We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900
Open access books available

185,000
International authors and editors

200M
Downloads

Our authors are among the

154
Countries delivered to

TOP 1%
most cited scientists

12.2%
Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Diseases as Impediments to Livestock Production and Wildlife Conservation Goals

Y.J. Atuman, C.A. Kudi, P.A. Abdu, O.O. Okubanjo and A. Abubakar

Abstract

Disease outbreaks, epidemics or pandemics have been of importance for human and animal health worldwide and sparked enormous public interest. These outbreaks might be caused by known endemic pathogens or by emerging or re-emerging pathogens. Wildlife are the major reservoirs and responsible for most of these outbreaks. They play significant role in the transmission of several livestock diseases and pathogen spill-over may occur in complex socio-ecological systems at the wildlife-domestic animal interface which have been seldom studied. Interspecific pathogen spill-over at the wildlife-livestock interface have been of growing concern in the scientific community over the past years due to their impact on wildlife, livestock and human health. In this section the epidemiology of some viral infections (Foot and Mouth Disease and rabies), bacterial infections (Tuberculosis and brucellosis) and parasites (haemo and endo-parasites) at the wildlife-livestock interface and potential impacts to livestock production and conservation goal is described.

Keywords: wildlife, livestock, diseases, conservation goals, public health

1. Introduction

Disease transmission is one of the major obstacles for the survival of wildlife and livestock in sub-Saharan Africa [1–3]. Movements of both human and animals occur across boundaries that separate farming and wildlife activities, and this results into direct and/or indirect contact between wild and domestic animals, potentially leading to diseases transmission. This is considered the major challenge of people living in areas where wildlife and domestic animals frequently come into contact. Movement of livestock and wildlife across the boundaries of protected areas provides ideal condition for the transmission of pathogens in either direction from wildlife to livestock and vice-versa [2]. At the edges of protected areas, the occurrence of diseases in wildlife and livestock can be categorized into wildlife-maintained diseases, in which wildlife are resistant or silent carriers of the infection and the second is multi-species diseases, which have serious outcomes for both wildlife and livestock [3]. The role of wildlife as disease reservoir is well established, their involvement in the occurrence of vector-borne infections in domestic animals has gained renewed interest as emerging and re-emerging infections occurring

worldwide at an increasing rate [4–6]. Wildlife may also act as introductory or transporting hosts as a result of their movement to new regions, e.g. Rift Valley Fever virus and African horse sickness virus. They may also act as amplifying hosts with spill-over to domestic animals, e.g. African horse sickness [7]. Other important wildlife- maintained diseases include Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD), Malignant Catarrhal Fever, trypanosomiasis, theileriosis, ehrlichiosis, lumpy skin disease [2]. Multi-species diseases mostly have fatal outcome in both wildlife and domestic animals and are frequently zoonotic, i.e. transmitted among wildlife, livestock and humans. They include anthrax, rabies, brucellosis and bovine tuberculosis [2, 3]. Pathogens from wildlife can be modified to cause severe outbreaks in humans and animals due to gradual change of many related factors like environment and ecological factors [8, 9]. These factors include heavy rainfall, change in the onset of the rainy season (and thus an alteration of the interplay between rainfalls and reproduction of vectors and reservoir hosts) and changes in wind patterns [9, 10]. Changes in biodiversity, land use and climate in particular have been identified as the major drivers of disease emergence [4, 6, 11]. Loss of biodiversity, alterations of natural habitats as well as land use and cover changes are linked to emerging of 50% of zoonoses [4]. Elevation of ambient temperature might facilitate the proliferation of insects like mosquitoes [9] which may lead to increase in prevalence of some insect-borne livestock diseases such as Rift Valley Fever (RVF) and African Horse Sickness (AHS) [9, 12]. Most domesticated and wild animals perform optimally at temperatures between 10 and 30°C [13]. At temperatures above 30°C, most domestic and wild animals would reduce their feed intake by 3–5% for each unit temperature increase [14]. Changes in temperature also trigger the secretion of stress hormones which suppress immunological responses including the function of the white blood cells, tipping the host-pathogen interactions in favor of the pathogen [14].

2. Role of livestock in disease outbreaks at the edges of protected areas

Land use, human and animal movements and grazing by livestock have been considered as major factors responsible for the spread of diseases from domestic animals to wild populations and vice versa [15]. The growth in human population has resulted in greater use of land thereby establishing human settlements around the protected areas and bringing livestock closer to wild populations [11, 15]. Also, increase in the demand for protein has led to expansion of livestock farms and ranches thereby increasing wildlife-livestock interface [15]. Other risk factors for pathogen spread at the wild-domestic animal interface include long distance live animal transportation, live animal markets, and building of dams, bush meat consumption and habitat destruction [16]. These have resulted in the emergence and spread of pathogens that are of economic and public health concern [11, 17–19, 34]. Poor rural households in developing countries often survive on a combination of on-farm and off-farm activities [3]. Use of natural resources from the game parks acts as coping strategy during periods of socio-economic instability or environmental shocks [20]. Wild food products play a major role in societies that experience seasonal food shortages [3], while access to water and grazing resources during the droughts is a matter of survival for livestock in the semi-arid ecosystem of sub-Saharan Africa [21–23]. Competition with wildlife for these scarce resources exacerbates such scarcities for people living at the fringes of protected areas [21, 22, 24]. At the same time, protected areas provide an alternative source of grazing, and thus a 'buffer' during these difficult periods thereby providing opportunities for disease spread from livestock to

wildlife through direct and/or indirect contact [3]. Most protected areas bordering human settlements and farmlands in Africa are subjected to growing human-wildlife conflicts and increasing incidences of pathogens transmitted between domestic and wild animals [25, 26]. The cases in point are Yankari Game Reserve (YGR) in Nigeria [25] and Ngorongoro Conservation Area (NCA) in Tanzania [27]. Diseases can be easily transmitted from domestic livestock populations into wild animal populations at the edge of protected areas and whenever a disease condition is established in a wild population, control measures in both the wildlife and livestock populations become much problematic. It has been shown that an infected wildlife reservoir that interacts with livestock causes frequent herd breakdown and substantial economic losses to agricultural sector [28].

3. Role of wildlife in disease outbreaks at the edges of protected areas

Throughout history, wildlife has been an important source of infectious diseases transmissible to humans and domestic animals in virtually all continents [29, 30]. Disease outbreaks might be caused by known endemic pathogens or by emerging or re-emerging pathogens [31]. Wildlife are the major reservoirs and responsible for 70% of these outbreaks [30, 32, 33]. Three-quarters of all emerging infectious diseases of humans are zoonotic, most of which are of wildlife origin, with an increasing incidence since the 1940s [11, 34]. Wildlife play significant role in transmission of several livestock diseases and interspecific viral pathogen spill-over at the wildlife-livestock interface and have been of growing concern in the scientific community over the past years due to their impact on wildlife, livestock and human health [35, 36]. Most infectious diseases associated with wildlife reservoir are typically caused by various bacteria, viruses, and parasites, whereas fungi are of negligible importance [37]. The current rapid ecological changes in the world have negative impact on pathogenic organisms, their vectors and hosts which are equally capable of rapid change [38]. Some of these pathogens may cause significant disease in wild species, but in other cases the wild animals may serve as reservoirs for pathogens which do not induce overt illness in their wild hosts [38]. Wildlife have been recognized as reservoirs of infectious diseases; it is advocated that interdisciplinary wildlife disease surveillance system using modern laboratory techniques be utilized for effective control of disease spread at the edge of protected areas.

4. Epidemiology of some wildlife-related viral infections at the edges of protected areas

The role of African wildlife in the occurrence of infectious diseases in domestic animals has gained renewed interest as emerging and re-emerging infections increase worldwide [7, 27, 39]. Viral diseases originating from wild animals are widely considered the major threats to public health and transmission of such viral pathogens from wildlife to other domestic animals and humans remains an important scientific challenge hampered by pathogen detection limitations in wild species [40]. Viral pathogens spill over at the edge of protected areas particularly in remote communities/areas is mostly under-reported and the disease events occur undetected [41]. Characterizing epidemiologic features of viral transmission at the wildlife-livestock interface has also revealed a number of high-risk human activities that have enabled virus spill-over in situations that facilitate close contact among wild and domestic animals and people. Domestication of animals,

human encroachment into wildlife habitats and hunting of wild animals are key anthropogenic activities driving viral disease emergence at the global scale and in most instances these activities have contributed to wildlife population declines and extinction [42]. It is recommended that in-depth investigation of the epidemiology of viral infections at the edges of protected areas should be intensified to assess the risks of viral disease emergence for effective disease prevention and control measures. Foot and Mouth Disease, Rift Valley Fever and Rabies, discussed hereunder, are three of the viral wildlife-related viral infections which are common at the edges of wildlife protected areas in Sub-Saharan Africa.

4.1 Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD)

Foot and Mouth Disease is one of the most economically important transboundary diseases of animals in the world and it is extremely difficult to control due to involvement of wildlife as reservoir host [43, 44]. In wildlife, pathogenesis of FMD varies from a completely inapparent to a rare acutely lethal infection and this makes diagnosis difficult [36, 44, 45]. The transmission of FMDV in sub-Saharan Africa is mainly driven by two epidemiological cycles: one in which wildlife plays a significant role in maintaining and spreading the disease to other susceptible wild and/or domestic ruminants [46]. Whilst with the second cycle, the virus is solely transmitted within domestic populations and hence is independent of wildlife [36].

FMD is currently found in limited areas (small pockets/regions) of Europe and also in Africa, Middle East, and Asia and has contributed significantly to decline of wildlife and livestock populations in those regions [2, 26]. Presence of antibodies against the FMDV in several wildlife species has been documented in studies conducted in different African countries including South Africa, Nigeria and Tanzania [28, 47–49]. Fifty-one FMD outbreaks occurred involving buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*), impala (*Aepyceros melampus*) and elephant (*Loxodonta africana*) in the Kruger National Park (KNP) and adjacent areas of South Africa between 1970s and 2000s, of which 16 were SAT1, 31 were SAT2, 4 were SAT3 and 3 were not serotyped and the outbreaks spilled over to other species of wild animals and livestock [50]. Previous findings also established that monitoring of FMD in wild animals like impala and buffalo is important because they can serve as a source of infection for livestock [48, 51, 52]. Recent studies in Nigeria showed that, presence of wildlife population along the protected areas where cloven hoofed species come into contact with livestock contributed to high FMDV seropositivity in livestock due to spill-over of FMDV from wildlife to livestock [49, 53]. Similar studies in Tanzania revealed a high exposure patterns in buffalo populations in Ngorongoro Conservation Area, Serengeti, Katavi and Tarangire National Parks [54]. The results suggest that wildlife could play an important role in the epidemiology of FMD.

4.2 Rift Valley fever virus (RVF)

This is an emerging zoonotic disease of public and animal health concern [55–59]. It is endemic in many countries in Sub-Saharan Africa and is responsible for severe outbreaks in livestock characterized by a sudden onset of abortions and high neonatal mortality which results in significant economic losses [55, 60]. The virus was first identified in 1931 during an investigation into an epidemic among sheep on a farm in the Rift Valley of Kenya [61]. Many African wildlife species have tested positive for antibody against RVFV, including: topi (*Damaliscus korrigum*); red-fronted gazelle (*Eudorcas rufifrons*); dama gazelle (*Nanger dama*); scimitar-horned oryx (*Oryx dammah*); common reedbuck (*Redunca redunca*); African buffalo (*Synceruscaffer*); Dorcas gazelle (*Gazella dorcas*); Thomson's gazelle (*Gazella*

thomsonii); gerenuk (*Litocraniuswalleri*); lesser kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*); impala (*Aepyceros melampus*); sable antelope (*Hippotragus niger*); waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*); warthog (*Phacochoerus aethiopicus*); African bush elephant (*Loxodonta africana*); giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*); Burchell's zebra (*Equus burchellii*); and black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*) [62, 63]. Although serological evidence suggests that a large number of African wildlife species might play a role in the epidemiology of RVF, their possible role in the cryptic maintenance of the virus is poorly understood [62, 64].

4.3 Rabies

Rabies is endemic in several African countries. It is a vaccine-preventable fatal viral disease of human and mammals [65] and is responsible for numerous human deaths. Dogs are the major reservoirs and primary source of rabies virus transmission to both humans and other animals in most developing countries [37]. Other domestic and wild animals are typically infected through secondary transmission of rabies virus variants that are maintained by dogs or in some cases variants of rabies virus maintained by wild carnivore hosts [66]. Rabies virus is widely distributed and affects various animals [67, 68]. In North America and Europe, wildlife species have replaced dogs as the most important reservoirs of rabies and new viral etiologic agents continue to emerge [68–70]. However, in Nigeria and other African countries, there has been a low but consistent number of positive cases from wildlife species which were reported over the years [26, 71–78]. Human activities at the fringes of game reserves in most parts of Africa where domestic dogs are used for hunting purposes and provision of security to livestock and farm crops against problem wildlife species [25, 79]. Majority of the dogs found at the fringes of game reserves are not adequately taken care of in terms of vaccination, feeding and provision of shelter and mostly roam about to scavenge for food creating opportunities for contact with wildlife [25]. Rabies antigens detected in jackals and mongooses in Bauchi State, Nigeria were associated with spill-over from dogs at the fringes of Yankari Game Reserve (YGR) and Sumu Wildlife Park (SWP) [25]. It is also important to note that while dogs' impacts to wildlife is likely to occur at individual level the results may still have important implications for wildlife populations. RABV has affected mammalian species at an elevated extinction risk from multiple taxonomic orders (Carnivora, Chiroptera, Primates and Proboscidea). For certain species, such as the African wild dog (*Lycaon pictus*) and Ethiopian wolf (*Canis simensis*), RABV outbreaks have led directly to severe decreases in population size and local endangerment or extinction [80]. For other species of conservationconcern, occasional rabies cases may contribute to overall population declines in conjunction with other pressures, such as habitat fragmentation, decreased food availability and illegal killing. Both endemic strains of domestic dog RABV and sylvatic RABV strains have been implicated in the infection of many of these taxa, which is important information in terms of conservation and control [77]. Once stable control of RABV is achieved in domestic dogs, remaining rabies threats to wildlife conservation can be addressed more effectively.

5. Epidemiology of some wildlife-related bacterial infections at the fringes of game reserves

Transmission of bacterial disease that can be spread between wild and livestock animals at the edge of protected areas occurs directly via physical contact and or indirectly via environmental exposure, with devastating consequences for human

and animal health, as well as pastoral economies [81]. The wildlife–livestock interface, is recognized overtime as the driver for inter-species bacterial pathogens transmission between animals and subsequent potential spill-over to humans, whereas habitat loss which is associated with altering the abundance, richness of the wildlife ecosystem and movement patterns of the wildlife species can directly or indirectly influence wildlife-livestock interfaces [81]. Bovine tuberculosis and Brucellosis are representative of the bacterial diseases transmitted between wildlife and domestic species.

5.1 Bovine tuberculosis (bTB)

Bovine tuberculosis (bTB) is caused by *Mycobacterium bovis* (*M. bovis*). It is a chronic, infectious and contagious disease of livestock, wildlife and humans [82, 83]. The disease is an important public health concern worldwide, especially in developing countries, due to deficiency in preventive and/or control measures [83, 84]. Members of the closely related phylogenic grouping of *Mycobacterium* known collectively as the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex may cause tuberculosis in a range of species including human. Some members of this group are predominately found in human (*M. tuberculosis*, *M. africanum*, *M. canetti*) or rodent pathogens (*M. microti*), whereas, others have wide host spectrum (*M. bovis*, *M. caprae*) [85]. The respiratory route is accepted as the primary method of infection spread in all species. However, it is clear that there are other less common methods of spread such as oral [85]. African Wildlife were said to have been infected with Bovine tuberculosis from infected imported cattle and the disease is now endemic in wildlife [86, 87]. In Ireland and Great Britain, badgers (*Taxidea taxus*) maintain the infection, whereas the brushtail possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) constitutes a main wildlife reservoir in New Zealand. In parts of Michigan, bovine tuberculosis is endemic among white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), whereas in Europe, both wild boars and various deer species can be a reservoir of the pathogen [88]. The natural movement of these reservoir animals increases the spread of the disease to domestic animals and thereby posing a major public health impact [88].

Bovine tuberculosis in Antelopes has been reported in Nigeria [89]. This is not surprising, as there had been evidence of exposure in similar antelope species in other countries [89, 90]. In Africa, both wildlife and livestock share the rich pasture resources available at the edges of protected areas, thus creating an ideal condition for transmission of Tuberculosis through contact with infected animals. Also, poaching and slaughter of cattle for meat could contribute in the spread of infection of these disease among animals and humans far away from the game reserve from infected tissues and contamination of the environment. Pastoralists and agro-pastoralists are considered high risk groups for contracting bTB and brucellosis due to their close association with livestock and diets rich in animal products [91]. Both diseases have been reported in many wildlife, livestock and human interfaces in Africa [92–94]. Bovine tuberculosis's main route of transmission is through aerosol, hence, people engaging in tourism and ecotourism to the game reserves are also at risk. This is more so because of the human population growth and associated changes, as well as competition for grazing lands, have made wildlife-livestock disease transmission more likely by reducing the spatial separation between livestock operations and wildlife habitat [95].

5.2 Brucellosis

The disease primarily affects domestic animals including cattle, pigs, sheep, goats and occasionally horses. In wildlife, the prevalence could be low but there is

always a clear epidemiological link between wildlife and domestic animals [96]. *Brucella* organism was first described as far back 1887 as *Micrococcus melitensis* [96]. The causative organism was later renamed *Brucella melitensis* and has been rated by WHO as one of the most important zoonoses, as it is very pathogenic to humans, causing the disease known as Malta fever (also known as Mediterranean or undulating fever) [96]. The ability of Brucella organisms to be transmitted, rapidly and efficiently, over long distances and the socio-economic impact of the disease in both human and livestock necessitated the increased awareness of the existence of the disease worldwide [97]. A lot of wild animals are also affected with Brucellosis [98, 99] and the disease is increasingly important in wildlife conservation, particularly when endangered species are involved [99].

6. Epidemiology of wildlife related parasitic infections at the fringes of game reserves

Parasites play an important role in the dynamics of wildlife populations [100]. They can cause substantial losses in production or even acute clinical signs and death [101]. There is abundant evidence of parasitic infections in wildlife worldwide and studies have demonstrated that they may be carriers of gastrointestinal parasites [102–106], ectoparasites [107, 108] and haemoparasites [109–111]. Many wildlife species are capable of living with high parasite loads without any apparent ill-effect on their health [112]. The impact of spill-over of human and livestock parasites to naïve species of wildlife and spill-back from wildlife is another emerging threat of potential public health and economic significance to humans, wildlife and livestock [113]. Ticks suck blood of their hosts resulting into severe anemia, loss of production, weakness and immunosuppression [114, 115] as well as damages to hides and skin leading to significant financial losses to livestock farmers [116]. Production losses due to ticks and tick-borne diseases around the globe were put at US$ 13.9 to US$ 18.7 billion annually [117]. With the establishment of zoos and conservation areas [118, 119], wildlife-livestock interface is found in proximity to many protected areas in Africa [26].

Parasitic infections transmitted between livestock and wildlife pose a significant risk to wildlife conservation efforts and constrain livestock productivity in tropical regions of the world [120]. The emergence of infectious diseases with zoonotic potential has dominated investigations and commentary on wildlife pathogens [26, 121]. In terms of conservation, it is unfortunate that by doing so, not only have studies on the biodiversity and ecology of wildlife parasites been neglected, but control efforts have also been hampered [122]. In Africa, biodiversity conservation and the expansion of livestock production have increased the risk of transmitting vector-borne infections between wildlife and livestock [7]. In addition to the physical injury caused by parasites, some serve as hosts of many viral, rickettsial, bacterial and protozoan diseases [123–125]. In Nigeria, wildlife conservation areas such as Yankari Game Reserve (YGR) and Sumu Wildlife Park (SWP) are natural heritage and means of generating revenue [126]; and parasitic infections may constrain the health of the variety of wildlife species in these conservation areas. There is abundant evidence of haemoparsitic infections in wildlife worldwide, in some circumstances displaying high prevalence with some of them serving as reservoirs for the haemoparasites [125]. There are wide ranges of potential vectors that may allow these parasites to maintain endemic sylvatic life-cycles in their geographical distribution area [127, 128]. This could potentially lead to the transmission of infection to domestic species, especially in peri-urban and urban environments [125]. Wildlife species are reservoirs of parasitic infections and have

the ability to expand their geographical ranges, thus increasing intra- and interspecies contact risk with domestic animals and spread of infective parasites [125, 129]. However, a high prevalence of infection alone does not demonstrate that the species in question acts as a reservoir, some wildlife are not abundant, and probably unable to maintain a pathogen in the absence of domestic species reservoirs [125]. The epidemiology of multilocular echinococcosis, caused by the small tapeworm *Echinococcus multilocularis*, has also been influenced by the translocation of animals where the main hosts especially foxes, the intermediate hosts small rodents and human accidental hosts were found to be positive with *E. multilocularis* [129–131]. Wildlife species were found to be infested with various ticks as *Amblyoma, Rhipicephalus, Hyaloma* and *Boophilus* genus during study in Nigeria and other African countries and such tick infestation has been suggested as the cause of mortality in several ungulate species [132–134].

Wildlife management systems in most game reserves and game parks which subjects them to continuous challenge of vectors, scarcities of feeds and stress from environmental and climate variations coupled with illegal livestock and human activities are compounding factors to efforts at controlling parasitic infections in those areas.

7. Conclusion

Disease outbreaks have affected human and animal health throughout times, and wildlife has always played a role. The ecological changes influencing the epidemiology of wildlife-related viral, bacterial and parasitic infections can be of natural or anthropogenic origin. These include, but are not limited to, human population expansion and encroachment, reforestation and other habitat changes, pollution, and environmental and climatic changes. The movement of pathogens, vectors, and domestic animals including humans is another factor influencing the epidemiology of wildlife-related disease (viral, bacteria and parasitic) outbreaks. Such movements are commonly encountered at the edges of protected areas due to availability of rich resources and bring about interactions at the wild/domestic animals/humans' interfaces with conflicts and potential for pathogen spread at the interface. These are emerging threats to wildlife conservation goals and livestock and human health. It is suggested that preventive measures should be geared towards improved disease surveillance among domestic and wild animals at the edges of protected areas using improved diagnostic techniques, vector control and implementation of restrictions on anthropogenic animal movement, concomitant with public enlightenment campaign and behavioral change. More so, collaborative, multisectoral, and transdisciplinary approach to surveillance and control of emerging and re-emerging diseases at the edge of protected areas at local, regional, national, and global levels should be intensified.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94467

IntechOpen

Author details

Y.J. Atuman[1*], C.A. Kudi[2], P.A. Abdu[2], O.O. Okubanjo[3] and A. Abubakar[4]

1 National Veterinary Research Institute Vom Outstation Laboratory, Bauchi, Bauchi State, Nigeria

2 Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

3 Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

4 Force Animal Branch Department, Nigeria Police Force Headquarters, Abuja, Nigeria

*Address all correspondence to: atumanyj@yahoo.com

IntechOpen

© 2020 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. CC BY

References

[1] Bourn D, Blench R. Can Livestock and Wildlife Co-exist? An Interdisciplinary Approach. London: ODI; 1999. pp. 83-121

[2] Bengis R, Kock R, Fischer J. Infectious animal diseases: The wildlife-livestock interface. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties. 2002;**21**(1):53-66

[3] de GarineWichatitsky M., Frits, H Chaminuka, P., Caron, E., Guerbois, C., Pfukenyi, D.M., matema C., Jori, F and Murwira, A (2013). Consequences of animals crossing the edges of transfrontier parks. In Transfrontier Conservation Areas by Anderson, J.A., de Garine-Wichatitsky M., Cumming, D.H.M., Dzingirai, V. and Giller, K.E. Pp138-162

[4] Loh EH, Zambrana-Torrelio C, Olival KJ, Bogich TL, Johnson CK, Mazet JAK, et al. Targeting transmission pathways for emerging zoonotic disease surveillance and control. Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases. 2015;**15**(7):432-437

[5] Armstrong, F., Capon, A. and McFarlane, R. (2020). Coronavirus is a wake-up call: Our war with the environment is leading to pandemics. The Conversation, Available at https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-is-a-wake-up-call-our-war-with-the-environment-is-leading-to-pandemics-135023

[6] WWF (2020). The Loss of Nature and Rise of Pandemics: Protecting Human and Planetary Health. WWF International, Gland, Switzerland. 19pp

[7] Van Vuuren, M. Penzhorn, B. L. (2015). Geographic range of vector-borne infections and their vectors: the role of African wildlife. Pp 34-76.

[8] Martin C, Pastorate PP, Brucher B, Humblet M, Saegerman C. A survey of the transmission of infectious diseases/infections between wild and domestic ungulates in Europe. Veterinary Research. 2011;**42**:70

[9] Oluwayelu D. Potential impact of climate and environmental changes on Occurrenceand transmission of Arboviral diseases of livestock in Nigeria. Nigerian Veterinary Journal. 2014;**35**(3):1038-1052

[10] Sellers RF, Maarouf AR. Possible introduction of epizootic hemorrhagic disease of deer virus (serotype 2) and bluetongue virus (serotype 11) into British Columbia in 1987 and 1988 by infected Culicoides carried on the wind. Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research. 1991;**55**(4):367

[11] Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, Storeygard A, Balk D, Gittleman JL, et al. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature. 2008;**451**(7181):990-993

[12] Gray JS. *Ixodes ricinus* seasonal activity: Implications of global warming indicated by revisiting tick and weather data. International Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2008;**298**:19-24

[13] Das R, Sailo L, Verma N, Bharti P, andSaikia J. Impact of heat stress on health and performance of dairy animals: A review. Veterinary World. 2016;**9**(3):260

[14] Dittmar J, Janssen H, Kuske A, Kurtz J, andScharsack JP. Heat and immunity: An experimental heat wave alters immune functions in three-spined sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus). Journal of Animal Ecology. 2014;**83**(4):744-757

[15] Kock R, Kebkiba B, Heinonen R, andBedane B. Wildlife and pastoral

society—Shifting paradigms in disease control. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2002;**969**(1):24-33

[16] MacLachlan N, and Dubovi E. Poxviridae. Fenner's Veterinary Virology. 4th ed. London: Academic; 2011. pp. 151-165

[17] Calisher CH, Childs JE, Field HE, Holmes KV, andSchountz T. Bats: Important reservoir hosts of emerging viruses. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2006;**19**(3):531-545

[18] Kaur T, Singh J, Tong S, Humphrey C, Clevenger D, Tan W, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of fatal respiratory outbreaks and detection of a human-related metapneumovirus in wild chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) at Mahale Mountains National Park, Western Tanzania. American Journal of Primatology. 2008;**70**(8):755-765

[19] Smith DR, Holbrook MR, andGowen BB. Animal models of viral hemorrhagic fever. Antiviral Research. 2014;**112**:59-79

[20] Paumgarten F. The role of non-timber forest products as safety-nets: A review of evidence with focus on South Africa. GeoJournal. 2006;**64**(3):189-197

[21] de Garine-Wichatitsky, Michel., Y, SOUBEYRAN., Maillard, Daniel. and Duncan, Patrick. (2005). The diets of introduced rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis* russa) in a native sclerophyll forest and a native rainforest of New Caledonia. New Zealand Journal of Zoology. 32. 117-126. 10.1080/03014223.2005.9518403.

[22] Blench R. Drought Preparedness in Zimbabwe. Consultant Report to DFID Project Lessons for Drought Contingency Planning for Pastoral and Agro-Pastoral Areas. Chatham,UK: *Natural Resources Institute*; 1999. pp. 1-13

[23] Turner MD, Schlecht E. Livestock mobility in sub-Saharan Africa: Acritical review pastoralism: *Research*. Policy and Practice. 2019;**9**(13)

[24] Tchamba M. Conflict between people and protected areas within the Bénoué wildlife conservation area, North Cameroon. Oryx. 2003;**37**:72-79. DOI: 10.1017/S0030605303000140

[25] Atuman YJ, Adawa DAY, Audu S, Paul MP, andBankole OA. Potential Risks for Rabies Spill-Over from Apparently Healthy Dogs to Wildlife in Bauchi State, Nigeria. Journal of Veterinary Advances. 2014;**4**(4):493-498

[26] Daszak P, Cunningham AA, andHyatt AD. Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife--threats to biodiversity and human health. Science. 2000;**287**(5452):443-451

[27] Rwambo P, Grootenhuis JG, Demartini J, Mkumbo S. Animal Disease Risk in the Wildlife/Livestock Interface in the Ngorongoro Conservation Area of Tanzania, Report to Global Livestock Collaborative Research Support Program. Davis, California: University of California; 1999

[28] McDermott J, Arimi SM. Brucellosis in sub-Saharan Africa: Epidemiology, control and impact. Vet Microbiol. 2002;**90**(1-4):111-134. DOI: 10.1016/s0378-1135(02)00249-3

[29] Blancou J. History of the Surveillance and Control of Transmissible Animal Diseases. Paris: Office International des Epizooties; 2003. pp. 234-242

[30] Kruse H. Wildlife as source of zoonotic infections. Emerging Infectious Disease Journal. 2004;**10**(12):102-112

[31] Diederich S, Gedvilaite A, Zvirbliene A, Kazaks A, Sasnauskas K, Johnson N, et al. Virus-like particles: A versatile tool for basic and applied research on emerging and reemerging

viruses. In: Viral Nanotechnology. CRC Press; 2015. pp. 137-160

[32] Weiss, S., Nowak, K., Fahr, J., Wibbelt, G., Mombouli, J.V., Parra, H.J. and Leendertz, F. (2012). Henipavirus-related sequences in fruit bat bushmeat, Republic of Congo. pp 73-98

[33] Pernet O, Schneider BS, Beaty SM, LeBreton M, Yun TE, Park A, et al. Evidence for henipavirus spillover into human populations in Africa. Nature Communications. 2014;**5**

[34] Taylor LH, Latham SM, andMark E. Risk factors for human disease emergence. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences. 2001;**356**(1411):983-989

[35] Karesh WB, Cook RA, Bennett EL, Newcomb J. Wildlife trade and global disease emergence. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2005;**11**(7):1000

[36] Miguel E, Grosbois V, Caron A, Boulinier T, Fritz H, Cornélis D, et al. Contacts and foot and mouth disease transmission from wild to domestic bovines in Africa. Ecosphere. 2013;**4**(4):1-32

[37] World Health Organization. Consultation on public health and animal Transmissable spongiform Encephalopathies. Epidemiology, risk and research requirements. WHO/CDS/CSR/APH/2000.2. In: Geneva. 1999

[38] Williams E, Yuill T, Artois M, Fischer J, andHaigh S. Emerging infectious diseases in wildlife. Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International des Epizooties. 2002;**21**(1):139-158

[39] Wiethoelter AK, Beltrán-Alcrudo D, Kock R, Mor SM. Global trends in infectious diseases at the wildlife–livestock interface. PNAS. 2015;**112**(31):9662-9667

[40] Johnson, C. K., Hitchens, P.L.,Pandit, P. S., Rushmore, J., Evans, T., Smiley, Y., Cristine, C. W., Doyle, M. M.(2020). Global shifts in mammalian population trends reveal key predictors of virus spillover risk. Proceedings of the Royal Society B2872019273

[41] Kreuder JC, Hitchens PL, Smiley ET, Goldstein T, Thomas K, Clements A, et al. Spillover and pandemic properties of zoonotic viruses with high host plasticity. Scientific Reports. 2015;5:14830 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14830

[42] Wolfe ND, Daszak P, Kilpatrick AM, Burke DS. 2005 Bushmeat, hunting, deforestation, and prediction of zoonotic disease emergence. Emerging Infectious Diseases11, 1822-1827. (doi:10.3201/eid1112.040789)

[43] Brown, C. C. and Slenning, B. D. (1996). Impact and risk of foreign animal diseases. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 208(7): 1038-1040.

[44] Thomson G, Vosloo W, andBastos A. Foot and mouth disease in wildlife. Virus Research. 2003;**91**(1):145-161

[45] Arzt J, Baxt B, Grubman M, Jackson T, Juleff N, Rhyan J, et al. The pathogenesis of foot-and-mouth disease II: Viral pathways in swine, small ruminants, and wildlife; Myotropism, chronic syndromes, and molecular virus–host interactions. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. 2011;**58**(4):305-326

[46] Dawe P, Flanagan F, Madekurozwa R, Sorensen K, Anderson E, Foggin C, et al. Natural transmission of foot-and-mouth disease virus from African buffalo (Syncerus caffer) to cattle in a wildlife area of

Zimbabwe. The Veterinary Record. 1994;**134**(10):230-232

[47] Bronsvoort B, Parida S, Handel I, McFarland S, Fleming L, Hamblin P, et al. Serological survey for foot-and-mouth disease virus in wildlife in eastern Africa and estimation of test parameters of a nonstructural protein enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for buffalo. Clinical and Vaccine Immunology. 2008;**15**(6):1003-1011

[48] Vosloo W, Thompson P, Botha B, Bengis R, andThomson G. Longitudinal study to investigate the role of impala (*Aepyceros melampus*) in foot-and-mouth disease maintenance in the Kruger National Park, South Africa. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. 2009;**56**(1-2):18-30

[49] Atuman YJ, Kudi CA, Abdu PA, Okubanjo OO, Abubakar A, Wungak Y, et al. Seroprevalence of foot and mouth disease virus infection in some wildlife and cattle in Bauchi state, Nigeria. Veterinary medicine international. 2020;*2020*:3642793 https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3642793

[50] Dyason E (2010). Summary of foot-and-mouth disease outbreaks reported in and around the Kruger National Park, South Africa, between 1970 and 2006. *Journal of the South African VeterinaryAssociation*81(4):201-206(En.).

[51] Keet D, Michel A, Meltzer DGA. Tuberculosis in free-ranging lions (Panthera leo) in the Kruger National Park. Proceedings of the South African Veterinary Association Biennial Congress. 2000:232-241

[52] Keet DF, Hunter P, Bengis RG, Bastos AD, Thomson GR. The 1992 foot-and-mouth disease epizootic in the KrugerNational Park. Journal of the South African Veterinary Association. 1996;**67**:83-87

[53] Wungak, Y.S., Olugasa, B.O., Ishola, O.O., Lazarus, D.D. and Ularamu,, G.H "Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) prevalence and exposure factors associated with seropositivity of cattle in north-central, Nigeria," African Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 15, no. 24, pp. 1224-1232, 2016.

[54] Madege, M.J. (2018). Current status of foot and mouth disease in Tanzania. rr-africa.oie.int › wp-content › uploads › 2018/07 › 19...Accessed on 14 September, 2020.

[55] Jori F, Alexander KA, Mokopasetso M, Munstermann S, Moagabo K, andPaweska JT. Serological evidence of Rift Valley fever virus circulation in domestic cattle and African buffalo in northern Botswana (2010-2011). Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2015;**2**:63-71

[56] Ellis DS, Shirodaria P, Fleming E, Simpson DI. Morphology and development of Rift Valley fever virus in Vero cell cultures. Journal of Medical Virology. 1988;**24**:161-174

[57] Moiane B, Mapaco L, Thompson P, Berg M, Albihn A, Fafetine J. High seroprevalence of Rift Valley fever phlebovirus in domestic ruminants and African Buffaloes in Mozambique shows need for intensified surveillance. Infection Ecology & Epidemiology. 2017;**7**(1):1416248

[58] Opayele AV, Odaibo GN, Olaleye OD. Rift valley fever virus infection among livestock handlers in Ibadan, Nigeria. Journal of Immunoassay and Immunochemistry. 2018;**39**(6):609-621

[59] Van den Bergh, C., Venter, E.H., Swanepoel, R. and Thompson, P.N (2019). High seroconversion rate to Rift Valley fever virus in cattle and goats in far northern Kwa Zulu-Natal, South Africa, in the absence of reported

outbreaks. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 13(5): e0007296.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pntd.0007296

[60] Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2010). Rift Valley fever: transmission and spread. Causes of transmission. *FAO*, Rome (www.fao.org/doc.rep/006/y4611e/y4611e04).

[61] Bishop D, Calisher C, Casals J, Chumakov M, Gaidamovich SY, Hannoun C, et al. *Bunyaviridae*. Intervirology. 1980;**14**:125-143

[62] Olive M-M, Goodman SM, andReynes J-M. The role of wild mammals in the maintenance of Rift Valley fever virus. Journal of Wildlife Diseases. 2012;**48**(2):241-266

[63] Britch SC, Binepal YS, Ruder MG, Kariithi HM, Linthicum KJ, Anyamba A, et al. Rift Valley fever risk map model and seroprevalence in selected wild ungulates and camels from Kenya. PLoS One. 2013;**8**(6):e66626

[64] Paweska JT. Rift Valley Fever *Review ScientificTechnique Office International des Epizootics*. 2015;**34**(2):375-389

[65] Bolajoko M. and Atuman, Y. J (2019). Rabies ecology in Bauchi state, Nigeria Journal of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences Vol. 2 (1). PP 5- 12, December, ISSN:2635-3067

[66] Real, L.A. and Childs. J.E., (2005). Spatial-temporal dynamics of rabies in ecological communities. Coll., 12, 170-186

[67] Smith JS, Sumner JW, Roumillat LF, Baer GM, Winkler WG. Antigenic characteristics of isolates associated with a new epizootic of raccoon rabies in the *U.S.* The Journal of Infectious Diseases. 1984;**149**:769-740

[68] Ballard WB, Follmann EH, Ritter DG, Robards MD, Cronin MA. Rabies and canine distemper in an arctic fox population in Alaska. Journal of Wildlife Diseases. 2001;**37**:133-137

[69] Sihvonen L. Documenting freedom from rabies and minimizing the risk of rabies being re-introduced to Finland. Rabies Bulletin Europe. 2003;**27**:5-6

[70] Rupprecht CE, Willoughby R, Slate D. Current and future trends in the prevention, treatment and control of rabies. Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Therapy. 2006;**4**(6):1021-1036

[71] Isoun TT, Losos GJ, Ikede BO. Diseases of zoo animals in Nigeria. Journal of Wildlife Diseases. 1972;**8**:335-339

[72] Okoh, A.E.J. (1976). Cases of rabies in captive caracal lynz (felis caracal) in Jos, Nigeria. Niger. Veter. J., 5, 52-56

[73] Kisali OB. Rabies in a civet cat (Virtacirtta); case report. Veter. Rec. 1977;**100**:291

[74] Enurah, Leonard & Ocholi, Reuben & Adeniyi, K.O. &Ekwonu, M.C.. (1988). Rabies in a civet cat (Civettictiscivetta) in the Jos Zoo, Nigeria. The British veterinary journal, 144. 515-6. 10.1016/0007-1935(88)90092-9.

[75] Nel LH, Sabeta CT, von Teichman B, Jaftha JB, Rupprecht CE, Bingham J. Mongoose rabies in southern Africa, a re-evaluation based on molecular epidemiology. Virus Research. 2005;**109**:165-173

[76] Dzikwi AA, Kuzmin II, Umoh JU, Kwaga JKP, Ahmad AA, Rupprecht CE. Evidence of Lagos bat virus circulation among fruit bats. J. wild life Dis. 2010;**46**(1):267-271

[77] Luis, A.D., Hayman D.T.S. and Webb C.T. (2013). A comparison of bats and rodents as reservoirs of

zoonotic viruses: Are bats special? Proc. Roy. Soc. Biol. Sci., 280 (1756): 20122753doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.2753

[78] Atuman, Y.J., Adawa, D.A.Y., Okewole, P.A., Shamaki, D., Audu, S.W., Mshelbwala, P. and Ogunkoya, A.B. (2014). Detection of rabies antigens in the brain tissues of jackals and mongooses and its implications on public health and conservation goals in Bauchi state, Nigeria A R T I C L E I N F O. 3. 42-47. 10.14196/sjvs.v3i3.12 (B)

[79] Zulu GC, Sabeta CT, Nel LH. Molecular epidemiology of rabies, focus on domestic dogs (Canis familiaris) and black-backed jackals (*Canis mesomelas*) from northern South Africa. Virus Research. 2009;**140**(1-2):71-78

[80] Stuchin, M., Machalaba, C., Olival, K., Artois, M., Bengis, R., Diaz, F., Erlacher V. E., Forcella, S., Leighton, F., Murata, K., Karesh, W. and Tizzani, Paolo. (2018). Rabies as a threat to wildlife. *Revue scientifique et technique (International Office of Epizootics)*. 37. 241-257. 10.20506/rst.37.2.2858.

[81] Walsh MG, Mor SM, Hossain S. The elephant-livestock interface modulates anthrax suitability in India. Proceedings. Biological sciences. 2019;*286*(1898):20190179 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0179

[82] O'Reilly, L.M and Daborn, C.J (1995). The epidemiology of *M. bovis* infections in animals and man: A review. Tubercle and Lung Diseases, 76 (Supplement 1): 1-46.Veter. J., 5, 52-56

[83] Etter E, Donado P, Jori F, Caron A, Goutard F, Roger F. Risk analysis and bovine tuberculosis,a re-emerging zoonosis. Annals of New York Academy of Science. 2006;**108**(1):112

[84] Grange JM. Mycobacterium bovis infection in human beings. Tuberculosis. 2001;**81**(1-2):71-77

[85] Brosch R, Gordon SV, Marmiesse M. A new evolutionary scenario for the mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United State of America. 2002;**99**(6):3684-3689

[86] Cosivi, O., Meslin, F.X, Daborn, C.J., Grange J.M (1995). Epidemiology of *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in animals and humans, with particular reference to Africa. Rev Sci Tech, 14:733-46

[87] Erwin PC, Bemis DA, Mawby DI, McCombs SB, Sheeler LL, Himelright IM, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis transmission from human to canine. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2004;*10*(12):2258-2260 https://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1012.040094

[88] de Lisle GW, Bengis RG, Schmitt SM, O'Brian DJ. Tuberculosis in free-ranging wildlife: Detection, diagnosis and management. Revue Scientifique et Technique. 2002;**21**:317-334

[89] Atuman YJ, Ibrahim S, Abubakar AI, Kudi CA. Seroprevalence of bovine tuberculosis and brucellosis in Agro-pastoralist livestock herds and wildlife in Yankari game reserve: Public health implications. Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences. 2018;**16**(2):83-90

[90] Nishi JS, Shury T, Elkin BT. Wildlife reservoirs for bovine tuberculosis (*Mycobacterium bovis*) in Canada: Strategies for management and research. Veterinary Microbiology. 2006;**112**(2-4):325-338

[91] Mfinanga SG, Morkre O, Kazwala RR. The role of livestock keeping in tuberculosis trend in Arusha, Tanzania. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. 2003;**7**(7):695704

[92] Munyeme M and Muanangandu (2011). A review of bovine tuberculosis

in the Kafue basin ecosystem.*Veterinary Medicine International*, doi:10.4061/2011/918743.

[93] Gomo, C.,Musari,. S., de Garine-Wichattsk., Caron, M., Pfukenyi, DM. and Van Heerden, H. Detection of Brucella abortus in Chiredzi district Zimbabwe. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research. 2012;**79**(1):417-422

[94] Katale BZ, Mbugi EV, Karimuribo ED, Keyyu JD, Kendall S, Kibiki GS, et al. Prevalence and riskfactors for infection of bovine tuberculosis in indigenous cattle in the serengeti ecosystem, Tanzania. BMC Veterinary Research. 2013;**9**(1):267

[95] Daszak P, Cunningham AA, Hyatt AD. Anthropogenic enviromental change and the emergence of infectious diseasein wildlife. Acta Tropica. 2001;**78**(2):103-116 https://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1012.040094

[96] Godfroid, J., Clocakacrt, A. and Liqutard J.P (2005). From the discovery of the Malta fever's agent to the discovery of a marine reservoiur, brucellosis has been continuous re-emerging zoonoses. Veterinary Research,Doi: 10.1051/vetres:2005003.

[97] Pappas G, Papadimitriou P, Akritidis N, Christou L, Tsianos EV. The new global map of human brucellosis. Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2006;**6**(2):91-99

[98] Maxwell JR, Bill DE. Developing a brucellosis public health information and awareness campaign in Iraq. Military Medicine. 2008;**17**(1):79-84

[99] Hugh-Jones, M. and Vos, V. (2002). Anthrax and Wildlife. Revue scientifique et technique (International Office of Epizootics). 21. 359-83. 10.20506/rst.21.2.1336.

[100] Van Wyk IC, Boomker J. Parasites of south African wildlife: XIX. The prevalence of helminths in some common antelopes, warthogs and a bushpig in the Limpopo province, South Africa. Ondersteoort Journal of Veterinary Research. 2011;**78**(1):1-11

[101] Wall DT. Anaplasmosis control and diagnosis in South Africa. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. In: 10.1111/j.17496632.2000.tb05327. 2000

[102] Ogunji FD, Akinboade OA, Dipeolou OO, Ayeni J, andOkaeme AN. The prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites and bacteria in the game scouts at the Kainji Lake National Park of Nigeria. International Journal of Zoonoses. 1984;**11**(1):119-122

[103] Muriuki SMK, Murugu RK, Munene E, Karere GM, Chai DC. Some gastro-intestinal parasites of zoonotic (public health) importance commonly observed in old world non-human primates in Kenya. Acta Tropica. 1998. DOI: 10.1016/s0001-706x(98)00040-0

[104] Oyeleke SB, Edungbola OJ. Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths of wild animals in Kainji lake National Park and federal college of wildlife management new Bursa,Niger state, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Parasitology. 2001;**22**(2):129-136

[105] Karere G, Munene E. Some gastro-intestinal tract parasites in wild De Brazza's monkeys (Cercopithecus neglectus) in Kenya. Veterinary Parasitology. 2002;**110**(1):153-157

[106] Moudgil AD, Singla LD. Role of neglected wildlife disease ecology in emergence and resurgence of parasitic diseases. Trends in Parasitology Research. 2013;**2**(2):18-23

[107] Kalema-Zikusoka G, Kock R, andMacfie E. Impenetrable National Park, Uganda. The Veterinary Record. 2002;**150**:12-15

[108] Jongejan F, Uilenberg G. The global importance of ticks. Parasitology. 2004;**129**:S3-S14

[109] Nizeyi JB, Innocent RB, Erume J, Kalema GR, Cranfield MR, andGraczyk TK. *Campylobacteriosis, Salmonellosis*, and *Shigellosis* in free-ranging human-habituated mountain gorillas of Uganda. Journal of Wildlife Diseases. 2001;**37**(2):239-244

[110] Mutani A, Rhynd K, andBrown G. A preliminary investigation on the gastrointestinal helminths of the Barbados green monkey, Cercopithecus aethiops sabaeus. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo. 2003;**45**(4):193-195

[111] Munang'andu HM, Siamudaala VM, Munyeme M, Nalubamba KS. Detection of parasites and parasitic infections of free-ranging wildlife on a game ranch in Zambia: A challenge for disease control. Journal of Parasitology Research. 2012;**9**:1-12

[112] Malan F, Horak I, De Vos V, andVan Wyk J. Wildlife parasites: Lessons for paasite control in livestock. Veterinary Parasitology. 1997;**71**(2):137-153

[113] Conradie, I. (2008). The prevalence of helminths in warthogs, bushpigs and some antelope species in Limpopo province, South Africa. University of Pretoria. pp 113-119

[114] Agbede RI. A survey of ectoparasites and ectoparasitic condition of animals in Zaria. Nigerian Journal of Animal Production Resources. 1981;**1**(2):179-180

[115] Gwakisa P, Yoshihara K, Long TT, Gotoh H, Amano F, Eiichi M. Salivary gland extract of *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks inhibits in vitro transcription and secretion of cytokines and production of nitric oxide by LPS stimulated JA-4 cells. Veterinary Parasitology. 2001;**99**:53-61

[116] Biswas, S (2003). Role of veterinarians in the care and management during harvest of skin in livestock species. In: Proceedings National Seminar on Leather Industry in Today's Perspective, Kolkata, India, pp. 62-64.

[117] de Castro JJ. Sustainable tick and tick-borne disease control in livestock improvement in developing countries. Veterinary Parasitology. 1997;**71**:77-97

[118] Odunlami, S. S. andLake, B. (2003). An assessment of the ecotourism potential of Yankari national park, Nigeria. Eco Club. Communication E paper Series NR, 7.

[119] Messenger AM, Barnes AN, andGray GC. Reverse zoonotic disease transmission (zooanthroponosis): A systematic review of seldom-documented human biological threats to animals. PLoS One. 2014;**9**(2):e89055

[120] VanderWaal K, Omondi GP, andObanda V. Mixed-host aggregations and helminth parasite sharing in an east African wildlife–livestock system. Veterinary Parasitology. 2014;**205**(1):224-232

[121] Rhyan J, andSpraker T. Emergence of diseases from wildlife reservoirs. Veterinary Pathology. 2010;**47**(1):34-39

[122] Thompson R, Lymbery A, andSmith A. Parasites, emerging disease and wildlife conservation. International Journal for Parasitology. 2010;**40**(10):1163-1170

[123] Ghandour AM, Zahid NZ, Banaja AA, Kamal KB, Bouq AI. Zoonotic intestinal parasites of hamadryas baboons Papio hamadryas in the western and northern regions of Saudi Arabia. Journal of

Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1995;**98**(6):431-439

[124] Murray S, Stem C, Boudreau B, Goodall J. Intestinal parasites of baboons (Papio cynocephalus anubis) and chimpanzees (pan troglodytes) in Gombe National Park. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine. 2000;**31**(2):176-178

[125] Alvarado-Rybak M, Solano-Gallego L, andMillán J. A review of piroplasmid infections in wild carnivores worldwide: Importance for domestic animal health and wildlife conservation. Parasites and Vectors. 2016;**9**(1):538

[126] Eneji MA, Ode FA, Bullus ML. Diversification of Nigeria's economy; impact of tourism on sustainable development in Nigeria. International Journal of Research in Humanities and the Social Studies. 2016;**3**(5):36-44

[127] Shock BC, Murphy SM, Patton LL, Shock PM, Olfenbuttel C, Beringer J, et al. Distribution and prevalence of Cytauxzoonfelis in bobcats (*Lynx rufus*), the natural reservoir, and other wild felids in thirteen states. Veterinary Parasitology. 2011;**175**(3):325-330

[128] Najm N-A, Meyer-Kayser E, Hoffmann L, Herb I, Fensterer V, Pfister K, et al. A molecular survey of Babesia spp. and Theileria spp. in red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) and their ticks from Thuringia, Germany. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases. 2014;**5**(4):386-391

[129] Duscher GG, Fuehrer HP, andKübber-Heiss A. Fox on the run–molecular surveillance of fox blood and tissue for the occurrence of tick-borne pathogens in Austria. Parasites and Vectors. 2014;**7**(1):521-531

[130] Hofshagen M, Aavitsland P, Kruse H. Trends and Sources of Zoonotic Agents in Animals, Feedingstuffs, Food, and Man in Norway, Report to the EU. Oslo: Norwegian Department of Agriculture; 2003

[131] Henttonen H, Fuglei E, Gower CN, Haukisalmi V, Ims RA, Niemimaa J. *Echinococcus multilocularis* on Svalbard: Introduction of an intermediate host has enabled the local life-cycle. Parasitology. 2001;**123**:547-552

[132] Melton DA, Melton CL. Condition and mortality of waterbuck (Kobus illipsiprymus) in the Umfolozi game reserve. African Journal of Ecology. 1982;**20**(1):89-103

[133] Muruthi CW, Lwande OW, Makumi JN, Runo S, Otiende M. Phenotypic and genotypic identification of ticks sampled from wildlife species in selected conservation sites of Kenya. Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology. 2016;**7**:293

[134] Sumbria D, Singla LD. Thwack of worldwide weather transformation on vector and vector-borne parasitic infections. ARC J. Anim. Vet. Sci. 2017;**3**(2):1-10