We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Recent Advances in Yeast Biomass Production

Rocío Gómez-Pastor^{1,2}, Roberto Pérez-Torrado²,
Elena Garre¹ and Emilia Matallana^{1,2}

¹*Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Universitat de València.*

²*Departamento de Biotecnología, Instituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos, Spain*

1. Introduction

Yeasts have been used by humans to produce foods for thousands of years. Bread, wine, sake and beer are made with the essential contribution of yeasts, especially from the species *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The first references to humans using yeasts were found in Caucasian and Mesopotamian regions and date back to approximately 7000 BC. However, it was not until 1845 when Louis Pasteur discovered that yeasts were microorganisms capable of fermenting sugar to produce CO₂ and ethanol. Ancient practices were based on the natural presence of this unicellular eukaryote, which spontaneously starts the fermentation of sugars. As industrialisation increased the manufacture of fermented products, the demand of yeast grew exponentially. At the end of the 19th century, addition of exogenous yeast biomass to produce bread and beer started to become a common practice. Wineries were more reluctant to alter traditional practices, and started using exogenous yeast inocula in the 1950's, especially in countries with less wine tradition (USA, South Africa, Australia and New Zealand). In the 1960's, yeast biomass-producing plants contributed to the technology of producing large amounts of active dry yeast (ADY), and its use rapidly spread to European countries (Reed and Nagodawithana, 1988).

Nowadays, modern industries require very large amounts of selected yeasts to obtain high quality reproducible products and to ensure fast, complete fermentations. Around 0.4 million metric tonnes of yeast biomass, including 0.2 million tonnes baker's yeast alone, are produced each year worldwide. Efficient and profitable factory-scale processes have been developed to produce yeast biomass. The standard process was empirically optimised to obtain the highest yield by increasing biomass production and decreasing costs. However in recent years, several molecular and physiological studies have revealed that yeast undergoes diverse stressful situations along the biomass production process which can seriously affect its fermentative capacity and technological performance.

In this chapter, we review the yeast biomass production process, including substrates, growth configuration, yield optimisation and the particularities of brewing, baker- or wine-yeasts production. We summarise the new studies that describe the process from a molecular viewpoint to reveal yeast responses to different stressful situations. Finally, we

highlight the key points to be optimised in order to obtain not only high yields, but also the best biomass fermentative efficiency, and we provide future directions in the field.

2. Molasses: A suitable substrate

Beet or cane molasses are the main substrate used in yeast production plants. These materials were selected for two main reasons: first, yeasts grow very well using the sugars present in the molasses and second, they are economically interesting since they are a waste product coming from sugar refineries without any other application. Usually, molasses contain between 65% and 75% of sugars, mainly sucrose (Hongisto and Laakso, 1978); but the composition is highly variable depending on the sucrose-refining procedure and on the weather conditions of that particular year. Sucrose is extracellularly hydrolysed by yeasts in two monosaccharides, glucose and fructose, which are transported to and incorporated into the yeast metabolism as carbon sources. However, molasses are deficient in other essential elements for yeast growth. One of them is nitrogen since its molasses content is very poor (less than 3%). Yeasts can use some of the amino acids present in molasses, but addition of nitrogen sources is needed, generally in the form of ammonium salts or urea. Magnesium and phosphate elements are also supplemented in salt forms. Finally, three vitamins (biotin, thiamine and pantothenic acid), required for fast growth, must be supplemented since their content in molasses is also very low (Oura, 1974; Woehrer and Roehr, 1981). Another negative aspect of molasses being used as a substrate to produce yeasts is the presence of different toxics that can affect yeast growth. Variable amounts of herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, fertilizers and heavy metals applied to beet or cane crops can be found in molasses and in different stocks. Moreover bactericides, which are added during sugar production in refinery plants, can be found (Reed and Nagodawithana, 1988). All these toxics can decrease yeast performance by inhibiting growth (Pérez-Torrado, 2004). In fact, a common practice in yeast plants is to mix different stocks to dilute potential toxics.

The effects of molasses composition on yeast growth have been recently analysed at molecular level by determining the transcriptional profile of yeast growing in beet molasses and by comparing it to complete synthetic media (Shima *et al.*, 2005). The results revealed that yeast displays clear gene expression responses when grown in industrial media because of the induction of *FDH1* and *FDH2* genes to detoxify formate and the *SUL1* expression as a response to low sulphate levels. Thus it can be concluded that molasses are far from being an optimal substrate for yeast growth. Another interesting conclusion drawn is that molecular approaches can be especially suited to gain insight into the yeast biomass production process.

In the last years, the price of molasses has increased because of their use in other industrial applications such as animal feeding or bioethanol production (Arshad *et al.*, 2008; Kopsahelis *et al.* 2009; Xandé *et al.*, 2010), thus rendering the evaluation of new substrates for yeast biomass propagation a trend topic for biomass producers' research. New assayed substrates include molasses mixtures with corn steep liquor (20:80), different agricultural waste products (Vu and Kim, 2009) and other possibilities as date juice (Beiroti and Hosseini, 2007) or agricultural waste sources, also called wood molasses, that can be substrate only for yeast species capable of using xylose as a carbon source.

3. Scaling up: Batch and fed-batch

Nowadays, yeast biomass propagation of wine, distiller's and brewer's yeasts are usually produced in baker's yeast plants. The procedure is designed as a multistage-based fermentation, previously defined for the production of baker's yeast (Chen and Chiger, 1985; Reed and Nagodawithana, 1991) using supplemented molasses as growth media. The first stage (F1) is initiated with a flask culture containing molasses, which is inoculated with the selected yeast strain. Production cultures may be periodically renewed from the stock cultures maintained under more stringent control procedures in a central quality control laboratory. Then, the initial culture is used to inoculate the first fermentor, and cells grow in various transient stages during the batch (F2-F4) and fed-batch (F5-F6) phases of the process. In a sequence of consecutive fermentations, the yeast biomass grown in small fermentors is used to inoculate larger tanks (Reed, 1982; Chen and Chiger, 1985; Reed and Nagodawithana, 1991; Degre, 1993).

In the initial batch phase (F2), cells are exposed to the high sugars concentration present in molasses. All the other nutrients are also present in the fermentor, and pH must be adjusted to 4.5-5.0 after sterilisation to be then monitored during batch fermentation. Once the batch phase has started, the only controllable parameters are temperature and aeration. Yeast propagation typically involves continuous aeration or oxygenation, but a relatively short aeration period has been suggested to suffice (Maemura *et al.*, 1998). However the presence of O₂ from the beginning of the process allows yeast cells to synthesise lipids, thereby revitalising the sterol-deficient cell population and ensuring that fermentation can proceed efficiently. Besides, those propagation experiments carried out in non-oxygenated media considerably reduce yeast growth and increase internal oxidative stress (Boulton, 2000; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009).

During batch fermentation (F2-F4), a growth lag phase takes place in which cells synthesise the enzymes involved in gluconeogenesis and the glyoxylate cycle (Haarasilta and Oura, 1975). During the subsequent exponential phase, a very small amount of glucose is oxidised in the mitochondria, but when the sugar concentration drops below a strain-specific level or the specific growth rate in aerobic cultures exceeds a critical value (μ_{crit}), a mixed respiro-fermentative metabolism occurs. This phenomenon has been described as the "Crabtree effect" (De Deken, 1966; Pronk *et al.*, 1996) and was originally considered a consequence of the catabolite repression and limited respiratory capacity of *S. cerevisiae* (Postma *et al.*, 1989; Alexander and Jeffries, 1990). It has also been suggested that there is no limitation in the respiratory capacity, as can be deduced from the increased respiratory capacity displayed by a PGK-overproducing mutant, indicating that the activity of respiration itself is not saturated and suggesting that it is not the main cause triggering ethanol production and inducing the long-term Crabtree effect (Van der Aar *et al.*, 1990). However, more recent works have showed that Crabtree effect is derived from the limited mitochondrial capacity to absorb the NADH produced in the glycolysis (Vemuri *et al.*, 2007).

Alcoholic fermentation leads to a suboptimal biomass concentration because the ATP yield is much lower than the yield obtained during respiratory carbohydrate degradation (Verduyn, 1991; Rizzi *et al.*, 1997). However, pre-adaptation to large amounts of glucose during the batch phase is necessary to ensure the produced biomass' optimal fermentative capacity by accumulating several necessary reserve metabolites to be used in the fed-batch phase (Dombek and Ingram, 1987; Rizzi *et al.*, 1997; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009). In

addition, prolonged growth in aerobic, glucose-limited chemostat cultures of *S. cerevisiae*, avoiding the batch phase, causes a partial loss of glycolytic capacity (Jansen *et al.*, 2005). The presence of O₂ during the process also allows yeast to oxidise alcoholic fermentation-produced ethanol when sucrose is exhausted, which triggers the metabolism to change from fermentation to respiration, and eliminates ethanol from the media. When ethanol is exhausted, the fed-batch phase starts (F5-F6). In the transition to the respiratory phase, an increase in the cAMP levels triggers the breakdown of storage carbohydrates and an increased influx of glucose into the glycolytic pathway. The resulting increase in the NAD⁺/NADH ratio stimulates respiration in combination with a drop in the ATP level, which is consumed mainly during biomass formation (Pérez-Torrado, 2004; Xu and Tsurugi, 2006; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009). In some industrial wine yeast production plants, fed-batch phases are initiated without consuming ethanol from the growth media, which considerably reduces the biomass yield.

Optimisation of biomass productivity requires an increase in both the specific growth rate and the biomass yield during the fed-batch phase to the highest values possible under sugar-limited cultivation. Generally, the growth rate profile during fed-batch cultivation is controlled primarily by the carbohydrate feedstock feed rate (Beudeker *et al.*, 1990). The control of optimum dissolved oxygen during the fed-batch phase is also essential to obtain a high biomass yield, and important studies have been done to optimise aeration control (Blanco *et al.*, 2008). Therefore sugar-limited cultivation in the presence of O₂ allows the full respiratory growth of *S. cerevisiae*, achieving much higher biomass yields than during the batch phase (Postma *et al.*, 1989). If the only objective is to maximise the biomass concentration starting with a sufficiently concentrated inoculum from the batch phase, it is necessary to grow cells at a rate as close to the critical growth rate as possible (μ_{crit}), which depends exclusively on the yeast strain (Valentinotti *et al.*, 2002), avoiding ethanol and acetate formation. Many of the parameters that have an impact on yeast's metabolic activities have to be controlled (Miskiewicz and Borowiak, 2005). The pH and temperature are important parameters to be controlled during this phase: maintaining pH constantly at around 4.5 by adjusting the pH automatically with acid/base solutions, and maintaining temperature at 30°C. Properly designed final fed-batch fermentations should also permit yeast cells maturation. This can be accomplished by stopping the feeding of nutrients at the end of fermentation, but allowing slight aeration to continue for an hour (Oura *et al.*, 1974). During this period, the substrate is completely assimilated and allows ripened cells to become more stable and avoids autolysis.

Many research efforts have focused on optimising fed-batch processes for baker's yeast production with different aims (productivity, yeast quality, or energy saving) and most have been commonly done under laboratory conditions (Van Hoek *et al.*, 1998; Van Hoek *et al.*, 2000; Jansen *et al.*, 2005; Henes and Sonnleitner, 2007; Cheng *et al.*, 2008), but rarely under pilot plant conditions (Di Serio *et al.*, 2001; Lei *et al.*, 2001; Gibson *et al.*, 2007; Gibson *et al.*, 2008). They have all been designed to mainly analyse the fed-batch phase without considering the whole process. The first published study on the complete industrial process was the simulation of wine yeast biomass propagation by performing batch and fed-batch phases in only one bioreactor (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2005). This simplification of the process enabled the study of yeast physiology from a molecular point of view with a bench-top design (Fig. 1), whose results display a good correlation with those obtained from pilot plants and this set of parameters for further investigation.

Fig. 1. Diagram of the different stages in the industrial yeast biomass propagation process. The parameters employed throughout the process (sucrose and ethanol production / consumption, dissolved O₂, cell density and feed rate) have been adapted from Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010b. The lower panel shows representative cellular states, along with the most relevant metabolites, proteins and gene expressions throughout biomass propagation.

4. Desiccation of wine yeasts

In contrast to baker’s and brewer’s yeast, seasonal wine production requires the development of highly stable dry yeast products. At the end of biomass propagation, wine yeast cells are recovered and dehydrated to obtain ADY (Chen and Chiger, 1985; Degre, 1993; Gonzalez *et al.*, 2005). After the maturation step, yeast cells are separated from fermented media by centrifugation, and are subjected to washing separations to reduce non-yeast solids, a necessary step because they affect the proper rehydration process of ADY for must fermentation. The separation process yields a slightly coloured yeast cream containing up to 22% yeast solids. After this step, the yeast cream can be stored at 4°C after adjusting the pH to 3.5 to avoid microbial contaminations. The cream yeast is further dehydrated to 30-35% solids by means of rotary vacuum filters or filter presses. The filtered yeast is usually

mixed with emulsifiers prior to its extrusion into yeast strands. The yeast cake is extruded through a perforated plate, while particles are loaded into the dryer and dehydrated to obtain a product with very low residual moisture. Although several types of dryers exist (roto-louvre, belt dryers, spray dryers), the one most commonly used in industry is the fluidized-bed dryer. In this dryer, heated air is blown from the bottom through yeast particles at velocities which keep them in suspension. Air is treated to reduce its water content and to ensure that the yeast temperature does not exceed 35°C or 41°C during drying. Drying times may vary from 15 to 60 min depending on the mass volume and the used conditions. Finally, ADY with less than 8% residual moisture is vacuum-packaged or placed in an inert atmosphere, such as nitrogen and CO₂, to reduce oxidation. Depending on the strain, loss of viability is estimated at between 10% and 25% per year at 20°C. For this reason, manufacturers recommend storing ADY at 4°C in a dry atmosphere for a maximum 3-year period.

In order to produce an ADY product with acceptable fermentative activity and storage stability, several factors must be taken into account. The drying temperature and rate can be critical for yeast resistance to dehydration and rehydration (Beney *et al.*, 2000; Beney *et al.*, 2001; Laroche and Gervais, 2003). Some studies have shown that cell death during desiccation is strongly related to membrane integrity loss, leading to cell lysis during rehydration (Beney and Gervais, 2001; Laroche *et al.*, 2001; Simonin *et al.* 2007; Dupont *et al.*, 2010). A gradual dehydration kinetics, which allows a slow water efflux through the plasmatic membrane and homogenous desiccation, followed by a progressive rehydration during the starter preparation, have been related with high cell viability (Gervais *et al.*, 1992; Gervais and Marechal, 1994, Dupont *et al.*, 2010). The amount of cell constituents leaked during rehydration can also be reduced by adding emulsifiers, such as sorbitan monostearate (Chen and Chiger, 1985). Moreover, biomass propagation conditions have a major influence on yeast resistance to dehydration-rehydration. Several cultivation factors can affect cell resistance to desiccation, such as the substrate, growth phase and ion availability (Trofimova *et al.*, 2010).

5. Yeast stress along biomass production

Several classic studies have evaluated the energy, kinetic and yield parameters of the yeast biomass production process (Reed, 1982; Chen and Chiger, 1985; Reed and Nagodawithana, 1991; Degre, 1993). However, the biochemical and molecular aspects of yeast adaptation to adverse industrial growth conditions have been poorly characterised. In recent years, a substantial effort has been made to gain insight into yeast responses during the process. It was believed that industrial conditions were optimised to obtain the best performing yeast cells, but now we know that yeast cells endure several stressful situations that induce multiple intracellular changes and challenge their technological fitness (Attfield, 1997; Pretorius, 1997; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2005). With wine yeast, moreover, the biomass is concentrated and dehydrated at the end of the process to obtain ADY yeasts that can be stored for long periods of time (Degre, 1993). Subsequently in a period of several hours during maturation and final drying processing, cells undergo nutrient limitation and a complex mixture of different stresses (thermic, osmotic, oxidative, etc.) (Garre *et al.*, 2010). As a result, these dynamic environmental injuries seriously affect biomass yield, fermentative capacity, vitality, and cell viability (Attfield, 1997; Pretorius, 1997; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2005; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009).

Eukaryotic cells have developed molecular mechanisms to sense stressful situations, transfer information to the nucleus and adapt to new conditions (Hohmann and Mager, 1997; Estruch, 2000; Hohmann, 2002). Protective molecules are rapidly synthesised in stressful situations and transcriptional factors are activated, thus changing the transcriptional profile of cells. Many stress response genes are induced under several adverse conditions through sequence element STRE (stress-responsive element), which targets the main transcriptional factors Msn2p and Msn4p (Kobayashi and McEntee, 1993; Martinez-Pastor *et al.*, 1996). This pathway, also known as the “general stress response pathway”, increases the expression of many different genes, including the well-studied *HSP12* and *GSY2* genes involved in protein folding and glycogen metabolism, respectively (Boy-Marcote *et al.*, 1998; Estruch, 2000). Furthermore, yeast cells have been seen to respond specifically to certain stresses. During thermal stress, transcriptional factor Hsf1p activates the transcription of genes, such as *STI1*, which code for those proteins that counteract protein denaturation and aggregation (Lindquist and Craig, 1988; Sorger, 1991). Aerobic growth during biomass propagation and pro-oxidants also generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), leading to several types of oxidative damage to cells (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a). To neutralise the harmful effects of oxidative stress, proteins are generated, and they participate in two major functions: antioxidants (such as *GSH1*, *TRX2*, *CUP1*, and *CTT1*) to reduce proteins and eliminate ROS damage, and metabolic enzymes (such as *PMG1* and *TDH2*) that redirect metabolic fluxes to synthesise NADPH by slowing down catabolic pathways like glycolysis (Godon *et al.*, 1998). Another well-known specific stress response is the high-osmolarity glycerol response pathway (Brewster *et al.*, 1993), which induces the genes involved in glycerol synthesis (*GPD1*, *GPP2*) and methylglyoxal detoxification (*GLO1*). Intracellular accumulation of glycerol counteracts hyperosmotic pressure to avoid water loss (Hohmann, 2002). There are other stress response pathways that remain poorly understood, such as those involved in the adaptation to nutrient starvation. Large groups of well-known stress response genes and other genes with unknown functions, such as *YPG1*, are induced after exposure to one kind of stress, and are also involved in the protective mechanism against other different stresses, a phenomenon known as cross-protection (Coote *et al.*, 1991; Piper, 1995; Trollmo *et al.*, 1988; Varela *et al.*, 1992; Bauer and Pretorius, 2000). The molecular responses of laboratory *S. cerevisiae* strains to different stresses have been thoroughly studied, and a large body of knowledge is available (Gasch and Werner-Washburne, 2002; Hohmann and Mager, 2003). In addition, several approaches for the characterisation of stress responses under industrial conditions have been carried out for wine and lager yeasts (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2005; Gibson *et al.*, 2007), and some correlations have been found between stress resistance of several yeast strains and their suitability for industrial processes (Beudeker *et al.*, 1990; Ivorra *et al.*, 1999; Aranda *et al.*, 2002; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2002; Zuzuarregui *et al.*, 2005; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009; Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a). For these reasons, the study of stress responses under industrial conditions has become an important research field to improve our knowledge of not only complex industrial processes, but of yeast capabilities.

Given the antiquity of yeast fermentation processes, these microorganisms have evolved in natural stressing environments, which have favoured the selection of “domesticated” yeast that displays high stress resistance (Jamieson, 1998). Studies of brewing yeast under industrial fermentations have demonstrated the suitability of the marker gene expression as a tool to study yeast stress responses in industrial processes (Higgins *et al.*, 2003a). Monitoring stress-related marker genes, such as *HSP12*, *GPD1*, *STI1*, *GSY2* and *TRX2*,

during bench-top growth trials of wine yeast biomass propagation have demonstrated that osmotic (*GPD1*) and oxidative stresses (*TRX2*) are the main adverse conditions that *S. cerevisiae* senses during this process (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2005). Afterwards, a genome-wide expression analysis of the same process established stress-critical time points throughout the process based on the profiles of different oxidative stress response genes (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010b). Three relevant stressful points have been defined during biomass propagation: the first during the metabolic transition from fermentation to respiration in the batch phase; the second critical point is the end of the batch phase when previously produced ethanol is completely consumed; the third interesting point is the end of the fed-batch phase, after a long period under respiratory metabolism. Among these set points, metabolic transition during the batch phase is the most relevant as several genes relating to cell stress, especially those related to oxidative stress (*TRX2*, *GRX2* and *PRX1*), protein degradation, aerobic respiration and NADPH production, are induced while ribosomal proteins are dramatically repressed (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010b). Similar results have been observed in a genome-wide expression analysis during biomass propagation of brewer's yeasts, which also displays a strong induction of the genes involved in ergosterol biosynthesis and oxidative stress protection in initial industrial lager fermentation stages (Higgins *et al.*, 2003b; reviewed in Gibson *et al.*, 2007; Gibson *et al.*, 2008). However, while osmotic stress plays a role in initial biomass propagation stages as a result of the large amount of sugar in molasses, oxidative stress takes place throughout the process as a result of aeration (reviewed in Gibson *et al.*, 2007).

As mentioned earlier, an oxygen supply is necessary to generate yeast biomass and to ensure optimal physiological conditions for effective fermentation (Chen and Chiger, 1985; Reed and Nagodawithana, 1991; Hulse, 2008). Oxygen is required for lipid synthesis, which is necessary to maintain plasma membrane integrity and function, and consequently for both cell replication and the biosynthesis of sterols and unsaturated fatty acids. Despite its potential toxicity, eliminating oxygen in the first part of the batch phase diminishes biomass yield (Boulton *et al.*, 2000; Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009) and avoids the expression of those genes related to oxidative stress response, such as *TRX2* and *GRE2*, which significantly increases oxidative cellular damage, such as lipid peroxidation, when the bioreactor is re-oxygenated to oxidise ethanol (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009). Clarkson *et al.* (1991) demonstrated that cellular antioxidant defences, such as Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase, Mn superoxide dismutase and catalase activities of brewing yeast strains, also change rapidly after adding or removing O₂ from fermentation.

During an industrial-scale propagation of wine and brewing yeasts, catalase and Mn superoxide dismutase activities increase as propagation proceeds (Martin *et al.*, 2003; Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a), indicating the importance of oxidative stress response throughout the process, whereas Sod1p (Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase) transiently accumulates at the end of the batch phase when ethanol is consumed (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a). A study of different types of oxidative damage during wine yeast biomass propagation has revealed that lipid peroxidation considerably increases during the metabolic transition from fermentation to respiration, which decreases to basal levels during the fed-batch phase (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a). Besides, the protein carbonylation analysis, one of the most important oxidative damages (Stadtman and Levine, 2000), has revealed different protein oxidation patterns during biomass propagation, which reach maximum global carbonylation levels at the end of the batch phase (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a). As

protein oxidation causes the loss of catalytic or structural integrity, further research into the specific oxidised proteins during biomass production should be done to correlate the detriment in fermentative capacity with specific damaged proteins. In addition, reduced glutathione, an important antioxidant molecule, varies during the process as is lowered during the metabolic transition, while oxidised glutathione increases. Then, reduced glutathione increases constantly in different stages of the process (Gibson *et al.*, 2006; Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a). Whether glutathione is directly affected by O₂ during biomass propagation remains unknown and requires further investigation.

The fed-batch phase is characterised by the accumulation of other important antioxidant molecules, such as trehalose and thioredoxin (Trx2p) (Pérez-Torrado, 2004; Gómez-Pastor, 2010), although the mRNA levels for the *TRX2* gene significantly increase during the batch phase metabolic transition (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, glycogen, a secondary long-term energy storage molecule which has been related to adaptation to the respiratory metabolism (Francois and Parrou, 2001), also accumulates at the end of the fed-batch phase (Pérez-Torrado, 2004). Studies using different dilution rates during the continuous cultivation of baker's yeast have shown that the accumulation of trehalose and glycogen has a negative effect as it increases dilution rates, which is also detrimental for fermentative capacity and cellular responses to heat stress during dehydration (Ertugay and Hamaci, 1997; Garre *et al.*, 2009). Despite a high biomass yield and the accumulation of several beneficial metabolites obtained during the fed-batch phase, *S. cerevisiae* dramatically diminished fermentative capacity after prolonged glucose-limited aerobic cultivation due to several glycolytic enzymes' diminished activity (Jansen *et al.*, 2005).

Proteomic studies have also been carried out to gain a better understanding of the fluctuations in the stress-related gene mRNA levels during biomass propagation and to correlate glycolytic enzyme activities with their corresponding protein levels. However, the proteomic data available from industrial processes are very limited and usually centre on bioethanol production (Cot *et al.*, 2007; Cheng *et al.*, 2008) or wine and beer fermentations (Trabalzini *et al.*, 2003; Zuzuarregui *et al.*, 2006; Salvadó *et al.*, 2008; Rossignol *et al.*, 2009). Recent proteomic studies performed by 2D-gel electrophoresis during wine yeast biomass propagation have revealed that several glycolytic enzyme isoforms increase during biomass production. This is probably due to the post-translational modifications after oxidative stress exposure (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010b; Costa *et al.*, 2002). Trabalzini *et al.* (2003) suggested that some specific isoforms of glycolytic/gluconeogenic pathway enzymes in wine strains of *S. cerevisiae* are involved in the physiological adaptation to different fermentation stresses. There have also been reports of the differential stress regulations of several proteins (Arg1p, Sti1p and Pdc1p) among different industrial strains possibly having important industrial implications for strain improvement and protection (Caesar *et al.*, 2007). It is interesting to note that biomass propagation experiments using a *trx2* deletion strain have shown a low number of several glycolytic enzyme isoforms and, consequently, an increase in oxidative cellular damage, such as lipid peroxidation and global protein carbonylation (Gómez-Pastor, 2010). During the metabolic transition in the batch phase, several proteins relating to oxidative stress are expressed (Prx1p, Ahp1p, Ilv5p, Pdi1p, Sod1p and Trr1p), which directly correlates with their mRNA levels observed for this growth stage (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010b). This scenario indicates adaptation to the new condition. In contrast, the genes coding for most of the heat shock proteins, chaperons (Mge1p, Hsp60p, Ssb1p and Ssc1p) and proteins related to ATP metabolism are specifically

induced during the metabolic transition, but their protein levels decline throughout the process. The proteins with the highest expression levels at the end of the biomass propagation include Tdh1p, which codifies for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and Bmh1p and Bmh2p, homologues to the mammalian 14-3-3 proteins involved in global protein regulation at the post-translational level (Bruckmann *et al.*, 2007). The expression of these proteins at the end of biomass propagation is important as they control the translation of several glycolytic proteins (Fba1p, Eno1p, Tpi1p, Pck1p, Tdh1p, Tdh3p and Gpm1p), as well as the levels of those proteins involved in amino acid biosynthesis and heat shock proteins translation (Bruckmann *et al.*, 2007). This may explain the lack of correlation between the transcriptomic and the proteomic analyses for glycolytic enzymes during biomass propagation. Under oxidative stress, some glycolytic proteins (Tdh3p, Pdc1p, Ad1p and Eno1p) have been described to be specifically modified by oxidation (Le Moan *et al.*, 2006). This oxidation process could explain the loss of fermentative capacity observed in some commercial wine yeast industrial strains at the end of the biomass propagation process (Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a, b). Regarding this hypothesis, it is worth noting that the overexpression of the *TRX2* gene in industrial yeasts significantly increases the obtained biomass' fermentative capacity by improving the oxidative stress response during propagation, and by decreasing lipid and protein oxidation (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009; Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a, c). Figure 1 summarizes the different stresses affecting yeast cells during the biomass propagation process, especially those encountered during the batch phase, and shows the different cellular states with the most relevant metabolites, genes and proteins expressed in each propagation stage.

The industrial yeast biomass dehydration process also involves damaging environmental changes. As the biomass is being concentrated, water molecules are removed and temperature increases, all of which affect the viability and vitality of cells (Matthews and Webb, 1991). Dehydration is known to cause both cell growth arrest and severe damage to membranes and proteins (Potts, 2001; Singh *et al.*, 2005). Removal of water molecules causes protein denaturalisation, aggregation, and loss of activity in an irreversible manner (Prestrelski *et al.*, 1993). Additionally at the membrane level, desiccation is associated with an increased package of polar groups of phospholipids, and with the formation of endovesicles leading to cell lysis during rehydration (Crowe *et al.*, 1992; Simonin *et al.*, 2007). Yeasts have several strategies to maintain membrane fluidity (Beney and Gervais, 2001). One of them is to accumulate ergosterol, this being the predominant sterol in *S. cerevisiae*. Sterols have been proposed to maintain the lateral heterogeneity of the protein and lipid distribution in the plasma membrane because of the putative role they play in inducing microdomains, the so-called lipid rafts (Simons and Ikonen, 1997). Ergosterol synthesis has been related with yeast stress tolerance (Swan and Watson, 1998), and its beneficial role in the different processing steps of industrial yeast has been documented. Its synthesis during biomass production is critical to ensure suitable yeast ethanol tolerance in its later application in wine fermentation (Zuzuarregui *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, the addition of oleic acid and ergosterol during wine fermentation mitigates oxidative stress by reducing not only the intracellular content of reactive oxygen species, but oxidative damage to membranes and proteins, and enhancing cell viability (Landolfo *et al.*, 2010). Recently, experiments with a *erg6Δ* mutant strain, deficient in the ergosterol biosynthetic pathway and which accumulates mainly zymosterol and cholesta-5,7,24-trienol instead of ergosterol, have shown that the nature of sterols affects yeast survival during dehydration, and that

resistance to dehydration-rehydration cycles can be restored with ergosterol supplementation during the anaerobic growth of the *erg6Δ* mutant (Dupont *et al.*, 2010). Recent phenomic and transcriptomic analyses during the desiccation of a laboratory strain have indicated that this process represents a complex stress involving changes in about 12% of the yeast genome (Ratnakumar *et al.*, 2011). Under these conditions, the induction of 71 genes grouped into the “environmental stress response” category was observed, suggesting a role of the general stress transcription factors Msn2p and Msn4p in the desiccation stress response. Furthermore, the phenomic screen looking for genes that are beneficial to desiccation tolerance has identified several of the transcriptional regulators or protein kinases involved in oxidative (*ATF1*, *SKN7*) and osmotic (*HAL9*, *MSN1*, *MSN2*, *MSN4*, *HOG1*, *PBS2*, *SSK2*) stress responses. Although studies with lab strains generate interesting information about the desiccation process, an analysis of stress marker genes during dehydration in ADY production has revealed that inductions of gene expressions in wine yeast T73 are generally moderate, although statistically significant, in some steps, such as hot air drying and final product (Garret *et al.*, 2010). One such example is the induction of osmotic stress marker *GPD1* due to water loss. However, despite the yeast biomass losing approximately 95% of water content during this dehydration process, *GPD1* induction is not as important as previously observed in lab yeast strains under osmotic stress (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2002). These data are in agreement with the robustness of industrial yeasts strains compared to laboratory strains (Querol *et al.*, 2003), and also with the well-known relevance of biomass propagation conditions to confer resistance to subsequent suboptimal conditions (Bisson *et al.*, 2007). One interesting aspect in the same study carried out by Garre and coworkers (2010) is that the highest induction is displayed by oxidative stress marker *GSH1* that codes for γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase activity. This observation is supported by: i) significant inductions of the other genes involved in oxidative stress response, such as *TRR1* and *GRX5*, ii) rise in the cellular lipid peroxidation level, iii) increased intracellular glutathione accumulation, and iv) a peak of its oxidized form GSSG during the first minutes of drying. In addition, a genomic analysis of an oenological-dried yeast strain has shown a strong induction of the other genes related with oxidative stress response, such as *CTT1*, *SOD1*, *SOD2*, *GTT1* and *GTT2* (Rossignol *et al.*, 2006). Currently, free radical damage is emerging as one of the most important injuries during dehydration. Several studies with laboratory yeast strains have shown considerable ROS accumulation during dehydration that results in protein denaturation, nucleic acid damage and lipid peroxidation (Espindola *et al.*, 2003; Pereira *et al.*, 2003; França *et al.*, 2005, 2007). Antioxidant systems appear to be interesting targets affecting yeast's desiccation tolerance. Several examples using lab strains have been shown. Overexpression of antioxidant enzymes genes, such as *SOD1* and *SOD2*, increases yeast survival after dehydration (Pereira *et al.*, 2003), whereas a mutant without cytosolic catalase activity is more sensitive to water loss (França *et al.*, 2005). Glutathione seems to play a significant role in the maintenance of intracellular redox balance because glutathione-deficient mutant strains are much more oxidised after dehydration than the wild-type strain, and they show high viability loss (Espindola *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore, addition of glutathione to *gsh1* cells restores survival rates to control strain levels. Remarkably, the overexpression of the *TRX2* gene in wine yeast has proved a successful strategy to improve fermentative capacity and to produce lower levels of oxidative cellular damage after dry biomass production than its parental strain (Pérez-Torrado *et al.*, 2009; Gómez-Pastor *et al.*, 2010a).

The accumulation of some metabolites has been related to yeasts' resistance to drying and subsequent rehydration. One of them is the amino acid proline. This amino acid exhibits multiple functions *in vitro*: it enhances the stability of proteins, DNA and membranes, inhibits protein aggregation, and acts as a ROS scavenger; but its functions *in vivo*, particularly as a stress protectant, are poorly understood. Although *S. cerevisiae* cells do not accumulate this amino acid in response to stresses, it has been recently shown with laboratory strains that proline-accumulating mutants are more tolerant than wild-type cells to freezing, desiccation, oxidative, or ethanol stress (reviewed in Takagi, 2008; Kaino and Takagi, 2009). Self-cloning has been used to construct the baker's yeasts that accumulate proline by carrying the disruption of the *PUT1* gene involved in the degradation pathway, and expressing a mutant *PRO1* gene that encodes a less sensitive γ -glutamate kinase to feedback inhibition in order to enhance biosynthetic activity. The engineered yeast strain shows enhanced freeze tolerance in doughs (Kaino *et al.*, 2008). A recent transcriptomic analysis of air-dried cells has suggested activated transport and metabolic processes to increase the intracellular concentration of proline during yeast desiccation (Ratnakumar *et al.*, 2011).

Interestingly, wine yeasts accumulate large amounts of disaccharide trehalose, usually in the 12-20% range of cell dry weight (Degre, 1993) although higher percentages have been detected in industrial stocks (Garre *et al.*, 2010). Trehalose content has been proposed as one of the most important factors to affect dehydration survival. Baker's yeasts with 5% of trehalose are 3 times more sensitive to desiccation than those cells accumulating 20% of trehalose (Cerrutti *et al.*, 2000). The main function of this metabolite is to act as a protective molecule in stress response. This effect can be achieved in two ways: by protecting membrane integrity through the union with phospholipids (reviewed in Crowe *et al.*, 1992); by preserving the native conformation of proteins and preventing the aggregation of partially denatured proteins (Singer and Lindquist, 1998a). The indispensability of this metabolite to survive dehydration is a controversial subject. Some studies have suggested that its presence is essential and needed in both sides of the membrane to confer suitable protection (Eleuterio *et al.*, 1993; Sales *et al.*, 2000). However, these results are argued alongside the *tps1* mutant's dehydration resistance, which is unable to synthesise trehalose, as other authors have indicated (Ratnakumar and Tunnacliffe, 2006). On the other hand, dehydration tolerance conferred by trehalose seems to be also related to its ability to protect cellular components from oxidative injuries (Benaroudj *et al.*, 2001; Oku *et al.*, 2003; Herdeiro *et al.*, 2006; da Costa Morato *et al.*, 2008; Trevisol *et al.*, 2011). The addition of external trehalose during dehydration reduces intracellular oxidation and lipid peroxidation and increases the number of viable cells after dehydration (Pereira *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, the compensatory trehalose accumulation observed in *hsp12Δ* mutants confers a higher desiccation tolerance than the parent wild-type cells, which is the result of increased protection by mutant cells against reactive oxygen species (Shamrock and Lindsey, 2008). Some studies have proved the applicability of this metabolite to improve industrial yeast tolerance to dehydration. A clear and simple example is that of Elutherio and co-workers (1997), where the trehalose accumulation induced by osmotic stress in the species *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis* before dehydration is enough to achieve survivals of up to 60% after drying, whereas the stationary cells presenting low trehalose levels are unable to survive. The construction of trehalose-overaccumulating strains by removing

degradative activities emerges as a useful strategy for industrial yeasts (Kim *et al.*, 1996). Studies done with laboratory strains have shown that the deletion of genes *ATH1* and *NTH1*, respectively encoding acid and neutral trehalase activity, improve yeast cells viability after dehydration, which is provoked by hyperosmotic stress (Garre *et al.*, 2009). Similar approaches using baker's yeast have also been successful, and defective mutants in neutral or acid trehalase activities exhibit higher tolerance levels to dry conditions than the parent strain, as well as increased gassing power of frozen dough (Shima *et al.*, 1999).

6. Conclusions

In the last few decades, the yeast biomass production industry has contributed with many advanced approaches to traditional technological tools with a view to studying the physiology, biochemistry and gene expression of yeast cells during biomass growth and processing. This has provided a picture of the determinant factors for the commercial product's high yield and fermentative fitness. Cell adaptation to adverse industrial conditions is a key element for good progress to be made in biomass propagation and desiccation, and towards the characterisation of specific stress responses during industrial processes to clearly indicate the main injuries affecting cell survival and growth. One major aspect of relevance in the complex pattern of molecular responses displayed by yeast cells is oxidative stress response, a network of mechanisms ensuring cellular redox balance by minimising structural damages under oxidant insults. Different components of this machinery have been identified as being involved in cellular adaptation to industrial growth and dehydration, including redox protein thioredoxin, redox buffer glutathione and several detoxifying enzymes such as catalase and superoxide dismutase, plus protective molecules like trehalose which play a relevant role in dehydration.

7. Future prospects

In spite of the sound knowledge available on molecular responses to exogenous oxidants, the endogenous origin of oxidative stress in yeast biomass production, given the metabolic transitions required for growth under the described multistage-based fermentation conditions and desiccation, makes it challenging to search for the specific targets undergoing oxidative damage during both biomass propagation and desiccation, and to correlate this damage with physiologically detrimental effects. Based on the currently global data available and the use of potent analytical and genetic manipulation tools, further research has to be conducted to (i) define specific oxidised proteins and to know how this oxidation affects fermentative efficiency, (ii) identify new key elements in stress response, which can be manipulated to improve it and can be also used as markers to select suitable strains for biomass production, (iii) analyse the effects of potential beneficial additives, such as antioxidants, on yeast cells' ability to adapt to stress, and then yeast biomass' yield and fermentative fitness in industrial production processes.

8. Acknowledgement

This work has been supported by grants AGL 2008-00060 from the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (MEC). E.G. was a fellow of the FPI program of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science, R.G-P was a predoctoral fellow of the I3P program from the CSIC (Spanish National Research Council).

9. References

- Alexander, M.A. & Jeffries, T.W. (1990). Respiratory efficiency and metabolize partitioning as regulatory phenomena in yeasts. *Enzyme Microbe. Technol* Vol. 12, pp. 2-29.
- Aranda, A.; Querol, A. & del Olmo, M. (2002). Correlation between acetaldehyde and ethanol resistance and expression of HSP genes in yeast strains isolated during the biological aging of sherry wines. *Arch.Microbiol.*, Vol. 177, No. 4, pp. 304-312.
- Arshad, M., Khan, Z.M., Khalil-ur-Rehman, Shah, F.A. & Rajoka, M.I. (2008). Optimization of process variables for minimization of byproduct formation during fermentation of blackstrap molasses to ethanol at industrial scale. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* Vol. 47, No. 5, pp. 410-414.
- Attfield, P. V. (1997). Stress tolerance: the key to effective strains of industrial baker's yeast. *Nat.Biotechnol.*, Vol. 15, No. 13, pp. 1351-1357.
- Bauer, F.F. & Pretorius, I.S. (2000). Yeast stress response and fermentation efficiency: how to survive the making of wine – A review. *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture* Vol. 21, pp. 27-51.
- Beiroti, A. & Hosseini, S.N. (2007) Production of baker's yeast using date juice. *Sheng Wu Gong Cheng Xue Bao.* Vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 746-50.
- Benaroudj, N., Lee, D.H. & Goldberg, A.L. (2001). Trehalose accumulation during cellular stress protects cells and cellular proteins from damage by oxygen radicals. *J.Biol.Chem.*, Vol. 276 No. 26, pp. 24261-24267.
- Beney, L., Martinez, d.M., I, Marechal, P.A. & Gervais, P. (2000). Influence of thermal and osmotic stresses on the viability of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Int.J.Food Microbiol.*, Vol. 55, No. 1-3, pp. 275-279.
- Beney, L. & Gervais, P. (2001). Influence of the fluidity of the membrane on the response of microorganisms to environmental stresses. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* Vol. 57, No. 1-2, pp. 34-42.
- Beney, L., Marechal, P.A. & Gervais, P. (2001). Coupling effects of osmotic pressure and temperature on the viability of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl.Microbiol.Biotechnol.*, Vol., 56, No. 3-4, pp. 513-516.
- Beudeker, R.F.; van Dam H.W.; van der Plaat, J.B. & Vellenga, K. (1990). Developments in bakers' yeast production. In: *Yeast Biotechnology and Biocatalysis* (Verachtert, H. and De Mot, R., Eds.), 103-146, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY.
- Bisson, L.F., Karpel, J. E, Ramakrishnan, V. & Joseph, L. (2007). Functional genomics of wine yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Adv Food Nutr Res.*, Vol. 53, pp. 65-121.
- Blanco, C. A.; Rayo, J. & Giralda, J. M. (2008). Improving industrial full-scale production of baker's yeast by optimizing aeration control. *J.AOAC Int.*, Vol. 91, No. 3, pp. 607-613.
- Boulton, C. (2000). Trehalose, glycogen and sterol. In: *Brewing Yeast Fermentation Performance*. 1st ed (SmartKA, Ed), 10-19, Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.
- Boy-Marcote, E.; Perrot, M.; Bussereau, F.; Boucherie, H. & Jacquet, M. (1998). Msn2p and Msn4p control a large number of genes induced at the diauxic transition which are repressed by cyclic AMP in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Bacteriol* Vol. 180, No.5, pp. 1044-1052.
- Brewster, J. L.; de, V. T.; Dwyer, N. D.; Winter, E. & Gustin, M. C. (1993). An osmosensing signal transduction pathway in yeast. *Science*, Vol. 259, No. 5102, pp. 1760-1763.

- Bruckmann, A.; Hensbergen, P. J.; Balog, C. I.; Deelder, A. M.; de Steensma, H. Y. & van Heusden, G. P. (2007). Post-transcriptional control of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* proteome by 14-3-3 proteins. *J.Proteome.Res.*, Vol. 6, No. 5, pp. 1689-1699.
- Caesar, R.; Palmfeldt, J.; Gustafsson, J. S.; Pettersson, E.; Hashemi, S. H. & Blomberg, A. (2007). Comparative proteomics of industrial lager yeast reveals differential expression of the cerevisiae and non-cerevisiae parts of their genomes. *Proteomics.*, Vol. 7, No. 22, pp. 4135-4147.
- Cerrutti, P., Segovia de, H.M., Galvagno, M., Schebor, C., & del Pilar, B.M. (2000). Commercial baker's yeast stability as affected by intracellular content of trehalose, dehydration procedure and the physical properties of external matrices. *Appl.Microbiol.Biotechnol.*, Vol. 54, No. 4, pp. 575-580.
- Chen, S.L. & Chiger, M. Production of baker's yeast. (1985). In *Comprehensive Biotechnology* ed. Moo-Young, M., Blarch, H.W., Drew, S. and Wang, D.I.C. pp. 429-462. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Cheng, J. S.; Qiao, B. & Yuan, Y. J. (2008). Comparative proteome analysis of robust *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* insights into industrial continuous and batch fermentation. *Appl.Microbiol.Biotechnol.*, Vol. 81, No. 2, pp. 327-338.
- Clarkson, S.P.; Large, P.J.; Boulton, C.A. & Bamforth, C.W. (1991). Synthesis of superoxide dismutase, catalase and other enzymes and oxygen and superoxide toxicity during changes in oxygen concentration in cultures of brewing yeast. *Yeast* Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 91-103.
- Coote, P. J.; Cole, M. B. & Jones, M. V. (1991). Induction of increased thermotolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* may be triggered by a mechanism involving intracellular pH. *J.Gen.Microbiol.*, Vol. 137, No. 7, pp. 1701-1708.
- Costa, V. M.; Amorim, M. A.; Quintanilha, A. & Moradas-Ferreira, P. (2002). Hydrogen peroxide-induced carbonylation of key metabolic enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: the involvement of the oxidative stress response regulators Yap1 and Skn7. *Free Radic.Biol.Med.*, Vol. 33, No. 11, pp. 1507-1515.
- Cot, M.; Loret, M. O.; Francois, J. & Benbadis, L. (2007). Physiological behaviour of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in aerated fed-batch fermentation for high level production of bioethanol. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 22-32.
- Crowe, J.H., Hoekstra, F.A. & Crowe, L.M. (1992). Anhydrobiosis. *Annu.Rev.Physiol*, Vol. 54, pp. 579-599.
- da Costa Morato, N.D., da Silva, C.G., Mariani, D., Fernandes, P.N., Pereira, M.D., Panek, A.D. & Eleutherio, E.C. (2008). The role of trehalose and its transporter in protection against reactive oxygen species. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, Vol. 1780, No. 12, pp. 1408-1411.
- De Deken, R. H. (1966). The Crabtree effect: a regulatory system in yeast. *J.Gen.Microbiol.*, Vol. 44, No. 2, pp. 149-156.
- Degre, R. (1993). Selection and commercial cultivation of wine yeast and bacteria. In: *Wine Microbiology and Biotechnology* (Fleet GH, Ed.) 421-448. Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, Switzerland.
- Di Serio, M.; Tesser, R. & Santacesaria, E. (2001). A kinetic and mass transfer model to simulate the growth of baker's yeast in industrial bioreactors. *Chem. Eng. J* Vol. 82, pp. 347-354.

- Dombek, K. M. & Ingram, L. O. (1987). Ethanol production during batch fermentation with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: changes in glycolytic enzymes and internal pH. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.*, Vol. 53, No. 6, pp. 1286-1291.
- Dupont, S., Beney, L., Ritt, J.F., Lherminier, J. & Gervais, P. (2010). Lateral reorganization of plasma membrane is involved in the yeast resistance to severe dehydration. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, Vol. 1798, No. 5, pp. 975-985.
- Dupont, S., Beney, L., Ferreira, T. & Gervais, P. (2010). Nature of sterols affects plasma membrane behavior and yeast survival during dehydration. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*.
- Eleutherio, E.C., Araujo, P.S. & Panek, A.D. (1993). Role of the trehalose carrier in dehydration resistance of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, Vol. 1156, No. 3, pp. 263-266.
- Eleutherio, E.C., Maia, F.M., Pereira, M.D., Degre, R., Cameron, D. & Panek, A.D. (1997). Induction of desiccation tolerance by osmotic treatment in *Saccharomyces uvarum* var. *carlsbergensis*. *Can.J.Microbiol.*, Vol. 43, No. 5, pp. 495-498.
- Ertugay, N. & Hamamci, H. (1997). Continuous cultivation of bakers' yeast: change in cell composition at different dilution rates and effect of heat stress on trehalose level. *Folia Microbiol.(Praha)*, Vol. 42, No. 5, pp. 463-467.
- Espindola, A.S., Gomes, D.S., Panek, A.D. & Eleutherio, E.C. (2003). The role of glutathione in yeast dehydration tolerance. *Cryobiology*, Vol. 47, No. 3, pp. 236-241.
- Estruch, F. (2000). Stress-controlled transcription factors, stress-induced genes and stress tolerance in budding yeast. *FEMS Microbiol.Rev.*, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp. 469-486.
- França, M.B., Panek, A.D. & Eleutherio, E.C. (2005). The role of cytoplasmic catalase in dehydration tolerance of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Cell Stress.Chaperones.*, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 167-170.
- França, M.B., Panek, A.D., & Eleutherio, E.C. (2007). Oxidative stress and its effects during dehydration. *Comp Biochem.Physiol A Mol.Integr.Physiol*, Vol. 146, No. 4, pp. 621-631.
- François, J. & Parrou, J. L. (2001). Reserve carbohydrates metabolism in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol.Rev.*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 125-145.
- Garre, E.; Pérez-Torrado, R.; Gimeno-Alcañiz, J. V. & Matallana, E. (2009). Acid trehalase is involved in intracellular trehalose mobilization during postdiauxic growth and severe saline stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 52-62.
- Garre, E.; Raginel, F.; Palacios, A.; Julien, A. & Matallana, E. (2010). Oxidative stress responses and lipid peroxidation damage are induced during dehydration in the production of dry active wine yeasts. *Int.J.Food Microbiol.*, Vol. 136, No. 3, pp. 295-303.
- Gasch, A. P. & Werner-Washburne, M. (2002). The genomics of yeast responses to environmental stress and starvation. *Funct.Integr.Genomics*, Vol. 2, No. 4-5, pp. 181-192.
- Gervais, P., Marechal, P.A. & Molin, P. (1992). Effects of the kinetics of osmotic pressure variation on yeast viability. *Biotechnol.Bioeng.*, Vol. 40, No. 11, pp. 1435-1439.
- Gervais, P. & Marechal, P.A. (1994). Yeast resistance to high-levels of osmotic-pressure-influence of kinetics. *J. Food Engineer*, Vol. 22, pp. 399-407.
- Gibson, B. R.; Lawrence, S. J.; Boulton, C. A.; Box, W. G.; Graham, N. S.; Linforth, R. S. & Smart, K. A. (2008). The oxidative stress response of a lager brewing yeast strain

- during industrial propagation and fermentation. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 574-585.
- Gibson, B.R.; Smith, J.M.; Lawrence, S.J.; Shelton, N.; Smith, J.M. & Smart, K.A. (2006). Oxygen as toxin: oxidative stress and brewing yeast physiology. *Belgian J Brew Biotechnol.*, Vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 25-36.
- Gibson, B.R.; Lawrence, S.J.; Leclaire, P.R.; Powell, C.D. & Smart, K.A. (2007). Yeast responses to stresses associated with industrial brewing handling. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, Vol 31, No. 5, pp.535-569.
- Godon, C.; Lagniel, G.; Lee, J.; Buhler, J. M.; Kieffer, S.; Perrot, M.; Boucherie, H.; Toledano, M. B. & Labarre, J. (1998). The H₂O₂ stimulon in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J.Biol.Chem.*, Vol. 273, No. 35, pp. 22480-22489.
- Gómez-Pastor R. (2010). Estrés oxidativo en la producción de levaduras vínicas. Implicación del gel TRX2. Universitat de València. Valencia; PhD Thesis.
- Gómez-Pastor, R.; Pérez-Torrado, R. & Matallana, E. (2010a). Improving yield of industrial biomass propagation by increasing the Trx2p dosage. *Bioeng.Bugs.*, Vol. 1, No. 5, pp. 352-353.
- Gómez-Pastor, R.; Pérez-Torrado, R.; Cabisco, E., & Matallana, E. (2010b). Transcriptomic and proteomic insights of the wine yeast biomass propagation process. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, Vol. 10, No. 7, pp. 870-884.
- Gómez-Pastor, R.; Pérez-Torrado, R.; Cabisco, E.; Ros, J. & Matallana, E. (2010c). Reduction of oxidative cellular damage by overexpression of the thioredoxin TRX2 gene improves yield and quality of wine yeast dry active biomass. *Microb.Cell Fact.*, Vol. 9, p. 9.
- González, R., Muñoz, R. & Carrascosa, A.V. (2005). Producción de cultivos iniciadores para elaborar el vino. (Carrascosa, A.V, Muñoz, R. & González, R, Ed.) 318-341. AMV Ediciones, España.
- Haarasilta, S. & Oora, E. (1975). Effect of aeration on the activity of gluconeogenic enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* growing under glucose limitation. *Arch.Microbiol.*, Vol. 106, No. 3, pp. 271-273.
- Henes, B. & Sonnleitner, B. (2007). Controlled fed-batch by tracking the maximal culture capacity. *J.Biotechnol.*, Vol. 132, No. 2, pp. 118-126.
- Herdeiro, R.S., Pereira, M.D., Panek, A.D. & Eleutherio, E.C. (2006). Trehalose protects *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* from lipid peroxidation during oxidative stress. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, Vol. 1760, No. 3, pp. 340-346.
- Higgins, V. J.; Beckhouse, A. G.; Oliver, A. D.; Rogers, P. J. & Dawes, I. W. (2003b). Yeast genome-wide expression analysis identifies a strong ergosterol and oxidative stress response during the initial stages of an industrial lager fermentation. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.*, Vol. 69, No. 8, pp. 4777-4787.
- Higgins, V. J.; Rogers, P. J. & Dawes, I. W. (2003a). Application of genome-wide expression analysis to identify molecular markers useful in monitoring industrial fermentations. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.* , Vol. 69, No. 12, pp. 7535-7540.
- Hohmann, S. & Mager, W.H. (1997). Yeast stress responses. MBIU R.G. Landes Company, USA.
- Hohmann, S. & Mager, W.H. (2003). Yeast stress responses. Springer, New York, USA.
- Hohmann, S. (2002). Osmotic stress signaling and osmoadaptation in yeasts. *Microbiol.Mol.Biol.Rev.*, Vol. 66, No. 2, pp. 300-372.

- Hongisto, H.J. & Laakso, P. (1978). 20th General Meeting, American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists, San Diego, 26 February–2 March.
- Hulse, G. (2008). Yeast Propagation, in *Brewing Yeast Fermentation Performance*, Second Edition (ed K. Smart), Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK, doi: 10.1002/9780470696040.ch23.
- Ivorra, C.; Pérez-Ortín, J. E. & del Olmo, M. (1999). An inverse correlation between stress resistance and stuck fermentations in wine yeasts. A molecular study. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, Vol. 64, No. 6, pp. 698-708.
- Jamieson, D. J. (1998). Oxidative stress responses of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast*, Vol. 14, No. 16, pp. 1511-1527.
- Jansen, M. L.; Diderich, J. A.; Mashego, M.; Hassane, A.; de Winder, J. H.; van-Lapujade, P. & Pronk, J. T. (2005). Prolonged selection in aerobic, glucose-limited chemostat cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* causes a partial loss of glycolytic capacity. *Microbiology*, Vol. 151, No. 5, pp. 1657-1669.
- Kaino, T. & Takagi, H. (2009). Proline as a stress protectant in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: effects of trehalose and PRO1 gene expression on stress tolerance. *H. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*, Vol. 73, No. 9, pp. 2131-2135.
- Kaino, T., Tateiwa, T., Mizukami-Murata, S., Shima, J. & Takagi, H. (2008). Self-cloning baker's yeasts that accumulate proline enhance freeze tolerance in doughs. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, Vol. 74, No. 18, pp. 5845-5849.
- Kim, J., Alizadeh, P., Harding, T., Hefner-Gravink, A. & Klionsky, D.J. (1996). Disruption of the yeast *ATH1* gene confers better survival after dehydration, freezing, and ethanol shock: potential commercial applications. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 62, No. 5, pp. 1563-1569.
- Kobayashi, N. & McEntee, K. (1993). Identification of cis and trans components of a novel heat shock stress regulatory pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 248-256.
- Kopsahelis, N., Nisiotou, A., Kourkoutas, Y., Panas, P., Nychas, G.J. & Kanellaki, M. (2009). Molecular characterization and molasses fermentation performance of a wild yeast strain operating in an extremely wide temperature range. *Bioresour Technol.* Vol. 100, No. 20, pp. 4854-62.
- Landolfo, S., Zara, G., Zara, S., Budroni, M., Ciani, M. & Mannazzu, I. (2010). Oleic acid and ergosterol supplementation mitigates oxidative stress in wine strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Int J Food Microbiol*, Vol. 141, No. 3, pp. 229-235.
- Laroche, C., Beney, L., Marechal, P.A. & Gervais, P. (2001). The effect of osmotic pressure on the membrane fluidity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at different physiological temperatures. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 56, No. 1-2, pp. 249-254.
- Laroche, C. & Gervais, P. (2003). Achievement of rapid osmotic dehydration at specific temperatures could maintain high *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* viability. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, Vol. 60, No. 6, pp. 743-747.
- Le Moan, N. Clement, G.; Le Moan, S.; Tacnet, F. & Toledano, M. B. (2006). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* proteome of oxidized protein thiols: contrasted functions for the thioredoxin and glutathione pathways. *J. Biol. Chem.*, Vol. 281, No. 15, pp. 10420-10430.
- Lei, F.; Rotbøll, F. & Jørgensen, S.B. (2001). A biochemically structured model for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biotechnol* Vol. 88, No. 3, pp. 205-221.

- Lindquist, S. & Craig, E. A. (1988). The heat-shock proteins. *Annu.Rev.Genet.*, Vol. 22, pp. 631-677.
- Maemura, H.; Morimura, S. & Kida K. (1998). Effects of aeration during the cultivation of pitching yeast on its characteristics during the subsequent fermentation of wort. *J Inst Brew.* Vol. 104, pp. 207-211.
- Martin, V.; Quain, D.E. & Smart, K.A. (2003). Brewing yeast oxidative stress responses: impact of brewery handling. *Brewing Yeast Fermentation Performance*. 2nd edn (SmartKA, ed), 61-73. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.
- Martinez-Pastor, M. T.; Marchler, G.; Schuller, C.; Marchler-Bauer, A.; Ruis, H. & Estruch, F. (1996). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* zinc finger proteins Msn2p and Msn4p are required for transcriptional induction through the stress response element (STRE). *EMBO J.*, Vol. 15, No. 9, pp. 2227-2235.
- Matthews, T.M. & Webb, C., (1991). Culture systems. In: *Biotechnology Handbooks 4: Saccharomyces* (Tuite, M.F., Oliver, S.G., Eds.) 249-282. Plenum Press.
- Miskiewicz, T., & Borowiak D. A logistic feeding profile for a Fed-Batch baker's yeast process. (2005). *Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural University* Vol. 8, No.4, pp. 1-13.
- Oku, K., Watanabe, H., Kubota, M., Fukuda, S., Kurimoto, M., Tsujisaka, Y., Komori, M., Inoue, Y. & Sakurai, M. (2003). NMR and quantum chemical study on the OH...pi and CH...O interactions between trehalose and unsaturated fatty acids: implication for the mechanism of antioxidant function of trehalose. *J Am Chem Soc*, Vol. 125, No. 42, pp. 12739-12748.
- Oura, E. (1974). Effect of aeration intensity on the biochemical composition of baker's yeast. I. Factors affecting the type of metabolism. *Biotechnol.Bioeng.*, Vol. 16, No. 9, pp. 1197-1212.
- Pereira, E.J.; Panek, A.D. & Eleutherio, E.C. (2003). Protection against oxidation during dehydration of yeast. *Cell Stress.Chaperones.*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 120-124.
- Pérez-Torrado R. (2004). Estudio y mejora del proceso de producción industrial de levaduras vínicas. Universitat de València. Valencia ; PhDThesis.
- Pérez-Torrado, R.; Bruno-Barcena, J. M. & Matallana, E. (2005). Monitoring stress-related genes during the process of biomass propagation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains used for wine making. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.*, Vol. 71, No. 11, pp. 6831-6837.
- Pérez-Torrado, R.; Gimeno-Alcaniz, J. V. & Matallana, E. (2002). Wine yeast strains engineered for glycogen overproduction display enhanced viability under glucose deprivation conditions. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.*, Vol. 68, No. 7, pp. 3339-3344.
- Pérez-Torrado, R.; Gómez-Pastor, R.; Larsson, C. & Matallana, E. (2009). Fermentative capacity of dry active wine yeast requires a specific oxidative stress response during industrial biomass growth. *Appl.Microbiol.Biotechnol.*, Vol. 81, No. 5, pp. 951-960.
- Piper, P. W. (1995). The heat shock and ethanol stress responses of yeast exhibit extensive similarity and functional overlap. *FEMS Microbiol.Lett.*, Vol. 134, No. 2-3, pp. 121-127.
- Potts, M. (2001). Desiccation tolerance: a simple process? *Trends Microbiol.* Vol. 9, No. 11, pp. 553-559.

- Postma, E.; Verduyn, C.; Scheffers, W. A. & Van Dijken, J. P. (1989). Enzymic analysis of the crabtree effect in glucose-limited chemostat cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 55, No. 2, pp. 468-477.
- Prestrelski, S.J., Tedeschi, N., Arakawa, T. & Carpenter, J.F. (1993). Dehydration-induced conformational transitions in proteins and their inhibition by stabilizers. *Biophys J*, Vol. 65, No. 2, pp. 661-671.
- Pretorius, I.S. Utilization of polysaccharides by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. (1997). In: *Yeast Sugar Metabolism*. F.K. Zimmermann & K.-D. Entian (Eds.), 459-501. Technomic Publishing Co., Inc., Lancaster, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Pronk, J. T.; Yde, S. H. & Van Dijken, J. P. (1996). Pyruvate metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast*, Vol. 12, No. 16, pp. 1607-1633.
- Querol, A., Fernández-Espinar, M.T., del Olmo, M. & Barrio, E. (2003). Adaptive evolution of wine yeast. *Int. J. Food Micr*, Vol. 86, pp. 3-10.
- Ratnakumar, S. & Tunnacliffe, A. (2006). Intracellular trehalose is neither necessary nor sufficient for desiccation tolerance in yeast. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, Vol. 6, No. 6, pp. 902-913.
- Ratnakumar, S., Hesketh, A., Gkargkas, K., Wilson, M., Rash, B.M., Hayes, A., Tunnacliffe, A. & Oliver, S.G. (2011). Phenomic and transcriptomic analyses reveal that autophagy plays a major role in desiccation tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Biosyst.*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 139-149.
- Reed, G. & Nagodawithana, T. W. (1988). Technology of Yeast Usage in Winemaking. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.*, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp. 83-90.
- Reed, G. & Nagodawithana, T. W. (1991). Baker's Yeast Production. In: *Yeast Technology* (Reed, G. & Nagodawithana, T. W., Eds.), 261-314, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- Reed, S. I. (1982). Yeast genetics. *Science*, Vol. 215, No. 4537, pp. 1233-1234.
- Rizzi, M.; Baltes, M.; Theobald, U. & Reuss, M. (1997). In vivo analysis of metabolic dynamics in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: II. Mathematical model. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, Vol. 55, No. 4, pp. 592-608.
- Rossignol, T.; Kobi, D.; Jacquet-Gutfreund, L. & Blondin, B. (2009). The proteome of a wine yeast strain during fermentation, correlation with the transcriptome. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, Vol. 107, No. 1, pp. 47-55.
- Rossignol, T., Postaire, O., Storai, J. & Blondin, B. (2006). Analysis of the genomic response of a wine yeast to rehydration and inoculation. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, Vol. 71, No. 5, pp. 699-712.
- Sales, K., Brandt, W., Rumbak, E. & Lindsey, G. (2000). The LEA-like protein HSP 12 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has a plasma membrane location and protects membranes against desiccation and ethanol-induced stress. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, Vol. 1463, No. 2, pp. 267-278.
- Salvadó, Z.; Chiva, R.; Rodríguez -Vargas, S.; Ráñez -Gil, F.; Mas, A. & Guillamón, J. M. (2008). Proteomic evolution of a wine yeast during the first hours of fermentation. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, Vol. 8, No. 7, pp. 1137-1146.
- Shamrock, V.J. & Lindsey, G.G. (2008). A compensatory increase in trehalose synthesis in response to desiccation stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells lacking the heat shock protein Hsp12p. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, Vol. 54, No. 7, pp. 559-568.

- Shima, J., Hino, A., Yamada-Iyo, C., Suzuki, Y., Nakajima, R., Watanabe, H., Mori, K. & Takano, H. (1999). Stress tolerance in doughs of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* trehalase mutants derived from commercial Baker's yeast. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, Vol. 65, No.7, pp. 2841-2846.
- Shima, J.; Kuwazaki, S.; Tanaka, F.; Watanabe, H.; Yamamoto, H.; Nakajima, R.; Tokashiki, T. & Tamura, H. (2005). Identification of genes whose expressions are enhanced or reduced in baker's yeast during fed-batch culture process using molasses medium by DNA microarray analysis. *Int.J.Food Microbiol.*, Vol. 102, No. 1, pp. 63-71.
- Simonin, H., Beney, L. & Gervais, P. (2007). Sequence of occurring damages in yeast plasma membrane during dehydration and rehydration: mechanisms of cell death. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta*, Vol. 1768, No. 6, pp. 1600-1610.
- Simons, K. & Ikonen, E. (1997). Functional rafts in cell membranes. *Nature*, Vol. 5, No. 6633, pp. 569-572.
- Singer, M.A. & Lindquist, S. (1998). Thermotolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: the Yin and Yang of trehalose. *Trends Biotechnol.* Vol. 16, No. 11, pp. 460-468.
- Singh, J., Kumar, D., Ramakrishnan, N., Singhal, V., Jervis, J., Garst, J.F., Slaughter, S.M., DeSantis, A.M., Potts, M. & Helm, R.F. (2005). Transcriptional response of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to desiccation and rehydration. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.*, Vol. 71, No.12, pp. 8752-8763.
- Sorger, P. K. (1991). Heat shock factor and the heat shock response. *Cell*, Vol. 65, No. 3, pp. 363-366.
- Stadtman, E. R. & Levine, R. L. (2000). Protein oxidation. *Ann.N.Y.Acad.Sci.*, Vol. 899, pp. 191-208.
- Swan, T.M. & Watson K. (1998). Stress tolerance in a yeast sterol auxotroph: role of ergosterol, heat shock proteins and trehalose. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 191-197.
- Takagi, H. (2008). Proline as a stress protectant in yeast: physiological functions, metabolic regulations, and biotechnological applications. *Appl.Microbiol.Biotechnol.*, Vol. 81, No. 2, pp. 211-223.
- Trabalzini, L.; Paffetti, A.; Ferro, E.; Scaloni, A.; Talamo, F.; Millucci, L.; Martelli, P. & Santucci, A. (2003). Proteomic characterization of a wild-type wine strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Ital.J.Biochem.*, Vol. 52, No. 4, pp. 145-153.
- Trevisol, E.T., Panek, A.D., Mannarino, S.C. & Eleutherio, E.C. (2011). The effect of trehalose on the fermentation performance of aged cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl.Microbiol.Biotechnol.*
- Trofimova, Y., Walker, G. & Rapoport, A. (2010). Anhydrobiosis in yeast: influence of calcium and magnesium ions on yeast resistance to dehydration-rehydration. *FEMS Microbiol.Lett.*, Vol. 308, No. 1, pp. 55-61.
- Trollmo, C.; Andre, L.; Blomberg, A. & Adler, L. (1988). Physiological overlap between osmotolerance and thermotolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* Vol. 56, No. 3, pp. 321-326.
- Valentinotti, S.; Holmberg, U.; Srinivasan, B.; Cannizzaro, C.; Rhiel, M.; von Stockar, U. & Bonvin, D. (2002). Optimal operation of fed-batch fermentations via adaptive control of overflow metabolite. *Control Eng Pract.* Vol. 11, No. 6, pp. 665-674.

- Van der Aar P.C.; Van Verseveld, H.W. & Stouthamer, A.H. (1990). Stimulated glycolytic flux increases the oxygen uptake rate and aerobic ethanol production, during oxido-reductive growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biotechnol* Vol. 13, pp. 347–359.
- Van, H. P.; de, H. E.; Van Dijken, J. P. & Pronk, J. T. (2000). Fermentative capacity in high-cell-density fed-batch cultures of baker's yeast. *Biotechnol.Bioeng.*, Vol. 68, No. 5, pp. 517-523.
- Van, H. P.; Van Dijken, J. P. & Pronk, J. T. (1998). Effect of specific growth rate on fermentative capacity of baker's yeast. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.*, Vol. 64, No. 11, pp. 4226-4233.
- Varela, J. C.; van, B. C.; Planta, R. J. & Mager, W. H. (1992) .Osmostress-induced changes in yeast gene expression. *Mol.Microbiol.*, Vol. 6, No. 15, pp. 2183-2190.
- Vemuri, G.N.; Eiteman, M.A.; McEwen, J.E.; Olsson, L. & Nielsen, J. (2007). Increasing NADH oxidation reduces overflow metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, Vol. 104, No. 7, pp. 2402-2407.
- Verduyn, C. (1991). Physiology of yeasts in relation to biomass yields. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, Vol. 60, No. 3-4, pp. 325-353.
- Vu, V.H. & Kim K. (2009). High-cell-density fed-batch culture of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* KV-25 using molasses and corn steep liquor. *J Microbiol Biotechnol*. Vol. 19, No. 12, pp. 1603-11.
- Woehrer, W. & Roehr, M. (1981). Regulatory aspects of bakers' yeast metabolism in aerobic fed-batch cultures. *Biotechnol. Bioeng* Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 567–581.
- Xandé, X., Archimède, H., Gourdine, J.L., Anais, C. & Renaudeau, D. (2010). Effects of the level of sugarcane molasses on growth and carcass performance of Caribbean growing pigs reared under a ground sugarcane stalks feeding system. *Trop Anim Health Prod*. Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 13-20.
- Xu, Z. & Tsurugi, K. (2006). A potential mechanism of energy-metabolism oscillation in an aerobic chemostat culture of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS J.*, Vol. 273, No. 8, pp. 1696-1709.
- Zuzuarregui, A.; Carrasco, P.; Palacios, A.; Julien, A. & del Olmo, M. (2005). Analysis of the expression of some stress induced genes in several commercial wine yeast strains at the beginning of vinification. *J.Appl.Microbiol.*, Vol. 98, No. 2, pp. 299-307.
- Zuzuarregui, A.; Monteoliva, L.; Gil, C. & del Olmo, M. (2006). Transcriptomic and proteomic approach for understanding the molecular basis of adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to wine fermentation. *Appl.Environ.Microbiol.*, Vol. 72, No. 1, pp. 836-847.

Biomass - Detection, Production and Usage

Edited by Dr. Darko Matovic

ISBN 978-953-307-492-4

Hard cover, 496 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 09, September, 2011

Published in print edition September, 2011

Biomass has been an intimate companion of humans from the dawn of civilization to the present. Its use as food, energy source, body cover and as construction material established the key areas of biomass usage that extend to this day. Given the complexities of biomass as a source of multiple end products, this volume sheds new light to the whole spectrum of biomass related topics by highlighting the new and reviewing the existing methods of its detection, production and usage. We hope that the readers will find valuable information and exciting new material in its chapters.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Rocío Gómez-Pastor, Roberto Pérez-Torrado, Elena Garre and Emilia Matallana (2011). Recent Advances in Yeast Biomass Production, Biomass - Detection, Production and Usage, Dr. Darko Matovic (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-492-4, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/biomass-detection-production-and-usage/recent-advances-in-yeast-biomass-production>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2011 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen