We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Topical Wound Oxygen Versus Conventional Compression Dressings in the Management of Refractory Venous Ulcers

Sherif Sultan, Wael Tawfick, Edel P Kavanagh and Niamh Hynes

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/63566>

Abstract

Topical wound oxygen (TWO₂) proposes an innovative therapy option in the management of refractory non-healing venous ulcers (RVU) that aims to accelerate wound healing. TWO₂ accelerates epithelialisation. This leads to the development of a higher tensile strength collagen, which lessens scarring and the risk of recurrence. Sixty-seven limbs with 67 ulcers were managed using TWO₂ therapy, and 65 limbs with 65 ulcers were managed using conventional compression dressings (CCD). The proportion of ulcers completely healed by 12 weeks was 76% in patients managed with TWO₂, compared to 46% in patients managed with CCD ($p < 0.0001$). The mean reduction in ulcer surface area at 12 weeks was 96% in the TWO₂ therapy group, compared to 61% in patients managed with CCD. The median time to full ulcer healing was 57 days in the TWO₂ group, in contrast to 107 days in patients managed with CCD ($p < 0.0001$). TWO₂ patients had a significantly improved Quality-Adjusted Time Spent Without Symptoms of disease and Toxicity of treatment (Q-TWiST) compared to CCD patients, denoting an improved outcome ($p < 0.0001$). TWO₂ reduces the time needed for RVU healing and is successful in pain alleviation and MRSA elimination. TWO₂ therapy radically degrades recurrence rates. Utilising diffused oxygen raises the capillary partial pressure of oxygen (Po₂) levels at the wound site, stimulating epithelialisation, and granulation of new healthy tissue. Taking the social and individual aspects of chronic venous ulceration into account, the use of TWO₂ can provide an overwhelmingly improved quality of life for long-time sufferers of this debilitating disease.

Keywords: topical wound oxygen, conventional compression dressings, refractory venous ulcers, MRSA, epithelialisation

1. Introduction

Chronic venous ulceration is a common disease. Its prevalence is 1% of the total population, with 20% of venous ulcers presented in octogenarians [1–5]. Refractory venous leg ulceration is a common basis of morbidity [6, 7] and leads to a reduced quality of life [8], especially in the elderly population [4, 5]. It causes a considerable amount of work incapacity, social exclusion and lack of self-esteem [4]. There is a probable underestimation of the true extent of venous leg ulceration in the general population due to its underreporting [7]. Venous ulcers are characterised by a recurring pattern of healing and subsequent 70% recurrence rate at one year [9–14]. Venous ulceration places a huge monetary burden on the healthcare system [15]. The cost of managing venous ulcers accrues to £400 million sterling per year in the UK [16].

Ambulatory venous hypertension is one of the leading causes of chronic reperfusion injury. This in turn provokes venous ulceration with its habitual history of chronicity and recurrence [1]. Over the past 40 years, compression bandaging has been the gold standard form of therapy for treatment of venous ulceration. We have learned that compression will both improve perfusion and enhance healing [2, 17, 18]. Nevertheless, active healthy tissue granulation can take upwards to 3 weeks to cultivate [19]. Therefore, the following question is posed: How can we speed up epithelial coverage in a granulating wound?

1.1. Topical wound oxygen

Topical wound oxygen (TWO₂) proposes an innovative therapy option in the management of refractory non-healing venous ulcers (RVU) that aims to accelerate wound healing. The application of positive pressure oxygen to manage open wounds has been studied extensively and has demonstrated promising clinical results [20–28]. The systemic complications associated with the use of a full-body hyperbaric chamber have been overcome by the application of topical wound pure oxygen at an appropriate cycled pressure to only the specific wound site. This maximizes the beneficial wound healing effects and minimizes the negative systemic side effects [29].

Delivered through a targeted delivery system, a Hyper-Box, TWO₂ accelerates epithelialisation and eliminates MRSA within 72 h. This leads to the development of a higher tensile strength collagen, which lessens scarring and the risk of recurrence [29–32]. Hyperbaric oxygen promotes angiogenesis and increases the expression of angiogenesis-related growth factors [33, 34]. It promotes leukocyte function with enhanced bactericidal activity [35–40]. The intermittent cycled pressure, under which TWO₂ is delivered, stimulates circulation, reduces oedema and provides a sealed humidified environment essential for healing [41].

2. Materials and methods

The aim of this study is to scrutinise the use of TWO₂ when compared to conventional compression dressings (CCD) for managing RVU, with reference to technical and clinical outcomes from our tertiary referral leg ulcer clinic.

A 5-year study of TWO₂ versus CCD for chronic RVU was carried out at our tertiary referral leg ulcer clinic [42, 43]. This parallel group observational comparative study aimed at examining the safety and efficacy of TWO₂ in managing RVU in the short-term (12 weeks), and the mid-term (36 months).

Ethical approval was obtained from the local research ethics committee. Patients with chronic RVU, with an ulcer of more than two years duration, were recruited from the vascular unit. All patients must show no sign of improvement of the ulcer over the past 12 months, despite acceptable compliance with a suitable treatment, provided by community-based leg ulcer clinics. All patients were managed on an intention to treat basis and were given the choice of receiving CCD or TWO₂ therapy. Patients were informed on both CCD and TWO₂ therapies, and the treatment choice was discussed with their primary care physician and local tissue viability nurse. Treatment allocation was based on each patient's choice. All patients signed an informed consent form prior to beginning therapy.

2.1. Technical and clinical endpoints

The end points of this study were the proportion of ulcers healed at 12 weeks and recurrence rates at 36 months. Secondary end-points were time taken for full healing, percentage of reduction in the ulcer size at 12 weeks, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) elimination, pain reduction, recurrence rates and Quality-Adjusted Time Spent Without Symptoms of disease and Toxicity of treatment (Q-TWiST).

2.2. Inclusion criteria

Informed written consent was required from patient's aged ≥ 18 years.

The patients must be treated at a dedicated veins unit with C_{6,s} in the Clinical, Etiological, Anatomical, and Pathophysiological (CEAP) classification [44, 45]. The venous ulcer must have been present for more than 2 years, with no improvement over the past 12 months despite adequate treatment at the veins unit. The patients must also have a normal ankle-brachial index (ABI) with a normal digital pressure.

2.3. Exclusion criteria

Patients who are bedridden, have ischemic or malignant ulcers, or osteomyelitis in the treated limb were primarily excluded. Patients with ischemic diabetic ulcers were excluded; however, it should be noted that diabetes in isolation was not considered an exclusion criterion. A prior study has shown that the AOTI Hyper-Box (AOTI Ltd., Galway, Ireland) is not sufficient in

ischemic diabetic ulcers. It may induce iatrogenic deterioration of the affected diabetic limb due to the cyclic pressure of the Hyper-Box [46, 47].

2.4. Statistical analysis

Data was collected and analysed using SPSS 18 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). An independent sample *t*-test was used for continuous variables, while the Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to compare unpaired, non-parametric data. Categorical proportions were examined using the chi-squared test. Time for healing was examined using Kaplan-Meier with log-rank comparison.

2.5. Quality-Adjusted Time Spent Without Symptoms of disease and Toxicity of treatment (Q-TWiST)

The survival time for patients was divided into three separate phases: the time spent with toxicity of the disease or severe adverse events prior to disease progression known as Toxicity (TOX); the time spent without any symptoms of disease progression or toxicity of treatment known as TWiST; and finally the time spent with progression of the disease known as Progression (PROG). Ulcer recurrence in fully healed ulcers or an increase of size in ulcers that had not fully healed was defined as progression of disease. The Kaplan Meier method was used to determine the mean time spent in each of the TOX, TWiST and PROG periods for each treatment group. Mean Q-TWiST was calculated for each treatment.

2.6. Techniques

The anatomical location and duration of the ulcer, signs of infection, slough, and cellulitis, as well as any other vascular risk factors were observed in each patient. The leg ulcers were swabbed for culture as well as for level of sensitivity. Prior to therapy, a numerical rating scale in regards to pain was used. This was then repeated every three days. To record surface area, maximum length and maximum width of the ulcer, the ulcers were cleaned, debrided and digitally photographed using a Visitrak system (Smith & Nephew Ltd., Hull, United Kingdom). For all patients, ABI with big toe digital pressure measurement and punch biopsy were performed, as well as venous duplex ultrasound scan for full CEAP assessment [44, 45]. Venous Clinical Severity Score was recorded for each patient [48, 49].

2.6.1. TWO_2 therapy

Sixty-seven ulcers were treated with TWO_2 therapy. The limb was placed in the Hyper-Box for twice daily for a duration of 180 min and under pressure of 50 mbar. Oxygen supplied at 10 L/min with continuous humidification. Between each session, wounds were washed and left exposed with no dressings or compression. Wounds were cleaned, debrided and re-measured twice weekly [42, 46, 47].

2.6.2. Compression therapy

Sixty-five ulcers were treated with compression therapy. Full compression was performed using Profore[®] multilayer compression bandage system with underlying non-adherent Profore[®] wound contact layer dressings (Profore[®], Smith & Nephew plc., London, United Kingdom). Dressings were applied by a wound care specialist nurse and changed as required, one to three times per week, depending on the amount of exudates.

Treatment was continued for 12 weeks or until complete healing of the ulcer or whichever can be first. As soon as the ulcer is healed, the leg was fitted with a class 3, closed toe, below knee elastic stocking during the day [50]. Patients were advised to revitalise the skin by soaking the leg with tap water, baby oil or olive oil to prevent itching and dry cracked skin. Patients were followed up at 3 monthly intervals following the end of the therapy. Patients without full healing of their ulcer by 12 weeks were considered failures of treatment. They were managed with CCD and continued to be seen on a weekly basis.

3. Results

Over the course of 5 years at our tertiary referral leg ulcer clinic, 1460 patients were diagnosed of chronic venous ulcers (**Figure 1**). Following application of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 431 patients were enrolled in this study, but only 148 patients were eligible. One hundred and thirty-two patients consented to join the study, of which 67 limbs with 67 ulcers were treated using TWO₂ therapy, and 65 limbs with 65 ulcers were treated with CCD. Fifty-seven percent of the patients treated with TWO₂ were males ($n = 38$), and 54% of the patients treated with CCD were males ($n = 35$). Risk factors, such as age, gender, the presence of diabetes mellitus, smoking, hypertension and MRSA, were similar, with no statistical significance between each group. There was no significant difference between both the groups in the anatomical distribution of ulcers, size of the ulcers or the duration of the ulcer.

Figure 1. Patient with a chronic venous leg ulcer prior to therapy.

Twenty-four patients (36%) in the TWO₂ group and 19 patients (28%) in the CCD group were MRSA positive. Following treatment, MRSA was eliminated in 11 patients (46%), while zero cases of MRSA were eliminated in the CCD group.

The proportion of ulcers completely healed by 12 weeks was 76% ($n = 51/67$) in patients managed with TWO₂ compared to 46% ($n = 30/65$) in patients managed with CCD ($P < 0.0001$). The mean reduction in ulcer surface area at 12 weeks was 96% in the TWO₂ therapy group (**Figure 2**) compared to 61% in patients managed with CCD. The median time to full ulcer healing was 57 days in the TWO₂ group in contrast to 107 days in patients managed with CCD ($P < 0.0001$). Healing time for patients managed with TWO₂ was not affected by the extent of time of the ulcer and its size. In fact, ulcers managed with TWO₂ had a considerably shorter healing time, when compared to CCD ulcers, regardless of duration ($P < 0.0001$) or ulcer size ($P < 0.0001$). TWO₂ patients had a significantly improved Q-TWiST compared to CCD patients, denoting an improved outcome ($p < 0.0001$).

Figure 2. Significant healing and decrease in ulcer surface area post 9 weeks of TWO₂ therapy.

In all, three of the patients managed with TWO₂ were referred to our facility for primary amputation following the failure of other treatment modalities, including skin grafting. These three ulcers fully healed with no need for amputation in any case. After 36 months of follow-up, 14 of the 30 healed CCD ulcers showed recurrence compared to three of the 51 TWO₂-healed ulcers. Two CCD-managed ulcers that had not completely healed showed signs of deterioration and increase in surface area ($P < 0.0001$). All the cases that healed with TWO₂ showed reversed gradient healing phenomena where the ulcer healed from the centre to the periphery. This might be the reason for the absence of scarring and recurrence.

4. Discussion

The socio-economic consequences of management of RVU, merged with high recurrence rates, have encouraged the development of a disruptive technology innovative therapy, such as TWO₂ therapy. Compression therapy within the setup of a leg ulcer clinic is widely recognised as the main modality for managing venous leg ulcers [17, 18, 51, 52]. A previous study mentioned that contemporary dressing materials do not stimulate healing, and expenses are not clinically justified as they have no proven efficacy [19]. After 30 years of research, there is no data to defend using anything other than a simple, inexpensive, low-adherence dressing under multilayer compression [19].

The first publication on the use of TWO₂ was by Fischer in 1969 [20]. Fischer noted that lesions became aseptic and enhanced granulation was witnessed two days after TWO₂. In a prospective randomised study by Heng et al. red granulation tissue was present one week after TWO₂ [27]. Heng noted an absence of clinical scarring and most ulcers healed within 2–16 weeks. Gordillo et al. conducted a study on full-body hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) therapy versus TWO₂. Topical oxygen treatment showed a significant reduction in wound size and was associated with higher vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)₁₆₅ expression in healing wounds [53].

Blackman et al. explored the efficacy of topical oxygen therapy as an adjunctive modality in repairing diabetic ulcers that failed to heal by best practice standard wound care. The healing rate after 12 weeks of topical wound oxygen therapy was 82.4%, and the mean time to complete healing was reduced. Patients also showed very low recurrence rates after 18 months [54].

Results from the Venous Ulcer Cost-effectiveness of Antimicrobial dressings (VULCAN) trial showed that it took 101 days to heal 3-cm ulcers, while there was a 1-year recurrence rate of 14% in 86% of small ulcers [55], using silver dressings. These types of dressings are now rarely seen in a standard tertiary vein unit. In our unit, we have abandoned the use of silver dressing in any form as it showed a higher incidence of contacting eczema and an increase in the chronicity of the wounds.

Oxygen plays a major role in the promotion of vascular endothelial cell proliferation, collagen synthesis [56, 57] and infection control [58] by providing a direct microbial growth inhibitory effect [59] and also by activating neutrophils [60]. TWO₂ therapy evades the consequences of a full-body hyperbaric chamber [61], such as grand mal seizures and pulmonary oxygen toxicity [61, 62]. There is also the high associated cost of acquiring and maintaining a chamber to consider.

Utilising diffused oxygen raises the capillary partial pressure of oxygen (Po₂) levels at the wound site, stimulating epithelialisation and granulation of new healthy tissue [29, 32]. Oxygen generates reactive oxygen species at the wound site, acting as signalling substances, which increase the production of VEGF [63, 64]. Repeated treatment therefore accelerates wound closure.

TWO₂ therapy enhances both polymorph nuclear function and bacterial clearance and is fatal to anaerobic bacteria [35–37]. It reduces neutrophil adherence based on hindering the β -2

integrin function [38]. Eleven patients (46%) with MRSA were negative at the end of treatment with TWO₂. This informs us of its effectiveness against MRSA infection in comparison to CCD. TWO₂ therapy supports and strengthens antibiotic distribution for aminoglycosides, cephalosporins, quinilones and amphotericin [39, 40].

While TWO₂ therapy has been available for many years, there is paucity in clinical evidence for its safety and efficacy. Experience from our clinic shows that TWO₂ therapy is effective and valuable in managing RVU. Our course of therapy accomplished enhanced wound healing time, without complications, in a relatively large number of patients. TWO₂ therapy drastically reduced the time required for RVU healing and recurrence rates when compared to CCD. Quality of time spent without symptoms or toxicity of the disease was significantly improved in TWO₂ managed patients compared to CCD patients ($p < 0.0001$).

5. Conclusion

TWO₂ therapy is practical, effective and valuable in managing RVU without the risks associated with full-body hyperbaric chambers. TWO₂ therapy requires no further specialist skills by the primary care physician or local tissue viability nurse. It is therefore readily available for application under most circumstances, even for domiciliary use. The treatment has an extremely low risk of systemic complications when compared to HBO, and single-use devices greatly reduce the possibility of secondary infections.

TWO₂ slashes the time needed for RVU healing and is successful in pain alleviation, MRSA elimination and management. Utilising diffused oxygen raises the capillary partial Po₂ levels at the wound site, stimulating epithelialisation and granulation of new healthy tissue. TWO₂ therapy radically degrades recurrence rates. Taking the social and individual aspects of chronic venous ulceration into account, the use of TWO₂ can provide an overwhelmingly improved quality of life for long-time sufferers of this debilitating disease.

Author details

Sherif Sultan^{1,2*}, Wael Tawfick¹, Edel P Kavanagh² and Niamh Hynes²

*Address all correspondence to: sherif.sultan@hse.ie

1 Department of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Western Vascular Institute, University College Hospital Galway, Galway, Ireland

2 Department of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Galway Clinic, Doughiska, Galway, Ireland

References

- [1] Trent JT, Falabella A, Eaglstein WH, Kirsner RS. Venous ulcers: pathophysiology and treatment options. *Ostomy/Wound Management*. 2005 May;51(5):38–54.
- [2] O'Meara S, Cullum NA, Nelson EA. Compression for venous leg ulcers. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review*. 2009 Jan 21;1:CD000265.
- [3] Moffatt CJ, Franks PJ, Doherty DC, Martin R, Blewett R, Ross F. Prevalence of leg ulceration in a London population. *QJM*. 2004 Jul 1;97(7):431–7.
- [4] Graham ID, Harrison MB, Nelson EA, Lorimer K, Fisher A. Prevalence of lower-limb ulceration: a systematic review of prevalence studies. *Advances in Skin & Wound Care*. 2003 Nov 1;16(6):305–16.
- [5] Margolis DJ, Bilkerb W, Santannab J. Venous leg ulcer: incidence and prevalence in the elderly. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2002 Mar 31;46(3):381–6.
- [6] Anand SC, Dean C, Nettleton R, Praburaj DV. Health-related quality of life tools for venous-ulcerated patients. *British Journal of Nursing*. 2003 Jan 9;12(1):48–59.
- [7] Phillips TJ. Chronic cutaneous ulcers: etiology and epidemiology. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. 1994 Jun 1;102(6):38S–41S.
- [8] Persoon A, Heinen MM, Van Der Vleuten CJ, De Rooij MJ, Van De Kerkhof P, Van Achterberg T. Leg ulcers: a review of their impact on daily life. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2004 Mar 1;13(3):341–54.
- [9] Armstrong SA. Compression hosiery. *Professional Nurse (London, England)*. 1997 Apr;12(7 Suppl):S10–1.
- [10] Moffatt CJ, Dorman MC. Recurrence of leg ulcers within a community ulcer service. *Journal of Wound Care*. 1995 Feb;4(2):57–61.
- [11] Monk BE, Sarkany I. Outcome of treatment of venous stasis ulcers. *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology*. 1982 Jul 1;7(4):397–400.
- [12] Lees TA, Lambert D. Prevalence of lower limb ulceration in an urban health district. *British Journal of Surgery*. 1992 Oct 1;79(10):1032–4.
- [13] Callam MJ, Ruckley CV, Harper DR, Dale JJ. Chronic ulceration of the leg: extent of the problem and provision of care. *British Medical Journal (Clinical Research ed)*. 1985 Jun 22;290(6485):1855–6.
- [14] Nelzen O, Bergqvist D, Lindhagen A. Venous and non-venous leg ulcers: Clinical history and appearance in a population study. *British Journal of Surgery*. 1994 Feb 1;81(2):182–7.

- [15] Ragnarson Tennvall G, Hjelmgren J. Original research articles—clinical science: annual costs of treatment for venous leg ulcers in Sweden and the United Kingdom. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*. 2005 Jan 1;13(1):13–8.
- [16] Ruckley CV. Socioeconomic impact of chronic venous insufficiency and leg ulcers. *Angiology*. 1997 Jan 1;48(1):67–9.
- [17] Palfreyman SJ, Lochiel R, Michaels JA. A systematic review of compression therapy for venous leg ulcers. *Vascular Medicine*. 1998 Nov 1;3(4):301–13.
- [18] Cullum N, Nelson EA, Fletcher AW, Sheldon TA. Compression for venous leg ulcers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2001;(2):CD000265.
- [19] Sultan MJ, McCollum C. Don't waste money when dressing leg ulcers. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2009 Oct 1;96(10):1099–100.
- [20] Fischer B. Topical hyperbaric oxygen treatment of pressure sores and skin ulcers. *The Lancet*. 1969 Aug 23;294(7617):405–9.
- [21] Olejniczak S. Employment of low hyperbaric therapy in management of leg ulcers. *Michigan Medicine*. 1966 Dec;65(12):1067–8.
- [22] Gruber RP, Heitkamp DH, Billy LJ, Amato JJ. Skin permeability to oxygen and hyperbaric oxygen. *Archives of Surgery*. 1970 Jul 1;101(1):69–70.
- [23] Fischer BH. Treatment of ulcers on the legs with hyperbaric oxygen. *The Journal of Dermatologic Surgery and Oncology*. 1975 Oct 1;1(3):55–8.
- [24] Kalliainen LK, Gordillo GM, Schlanger R, Sen CK. Topical oxygen as an adjunct to wound healing: a clinical case series. *Pathophysiology*. 2003 Jan 31;9(2):81–7.
- [25] Edsberg LE, Brogan MS, Jaynes CD, Fries K. Topical hyperbaric oxygen and electrical stimulation: exploring potential synergy. *Ostomy/Wound Management*. 2002 Nov; 48(11):42–50.
- [26] Edsberg LE, Brogan MS, Jaynes CD, Fries K. Reducing epibole using topical hyperbaric oxygen and electrical stimulation. *Ostomy/Wound Management*. 2002 Apr;48(4):26.
- [27] Heng MC, Harker J, Csathy G, Marshall C, Brazier J, Sumampong S, Paterno GE. Angiogenesis in necrotic ulcers treated with hyperbaric oxygen. *Ostomy/Wound Management*. 2000 Sep;46(9):18–28.
- [28] Leslie CA, Sapico FL, Ginunas VJ, Adkins RH. Randomized controlled trial of topical hyperbaric oxygen for treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. *Diabetes Care*. 1988 Feb 1;11(2): 111–5.
- [29] Heng MC. Topical hyperbaric therapy for problem skin wounds. *The Journal of Dermatologic Surgery and Oncology*. 1993 Aug 1;19(8):784–93.
- [30] Prost-Squarcioni C, Fraitag S, Heller M, Boehm N. Functional histology of dermis. *Annales de Dermatologie et de Venereologie* 2008 Jan; 135(1 Pt 2):155–20.

- [31] Wirthner R, Balamurugan K, Stiehl DP, Barth S, Spielmann P, Oehme F, Flamme I, Katschinski DM, Wenger RH, Camenisch G. Determination and modulation of prolyl-4-hydroxylase domain oxygen sensor activity. *Methods in Enzymology*. 2007 Dec 31;435:43–60.
- [32] Upson AV. Topical hyperbaric oxygenation in the treatment of recalcitrant open wounds. A clinical report. *Physical Therapy*. 1986 Sep 1;66(9):1408–12.
- [33] Knighton DR, Silver IA, Hunt TK. Regulation of wound-healing angiogenesis-effect of oxygen gradients and inspired oxygen concentration. *Surgery*. 1981 Aug;90(2):262–70.
- [34] Scott G. Topical oxygen alters angiogenesis-related growth factor expression in chronic diabetic foot ulcers. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*. 2007;176:S2.
- [35] Kaufman T, Alexander JW, Nathan P, Brackett KA, MacMillan BG. The microclimate chamber: the effect of continuous topical administration of 96% oxygen and 75% relative humidity on the healing rate of experimental deep burns. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. 1983 Sep 1;23(9):806–15.
- [36] Park MK, Myers RA, Marzella L. Oxygen tensions and infections: modulation of microbial growth, activity of antimicrobial agents, and immunologic responses. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 1992 Mar 1;14(3):720–40.
- [37] Mandell GL. Bactericidal activity of aerobic and anaerobic polymorphonuclear neutrophils. *Infection and Immunity*. 1974 Feb 1;9(2):337–41.
- [38] Thom SR. Effects of hyperoxia on neutrophil adhesion. *Undersea & Hyperbaric Medicine*. 2004 Apr 1;31(1):123.
- [39] Mirhij NJ, Roberts RJ, Myers MG. Effects of hypoxemia upon aminoglycoside serum pharmacokinetics in animals. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 1978 Sep 1;14(3):344–7.
- [40] Keck PE, Gottlieb SF, Conley J. Interaction of increased pressures of oxygen and sulfonamides on the in vitro and in vivo growth of pathogenic bacteria. *Undersea Biomedical Research*. 1980 Jun;7(2):95–106.
- [41] Olejniczak S, Zielinski A. Topical oxygen promotes healing of leg ulcers. *Medical Times*. 1976 Dec;104(12):114–21.
- [42] Tawfick W, Sultan S. Does topical wound oxygen (TWO 2) offer an improved outcome over conventional compression dressings (CCD) in the management of refractory venous ulcers (RVU)? A parallel observational comparative study. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*. 2009 Jul 31;38(1):125–32.
- [43] Tawfick WA, Sultan S. Technical and clinical outcome of topical wound oxygen in comparison to conventional compression dressings in the management of refractory nonhealing venous ulcers. *Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*. 2012 Dec 5;1538574412467684.

- [44] Eklöf B, Rutherford RB, Bergan JJ, Carpentier PH, Gloviczki P, Kistner RL, Meissner MH, Moneta GL, Myers K, Padberg FT, Perrin M. American Venous Forum International Ad Hoc Committee for Revision of the CEAP Classification. Revision of the CEAP classification for chronic venous disorders: consensus statement. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2004 Dec;40(6):1248–52.
- [45] Meissner MH, Gloviczki P, Bergan J, Kistner RL, Morrison N, Pannier F, Pappas PJ, Rabe E, Raju S, Villavicencio JL. Primary chronic venous disorders. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2007 Dec 31;46(6):S54–67.
- [46] Tawfick W, Sultan S. Early results of topical wound oxygen (TWO2) therapy in the management of refractory nonhealing venous ulcers: superior role over conventional compression dressings. *Vascular*. 2008;16(Suppl 2):S156e7.
- [47] Tawfick W, Sultan S. Topical wound oxygen versus conventional compression dressings in the management of refractory venous ulcers: a parallel observational pivotal study. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*. 2007;176(1):S2.
- [48] Meissner MH, Moneta G, Burnand K, Gloviczki P, Lohr JM, Lurie F, Mattos MA, McLafferty RB, Mozes G, Rutherford RB, Padberg F. The hemodynamics and diagnosis of venous disease. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2007 Dec 31;46(6):S4–24.
- [49] Ricci MA, Emmerich J, Callas PW, Rosendaal FR, Stanley AC, Naud S, Vossen C, Bovill EG. Evaluating chronic venous disease with a new venous severity scoring system. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2003 Nov 30;38(5):909–15.
- [50] Nelson EA, Harper DR, Prescott RJ, Gibson B, Brown D, Ruckley CV. Prevention of recurrence of venous ulceration: randomized controlled trial of class 2 and class 3 elastic compression. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2006 Oct 31;44(4):803–8.
- [51] Ghauri AS, Taylor MC, Deacon JE, Whyman MR, Earnshaw JJ, Heather BP, Poskitt KR. Influence of a specialized leg ulcer service on management and outcome. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2000 Aug 1;87(8):1048–56.
- [52] Fletcher A, Cullum N, Sheldon TA. A systematic review of compression treatment for venous leg ulcers. *British Medical Journal*. 1997 Sep 6;315(7108):576–80.
- [53] Gordillo GM, Roy S, Khanna S, Schlanger R, Khandelwal S, Phillips G, Sen CK. Topical oxygen therapy induces vascular endothelial growth factor expression and improves closure of clinically presented chronic wounds. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*. 2008 Aug 1;35(8):957–64.
- [54] Blackman E, Moore C, Hyatt J, Railton R, Frye C. Topical wound oxygen therapy in the treatment of severe diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective controlled study. *Ostomy/Wound Management*. 2010 Jun 1;56(6):24.
- [55] Michaels JA, Campbell B, King B, Palfreyman SJ, Shackley P, Stevenson M. Randomized controlled trial and cost-effectiveness analysis of silver-donating antimicrobial dress-

ings for venous leg ulcers (VULCAN trial). *British Journal of Surgery*. 2009 Oct 1;96(10):1147–56.

- [56] Rodriguez PG, Felix FN, Woodley DT, Shim EK. The role of oxygen in wound healing: a review of the literature. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 2008 Sep 1;34(9):1159–69.
- [57] Schreml S, Szeimies RM, Prantl L, Karrer S, Landthaler M, Babilas P. Oxygen in acute and chronic wound healing. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2010 Aug 1;163(2):257–68.
- [58] Asano S. Leukocyte. In: Uchiyama T, eds. *Miwa Hematology* 3rd edn, 292–5, Hakuho-do, Tokyo, 2006.
- [59] McAllister TA, Stark JM, Norman JN, Ross RM. Inhibitory effects of hyperbaric oxygen on bacteria and fungi. *The Lancet*. 1963 Nov 16;282(7316):1040–2.
- [60] Hohn DC. Host resistance of infection. In: Hunt TK, ed. *Wound healing and wound infection*. 264–80, Appleton-Century Crofts, New York, 1980.
- [61] Leach RM, Rees PJ, Wilmshurst P. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy. *British Medical Journal*. 1998 Oct 24;317(7166):1140–3.
- [62] Kindwall EP. A history of hyperbaric medicine. *Hyperbaric medicine practice*. Best Publishing Company, Arizona. 1994:2–16.
- [63] Sheikh AY, Gibson JJ, Rollins MD, Hopf HW, Hussain Z, Hunt TK. Effect of hyperoxia on vascular endothelial growth factor levels in a wound model. *Archives of Surgery*. 2000 Nov 1;135(11):1293–7.
- [64] Roy S, Khanna S, Nallu K, Hunt TK, Sen CK. Dermal wound healing is subject to redox control. *Molecular Therapy*. 2006 Jan 1;13(1):211–20.

IntechOpen