an antigen-coated nitrocellulose membrane on the agar plate containing IPTG and incubated to induce scFv expression.

Figure 3. Procedure for filter-sandwich colony assay.

Then, the filter harboring the colonies is removed, placed on a fresh plate, and stored for the later recovery of the bacteria. Subsequently, antigen-bound scFvs on the nitrocellulose membrane are detected with chemiluminescence from a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-His antibody. The filter harboring the colonies and the image presenting the chemiluminescence data are superimposed, and positive colonies corresponding to the chemiluminescence signals are identified. These positive clones are transferred to a medium and incubated. The plasmid encoding the scFv gene with an affinity against the antigen is purified, and the antibody coding sequence is determined.

3.4. Establishing monoclonal antibody fragments by colony assay

A colony assay is used for screening the antibody fragments against a variety of antigens, with optimizations for each specific purpose. The recombinant antibody fragments against EspA and the intimin of *E. coli* O157:H7 were established by colony filter screening [47]. Colony-lift assay was combined with phage display, using cell-coated filters to screen the phage libraries for cell-binding clones [48]. Robert et al. developed subtractive colony filter screening to select scFvs that recognize atherosclerotic but not the normal aorta [49]. Giovannoni et al.

isolated antiangiogenesis antibodies from combinatorial libraries by iterative colony filter screening: colonies located around the positive signals were selected, and the screening step was repeated; monoclonal scFvs were established after several rounds of the assay [50]. Neumann-Schaal et al. developed a colony-screening method in which *E. coli* colonies producing the required scFv were selected in the presence of ampicillin conjugated to the antigen of interest; this method relies on the neutralization of the conjugate by the produced scFv. The scFvs were identified against biotin by the growth of the scFv library-expressing *E. coli* in the presence of a biotin-ampicillin conjugate [51]. Kumada et al. improved the sensitivity of the colony assay utilizing antibody-coupled liposome encapsulating HRP [52].

4. Summary

It is possible to screen $3\text{--}5 \times 10^3$ clones on a 10-cm diameter plate in a filter-sandwich assay, whereas in the hybridoma method, dozens of 96-well microtiter plates are required for screening these clones. Further, the filter-sandwich assay can be readily upscaled by increasing the number of plates. Therefore, the number of positive clones from the filter-sandwich assay can be higher than that from the hybridoma method. This would increase the chance of obtaining monoclonal antibody fragments with the desired affinity, specificity, and function.

However, the filter-sandwich assay needs to be improved further for the selection of positive clones, particularly with respect to the reliability of the antibody fragment expression and the handling of the colonies during the assay. For the colony assay, the control of the expression level is critical. Because the scFv expression by itself is considerably toxic to *E. coli*, an excess induction of expression, namely, exposure to an excess of the expression-inducing reagent (IPTG), leads to cell death and prevents the selection of antigen-specific scFvs. Conversely, exposure to insufficient IPTG induces inadequate antibody expression for the detection of signals from positive clones. In the filter-sandwich assay, expression induction is not stringently controlled because the concentration of the IPTG added to the cells cannot be precisely controlled. IPTG reaches the colonies by diffusing through the filter from the antigen-coated membrane and the agar plate. Quantitative control of the expression level is required for superior screening. This uncertainty in the IPTG concentration in the filter-sandwich assay also causes a problem in the induction timing. For appropriate induction, the colony size is a critical factor [14, 44]; however, the colony continues to grow during the assay. Hence, the timing of the expression induction is crucial for proper expression. If the IPTG diffusion is delayed, an initially small colony would grow too large for proper induction to occur; however, if the colonies are too small, the signal from each colony is inadequate for detecting the antigen binding. The induction strength cannot be accurately determined, particularly during the step, when the filter is transferred to the IPTG-containing plate to initiate the induction of expression. These induction-related uncertainties in the filter-sandwich assay lead to unstable expression and failure in isolating the antibody-encoding genes. Stringent control of the expression level is critical. Various factors related to the expression vector, such as the promoter, strength of the ribosomal binding site, fusion tags, and the copy number, must be optimized [53–57]. The incubation temperature is also an important factor in controlling the

expression strength [58]. For inducing expression, additional methods such as the cold-shock system [59] should be examined. Expression-inducing reagents that are less toxic than IPTG to *E. coli*, such as rhamnose [60], should also be tested.

In the filter-sandwich assay, before the induction of antibody expression, the filter harboring the colonies must be transferred without disturbance. This transfer requires delicate manipulation of the filter and frequently produces unwanted stress on the filter, occasionally disturbing the colonies themselves. A method that does not require the transfer of the filter should be developed for more efficient antibody establishment. Recently, a single-step colony assay was established by us using a tightly controlled IPTG concentration for scFv expression [45]. One advantage is also that no transfer of the filter on which the colonies are grown to the antigen-coated membrane is necessary.

The establishment of a high-quality antibody library and efficient screening are the most important factors for successful recombinant antibody selection and production. Improvements in the screening technology are critical for quickly and reliably establishment of high-performance antibodies. Phage display screening is a powerful tool for this purpose; however, it has certain disadvantages such as the frequent selection of false-positive clones, but it can easily deal with a vast library. On the other hand, screening with a colony assay could identify the positive clones reliably; however, it cannot deal with a large complex library. Thus, screening methods using a display panning system and a colony assay have certain advantages and disadvantages, respectively. They should be utilized cooperatively, depending on the purpose of the experiments. Hence, condensing the library by phage display and then cloning the positive clones by colony assay would be advantageous. To efficiently establish high-quality antibodies, the adequate choice of these technologies and their combination would be crucial.

Author details

Mieko Kato¹ and Yoshiro Hanyu^{2*}

*Address all correspondence to: y.hanyu@aist.go.jp

1 Bio-Peak Co., Ltd., Takasaki, Japan

2 Structural Physiology Research Group, Biomedical Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Japan

References

- [1] Jones ML, Alfaleh MA, Kumble S, Zhang S, Osborne GW, Yeh M, et al. Targeting membrane proteins for antibody discovery using phage display. *Scientific Reports*. [Internet]. May 18, 2016;6:26240. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep26240> [Accessed: Jan 28, 2017]

- [2] Griffiths AD, Williams SC, Hartley O, Tomlinson IM, Waterhouse P, Crosby WL, et al. Isolation of high affinity human antibodies directly from large synthetic repertoires. *EMBO Journal*. [Internet]. Jul 15, 1994;**13**(14):3245-3260. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=395221&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [3] Bradbury A, Sblattero D. Exploiting recombination in single bacteria to make large phage antibody libraries. *Nature Biotechnology*. [Internet]. Jan 1, 2000;**18**(1):75-80. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10625396> [Accessed: Jan 8, 2017]
- [4] Geyer CR, McCafferty J, Dübél S, Bradbury ARM, Sidhu SS. Recombinant antibodies and in vitro selection technologies. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. [Internet]. Jan 2012;**901**:11-32. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22723092> [Accessed: Sep 10, 2015]
- [5] Marks JD, Hoogenboom HR, Bonnert TP, McCafferty J, Griffiths AD, Winter G. By-passing immunization. Human antibodies from V-gene libraries displayed on phage. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. [Internet]. Dec 5, 1991;**222**(3):581-597. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1748994> [Accessed: Jan 29, 2017]
- [6] Hust M, Frenzel A, Schirrmann T, Dübél S. Selection of recombinant antibodies from antibody gene libraries. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. [Internet]. Jan 2014;**1101**:305-320. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24233787> [Accessed: Sep 3, 2015]
- [7] Hoogenboom HR. Selecting and screening recombinant antibody libraries. *Nature Biotechnology*. [Internet]. Sep 2005;**23**(9):1105-1116. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16151404> [Accessed: Mar 9, 2015]
- [8] Rothe C, Urlinger S, Löhning C, Prassler J, Stark Y, Jäger U, et al. The human combinatorial antibody library HuCAL GOLD combines diversification of all six CDRs according to the natural immune system with a novel display method for efficient selection of high-affinity antibodies. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. [Internet]. Feb 29, 2008;**376**(4):1182-1200. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18191144> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [9] Chen G, Sidhu SS. Design and generation of synthetic antibody libraries for phage display. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. [Internet]. Jan 2014;**1131**:113-131. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24515463> [Accessed: Sep 15, 2015]
- [10] Lerner RA. Combinatorial antibody libraries: New advances, new immunological insights. *Nature Reviews Immunology*. [Internet]. Jul 4, 2016;**16**(8):498-508. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nri.2016.67> [Accessed: Jan 28 2017]
- [11] Prassler J, Thiel S, Pracht C, Polzer A, Peters S, Bauer M, et al. HuCAL PLATINUM, a synthetic fab library optimized for sequence diversity and superior performance in mammalian expression systems. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. [Internet]. Oct 14, 2011;**413**(1):261-278. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21856311> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]

- [12] Hust M, Frenzel A, Meyer T, Schirrmann T, Dübel S. Construction of human naive antibody gene libraries. *Methods in Molecular Biology* (Clifton, NJ). [Internet]. 2012;**907**:85-107. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22907347> [Accessed: Apr 5, 2017]
- [13] Parmley SF, Smith GP. Antibody-selectable filamentous fd phage vectors: affinity purification of target genes. *Gene*. [Internet]. Dec 20, 1988;**73**(2):305-318. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3149606> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [14] Rauth S, Schlapschy M, Skerra A. Selection of antibody fragments by means of the filter-sandwich Colony screening assay. In: Kontermann R, Dübel S, editors. *Antibody Engineering*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 2010. pp. 255-266
- [15] McCafferty J, Griffiths AD, Winter G, Chiswell DJ. Phage antibodies: Filamentous phage displaying antibody variable domains. *Nature*. [Internet]. Dec 6, 1990;**348**(6301):552-554. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2247164> [Accessed: Jul 22, 2015]
- [16] Hoogenboom HR, de Bruijne AP, Hufton SE, Hoet RM, Arends JW, Roovers RC. Antibody phage display technology and its applications. *Immunotechnology*. [Internet]. Jun 1998;**4**(1):1-20. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9661810> [Accessed: Jan 6, 2017]
- [17] Boldicke T, Tesar M, Griesel C, Rohde M, Grone HJ, Waltenberger J, et al. Anti-VEGFR-2 scFvs for cell isolation. Single-chain antibodies recognizing the human vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2/flk-1) on the surface of primary endothelial cells and preselected CD34+ cells from cord blood. *Stem Cells*. 2001;**19**(1):24-36
- [18] Steinwand M, Droste P, Frenzel A, Hust M, Dübel S, Schirrmann T. The influence of antibody fragment format on phage display based affinity maturation of IgG. *MAbs Journal*. [Internet]. 2014;**6**(1):204-218. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3929444&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract> [Accessed: Nov 25, 2014]
- [19] Thie H, Voedisch B, Dübel S, Hust M, Schirrmann T. Affinity maturation by phage display. *Methods in Molecular Biology* [Internet]. Jan 2009;**525**:309-322. xv. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19252854> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [20] Prassler J, Steidl S, Urlinger S. In vitro affinity maturation of HuCAL antibodies: Complementarity determining region exchange and RapMAT technology. *Immunotherapy* [Internet]. 2009 Jul;**1**(4):571-583. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20635988> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [21] Giordano RJ, Cardó-Vila M, Lahdenranta J, Pasqualini R, Arap W. Biopanning and rapid analysis of selective interactive ligands. *Nature Medicine*. [Internet]. Nov 2001;**7**(11):1249-1253. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11689892> [Accessed: Sep 29, 2015]
- [22] Akahori Y, Kurosawa G, Sumitomo M, Morita M, Muramatsu C, Eguchi K, et al. Isolation of antigen/antibody complexes through organic solvent (ICOS) method. *Biochemical and*

- Biophysical Research Communications. [Internet]. Jan 23, 2009;**378**(4):832-835. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19071089> [Accessed: Sep 29, 2015]
- [23] Haque A, Tonks NK. The use of phage display to generate conformation-sensor recombinant antibodies. *Nature Protocols*. [Internet]. Dec 2012;**7**(12):2127-2143. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3712638&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract> [Accessed: Sep 29, 2015]
- [24] Kato M, Hanyu Y. Screening technologies for recombinant antibody libraries. *Archives of Medical Research*. 2015;**2**(7):12-18
- [25] Grönwall C, Ståhl S. Engineered affinity proteins – Generation and applications. *Journal of Biotechnology* [Internet]. 2009;**140**(3):254-269. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168165609000340> [Accessed: Apr 5, 2017]
- [26] Feldhaus MJ, Siegel RW. Yeast display of antibody fragments: A discovery and characterization platform. *Journal of Immunological Methods* [Internet]. Jul 2004;**290**(1-2):69-80. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15261572> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [27] Fukuda I, Kojoh K, Tabata N, Doi N, Takashima H, Miyamoto-Sato E, et al. In vitro evolution of single-chain antibodies using mRNA display. *Nucleic Acids Research*. [Internet]. 2006;**34**(19):e127. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17012279> [Accessed: Apr 5, 2017]
- [28] Schaffitzel C, Hanes J, Jermutus L, Plückthun A. Ribosome display: An in vitro method for selection and evolution of antibodies from libraries. *Journal of Immunological Methods* [Internet]. Dec 10, 1999;**231**(1-2):119-135. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10648932> [Accessed: 2015 Sep 28]
- [29] Daugherty PS, Chen G, Olsen MJ, Iverson BL, Georgiou G. Antibody affinity maturation using bacterial surface display. *Protein Engineering*. [Internet]. 1998;**11**(9):825-832. Available from: <https://chemengr.ucsb.edu/~ceweb/faculty/daugherty/pdfs/04.pdf> [Accessed: Apr 5, 2017]
- [30] King DJ, Bowers PM, Kehry MR, Horlick RA. Mammalian cell display and somatic hypermutation in vitro for human antibody discovery. *Current Drug Discovery Technologies* [Internet]. Mar 2014;**11**(1):56-64. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23978037> [Accessed: Jan 28, 2017]
- [31] Bowers PM, Horlick RA, Kehry MR, Neben TY, Tomlinson GL, Altobelli L, et al. Mammalian cell display for the discovery and optimization of antibody therapeutics. *Methods*. [Internet]. Jan 1, 2014;**65**(1):44-56. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23792919> [Accessed: Jan 29, 2017]
- [32] Huse WD, Sastry L, Iverson SA, Kang AS, Alting-Mees M, Burton DR, et al. Generation of a large combinatorial library of the immunoglobulin repertoire in phage lambda. *Science* [Internet]. Dec 8, 1989;**246**(4935):1275-1281. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2531466> [Accessed: Jan 6, 2017]

- [33] Caton AJ, Koprowski H. Influenza virus hemagglutinin-specific antibodies isolated from a combinatorial expression library are closely related to the immune response of the donor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. [Internet]. Aug 1990;**87**(16):6450-6454. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1696733> [Accessed: Jan 6, 2017]
- [34] Mullinax RL, Gross EA, Amberg JR, Hayt BN, Hogrefe HH, Kubitz MM, et al. Identification of human antibody fragment clones specific for tetanus toxoid in a bacteriophage lambda immunoexpression library. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1990;**87**(October):8095-8099
- [35] Persson MA, Caothien RH, Burton DR. Generation of diverse high-affinity human monoclonal antibodies by repertoire cloning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. [Internet]. Mar 15, 1991;**88**(6):2432-2436. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=51246&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [36] Rodenburg CM, Mernaugh R, Bilbao G, Khazaeli MB. Production of a single chain anti-CEA antibody from the hybridoma cell line T84.66 using a modified colony-lift selection procedure to detect antigen-positive ScFv bacterial clones. *Hybridoma* [Internet]. 1998 Feb;**17**(1):1-8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9523232> [Accessed: Jan 8, 2017]
- [37] Hust M, Steinwand M, Al-Halabi L, Helmsing S, Schirrmann T, Dübel S. Improved microtitre plate production of single chain Fv fragments in *Escherichia coli*. *New Biotechnology*. [Internet]. Sep 2009;**25**(6):424-428. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19552889> [Accessed: Sep 3, 2015]
- [38] Schaefer JV, Honegger A, Plückthun A. Construction of scFv fragments from hybridoma or spleen cells by PCR assembly. In: Kontermann R, Dübel S, editors. *Antibody Engineering*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 2010. pp. 21-44
- [39] Nelson AL. Antibody fragments: Hope and hype. *MAbs Journal*. 2010;**2**:77-83
- [40] Hammers CM, Stanley JR. Antibody phage display: Technique and applications. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. [Internet]. Feb 2014;**134**(2):e17. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24424458> [Accessed: Jan 28, 2017]
- [41] De Wildt RM, Mundy CR, Gorick BD, Tomlinson IM. Antibody arrays for high-throughput screening of antibody-antigen interactions. *Nature Biotechnology*. [Internet]. 2000;**18**(9):989-994. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10973222>
- [42] Pini A, Ricci C, Bracci L. Phage display and colony filter screening for high-throughput selection of antibody libraries. *Combinatorial Chemistry & High Throughput Screening*. [Internet]. Nov 1, 2002;**5**(7):503-510. Available from: <http://www.eurekaselect.com/openurl/content.php?genre=article&issn=1386-2073&volume=5&issue=7&spage=503> [Accessed: Jun 18, 2016]
- [43] Dreher ML, Gherardi E, Skerra A, Milstein C. Colony assays for antibody fragments expressed in bacteria. *Journal of Immunological Methods* [Internet]. Jun 3, 1991;**139**(2):197-205. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2045660> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]

- [44] Skerra A, Dreher ML, Winter G. Filter screening of antibody Fab fragments secreted from individual bacterial colonies: Specific detection of antigen binding with a two-membrane system. *Analytical Biochemistry*. [Internet]. Jul 1991;**196**(1):151-155. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1888028> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [45] Kato M, Hanyu Y. Single-step colony assay for screening antibody libraries. *Journal of Biotechnology*. [Internet]. Aug 10, 2017;**255**:1-8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28641985> [Accessed: Aug 24, 2017]
- [46] Kato M, Hanyu Y. Construction of an scFv library by enzymatic assembly of VL and VH genes. *Journal of Immunological Methods*. 2013;**396**(1-2):15-22
- [47] Kühne SA, Hawes WS, La Ragione RM, Woodward MJ, Whitlam GC, Gough KC. Isolation of recombinant antibodies against EspA and intimin of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. [Internet]. Jul 1, 2004;**42**(7):2966-2976. Available from: <http://jcm.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/JCM.42.7.2966-2976.2004> [Accessed: Jan 6, 2017]
- [48] Radosević K, Voerman JSA, Hemmes A, Muskens F, Speleman L, de Weers M, et al. Colony lift assay using cell-coated filters: a fast and efficient method to screen phage libraries for cell-binding clones. *Journal of Immunological Methods*. [Internet]. Jan 15, 2003;**272**(1-2):219-233. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12505726> [Accessed: Jan 6, 2017]
- [49] Robert R, Jacobin-Valat MJ, Daret D, Miraux S, Nurden AT, Franconi JM, et al. Identification of human scFvs targeting atherosclerotic lesions: Selection by single round in vivo phage display. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2006;**281**(52):40135-40143
- [50] Giovannoni L, Viti F, Zardi L, Neri D. Isolation of anti-angiogenesis antibodies from a large combinatorial repertoire by colony filter screening. *Nucleic Acids Research*. [Internet]. Mar 1, 2001;**29**(5):E27. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=29740&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract> [Accessed: Sep 28, 2015]
- [51] Neumann-Schaal M, Messerschmidt K, Grenz N, Heilmann K. Use of antibody gene library for the isolation of specific single chain antibodies by ampicillin-antigen conjugates. *Immunology Letters*. [Internet]. Mar 2013;**151**(1-2):39-43. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23453960> [Accessed: Jan 6, 2017]
- [52] Kumada Y, Maehara M, Minami N, Nogami M, Katoh S. Colony lift immunoassay utilizing antibody-coupled liposomes encapsulating HRP. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 2006;**29**(1-2):98-102
- [53] Rosano GL, Ceccarelli EA. Recombinant protein expression in *Escherichia coli*: Advances and challenges. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2014;**5**:1-17
- [54] Sharma SK, Suresh MR, Wuest FR. Improved soluble expression of a single-chain antibody fragment in *E. coli* for targeting CA125 in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Protein Expression and Purification*. [Internet]. Oct 2014;**102**:27-37. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S104659281400165X> [Accessed: Jan 29, 2017]

- [55] Esposito D, Chatterjee DK. Enhancement of soluble protein expression through the use of fusion tags. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. [Internet]. Aug 2006;**17**(4):353-358. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16781139> [Accessed: Apr 26, 2015]
- [56] Kato M, Hanyu Y. Fusion of Zif268 to the C-terminus of Scfvs promotes expression of the active form in the cytoplasm of *Escherichia coli* abstract. *Biochemistry & Molecular Biology Journal*. 2016;**2**(1):1-7
- [57] Islam MM, Khan MA, Kuroda Y. Analysis of amino acid contributions to protein solubility using short peptide tags fused to a simplified BPTI variant. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – Proteins and Proteomics* [Internet]. Oct 2012;**1824**(10):1144-1150. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22728531> [Accessed: Jan 29, 2017]
- [58] Schaefer JV, Plückthun A. Improving expression of scFv fragments by co-expression of periplasmic chaperones. In: Kontermann R, Dübel S, editors. *Antibody Engineering* [Internet]. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2010. pp. 345-361. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-01147-4> [Accessed: Aug 27, 2015]
- [59] Hu X, O'Hara L, White S, Magner E, Kane M, Wall JG. Optimisation of production of a domoic acid-binding scFv antibody fragment in *Escherichia coli* using molecular chaperones and functional immobilisation on a mesoporous silicate support. *Protein Expression and Purification*. [Internet]. Mar 2007;**52**(1):194-201. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1046592806002580> [Accessed: 2017 Jan 29]
- [60] Giacalone MJ, Gentile AM, Lovitt BT, Berkley NL, Gunderson CW, Surber MW. Toxic protein expression in *Escherichia coli* using a rhamnose-based tightly regulated and tunable promoter system. *BioTechniques*. 2006;**40**(3):355-364