

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

186,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Nutritional Composition of Game Meat from Wild Species Harvested in Europe

Almudena Soriano and Carlos Sánchez-García

Abstract

A discussion about the nutritional composition of game meat, with specific focus on wild species harvested in Central and Mediterranean European countries has been conducted. Given the wide range of species, and the climate and vegetation differences among the harvesting areas, game meat shows heterogeneous characteristics and chemical composition, the latter being also affected by sex, age, body condition, physiological and sexual status, and hunting period. However, there are similarities which make it clearly distinguishable from livestock meat. When considering the most consumed species (red and fallow deer, wild boar, hare and wild rabbit), their meat has low fat content (<3 g/100 g for large and <4 g/100 g for small wild game species), high protein content (20–26 g/100 g) and low energy content (90–113 kcal/100 g). Wild game meat has a healthier fatty-acids profile compared to other meats, showing a higher proportion of PUFA, especially *n*-3, and consequently more favorable PUFA/SAF ratio. Wild ruminants' meat shows a favorable *n*-6/*n*-3 ratio (lower or close to 4). It has a high content of K, followed by P and micro-minerals such as Zn and Fe, together with B-group vitamins and vitamin E. Game meat from wild species harvested in Europe can diversify the market being an alternative to others red meats owing to its nutritional quality and organoleptic characteristics.

Keywords: game meat, chemical composition, fatty acid profile, cholesterol, vitamins, minerals, health, nutrition

1. Introduction

During the last decades game meat demand has increased in Europe, mainly because consumers perceive this meat as “natural and sustainable”. Animals are free range, fed mainly with natural food (i.e. pasture) and their meat is free from hormones, antibiotics and other products. Meat from wild game species, meets animal welfare ethical standards, and the rearing of the animals in the wild has a lower or null impact on the ecosystems when compared to farmed species [1–3]. Therefore, consumers perceive this meat differently from traditional meat derived from domestic species, being a seasonal product that is available in fresh during the colder seasons [1], but also consumed in other seasons after being frozen or as cured meat, including specialities such as *cecina*, *salchichón*, *chorizo*, and conserves and pates. European consumers consider game meat as innovative food and are willing

to pay a higher price [2], and they are likely to increase its consumption provided that it will have a higher quality and greater commercial availability [3].

However, game meat consumption is still very low in Europe, as only 2–4% of the population consumes this type of meat regularly [4]. This can be explained by its high price, seasonal availability, the lack of habit of using recipes with game meat among consumers not related with hunting, and even safety concerns [5]. As pointed by a recent study [6], the lack of knowledge on hunting may hamper game meat consumption, as hunters and their relatives show higher rates of consumption compared to people not familiarized with this activity [5]. Thus, when aiming to increase the intake of game meat and its derived products, information should be provided to sellers and consumers about the role of hunting on wildlife conservation and rural economy, but also on the nutritional quality of game meat and its possible inclusion in a balanced and healthy diet. In this way, the European Union (EU) is promoting the consumption of game meat in some countries through the program “European wild meat, nature at its purest” [7]. In France, about half of the game meat producers promote the “French game meat brand”, with a strong promotion campaign targeting mainly chefs and consumers, highlighting the meat quality and its gastronomic potential [8]. In Spain, the National Association of Game Meat Producers (ASICCAZA) is conducting a programme to increase the game meat profile among consumers [9].

Meat from game species can be produced from farmed or wild animals. In some countries such as New Zealand, Australia, China and Canada, meat from farmed game is considered as an important meat subsector, and the rearing of farmed game is also growing in European countries. However, hunting of wild game remains as an important activity in Europe, including ungulates, lagomorphs and birds, being this the case of Spain, where approximately 20,000 tons are produced each year, with an estimated value of 45 million € [10].

Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 considered wild game meat as meat obtained from wild birds, ungulates and lagomorphs, as well as other land mammals that are hunted for human consumption, including animals living in enclosed territory under conditions of freedom similar to those of wild game. Among the most hunted species in Europe are wild ungulates, including red deer (*Cervus elaphus*),



Figure 1.
Main wild game species harvested in Central and Mediterranean European countries.

fallow deer (*Dama dama*), roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) and wild boar (*Sus scrofa*), together with birds such as ring-necked pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*), partridges (*Alectoris spp.*, and *Perdix spp.*), waterfowl and wader species but also mammals such as wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) and hares (*Lepus spp.*) (**Figure 1**). Meat from the majority of hunted species can be legally sold in the European market, as long it has passed a proper official *post-mortem* inspection at game-handling establishments as cutting plants, as specified with the European regulation (EC) No 853/2004.

2. Factors affecting chemical composition and characteristics of wild game meat

As game meat is produced from different groups of species (birds and mammals), and from different species within the same taxonomic category, there are considerable differences on quality attributes, including physicochemical and sensorial characteristics, together with microbiology, making game meat a heterogeneous product. In addition, quality attributes can be difficult to standardize and control since game meat from species hunted in the wild is affected by several *ante-mortem* and *post-mortem* factors.

2.1 Ante-mortem factors

Several *ante-mortem* factors affect chemical meat composition, including species, sex, age, body condition, muscle type, hormone levels, site, climate, harvesting period and type of hunting [11, 12].

In Europe, hunting is practised all over the continent; hence a same species can be harvested in different environmental conditions, which implies different climate and habitat resulting in differences on types of food and its availability. However, for the majority of game species, hunting periods are extended from late summer to early spring (the coldest period of the year), and in all cases out of the breeding season. Hunting targets adults and juveniles (the latter in small game species), both males and females, which may have eaten natural or supplemented food depending on the location, as in many parts of Europe (especially the Mediterranean basin), there are extended periods of drought.

The different types of hunting may affect to meat quality. For example, driven hunting using dogs induce high levels of stress compared to stalking, in which the animals are often shot while being motionless. Other factors, such as hunting at day or night, with a rifle or a bow, may affect meat quality through different stress levels [13]. High stress levels before the animal being shot/captured, cause a higher consumption of muscle glycogen before the death of the animal, resulting in less *post-mortem* lactic acid generation from depleted glycogen reservoir [14], and affecting the pH meat value. An appropriate decrease on pH, and a final value ranging 5.5–5.7 [15], allows the meat to reach the desired color, tenderness, water retention capacity and other technological characteristics for transformation into meat products.

2.2 Post-mortem factors

After the death of the animal, the process to obtain meat in the field and the characteristics of the cutting plant varies depending on the location, as not all European countries have standard operating procedures. In fact, there are significant differences among countries in dressing the meat, the period of time from death to meat refrigeration, refrigeration temperatures, the type of vehicles used

to transport the meat to the cutting plant/slaughterhouse, the maturation process which allows reaching the desired texture and flavor, and also the packing and storing system. All these factors affect the physicochemical, microbiological and sensory quality of game meat.

With regard to small game, after being shot, animals are usually kept unskinned and uneviscerated, and are hung in a cool, dry place until transportation to a game dealer or cutting plant. However, the majority of small game is generally kept by the hunters for personal consumption, and only a small proportion is sold.

In large game species, the evisceration is carried out by the hunter or the staff of the hunting ground. The interval from death to evisceration varies and little attention is paid to the environmental conditions in which hunting takes place, which may seriously undermine meat quality. The interval and the prevailing conditions between hunting and transport of the eviscerated carcass (previously approved by a veterinary inspection), to the cutting plant in refrigerated trucks also varies considerably. Finally, cutting plant managers often overlook the optimum conditions for carcass aging for a given species, which currently takes place over a wide range of temperatures and times, using different carcass ripening methods (mainly skinned *vs.* unskinned) [16].

The implementation of standardized procedures in the field and cutting plant, are needed to ensure safety, quality and traceability of game meat.

3. Nutritional composition of wild game meat

The macronutrients, micronutrients, cholesterol content and fatty acid profile for the meat from the most important hunted species in Central and Mediterranean European countries are described below. Existing literature from areas where hunting is very popular has been reviewed. Those areas are located at the countries: Italy, Spain, Portugal, Greek, Poland, Hungary, Romania, Croatia, Germany, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Slovak Republic and Republic of Lithuania. Only studies dealing with wild game (no farmed) have been cited, including animals harvested from late summer to spring, adults and juveniles, males and females.

3.1 Proximate composition

In **Table 1**, the proximate composition and energy content of meat from large wild game species is shown: wild red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), fallow deer (*Dama dama*), wild boar (*Sus scrofa*), and small game: brown hare (*Lepus europaeus*) and wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), which have subject to a higher number of studies in Europe. The energy content has been calculated using the protein and fat content, following Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011. **Table 1** shows range of mean values or mean value when only one study available, found for the most studied parts of the carcass, loin and legs.

3.1.1 Large wild game

The most studied part of the carcass of red deer and fallow deer is the loin, both the whole *longissimus thoracis et lumborum* muscle (sometimes referred as *longissimus dorsi*) or its two parts (*longissimus thoracis* and *longissimus lumborum* muscles), with research also studying the legs (*semimembranosus*, *semitendinosus* and *triceps brachii* muscles). Generally speaking, the composition of both parts is similar, hence deer meat (red and fallow deer) has a high protein content ranging 20.5–22.8%, which confirms that is a relatively rich source of proteins and aminoacids [11]; and

		Moisture (g)	Protein (g)	Fat (g)	Ash (g)	Energy (kcal)
Red deer [17–21]	Loin	75.22–77.11	21.41–22.20	0.10–0.96	1.10–1.34	90–98
	Legs	77.90	20.50–21.50	1.00–1.72	0.98	91–101
Fallow deer [22–24]	Loin	74.30–75.40	21.80–22.80	0.30–0.89	1.07–1.10	91–96
	Legs	74.70–75.50	21.90–22.60	0.41–0.81	1.01	94–95
Wild boar [12, 25–32]	Loin	70.50–74.72	21.24–25.87	0.69–2.80	1.03–1.26	101–117
	Legs	71.28–76.74	19.71–23.73	1.30–2.80	0.88–1.05	95–111
Hare [33–36]	Loin	72.48–75.15	21.53–25.30	1.23–2.73	0.98–1.27	100–112
	Legs	74.35–75.43	20.28–21.58	1.79–3.23	0.97–1.27	102–110
Wild rabbit [37]	Loin	75.35	22.05	1.55	1.00	102
	Legs	75.08–75.55	20.28–21.43	2.26–3.48	1.02–1.09	106–113

Table 1.
Range of mean values (or mean value when only one study available) of proximate composition and energy content (per 100 g) of game meat from wild species hunted in Europe.

a low fat content between 0.1–1.7%. The loin is the part with less fat content (<1%). It is well documented that fat content of game meat as a high variability as it may be affected by several factors, including climate [22, 38], sex and age [20–22], feeding regime and season [22, 23, 39], physiological and sexual status [40], and hunting period [41].

In the wild, fat content is mostly influenced by seasonal variations, nutritional and sexual status, and available vegetation. Deer species, especially those living in the wild, are known to have seasonal body condition changes, which allows speculation about seasonal variation of meat composition. Usually, body condition is at its highest at the beginning of winter and at its lowest at the beginning of spring [28], and consequently the fat content is higher in winter compared to autumn and summer [22, 41]. But this variation is influenced by the species, winter severity and population density [28, 29]. In addition, in several deer species a decrease in body weight has been recorded for adult males during the rutting season [29], while for adult females the recovery of body condition during summer may be limited by the cost of lactation [29]. The meat energetic value (calculated per 100 g) ranges from 90 to 101 kcal in red deer, and 91.5–96 kcal in fallow deer. These values are lower than beef, pork, lamb or poultry meat, whose energetic value range from 114 to 231 kcal/100 g of muscle tissue [30] owing to the higher fat content of these meats. Ash content is very similar for both deer species and in all muscles (range of mean values from 1 to 1.3%).

When comparing meat from wild and farmed deer, there are contradictory results; higher fat content has been found in wild fallow deer compared to farmed, and similar or slightly higher values have been found in farmed red deer compared to wild ones [11].

The wild boar is a generalist omnivore, which is able to eat a wide range of food items, hence its diet varies according to resources available. It has a broad geographic distribution that include arid zones (semi deserts), wetlands, high mountain environments, forest and farmland ecosystems [25], which ultimately affect to the meat chemical composition. The proximate composition of loin (*longissimus thoracis et lumborum* and *longissimus thoracis*) and legs (*semimembranosus* and *teres major*) from European wild boar shows a high protein content (21.2–25.9%) and a low fat content (0.7–2.8%). The influence of some factors on wild boar meat has been studied, and the most significant are: (i) the available food in the hunting

grounds which finally determines meat composition, outstanding fat and protein content. In this way, a higher fat content has been found in wild boar meat from fenced hunting grounds, in areas where cereals are supplemented and in cultivated forest compared to areas/hunting grounds where only natural food is available [25, 31, 32, 42–44]; (ii) age, as when compared to juveniles, meat from adults has higher content of fat and protein, and lower water content [33, 43, 44]; (iii) the carcass weight, as there is a positive correlation between the protein content and the carcass weight [34]; (iv) the hunting period, because it has been demonstrated that the highest content of intramuscular fat is reached in wild boar harvested in winter compared to spring and summer, probably owing to the higher amount of available food from cultivated crops [35]. Interestingly enough, sex has no significant effect on meat proximate composition [31], though a study [44] found that younger females generally showed a higher content of protein than males, and another one observed a higher content of protein in meat from males [12]. Among the different components studied, the ash content is the less influenced and more constant [44] with mean values ranging 0.9–1.3%.

When comparing the macronutrients content of wild boar meat to pork, the main difference is the lower fat and higher protein content of wild boar meat [25]. Thus, the mean values in pork loin are 4.6% for intramuscular fat and 21.4% for protein content [12, 25, 26, 34, 42, 43]. One study has reported that the mean fat content of wild boar meat was 5.3%, being similar to pork [23].

3.1.2 Small game

Wild rabbit and hares are herbivorous consuming a great variety of plants, including herbs, grains, and fruits. The type and abundance of these plants vary depending on the season and from one area to another, which may cause large variation in the composition of their meat [36]. There are very few data available regarding the nutritional composition of wild hare and rabbit in Europe; in fact, only one study has been found investigating wild rabbit meat harvested in Spain [37]. The proximate composition has been evaluated in different pieces such as loin (*longissimus thoracis et lumborum*) and legs (*semimembranosus* and *triceps brachii*). For both rabbits and hares, legs have a slightly higher fat content and lower protein content compared to loin, showing an overall a high protein content (20.3–25.3%) and low fat content (1.2–3.5%), resulting on an mean energetic value of 100–113 kcal/100 g. Although the meat composition of both lagomorphs harvested in Europe is similar, more research is needed to confirm this point.

Considering the variability for each of the parameters mentioned above, it is clear that the available food where animals are harvested has a significant influence on meat composition. On the other hand, some studies have found that several factors influence protein and fat content, and consequently energetic value, the most important being: (i) sex, as meat from females has a higher fat content and lower protein content [45, 46]; (ii) the hunting period, with higher fat and protein content in winter compared to spring [47]; (iii) muscle type, with a higher fat content in the legs compared to the loin [37, 46].

Several studies have compared meat from hares and domestic rabbit [45, 48], showing that domestic rabbit have a higher fat content (2.6–3.0%) and a lower protein value (21.5–22.2%). When the same diet is provided to farmed brown hares and domestic rabbits, a higher protein content is found in hare meat [48].

The comparison of the proximate composition of meat from wild and farmed brown hares, has found similar or slightly higher fat and mineral content in farmed hares [48–50].

Although meat from wild rabbit and hare provides a balanced content of macronutrient, these meats are not as popular as the consumption of other domestic meats, because of their dark red color, characteristic strong flavor, compact texture and dryness [51].

No complete studies have been found on the chemical composition of game birds, so more research is needed for these species.

In summary, the main characteristics of proximate composition of game meat from wild species are: low fat content and caloric value, together with high protein content, which may contribute to a healthy diet and a good “marketing strategy” [52].

3.2 Fatty acids composition

It is widely accepted that both the amount and the structure of fatty acids (FA) play a major role in human health. Moreover, the FA composition is more relevant than fat content for human nutrition. There are four inter-related factors that have important health implications: (i) the total fat content; (ii) the distribution of specific FA; (iii) the ratio of polyunsaturated fatty acids and saturated fatty acids (PUFA/SFA); (iv) the $n-6/n-3$ FA ratio [53]. Each of these factors has been shown to influence the development of coronary diseases.

According to the health authorities it is recommended to decrease the intake of fat, SFA, *trans*-FA and the $n-6/n-3$ ratio, and to increase the intake of MUFA (monounsaturated fatty acids) and PUFA [54]. SFA are regarded as the main cause of cardiovascular diseases as they increase blood pressure and the concentration of the “bad” LDL (low-density lipoproteins) serum cholesterol concentrations, while MUFA and PUFA decrease the concentration of the “bad” LDL cholesterol and increase the concentration of the “good” HDL (high-density lipoproteins) cholesterol which results in reducing the risk of heart diseases and atherosclerosis [55]. The $n-3$ PUFA decreases serum triacylglycerols, while lowering thrombotic tendencies and reducing the incidence of ventricular arrhythmias [56]. Today, the $n-6/n-3$ ratio in human diets is often over 10 (the recommendation is <4.0), indicating the deficiency of beneficial $n-3$ FA in our diets [52]. On the other hand, the PUFA/SFA ratio recommended by the British Department of Health [57] is a minimum of 0.40 to contribute to a reduction in the risk in coronary diseases in humans.

As said before, game meat is lean, with a low content of intramuscular lipids, which are primarily composed of triglycerides (neutral lipids) and phospholipids (polar lipids) with polar lipids being the less saturated. The intramuscular fat composition is related to the fat amount, because a higher fat content results on changes in FA, with a higher content of neutral lipids which tend to be more saturated [58].

In **Table 2** a review of the range of mean values found in the available literature for the total content of SFA, MFA, PUFA, $n-6$ and $n-3$ FA, are shown, and also the PUFA/SFA and the $n-6/n-3$ ratios for the intramuscular fat of different muscles of loin (*longissimus thoracis et lumborum*, *longissimus thoracis* and *longissimus lumborum*) and legs (*semimembranosus*, *semitendinosus*, *psoas major* and *triceps brachii*).

3.2.1 Large wild game

The range of mean values for the total amount of FA and their ratios found in wild game meat harvested in Europe are quite wide. In general, in wild red deer meat the most important are SFA, closely followed by PUFA, whereas fallow deer shows a higher amount of SFA followed by MUFA. However, only one study is available for fallow deer [58] harvested in Poland hence there is lack of studies. Considering

	Red deer [17, 19, 59]		Fallow deer [24]	Wild boar [26, 42, 43, 60–64]		Hare [46, 48]	Wild rabbit [36]
	Loin	Legs	Loin	Loin	Legs	Legs	Loin
SFA	30.4–38.2	35.6–43.3	55.4–63.1	31.6–44.7	28.5–40.1	33.7–35.1	28.8
MUFA	15.3–22.7	22.1–37.2	25.7–29.4	30.2–46.8	27.8–50.4	17.5–21.8	13.6
PUFA	37.6–50.1	25.5–37.4	11.2–14.9	17.3–30.5	14.7–25.5	41.5–45.5	39.7
PUFA/ SFA		0.44–1.09	0.18–0.27	0.38–0.84	0.52–0.62	1.20–1.89	1.38
<i>n</i> -6	30.2–38.2	18.7–29.3		15.7–28.0	17.8–24.0	39.3–41.1	28.8
<i>n</i> -3	7.2–11.7	4.6–8.1		0.9–5.7	1.4–6.2	2.0–4.4	9.2
<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3	3.5–4.3	2.6–4.8		3.1–22.3	3.0–17.6	14.2–25.1	3.8

Table 2.
Range of mean values (or mean value when only one study available) of fatty acids profile (g/100 g total fatty acids) of intramuscular fat from game meat from wild species hunted in Europe. SFA: Saturated fatty acids; MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids.

all studies conducted on wild red deer, the *n*-6/*n*-3 ratio has shown values lower or close to the recommended maximum value of 4.0 [17, 19, 59] and PUFA/SFA values slightly higher than 0.40, from 0.44–0.49 [59] or slightly higher, from 0.63–1.09 [17], which is healthier. For fallow deer, this ratio was lower owing to the higher SFA content and lower PUFA content. Wild boar shows a higher amount of MUFA compared to ruminants, as shown in the majority of studies [42, 43, 59–63], and a higher *n*-6/*n*-3 ratio, between 6.9 and 17.0 which is 2 to 4 times above the maximum nutritional recommended ratio of 4.0, hence less favorable. Only a study carried out in Poland, shows lower values in wild boar loin and legs, ranging between 3.0–4.7 [26]. The majority of studies on wild boars harvested in Europe show PUFA/SFA ratios above the minimum ratio of 0.40 recommended to reduce the risk in coronary diseases in humans [60, 65].

Regarding to the FA in large game, all studies show that the most abundant are palmitic (C16:0), stearic (C18:0) and oleic (C18:1), as shown for domestic animals [66]. In general, game species contain high amounts of the long chained (C > 20) unsaturated fatty acids [67], which are healthful [68]. It is important to highlight the high amounts of arachidonic acid (C20:4 *n*-6), which can be explained by the phospholipidic origin of this fatty acid and the fact that wild animals meat is leaner than that of domestic animals [69].

In wild red and fallow deer, the FA composition is mainly influenced by the food composition despite the biohydrogenation of PUFA in the rumen. The intake of pasture produces a more favorable *n*-6/*n*-3 ratio compared to feed [68–70], which is attributed to the higher α -linolenic acid (ALA, C18:3 *n*-3) content in the grass, while the linoleic acid (C18:2 *n*-6) can be found in feed, and both are present primarily in the phospholipid fraction of deer intramuscular fat [17, 70]. Thus, it can be said that feed provided to farmed deer increases the total fat and SFA content, and decreases PUFA content, especially *n*-3 PUFA [53, 71–73]. Wild deer meat is generally speaking, a relatively good source of *n*-3 PUFA due to the presence of ALA in pasture. The high values of ALA found in red deer and fallow deer meat may be the consequence of the very low content of intramuscular fat, as well as the fact that both species are grazers.

Together with food, other factors may affect the FA profile as age, sex, area of harvesting, among others [74]. For example, meat from stags show a higher PUFA

concentration than hinds in both red deer and fallow deer [58, 59, 75], and consequently the PUFA/SFA ratio is higher in the stags' meat. Regarding to the effect of age, younger animals show a higher PUFA content than older ones [19], probably due to higher intramuscular fat amounts in older animals and different relative contents of triacylglycerol and phospholipid fractions in muscle lipids. Meat from older animals shows higher $n-6/n-3$ ratio (> 4.0) [19], hence less healthy.

The comparison of meat from wild deer with other red meats from domestic animals, reveals a high content of PUFA, being deer meat particularly rich in C18:2 $n-6$, C18:3 $n-3$ and C20:4 $n-6$. In this way, the content of C18:3 $n-3$ (ALA) in venison is markedly higher than in cattle breeds [70, 76–78]. On the one hand, red deer meat has a more favorable fat composition compared to grain-fed beef, showing a lower amount of SFA (2–3 times lower), a higher content in long-chain $n-3$ PUFA and healthier PUFA/SAF and $n-6/n-3$ ratios [53]. The practice of adding C18:2 $n-6$ to grain provided to cattle reduces the concentrations of $n-3$ PUFA, while simultaneously increases the concentrations of $n-6$ PUFA [53]. On the other hand, in deer meat conjugated linoleic acid, CLA (C18:2 *cis-9 trans-11* isomer), has been found, an element which has been shown to be a cancer inhibitor that provides significant protection according to several models of carcinogenesis, especially in diets with a high intake of dairy products and beef, the highest known food sources. CLA may also reduce the progression of atherosclerosis via its strong antioxidant property [79], though deer meat has a lower CLA amount compared to meat from domestic ruminants [59].

In contrast to ruminants, double bonds of FA are not hydrogenated during digestion in wild boar. Similarly to other monogastric animals, the FA composition of wild boar's meat is strongly related to diet [25], as the FA profile varies depending on whether the animals eat only natural resources or are supplemented, the latter a quite widespread practice conducted by managers during harsh winter periods. In the wild, wild boars eat a great variety of indigenous plants, including grain, seeds, roots, fruits, insects, earthworms, slugs and also small mammals and carrion, though the bulk of food consumed consists of plant material [80]. Higher amounts of SFA have been found in wild boars supplemented with feed compared to those not supplemented [31], in which a higher content of $n-3$ was found [81]. In addition, the habitat influences the intramuscular FA, so wild boar meat from forest dominated habitats has a higher PUFA $n-3$ content and lower $n-6/n-3$ ratio compared to meat from wild boars occurring in farmland habitats [43]. It has been confirmed that the FA more affected by wild boar diet are C18:1 and C18:2 $n-6$, though SFA as C16:0 and C18:0, do not show significant variations [25]. The higher proportions of CLA in wild boar meat compared to pork [82] could be explained by the intestinal bacterial flora biosynthesis. As expected, the hunting area also influences the FA profile owing to the diversity of food items [42].

Together with the diet, it has been suggested that the hunting period has a strong influence on the FA profile. In autumn (October–November), research has found higher proportions of PUFA, lower of MUFA, more favorable values of PUFA/SFA ratio and less favorable $n-6/n-3$ ratio, when compared to winter (December–January) [62]. Also, in meat from wild boar hunted during winter, lower proportions of SFA and higher of PUFA, and PUFA/SFA and $n-6/n-3$ PUFA ratios have been found compared to summer [64].

With regard to the effect of age, conflicting results have been found, which could be attributed to the differences on age classes used among studies [62, 65]. Only small differences were detected for sex [62, 64], referring a higher total proportion of SFA in males compared to females [65]. A further influence has been found in the carcass weight, hence SFA increased with weight and PUFA proportion increased with decreased carcass weight [26].

If we look at the intramuscular FA profile in wild boars and pigs, in general higher amounts of PUFA have been found in wild boar but also a less favorable $n-6/n-3$ ratio [63, 83].

3.2.2 Small game

The FA profile of hares and rabbits is slightly different than the one shown by wild deer and wild boars, owing to the higher amount of C20:4 $n-6$, that increases the proportion of PUFA and decreases the proportion of MUFA when compared to large game species. The predominant FA in hare and wild rabbit are C18:2 $n-6$, 16:0, 18:1, 20:4 $n-6$ and 18:0 [36, 48], but hares have a higher $n-6/n-3$ ratio compared to rabbits. In any case, the fatty acids profile in hares and rabbits have been poorly studied, as only three studies have been conducted in Europe [36, 46, 48].

The FA composition is influenced by seasonal and territorial variations on vegetation. So, an optimal FA profile has been shown particularly during early spring, when fresh spontaneous vegetation is available, which increases the amount of PUFA, particularly $n-3$, and lower SFA and MUFA concentration, as demonstrated in wild rabbits hunted in Greece [36]. Additionally, there are differences on some FA depending on sex [46].

Higher amounts of C18:2, C18:3 $n-3$ and C20:4 $n-4$ have been found in hares and wild rabbits compared to livestock meats. In farmed hares and reared rabbits eating the same food, higher proportions of PUFA have been found in hares, particularly $n-3$, and lower proportions of MUFA and SFA [48].

3.2.3 Fatty acid profile and organoleptic characteristics

The FA profile not only has implications for human health, but also on meat quality, such as texture, shelf life, flavor and odor, which all affect sensory properties of deer meat [13]. Due to the different melting points, individual FA have important but diverse effects on the firmness or softness of the fat and meat. The effect of FA on meat flavor is due to the production of volatile lipid oxidation products during heat treatment or while the production of cured meat, especially unsaturated FA [70]. Natural grazing is a source of PUFA and it is considered as an important contributor for the development of “wild”, “gamey” and “grassy” flavor in meat [72, 84, 85]. However, a high content of PUFA may negatively affect the oxidation stability and other technological parameters of meat and its products [52].

3.3 Cholesterol

Cholesterol is an essential element for body function, as it is part of the cell membrane structure and it is necessary for the sexual and adrenal hormone production. Around two thirds of cholesterol are synthesized in the liver and the remaining proportion is taken from the diet, and the cholesterol level is self-controlled. Higher serum cholesterol levels increase the risk of cardiovascular disease, though the intake reduction of food rich in cholesterol as a way to reduce this problem, has been questioned [86].

Available literature about wild game meat, shows cholesterol content only in wild red deer and wild boar, specifically in *longissimus thoracis et lumborum*, *semimembranosus*, *psoas major*, *semitendinosus* and *triceps brachii* muscles (Table 3). In those studies, the range of mean values are quite wide, which could explain by the differences in the method used for cholesterol determination, not

Red deer [17, 19, 59]		Wild boar [60, 87]	
Loin	Legs	Loin	Legs
45.3–52.8	55.2–94.6	34.4	20.9–56.9

Table 3.
Range of mean values (or mean value when only one study available) of cholesterol content (mg/100 g) of game meat from wild species hunted in Europe.

excluding the effects of diet, age and the muscle studied [17, 88]. The age influence is not clear yet [17], as lower cholesterol values in meat from younger red deer were found [59] and the opposite result was found in a different study [19]. As found for the total fat content, the amount of cholesterol is also influenced by the muscle, as a lower amount is found in the loin compared to the legs (**Table 3**).

The cholesterol amount in red deer meat has been compared to beef using the same technique at the same laboratory, finding that the amount found in deer is at the same level of the one found in beef loin and legs [89]. Depending on the muscle, the values found in wild boar meat (20.9–56.9 mg/100 g) are lower or similar to the ones found in pork, which are close to 45.3–80.0 mg/100 g [60]. Generally speaking, the amount of cholesterol in game meat are similar to the ones found in lamb, chicken, pork or beef meat [11]. Contradictory results have been found when comparing the cholesterol amount between wild and farmed deer, with wild red deer showing lower values and wild fallow deer showing higher values compared to farmed deer [11].

3.4 Minerals

Macro- and micro-minerals serve many functions in the human organism. They are the building material of bones, teeth, skin and hair and are fundamental components for metabolic processes, maintenance of acid–base equilibrium and regulation of water and electrolyte metabolism [90]. In addition, minerals contained in meat, in comparison with those present in plants, are more easily absorbed and, therefore, more beneficial to the human organism [91].

Table 4 shows the concentrations of macro and micro-elements, found in loin (*longissimus thoracis et lumborum*, *longissimus thoracis* and *longissimus lumborum*) and legs (*semimembranosus*) in wild red deer, fallow deer and wild boar. In despite of differences among species, the most abundant macro-minerals in the meat of all species are K, followed by P and Na. On the other hand, the most abundant micro-minerals are Fe and Zn, followed by Cu. The individual values for each species show wide ranges, as the studies have been conducted in different countries and areas, which results on different mineral availability. The mineral content of game meat, especially the micro-minerals, is closely linked to the availability in the environment, as soil micro-elements influence the vegetation content which is eaten by wild game [11, 12, 20, 23]. Additionally, other factors may affect mineral concentration in muscles for a given species, such as different physical activity, muscle fiber type composition, date of hunting, age, sex and other environmental factors [12, 19, 20, 74]. To date, it is known that age does not influence significantly the mineral profile, and only differences in Na have been found in red deer [19] and differences in Fe for wild boar, in which higher amounts are found in older animals [12]. Neither sex has a significant influence in wild board [92], though in red deer higher amounts of Na y Zn were found in stags [20] and in wild boar higher amounts of Cu were found in females [93]. Further research is needed to fully understand the factors influencing the mineral profile.

	Red deer [19– 21, 23]		Fallow deer [23]		Wild boar [12, 23, 25, 92]	
	Loin	Legs	Loin	Legs	Loin	Legs
Macro-minerals						
Ca (mg)	6.0–25.1	9.1	21.0	9.0	14.8–20.8	10.1–11.8
P (mg)	166.1–289	187.9	226.9	216.8	202.3	214.3–223.2
Mg (mg)	17.5–38	18.6	21.4	21.3	20.1–55.7	19.6–26.9
K (mg)	217–326.9	282.9	302.0	303.3	299.7–1123.9	324.3–328.7
Na (mg)	45.7–121	83.5	63.5	76.2	69.4–157.2	60.9–68.1
Micro-minerals						
Cu (mg)	0.17–0.93	0.17	0.18	0.21	0.15–0.58	0.13–0.18
Zn (mg)	1.36–7.05	4.86	2.90	3.41	2.3–11.7	4.1–4.5
Fe (mg)	4.17–5.36	4.02	1.66	3.52	1.9–8.2	2.9–3.3
Mn (µg)	17–27	21.8	49.1	48.2	36.8–19.1	32.2–64.0
Se (µg)	3.7				13	

Table 4.
Range of mean values (or mean value when only one study available) of minerals content (per 100 g) of game meat from wild species hunted in Europe.

In general, game meat has higher amounts of micro-minerals than beef and pork, and such differences may be due to genetic background and environmental factors [23]. Muscles of wild ruminants have shown higher content of P, but less K and Na than corresponding bovine muscles [23]. In wild boar meat, higher content of Ca, P, Cu, Fe and Zn has been found than in pork, but lower content of Na and Mg, with conflicting results with regard to K [23, 92]. A 2-fold higher content of Fe have been found in wild boar [12].

3.5 Vitamins

Vitamins are essential elements for human nutrition as they control metabolic pathways of macronutrients, act in enzymatic reactions and take part in many physiological functions, with some vitamins having antioxidant properties [94].

Not many studies have dealt with the vitamin content of game meat, and available research focuses on vitamin E (tocopherols y tocotrienols) owing to the antioxidant properties which are key on the preservation of meat quality through the retardation of lipid oxidation and color loss. In **Table 5** it is shown the concentrations of B-group vitamins and some fat-soluble vitamins: retinol and vitamin E homologs which are at high levels, α- and γ-tocopherols, in red deer and wild boar meat.

In red deer, only one study has been conducted in B-group vitamins from loin (*longissimus thoracis*) [20], and when compared to lean meat from pork, poultry, lamb and beef [95], deer loin shows higher contents of thiamine (2-fold higher than poultry and lamb, and 3-fold higher than beef), riboflavin (being approximately 3-fold higher), and especially vitamin B12 which is between 3 and 5 times higher than all meats mentioned. One study in red deer evaluated the levels of tocopherols and tocotrienols in *psoas major* muscle, finding higher values of α-tocopherols in hinds [59].

	Red deer [20, 59]		Wild boar [12, 43, 60]	
	Loin	Legs	Loin	Legs
B-group (per 100 g)				
B1 (thiamine, mg)	0.18			
B2 (riboflavin, mg)	0.40			
B3 (niacin, mg)	5.53			
B6 (pyridoxine, mg)	0.14			
B9 (folic acid, µg)	3.17			
B12 (cyanocobalamine, µg)	5.87			
Retinol (µg /g)			0.01–1.11	
Tocopherols (µg /g)				
α		5.24–6.46	0.52–2.6	15.5–19.2
γ		1.42–2.06	0.03–0.30	1.14–1.75

Table 5.
Range of mean values (or mean value when only one study available) of vitamins content of game meat from wild species hunted in Europe.

In wild boar meat concentrations of retinol and vitamin E homologs have been studied in *longissimus* and *psoas major* muscles. The location of the animals has a strong influence on the amount of vitamins [12, 43, 60], finding significant differences for retinol, α- and γ-tocopherol in studies conducted in Italy and Germany. A study conducted in wild boar harvested at farmland and forest areas [43], found higher amount of α-tocopherol in farmland areas, and higher amount of γ-tocopherol in forest areas owing to the differences on crops, pasture and acorns among habitats. Supplementation of vitamin E in pigs is a widespread practice, as it reduces oxidation, increasing meat shelf life. Pigs supplemented up to 700 mg/kg of feed, resulted in α-tocopherol content in *psoas major* muscle up to 15.1–16.3 µg/g of meat, values that are similar to the mean values found in wild boars [60].

4. Nutrition and health claims in wild red deer meat

A recent study conducted by Soriano et al. [20] has shown the nutrition and health claims from wild red deer meat according to the Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 and the amended Commission Regulation (EU) No 1047/2012. These claims can be used legally in labeling, presentation and advertising of this meat in the market. In that study the nutritional quality of loin (*longissimus thoracis*) from 71 wild Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) was analyzed, using both stags and hinds hunted in autumn and winter.

Regarding to the nutrition claims, in all samples the contribution of proteins to the total energetic value was at least 73%, the fat content was lower than 2 g/100 g and Na content was lower than 0.12 g/100 g, so the claims “high protein”, “low fat” and “low sodium/salt” can be assumed. When looking at the minerals and vitamins contents, significant amounts of P, Fe, Cu, Zn, and vitamins B2 (riboflavin), B3 (niacin) and B12 (cyanocobalamin) were found, indicating that 100 g of deer loin provide at least 15% of the reference daily intake for adults of these micronutrients, so this meat can be labeled as a “source of P, Fe, Cu, riboflavin and niacin”. For Zn and vitamin B12 deer loin contains at least twice the value of source, so could be labeled as “high in Zn and vitamin B12”.

Together with the mentioned nutrition claims, permitted health claims listed in the Annex of the Commission Regulation (EU) No 432/2012, could be used for wild deer meat in relation to those minerals and vitamins found in significant amounts. Similarly, claims related with children's development could also be made (Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006; Commission Regulation (EU) No 432/2012); these claims are based on the bioactivity and presence of certain components that must be found in significant amounts, such as Fe and protein, since deer loin complies as being a source of Fe and protein.

5. European wild game meat production and its challenges

Production of wild game meat as alternative to others red meats, offer both economic opportunities and nutritional benefits but is still a niche market to develop due to both low demand and supply limitations.

In Europe, hunting contributes only a small part to the overall meat supply, but represents a sustainable source of meat. Hunting is a legal activity and when managed properly, may contribute to wildlife conservation and rural economy [10]. Most hunting practices fulfill animal welfare requirements, though guidelines for sustainable hunting should be promoted to minimize ethical concerns.

The way in which game meat is produced differs from that of farmed animals, and prompts taking specific measures along the food chain [96]. Despite differences in game species, *ante-mortem* conditions, hunting procedures and *post-mortem* handling, there are common requirements regarding to meat safety and quality. To strengthening the wild game meat sector, it is essential to ensure that the meat is safe for the consumer through official inspections. To date, European legislation is limited to a series of general regulations on the hygiene of foodstuffs (Regulations (EC) Nos. 852/2004, 853/2004, 854/2004, 882/2004 and 2075/2005) as adapted into the legislation of state members. This means that the good practices recommended in Europe must be observed across the whole production sector: hunting grounds' owners, hunters and cutting plants. These good practices are crucial, as training is needed by those involved in this matter (including hunters, trained staff and official veterinarians), serving as reference when game carcasses or food companies trading meat from wild game are inspected. However, there are still specific unresolved questions and challenges [96].

On the other hand, the use of lead (Pb) ammunition is still widespread in many hunting modalities and it is well known that fragments of Pb can be found after impacting on the body of the animal, both in the place of impact and other nearby parts. A common practice is to eliminate the place of impact during carcass dressing. In addition, trading companies have metal detectors, which prevent the distribution of game meat with the presence of ammunition remains. However, wild animals inadvertently ingest Pb residues present at the soil and surface water in some areas, causing the Pb to be also present in their viscera. Consequently, there is a certain risk of exposure to lead in the population, mainly hunters and their families [97]. In this sense, EFSA has studied the lead dietary exposure in the European population from meat and meat products [98], finding particularly high results for wild boar meat. However, food consumed in larger quantities, as grains and grain products, milk and dairy products, non-alcoholic beverages and vegetables and vegetable products, have the greatest impact on lead dietary exposure, not being this the case for wild game meat [98]. No adverse effects from a single high intake of Pb have been reported, though chronic toxicity from repeated intakes has a toxic effect on the body, mainly on the central nervous system (particularly on the developing brain of young children and fetuses). On the other hand, inorganic Pb compounds

have been classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as probably carcinogenic to humans [99].

It is then clear that meat from wild game has several challenges that should be tackled to reduce detrimental effect on consumers, mainly those related to the type of ammunition used and some aspects related to handling *post-mortem* in the field.

6. Conclusions

Generally speaking wild game meat from Central and Mediterranean European countries, compared to livestock meat shows: (i) a lower fat content (< 3 g/100 g for large and < 4 g/100 g for small wild game species), and therefore a lower energy content; (ii) a higher or similar protein content; (iii) a positive fatty-acids profile, showing a higher proportion of PUFA, especially $n-3$, and consequently more favorable PUFA/SFA ratio, and in the specific case of wild boar, a relative adequate content of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), and in the case of wild ruminants (red and fallow deer), an optimal $n-6/n-3$ ratio; (iv) a higher minerals content, mainly micro-minerals as Zn and the bioavailable form of heam Fe. Wild game meat has also optimal amounts of B-group vitamins, as riboflavin, niacin and B12, and vitamin E, with antioxidant properties. Overall, wild game meat meets current demands from consumers owing to its sustainable production which guarantees animal welfare standards, and its nutritional quality that may contribute to a balance and healthy diet. Hence wild game meat is a good alternative to others red meats and it can diversify the European meat market.

Author details

Almudena Soriano^{1*} and Carlos Sánchez-García²

¹ Food Technology, IRICA (Regional Institute for Applied Scientific Research), University of Castilla-La Mancha, Ciudad Real, Spain

² Fundación Artemisan, Ciudad Real, Spain

*Address all correspondence to: almudena.soriano@uclm.es

IntechOpen

© 2021 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Hoffman LC, Muller M, Schutte DW, Calitz FJ, Crafford K. Consumer expectations, perceptions and purchasing of South African game meat. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research*. 2005; 35: 33-42
- [2] Demartini E, Vecchiato D, Gaviglio A, Tempesta T, Viganò R. Consumer preferences for red deer meat: A discrete choice analysis considering attitudes towards wild game meat and hunting. *Meat Science*. 2018; 146: 168-179. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.07.031
- [3] Kwiecińska K, Kosicka-Gębska M, Gębski J, Gutkowska K. Prediction of the conditions for the consumption of game by Polish consumers. *Meat Science*. 2017; 131: 28-33. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2017.04.038
- [4] Andreotti A, Borghesi F, Aradis A. Lead ammunition residues in the meat of hunted woodcock: A potential health risk to consumers. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. 2016; 15: 22-29. DOI: 10.1080/1828051X.2016.1142360
- [5] Sevillano J, Moreno-Ortega A, Amaro MA, Arenas A, Cámara-Martos F, Moreno-Rojas R. Game meat consumption by hunters and their relatives: a probabilistic approach. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A*. 2018; 35: 1739-1748. DOI: 10.1080/19440049.2018.1488183
- [6] Marescotti ME, Caputo V, Demartini E, Gaviglio A. Discovering market segments for hunted wild game meat. *Meat Science*; 2019; 149: 163-176. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.11.019
- [7] European Commission-Directorate General for Agriculture and Rural Development. Study report-minor meats. September 2014; LEI Wageningen UR.
- [8] Gibier de Chasse-Chasseurs de France. 2020. Available from: <http://chasseurdefrance.com/charte-gibier-de-chasse-chasseurs-de-france/> [Accessed: 2020-10-19]
- [9] ASICCAZA. 2020. Available from: <http://asicaza.org/> [Accessed: 2020-10-19]
- [10] Sánchez-García C, Urda V, Lambarri M, Prieto I, Andueza A, Villanueva LF. Evaluation of the economics of sport hunting in Spain through regional surveys. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*. 2020; 1-15. DOI: 10.1080/00207233.2020.1759305
- [11] Kudrnáčová E, Bartoň L, Bureš D, Hoffman LC. Carcass and meat characteristics from farm-raised and wild fallow deer (*Dama dama*) and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*): A review. *Meat Science*. 2018; 141: 9-27. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.02.020
- [12] Dannenberger D, Nuernberg G, Nuernber K, Hagemann E. The effects of gender, age and region on macro and micronutrient contents and fatty acid profiles in the muscles of roe deer and wild boar in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania (Germany). *Meat Science*. 2013; 94: 39-46. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.12.010
- [13] Neethling J, Hoffman LC, Muller M. Factors influencing the flavour of game meat: A review. *Meat Science*. 2016; 113: 139-153. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.11.022
- [14] Pollard JC, Stevenson-Barry JM, Littlejohn RP. Factors affecting behaviour, bruising and pH in a deer slaughter premises. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production*. 1999; 59: 148-151.
- [15] Wiklund E, Farouk M, Finstad G. Venison: meat from red deer (*Cervus*

- elaphus*) and reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*). Animal Frontiers. 2014; 4: 55-61. DOI: 10.2527/af.2014-0034
- [16] Soriano A, Montoro V, Vicente J, Sánchez-Migallón BF, Benítez S, Utrilla MC, García Ruiz A. Influence of evisceration time and carcass ageing conditions on wild venison quality. Preliminary study. Meat Science. 2016; 114: 130-136. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.12.021
- [17] Polak T, Rajar A, Gašperlin L, Žlender B. Cholesterol concentration and fatty acid profile of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) meat. Meat Science. 2008; 80: 864-869. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2008.04.005
- [18] Daszkiewicz T, Janiszewski P, Wajda S. Quality characteristics of meat from wild red deer (*Cervus elaphus* L.) hinds and stags. Journal of Muscle Foods. 2009; 20: 428-448. DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4573.2009.00159.x
- [19] Lorenzo JM, Maggiolino A, Gallego L, Pateiro M, Pérez Serrano M, Domínguez R, García A, Landete-Castillejos T, De Palo P. Effect of age on nutritional properties of Iberian wild red deer meat. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2019; 99: 1561-1567. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.9334
- [20] Soriano A, Murillo P, Perales M, Sánchez-García C, Murillo A, García Ruiz A. Nutritional quality of wild Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) meat: effects of sex and hunting period. Meat Science. 2020; 168: 108189. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108189
- [21] Serrano MP, De Palo P, Maggiolino A, Pateiro M, Gallego L, Domínguez R, García A, Landete-Castillejos T, Lorenzo JM. Seasonal variations of carcass characteristics, meat quality and nutrition value in Iberian wild red deer. Spanish Journal of Agriculture Research. 2020; 18. DOI: 10.5424/sjar/2020184-16113
- [22] Stanisław M, Skorupski M, Ślósarz P, Bykowska-Maciejewska M, Składanowska-Baryza J, Stańczak Ł, Krokowska-Paluszak M, Ludwiczak A. The seasonal variation in the quality of venison from wild fallow deer (*Dama dama*) – a pilot study. Meat Science. 2019; 150: 56-64. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.12.003
- [23] Zomborszky Z, Szentmihály G, Sarudi I, Horn P, Szabó CS. Nutrient composition of muscles in deer and boar. Journal of Food Science. 1996; 61: 625-627. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.1996.tb13172.x
- [24] Piaskowska N, Daszkiewicz T, Kubiak D, Janiszewski P. the effect of gender on meat (*longissimus lumborum* muscle) quality characteristics in the fallow deer (*Dama dama* L.). Italian Journal of Animal Science. 2015; 14: 389-393. DOI: 10.4081/ijas.2015.3845
- [25] Sales J, Kotrbaet R. Meat from wild boar (*Sus scrofa* L.): A review. Meat Science. 2013; 94: 187-201. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.01.012
- [26] Batorska M, Więcek J, Kunowska-Słószarz M, Puppel K, Ślósarz J, Gołębiowski M, Kuczyńska B, Popczyk B, Rekiel V A, Balcerak M. The effect of carcass weight on chemical characteristics and fatty acid composition of *Longissimus dorsi* and *Semimembranosus* muscles of European wild boar (*Sus scrofa scrofa*) meat. Canadian Journal of Animal Science. 2018; 98: 557-564. DOI: 10.1139/cjas-2017-0090
- [27] Stanisław M, Ludwiczak A, Składanowska-Baryza, AJ, Bykowska-Maciejewska M. The effect of age and ultimate pH value on selected quality traits of meat from wild boar. Canadian Journal of Animal Science.

2019; 99: 336-342. DOI: 10.1139/cjas-2018-0090

[28] Hjeljord O, Histøl T. Range-body mass interactions of a northern ungulate – a test of hypothesis. *Oecologia*. 1999; 119: 326-339. DOI: 10.1007/s004420050793

[29] Hewison AJM, Angibault JM, Boutin J, Bideau E, Vincent JP, Sempéré A. Annual variation in body composition of roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in moderate environmental conditions. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 1996; 74: 245-253. DOI: 10.1139/z96-031

[30] Chizzolini R, Zanardi E, Dorigoni V, Ghidini S. Calorific value and cholesterol content of normal and low-fat meat and meat products. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*. 1999; 10: 119-128. DOI: 10.1016/S0924-2244(99)00034-5

[31] Skobrák EB, Bodnár K, Jónás EM, Gundel J, Jávora A. The comparison analysis of the main chemical composition parameters of wild boar meat and pork. *Scientific Papers Animal Science and Biotechnologies*. 2011; 44: 105-112

[32] Żochowska-Kujawska J, Lachowicz K, Sobczak M, Bienkiewicz G. Utility for production of massaged products of selected wild boar muscles originating from wetlands and an arable area. *Meat Science*. 2010; 85: 461-466. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.02.016

[33] Ludwiczak A, Składanowska-Baryza J, Stanisław M. Effect of Age and Sex on the Quality of Offal and Meat of the Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*). *Animals*. 2020; 10: 660-670. DOI: 10.3390/ani10040660

[34] Kasprzyk A, Stadnik J, Stasiak D. Technological and nutritional properties of meat from female wild boars (*Sus*

scrofa scrofa L.) of different carcass weights. *Archives Animal Breeding*. 2019; 62: 597-604. DOI: 10.5194/aab-62-597-2019

[35] Lachowicz K, Żochowska-Kuiawska J, Gaiowiecki L, Sobczak M, Kotowicz M, Żych A. Effects of wild boar meat of different seasons of shot: addition texture of finely ground model pork and beef sausages. *Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities*. 2008; 11: e11

[36] Papadomichelakis G, Zoidis E, Pappas AC, Hadjigeorgiour I. Seasonal variations in the fatty acid composition of Greek wild rabbit meat. *Meat Science*. 2017; 134: 158-162. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2017.08.001

[37] Cobos A, de la Hoz L, Cambero MI, Ordóñez JA. Chemical and fatty acid composition of meat from Spanish wild rabbits and hares. *Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und-Forschung*. 1995; 200: 182-185

[38] Dahlan I, Norfarizan Hanoon NA. Chemical composition, palatability and physical characteristics of venison from farmed deer. *Animal Science Journal*. 2008; 79: 498-503. DOI: 10.1111/j.1740-0929.2008.00555.x

[39] Suttie JM, Goodall ED, Pennie K, Kay RNB. Winter food restriction and summer compensation in red deer stags (*Cervus elaphus*). *British Journal of Nutrition*. 1983; 50: 737-747. DOI: 10.1079/BJN19830145

[40] Stevenson JM, Seman DL, Littlejohn RP. Seasonal variation in venison quality of mature farmed, red deer stags in New Zealand. *Journal of Animal Science*. 1992; 70: 1389-1396. DOI: 10.2527/1992.7051389x

[41] García Ruiz A, Mariscal C, Gonzalez Viñas MA, Soriano A. Influence of hunting-season stage and ripening conditions on microbiological,

physicochemical and sensory characteristics of venison (*Cervus elaphus*) chorizo sausages. Italian Journal of Food Science. 2010; 22: 386-394

[42] Amici A, Cifuni GF, Contò M, Esposito L, Failla S. Hunting area affects chemical and physical characteristics and fatty acid composition of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) meat. Rendiconti Lincei. 2015; 26: S527-S534. DOI: 10.1007/s12210-015-0412-7

[43] Pedrazzoli M, Dal Bosco A, Castellini C, Ranucci D, Mattioli S, Pauselli M, Roscini V. Effect of age and feeding area on meat quality of wild boars. Italian Journal of Animal Science. 2017; 16: 353-362 DOI: 10.1080/1828051X.2017.1292114

[44] Tesařová S, Ježek F, Hulánková R, Plhal R, Drimaj J, Steinhäuserová I, Bořilová G. The individual effect of different production systems, age and sex on the chemical composition of wild boar meat. Acta Veterinaria Brno. 2018; 87: 395-402. DOI: 10.2754/avb201887040395

[45] Mertin D, Slamečka J, Ondruška L, Zaujec K, Jurčík R, Gašparík J. Comparison of meat quality between european brown hare and domestic rabbit. Slovak Journal of Animal Science. 2012; 45: 89-95

[46] Frunza G, Simeanu D, Pop C, Lazar R, Boisteanu PC, Stefan M. Contributions on the sensorial, physico-chemical and nutritional characterization of hare meat (*Lepus europaeus pallas*). Revista de Chimie. 2019; 70: 174-180. DOI: 10.37358/RC.19.1.6876

[47] Skrivanko M, Hadziosmanovic M, Cvrtila Z, Zdolec N, Filipovic I, Kozaeinski L, Florijanec T, Boskovic I. The hygiene and quality of hare meat (*Lepus europaeus Pallas*) from Eastern Croatia. Archiv fur Lebensmittelhygiene. 2008; 59: 180-184

[48] Króliczewska B, Mišta D, Korzeniowska M, Pecka-Kiełb E, Zachwieja A. Comparative evaluation of the quality and fatty acid profile of meat from brown hares and domestic rabbits offered the same diet. Meat Science. 2018; 145: 292-299. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.07.002

[49] Vicenti A, Ragni M, di Summa A, Marsico G, Vonghia G. Influence of feeds and rearing system on the productive performances and the chemical and fatty acid composition of hare meat. Food Science and Technology International. 2003; 9: 279-283. DOI: 10.1177/108201303038106

[50] Trocino A, Birolo M, Dabbou S, Gratta F, Rigo N, Xiccato G. Effect of age and gender on carcass traits and meat quality of farmed brown hares. Animal. 2018; 12: 864-871. DOI: 10.1017/S1751731117002385

[51] Cambero MI, de la Hoz L, Sanz B, Ordóñez JA. Seasonal variations in lipid composition of Spanish wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) meat. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 1991; 56: 315-362. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.2740560311

[52] Poławska E, Cooper RG, Jóźwik A, Pomianowski J. Meat from alternative species-Nutritive and dietetic value, and its benefit for human health-A review. CyTA-Journal of Food. 2013; 11: 37-42. DOI: 10.1080/19476337.2012.680916

[53] Cordain L, Watkins BA, Florant GL, Kelher M, Rogers L, Li Y. Fatty acid analysis of wild ruminant tissues: evolutionary implications for reducing diet-related chronic disease. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2002; 56: 181-191. DOI: 10.1038/sj/ejcn/1601307

[54] WHO, FAO. Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases. Geneva: World Health Organization Technical Report Series; 2003. 916. 149 p

- [55] Gerhard GT, Ahmann A, Meeuws K. Effect of a low-fat diet compared with those of a high-monounsaturated fat diet on body weight, plasma lipids and lipoproteins, and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2004; 80: 668-673. DOI: 10.1093/ajcn/80.3.668
- [56] Leaf A, Kang JX, Xiao YF, Billman GE. n-3 fatty acids in the prevention of cardiac arrhythmias. *Lipids*. 1999; 34: S187-S189
- [57] British Department of Health. Nutritional aspects of cardiovascular disease. Report of the Cardiovascular Review Group of the Committee of Medical Aspects of Food Policy. London: HMSO; 1994. 46. 186 p
- [58] Hunt MR, Legako JF, Dinh TTN, Garmyn AJ, O'Quinn TG, Corbin CH, Rathmann RJ, Brooks JC, Miller MF. Assessment of volatile compounds, neutral and polar lipid fatty acids of four beef muscles from USDA Choice and Select graded carcasses and their relationships with consumer palatability scores and intramuscular fat content. *Meat Science*. 2016; 116, 91-101. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.02.010
- [59] Quaresma MAG, Trigo-Rodrigues I, Alves SP, Martins SIV, Barreto AS, Bessa RJB. Nutritional evaluation of the lipid fraction of Iberian red deer (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*) tenderloin. *Meat Science*. 2012; 92: 519-524. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.05.021
- [60] Quaresma MAG, Alves SP, Trigo-Rodrigues I, Pereira-Silva R, Santos N, Lemos JPC, Barreto AS, Bessa RJB. Nutritional evaluation of the lipid fraction of feral wild boar (*Sus scrofa scrofa*) meat. *Meat Science*. 2011; 89:457-461. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.05.005
- [61] Gálik B, Šmehýl P, Gašparík J, Candrák J, Jahnátek A, Bíro D, Rolinec M, Juráček M, Šimko M. The effect of age on the fatty acids composition in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) hunted in the southwest region of Slovakia. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*. 2018; 87: 85-90. DOI: 10.2754/avb201887010085
- [62] Russo C, Balloni S, Altomonte I, Martini M, Nuvoloni R, Cecchi F, Pedonese F, Salari F, Marilia Sant'ana Da Silva A, Torracca B, Profumo A. Fatty acid and microbial profile of the meat (*longissimus dorsi* muscle) of wild boar (*Sus scropha scropha*) hunted in Tuscany. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. 2017; 16: 1-8. DOI: 10.1080/1828051X.2016.1261006
- [63] Stasiak K, Roślewska A, Stanek M, Jankowiak H, Cygan-Szczegielniak D, Bocian M. Comparison of the fatty acid profile in the meat of pigs and wild boars. *Italian Journal of Food Science*. 2018; 30: 707-714. DOI: 10.14674/IJFS-1187
- [64] Razmaitė V, Šiukščius A. Seasonal variation in fatty acid composition of wild boar in Lithuania. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. 2019; 18: 350-354. DOI: 10.1080/1828051X.2018.1530957
- [65] Razmaitė V, Švirmickas GJ, Šiukščius A. Effect of weight, sex and hunting period on fatty acid composition of intramuscular and subcutaneous fat from wild boar. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. 2012; 11: 174-179. DOI: 10.4081/ijas.2012.e32
- [66] Dugan LR. Química de los tejidos animales. Parte 2 Grasas. In: Price JF, Schweigert BS, editors. *Ciencia de la Carne y de los Productos Cárnicos*. 2nd ed. Zaragoza: Acribia; 1994. p. 93-101
- [67] Hoffman LC, Wiklund E. Game and venison-meat for the modern consumer. *Meat Science*. 2006; 74: 197-208. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.04.005
- [68] Givens ID, Gibbs RA. Current intakes of EPA and DHA in European

populations and the potential of animal-derived foods to increase them. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. 2008; 67: 272-280. DOI: 10.1017/S0029665108007167P

[69] Miller, GJ, Field RA, Riley ML, Williams JC. Lipids in wild ruminant animals and steers. Journal of Food Quality. 1986; 9: 331-343. DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4557.1986.tb00802.x

[70] Wood JD, Richardson RI, Nute GR, Fisher AV, Campo MM, Kasapidou E, Sheard PR, Enser M. Effects of fatty acids on meat quality: A review. Meat Science. 2003; 66: 21-32. DOI: 10.1016/S0309-1740(03)00022-6

[71] Volpelli LA, Valusso R, Morgante M, Pittia P, Piasentier E. Meat quality in male fallow deer (*Dama dama*): Effects of age and supplementary feeding. Meat Science. 2003; 65: 555-562. DOI: 10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00248-6

[72] Wiklund E, Manley T, Littlejohn R, Stevenson-Barry J. Fatty acid composition and sensory quality of *Musculus longissimus* and carcass parameters in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) grazed on natural pasture or fed a commercial feed mixture. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2003; 83: 419-424. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.1384

[73] Volpelli LA, Valusso R, Piasentier E. Carcass quality in male fallow deer (*Dama dama*): effects of age and supplementary feeding. Meat Science. 2002; 60: 427-432. DOI: 10.1016/S0309-1740(01)00156-5

[74] Hoffman LC, Kroucamp M, Manley M. Meat quality characteristics of springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*). 2: Chemical composition of springbok meat as influenced by age, gender and production region. Meat Science. 2007; 76:762-767. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.02.018

[75] Purchas RW, Triumf EC, Egelanddal B. Quality characteristics

and composition of the longissimus muscle in the short-loin from male and female farmed red deer in New Zealand. Meat Science. 2010; 86: 505-510. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.043

[76] Bureš D, Bartoň L, Kotrba R, Hakl J. Quality attributes and composition of meat from red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), fallow deer (*Dama dama*) and Aberdeen Angus and Holstein cattle (*Bos taurus*). Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2015; 95: 2299-2306. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.6950

[77] Nuernberg K, Dannenberger D, Nuernberg G, Ender K, Voigt J, Scollan ND, Wood JD, Nute GR, Richardson, R. I. Effect of a grass-based and a concentrate feeding system on meat quality characteristics and fatty acid composition of longissimus muscle in different cattle breeds. Livestock Production Science. 2005; 94: 137-147. DOI: 10.1016/j.livprodsci.2004.11.036

[78] Realini, C. E., Duckett, S. K., Brito, G. W., Dalla Rizza, M., & De Mattos, D. Effect of pasture *vs.* concentrate feeding with or without antioxidants on carcass characteristics, fatty acid composition, and quality of Uruguayan beef. Meat Science. 2004; 66: 567-577. DOI: 10.1016/S0309-1740(03)00160-8

[79] Rudel LL. Atherosclerosis and conjugated linoleic acid. British Journal of Nutrition. 1999; 81:177-179. DOI: 10.1017/S0007114599000367

[80] Schley L, Roper TJ. Diet of wild boar *Sus scrofa* in Western Europe, with particular reference to consumption of agricultural crops. Mammal Review. 2003; 33: 43-56. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2907.2003.00010.x

[81] Marsico G, Rasulo A, Dimatteo S, Tarricone S, Pinto F, Ragni M. Pig, F1 (wild boar×pig) and wild boar meat quality. Italian Journal of Animal Science. 2007; 6: 701-703. DOI: 10.4081/ijas.2007.1s.701

- [82] Chin SF, Liu W, Storkson JM, Ha YL, Pariza MW. Dietary sources of conjugated dienoic isomers of linoleic acid, a newly recognized class of anticarcinogens. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*. 1992; 5: 185-197. DOI: 10.1016/0889-1575(92)90037-K
- [83] Barbani R, Bonilauri P, Sangiorgi E, Marliani G. Fatty acid composition of wild boar (*Sus scrofa scrofa*) meat compared to commercial hybrid and crossbreed Mora Romagnola swine. A preliminary study. *Rivista Italiana delle Sostanze Grasse*. 2020; 97: 7-14
- [84] Finstad G, Wiklund E, Long K, Rincker PJ, Oliveira ACM, Bechtel PJ. Feeding soy or fish meal to Alaskan reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*) - Effects on animal performance and meat quality. *Rangifer*. 2007; 27: 59-75. DOI: 10.7557/2.27.1.190
- [85] Wiklund E, Johansson L, Malmfors G. Sensory meat quality, ultimate pH values, blood parameters and carcass characteristics in reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus* L.) grazed on natural pastures or fed a commercial feed mixture. *Food Quality and Preference*. 2003; 14: 573-581. DOI: 10.1016/S0950-3293(02)00151-9
- [86] Nawar WW. Lipidos. In: Fennema OR, editor. *Química de los Alimentos*. 2nd ed. Zaragoza: Editorial Acirbia; 1993. p. 157-274
- [87] Skewes O, Morales R, Mendoza N, Smulders FJM, Paulsen P. Carcass and meat quality traits of wild boar (*Sus scrofa* s. L.) with 2n=36 karyotype compared to those of phenotypically similar crossbreeds (2n=37 and 2n=38) raised under the same farming conditions 2: Fatty acid profile and cholesterol. *Meat Science*. 2009; 83: 195-200. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.04.017
- [88] Ramanzin M, Amici A, Casoli C, Esposito L, Lupi P, Marsico G, Mattiello S, Olivieri O, Ponzetta MP, Russo C, Marinucci MT. Meat from wild ungulates: ensuring quality and hygiene of an increasing resource. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. 2010; 9: 318-331. DOI: 10.4081/ijas.2010.e61
- [89] Alfaia CMM, Ribeiro VSS, Lourenço MRA, Quaresma MAG, Martins SIV, Portugal APV, Fontes CMGA, Bessa RJB, Castro MLF, Prates JAM. Fatty acid composition, conjugated linoleic acid isomers and cholesterol in beef from crossbred bullocks intensively produced and from Alentejana purebred bullocks reared according to Carnalentejana-PDO specifications. *Meat Science*. 2006; 72: 425-436. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2005.08.012
- [90] Soetan KO, Olaiya CO, Oyewole OE. The importance of mineral elements for humans, domestic animals and plants: a review. *African Journal of Food Science*. 2010. 4:200-222. DOI: 10.5897/AJFS.9000287
- [91] de Castro Cardoso Pereira PM, dos Reis Baltazar Vicente AF. Meat nutritional composition and nutritive role in the human diet. *Meat Science*. 2013; 93: 586-592. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.09.018
- [92] Babicz M, Kasprzyk A. Comparative analysis of the mineral composition in the meat of wild boar and domestic pig. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. 2019; 18: 1013-1020, DOI: 10.1080/1828051X.2019.1610337
- [93] Roslewska A, Stanek M, Janicki B, Cygan-Szczegielniak D, Stasiak K, Buzala, M. Effect of sex on the content of elements in meat from wild boars (*Sus scrofa* L.) originating from the province of Podkarpacie (south-eastern Poland). *Journal of Elementology*. 2016. 21: 823-832. DOI: 10.5601/jelem.2015.20.2.943
- [94] Badui S. Vitaminas y nutrientes inorgánicos. In: Badui S, editor. *Química*

de los Alimentos. 4th ed. México:
Pearson; 2006. p. 363-398

[95] Moreiras O, Carbajal A, Cabrera L, Cuadrado C, editors. Tablas de Composición de Alimentos. 15th ed. España: Ediciones Pirámide; 2008. p. 104-107.

[96] Winkelmayer R, Stangl PV, Paulsen P. Assurance of food safety along the game meat production chain: inspection of meat from wild game and education of official veterinarians and 'trained persons' in Austria. In: Paulsen P, Bauer A, Vodnansky M, Winkelmayer R, Smulders FJM, editors. Game Meat Hygiene in Focus: Microbiology, Epidemiology, Risk Analysis and Quality Assurance. 1st ed. The Netherlands: Wageningen Academic Publishers; 2011. p. 245-258

[97] AESAN (Agencia Española de Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutrición). 2012. Informe del Comité Científico de la Agencia Española de Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutrición (AESAN) sobre el riesgo asociado a la presencia de plomo en carne de caza silvestre en España. Available from: <https://www.aetox.es/> [Accessed: 2021-01-10]

[98] EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). Lead dietary exposure in the European population. EFSA Journal. 2012; 10(7):2831 [59 pp.]. Available from: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal/ [Accessed: 2021-01-10]. DOI:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2831.

[99] IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). Inorganic and Organic Lead Compounds. In: IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans; 2006. p. 87