We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Risk Factors for Aseptic Loosening Following Total Hip Arthroplasty

Scott J. MacInnes, Andrew Gordon and J. Mark Wilkinson
*Academic Unit of Bone Metabolism and Department of Orthopaedics,
University of Sheffield, Sheffield,
United Kingdom*

1. Introduction

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is one of the most successful orthopaedic procedures and has relieved pain and improved hip function in millions of patients worldwide. Despite the success of modern prosthetic designs and bearing surfaces, around 10% of THA prostheses still fail within 10 years¹. Improvements in surgical technique and prosthesis design have decreased the incidence of deep sepsis, dislocation and fracture, however aseptic loosening, the clinical end point of osteolysis, remains the most frequent complication and in the UK accounts for 63% of all revision surgery (Table 1)². Prosthesis loosening results in pain and disability, requiring revision surgery. Revision THA is associated with a 3 to 8-fold greater in-hospital mortality, poorer functional outcome, longer hospital stay, and higher cost than primary surgery^{1,3-5}.

The problem of osteolysis has been recognized in Judet’s acrylic hemiarthroplasty introduced in the 1940s. Prosthesis loosening complicating THA in the 1950’s and 1960’s was poorly understood and attributed to unconfirmed sepsis⁶ and prosthesis motion⁷. In the 1980’s loosening was thought to be the result of “cement disease”⁸, arising due to a foreign body reaction to methyl methacrylate. When the development of cementless prostheses

National Joint Registry hip Annual Report Data 2009		
	Number	%
Total procedures	72,432	
Primary procedures	65,229	90%
Revision procedures	7,203	10%
Indication for revision		
Aseptic Loosening	3,524	49%
Osteolysis	999	14%
Pain	2,035	29%
Infection	1,020	14%
Dislocation/ subluxation	1,141	16%
Periprosthetic fracture	618	9%

Table 1. Summary of hip surgery data from 7th Annual Report National Joint Registry for England and Wales²

failed to eliminate this problem, wear at the bearing couple was subsequently identified as the main source of particulate debris giving rise to osteolysis.

Advances in prosthesis materials, design and surgical technique have improved the wear performance of prostheses, which will decrease the future incidence of osteolysis. However, an ageing population combined with younger more active patients now undergoing joint arthroplasty suggests that osteolysis and resulting prosthesis loosening will continue to be the major complication of THA.

2. Pathophysiology of osteolysis

The term aseptic loosening describes mechanical failure of the prosthesis-host interface, and arises primarily as the end result of focal periprosthetic inflammatory bone loss occurring at this interface. This pro-inflammatory microenvironment is driven by particulate wear debris, which is generated primarily at the articular bearing surface and at other non-articular prosthesis or cement surfaces⁹. Willert first proposed the involvement of prosthetic debris in the development of osteolysis. He identified a resultant foreign body reaction and granuloma formation which included macrophages and multinucleated giant cells¹⁰. This foreign body reaction has subsequently been reproduced in animal models¹¹. Once particulate wear debris has been dispersed into the joint fluid it may initiate a foreign body reaction at contact surfaces with the host tissues. Schmalzried coined the term “effective joint space” to describe all areas where open communication with the joint pseudo-capsule may allow circulation of the joint fluid and particulate debris¹². The effective joint space is thus dynamic and may advance along a tissue plane as osteolysis progresses. Variations in hydrostatic pressure within the joint space during activity may contribute to this circulation¹².

As well as its role in the migration of wear particles, hydrostatic fluid pressure changes within the joint have been implicated as an osteolytic stimulus. Aspenberg showed in an animal model that fluid pressure alone can lead to osteolysis¹³. Skoglund also showed that the osteolytic effect of fluid pressure on the bone was greater than that of particles¹⁴. However, it remains unclear what contribution this potential mechanism makes to the development of osteolysis clinically. Early migration of the femoral component may predict early and mid-term prosthesis failure. It has been suggested that this migration may lead to instability resulting in locally high fluid pressures which may, in turn, lead to osteolysis¹⁵. However, it is also likely that the predictive value of early migration measurements is due to the identification of failures of initial prosthesis fixation, resulting in loosening due to technical failure.

3. The biology of osteolysis

The process of aseptic loosening is characteristically accompanied with the development of a fibrous membrane at the bone-cement interface. Histological analysis of this membrane has shown a synovial-like fibrovascular tissue containing cells including macrophages, fibroblasts and foreign body giant cells^{9,16}. The predominant cell types driving osteolysis, the macrophage and fibroblast, signal through various pro-inflammatory cytokines (including the interleukins, TNF alpha, and vascular endothelial growth factor VEGF) following either phagocytosis of the particles or through surface contact¹⁷.

The biological process through which wear particles induce this inflammatory response is still not fully understood. It has become clear that the innate immune system is involved in

the initiation of the biological response. The innate immune system is the body’s first defense against foreign pathogens. Its ability to recognize and eliminate pathogens relies on pattern recognition receptors (PRR). PRRs are expressed by several cells in the monocyte cell lineage and include toll-like receptors (TLR) and the NOD-like receptors (NLR). These subfamilies evoke an inflammatory response either through the activation of transcription factors or through the formation of inflammasomes (Figure 1). Inflammasomes are large cytoplasmic complexes that activate inflammatory caspases required for the catalysis of pro-IL-1 β and pro-IL-18 into their active forms¹⁸. Disorders of inflammasome signaling are associated with a number of auto-inflammatory conditions.

Fig. 1. Summary of pattern recognition receptors and their effector pathways. NALP = NACHT, LRR and PYD domain-containing proteins, IPAF = Ice protease activating factor, NAIP = neuronal apoptosis inhibitory protein, NOD = nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain proteins, CIITA = Major histocompatibility complex class-2 transactivator

Caicedo et al found that metal implant debris stimulated an inflammatory response in macrophages through inflammasome signaling (Figure 2)¹⁹. Maitra found that UHMWPE wear particles are phagocytosed causing intracellular activation of NACHT, LRR and PYD domains-containing protein 3 (NLRP3) leading to inflammasome formation. In addition alkane polymers generated by UHMWPE activate TLRs through cell surface contact. This leads to the activation of transcription factors including NF-KB resulting in cytokine release²⁰. St Pierre *et al* showed in a mouse model that titanium particles also induce an inflammatory response through the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome²¹.

Fig. 2. Toll-like receptor and inflammasome signaling in response to wear debris

The released pro-inflammatory cytokines, in turn, modulate the activation of other cell types in the periprosthetic environment, including osteoblasts. Osteoblasts closely interact with osteoclasts in coupled bone remodeling, regulating bone resorption through the activation of osteoclasts²². Activated osteoblasts stimulate the monocyte / macrophage cell lineage through activation of receptor activator of nuclear factor κ B (RANK) by its ligand (RANKL) and macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF). Together these induce expression of genes required for the development and maturation of polykaryon osteoclasts and activation of their function of bone resorption²³. This upregulation of periprosthetic bone resorption results in failure of the integrity of the prosthesis-host construct and loosening of the prosthesis. Activated macrophages also produce matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) that directly degrade demineralized collagen matrix.

Fibroblasts are the most frequent cell type found in the loosening membrane, and also play a role in the pathogenesis of osteolysis. They produce the fibrous collagenous matrix which surrounds the prosthesis and in addition, secrete RANKL and IL-6 which are both osteoclastogenic and stimulate the formation of multinucleated giant cells^{24,25}. In addition to upregulation of the osteoclastic response, particulate debris suppresses differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) into mature functioning osteoblasts and reduces synthetic activity of mature osteoblasts further shifting turnover balance in favor of net bone loss²⁶.

Fig. 3. Summary of biological response to wear debris. Recruitment and activation of osteoclasts may occur directly through the production of RANKL by fibroblasts, or indirectly through the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines that stimulate the production of RANKL by the osteoblast. TNF may stimulate osteoclast differentiation and activation through both routes.

other cell types may also be involved in the inflammatory response to wear particulate debris. These include lymphocytes and mast cells. The presence of lymphocytes suggests involvement of the adaptive immune system. It is suggested that particulate debris may undergo opsonisation which allows them to be targeted by B and T lymphocytes. Degranulated mast cells have been found in the periprosthetic tissue surrounding loose prostheses confirming their activation in the process of osteolysis²⁷

Although aseptic loosening, by definition, occurs in the absence of bacterial infection, recent evidence suggests that bacterial endotoxin may contribute. Gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria produce (as constituent components of their cell walls or as toxins) a number of molecules including endotoxins and peptidoglycans, collectively termed pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) that act as ligands for PRRs. The presence of PAMPs has been confirmed in the periprosthetic tissue of patients undergoing revision surgery for aseptic loosening²⁸. Using RNA gene sequencing, the presence of bacteria in the periprosthetic biofilm surrounding loose prostheses has also now been confirmed²⁹. It has been shown both in vitro and in animal models that PAMPs adherent to particulate debris activate PRRs on macrophages, increasing the biological activity of wear particles³⁰.

4. Risk factors for osteolysis

Although the final pathway to the development of aseptic loosening is process of mechanical failure of the construct driven by inflammatory-mediated bone loss, multiple factors mediate an individual’s susceptibility to this process. These may broadly be divided into patient, surgical, and prosthesis-related factors (Figure 4). Although not an exhaustive list, some of these proposed factors that have been identified and validated will be discussed.

Fig. 4. Summary of risk factors that influence the development of aseptic loosening

5. Patient risk factors

5.1 Preoperative diagnosis

The most common indication for THA is idiopathic osteoarthritis. Within this diagnosis group, those with an atrophic pattern of bone response to osteoarthritis are at increased risk of acetabular prosthesis loosening³¹. Proximal femoral bone geometry may also affect prosthesis survival, with large non-tapering femoral canal shape (stove-pipe) being associated with an increased risk of aseptic loosening³¹.

Higher rates of prosthesis loosening also occur in patients who have undergone arthroplasty for post-traumatic arthritis and osteonecrosis when compared with primary osteoarthritis. However, it is thought that this finding may relate to higher activity levels and increased bearing surface wear, rather than being a function of the pre-operative diagnosis^{32,33}.

A number of preoperative diagnoses carry a possible increased risk of prosthesis failure through associated medication. Patients taking systemic steroids have been found to have a higher risk of reoperation³⁴. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been implicated in impaired bone healing, and patients taking NSAIDs have higher reoperation rates, although NSAID use may be acting as a marker of a painful prosthesis rather than contributing directly to prosthesis failure³⁴.

Poorer prosthesis survival might be expected in patients with inflammatory arthropathy due to its inflammatory pathogenesis and the historic frequent use of corticosteroids in its treatment (that are associated with loss of bone mass through osteoblast suppression). However, Furnes *et al*, in a large arthroplasty registry-based study, found no difference in THA survival between patients with rheumatoid arthritis versus those with osteoarthritis³⁵. Rud-Sorensen *et al* found that the risk of stem revision due to aseptic loosening was lower in rheumatoid patients versus primary osteoarthritis, whilst acetabular prosthesis survival was similar³⁶.

Furnes *et al* and Bordini *et al* have reported higher acetabular revision rates due to aseptic loosening in patients with a primary diagnosis of developmental dysplasia of the hip compared to primary osteoarthritis^{37,38}. Rates of acetabular prosthesis failure are higher in younger patients and those with greater graft coverage of the cup³⁹. The role of these factors is unclear, but may relate to activity levels, or mechanical factors influencing prosthesis support.

5.2 Body mass index and obesity

The Health Survey for England 2009 showed that over the last 16 years there has been marked increase in the proportion of the population that are obese. This proportion increased from 13% of men in 1993 to 22% in 2009 and from 16% of women in 1993 to 24% in 2009⁴⁰. The mean BMI of a patient undergoing THA in England and Wales has increased over the last 5 years from 27.4 to 28.4. Likewise the percentage of patients classed as either obese or morbidly obese has risen from 29% in 2004 to 37%

Historically, obesity has been deemed a relative contraindication for THA⁴¹, as the joint reaction force experienced at the hip is directly proportional to body weight, and thus obesity was considered a risk factor for prosthesis failure. Obesity is associated with a higher incidence of perioperative complications including cardiovascular and respiratory events⁴², venous thrombosis⁴³, wound infection⁴⁴, and dislocation⁴⁵. However, despite the increase in joint load in these patients, no consistent increase in bearing wear or osteolysis has been shown across study populations^{46,47} and thus obesity is not a clear risk factor for osteolysis.

5.3 Bearing-surface wear and activity level

Patient activity level associates with osteolysis. It is thought this association operates primarily through the production of wear of the bearing surface. Flugsrud showed that patients who undertake intermediate to intense activity are four times more likely than the less-active to develop acetabular prosthesis loosening⁴⁸. A recent study with five to ten year

Fig. 5. Continuous dose-response relationship between prosthesis bearing wear and risk of osteolysis. Top panel shows data from a study of 115 cases and 115 controls after Charnley THA with consistent increase in proportion of subjects with osteolysis with increasing wear rate (by quintile)⁵⁷. Bottom panel shows survivorship analysis in a cohort study of 319 hybrid THAs followed for a minimum of 10 years, and showing a similar dose-response relationship between osteolysis and polyethylene wear⁵⁸.

follow up has shown that 24% of patients who have engaged in high levels of activity developed femoral osteolysis, and had higher revision rates⁴⁹.

Traditionally the rate of polyethylene wear has been reported as a function of time. The results from ex-vivo hip simulator experiments have shown that the number of hip cycles is proportional to the rate of wear of prosthesis surface⁵⁰. In vivo, there is a great range of wear rates between individual as a consequence of differing activity levels⁵¹. Several validated assessment tools have been developed to measure activity levels in arthroplasty populations⁵², and Schmalzried *et al* showed that wear in patients is a function of activity⁵³. There are no clear guidelines outlining what levels of activity can be undertaken following THA although the proportion of patients participating in athletic activity following THA ranges between 52 – 83%⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶. Whilst low-impact activities such as walking, swimming and cycling have always been recommended following THA, some patients participate in more high-impact and competitive sports. The increasing participation in athletic activity and higher post-operative expectations can partly be explained by the increasing numbers of younger patients undergoing THA. 42% of men and 31% of women who underwent THA in England and Wales in 2009 were under the age of 65 years². A large number of patients over the age of 65 are also participating in high levels of activity⁴⁹.

Several investigators have shown a relationship between high levels of polyethylene wear and osteolysis/aseptic loosening, and the concept of a wear-rate 'threshold' (commonly defined as 0.1mm/year) below which osteolysis occurs very rarely, has been suggested. Wilkinson *et al* quantitated the association between wear and osteolysis and found no evidence to support this concept. In a case-control study of 230 hips after cemented Charnley THA with a metal on polyethylene bearing they showed that the risk of osteolysis increased with each quintile increase in wear, from very low levels of wear, below the suggested threshold, through to high levels⁵⁷. They subsequently showed that the risk of osteolysis showed a similar pattern of consistently increasing risk ratio with each wear rate quintile in a separate cohort study of patients with 319 hybrid THAs using a metal on conventional polyethylene bearing (Figure 5).

5.4 Genetic factors

Within a given ethnic population the sequence of DNA between individuals is 99.5% identical. However, variability within the code does occur and gives rise to the phenotypic variability within the population. These variants occur at approximately every 1000 nucleotide base pairs of the code. This variation, where it occurs in >1% of the population is termed a polymorphism. The most common type of variant is a single letter change in the DNA sequence, termed a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). There are thought to be around 10 million common SNPs in the human genome. The individual specific risk of common diseases is thought to be influenced by the sum of many genetic variations, each potentially causing small changes in biological function and consequently subtle changes in phenotype⁵⁹.

Patients vary in their osteolytic response to particulate wear debris. Some show little bone resorption in the presence of marked prosthesis wear whereas others undergo marked osteolysis following a small amount of prosthesis wear (Figure 6)⁵⁷. Macrophage responsiveness to in-vitro particulate debris stimulation also varies between individual⁶⁰,

and monocytes (PBMCs) taken from patients with a susceptibility to osteolysis exhibit quantitatively greater inducible cytokine responses to particulate debris in-vitro versus patients without this susceptibility⁶¹. It is suggested that this inter-patient variability may have a genetic basis.

Fig. 6. Patients exhibit variable osteolytic responses to wear debris. a) radiograph showing marked polyethylene wear, but no osteolytic response, b) radiograph showing mild wear but pronounced femoral and acetabular osteolysis with prosthesis loosening.

Variation within the genes encoding inflammatory cytokines have been associated with osteolysis. Wilkinson *et al* showed an association between variability within the DNA encoding the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) promoter region (dbSNP rs361525) and risk of osteolysis following THA⁶². Subjects with osteolysis were approximately twice as likely to carry the variant DNA code as those subjects with no osteolysis. This association has been replicated in an independent population by Ambruzova *et al*⁶³. Gordon *et al* have reported genetic variation within the genes encoding Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RN) and IL-6 is also associated with osteolysis⁶⁴. Similar associations have also been identified in other populations⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷.

Variation within genes that regulate bone turnover also associate with osteolysis. Gordon *et al* showed that carriage of the dbSNP rs288326 variant in the FRZB gene encoding secreted frizzled-related protein-3 (Frp3), a regulatory glycoprotein within the osteogenic Wnt signaling pathway that modulates mesenchymal stem cell differentiation of osteoblasts⁶⁸, associated with susceptibility to osteolysis following THA⁶⁹. Its carriage also associated with

the development of heterotopic ossification following THA. Malik *et al* have also shown associations between aseptic loosening and other candidate loci within the genes encoding matrix metalloproteinase 1 and the vitamin D receptor⁶⁷, mannose-binding lectin⁷⁰, and the RANK/OPG pathway⁷¹.

Recent studies using beadchip assays have shown that many genes are differentially expressed in wear debris-induced cells and tissues⁷²⁻⁷⁴, and have highlighted our limited understanding of the spectrum of biological mediators involved in the pathogenesis of osteolysis. The identification of further risk loci is required to further understanding of the pathogenesis of aseptic loosening. This would potentially allow for the development of screening tools, and provide investigational targets for prophylaxis or treatment with the aim of reducing the need for revision surgery, and its associated morbidity and mortality.

6. Prosthesis risk factors

6.1 Prosthesis design

Prosthesis design factors, aside from those that modulate wear, contribute to risk of osteolysis. Modularity allows intra-operative adjustment of bearing surfaces, prosthesis length and offset. However, it also creates additional interfaces within the construct at which generation of debris through wear may occur. Such interfaces include the trunion between the femoral head and stem at which corrosive wear may occur, and backside wear between an acetabular liner and its shell at which abrasive wear may occur, and potentially several other prosthetic component junctions in highly modular systems. Hydroxyapatite coating of the prosthesis may prevent osteolysis following injection of intra-articular particles by sealing the implant-bone interface from their ingress though the promotion of osseointegration at this interface^{75,76}, but may also be a source of third-body wear. Selection of bearing diameter is also a factor. The use of larger head sizes reduces the risk of dislocation, but increase volumetric wear⁷⁷. The need for a thinner liner to accommodate the larger head may also cause increased contact stresses and an increase in wear.

6.2 Polyethylene wear

The metal on polyethylene bearing couple remains the gold standard for THA. However, the manufacturing and sterilization process of polyethylene has changed over time with the aim of improving its wear rate characteristics. The earliest prostheses were made with non-cross-linked ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) that was irradiated to render it sterile for patient use. The process of sterilization with ionizing radiation leads to cross-linking within the polymer. Cross-linking improves wear resistance of the material, but also causes the formation of free radicals. Free radical species cause the oxidation of UHMWPE over time. Polyethylene oxidation degrades UHMWPE, and decreases its wear resistance.

Several production techniques have been developed to reduce the generation of free radicals, including annealing and melting. Melting reduces free radical concentration more than annealing but adversely affects the yield stress and fatigue resistance of the polymer. Annealing below melting point has a less adverse effect on the mechanical properties, but is less effective than melting at free radical removal. Sterilization in an oxygen-free environment also produces more cross-linking and reduces free radical production⁷⁸. Irradiation in an inert gas and vacuum packing is also now routinely carried out to reduce pre-implantation oxidation, however this does not prevent oxidation occurring *in vivo*. Faris

et al compared the wear rates of UHMWPE produced using three combinations of polyethylene production and sterilization techniques⁷⁹ and found the best wear rates were achieved in sterilization by radiation in an inert gas with molded polyethylene. Irradiation sterilization of ram extruded components in an inert gas and in air had 11% and 16% more wear respectively.

Highly cross-linked polyethylene has exhibited reduced wear rates clinically in short-term studies^{80,81}, and thus their potential role in reducing the incidence of osteolysis is promising. Further developments in polyethylene modification techniques are currently being explored to further reduce oxidization *in-vivo* and optimize the wear performance of UHMWPE without compromising its other mechanical properties, and include doping with antioxidants such as vitamin E and cycling of annealing and irradiating. However, the macrophage response in osteolysis is influenced by the size, composition and number of wear particles^{82,83}. Particle size and number vary with the extent of cross-linking within the material. Although cross-linking reduces the total amount of wear debris generated versus conventional UHMWPE, the particle size produced is smaller, and the number of particles is increased, which may enhance their osteolytic potential *in-vivo*. Also, whilst increased cross-linking results in enhanced wear resistance there is a reduction in fatigue strength potentially leading to mechanical failure⁸⁴.

6.3 Alternate bearing couples

Although metal on polyethylene bearings have most commonly been used in THA, there is a long history of use of other bearing couples, including metal on metal, ceramic on ceramic, and ceramic on polyethylene.

Metal on metal bearings have reduced wear rates compared with metal on polyethylene. Jacobsson reported a 77% 20-year survivorship of the metal on metal McKee Farrar THA compared to 73% for the Charnley THA⁸⁵. Metal on metal prostheses also have the advantages of allowing a larger bearing diameter, improving stability characteristics, and are self-polishing. Although the volumetric wear rate of metal on metal bearings is low, the particles generated are in the nanometer range and the number of particles is far greater⁸⁶. These particles circulate widely within the body and their systemic effects remain unclear. At a local level metal release can cause an adverse surrounding tissue reaction, termed aseptic lymphocytic vasculitis associated lesions (ALVAL), and inflammatory masses^{87,88}. Metal hypersensitivity may also occur⁸⁷.

Ceramic on polyethylene and ceramic on ceramic bearing couples have lower wear and osteolysis rates versus metal on polyethylene bearings in some long-term studies^{89,90}. Most ceramic wear particles are also in the nanometer range and wear volume is lower than that of metal on metal bearing couples. A prospective randomized multicenter study of 930 hips comparing alumina-on-alumina with cobalt chromium-on-polyethylene bearing couples reported an alumina-alumina survival rate of 96.8% at 10 years⁹¹. However, cases of osteolysis have also been reported in poorly functioning ceramic on ceramic prostheses. Yoon reported osteolysis rates of 22% in a series of patients with ceramic on ceramic prostheses⁹². Nam reported a case of alumina debris induced pelvic and femoral osteolysis in a well-functioning prosthesis⁹³. Ceramics are also expensive, have a small fracture risk due to their brittleness, and are sensitive to component mal-positioning that may result in impingement damage and stripe wear. There are also some reports of squeaking associated with ceramic on ceramic bearing couples⁹⁴.

7. Surgical risk factors

Regardless of prosthesis design and bearing surface, surgical technique is an important factor that affects prosthesis survival. Data from large national joint registries has recently facilitated examination of these factors in relation to prosthesis survival.

7.1 Hospital type and surgeon operating volume

Type of hospital and the surgeon undertaking the procedure can influence THA survival. Fowles *et al* showed that low operating volume is associated with increased risk of THA revision⁹⁵. Similarly, Espehaug *et al*, using data from the Norwegian arthroplasty register, found the lowest revision rates amongst surgeons with the highest THA volume⁹⁶. In the same study, university hospitals had higher revision rates than local and central hospitals. This may be attributed to the lower number of operations per surgeon at these hospitals or possible centralization of high-risk patients and more complex cases. Bordini *et al* found that prosthesis survival was negatively associated with lower surgeon skill³⁸.

7.2 Prosthesis alignment and soft tissue balancing

Malalignment of prostheses may alter the articulation of prosthesis components with the potential to increase contact stresses and increase wear, this increases the incidence of edge loading and results in stripe wear in hard on hard bearing couples. Despite the advantage of larger femoral head size, soft tissue balancing remains important in the reduction of dislocation of the femoral head. Subluxation of the femoral head during the swing phase of gait, especially in metal on polyethylene couples, causes socket edge contact resulting in wear⁹⁷. Complete dislocation of the femoral head may damage the head during dislocation-relocation, and can increase wear rates.

7.3 Prosthesis dislocation and interface micromotion

Prosthesis stability influences the development of aseptic loosening. Motion between the prosthesis and bone contributes to the formation of a fibrous membrane rather than bone⁹⁸. Bechtold *et al* found that particulate wear debris prevents bone formation in the presence of prosthesis instability⁹⁹. In addition, prosthesis motion alters local joint fluid pressures and can transport particles along the periprosthetic space.

7.4 Cementing techniques

Improvements in prosthesis survival have accompanied advances in cementation technique¹⁰⁰. First generation cementing techniques involved finger packing of the cement without bone preparation, pressurization or use of a medullary plug. In the mid-seventies second generation techniques were adopted which involved improved canal preparation by pulsatile lavage that increased cement penetration and interdigitation, retrograde insertion of cement using a gun to reduce blood lamination, and the use of an intramedullary plug to limit the size of the cement column. Studies with 10 year follow up have shown that 2nd generation techniques were associated with a reduced the incidence in femoral loosening with rates of 3 to 7%^{101,102} compared with rates of approximately 30% at 10 years in first generation reports^{103,104}. Third generation techniques included vacuum mixing of cement to reduce cement porosity and increase fatigue strength¹⁰⁵, and cement pressurization to

further improve cement interdigitation. Subsequently 4th generation cementation techniques have added distal and proximal prosthesis centralizers to improve the stem position allowing for an optimal and even cement mantle. Herbert, in a review of the Swedish THA Register examining 160,000 cases, reported that the evolution from 1st to 3rd generation cementing techniques over a 20 year period was associated with a reduced incidence of revision for aseptic loosening¹⁰⁰.

8. Summary and future directions

Aseptic loosening is the end result of a complex interaction of variables leading to development of osteolysis. Although the last 30 years has seen many advances in the understanding of these factors, osteolysis will remain a problem for the foreseeable future. Newer bearing surfaces have shown potential in wear rate reduction. However, wear particles from all materials have the potential to trigger an inflammatory response. The local and systemic consequences of metal release also need to be more clearly defined and quantitated. Further studies looking at prosthesis bone anchorage in conjunction with particle and pressure effects need to be explored, and the factors that influence loosening membrane formation.

Currently the only effective treatment for aseptic loosening is revision surgery. Future advances in our understanding of the biological response to wear particles may lead to the development of biological markers for better prediction and early detection of osteolysis, and the development of non-surgical solutions for prophylaxis and therapy. Advances in genomic and bioinformatics technology have provided us with the opportunity to identify investigational targets for prophylaxis or treatment. Pharmacological and biological agents used in the treatment of osteolysis in metastatic disease and metabolic bone disease may have potential in osteolysis following THA.

9. References

- [1] Kurtz SM, Ong KL, Schmier J, Mowat F, Saleh K, Dybvik E, et al. Future clinical and economic impact of revision total hip and knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg* 2007 Oct;89-A Suppl 3:144-51.
- [2] The NJR centre HH. National joint registry for England and Wales. 7th annual report, 2010. Available from URL: www.njrcentre.co.uk.
- [3] Mahomed NN, Barrett JA, Katz JN, Phillips CB, Losina E, Lew RA, et al. Rates and outcomes of primary and revision total hip replacement in the United States medicare population. *J Bone Joint Surg* 2003 Jan;85-A(1):27-32.
- [4] Doro C, Dimick J, Wainess R, Upchurch G, Urquhart A. Hospital volume and inpatient mortality outcomes of total hip arthroplasty in the United States. *J Arthroplasty* 2006 Sep;21(6 Suppl 2):10-6.
- [5] Zhan C, Kaczmarek R, Loyo-Berrios N, Sangl J, Bright RA. Incidence and short-term outcomes of primary and revision hip replacement in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg* 2007 Mar;89-A(3):526-33.
- [6] Charnley J, Follacci FM, Hammond BT. The long-term reaction of bone to self-curing acrylic cement. *J Bone Joint Surg. Br.* 1968; 50; 822-29.
- [7] McKee GK, Watson-Farrar J. Replacement of arthritic hips by the McKee Farrar prosthesis. *J Bone Joint Surg. Br.* 1966; 48 (2): 245-59.
- [8] Jones LC, Hungerford DS. "Cement disease." *Clin Orthop* 1987; 225: 192-206.

- [9] Goldring SR, Schiller AL, Roelke M, Rourke CM, O'Neill DA, Harris WH. The synovial-like membrane at the bone-cement interface in loose total hip replacements and its proposed role in bone lysis. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1983 Jun;65-A(5):575-84.
- [10] Willert HG, Semlich M. Reactions of the articular capsule to wear products of artificial joint prostheses. *J Biomed Mater Res*. 1977; 11:157-164.
- [11] Goodman SB, Fornasier VL, Lee J, Kei J. The histological effects of the implantation of different sizes of polyethylene particles in the rabbit tibia. *J Biomed Mater Res*. 1990; 24: 517 – 524.
- [12] Schmalzried TP, Jasty M, Harris WH. Periprosthetic bone loss in total hip arthroplasty. Polyethylene wear debris and the concept of the effective joint space. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1992a; 74: 849 – 63.
- [13] Aspenberg P, Van der Vis H. Fluid pressure may cause periprosthetic osteolysis. Particles are not the only thing. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1998; 69: 1-4.
- [14] Skoglund B, Aspenberg P. PMMA particles and pressure – a study of the osteolytic properties of two agents proposed to cause prosthetic loosening. *J Orthop Res* 2003; 21: 196-201.
- [15] Aspenberg P, Van der Vis H. Migration, particles, and fluid pressure. A discussion of causes of prosthetic loosening. *Clin Orthop Rel Res* 1998; 352: 75-80.
- [16] Harris WH, Schiller AL, Scholler J, Freiberg RA, Scott R. Extensive localized bone resorption in the femur following total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1976; 58: 612-618. .
- [17] Tuan RS, Lee FY, Konttinen YT, Wilkinson JM, Smith RL. What are the local and systemic biologic reactions and mediators to wear debris, and what host factors determine or modulate the biologic response to wear particles? *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2008; 16(supl 1): S42-S48.
- [18] Martinon F, Mayor A, Tschopp J. The inflammasomes: guardians of the body. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2009; 27: 229-65.
- [19] Caicedo, M. S. *et al*. Soluble and particulate Co-Cr-Mo alloy implant metals activate the inflammasome danger signaling pathway in human macrophages: a novel mechanism for implant debris reactivity. *J Orthop Res* 27, 847-854, doi:10.1002/jor.20826 (2009).
- [20] Maitra R, Clement CC, Scharf B, Crisi GM, Chitta S, Paget D, Purdue PE, Cobelli N, Santambrogio L. Endosomal damage and TLR2 mediated inflammasome activation by alkane particles in the generation of aseptic osteolysis. *Mol Immunol*. 2009 Dec;47(2-3):175-84.
- [21] St Pierre CA, Chan M, Iwakura Y, Ayers DC, Kurt-Jones EA, Finberg RW. Periprosthetic osteolysis: characterizing the innate immune response to titanium wear-particles. *J Orthop Res*. 2010 Nov; 28(11): 1418-1424.
- [22] Rodan GA, Martin TJ. Role of osteoblasts in hormonal control of bone resorption- A hypothesis. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1981;33: 349-351.
- [23] Boyle WJ, Simone WS, Lacey DL. Osteoclast differentiation and activation. *Nature* 2003; 423: 337-342.
- [24] Wei X, Zhang X, Zuscik MJ, Drissi MH, Schwarz EM, O'Keefe RJ Fibroblasts express RANKL and support osteoclastogenesis in a COX-2-dependent manner after stimulation with titanium particles. *J Bone Miner Res* 2005;20:1136-48.
- [25] Sakai H, Jingushi S, Shuto T, Urabe K, Ikenoue T, Okazaki K, Kukita T Fibroblasts from the inner granulation tissue of the pseudocapsule in hips at revision

- arthroplasty induce osteoclast differentiation, as do stromal cells. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;61:103-9).
- [26] Wang ML, Tuli R, Manner PA, Sharkey PF, Hall DJ, Tuan RS. Direct and indirect induction of apoptosis in human mesenchymal stem cells in response to titanium particles. *J Orthop Res* 2003; 21: 697-707.
 - [27] Solovieva SA, Ceponis A, Konttinen YT, Takagi M, Suda A, Eklund KK, Sorsa T, Santavirta S. Mast cells in loosening of totally replaced hips. *Clin Orthop Rel Res*. 1996 Jan;(322): 158-165.
 - [28] Nalepka JL, Lee MJ, Kraay MJ, Marcus RE, Goldberg VM, Chen X, Greenfield E. Lipopolysaccharide found in aseptic loosening of patients with inflammatory arthritis. *Clin Orthop Rel Res* 2006; 451: 229-235.
 - [29] Dempsey KE, Riggio MP, Lennon A, Hannah VE, Ramage G, Allan D, Bagg J. Identification of bacteria on the surface of clinically infected and non-infected prosthetic hip joints removed during revision arthroplasties by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and by microbiological culture. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2007; 9:R46.
 - [30] Greenfield EM, Bechtold J. What other biologic and mechanical factors might contribute to osteolysis? *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2008; 16 (suppl 1): S56-S62.
 - [31] Kobayashi S, Saito N, Horiuchi H, Iorio R, Takaoka K. Poor bone quality or hip structure as risk factors affecting survival of total-hip arthroplasty. *Lancet* 2000;355:1499-504.
 - [32] Tsao AK. What patient and surgical factors contribute to implant wear and osteolysis in total joint arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2008; 16 (suppl 1):S7-S13.
 - [33] Letson GD. Activity relationships of THA in patients with osteonecrosis and osteonecrosis. *Orthopedics*. 1996 Aug; 19 (8):665-8.
 - [34] Espehaug B, Havelin LI, Engesaeter LB, Langeland N, Vollset SE. Patient-related risk factors for early revision of total hip replacements. A population register-based case-control study of 674 revised hips. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1997;68:207-15.
 - [35] Furnes, O. *et al.* Hip disease and the prognosis of total hip replacements. A review of 53,698 primary total hip replacements reported to the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register 1987-99. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 83, 579-586 (2001).
 - [36] Rud-Sorensen, C., Pedersen, A. B., Johnsen, S. P., Riis, A. H. & Overgaard, S. Survival of primary total hip arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Acta Orthop* 81, 60-65, doi:10.3109/17453671003685418 (2010).
 - [37] Furnes O, Lie SA, Vollset, Engesater LB, Havelin LI. Hip disease and the survival of hip prostheses in the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. *Acta Orthop Scand* (Suppl 280) 1998;69.
 - [38] Bordini, B. *et al.* Factors affecting aseptic loosening of 4750 total hip arthroplasties: multivariate survival analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 8, 69 (2007).
 - [39] Papachristou G, Hatzigigoris P, Panousis K, Plessas S, Sourlas J, Levidiotis C, Chronopoulos E. Total hip arthroplasty for developmental hip dysplasia. *International Orthopaedics*. 2006; 30: 21-25.
 - [40] Health Survey for England 2009: Trend Tables. The Health and Social Care Information Centre, 2010.
 - [41] Charnley J. Long term results of low friction arthroplasty. *Hip* 1982: 42-9.
 - [42] Adams JP, Murphy PG. Obesity in anaesthesia and intensive care. *Br J Anaesth* 2000; 85: 91-108.

- [43] LoweGD, HaverkateF, Thompson SG. Prediction of deep venous thrombosis after hip replacement surgery by preoperative clinical and haemostatic variables: the ECAT DVT study: European concerted action on thrombosis. *Thromb Haemostat* 2003; 89: 493-498.
- [44] Namba RS, Paxton L, Fithian DC, Stone ML. Obesity and perioperative morbidity in total hip and knee arthroplasty patients. *J Arthroplasty* 2005; 20 (suppl 3): 46-50.
- [45] Chee YH. Total hip replacement in the morbidly obese patient with OA. *JBJS* 2010; 92-B(8): 1066-1071.
- [46] Lubbeke A, Garavaglia G, Barea C, Roussos C, Stern R, Hoffmeyer P. Influence of obesity on femoral osteolysis five and ten years following total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2010; 92: 1964 - 1972.
- [47] Andrew JG, Palan J, Kurup HV, Gibson P, Murray DW, Beard DJ. Obesity in total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008; 90: 424-429.
- [48] Flugsrud GB, Nordsletten L, Espenhaug B, Havelin LI, Meyer HE. The effect of middle-age body weight and physical activity on the risk of early revision hip arthroplasty: a cohort study of 1,535 individuals. *Acta Orthop* 2007; 86: 963-974.
- [49] Lubbeke A, Garavaglia G, Barea, Stern R, Peter R, Hoffmeyer P. Influence of patient activity on femoral osteolysis at five and ten years following hybrid total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2011; 93: 456-463. .
- [50] Clark, I C Wear of artificial joint materials. Friction and wear studies. *Eng Med* 10: 115 - 122, 1981.
- [51] Schmalzried TP, Szuszczewicz ES, Northfield MR, Akizuki KH, Frankel RE, Belcher G, Amstutz HC. Quantitative assessment of walking activity after total hip or knee replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1998; 80: 54-59.
- [52] Naal FD, Impellizzeri FM, Leunig M. Which is the best activity rating scale for patient undergoing total joint arthroplasty? *Clin Orthop Rel Res* 2009; 467: 958-965.
- [53] Schmalzried TP, Shepherd EF, Dorey FJ, Jackson WO, dela Rosa M, Fa'vae F, McKellop HA, McClung CD, Martell J, Moreland JR, Amstutz HC. Wear is a function of use, not time. *Clin Orthop Rel Res* 2000; 381: 36-46.
- [54] Huch K, Muller KAC, Sturmer T, Brenner H, Puhl W, Gunther K-P. Sports activities 5 years after total hip or knee arthroplasty: the Ulm osteoarthritis study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; 64: 1715-1720.
- [55] Wylde V, Blom A, Dieppe P, Hewlett S, Learmonth I. Return to sport after joint replacement. *J bone Joint Surg Br* 2008; 90: 920-923.
- [56] Chatterji U, Asworth MJ, Lewis PL, Dobson PJ. Effect of total hip arthroplasty on recreational and sporting activity. *ANZ J Surg* 2004; 74: 446-449.
- [57] Wilkinson JM, Hamer AJ, Stockley I, Eastell R. Polyethylene wear rate and osteolysis: critical threshold versus continuous dose-response relationship. *J Orthop Res* 2005 May;23(3):520-5.
- [58] Emms, N. W., Stockley, I., Hamer, A. J. & Wilkinson, J. M. Long-term outcome of a cementless, hemispherical, press-fit acetabular component: survivorship analysis and dose-response relationship to linear polyethylene wear. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 92, 856-861, doi:92-B/6/856 [pii] 10.1302/0301-620X.92B6.23666 (2010).
- [59] Misch EA, Hawn TR. Toll -like receptor polymorphisms and susceptibility to human disease. *Clin Sci.* 2008; 114: 347-360.
- [60] Matthews JB, Green TR, Stone MH, Wroblewski BM, Fisher, J, et al. Comparison of the response of primary human peripheral blood mononuclear phagocytes from

- different donors to challenge with model polyethylene particles of known size and dose. *Biomaterials* 2000 Oct;21(20):2033-44.
- [61] Gordon A, Kiss-Toth E, Greenfield E, Eastell R, Wilkinson J. Differences in cytokine mRNA expression in stimulated mononuclear cells from subjects with previous osteolysis versus non-osteolysis subjects after total hip arthroplasty. *Trans ORS* 33, 424. 2008.
- [62] Wilkinson JM, Wilson AG, Stockley I, Scott IR, Macdonald DA, Hamer AJ, et al. Variation in the TNF gene promoter and risk of osteolysis after total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Miner Res* 2003;18(11):1995-2001.
- [63] Ambruzova Z, Gallo J, Mrazek F, Kubistova Z, Onderkova J, Petrek M. Association of cytokine gene polymorphisms with expansile periprosthetic osteolysis in total hip arthroplasty. *Tissue Antigens* 2006;67(6):528.
- [64] Gordon A, Kiss-Toth E, Stockley I, Eastell R, Wilkinson JM. Polymorphisms in the Interleukin-1 Receptor Antagonist and Interleukin-6 Genes Affect Risk of Osteolysis in Patients with Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:3157-65.
- [65] Kolundiz R, Orlic D, Truklja V, Pavelic K, Troselj KG. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the interleukin-6 gene promoter, tumour necrosis factor- α gene promoter, and transforming growth factor- β 1 gene signal sequence as predictors of time to onset of aseptic loosening after total hip arthroplasty: preliminary study. *J Orthop Sci* 2006; 11: 592-600.
- [66] Gallo J, Mrazek F, Petrek M. Variation in cytokine genes can contribute to severity of acetabular osteolysis and risk for revision in patients with ABG 1 total hip arthroplasty: a genetic association study. *BMC Med Genet* 2009; 10:109.
- [67] Malik MH, Jury F, Bayat A, Ollier WE, Kay PR. Genetic susceptibility to total hip arthroplasty failure: a preliminary study on the influence of matrix metalloproteinase 1, interleukin 6 polymorphisms and vitamin D receptor. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007 Aug;66(8):1116-20.
- [68] Martin TJ, Sims NA, Ng KW. Regulatory pathways revealing new approaches to the development of anabolic drugs for osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 2008; 19: 1125-1138.
- [69] Gordon A, Southam L, Loughlin J, Wilson AG, Stockley I, Hamer AJ, et al. Variation in the secreted frizzled-related protein-3 gene and risk of osteolysis and heterotopic ossification after total hip arthroplasty. *J Orthop Res* 2007 Dec 12;25(12):1665-70.
- [70] Malik MH, Bayat A, Jury F, Kay PR, Ollier WE. Genetic susceptibility to total hip arthroplasty failure--positive association with mannose-binding lectin. *J Arthroplasty* 2007 Feb;22(2):265-70.
- [71] Malik MH, Bayat A, Jury F, Ollier WE, Kay PR. Genetic susceptibility to hip arthroplasty failure--association with the RANK/OPG pathway. *Int Orthop* 2006 Jun;30(3):177-81.
- [72] Garrigues GE, Cho DR, Rubash HE, Goldring SR, Herndon JH, Shanbhag AS. Gene expression clustering using self-organizing maps: analysis of the macrophage response to particulate biomaterials. *Biomaterials* 2005 Jun;26(16):2933-45.
- [73] Shanbhag AS, Kaufman AM, Hayata K, Rubash HE. Assessing osteolysis with use of high-throughput protein chips. *J Bone Joint Surg* 2007 May;89-A(5):1081-9.
- [74] Koulouvaris P, Ly K, Ivashkiv LB, Bostrom MP, Nestor BJ, Sculco TP, et al. Expression profiling reveals alternative macrophage activation and impaired osteogenesis in periprosthetic osteolysis. *J Orthop Res* 2008 Jan;26(1):106-16.

- [75] Rahbek O, Kold S, Bendix K, Overgaard S, Soballe K. Superior sealing effect of hydroxyapatite in porous-coated implants: Experimental studies on the migration of polyethylene particles around stable and unstable implants in dogs. *Acta Orthop* 2005; 76: 375 – 385.
- [76] Soballe K, Hansen ES, Brockstedt-Rasmussen H, Bunger C. Hydroxyapatite coating converts fibrous tissue to bone around loaded implants. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1993; 75: 270-278.
- [77] Charnley J, Kamangar A, Longfield MD: The optimum size of prosthetic heads in relation to the wear of plastic sockets in total replacement of the hip. *Med Biol Eng* 1969; 7: 31-39.
- [78] McKellop HA, Shen FW, Campbell P, Ota T. Effect of molecular weight, calcium stearate, and sterilization methods on the wear of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene acetabular cups in a joint simulator. *J Orthop Res* 1999; 17:329-339.
- [79] Faris PM, Ritter MA, Pierce AL, Davis KE, Faris GW. Polyethylene sterilization and production effects wear in total hip arthroplasties. *Clin Orthop Rel Res* 2006; 453: 305-308.
- [80] Dorr LD, Wan Z, Shahrddar C, Sirianni L, Boutary M, Yun A. Clinical performance of a Durasul highly cross linked polyethylene acetabular liner for total hip arthroplasty at five years. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005; 87: 1816-1821.
- [81] Digas G, Karrholm J, Thanner J, Malchau H, Herberts P. The Otto Aufranc Award: Highly cross-linked polyethylene in total hip arthroplasty. Randomized evaluation of penetration rate in cemented and uncemented sockets using radiostereometric analysis. *Clin Orthop Rel Res* 2004; 429: 6-16.
- [82] Shanbhag AS, Jacobs JJ, Black J, Galante JO, Glant TT: Human monocyte response to particulate biomaterials generated in vivo and in vitro. *J Orthop Res* 1995;13:792-801.
- [83] Shanbhag AS, Jacobs JJ, Glant TT, Gilbert JL, Black J, Galante JO. Composition and morphology of wear debris in failed uncemented total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*; 76: 60-67.
- [84] Bradford, L., Baker, D., Ries, M. D. & Pruitt, L. A. Fatigue crack propagation resistance of highly crosslinked polyethylene. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 68-72, doi:00003086-200412000-00011 [pii] (2004).
- [85] Jacobsson SA, Djerf K, Wahlstrom O. Twenty-year results of McKee Farrar versus Charnley prosthesis. *Clin Orthop Rel Res* 1996; 329: S60-S68.
- [86] Doorn PF, Campbell PA, Worrall J, Benya PD, McKellop HA, Amstutz HC. Metal wear particle characterization from metal on metal total hip replacements: Transmission electron microscopy study of periprosthetic tissues and isolated particles. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1998; 42: 103-111.
- [87] Willert, H. G. *et al.* Metal-on-metal bearings and hypersensitivity in patients with artificial hip joints. A clinical and histomorphological study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 87, 28-36, doi:87/1/28 [pii] 10.2106/JBJS.A.02039pp (2005).
- [88] Pandit, H. *et al.* Pseudotumours associated with metal-on-metal hip resurfacings. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 90, 847-851, doi:10.1302/0301-620X.90B7.20213 90-B/7/847 [pii] (2008).
- [89] Urban JA, Garvin KL, Boese CK, Bryson L, Pederson DR, Callaghan JJ, Miller RK. Ceramic-on-polyethylene bearing surfaces in total hip arthroplasty. Seventeen to twenty-one-year results. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2001; 83: 1688-1694.
- [90] Hannouche D, Hamadouche M, Nizard R, Bozot P, Sedel L. Ceramics in total hip replacement. *Clin Orthop Rel Res*. 2005; 430: 62-71.

- [91] Mesko, J. W., D'Antonio, J. A., Capello, W. N., Bierbaum, B. E. & Naughton, M. Ceramic-on-ceramic hip outcome at a 5- to 10-year interval: has it lived up to its expectations? *J Arthroplasty* 26, 172-177, doi:S0883-5403(10)00261-5 [pii]10.1016/j.arth.2010.04.029 (2011).
- [92] Yoon, TR, Rowe SM, Jung ST, Seon KJ, Maloney WJ. Osteolysis in association with a total hip arthroplasty with ceramic bearing surfaces. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1998; 80: 1459-1468.
- [93] Nam KW, Yoo JJ, Lae Kim Y, Kim YM, Lee MH, Kim HJ. Alumina-debris-induced osteolysis in contemporary alumina-on-alumina total hip arthroplasty. A case report. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2007; 89: 2499-2503.
- [94] Jarrett, C. A. *et al.* The squeaking hip: a phenomenon of ceramic-on-ceramic total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 91, 1344-1349, doi:91/6/1344 [pii] 10.2106/JBJS.F.00970 (2009).
- [95] Fowles, J., Bunker, J. P. & Schurman, D. J. Hip surgery data yield quality indicators. *Bus Health* 4, 44-46 (1987).
- [96] Espehaug, B., Havelin, L. I., Engesaeter, L. B. & Vollset, S. E. The effect of hospital-type and operating volume on the survival of hip replacements. A review of 39,505 primary total hip replacements reported to the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register, 1988-1996. *Acta Orthop Scand* 70, 12-18 (1999).
- [97] McKellop HA, D'Lima Darryl. How have wear testing and joint simulator studies helped to discriminate among materials and designs? *J AM Acad Orthop Surg* 2008; 16 (suppl 1): S111-S119.
- [98] Bragdon CR, Jasty M, Greene M, Rubash HE, Harris WH. Biologic fixation of total hip implants: Insights gained from a series of canine studies. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004; 86 (suppl 2): 105-117.
- [99] Bechtold JE, Kubic V, Soballe K. Bone ingrowth in the presence of particulate polyethylene: Synergy between interface motion and particulate polyethylene in periprosthetic tissue response. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2002; 84: 915-919.
- [100] Herberts P, Malchau H. Long-term registration has improved the quality of hip replacement. A review of the Swedish THR Register comparing 160,000 case. *Acta Orthop Scand* 2009; 71: 111-121.
- [101] Mulroy RD, Harris WH. The effect of improved cementing techniques on component loosening in total hip replacement: An 11-year radiographic review. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1990; 72: 757-760.
- [102] Stauffer RN. Ten year results of second generation femoral cementing in total hip replacement surgery. Read at the Harvard Medical School Postgraduate Course on Total Hip Surgery. Cambridge, MA, Oct, 1991.
- [103] Stauffer RN. Ten year follow up study of total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1982; 7: 983-990.
- [104] Barrack RL, Mulroy RD, Harris WH. Improved cementing techniques and femoral component loosening in young patients with hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1992; 74: 385-389.
- [105] Davies JP, Jasty M, O'Connor DO, Burke DW, Harrigan TP, Harris WH. The effect of centrifuging bone cement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1989; 71: 39-42.

Recent Advances in Arthroplasty

Edited by Dr. Samo Fokter

ISBN 978-953-307-990-5

Hard cover, 614 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 27, January, 2012

Published in print edition January, 2012

The purpose of this book was to offer an overview of recent insights into the current state of arthroplasty. The tremendous long term success of Sir Charnley's total hip arthroplasty has encouraged many researchers to treat pain, improve function and create solutions for higher quality of life. Indeed and as described in a special chapter of this book, arthroplasty is an emerging field in the joints of upper extremity and spine. However, there are inborn complications in any foreign design brought to the human body. First, in the chapter on infections we endeavor to provide a comprehensive, up-to-date analysis and description of the management of this difficult problem. Second, the immune system is faced with a strange material coming in huge amounts of micro-particles from the tribology code. Therefore, great attention to the problem of aseptic loosening has been addressed in special chapters on loosening and on materials currently available for arthroplasty.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Scott J. MacInnes, Andrew Gordon and J. Mark Wilkinson (2012). Risk Factors for Aseptic Loosening Following Total Hip Arthroplasty, Recent Advances in Arthroplasty, Dr. Samo Fokter (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-990-5, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/recent-advances-in-arthroplasty/risk-factors-for-aseptic-loosening-following-total-hip-arthroplasty>

INTech
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen