We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

A Mechanical Cell Model and Its Application to Cellular Biomechanics

Yoshihiro Ujihara, Masanori Nakamura and Shigeo Wada
Osaka University,
Japan

1. Introduction

1.1 Importance of understanding the mechanical behavior of cells

Cells are the structural and functional units of all living organisms. Cells are continually subjected to mechanical loads from a wide variety of sources. It is known that normal cellular functions, including motility (Haga et al. 2000), differentiation (Titushkin et al. 2007), and gene expression (Shieh and Athanasiou 2007) involve mechanical properties. To date, studies have provided a biochemical framework for understanding the mechanotransduction processes and responses of cells to mechanical stimuli (Cohen et al., 1997). Nevertheless, it remains controversial as to how cells sense mechanical stimuli and trigger subsequent biochemical reactions. Understanding these cellular behaviours and their underlying mechanisms could be advanced by exploring the mechanical properties of cells and the intracellular components that confer such mechanical properties.

1.2 Previous studies on cellular mechanics

To date, numerous studies have investigated the relationships between the mechanics of subcellular components and either the local (Wang, 1998; Titushkin et al. 2007) or the entire mechanical properties of the cell (Miyazaki et al., 2000; Nagayama et al., 2006). Although these studies have provided valuable information for understanding cellular mechanics, the highly complex and heterogeneous structures of the subcellular components, such as cytoskeletal filaments, result in difficulties in understanding their behaviour. For example, the diameter of actin filaments is of the order of submicrometers, actin filaments are connected to the cell membrane and other cytoskeletal filaments, and the subcellular components frequently interact. Despite recent advances in imaging techniques, visualizing structural changes in actin filaments during cell deformation remains a challenging task. In this regard, no reported study has successfully measured the mechanical properties of cells while simultaneously observing the behaviour of subcellular components through quantification of their mechanical properties as a cell deforms. Thus, it is not yet feasible to understand the mechanical properties of cells from the level of subcellular components, solely on the basis of these experiments.

Computational approaches can complement the experimental studies of cell mechanics. The currently developed computational approaches are classified into continuum and discrete approaches. Continuum approaches assume that the smallest length scale of interest is larger than the dimensions of the microstructure. Continuum approaches have been widely used to

describe how strains and stresses are distributed within a cell (Karcher et al., 2003; Vaziri et al., 2007). The disadvantage of a continuum model lies in its ability to deal with discrete components, such as cytoskeletons, making it difficult to interpret the mechanical behaviours and interactions with discrete components, and their contribution to the mechanical properties of a cell. In contrast to the continuum approaches, discrete approaches treat the cytoskeleton as the main structural component and have been developed, in particular, to investigate the cytoskeletal mechanics in adherent cells (Satcher and Dewey, 1996; Stamenović et al., 1996). The microscopic spectrin-network model was developed for suspended cells, such as erythrocytes, to investigate the contribution of the cell membrane and spectrin network to the large deformation of red blood cells (Boey et al., 1998; Li et al., 2005). The tensegrity model consists of stress-supported struts, which play the role of microtubules, and cable-like structures, which play the role of actin filaments (Ingber, 2003). The tensegrity model depicts the cytoskeleton as a prestressed network of interconnected filaments, investigating the effects on cellular shape and stiffness (Stamenović et al., 1996). Because the tensegrity model is quite conceptual and does not consider other cellular components, such as the cell membrane and cytoplasm, difficulties arise when relating its findings to the physical relationships between the mechanical properties of a whole cell and its subcellular components.

1.3 Basic concept of the mechano-cell model

The mechanical properties of a cell are the result of the structural combination of subcellular components, such as the cell membrane, nuclear envelope, and cytoskeleton. To understand the underlying process of how these subcellular components contribute to the cell as a whole, it is essential to develop a cell model that displays continuum behaviour as a whole. Although one way to express the continuum nature of a cell is to use the continuum model, this has difficulties considering discrete elements, such as the cytoskeleton, which may reorient passively concomitant with cell deformation. Thus, we depict a cell as an assembly of discrete elements, including a cellular membrane, in an attempt to express the continuum behaviour of the cell as a whole. Using computational biomechanics in conjunction with experimental measurements, it should be possible to establish a new platform that helps to provide a more complete picture of cellular remodelling rather than the collection of information being solely dependent on the measurement technology.

2. Development of the mechano-cell model

2.1 Overview

We have developed a cell model, termed the "mechano-cell," that is capable of simulating the mechanical behaviour of a cell (Ujihara et al. 2010a). As shown in Fig. 1, the model

Fig. 1. Overview of the mechano-cell model.

consists of the cell membrane (CM), nuclear envelope (NE), and cytoskeletons (CSKs). The model changes shape such that the sum of the various elastic energies generated during cell deformation converges towards a minimum.

2.2 Modelling of a cell membrane and a nuclear envelope

The CM and NE are lipid layers, reinforced with cytoskeletal networks (Fig. 2). The cytoskeletal networks are firmly anchored to the CM and NE via various transmembrane proteins and/or membrane-associated proteins. It was thus assumed that the cytoskeletal network would not tear from the CM and NE.

Fig. 2. Phase-contrast micrograph of a floating cell and schematic of the cell membrane structure. Scale bar = 10 μm .

In this study, the mechanical nature of the cytoskeletal network was included in the model of the CM and NE. The cytoskeletal networks beneath the CM and NE are known to resist in-plane deformation (stretch and area change), whereas the lipid bilayer is relatively permissive to in-plane deformation (Mohandas and Evans, 1994). Moreover, the CM and NE within cytoskeletal networks resist bending because of their thickness.

Spring network modelling was adopted to express the mechanical nature of the CM and NE (Wada and Kobayashi, 2003). Figure 3(a) shows the networks of the CM and NE and Figure 3(b) illustrates a magnified view of the triangular meshes. The black dots on the vertexes of the mesh are nodes, and are linked by a spring of spring constant k_s . Neighbouring elements are connected with a bending spring of spring constant k_b to prevent membrane folding. $\mathbf{r}_i$ is the positional vector of the node i , $\mathbf{n}_1$ and $\mathbf{n}_2$ are normal vectors to individual neighbouring meshes, and θ_i is the angle between $\mathbf{n}_1$ and $\mathbf{n}_2$. The stretching energy W_s and bending energy W_b generated are modelled as

$$W_s = \frac{1}{2} k_s \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} (L_i - L_{0i})^2 \quad (1)$$

$$W_b = \frac{1}{2} k_b \sum_{l=1}^{N_b} L_l \tan^2 \left(\frac{\theta_l}{2} \right) \quad (2)$$

where N_s and N_b are the number of springs for stretching and bending, and L_{0i} and L_i are the lengths of the spring in the natural state after deformation. The tangent function is adopted in eq. (2) to infinitize the energy when the membrane is completely folded ($\theta_l = \pi$). By vector analysis, we rewrote eq. (2) as

$$W_b = \frac{1}{2} k_b \sum_{l=1}^{N_b} L_l \frac{1 - \mathbf{n}_{l1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{l2}}{1 + \mathbf{n}_{l1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{l2}} \quad (3)$$

The resistances to changes in the surface area of the whole membrane and to an area change of a local element are both modelled. The former corresponds to the situation whereby lipid molecules can move freely over the cytoskeletal network, while the latter corresponds to the situation where movement of the lipid molecules is confined to a local element. The area expansion energy W_A is thus formulated as a summation of the energy due to a change in the whole membrane area and due to a change in the local area:

$$W_A = \frac{1}{2} k_A \left(\frac{A - A_0}{A_0} \right)^2 A_0 + \frac{1}{2} k_a \sum_{e=1}^{N_e} \left(\frac{A_e - A_{0e}}{A_{0e}} \right)^2 A_{0e} \quad (4)$$

where A is the area of the whole membrane, subscript 0 denotes the natural state, and k_A is a coefficient for the whole area constraint, A_e is the area of the element, k_a is a coefficient for the local area constraint, and N_e is the number of elements. The total elastic energy stored is thus expressed as:

$$W^j = W_s^j + W_b^j + W_A^j \quad (5)$$

where j denotes CM ($j = c$) and NE ($j = n$).

Fig. 3. (a) Mesh of the cellular membrane and nuclear envelope and (b) mechanical model of the cell membrane.

2.3 Modelling of CSK

As demonstrated in various studies (Wang, 1998; Nagayama et al., 2006), CSKs play a pivotal role in cellular mechanics. The CSK consists primarily of actin filaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments (see Fig. 4). Here, these were modelled as CSK regardless of the type of cytoskeletal filament. For simplicity, a CSK is expressed as a straight spring that generates a force as a function of its extension. The energy W_{CSK} generated is thus modelled as

$$W_{CSK} = \frac{1}{2} k_{CSK} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{CSK}} (l_i - l_{0i})^2 \quad (6)$$

where k_{CSK} is the spring constant of the CSK, l_{0i} and l_i are the length of CSK_i at the natural state and after deformation, and N_{CSK} is the total number of CSKs.

Fig. 4. Confocal laser scanning micrographs of (a) actin filaments, (b) microtubules and (c) intermediate filaments in adherent fibroblasts. Scale bar = 50 μm .

2.4 Interaction between the cell membrane and nuclear envelope

The organelles and cytosol are present between the CM and NE. The interaction between the CM and NE is expressed by a potential function with respect their distance apart. Figure 5 shows a conceptual diagram and potential function of the interaction between the CM and NE. We define the potential energy Ψ_{ij} between node i on the CM and node j on the NE as

$$\Psi_{ij} = \begin{cases} k_n \left(\frac{\pi y_{ij}}{2} - \tan \left(\frac{\pi y_{ij}}{2} \right) \right) & (-1 \leq y_{ij} \leq 0) \\ 0 & (0 \leq y_{ij}) \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where k_n is a parameter to express the interaction between the CM and NE, and $y_{ij} = (d_{ij} - d_0)/d_0$, d_{ij} is the distance between node i on the CM and node j on the NE, and d_0 is the difference in the radius between the CM and NE at their natural state. The total potential energy Ψ is calculated by taking a summation of Ψ_{ij} as

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n^c} \sum_{j=1}^{N_n^n} \Psi_{ij} \quad (8)$$

where N_n^c and N_n^n are the number of nodes on the CM and NE, respectively.

Fig. 5. Interaction between the cell membrane and nuclear envelope.

2.5 Minimum energy problem

The shape of the CM and NE can be determined from the elastic energies of the CM, NE, and CSKs, and from the interaction between the CM and NE if we provide constraints on the volumes encapsulated by CM V^c and NE V^n . By vector analyses, energies (5), (6), and (8) are rewritten as functions of the positional vector of nodal points $\mathbf{r}_i$. Thus, the shape of the CM and NE were determined as a minimum energy problem under a volume constraint. Mathematically, this is phrased as calculating the positional vectors that satisfy a condition such that the total elastic energy W_t is minimum, under the constraint that the volume V^c and V^n are equal to V_0^c and V_0^n

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Minimize } W_t \text{ with respect to } \mathbf{r}_i \\ &W_t = W^c + W^n + W_{CSK} + \Psi \\ &\text{subject to } V^c = V_0^c \text{ and } V^n = V_0^n \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where superscript c and n denote the CM and NE, and subscript 0 denotes the natural state. A volume elastic energy W_V is introduced as

$$W_V^j = \frac{1}{2} k_V^j \left(\frac{V^j - V_0^j}{V_0^j} \right)^2 V_0^j \quad (10)$$

where j denotes the CM ($j = c$) and NE ($j = n$), and k_V is the volume elasticity. Including eq. (10) in the minimum energy problem, eq. (9) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Minimize } W \text{ with respect to } \mathbf{r}_i \\ &W = W^c + W^n + W_{CSK} + \Psi + W_V^c + W_V^n \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

2.6 Solving method

A cell shape is determined by moving the nodal points on CM and NE such that the total elastic energy W is minimized. Based on the virtual work theory, an elastic force $\mathbf{F}_i$ applied to node i is calculated from

$$\mathbf{F}_i = - \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i} \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i$ is the position vector of i . The motion equation of a mass point with mass m on node i is described as

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_i + \gamma \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i = \mathbf{F}_i \quad (13)$$

where a dot indicates the time derivative, and γ is the artificial viscosity. Discretization of eq. (13) and some mathematical rearrangements yield

$$\mathbf{v}_i^{N+1} = \frac{m\mathbf{v}_i^N + \mathbf{F}_i^N \delta}{m + \gamma\delta} \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity vector, N is the computational step number, and δ is an increment of time. The position of node i $\mathbf{r}_i^{N+1}$ is thus calculated from

$$\mathbf{r}_i^{N+1} = \mathbf{r}_i^N + \mathbf{v}_i^{N+1} \delta$$

(15)

2.6 Procedure for computation

A flowchart for the simulation is illustrated in Fig. 6. The flowchart has two iterative processes. The external loop is a real-time process, while the internal loop is instituted to minimize the elastic energy by a quasi-static approach. Based on the virtual work theory, an elastic force $\mathbf{F}_i$ applied to node i is obtained from eq. (12). It is followed by updating the positional vector $\mathbf{r}$ of the nodal points by eq. (15) and calculating the total elastic energy W . If a changing ratio of the total elastic energy W is smaller than a tolerance ε , the boundary conditions are renewed to proceed to the next real-time step. If not satisfied, force $\mathbf{F}$ and positional vector $\mathbf{r}^N$ of the nodal points are repeatedly calculated under the same boundary conditions.

Fig. 6. Flowchart for the mechanical test simulation.

2.7 Parameter settings

The CM and NE were assumed as spheres at their natural state, with a diameter of 20 μm and 10 μm , respectively. In the model, N_s and $N_b = 519$, N_n^c and $N_n^n = 175$, $N_e = 346$, $N_{CSK} = 200$ and $\gamma = 1.0 \times 10^6 \mu\text{g/s}$. For the CM, $m = 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{g}$, $k_s = 5.6 \times 10^5 \mu\text{g/s}^2$, $k_b = 9.0 \times 10^3 \mu\text{g} \cdot \mu\text{m/s}^2$, $k_A = 2.7 \times 10^7 \mu\text{g/s}^2$, $k_a = 3.0 \times 10^6 \mu\text{g/s}^2$, $k_V = 5.0 \times 10^6 \mu\text{g}/(\mu\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^2)$. For the NE, the mass was set to half of the CM, while the other parameters were set to double the CM.

The spring constants k_s , k_A , and k_d were estimated by the tensile test simulations such that the elastic energy generated in the mechano-cell equaled the strain energy W_D obtained when the CM was modelled as a continuum. According to the theory of continuum mechanics, the strain energy W_D is defined as

$$W_D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=1}^{N_e} A_e h \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_e^T \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_e \quad (16)$$

where N_e is the number of elements, A_e is the area of each element, and h is the thickness of the CM, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_e^T = (\varepsilon_{Xe}, \varepsilon_{Ye}, \gamma_{XYe})$ is the strain vector of each element. $\mathbf{D}$ is the elastic modulus matrix under a plane strain condition. The parameters in eq. (16) were set to $h = 0.5 \mu\text{m}$, elastic modulus of the CM $E_{CM} = 1000 \text{ Pa}$, and Poisson's ratio $\nu = 0.3$ by reference to Feneberg et al. (2004) McGarry et al. (2004), and Mahaffy et al. (2004). Note that the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio appear in the elastic modulus matrix $\mathbf{D}$.

Fig. 7. Elastic energy of the in-plane deformations ($W_s + W_A$) stored in the mechano-cell (solid line) and the strain energy W_D obtained when the CM was modelled as a continuum (dashed line).

The spring constant of the bending spring k_b was determined such that the bending energy W_b calculated from eq. (2) at the initial state of the cell equaled the bending energy W_B analytically calculated (Wada and Kobayashi, 2003). Analytically, the bending energy W_B of a sphere is given by

$$W_B = \frac{1}{2} B \int_{\Omega} (C_1 + C_2)^2 dA \quad (17)$$

where B is the bending stiffness and C_1 and C_2 are the principal curvatures. Applying eq. (17) to the cell, allowing Ω to be CM and given that $B = 2.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J}$ (Zhelev et al., 1994) and $C_1 = C_2 = 1/R_0$ ($R_0 = 10 \mu\text{m}$, initial radius of a cell), it follows that $k_b = 9.0 \times 10^3 \mu\text{g} \cdot \mu\text{m}/\text{s}^2$.

The spring constant of the CSK k_{CSK} was set to $1.5 \times 10^6 \mu\text{g}/\text{s}^2$, based on the elastic modulus of an actin bundle (Deguchi et al., 2005). The CSKs were assumed to have a natural length when the cell was in its natural state. The CSKs were chosen randomly from all possible candidates of CSKs that were made by connecting two nodes on the CM. The spring

constants of the volume elasticity (k_V) were determined to assure cell incompressibility. Because no data is presently available for k_n , it was determined that the load-deformation curves obtained by the simulation, fit the range of the experimental data.

3. Tensile tests

3.1 Tensile tests

The mechanical behavior of a cell during a tensile test was simulated. The tensile test was simulated by fixing the nodes of CM at one side, while moving those at the opposite side in the direction of cell stretching.

3.2 Simulation results

Figure 8 shows the deformation behaviour of a cell in the tensile test where a fibroblast is stretched, obtained by simulation of the model (left) and experimentally (right). Similar to the experimental data, the simulation showed that the cell and nucleus were elongated in the stretched direction. CSKs were randomly oriented prior to loading and were passively aligned in the stretched direction as the cell was stretched.

Fig. 8. Snapshots of a cell during the tensile test simulation (left) and experimental system (right). The scale is indicated at the bottom of the figure.

Load-deformation curves obtained from the simulation and experimental systems are presented in Fig. 9. Note that, in addition to the model with randomly oriented CSKs (Fig. 8), the data obtained from the models with parallel-oriented, oriented, and perpendicularly oriented CSKs, in addition to with no CSK are presented for comparison. The curve obtained from the simulation of the model with randomly oriented CSKs appeared to increase non-linearly. The curve of the model with randomly oriented CSKs lay within the variation of the experimentally obtained curves (simulation = $0.48 \mu\text{N}$, experimental = $0.43\text{--}1.24 \mu\text{N}$ at $20 \mu\text{m}$ cell deformation). Moreover, the curves obtained from the experiments were between the curve of the parallel-oriented model and that of perpendicularly oriented model.

Fig. 9. Load-deformation curves obtained from the simulation and experimental system ($n = 10$).

Fig. 10. Changes in cell stiffness of a model with randomly oriented CSKs with cell deformation.

An increase in the cell stiffness with cell elongation is manifested from Fig. 10 that illustrates the cell stiffness (S) of a model with randomly oriented CSKs between 0–5, 5–10, 10–15, and 15–20 μm deformation (D). The cell stiffness (S) increased by ~ 1.5 -fold as the cell deformation (D) increased from 0 to 15 μm , while decreases were evident if the cell was stretched further.

The increase in cell stiffness with cell elongation is explained by the realignment of CSKs. Figure 11 provides a histogram of the existence probability of the orientation angles (P_θ)

of the CSKs of a randomly oriented model at a cell deformation (D) of 0, 10, and 20 μm . The CSKs at $D = 0 \mu\text{m}$ were distributed uniformly over all angles. With elongation of the cell, the distribution of the orientation angle of the CSK became skewed towards 0° (Fig. 11), demonstrating that the CSKs tend to become passively aligned in the stretched direction. This passive re-alignment gradually increased the elastic resistance of the whole cell against the stretched direction, causing the load-deformation curve to be non-linear (Fig. 9).

Fig. 11. Histogram of the existence probability of the orientation angles P_θ of the CSK the randomly oriented model during a cell deformation (D) value of (a) 0, (b) 10, and (c) 20 μm .

Not all the CSKs were stretched as the cell elongated. Figure 12 shows a histogram of stretch ratios P_λ of the CSK of the randomly oriented model at a deformation D of 0, 10, and

Fig. 12. Histogram of the stretch ratio P_λ of the CSK of the randomly oriented model at a cell deformation (D) value of (a) 0, (b) 10, and (c) 20 μm .

20 μm . As evident in Fig. 12 (a), the stretch ratio of all CSKs was 1 at a deformation D of 0 μm . Elongation of the cell resulted in the broadening of the distribution towards both positive and negative values of the stretch ratio, indicating that compressed, as well as stretched CSKs were present while the cell was stretched. A combination of these stretched and compressed CSKs, in addition to the shapes of the CM and NE, determine the mechanical properties of the whole cell. Thus, although all subcellular components, including CSKs, are expressed by a linear elastic element, the cell as a whole appears to display clear non-linear deformation properties.

3.3 Summary

In this section, a cellular tensile test was simulated, using the cellular model, to investigate the effects of mechanical behaviours of the subcellular components on the mechanical properties of the cell. Analysis of the mechanical behaviours of the CSKs showed that they were randomly oriented prior to loading, and tended to become passively aligned in the stretched direction. These results attribute the non-linearity of the load-deformation curve to a passive reorientation of the CSKs in the stretched direction.

4. Compressive tests

4.1 Compressive tests

A compressive test was simulated on the basis of the compressive experiment (Ujihara et al., 2010b). Contact between the plate and cell was assumed when a node on the CM came to within 0.01 μm of the plate. Once contacted, the node was assumed to move together with the plate. Spring constants to express the interaction between the CM and NE and the volume elasticity were set to $8.0 \times 10^5 \mu\text{g} \cdot \mu\text{m} / \text{s}^2$ and $5.0 \times 10^5 \mu\text{g} / (\mu\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^2)$, respectively. Other parameters were identical to those defined in Section 2.7.

4.2 Simulation results

Figure 13 presents snapshots of a cell during cell deformation, with values of $D = 0, 4$, and 8 μm . While the cell was initially spherical, as it compressed, it elongated vertically due to the Poisson's effect by which a cell retains its volume. The CSKs that were oriented randomly prior to loading appeared to be passively aligned in a direction perpendicular to the compression.

Fig. 13. Snapshots of the mechano-cell model with CSKs during the compressive test.

Similar to the tensile test, the load–deformation curves of the model obtained by the simulation and experimental systems were assessed in Fig. 14. Here, the results from a cell model in the presence or absence of CSKs are presented. The load required to compress the model with CSKs was larger than that for the model without CSKs. However, regardless of the presence of CSKs, the load increased non-linearly as the cells were compressed, similar to that observed in the experimental system. The curve of the model with CSKs was within the variation of the experimental results.

Fig. 14. Load–deformation curves of the model with and without CSKs and the experimental system ($n = 7$).

Compression induced an increase in the cell stiffness, as is evident in Fig. 15 that plots the stiffness S of the models with and without CSKs between 0–2, 2–4, 4–6, and 6–8 μm deformation (D). Here, the stiffness (S) is defined as the slope of the load–deformation curve for every 2- μm deformation (D) from 0 to 8 μm , on the basis of the assumption that

Fig. 15. Stiffness of the models $\pm$ CSKs.

the curve is piecewise linear. Regardless of the presence of the CSKs, the stiffness was markedly elevated during cell compression. The stiffness of the model with the CSKs at an interval of 2 μm was larger than that of the model without CSKs. Such an increase in cell stiffness correlated with the elevation of the mean orientation angle θ of all CSKs. Figure 16(a) shows that the mean θ elevated with cell deformation, indicating that the CSKs were passively oriented perpendicularly to the compressed direction. Concomitantly, the CSKs that were vertical were stretched as a result of the vertical elongation of the cell. Consequently, the CSKs exerted a contractile force and gave rise to an increase in the resistance against the vertical elongation of the cell. This increase in resistance is reflected in the elevation of the stiffness of the whole cell. With the progress of compression, a larger number of CSKs were inclined in the vertical direction, causing a gradual increase in the cell stiffness. In support of this, a positive relationship between the mean orientation angle of the CSKs and the cell stiffness during cell compression is illustrated in Fig. 16(b).

Fig. 16. Plot of (a) the mean orientation angle θ of CSKs against the cell deformation D , and (b) the stiffness against the mean CSK orientation angle θ .

4.3 Discussion and summary

In this section, the mechano-cell model was used in a compression test. The results addressed the significant contribution of the CSKs to the global compressive properties of a cell. The passive reorientation of CSKs in a direction perpendicular to the compression gave rise to an increase in the elastic resistance against the vertical elongation of the cell, thereby increasing the stiffness of the entire cell against the compression.

5. Other applications of the mechano-cell model

In addition to the tensile and compressive tests, the mechano-cell model is capable of expressing the cell behaviour in mechanical tests to examine the local mechanical properties of a cell, including micropipette aspiration and atomic force microscopy, as exemplified in Figs. 17(a) and (b). Moreover, the model can simulate the behaviour of an

adherent cell on a substrate (Fig. 17(c)). Such a simulation may be useful in grasping the mechanical status of a cell during culture under mechanical loads, such as cyclic stretch of the substrate. Further applications of the mechano-cell model are illustrated in Fig. 17(d) where the mechano-cell model was embedded in a tissue. Here, tissue behavior was described with continuum mechanics under the assumption of an isotropic linear elastic material, and the behaviours of the CSKs within a cell upon the stretch of a tissue were examined. The combined use of the mechano-cell model with the continuum model will help achieve structural integration across the physical scales of biomechanical organization from CSKs to tissue.

Fig. 17. Applications of the mechano-cell model.

6. Summary

In this study, we aimed to develop a cell model that mechanically describes cellular behaviour as an assembly of subcellular components, and its applications in exploring the relationship between the mechanics of the subcellular components and the global mechanical properties of a cell. The model revealed how subcellular components alter their structure during cell deformation and demonstrated how such changes reflect the mechanical properties of the cell. The model provided a physical interpretation of the relationships between cellular deformation, the mechanical properties of a cell, and the mechanical behaviour of the subcellular components.

A deep understanding of the mechanical characteristics of the subcellular components will offer valuable insight into the structure-function paradigm. However, it is hindered by the complex and heterogeneous structures of the subcellular components. Despite the recent advances in imaging techniques, the visualization methods of the structural changes in the CSKs of living cells during mechanical tests have not been well established. Furthermore, it is challenging to quantify the contribution of individual subcellular components to the overall mechanical response of a cell, solely from experimental data. The mechano-cell model is expected to help overcome these experimental drawbacks.

The results described here address the use of the mechano-cell model in aiding our understanding of the behaviour of heterogeneous intracellular structures and the cell as a whole.

7. Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (21•1007) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and “The Next-Generation Integrated Simulation of Living Matter”, part of the Development and Use of the Next-Generation Supercomputer Project of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT). We thank Dr. Hiroshi Miyazaki, Dr. Kenichiro Koshiyama and Mr. Ray Noguchi for their useful comments on this work.

8. References

- Boey, S.K.; Boal, D.H. & Discher, D.E. (1998). Simulations of the Erythrocyte Cytoskeleton at Large Deformation. I. Microscopic Models. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.75, No.3, (September, 1998), pp. 1573-1583, ISSN 0006-3495
- Cohen, C. R.; Mills, I. Du, W. Kamal, K. & Sumpio B. E. (1997). Activation of the Adenylyl Cyclase/Cyclic AMP/Protein Kinase A Pathway in Endothelial Cells Exposed to Cyclic Strain. *Experimental Cell Research*, Vol.231, No.1, (February, 1997), pp. 184-189, ISSN 0013-4827
- Deguchi, S.; Ohashi, T. & Sato, M. (2005). Evaluation of Tension in Actin Bundle of Endothelial Cells Based on Preexisting Strain and Tensile Properties Measurements. *Molecular and Cellular Biomechanics*, Vol.2, No.3, (September, 2005), pp. 125-133, ISSN 1556-5297
- Feneberg, W.; Aepfelbacher, M. & Sackmann, E. (2004). Microviscoelasticity of the Apical Cell Surface of Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVEC) within Confluent Monolayers. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.87, No.2, (August, 2004), pp. 1338-1350, ISSN 0006-3495
- Haga, H.; Nagayama, M. Kawabata, K. Ito, E. Ushiki, T. & Sambongi, T. (2000). Time-lapse Viscoelastic Imaging of Living Fibroblasts Using Force Modulation Mode in AFM. *Journal of Electron Microscopy*, Vol.49, No.3, pp. 473-481, ISSN 0022-0744
- Ingber, D.E. (2003). Tensegrity II. How Structural Networks Influence Cellular Information Processing Networks. *Journal of Cell Science*, Vol.116, No.8, (April, 2003), pp. 1397-1408, ISSN 0021-9533
- Karcher, H.; Lammerding, J. Huang, H. Lee, R.T. Kamm, R.D. & Kaazempur-Mofrad MR. (2003). A Three-dimensional Viscoelastic Model for Cell Deformation with Experimental Verification. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.85, No.5, (November, 2003), pp. 3336-3349, ISSN 0006-3495.
- Li, J.; Dao, M. Lim, C.T. & Suresh, S. (2005). Spectrin-level Modeling of the Cytoskeleton and Optical Tweezers Stretching of the Erythrocyte. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.88, No.5, (May, 2005), pp. 3707-3719, ISSN 0006-3495

- Mahaffy, R.E.; Park, S. Gerde, E. Kas, J. & Shih, C.K. (2004). Quantitative Analysis of the Viscoelastic Properties of Thin Regions of Fibroblasts Using Atomic Force Microscopy. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.86, No.3, (March, 2004), pp. 1777-1793, ISSN 0006-3495
- McGarry, J.G. & Prendergast, P.J. (2004). A Three-dimensional Finite Element Model of an Adherent Eukaryotic Cell. *European Cells and Materials*, Vol.7, (April, 2004), pp. 27-33, ISSN 1473-2262
- Miyazaki, H.; Hasegawa, Y. & Hayashi, K. (2000). A Newly Designed Tensile Tester for Cells and Its Application to Fibroblasts. *Journal of Biomechanics*, Vol.33, No.1, (January, 1999), pp. 97-104, ISSN 0021-9290
- Mohandas, N. & Evans, E. (1994). Mechanical Properties of the Red Cell Membrane in Relation to Molecular Structure and Genetic Defects. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure*, Vol.23, pp. 787-818, ISSN 1056-8700
- Nagayama, K.; Nagano, Y. Sato, M. & Matsumoto, T. (2006). Effect of Actin Filament Distribution on Tensile Properties of Smooth Muscle Cells Obtained From Rat Thoracic Aortas. *Journal of Biomechanics*, Vol.39, No.2, pp. 293-301, ISSN 0021-9290
- Satcher, R.L. Jr. & Dewey, C.F. Jr. (1996). Theoretical Estimates of Mechanical Properties of the Endothelial Cell Cytoskeleton. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.71, No.1, (July, 1996), pp. 109-118, ISSN 0006-3495
- Shieh, A.C. & Athanasiou, K.A. (2007). Dynamic Compression of Single Cells. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, Vol.15, No.3, (March, 2007), pp. 328-334, ISSN 1063-4584
- Stamenović, D.; Fredberg, J.J. Wang, N. Butler, J.P. & Ingber, D.E. (1996) A Microstructural Approach to Cytoskeletal Mechanics Based on Tensegrity. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, Vol.181, No.2, (July, 1996), pp. 125-136, ISSN 0022-5193
- Titushkin, I. & Cho, M. (2007). Modulation of Cellular Mechanics during Osteogenic Differentiation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.93, No.10, (November, 2007), pp. 3693-3702, ISSN 0006-3495
- Ujihara, Y.; Nakamura, M. Miyazaki, H. & Wada, S. (2010a). Proposed Spring Network Cell Model Based on a Minimum Energy Concept, *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, Vol.38, No.4, (April, 2010), pp. 1530-1538, ISSN 0090-6964
- Ujihara, Y.; Nakamura, M. Miyazaki, H. & Wada, S. (2010b). Effects of Actin Filaments on the Compressive Properties of a whole cell, *6th World Congress of Biomechanics Abstract*, pp. 478, Singapore, August 1-6, 2010
- Vaziri, A. & Mofrad, M.R. (2007). Mechanics and Deformation of the Nucleus in Micropipette Aspiration Experiment. *Journal of Biomechanics*, Vol.40, No.9, pp. 2053-2062, ISSN 0021-9290
- Wada, S. & Kobayashi, R. (2003) Numerical simulation of various shape changes of a swollen red blood cell by decrease of its volume. *Transactions of the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers A*, Vol.69, No.677, (January, 2003), pp. 14-21, ISSN 0387-5008, (in Japanese)
- Wang, N. (1998) Mechanical Interactions among Cytoskeletal Filaments. *Hypertension*, Vol.32, No.1, (July, 1998), pp. 162-165, ISSN 0194-911X

Zhelev, D.V.; Needham, D. & Hochmuth, R.M. (1994). Role of the Membrane Cortex in Neutrophil Deformation in Small Pipets. *Biophysical Journal*, Vol.67, No.2, (August, 1994), pp. 696-705, ISSN 0006-3495

IntechOpen

IntechOpen

Biomedical Engineering - From Theory to Applications

Edited by Prof. Reza Fazel

ISBN 978-953-307-637-9

Hard cover, 486 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 29, August, 2011

Published in print edition August, 2011

In all different areas in biomedical engineering, the ultimate objectives in research and education are to improve the quality life, reduce the impact of disease on the everyday life of individuals, and provide an appropriate infrastructure to promote and enhance the interaction of biomedical engineering researchers. This book is prepared in two volumes to introduce a recent advances in different areas of biomedical engineering such as biomaterials, cellular engineering, biomedical devices, nanotechnology, and biomechanics. It is hoped that both of the volumes will bring more awareness about the biomedical engineering field and help in completing or establishing new research areas in biomedical engineering.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Yoshihiro Ujihara, Masanori Nakamura and Shigeo Wada (2011). A Mechanical Cell Model and Its Application to Cellular Biomechanics, Biomedical Engineering - From Theory to Applications, Prof. Reza Fazel (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-637-9, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/biomedical-engineering-from-theory-to-applications/a-mechanical-cell-model-and-its-application-to-cellular-biomechanics>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2011 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen