We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Does Personality Affect Compulsive Buying? An Application of the Big Five Personality Model

Kalina Mikołajczak-Degrauwe, Malaika Brengman,
Birgit Wauters and Gina Rossi
*Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Belgium*

1. Introduction

Compulsive Buying (CB) is 'a consumer's tendency to be preoccupied with buying that is revealed through repetitive buying and a lack of impulse control' (Ridgway et al., 2008). Although Kraepelin (1915) and Bleuler (1976) described this phenomenon many years ago, it was the study of Faber et al. (1987) which increased the interest in that topic. For more than 20 years empirical studies have been conducted to increase our knowledge about this maladaptive consumer behavior. The main focus of these studies has been on identifying the antecedents and consequences of CB. First of all, CB is viewed as a method of coping with life's challenges (Scherhorn, 1990), low self-esteem (d'Astous et al., 1990; Hanley & Wilhelm, 1992; O'Guinn & Faber, 1989) and/or internal psychological tension (Valence et al., 1988). It is also seen as a process whereby the consumer wants to escape from negative feelings, stress and anxiety (Edwards, 1993; Ergin, 2010; O'Guinn & Faber, 1989). Buying a product causes release of the internal tension. At the same time however feelings of guilt and frustration appear as the person could not control the inner drive to buy an unneeded product. The consumer is caught in a vicious circle.

O'Guinn and Faber (1989) argued that there is no single factor but it is a combination of psychological, physiological, genetic, social and cultural factors that can explain the etiology of CB. This is confirmed in a large number of studies revealing numerous factors differentiating compulsive from non-compulsive buyers. Among them: 'dependence' (DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996; O'Guinn & Faber, 1989; Valence et al., 1988), 'tendency to fantasize' (O'Guinn & Faber, 1989), 'psychasthenia' (Scherhorn, 1990), 'compulsiveness' (Balabanis, 2001; O'Guinn & Faber, 1989), 'perfectionism' (DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996; Kyrios et al., 2004; O'Guinn & Faber, 1989), 'materialism' (DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996; Johnson & Attmann, 2009; O'Guinn & Faber, 1989; Rose, 2007), 'money attitudes' (Dongijn et al., 2009; Hanley & Wilhelm, 1992), 'impulsiveness' (Christenson et al., 1994; DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996; Rose, 2007), 'denial' (DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996), 'excitement seeking' (DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996), 'obsessive thoughts' (Kwak et al., 2004), 'risk-taking tendencies' (Kwak et al., 2004), 'narcissism' (Rose, 2007), 'external locus of control' (Watson, 2009), 'self-control' (Sneath et al., 2009), 'depression' (Ergin, 2010; Sneath et al., 2009), 'concern for store return policies' (Hassay &

Smith, 1998), '*concern with immediate consequences*' (Joireman et al., 2010) and '*credit card abuse*' (Faber & O'Guinn, 1988). CB has also been associated with specific early developmental experiences and the family environment (DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996; Faber & O'Guinn, 1988; McElroy et al., 1994; Scherhorn, 1990; Valence et al., 1988). Some researchers argue that CB is an attempt to compensate for a distortion of autonomy incurred in childhood (Scherhorn, 1990). It is believed that knowing the history of consumer's early experiences helps to understand why some people are more prone to develop this maladaptive consumer behavior.

Despite its negative consequences and a high comorbidity with mood, substance use and eating disorders (McElroy et al., 1994; Schlosser et al., 1994), CB is not officially recognized as a mental disorder (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2000). Moreover, there is a disagreement about the nosology of CB: some researchers consider CB as an addictive disorder (Scherhorn, 1990), others as an obsessive-compulsive disorder (Ridgway et al., 2008). Nonetheless, there exist criteria for compulsive buying disorder (CBD), widely accepted by the researchers in the domain: 1) frequent preoccupation with shopping or irresistible buying impulses; 2) buying more than is needed or/and can be afforded; 3) distress related to buying behavior; 4) significant interference with work or social areas of functioning (McElroy et al., 1994). Currently CB is most often diagnosed as 'Impulse Control Disorder Not Otherwise Specified'.

Though no consensus about the classification of CB as a mental disorder was yet found there is no doubt that CB is a serious psychological problem which causes significant impairments in financial, legal, social and occupational areas of functioning of an affected person. Therefore it is of major importance to investigate the nature of CB and its antecedents in more detail. Knowing which variables significantly influence CB will help clinicians and affected persons to understand what the 'driving force' behind this maladaptive behavior is and to address the potential source of the problem during the therapy.

Because of their stability, personality traits are considered very useful in predicting behaviour. Although few studies were conducted in order to find the link between CB and personality (eg., Mowen & Spears, 1999; Balabanis, 2001; Wang & Yang, 2008), the inconsistent results prevent from drawing reliable conclusions. The aim of the current study is to explore the causes of these inconsistencies and to give an ultimate answer to the question whether Big Five personality traits differentiate compulsive and non-compulsive buyers.

2. Compulsive buying and the Big Five

'*Personality*' is 'the set of psychological traits and mechanisms within the individual that are organized and relatively enduring and that influence his or her interactions with, and adaptations to, the intrapsychic, physical, and social environments' (Larsen & Buss, 2010, p. 4). For many years efforts in research on personality have been focused on identifying the elemental personality traits. In 1934 Thurstone suggested that there are five independent common factors underlying personality, a notion further supported by Fiske (1949), Tupes & Christal (1961) and a host of other researchers (e.g., Costa & McCrae, 1985; John, 1999; Goldberg, 1992; Wiggins, 1996). The Five Factor model of personality, also known as the Big

Five (Goldberg, 1992) consists of five broad personality dimensions: *Extraversion*, *Agreeableness*, *Conscientiousness*, *Neuroticism* (or Emotional Instability) and *Intellect/Imagination* (or Openness to Experience). *Extravert* people are social, active and have a tendency to experience positive emotions whereas individuals scoring high on *Agreeableness* are sympathetic, trusting and cooperative. *Conscientious* people are well-organized and scrupulous while those scoring high on *Intellect* are open to new experiences, intellectually curious and imaginative. The last dimension – *Neuroticism* represents a tendency to experience psychological distress and emotional instability (Costa and McCrae, 1992).

Since the Big Five has achieved the greatest degree of consensus of all trait taxonomies (Larsen & Buss, 2010), it has been used to explain individual differences in a large amount of research (e.g., Endler & Spear, 1998). Although the first attempts to understand and predict consumer behavior through the use of personality variables have yielded disappointing results (Kassarjian, 1971), there has recently been a growing interest in personality influences in consumer research (e.g., Egan & Taylor, 2010; Lin, 2010; Mowen, 2000). The first attempt to identify a link between personality and compulsive buying behaviour was made by Mowen and Spears (1999). They employed Allport's hierarchical approach where surface traits are explained by central traits which are predicted by cardinal psychological traits. With regard to this approach the Five Factor Model of personality was used to explain materialism and the needs for arousal, which in turn predict compulsive buying behaviour. With respect to personality traits the results showed that low *Conscientiousness*, high *Agreeableness* and low *Stability* predict compulsive buying directly.

A similar approach was applied in two studies by Mowen (2000) with *impulsiveness* and *compulsiveness* as central traits. When data were analyzed excluding mediators from the model, only two personality traits – *Neuroticism* and *Agreeableness*, appeared to be significant predictors of compulsive buying.

Also Balabanis (2001) used the Five Factor Personality Model to explain compulsive buying behaviour. The results indicated that *Extraversion* and *Intellect*, the two factors which did not appear to directly influence compulsive buying in previous studies, were found to be related to buying compulsiveness in lottery tickets and scratch-cards. *Extraversion* was positively and *Intellect* negatively related to compulsive buying behaviour. Surprisingly, in contradiction to the findings by Mowen and Spears (1999), Balabanis (2001) found a negative correlation between *Agreeableness* and CB.

A few years later Wang and Yang (2008) published their paper on the influence of personality and compulsive buying in an online shopping context. In accordance with the findings of Mowen and Spears (1999), the researchers found *Stability* to be negatively correlated with compulsiveness in buying. With regard to the other Big Five personality traits no significant differences between compulsive and non-compulsive buyers were found.

It is important to notice that also Schlosser et al. (1994) and Mueller et al. (2010) were interested in personality influences on CB. However since their studies were conducted in clinical samples, their aim was not to compare compulsive with non-compulsive buyers in a general consumer population but to 'assess the overall life-style and problems of subjects already recognised as compulsive shoppers' (Schlosser et al., 1994, p. 205) or 'identify personality prototypes in treatment seeking patients with CB' (Mueller et al., 2010, p. 930).

Study	Extraversion	Agreeableness	Conscientiousness	Neuroticism	Intellect
Mowen and Spears, 1999	ns.*	+	-	+	ns.
Mowen, 2000	ns.	+	ns.	+	ns.
Balabanis, 2001	+	-	ns.	+	-
Wang and Yang, 2008	ns.	ns.	ns.	+	ns.

*ns. - not significant

Table 1. Relationship between CB and the Big Five Personality Traits: the Overview of Previous Research Results

As demonstrated above, with the exception of *Neuroticism*, no consistent conclusions can be drawn from the previous research on the relationship between compulsive buying behavior and personality (see Table 1). This can be due to the fact that these studies were investigating CB behavior in different buying contexts. For example Balabanis (2001) focused on scratch-cards and lottery tickets buying behavior whereas other studies examined compulsive buying behavior in general. A second ground for the inconsistent results might be due to the different samples investigated. The majority of above mentioned studies neglect to reveal the percentage of compulsive buyers in their sample. It is therefore possible that the authors were investigating the (direct or indirect) link between (compulsive) buying tendency (measured on a continuum basis) and personality with a very limited amount of actual compulsive buyers in their sample. Furthermore, all research samples of above mentioned studies under consideration consisted of only students. Although research based on student samples might be a useful source of information, it cannot be generalized to the buying population.

As a result the question about the relationship between CB and personality still remains unresolved. Taking into account the generally established significance of personality in determining consumer behavior (Haugtvedt et al., 1992; Kassarian, 1971), the objective of the current study is to explore personality differences between consumers with and without compulsive buying tendency. In this study the above mentioned shortcomings of previous research will be addressed and the prevalence of compulsive buyers in the general (not exceptionally student) consumer population in Flanders will be revealed.

3. Statement of hypotheses

‘*Extraversion*’ implies a more impulsive person with lower self-control (Eysenck & Eysenck, 1985). Since compulsive buying is positively related to impulsiveness (Christenson et al., 1994; DeSarbo & Edwards, 1996; Rose, 2007) and low self-control (Sneath et al., 2009) we expect that:

H1: Compulsive buyers score higher on ‘*Extraversion*’ than non-compulsive buyers.

‘*Agreeableness*’ involves trust, sympathy and altruism (Costa & McCrae, 1992). It deals with motives for maintaining positive relations with others (Jensen-Campbell & Graziano, 2001). The fact that compulsive buying is not a socially desirable behavior and therefore can prevent maintaining positive relations with others leads to the development of the second hypothesis:

H2: Compulsive buyers score lower on '*Agreeableness*' than non-compulsive buyers.

'*Conscientiousness*' refers to responsibility, precision and discipline (Costa & McCrae, 1992). People scoring high on '*Conscientiousness*' are well-organized and consider the consequences of their actions carefully. Since compulsive buying behavior is an impulsive, irresponsible act we expect that:

H3: Compulsive buyers score lower on '*Conscientiousness*' than non-compulsive buyers.

Individuals scoring high on '*Neuroticism*' tend to have low self-esteem and high levels of anxiety and depression (Costa & McCrae, 1985). Each of these variables has been associated with compulsive buying (Edwards, 1993; Ergin, 2010; O'Guinn & Faber, 1989), hence we hypothesize that:

H4: Compulsive buyers score higher on '*Neuroticism*' than non-compulsive buyers.

'*Intellect*' is characterized by original, imaginative and broad interests (McCrae & Costa, 1985). Since people scoring high on this trait are intellectually curious and have a better perception of reality, we do not expect them to engage in compulsive buying behavior. Therefore we presume that:

H5: Compulsive buyers score lower on '*Intellect*' than non-compulsive buyers.

4. Method

4.1 Participants and procedure

Data for the current study were collected over a three-month period in the beginning of 2010 in Flanders, the Dutch speaking part of Belgium. To obtain a representative sample of the Flemish consumer population we have used several ways to spread our online questionnaire. First of all we used invitations on online forums such as Flair and Libelle (women magazines popular in Belgium), a forum for shopping addicts (<http://www.yes.nl/cafe/>) and a forum for seniors (<http://www.seniorennet.be/forum/>). We have advertised the survey in our university newsletter and asked colleagues and friends to forward the questionnaire. To encourage potential respondents we promised an incentive of €100 for one randomly chosen respondent.

A total number of 2298 respondents actually participated in the study. After a careful data cleaning procedure (only Flemish adults, aged 18 years or older, who answered all the questions within a reasonable time frame, were taken into account), 1522 participants were retained from the primary dataset serving as the basis for the further analyses. The sample was clearly dominated by female participants (72,6%). Approximately 80% of the respondents was younger than 30 years with 21-24 years old participants being represented most (42%). Taking into account the focus of our study (general consumer population) and the fact that young females do the majority of shopping, this does not affect the representativity of our sample.

4.2 Measures

Although the majority of the researchers in the domain use the clinical screener of Faber and O'Guinn (1992) to measure *compulsive buying tendency*, Ridgway et al. (2008) identified two

major shortcomings of this scale. First of all, it does not measure the obsessive-compulsive dimension of buying, since all the items focus on the impulse control aspect. Secondly, items concerning income and financial consequences prevent consumers with higher incomes from being recognized as compulsive buyers, as they can afford their unusual spending habits. Ridgway et al. (2008, p. 625) conclude that 'unless consumers have already been identified with a buying disorder, the clinical screener may misclassify some of them as compulsive buyers, because of its dependence on income-related items and the weights assigned to those items in the scoring algorithm'. Moreover, according to Ridgway et al. (2008), compulsive buying measurements should not focus on identifying consequences of maladaptive consumer behaviour, but on the underlying behavioural tendencies.

Therefore we opted to measure *compulsive buying tendency* with the 6-item scale developed by Ridgway et al. (2008). This scale does not include items concerning income and financial consequences, it incorporates both characteristics of obsessive-compulsive behaviour as well as the impulse-control dimensions of buying and is the first to appropriately assess the extent of *compulsive buying tendency* in the general population of consumers. Four items are measured on 7-point Likert scale from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree' ('My closet has unopened shopping bags in it', 'Others might consider me a shopaholic', 'Much of my life centers around buying things' and 'I consider myself an impulse purchaser') and two items are measured on a 7-point scale from 'never' to 'very often' ('I buy things I don't need' and 'I buy things I did not plan to buy') (all items translated to Dutch with back-translation following international guidelines on test translation¹). According to the guidelines provided by Ridgway et al. (2008), respondents who obtained a score of 25 or more points are considered compulsive buyers.

The Mini-IPIP measure of personality (Donnellan et al., 2006) was used to assess the respondents' Big Five personality traits. The scale consists of 20 items and is a short form of the 50-item International Personality Item Pool-Five-Factor Model measure (Goldberg, 1999). With 4 items per trait (items translated to Dutch with back-translation following international guidelines on test translation), the scale assesses the Big Five personality dimensions namely *Extraversion*, *Agreeableness*, *Conscientiousness*, *Neuroticism* and *Imagination/Intellect*. Confirmatory factor analysis performed in AMOS 18.0 revealed an acceptable goodness of fit and composite reliability (CR) of the scale in the current study.

5. Results

5.1 Confirmatory factor analysis of the Big Five measure

The goodness of fit of the Big Five model of Donnellan et al. (2006) was verified in our sample. Though all items loaded sufficiently on their scales (see Graph 1), the initial model indicated a poor fit.

Therefore three changes were entered in the model. Firstly, one item of *Neuroticism* ('I am relaxed most of the time') was deleted, since it seemed to load not only on the latent variable *Neuroticism* but also on *Extraversion*. Secondly, the necessity of the introduction of 3 paths of covariance between two error terms of *Conscientiousness*, two error terms of *Agreeableness* and

¹ International Test Commission: <http://www.intestcom.org/guidelines/index.php>

two of *Intellect* were indicated by the modification indices². Finally the error terms of one item of *Neuroticism* and one of *Intellect* were linked. This lead to an acceptable goodness of fit: χ^2 (df=138)= 994; GFI= .932; AGFI= .907 and RMSEA= .064. Since χ^2 statics are influenced by the sample size (Dickey, 1996) other test statistics were taken into consideration when evaluating the model fit. The Goodness of Fit Index and the Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index well exceed the required minimum levels of respectively .85 and .80. Also the Root Mean Square error of approximation was below the cut-off value of .08 (Brown & Cudeck, 1993).

Graph 1. Conirmatory Factor Analysis with SEM: Item-Scale Loadings.

The scales’ reliabilities were tested by verifying the composite reliabilities (ρ_c)³. *Conscientiousness* had a ρ_c of .74, *Extraversion*: ρ_c =.81, *Neuroticism*: ρ_c =.72; *Agreeableness*: ρ_c =.66 and *Intellect*: ρ_c =.78. All reliabilities exceed the minimum level of .60 (Höck & Ringel, 2006), indicating a good scale reliability (Bagozzi & Yi, 1988).

² Error terms can be correlated when several observed variables, which are supposed to be indicators of one latent construct, have some systematic error. Detailed discussions on correlating errors are given by Cote et al. (2001, 87-89).

³ Composite reliability (ρ_c) is a SEM approach for the reliability estimate of the latent variable.

5.2 Differences between compulsive and non-compulsive buyers

Using the cut-off point of 25 validated by Ridgway et al. (2008, see measurements for further explanation), 93 out of 1522 respondents (6,1%) were classified as compulsive buyers (see Table 2). There were significantly more women in the compulsive buying group than in the non-compulsive buying group ($\chi^2= 23,771$; $p<,001$). There were also significant differences in *age* between both groups ($\chi^2= 16,710$; $p=,005$). Compulsive buyers seem to be younger than other consumers (average age 22years for compulsive and 26years for non-compulsive buyers, the difference is significant at $p<.000$). With regard to *education* ($\chi^2= 3,385$; $p=,336$) and *income* ($\chi^2= 1,719$; $p=,887$) no significant differences between compulsive and non-compulsive buyers were found.

	Total		Non-Compulsive		Compulsive	
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Gender						
Male	433	28,4	429	30,0	4	4,3
Female	1089	71,6	1000	70,0	89	95,7
Total	1522	100,0	1429	100,0	93	100,0
Age						
18-20 years	408	26,8	370	25,9	38	40,9
21-24 years	637	41,9	596	41,7	41	44,1
25-29 years	191	12,5	181	12,7	10	10,8
30-39 years	121	8,0	118	8,3	3	3,2
40-49 years	83	5,5	82	5,7	1	1,1
50 years or older	82	5,4	82	5,7	0	,0
Total	1522	100,0	1429	100,0	93	100,0
Education						
High school degree	686	45,1	639	44,7	47	50,5
Bachelor degree	315	20,7	294	20,6	21	22,6
Master degree	461	30,3	436	30,5	25	26,9
Post-Master degree	60	3,9	60	4,2	0	,0
Total	1522	100,0	1429	100,0	93	100,0
Income						
Less than 1500€	261	17,1	248	17,4	13	14,0
1501-2500€	222	14,6	212	14,8	10	10,8
2501-3500€	152	10,0	141	9,9	11	11,8
3500€ or over	220	14,5	203	14,2	17	18,3
No idea	520	34,2	487	34,1	33	35,5
I'd rather not say	147	9,7	138	9,7	9	9,7
Total	1522	100,0	1429	100,0	93	100,0

Table 2. Socio-Demographic Profile of the Respondents

Independent sample t-tests were conducted between compulsive and non-compulsive buyers regarding their personality traits (see Table 3). Compulsive buyers appeared to be significantly more *Extravert* ($p<.001$, $d=.50$), *Neurotic* ($p<.001$, $d=.36$) and *Agreeable* ($p=.003$, $d=.35$) in comparison to non-compulsive consumers. On the other hand, non-compulsive

buyers scored higher on the trait *Intellect* ($p<.001$, $d=.35$) when compared to compulsive buyers. No significant difference between both groups could be revealed with regard to the trait *Conscientiousness* ($p= .089$, $d=.20$).

	Non-Compulsive Buyers		Compulsive Buyers		Cohen's d
	M	SD	M	SD	
Extraversion	3,35	0,77	3,72	0,72	0,497**
Agreeableness	4,01	0,53	4,17	0,41	0,348*
Conscientiousness	3,4	0,74	3,24	0,88	0,197
Intellect	3,74	0,64	3,52	0,63	0,348**
Neuroticism	2,83	0,81	3,13	0,86	0,36**

* $p \leq .01$; ** $p \leq .001$

Table 3. Differences in the Average Scores on the Big Five Personality Measure between Compulsive and Non-Compulsive Buyers

6. Conclusion

Since no consistent findings could be drawn from previous research on the relationship between CB and personality, the aim of this study was to put the findings of the respective studies into perspective and to repeat the research on a large sample and with a reliable measure of compulsive buying behaviour in the general buying population. In the sample of 1522 respondents, 93 (6,1%) were classified as compulsive buyers. This is in line with previous research on the topic (e.g., Black, 2007; Koran et al., 2006). The research revealed significant demographical differences between compulsive and non-compulsive buyers, with young women having the most chance to buy compulsively. This tendency can be due to fact, that emotional and identity-related dimensions of shopping are more important for women than for men (Babin et al., 1994; Dittmar et al., 2004) and reflect the developmental needs of young people to explore consumer activities or to establish an adult identity by material goods (Dittmar, 2005).

The findings of the current study confirm the utility of the personality approach to comprehend consumer behaviour and extend our understanding of compulsive buying behaviour. The study provides strong empirical support that personality does have an impact on CB. First of all, the results confirm H1, meaning that outgoing, sociable, active people are more prone to buy compulsively. Opposite to what we expected (H2), the t-test results showed that compulsive buyers score higher on *Agreeableness* as compared to non-compulsive buyers. This effect deserves extra attention and can explain why Balabanis (2001) found a negative relation between these two variables. If we think of compulsive buying as a not socially desirable behaviour we expect *Agreeable* people to score lower on CB since they are highly motivated to maintain positive relations with others (Jensen-Campbell & Graziano, 2001). For scratch-cards and lottery ticket buyers this appeared to be true (Balabanis, 2001) but not in our research where a general tendency to buy was measured. This is an important finding as it shows that *Agreeableness* can be sensitive to the retail context under investigation and might point out, that excessive buying does not always have to be considered as socially undesirable.

Also H4 and H5 were confirmed by the study. As expected, *Neuroticism* was positively related to CB. Emotional unstable people who often experience a variety of negative feelings, such as anxiety, depression, anger or embarrassment (McCrae & Costa, 1985) are more prone to buy compulsively. On the other hand, *Intellect* was negatively related to CB which means that the more imaginative, daring, reasonable a person is, the lower tendency she or he has to buy compulsively. Finally, the results didn't confirm H3 meaning that the trait *Conscientiousness* does not differentiate between compulsive and non-compulsive buyers. This means that responsibility, precision and self-discipline are characteristic which neither prevent nor make people more prone to engage in excessive buying behavior.

Some limitations of the current study have to be reported. First of all in any given Internet community, there are some individuals who are more likely than others to complete an online survey. This tendency can lead to a systematic bias known as self-selection bias (Thompson et al., 2003). Secondly, the focus of the current study was an investigation of the differences between compulsive and non-compulsive buyers with regard to the Big Five personality traits. It would be interesting to see whether different, more specific measures of personality could predict CB better (eg., Personality Adjective Check List, Strack ,1991). Furthermore, since our study didn't focus on a particular retail context, it would be interesting to investigate whether the prevalence of CB and the personality of compulsive buyers differ depending on the shopping context. Finally, with online shopping gaining in importance, additional studies on online compulsive buying behaviour should be undertaken.

The current research provides strong empirical evidence that compulsive buying is very present in the Flemish consumer population. Based on the representative consumer sample this study revealed that some specific inner characteristics of a consumer can make a person more prone to CB. How individuals seek reinforcement is valuable information for the affected individuals and their families, and can be taken into account by clinicians working with consumers seeking help. It is important to realize that the consumers' personality will influence help-seeking behaviour and also responses to treatment of compulsive buying.

7. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Ching-Man Tang and Sara Lesage, both Master students at the VUB who devoted their Master thesis to the subject of Compulsive Buying, for their collaboration in this research project.

8. References

- Association, A. P. (2000). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4th, Text Revision. Date of access 20.05.2011, Available from:
<http://www.psychiatryonline.com/resourceTOC.aspx?resourceID=1>
- Babin, B. J., Darden, W. R., & Griffin, M. (1994). Work and/or fun: Measuring hedonic and utilitarian shopping value. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.20, No. 4, pp. 644-656, ISSN 0093-5301
- Bagozzi, R. P., & Youjare, Y. (1988). On the Evaluation of Structural Equation Models. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 16, No.1, pp. 74-94 ISSN 1552- 7824.

- Balabanis, G. (2001). The relationship between lottery ticket and scratch-card buying behaviour, personality and other compulsive behaviors. *Journal of Consumer Behavior*, Vol.2, No.1, pp. 7-22, ISSN 1470-2398
- Black, D. W. (2007). A review of compulsive buying disorder. *World Psychiatry*, Vol.6, No.1, pp. 14-18, ISSN 1723-8617
- Bleuler, E. (1976). *Textbook of psychiatry*, Arno Press, ISBN 0-405-074107, New York, New York, USA
- Brown, M., & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative Ways of Assessing Model Fit. In: *Testing Structural Equation Models*, A. B. Kenneth & J. S. Long, (Eds.), 445-455, Sage Publications, ISBN 978-080-3945-07-4, Newbury Park, California, USA
- Christenson, G. A., Faber, R. J., de Zwaan, M., & Raymond, N. C. (1994). Compulsive buying: Descriptive characteristics and psychiatric comorbidity. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, Vol. 5, No.1, pp. 5-11, ISSN 1555-2101
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1985). *The NEO Personality Inventory*, Psychological Assessment Resources, Odessa, Florida, USA
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). Normal personality assessment in clinical practice: The NEO personality inventory. *Psychological Assessment*, Vol.4, No.1, pp. 5-13, ISSN 1040-3590
- Cote, J., Netemeyer, R. & Bentler, P. (2001). Structural equation modeling: improving model fit by correlating errors. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol.10, No.2, pp. 87-88, ISSN 1532-7663
- d'Astous, A. (1990). An inquiry into the compulsive side of normal consumers. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, Vol.13, No.1, pp. 15-31, ISSN 1573-0700
- DeSarbo, W. S., & Edwards, E. A. (1996). Typologies of compulsive buying behavior: a constrained clusterwise regression approach. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol.5, No. 3, pp. 231-262, ISSN 1532-7663
- Dickey, D. (1996). Testing the fit of our models of psychological dynamics using confirmatory method, In: *Advances in social science methodology*, B. Thompson, (Ed.), 219-227, JAI Press, ISBN 9781559387729, Greenwich, Connecticut, USA
- Dittmar, H. (2005). Compulsive buying – a growing concern? An examination of gender, age, and endorsement of materialistic values as predictors. *British Journal of Psychology*, Vol.96, No. 4, pp. 467-491, ISSN 2044-8295
- Dittmar, H., Long, K., & Meek, R. (2004). Buying on the internet: Gender differences in online and conventional buying motivations. *Sex Roles*, Vol.50, No.5-6, pp. 423-444, ISSN 1573-2762
- Dongijn, L., Ying, J., Shenhui, A., Zhe, S., & Wenji, J. (2009). The influence of money attitudes on young Chinese consumers' compulsive buying. *Young Consumers: Insight and Ideas for Responsible Marketers*, Vol.10, No.2, pp. 98-109, ISSN 1747-3616
- Donnellan, M. B., Oswald, F. L., Baird, B. M., & Lucas, R. E. (2006). The Mini-IPIP scales: Tiny-yet-effective measures of the Big Five factors of personality. *Psychological Assessment*, Vol.18, No.2, pp. 192-203, ISSN 1040-3590
- Edwards, E. A. (1993). Development of a new scale for measuring compulsive buying behavior. *Financial Counseling and Planning*, Vol.4, No. 1, pp. 67-84, ISSN 1052-3073
- Egan, V., & Taylor, D. (2010). Shoplifting, unethical consumer behaviour, and personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol.48, No. 8, pp. 878-884, ISSN 0191-8869

- Endler, N. S., & Speer, R. L. (1998). Personality Psychology: Research Trends for 1993-1995. *Journal of Personality*, Vol.66, No. 5, pp. 621-669, ISSN 1467-6494
- Ergin, E. A. (2010). Compulsive buying behavior tendencies: The case of Turkish consumers. *African Journal of Business Management*, Vol.4, No.3, pp. 333-338, ISSN 1993-8233
- Eysenck, H. J., & Eysenck, M. W. (1985). *Personality and individual differences: a natural science approach*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Faber, R. J., & O'Guinn, T. C. (1988). Compulsive consumption and credit abuse. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, Vol.11, No. 1, pp. 97-109, ISSN 1573-0700
- Faber, R. J., & O'Guinn, T. C. (1992). A clinical screener for compulsive buying. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 19, No.3, pp. 459-469, ISSN 0093-5301
- Faber, R. J., O'Guinn, T. C., & Krych, R. (1987). Compulsive consumption, In: *Advances in Consumer Research*, M. Wallendorf & P. Anderson, (Eds.), The Association for Consumer Research, ISBN 978-091-5552-19-1, 132-135, Provo, Utah, USA
- Fiske, D. W. (1949). Consistency of the Factorial Structures of Personality Ratings from Different Sources. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, Vol.44, No.3, pp. 329-344, ISSN 0096-851X
- Goldberg, L. R. (1992). The development of markers for the Big-Five factor structure. *Psychological Assessment*, Vol.4, No.1, pp. 26-42, ISSN 1040-3590
- Goldberg, L. R. (1999). A broad-bandwidth, public-domain, personality inventory measuring the lower-level facets of several five-factor models, In: *Personality Psychology in Europe*, I. Mervielde, I. Deary, F. De Fruyt & F. Ostendorf, (Eds.), Tilburg University Press, ISBN 90-361-9929-8, 7-28, Tilburg, The Netherlands
- Hanley, A., & Wilhelm, M. S. (1992). Compulsive buying: An exploration into self-esteem and money attitudes. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, Vol.13, No.1, pp. 5-18, ISSN 0167-4870
- Hassay, D. N., & Smith, M. C. (1998). Compulsive buying: An examination of the consumption motive. *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol.13, No.8, pp. 741 - 752, ISSN 1520-6793
- Haugtvedt, C. P., Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1992). Need for cognition and advertising: Understanding the role of personality variables in consumer behavior. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol.7, No.3, pp. 239-260, ISSN 1532-7663
- Höck, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2006). Strategic networks in the software industry: an empirical analysis of the value continuum, *IFSAM VIIIth World Congress*, 28.10.2010, Available from <http://www.fim-unihh.de/IFSAM06.pdf>
- Jensen-Campbell, L. A., & Graziano, W. G. (2001). Agreeableness as a moderator of interpersonal conflict. *Journal of Personality*, Vol.69, No.2, pp. 323 - 362, ISSN 1467-6494
- John, O. P. (1999). The "Big Five" Factor Taxonomy: Dimensions of Personality in the Natural Language and Questionnaires, In: *Handbook of Personality: Theory and Research*, O.P. John & L. A. Pervin, (Eds.), Guilford Press, 978-157-2306-95-0 66-100, New York, New York, USA
- Johnson, T., & Attmann, J. (2009). Compulsive buying in a product specific context: clothing. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol.13, No.3, pp. 394-405, ISSN 1361-2026

- Joireman, J., Kees, J., & Sprott, D. (2010). Concern with immediate consequences magnifies the impact of compulsive buying tendencies on college students' credit card debt. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, Vol.44, No.1, pp. 155-178, ISSN 1745-6606
- Kassarjian, H. H. (1971). Personality and consumer behavior: A review. *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 8, No.11, pp. 409-418, ISSN 1547-7193
- Koran, L. M., Faber, R. J., Aboujaoude, E., Large, M. D., & Serpe, R. T. (2006). Estimated prevalence of compulsive buying behavior in the United States. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, Vol.163, No.10, pp. 1806-1812, ISSN 1535-7228
- Kraepelin, E. (1915). *Psychiatrie* (8th ed.). Leipzig: Barth.
- Kwak, H., Zinkjan, G. M., & Lester-Roushanzamir, E. P. (2004). Compulsive comorbidity and its psychological antecedents: a cross-cultural comparison between the US and South Korea. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol.21, No.6, pp. 418-434, ISSN 0736-3761
- Kyrios, M., Frost, R. O., & Steketee, G. S. (2004). Cognitions in compulsive buying and acquisition. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, Vol.28, No.2, pp. 241-258, ISSN 1573-2819
- Larsen, R. J., & Buss, D. M. (2010). *Personality Psychology: Domains of Knowledge About Human Nature*, McGraw Hill Higher Education, ISBN 978-007-0164-99-4, London, England
- Lin, L.-Y. (2010). The relationship of consumer personality trait, brand personality and brand loyalty: an empirical study of toys and video games buyers. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, Vol.19, No.1, pp. 4-18, ISSN 1061-0421
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T., Jr. (1985). Openness to experience. In: *Perspectives in personality*, R. Hogan & W. H. Jones, (Eds.), 145-172, JAI Press, ISBN 089232547X, Greenwich, Connecticut, USA
- McElroy, S. L., Keck, P. E., Pope Jr., H. G., Smith, J. M. R., & Strakowski, S. M. (1994). Compulsive buying: A report of 20 cases. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, Vol.55, No.6, pp. 242-248, ISSN 1555-2101
- Mowen, J. (2000). *The 3M model of motivation and personality: Theory and empirical applications to consumer behavior*. Boston, Dordrecht, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Mowen, J. C., & Spears, N. (1999). Understanding compulsive buying among college students: A hierarchical approach. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol.8, No.4, pp. 407-430, ISSN 1532-7663
- Mueller, A., Claes, L., Mitchell, J. E., Wonderlich, S. A., Crosby, R. D., & de Zwaan, M. (2010). Personality prototypes in individuals with compulsive buying based on the Big Five Model. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, Vol.48, No.9, pp. 930-935, ISSN 0005-7967
- O'Guinn, T. C., & Faber, R. J. (1989). Compulsive buying: A phenomenological exploration. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.16, No.2, pp. 147-157, ISSN 0093-5301
- Ridgway, N. M., Kukar-Kinney, M., & Monroe, K. B. (2008). An expanded conceptualization and a new measure of compulsive buying. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.35, No.4, pp. 622-639, ISSN 0093-5301
- Rose, P. (2007). Mediators of the association between narcissism and compulsive buying: The roles of materialism and impulse control. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, Vol.21, No.4, pp. 576-581, ISSN 0893-164X
- Scherhorn, G. (1990). The addictive trait in buying behaviour. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, Vol.13, No.1, pp. 33-51, ISSN 1573-0700

- Schlosser, S., Black, D. W., Repertinger, S., & Freet, D. (1994). Compulsive buying: Demography, phenomenology, and comorbidity in 46 subjects. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, Vol.16, No.3, pp. 205-212, ISSN 0163-8343
- Sneath, J. Z., Lacey, R., & Kennett-Hensel, P. A. (2009). Coping with a natural disaster: Losses, emotions, and impulsive and compulsive buying. *Marketing Letters*, Vol. 20, No.1, pp. 45-60, ISSN 1573-059X
- Strack, S. 1991. *Manual for the Personality Adjective Check List (PACL)*, 21st Century Assessment, Southern Pasadena, California, USA
- Thompson, L. F., Surface, E. A., Martin, D. L., & Sanders, M. G. (2003). From paper to pixels: Moving personnel surveys to the Web. *Personnel Psychology*, Vol. 56, No.1, pp. 197-227, ISSN 1744-6570
- Thurstone, L. L. (1934). The vectors of mind. *Psychological Review*, Vol.41, No.1, pp. 1-32, ISSN 0033-295X
- Tupes, E. C., & Christal, R. E. (1961). Recurrent Personality Factors Based on Trait Ratings. Lackland Air Force Base, TX: Personnel Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command
- Valence, G., d'Astous, A., & Fortier, L. (1988). Compulsive buying: Concept and measurement. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, Vol.11, No.4, pp. 419-433, ISSN 1573-0700
- Wang, C.-C., & Yang, H.-W. (2008). Passion for online shopping: the influence of personality and compulsive buying. *Social Behavior and Personality*, Vol.36, No.5, pp. 693-706, ISSN 0301-2212
- Watson, S. (2009). Credit card misuse, money attitudes, and compulsive buying behaviors: A comparison of internal and external locus of control (LOC) consumers. *College Student Journal*, Vol. 43, No.2, pp. 268-275, ISSN 0146-3934
- Wiggins, J. S. (1996). *The Five-Factor Model of Personality: Theoretical Perspectives*, Guilford Press, ISBN 978-157-2300-68-2, New York, New York, USA

IntechOpen

Psychology - Selected Papers

Edited by Dr. Gina Rossi

ISBN 978-953-51-0587-9

Hard cover, 330 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 02, May, 2012

Published in print edition May, 2012

This book represents a selection of chapters that address several topics from the broad domains of psychology: alcoholism, clinical interventions, treatment of depression, personality psychology, qualitative research methods in psychology, and social psychology. As such we have interesting blend of studies from experts from a diverse array of psychology fields. The selected chapters will take the reader on an exciting journey in the domains of psychology. We are sure the content will appeal to a great audience.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Kalina Mikołajczak-Degrauwe, Malaika Brengman, Birgit Wauters and Gina Rossi (2012). Does Personality Affect Compulsive Buying? An Application of the Big Five Personality Model, Psychology - Selected Papers, Dr. Gina Rossi (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0587-9, InTech, Available from:
<http://www.intechopen.com/books/psychology-selected-papers/does-personality-affect-compulsive-buying-an-application-of-the-big-five-personality-model>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen