Chapter

Production, Processes and Modification of Nanocrystalline Cellulose from Agro-Waste: A Review

R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, R. Ibrahim, M.S.N. Atikah, A. Atiqah, M.N.M. Ansari and M.N.F. Norrrahim

Abstract

Nanocrystalline cellulose is a renewable nanomaterial that has gained huge attention for its use in various applications from advanced biomedical material to food packaging material due to its exceptional physical and biological properties, such as high crystallinity degree, large specific surface area, high aspect ratio, high thermal resistance, good mechanical properties, abundance of surface hydroxyl groups, low toxicity, biodegradability, and biocompatibility. However, they still have drawbacks: (1) sources of raw materials and its utilization in the production of nanocomposites and (2) high chemical and energy consumption regarding the isolation of macro-sized fibers to nano-sized fibers. The incorporation of hydrophilic nanocrystalline cellulose within hydrophobic polymer limits the dispersion of nano-sized fibers, thus resulting in low mechanical properties of nanocomposites. Hence, surface modification on nano-sized fiber could be a solution to this problem. This review focuses on the advanced developments in pretreatment, nanocrystalline production and modifications, and its application in food packaging, biomedical materials, pharmaceutical, substitution biomaterials, drug excipient, drug delivery automotive, and nanopaper applications.

Keywords: nanocrystalline cellulose, nanocomposites, surface modification, hydrolysis, agro-waste

1. Introduction

During the past decades, huge efforts have been made to improve new chemicals and/or materials and replace broadly used petroleum-based products by utilizing biomass renewable feedstock [1–3]. Biocompatible composites and biodegradable plastics produced from biorenewable resources are regarded as promising biomaterials that could replace petrochemical-based polymers and hence reduce global dependence on nonrenewable sources (i.e., fossil fuels: coal, petroleum, and natural gas) and provide simplified recycling or end-of-life disposal [4–10].

Agro-based industry's function is to increase the value of raw agricultural products through downstream processing so that products are marketable, consumable, and

used to generate income and provide profit to the producer [11]. However, there is waste generated through the process of downstream and upstream of agro-industry. The composition of industrial wastes varies depending on the types of industry as different countries apply various categories for industrial waste which contribute adversely to air, soil, and water quality. This is due to some of the industrial wastes which are neither toxic nor hazardous. For example, organic wastes, such as corncob, sugarcane bagasse, sugar palm (fiber, frond, bunch, trunk), areca nut husk fiber, wheat straw fiber, soy hull fiber, pineapple leaf fiber, oil palm (mesocarp fiber, empty fruit bunch, frond), rubber wood thinning, curaua fiber, banana fiber, water hyacinth fiber, wheat straw, sugar beet fiber, etc. that are produced by agro-based industries are not hazardous in nature and thus have potential for other uses [12–14]. **Figure 1** shows the by-products of agro-industry that are used for sources of lignocellulose biomass.

Biomass renewable feedstocks are of great interest due to the possibility of nontoxicity, renewability, and biodegradability as well as sustainability [12–17]. Lignocellulosic can be classified as lower-value biomass (LVB). Lower-value biomass (LVB) in forest or agriculture industry constitutes noncommercial material traditionally left on site following harvesting of crops. However, emerging markets for energy, chemicals, and bioproducts have increased incentives to harvest and utilize this material in some cases [20–25]. Lignocellulosic biomass suppliers do not use any kind of wood indiscriminately due to economic and environmental reasons; they usually used mobilized woody biomass sourced from by-products of forest operations, agriculture, and crops' waste as well as the wood industry waste such as sawmills. Lignocellulosic biomass sector has been developed to work in synergy with other agro-based industry and wood-based industries to give value to non-mobilized and/or low-value biomass such as trunk, fiber, sugar cane bagasse, manure bedding, plant stalks, vines, hulls, leaves, vegetable matter, sawdust, mill

Figure 1.
By-products of agro-industry that are used for sources of lignocellulose biomass.

Figure 2.
By-products of forest operation that are used for sources of lignocellulose biomass. Adapted from Ref. [23]. http://www.europeanbioenergyday.eu/solid-bioenergy-in-questions-an-asset-to-eu-forests/.



Figure 3.
Schematic representation of lignocellulosic agro-waste and by-product of forest classification. Adapted from Ref. [7].

residues, thinnings, low-quality wood, tops, and limbs. Biomass generators do not use high-quality timber or main agricultural products, as using lumber or major crops would make the price of biomass wholly uncompetitive for end consumers. **Figure 2** shows the by-products of forest operation that are used for sources of lignocellulose biomass. Natural fibers or lignocellulosic fibers can be classified into two main groups that are wood and non-wood bio-fibers (**Figure 3**). This review will be focusing on production, processes, modification, and application of nanocrystalline cellulose from agro-waste.

2. Lignocellulosic biomass from agro-waste fiber and forest by-products

Lignocellulosic biomass comprises of three major chemical components that are cellulose, lignin, and hemicellulose [18–21]. The chemical compositions of

Fibers	Holocellulose (wt%)		Lignin (wt%)	Ash (wt%)	Extractives (wt%)	Crystallinity (%)	Ref.
	Cellulose (wt%)	Hemicellulose (wt%)					
Sugar palm fiber	43.88	7.24	33.24	1.01	2.73	55.8	[6]
Sugar palm frond	66.49	14.73	18.89	3.05	2.46	—	[28]
Sugar palm bunch	61.76	10.02	23.48	3.38	2.24	—	[28]
Sugar palm trunk	40.56	21.46	46.44	2.38	6.30	—	[28]
Wheat straw fiber	43.2 ± 0.15	34.1 ± 1.2	22.0 ± 3.1	—	—	57.5	[29]
Soy hull fiber	56.4 ± 0.92	12.5 ± 0.72	18.0 ± 2.5	—	—	59.8	[29]
Areca nut husk fiber	34.18	20.83	31.60	2.34	—	37	[14]
Helicteres isora plant	71 ± 2.6	3.1 ± 0.5	21 ± 0.9	—	—	38	[30]
Pineapple leaf fiber	81.27 ± 2.45	12.31 ± 1.35	3.46 ± 0.58	—	—	35.97	[31]
Ramie fiber	69.83	9.63	3.98	—	—	55.48	[32]
Oil palm mesocarp fiber (OPMF)	28.2 ± 0.8	32.7 ± 4.8	32.4 ± 4.0	—	6.5 ± 0.1	34.3	[33]
Oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB)	37.1 ± 4.4	39.9 ± 0.75	18.6 ± 1.3	—	3.1 ± 3.4	45.0	[33]
Oil palm frond (OPF)	45.0 ± 0.6	32.0 ± 1.4	16.9 ± 0.4	—	2.3 ± 1.0	54.5	[33]
Oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) fiber	40 ± 2	23 ± 2	21 ± 1	—	2.0 ± 0.2	40	[34]
Rubber wood	45 ± 3	20 ± 2	29 ± 2	—	2.5 ± 0.5	46	[34]
Curaua fiber	70.2 ± 0.7	18.3 ± 0.8	9.3 ± 0.9	—	—	64	[35]
Banana fiber	7.5	74.9	7.9	0.01	9.6	15.0	[36]
Sugarcane bagasse	43.6	27.7	27.7	—	—	76	[37]
Kenaf bast	63.5 ± 0.5	17.6 ± 1.4	12.7 ± 1.5	2.2 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 1.0	48.2	[38]
Phoenix dactylifera palm leaflet	33.5	26.0	27.0	6.5	—	50	[39]

Fibers	Holocellulose (wt%)		Lignin (wt%)	Ash (wt%)	Extractives (wt%)	Crystallinity (%)	Ref.
	Cellulose (wt%)	Hemicellulose (wt%)					
Phoenix dactylifera palm rachis	44.0	28.0	14.0	2.5	—	55	[39]
Kenaf core powder	80.26	23.58	—	—	—	48.1	[40]
Water hyacinth fiber	42.8	20.6	4.1	—	—	59.56	[41]
Wheat straw	43.2 ± 0.15	34.1 ± 1.2	22.0 ± 3.1	—	—	57.5	[42]
Sugar beet fiber	44.95 ± 0.09	25.40 ± 2.06	11.23 ± 1.66	17.67 ± 1.54	—	35.67	[43]
Mengkuang leaves	37.3 ± 0.6	34.4 ± 0.2	24 ± 0.8		2.5 ± 0.02	55.1	[44]

Table 1.
Chemical composition of agro-waste fibers and forest by-products from different plants and different parts.

	Cellulose	Hemicellulose	Lignin
Structure	• Cellulose is assembled together with pectin fibers, which function to bind the cellulose together to produce tighter cell walls in natural fibers, accounting for their strength providing resistance to lysing in the presence of water • Hemicelluloses consist of long chain—7000–15,000 glucose molecules per polymer	• Hemicellulose is a cell wall polysaccharide that has the capacity to bind strongly to cellulose microfibrils by hydrogen bonds • Hemicelluloses consist of short chains—500–3000 glucose molecules per polymer	• Lignin is a cross-linked polymer with molecular masses in excess of 10,000 u
Function	• Connecting cells to form tissue • Provide structural support • Provides a strong resistance to stress • Prevents the cell from bursting in hypotonic solution	• Responsible for the moisture absorption, biodegradation • Microfibrils are cross-linked together by hemicellulose homopolymers	• Responsible for UV degradation • Lignin assists and strengthens the attachment of hemicelluloses to microfibrils • Lignin plays a crucial part in conducting water in plant stems
Properties	• Thermal stability (occurred from 315 to ~400°C)	• Thermal stability occurred from 220 to ~315°C	• Thermal stability occurred from 165 to ~900°C

Table 2.
Functions and properties of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. Adapted from Refs. [6, 7, 27].

agro-waste fibers are different depending on the type of fiber as summarized in **Table 1**. Besides that, it can be concluded in **Table 1** that the highest cellulose contents are pineapple leaf fibers (81.27%), followed by kenaf core powder (80.26%). Besides that, from **Table 1** also we can summarize that the chemical composition of natural fibers is 30–80% cellulose, 7–40% hemicellulose, and 3–33% lignin. Cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin have their own properties and functionality. **Table 2** shows the functional properties of the cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. The physical, thermal, and mechanical properties of the natural fibers are diverse between each other as they are mostly depending on cellulose crystallinity. Intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding among the cellulose chains affects the packing compactness of cellulose crystallinity. **Table 1** shows the chemical composition of natural fibers and their crystallinity. From the abovementioned lignocellulosic, particularly, the hemicellulose and cellulose have promising features such as existing refining agro-forest or agro-waste factories. For centuries, cellulose has been utilized in the form of non-wood plant fibers and wood as building materials, clothing, textile, and paper.

3. Nanocrystalline cellulose

Nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC) has several notable optical, chemical, and electrical properties due to their needlelike shape, high surface area, high aspect ratio

(length/diameter), high crystallinity, nanoscale size, high strength and stiffness, low density, and highly negative charge which lead to unique behavior in solutions. The high chemical reactivity of the surface makes NCC customizable for various applications, besides their heat stability which allows high-temperature applications. Moreover, they also have huge surface OH groups which provide active sites for hydrogen bonding through the interlocking with nonpolar matrix [4, 7, 10, 45, 46]. Nanocrystalline cellulose can be isolated from cellulose as shown in **Figure 4**. The nanocellulose can be obtained through two approaches: top-down by the disintegration of plant fiber or bottom-up by biosynthesis [46]. For bottom-up biosynthesis approach, fermentation of low-molecular-weight sugars occurred by using bacteria from *Acetobacter* species. Meanwhile, for the top-down approach, the production of nanocrystalline cellulose is chemically induced via removing amorphous region. The chemical or mechanical treatments or a combination of both treatments involves enzymatic treatment, grinding, high-pressurized homogenization, acid hydrolysis, TEMPO-mediated oxidation, microfluidization, cryocrushing, and high-intensity ultrasonification. **Table 3** shows the hydrolysis approaches from various sources of agro-waste and forest by-product for NCC isolation.

Figure 4.
Schematic representation of lignocellulosic agro-waste and by-product of forest classification. Adapted from Ref. [47].

Source	Process	References
Acacia mangium	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[56]
Algae	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[57]
Areca nut husk fiber	HCl hydrolysis	[14]
Bacterial cellulose	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[58]
Bamboo	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[59]
Bamboo (*Pseudosasa amabilis*)	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[60]
Banana fiber	$H_2C_2O_4$ hydrolysis	[31]
Banana pseudo-stem	TEMPO-mediated oxidation, formic acid hydrolysis	[61]
Cassava bagasse	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[62]

Source	Process	References
Coconut husk	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[63]
Colored cotton	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[64]
Corncob	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[13]
Cotton (cotton wool)	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[65]
Cotton linters	HCl hydrolysis	[66]
Cotton Whatman filter paper	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[67]
Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) linters	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[68]
Cotton stalk	TEMPO-mediated oxidation and H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[69]
Cotton fiber	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[70]
Curaua fiber	H_2SO_4, H_2SO_4/HCl, HCl hydrolysis	[35]
Eucalyptus kraft pulp	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[71]
Grass fibers	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[72]
Grass fibers (*Imperata brasiliensis*)	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[73]
Groundnut shells	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[74]
Hibiscus sabdariffa fibers	Steam explosion H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[75]
Humulus japonicus stem	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis with high-temperature pretreatment	[76]
Industrial bioresidue	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[77]
Industrial bioresidue (sludge)	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[78]
Kraft pulp	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[79]
Kenaf core wood	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[40]
MCC	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[55]
Mengkuang leaves	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[44]
Mulberry	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[80]
Oil palm trunk	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[81]
Oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB)	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[82]
Phormium tenax (harakeke) fiber	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[83]
Potato peel waste	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[84]
Flax fiber	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[83]
Ramie	KOH hydrolysis	[85]
Ramie	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[86]
Ramie	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[87]
Rice husk	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[63]
Rice straw	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[88]
Sesame husk	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[89]
Sisal fiber	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[90]
Soy hulls	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[91]
Sugar palm fiber	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[6]
Sugar palm frond	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[92]

Source	Process	References
Sugarcane bagasse	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[37]
Sago seed shells	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[93]
Tunicate	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[94]
Water hyacinth fiber	HCl hydrolysis	[48]
Wood pulp	TEMPO oxidation followed by HCl hydrolysis	[95]
Wheat straw	H_2SO_4 hydrolysis	[96]
Valonia ventricosa	HCl hydrolysis	[97]

Table 3.
Available process of extraction approaches from different sources for NCC isolation.

4. Processes of nanocrystalline cellulose

Recently, researchers are exploring the potential utilization of agriculture or forest wastes as NCCs' sources. As a consequence, the various local sources are used to investigate the potential of NCC in certain technologies. The isolation of NCC needs intensive hydrolysis chemical treatment. However, according to the degree of processing and raw material, physical, chemical, enzymatic, and ionic pretreatments are performed before nanocrystalline cellulose synthesis. **Figure 5** shows the sources, pretreatments, synthesis, and application of nanocrystalline cellulose. It is good to know that appropriate pretreatments of cellulosic fibers promote the accessibility of hydroxyl group, alter crystallinity, increase the inner surface, and break cellulose hydrogen bonds and hence improved the reactivity of the fibers [6, 7, 10]. Several approaches to diminish cellulosic fibers into nanofibers can be divided into several techniques such as acid hydrolysis, alkali treatment, mechanical treatments, and combination of mechanical and chemical treatments. Common methods for isolate NCC are hydrolysis methods which are a chemical method. **Figure 6** shows



Figure 5.
Sources, pretreatments, synthesis, and application of nanocrystalline cellulose. Adapted from Refs. [6, 7, 10].



Figure 6.
Typical process for the production of nanocrystalline cellulose. Adapted from Refs. [4, 5, 8].

the typical process for the production of nanocrystalline cellulose. Hydrolysis process includes inserting raw plant fibers into a strong acidic environment with the help of mechanical agitation. Concentrated acid and shear forces on solution generate shear rates in the stream and decrease the size of fibers to the nanoscale. Sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) is commonly used in the isolation process of NCC besides other chemicals such as HCL [48], HBr [49], and H_3PO_4 [50]. Hydrolysis process using sulfuric acid solution resulted in a high number of negatively charged sulfate groups on the surface of NCC. This process limits the agglomeration and flocculation of NCC in an aqueous medium [51]. The drawback from this process is that the NCC displays moderate thermostability. Hence to overcome this drawback, the NCC will either undergo dialysis process using distilled water to fully dispose free acid molecules or use sodium hydroxide (NaOH), which functions to neutralize nanoparticles [52]. **Figure 7** displays three steps in the mechanism of acid hydrolysis [53]:

1. Development of conjugated acid by reactions between oxygen protons and glycoside acid

2. Breaking down of C-O bonds and segregation of conjugated acid into cyclic carbonium ions

3. Release of the proton and free sugar after the addition of water

There are numerous studies that have been conducted on the effects of concentration of acid, acid-to-fiber ratio, and temperature and time of the hydrolysis process on the dimensions and morphological properties of yielded nanocrystalline cellulose. According to Azizi et al. [29], there is a strong relationship between the hydrolysis time and acid-to-fiber ratio to the length and dimensions of nanocrystalline cellulose, which by increasing the hydrolysis time and acid-to-fiber ratio would reduce the dimension and length of nanocrystalline cellulose.

Besides that, there are large numbers of published studies [51, 54] that describe the dimension, size, and shape of NCC that were affected by the conditions of hydrolysis process (purity of the material, temperature, time, and ultrasound



Figure 7.
Mechanism of hydrolysis of acid [53].

treatment) and a variety of cellulosic fiber sources. Bondeson et al. [55] conducted an experiment on the isolation of NCC and found that the optimized condition is at a concentration of 63.5% H_2SO_4, which yielded 38 wt.% of NCCs with a width of 10 nm. Another experiment that is conducted by Ilyas et al. [6] found that the optimum yield for isolating sugar palm nanocrystalline cellulose is at a concentration of 60 wt% H_2SO_4 and duration hydrolysis of 45 min, with length and diameters of 130 ± 30 and 9 ± 1.96 nm, respectively. **Table 3** shows the preparation of NCC using various acid hydrolysis processes from different cellulosic sources. Typical procedures for NCC extraction are composed of several steps: strong acid hydrolysis, dilution, dialysis, sonification, and drying of NCC.

5. Limitation and modification of nanocrystalline cellulose

There are several limitations when using natural fibers as reinforcement filler in the polymer matrix such as single-particle dispersion, barrier properties,



Figure 8.
Limitation of nanocellulose. Adapted from Refs. [6, 7, 10].

permeability properties, and poor interfacial adhesion (**Figure 8**). Nanocrystalline cellulose has a strong propensity of self-association due to the interaction of abundance OH groups within its surface, which causes agglomeration and limits its potential applications. Besides, hydrophilic properties of nanocrystalline cellulose make it difficult to disperse homogenously within any medium and matrix. Therefore, in order to overcome the incompatible nature, poor interfacial adhesion, and difficult dispersion of nanocrystalline cellulose in a polymer matrix, surface modification of fibers or modification of matrix is introduced. Nanocellulose displays a high surface area valued more than 100 m^2/g. This gives advantages to nanocellulose for surface modification in order to introduce any desired surface functionality. However, according to Postek et al. [98], the surface chemistry of nanocellulose is primarily controlled by the process of isolation that used to prepare these nanocelluloses from raw cellulose substrate. **Figure 9** shows the most common surface chemical modifications of nanocrystalline cellulose. Surface modification of NCC can be categorized into three typical groups, namely, (1) polymer grafting based on "grafting onto" strategy with different coupling agents (as indicated with blue arrows in **Figure 9**), (2) substitution of hydroxyl group with small molecules (as indicated with red arrows in **Figure 9**), and (3) polymer grafting



Figure 9.
Schematic diagram illustrating nanocellulose surface functionalization modification. PEG, poly(ethylene glycol); PEO, poly(ethylene oxide); PLA, poly(lactic acid); PAA, poly(acrylic acid); PNiPAAm, poly(N-isopropylacrylamide); PDMAEMA, poly(N,N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate). Adapted from Ref. [99].

based on the "grafting from" approach with a radical polymerization involving single-electron transfer-living radical polymerization (SET-LP), ring opening polymerization (ROP), and atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) (as indicated with yellow arrows in **Figure 9**). The enhancement of NCC-polymer matrix interaction is predicted to improve the stress transfer from the matrix to the dispersed phase and hence enhances the capability of load bearing material. Besides, the chemical modification of NCC can be dispersed in the low polarity of organic solvent and mixed with a polymer matrix solution or directly introduced into the polymer melt after drying. Nevertheless, two effects ascend from this process: (1) allow the improvement of dispersion of modified NCC in the polymer matrix and (2) limit the interaction between NNC and matrix through hydrogen bonding which is the basis of the outstanding mechanical properties of nanocellulose-based nanocomposites.

6. Applications of nanocrystalline cellulose from agro-waste fiber and forest by-products

The incorporation of nanocrystalline cellulose in biopolymers for the nanocomposite production provides huge advantages with superior performance which would extend their applications in various applications. This is due to their outstanding thermal and mechanical properties. NCC also can reduce the water vapor permeability of the composites due to its high gas permeability [26]. Besides that, NCC can be used to stabilize the encapsulated bioactive compounds in biopolymers for allowing better control in food applications which can improve the food quality, extend the shelf-life of food, and serve as active substance carriers such as antifungal, antioxidant compounds, antimicrobial, and insecticide.

The utilization of natural cellulose-based materials continues today as verified by the various industry players from forest product to make pulp and paper to the advanced technology used in biomedical applications. These uses have been reported extensively as summarized in **Table 4**. NCC can be used as a drug delivery

Polymer component	Manufacturing technique	Applications	References
Cellulose esterified with lauroyl chloride	Solution casting and thermopressing	Interface melting	[101]
Ethyl acrylate; methyl-methacrylate	Solution mixing	Drug carrier	[100]
Ethylene-co-vinyl acetate rubber	Solution mixing and vulcanization	Transparent, rubbery materials	[102]
Maleic-anhydride grafted PLA	Electrospinning	Bone tissue engineering	[103]
Methylcellulose	Hydrogel by aqueous dispersion	Thermoreversible and tunable nanocellulose-based hydrogels	[104]
PC	Masterbatch melt extrusion process	Optical devices	[105]
PC-based polyurethane blend	Solution casting	Smart actuators and sensors	[106]
Plasticized PLA	Twin-screw extruder	Film blowing, packaging	[107]
Plasticized starch	Solution casting	Transparent materials	[108]

Polymer component	Manufacturing technique	Applications	References
PU	Solution casting	High temperature biomedical devices	[109]
PVA	Solution casting	Stretchable photonic devices	[110]
PVA	Solution casting	Wound diagnosis/biosensor scaffolds	[111]
PVA	Solution casting	Conductive materials	[112]
Starch	Blending, solution casting	Air permeable, resistant, surface-sized paper, food packaging	[113, 114]
Starch	Solution casting	Food packaging	[60]
Cassava starch	Solution casting	Food packaging	[62]
Sugar palm starch	Solution casting	Food packaging	[115]
Wheat starch	Solution casting	Food packaging	[87]
Tuber native potato	Solution casting	Packaging	[116]
Cereal corn	Solution casting	Packaging	[116]
Legume pea	Solution casting	Packaging	[116]
Waterborne acrylate	Solution mixing	Corrosion protection	[79]
Wheat straw hemicelluloses	Solution casting	Packaging	[96]
PVA	Solution casting	Food packaging	[83]
Chitosan	Solution casting	Food coating/packaging	[70]

Table 4.
Polymer component reinforced NCCs and its manufacturing technique and applications.

excipient; Burt et al. [100] investigated the capability of pure NCC to bind water-soluble antibiotics (tetracycline and doxorubicin) and the potential of cationic NCC to bind non-ionized hydrophobic anticancer agents (docetaxel, paclitaxel, and etoposide). Moreover, besides direct use as drug delivery excipient, NCC can also be used as co-stabilizer to improve the physicochemical and flow properties of polymeric excipients. Acrylic beads prepared via emulsion polymerization using NCC as co-stabilizer were proven to be a suitable excipient.

Table 5 shows several nanocelluloses, NFCs, and NCCs that have been used as reinforcement fillers in polymer matrices. The polymer matrices used are from both synthetic and natural polymers. **Table 6** shows examples of NCCs used as fillers in polymeric matrices.

Source	Filler	Polymer matrix	Ref.
Sugar palm	NCC	Sugar palm starch	[117]
Sugar palm	NFC	Sugar palm starch	[115]
Acacia mangium	NCC	PVA	[56]
Bacteria	NCC	CAB (0–10 wt% filler)	[58]
Cotton	NCC	PVA (0–12 wt% filler)	[118]
Flax	NFC/NCC	PVA (10 wt% filler), waterborne polyurethanes (0–30 wt% filler)	[119, 120]
Hemp	NFC	PVA (10 wt% filler)	[120]
Kraft pulp	NCC	Waterborne acrylate	[79]

Source	Filler	Polymer matrix	Ref.
MCC	NCC	PLA (5 wt% filler)	[121]
Potato pulp	NFC	Starch/glycerol (0–40 wt% filler)	[122]
Ramie	NFC	Unsaturated polyester resin	[85]
Ramie	NCC	Starch/glycerol (0–40 wt% filler)	[87]
Rutabaga	NFC	PVA (10 wt% filler)	[120]
Soy hulls	NFC	No attempts were made with composites	[29]
Sugar beet	NFC/NCC	Styrene/butyl acrylate (6 wt% filler)	[123]
Tunicate	NCC	Styrene/butyl acrylate (6 wt% filler), starch/sorbitol (25 wt% filler), waterborne epoxy (0.5–5 wt% filler)	[94, 124–126]
Water hyacinth fiber	NCC	Yam bean starch	[48]
Water hyacinth fiber	NFC	Yam bean starch	[127]
Wheat straw	NFC	No attempts were made with composites	[29]
Wheat straw	NCC	Wheat straw hemicelluloses	[96]
Wood pulp	NFC/NCC	PVA (10 wt% filler), PLA (5 wt% filler)	[120, 128]
Cassava bagasse	NCC	Cassava starch	[62]
Ramie	NCC	Wheat starch	[87]
Phormium tenax (harakeke) fiber	NCC	PVA	[83]
Flax fiber	NCC	PVA	[83]
Potato peel fiber	NCC	Starch	[84]

Table 5.
Different nanocellulose sources of reinforcement fillers in polymer matrices.

Polymer	References
Cellulose acetate butyrate	[58, 129]
Cellulose	[130]
Chitosan	[131–133]
Poly(acrylic) acid, PAA	[134]
Poly-(allylmethylamine hydrochloride), PAH	[135]
Poly-(dimethyldiallylammonium chloride), PDDA	[136]
Poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate), EVA	[137]
Poly(hydroxyalkanoate), PHA	[133, 138]
Poly(hydroxyoctanoate), PHO	[139]
Poly(lactic acid), PLA	[118, 121, 140–144]
Poly(methyl-methacrylate), PMMA	[145, 146]
Poly(oxyethylene), PEO	[147, 148]

Polymer	References
Poly(styrene-co-butyl acrylate)	[94, 149, 150]
Poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA)	[56, 83, 151]
Poly(vinyl alcohol), PVOH	[67, 152–154]
Polycaprolactone, PCL	[155–157]
Polypropylene, PP	[158, 159]
Polystyrene	[160]
Polysulfone	[161]
Polyurethane, PU	[162–164]
Polyvinyl chloride, PVC	[165–167]
Regenerated cellulose	[168, 169]
Soy protein	[170]
Starch-based polymers	[60, 62, 84, 152, 171–173]
Waterborne acrylate	[79]
Xylan	[174–176]
Hemicellulose	[96]

Table 6.
NCC used as filler in polymeric matrices.

7. Conclusion

Agro-waste is an unavoidable by-product that arises from various agricultural and agro-forest activities' operation. However, different kinds of agro-product industries, change of lifestyle, and population growth are assumed to be within the main factors that increase the rate of waste generation globally and locally. Therefore, proper waste management selections are very important based on the types of wastes and cost-effective factors in order to reduce the damage to the ecosystem. One of the alternatives to reduce agro-waste disposal is converting it to high-end value products such as nanocrystalline cellulose. In the present work, an overview of the production, processes, modification, and application of nanocrystalline cellulose from different agricultural wastes was proposed and leads to the following main concluding remarks: (1) it is important to select the proper raw material of agro-waste fiber, due to a broad variety of structure and chemical composition and its pretreatment process before the extraction process of nanocellulose begin; (2) the surface charge and morphology of nanocrystalline cellulose are affected by the production conditions such as hydrolysis time, temperature, and the acid-to-fiber ratio; and (3) nanocrystalline cellulose can be used in various applications including in hydrophobic polymer after some modification is made. The utilization of several lignocellulosic wastes from agricultural and forest by-product activities becomes the best proposal regarding cost/energy savings and economic development. The agricultural residue is available worldwide, abundant, cheap, and an unexploited source of cellulose that could be used as large-scale production of nanocellulose products.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Universiti Putra Malaysia for the financial support through the Graduate Research Fellowship (GRF) scholarship, Universiti

Putra Malaysia Grant scheme Hi-CoE (6369107), FRGS/1/2017/TK05/UPM/01/1 (5540048) and iRMC UNITEN (RJO10436494). The authors are grateful to Dr. Muhammed Lamin Sanyang for guidance throughout the experiment. The authors also thank Dr. Rushdan Ibrahim for his advice and fruitful discussion.

Author details

R.A. Ilyas[1,2*], S.M. Sapuan[1,2], R. Ibrahim[3], M.S.N. Atikah[4], A. Atiqah[5], M.N.M. Ansari[5] and M.N.F. Norrrahim[6]

1 Laboratory of Biocomposite Technology, Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

2 Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Advanced Engineering Materials and Composites Research Centre, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

3 Pulp and Paper Branch, Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong, Selangor, Malaysia

4 Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

5 Institute of Power Engineering, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia

6 Research Center for Chemical Defence, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

*Address all correspondence to: ahmadilyasrushdan@yahoo.com

References

[1] Hazrati KZ, Sapuan SM, Ilyas RA. Biobased food packaging using natural fibre: A review. In: Prosiding Seminar Enau Kebangsaan 2019, Bahau, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia: Institute of Tropical Forest and Forest Products. Universiti Putra Malaysia: INTROP; 2019. pp. 140-142

[2] Abral H, Basri A, Muhammad F, Fernando Y, Hafizulhaq F, Mahardika M, et al. A simple method for improving the properties of the sago starch films prepared by using ultrasonication treatment. Food Hydrocolloids. 2019;**93**: 276-283. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.02.012

[3] Huzaifah MRM, Sapuan SM, Leman Z, Ishak MR, Ilyas RA. Effect of soil burial on water absorption of sugar palm fibre reinforced vinyl ester composites. In: 6th Postgraduate Seminar on Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites 2018. Selangor: Serdang; 2018. pp. 52-54

[4] Brinchi L, Cotana F, Fortunati E, Kenny JM. Production of nanocrystalline cellulose from lignocellulosic biomass: Technology and applications. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2013;**94**:154-169. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.01.033

[5] Norizan MN, Abdan K, Ilyas RA. Effect of water absorption on treated sugar palm yarn fibre/glass fibre hybrid composites. In: Prosiding Seminar Enau Kebangsaan 2019, Bahau, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia: Institute of Tropical Forest and Forest Products. Universiti Putra Malaysia: INTROP; 2019. pp. 78-81

[6] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR. Isolation and characterization of nanocrystalline cellulose from sugar palm fibres (*Arenga pinnata*). Carbohydrate Polymers. 2018;**181**: 1038-1051. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.11.045

[7] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES, Atikah MSN. Characterization of sugar palm nanocellulose and its potential for reinforcement with a starch-based composite. In: Sugar Palm Biofibers, Biopolymers, and Biocomposites. 1st ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press/Taylor & Francis Group; 2018. pp. 189-220. DOI: 10.1201/9780429443923-10

[8] Sanyang ML, Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Jumaidin R. Sugar palm starch-based composites for packaging applications. In: Bionanocomposites for Packaging Applications. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018. pp. 125-147. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-67319-6_7

[9] Azammi AMN, Sapuan SM, Sultan MTH, Ishak MR, Radzi AM, Ilyas RA. Structure analysis for natural fiber composite for automotive component: A review. In: Prosiding Seminar Enau Kebangsaan 2019, Bahau, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia: Institute of Tropical Forest and Forest Products. Universiti Putra Malaysia: INTROP; 2019. pp. 44-47

[10] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Sanyang ML, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES. Nanocrystalline cellulose as reinforcement for polymeric matrix nanocomposites and its potential applications: A review. Current Analytical Chemistry. 2018;**14**:203-225. DOI: 10.2174/1573411013666171003155624

[11] Mazani N, Sapuan SM, Sanyang ML, Atiqah A, Ilyas RA. Design and fabrication of a shoe shelf from kenaf fiber reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. In: Lignocellulose for Future Bioeconomy. Elsevier; 2019. pp. 315-332. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816354-2.00017-7

[12] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ibrahim R, Abral H, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES, et al. Sugar palm (*Arenga pinnata (Wurmb.) Merr*) cellulosic fibre hierarchy: A comprehensive approach from macro to nano scale. Journal of Materials Research and Technology. 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.04.011

[13] Liu C, Li B, Du H, Lv D, Zhang Y, Yu G, et al. Properties of nanocellulose isolated from corncob residue using sulfuric acid, formic acid, oxidative and mechanical methods. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2016;**151**:716-724. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.06.025

[14] Julie Chandra CS, George N, Narayanankutty SK. Isolation and characterization of cellulose nanofibrils from arecanut husk fibre. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2016;**142**:158-166. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.01.015

[15] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES. Sugar palm nanocrystalline cellulose reinforced sugar palm starch composite: Degradation and water-barrier properties. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. 2018;**368**. DOI: 10.1088/1757-899X/368/1/012006

[16] Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Leman Z, Ilyas RA, Huzaifah MRM. Development of products from sugar palm trees (*Arenga pinnata Wurb. Merr*): A community project. INTROPica. 2017: 12-13

[17] Jumaidin R, Sapuan SM, Ilyas RA. Physio-mechanical properties of thermoplastic starch composites: A review. In: Prosiding Seminar Enau Kebangsaan 2019, Bahau, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia: Institute of Tropical Forest and Forest Products. Universiti Putra Malaysia: INTROP; 2019. pp. 104-108

[18] Hazrol MD, Sapuan SM, Ilyas RA. Electrical and surface resistivity of polymer composites: A review. In: 6th Postgraduate Seminar on Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites 2018; Serdang, Selangor. 2018. pp. 44-47

[19] Nazrin A, Sapuan SM, Ilyas RA. Thermoplastic starch blended poly (lactic) acid for food packaging application: Mechanical properties. In: 6th Postgraduate Seminar on Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites 2018, Serdang. 2018. pp. 79-84

[20] Sapuan SM, Ilyas RA. Sugar palm: Fibers, biopolymers and biocomposites. INTROPica. 2017:5-7

[21] Halimatul MJ, Sapuan SM, Jawaid M, Ishak MR, Ilyas RA. Effect of sago starch and plasticizer content on the properties of thermoplastic films: Mechanical testing and cyclic soaking-drying. Polimery. 2019;**64**:422-431. DOI: 10.14314/polimery.2019.6.5

[22] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Norizan MN, Atikah MSN, Huzaifah MRM, Radzi AM, et al. Potential of natural fibre composites for transport industry: A review. In: Prosiding Seminar Enau Kebangsaan 2019, Bahau, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia: Institute of Tropical Forest and Forest Products. Universiti Putra Malaysia: INTROP; 2019. pp. 2-11

[23] Solid Bioenergy—An Asset to EU Forests? European Bioenergy Day 2018. n.d. Available from: http://www.europeanbioenergyday.eu/solid-bioenergy-in-questions-an-asset-to-eu-forests/ [Accessed: April 29, 2019]

[24] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES, Atikah MSN. Nanocellulose reinforced starch polymer composites: A review of preparation, properties and application. In: Proceeding: 5th International Conference on Applied Sciences and Engineering (ICASEA, 2018), Copthorne Hotel; Cameron Highlands, Malaysia. 2018. pp. 325-341

[25] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES. Sugar palm nanofibrillated cellulose (*Arenga pinnata (Wurmb.) Merr*): Effect of cycles on their yield, physic-chemical, morphological and thermal behavior. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2019;**123**: 379-388. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.11.124

[26] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES, Atikah MSN, Huzaifah MRM. Water barrier properties of biodegradable films reinforced with nanocellulose for food packaging application: A review. In: 6th Postgraduate Seminar on Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites 2018; Serdang, Selangor. 2018. pp. 55-59

[27] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES. Effect of delignification on the physical, thermal, chemical, and structural properties of sugar palm fibre. BioResources. 2017;**12**:8734-8754. DOI: 10.15376/biores.12.4.8734-8754

[28] Sanyang ML, Sapuan SM, Jawaid M, Ishak MR, Sahari J. Recent developments in sugar palm (*Arenga pinnata*) based biocomposites and their potential industrial applications: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2016;**54**:533-549. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.10.037

[29] Alemdar A, Sain M. Isolation and characterization of nanofibers from agricultural residues—Wheat straw and soy hulls. Bioresource Technology. 2008;**99**:1664-1671. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2007.04.029

[30] Chirayil CJ, Joy J, Mathew L, Mozetic M, Koetz J, Thomas S. Isolation and characterization of cellulose nanofibrils from *Helicteres isora* plant. Industrial Crops and Products. 2014;**59**: 27-34. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.04.020

[31] Cherian BM, Leão AL, de Souza SF, Thomas S, Pothan LA, Kottaisamy M. Isolation of nanocellulose from pineapple leaf fibres by steam explosion. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2010;**81**: 720-725. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.03.046

[32] Syafri E, Kasim A, Abral H, Asben A. Cellulose nanofibers isolation and characterization from ramie using a chemical-ultrasonic treatment. Journal of Natural Fibers. 2018;**00**:1-11. DOI: 10.1080/15440478.2018.1455073

[33] Megashah LN, Ariffin H, Zakaria MR, Hassan MA. Properties of cellulose extract from different types of oil palm biomass. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. 2018;**368**. DOI: 10.1088/1757-899X/368/1/012049

[34] Jonoobi M, Khazaeian A, Tahir PM, Azry SS, Oksman K. Characteristics of cellulose nanofibers isolated from rubberwood and empty fruit bunches of oil palm using chemo-mechanical process. Cellulose. 2011;**18**:1085-1095. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-011-9546-7

[35] Corrêa AC, de Morais Teixeira E, Pessan LA, Mattoso LHC. Cellulose nanofibers from curaua fibers. Cellulose. 2010;**17**:1183-1192. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-010-9453-3

[36] Tibolla H, Pelissari FM, Menegalli FC. Cellulose nanofibers produced from banana peel by chemical and enzymatic treatment. LWT—Food Science and Technology. 2014;**59**: 1311-1318. DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2014.04.011

[37] De ME, Jessika T, Bruna K, Teodoro R, Carolina A, Manoel J, et al. Sugarcane bagasse whiskers: Extraction and characterizations. Industrial Crops and Products. 2011;**33**:66. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2010.08.009

[38] Jonoobi M, Harun J, Shakeri A, Misra M, Oksmand K. Chemical composition, crystallinity, and thermal degradation of bleached and unbleached kenaf bast (*Hibiscus cannabinus*) pulp

and nanofibers. BioResources. 2009;**4**: 626-639. DOI: 10.15376/biores.4.2.626-639

[39] Bendahou A, Habibi Y, Kaddami H, Dufresne A. Physico-chemical characterization of palm from *Phoenix dactylifera*-L, preparation of cellulose whiskers and natural rubber-based nanocomposites. Journal of Biobased Materials and Bioenergy. 2009;**3**:81-90. DOI: 10.1166/jbmb.2009.1011

[40] Chan CH, Chia CH, Zakaria S, Ahmad I, Dufresne A. Production and characterisation of cellulose and nano-crystalline cellulose from kenaf core wood. BioResources. 2013;**8**:785-794. DOI: 10.15376/biores.8.1.785-794

[41] Abral H, Dalimunthe MH, Hartono J, Efendi RP, Asrofi M, Sugiarti E, et al. Characterization of tapioca starch biopolymer composites reinforced with micro scale water hyacinth fibers. Starch/Staerke. 2018;**70**: 1-8. DOI: 10.1002/star.201700287

[42] Alemdar A, Sain M. Biocomposites from wheat straw nanofibers: Morphology, thermal and mechanical properties. Composites Science and Technology. 2008;**68**:557-565. DOI: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.05.044

[43] Li M, Wang LJ, Li D, Cheng YL, Adhikari B. Preparation and characterization of cellulose nanofibers from de-pectinated sugar beet pulp. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014;**102**: 136-143. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.11.021

[44] Sheltami RM, Abdullah I, Ahmad I, Dufresne A, Kargarzadeh H. Extraction of cellulose nanocrystals from mengkuang leaves (*Pandanus tectorius*). Carbohydrate Polymers. 2012;**88**: 772-779. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.01.062

[45] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Sanyang ML, Ishak MR. Nanocrystalline cellulose reinforced starch-based nanocomposite: A review. In: 5th Postgraduate Seminar on Natural Fiber Composites, Serdang, Selangor: Universiti Putra Malaysia. 2016. pp. 82-87

[46] Bagheri S, Julkapli NM, Mansouri N. Nanocrystalline cellulose: Green, multifunctional and sustainable nanomaterials. In: Handbook of Composites from Renewable Materials. Vol. 1–8. 2017. pp. 523-555. DOI: 10.1002/9781119441632.ch142

[47] CelluForce. Cellulose Nanocrystals 2016. Available from: h ttps://www.celluforce.com/en/produc ts/cellulose-nanocrystals/ [Accessed: May 1, 2019]

[48] Asrofi M, Abral H, Kasim A, Pratoto A, Mahardika M, Hafizulhaq F. Characterization of the sonicated yam bean starch bionanocomposites reinforced by nanocellulose water hyacinth fiber (Whf): The effect of various fiber loading. Journal of Engineering Science and Technology. 2018;**13**:2700-2715

[49] Lee S-Y, Mohan DJ, Kang I-A, Doh G-H, Lee S, Han SO. Nanocellulose reinforced PVA composite films: Effects of acid treatment and filler loading. Fibers and Polymers. 2009; **10**:77-82. DOI: 10.1007/s12221-009-0077-x

[50] Camarero Espinosa S, Kuhnt T, Foster EJ, Weder C. Isolation of thermally stable cellulose nanocrystals by phosphoric acid hydrolysis. Biomacromolecules. 2013;**14**:1223-1230. DOI: 10.1021/bm400219u

[51] Beck-Candanedo S, Roman M, Gray DG. Effect of reaction conditions on the properties and behavior of wood cellulose nanocrystal suspensions. Biomacromolecules. 2005;**6**:1048-1054

[52] Roman M, Winter WT. Effect of sulfate groups from sulfuric acid hydrolysis on the thermal degradation behavior of bacterial cellulose.

Biomacromolecules. 2004;**5**:1671-1677. DOI: 10.1021/bm034519+

[53] Xiang Q, Lee YY, Pettersson PO, Torget RW. Heterogeneous aspects of acid hydrolysis of α-cellulose. In: Biotechnology for Fuels and Chemicals. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2003. pp. 505-514. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0057-4_42

[54] Azizi Samir MAS, Alloin F, Dufresne A. Review of recent research into cellulosic whiskers, their properties and their application in nanocomposite field. Biomacromolecules. 2005;**6**: 612-626. DOI: 10.1021/bm0493685

[55] Bondeson D, Mathew A, Oksman K. Optimization of the isolation of nanocrystals from microcrystalline cellulose by acid hydrolysis. Cellulose. 2006;**13**:171-180. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-006-9061-4

[56] Jasmani L, Adnan S. Preparation and characterization of nanocrystalline cellulose from *Acacia mangium* and its reinforcement potential. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2017;**161**:166-171. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.12.061

[57] Imai T, Putaux J, Sugiyama J. Geometric phase analysis of lattice images from algal cellulose microfibrils. Polymer. 2003;**44**:1871-1879. DOI: 10.1016/S0032-3861(02)00861-3

[58] Grunert M, Winter WT. Nanocomposites of cellulose acetate butyrate reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals. Journal of Polymers and the Environment. 2002;**10**:27-30

[59] Brito BSL, Pereira FV, Putaux J-L, Jean B. Preparation, morphology and structure of cellulose nanocrystals from bamboo fibers. Cellulose. 2012;**19**: 1527-1536. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-012-9738-9

[60] Liu D, Zhong T, Chang PR, Li K, Wu Q. Starch composites reinforced by bamboo cellulosic crystals. Bioresource Technology. 2010;**101**:2529-2536. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2009.11.058

[61] Faradilla RHF, Lee G, Arns JY, Roberts J, Martens P, Stenzel MH, et al. Characteristics of a free-standing film from banana pseudostem nanocellulose generated from TEMPO-mediated oxidation. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2017;**174**:1156-1163. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.07.025

[62] Teixeira E d M, Pasquini D, Curvelo AASS, Corradini E, Belgacem MN, Dufresne A. Cassava bagasse cellulose nanofibrils reinforced thermoplastic cassava starch. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2009;**78**: 422-431. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2009.04.034

[63] Rosa MFM, Medeiros ES, Malmonge JAJ, Gregorski KS, Wood DF, Mattoso LHC, et al. Cellulose nanowhiskers from coconut husk fibers: Effect of preparation conditions on their thermal and morphological behavior. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2010;**81**:83-92. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.01.059

[64] de Morais Teixeira E, Corrêa AC, Manzoli A, de Lima Leite F, de Ribeiro Oliveira C, Mattoso LHC. Cellulose nanofibers from white and naturally colored cotton fibers. Cellulose. 2010;**17**: 595-606. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-010-9403-0

[65] Morandi G, Heath L, Thielemans W. Cellulose nano-crystals grafted with polystyrene chains through surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization (SI-ATRP). Langmuir. 2009;**25**:8280-8286

[66] Braun B, Dorgan JR, Chandler JP. Cellulosic nanowhiskers. Theory and application of light scattering from polydisperse spheroids in the Rayleigh−Gans−Debye regime. Biomacromolecules. 2008;**9**:1255-1263. DOI: 10.1021/bm7013137

[67] Paralikar SA, Simonsen J, Lombardi J. Poly(vinyl alcohol)/cellulose nanocrystal barrier membranes. Journal of Membrane Science. 2008;**320**:248-258. DOI: 10.1016/j.memsci.2008.04.009

[68] Morais JPS, Rosa MDF, De Souza Filho MM, Nascimento LD, Do Nascimento DM, Cassales AR. Extraction and characterization of nanocellulose structures from raw cotton linter. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2013;**91**:229-235. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.08.010.

[69] Soni B, Hassan EB, Mahmoud B. Chemical isolation and characterization of different cellulose nanofibers from cotton stalks. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2015;**134**:581-589. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.08.031

[70] Pereda M, Dufresne A, Aranguren MI, Marcovich NE. Polyelectrolyte films based on chitosan/olive oil and reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014;**101**:1018-1026. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.10.046

[71] Tonoli GHDHD, Teixeira EMM, Corrêa ACC, Marconcini JMM, Caixeta LAA, Pereira-Da-Silva MAA, et al. Cellulose micro/nanofibres from eucalyptus kraft pulp: Preparation and properties. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2012;**89**:80-88. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.02.052

[72] Pandey JK, Kim C, Chu W, Lee CS, Jang D-Y, Ahn S. Evaluation of morphological architecture of cellulose chains in grass during conversion from macro to nano dimensions. E-Polymers. 2009;**9**:1-15. DOI: 10.1515/epoly.2009.9.1.1221

[73] Benini KCCC, Voorwald HJC, Cioffi MOH, Rezende MC, Arantes V. Preparation of nanocellulose from Imperata brasiliensis grass using Taguchi method. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2018;**192**:337-346. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.03.055

[74] Bano S, Negi YS. Studies on cellulose nanocrystals isolated from groundnut shells. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2017;**157**:1041-1049. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.10.069

[75] Sonia A, Priya Dasan K. Chemical, morphology and thermal evaluation of cellulose microfibers obtained from *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2013;**92**:668-674. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.09.015

[76] Jiang Y, Zhou J, Zhang Q, Zhao G, Heng L, Chen D, et al. Preparation of cellulose nanocrystals from *Humulus japonicus* stem and the influence of high temperature pretreatment. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2017;**164**:284-293. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.02.021

[77] Oksman K, Etang JA, Mathew AP, Jonoobi M. Cellulose nanowhiskers separated from a bio-residue from wood bioethanol production. Biomass and Bioenergy. 2010;**35**:146-152. DOI: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.08.021

[78] Herrera MA, Mathew AP, Oksman K. Comparison of cellulose nanowhiskers extracted from industrial bio-residue and commercial microcrystalline cellulose. Materials Letters. 2012;**71**:28-31. DOI: 10.1016/j.matlet.2011.12.011

[79] He Y, Boluk Y, Pan J, Ahniyaz A, Deltin T, Claesson PM. Corrosion protective properties of cellulose nanocrystals reinforced waterborne acrylate-based composite coating. Corrosion Science. 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.corsci.2019.04.038

[80] Li R, Fei J, Cai Y, Li Y, Feng J, Yao J. Cellulose whiskers extracted from mulberry: A novel biomass production. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2009;**76**:94-99. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.09.034

[81] Lamaming J, Hashim R, Sulaiman O, Leh CP, Sugimoto T, Nordin NA. Cellulose nanocrystals isolated from oil palm trunk. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2015;**127**:202-208. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.03.043

[82] Haafiz MKM, Hassan A, Zakaria Z, Inuwa IM. Isolation and characterization of cellulose nanowhiskers from oil palm biomass microcrystalline cellulose. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014;**103**:119-125. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.11.055

[83] Fortunati E, Puglia D, Luzi F, Santulli C, Kenny JM, Torre L. Binary PVA bio-nanocomposites containing cellulose nanocrystals extracted from different natural sources: Part I. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2013;**97**: 825-836. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.03.075

[84] Chen D, Lawton D, Thompson MR, Liu Q. Biocomposites reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals derived from potato peel waste. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2012;**90**:709-716. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.06.002

[85] Wahono S, Irwan A, Syafri E, Asrofi M. Preparation and characterization of ramie cellulose nanofibers/$CaCO_3$ unsaturated polyester resin composites. ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences. 2018;**13**:746-751. DOI: 10.1039/c7nr02736b

[86] Habibi Y, Vignon MR. Optimization of cellouronic acid synthesis by TEMPO-mediated oxidation of cellulose III from sugar beet pulp. Cellulose. 2008;**15**:177-185. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-007-9179-z

[87] Lu Y, Weng L, Cao X. Morphological, thermal and mechanical properties of ramie crystallites—Reinforced plasticized starch biocomposites. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2006;**63**:198-204. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.08.027

[88] Lu P, Hsieh Y. Preparation and characterization of cellulose nanocrystals from rice straw. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2012;**87**: 564-573. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.08.022

[89] Purkait BS, Ray D, Sengupta S, Kar T, Mohanty A, Misra M. Isolation of cellulose nanoparticles from sesame husk. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 2011;**50**:871-876. DOI: 10.1021/ie101797d

[90] Morán JI, Alvarez VA, Cyras VP, Vázquez A. Extraction of cellulose and preparation of nanocellulose from sisal fibers. Cellulose. 2008;**15**:149-159. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-007-9145-9

[91] Flauzino Neto WP, Silvério HA, Dantas NO, Pasquini D. Extraction and characterization of cellulose nanocrystals from agro-industrial residue—Soy hulls. Industrial Crops and Products. 2013;**42**:480-488. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.06.041

[92] Sumaiyah L, Wirjosentono B, Karsono, Nasution MP. Preparation and characterization of nanocrystalline cellulose from sugar palm bunch. Interantional Journal of PharmTech Research. 2014;**6**:814-820

[93] Naduparambath S, Jinitha TV, Shaniba V, Sreejith MP, Balan AK, Purushothaman E. Isolation and characterisation of cellulose nanocrystals from sago seed shells. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2018;**180**: 13-20. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.09.088.

[94] Favier V, Chanzy H, Cavaille JY. Polymer nanocomposites reinforced by cellulose whiskers. Macromolecules. 1995;**28**:6365-6367. DOI: 10.1021/ma00122a053

[95] Salajková M, Berglund LA, Zhou Q. Hydrophobic cellulose nanocrystals modified with quaternary ammonium salts. Journal of Materials Chemistry. 2012;**22**:19798. DOI: 10.1039/c2jm34355j

[96] Pereira PHF, Waldron KW, Wilson DR, Cunha AP, de Brito ES, Rodrigues THS, et al. Wheat straw hemicelluloses added with cellulose nanocrystals and citric acid. Effect on film physical properties. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2017;**164**:317-324. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.02.019

[97] Revol JF. On the cross-sectional shape of cellulose crystallites in *Valonia ventricosa*. Carbohydrate Polymers. 1982;**2**:123-134. DOI: 10.1016/0144-8617(82)90058-3

[98] Postek MT, Moon RJ, Rudie AW, Bilodeau MA. Production and Applications of Cellulose Nanomaterials. Peachtree Corners: Tappi Press; 2013

[99] Dufresne A. Nanocellulose: A new ageless bionanomaterial. Materials Today. 2013;**16**:220-227. DOI: 10.1016/j.mattod.2013.06.004

[100] Letchford J, Wasserman B, Ye HW, Burt H. The use of nanocrystalline cellulose for the binding and controlled release of drugs. International Journal of Nanomedicine. 2011:321. DOI: 10.2147/IJN.S16749

[101] Timhadjelt L, Serier A, Belgacem MN, Bras J. Elaboration of cellulose based nanobiocomposite: Effect of cellulose nanocrystals surface treatment and interface "melting.". Industrial Crops and Products. 2015;**72**:7-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.02.040

[102] Ma P, Jiang L, Hoch M, Dong W, Chen M. Reinforcement of transparent ethylene-co-vinyl acetate rubber by nanocrystalline cellulose. European Polymer Journal. 2015;**66**:47-56. DOI: 10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2015.01.037

[103] Zhou C, Shi Q, Guo W, Terrell L, Qureshi AT, Hayes DJ, et al. Electrospun bio-Nanocomposite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering by cellulose Nanocrystals reinforcing maleic anhydride grafted PLA. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2013;**5**:3847-3854. DOI: 10.1021/am4005072

[104] McKee JR, Hietala S, Seitsonen J, Laine J, Kontturi E, Ikkala O. Thermoresponsive nanocellulose hydrogels with tunable mechanical properties. ACS Macro Letters. 2014;**3**:266-270. DOI: 10.1021/mz400596g

[105] Mariano M, El Kissi N, Dufresne A. Melt processing of cellulose nanocrystal reinforced polycarbonate from a masterbatch process. European Polymer Journal. 2015;**69**:208-223. DOI: 10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2015.06.007

[106] Li Y, Chen H, Liu D, Wang W, Liu Y, Zhou S. pH-responsive shape memory poly(ethylene glycol)–poly(ε-caprolactone)-based polyurethane/cellulose nanocrystals nanocomposite. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2015;**7**:12988-12999. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.5b02940

[107] Herrera N, Salaberria AM, Mathew AP, Oksman K. Plasticized polylactic acid nanocomposite films with cellulose and chitin nanocrystals prepared using extrusion and compression molding with two cooling rates: Effects on mechanical, thermal and optical properties. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2016;**83**:89-97. DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2015.05.024

[108] Nasseri R, Mohammadi N. Starch-based nanocomposites: A comparative performance study of cellulose whiskers and starch nanoparticles. Carbohydrate

Polymers. 2014;**106**:432-439. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.01.029

[109] Liu JC, Martin DJ, Moon RJ, Youngblood JP. Enhanced thermal stability of biomedical thermoplastic polyurethane with the addition of cellulose nanocrystals. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2015;**132**:1-8. DOI: 10.1002/app.41970

[110] Wang B, Walther A. Self-assembled, iridescent, crustacean-mimetic nanocomposites with tailored periodicity and layered cuticular structure. ACS Nano. 2015;**9**: 10637-10646. DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.5b05074

[111] Schyrr B, Pasche S, Voirin G, Weder C, Simon YC, Foster EJ. Biosensors based on porous cellulose nanocrystal–poly(vinyl alcohol) scaffolds. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2014;**6**:12674-12683. DOI: 10.1021/am502670u

[112] Montes S, Carrasco PM, Ruiz V, Cabañero G, Grande HJ, Labidi J, et al. Synergistic reinforcement of poly(vinyl alcohol) nanocomposites with cellulose nanocrystal-stabilized graphene. Composites Science and Technology. 2015;**117**:26-31. DOI: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2015.05.018

[113] Yang S, Tang Y, Wang J, Kong F, Zhang J. Surface treatment of cellulosic paper with starch-based composites reinforced with nanocrystalline cellulose. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 2014;**53**: 13980-13988. DOI: 10.1021/ie502125s

[114] Slavutsky AM, Bertuzzi MA. Water barrier properties of starch films reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals obtained from sugarcane bagasse. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014;**110**:53-61. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.03.049

[115] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES. Water transport properties of bio-nanocomposites reinforced by sugar palm (*Arenga pinnata*) nanofibrillated cellulose. Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences Journal. 2018;**51**: 234-246

[116] Montero B, Rico M, Rodríguez-Llamazares S, Barral L, Bouza R. Effect of nanocellulose as a filler on biodegradable thermoplastic starch films from tuber, cereal and legume. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2017;**157**: 1094-1104. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.10.073

[117] Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Zainudin ES. Development and characterization of sugar palm nanocrystalline cellulose reinforced sugar palm starch bionanocomposites. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2018;**202**: 186-202. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.09.002

[118] Roohani M, Habibi Y, Belgacem NM, Ebrahim G, Karimi AN, Dufresne A. Cellulose whiskers reinforced polyvinyl alcohol copolymers nanocomposites. European Polymer Journal. 2008;**44**:2489-2498. DOI: 10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2008.05.024

[119] Cao X, Xu C, Wang Y, Liu Y, Liu Y, Chen Y. New nanocomposite materials reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals in nitrile rubber. Polymer Testing. 2013;**32**: 819-826. DOI: 10.1016/j.polymertesting.2013.04.005

[120] Bhatnagar A. Processing of cellulose nanofiber-reinforced composites. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites. 2005;**24**: 1259-1268. DOI: 10.1177/0731684405049864

[121] Kvien I, Tanem BS, Oksman K. Characterization of cellulose whiskers and their nanocomposites by atomic force and electron microscopy. Biomacromolecules. 2005;**6**:3160-3165. DOI: 10.1021/bm050479t

[122] Dufresne A, Vignon MR. Improvement of starch film performances using cellulose microfibrils. Macromolecules. 1998;**31**: 2693-2696. DOI: 10.1021/ma971532b

[123] Samir A, Alloin F, Paillet M, Dufresne A. Tangling effect in fibrillated cellulose reinforced nanocomposites. Macromolecules. 2004;**37**:4313-4316

[124] Mathew AP, Dufresne A. Morphological investigation of nanocomposites from sorbitol plasticized starch and tunicin whiskers. Biomacromolecules. 2002;**3**:609-617. DOI: 10.1021/bm0101769

[125] Ruiz MM, Cavaille JY, Dufresne A, Graillat C, Gerard J-F. New waterborne epoxy coatings based on cellulose nanofillers. Macromolecular Symposia. 2001;**169**:211-222. DOI: 10.1002/1521-3900(200105)169:1<211::AID-MASY211>3.0.CO;2-H

[126] Favier V, Canova GR, Cavaillé JY, Chanzy H, Dufresne A, Gauthier C. Nanocomposite materials from latex and cellulose whiskers. Polymers for Advanced Technologies. 1995;**6**:351-355. DOI: 10.1002/pat.1995.220060514

[127] Asrofi M, Abral H, Kasim A, Pratoto A, Mahardika M, Hafizulhaq F. Mechanical properties of a water hyacinth nanofiber cellulose reinforced thermoplastic starch bionanocomposite: Effect of ultrasonic vibration during processing. Fibers. 2018;**6**:40. DOI: 10.3390/fib6020040

[128] Bondeson D, Oksman K. Polylactic acid/cellulose whisker nanocomposites modified by polyvinyl alcohol. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2007;**38**:2486-2492. DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.08.001

[129] Petersson L, Mathew AP, Oksman K. Dispersion and properties of cellulose nanowhiskers and layered silicates in cellulose acetate butyrate nanocomposites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2009;**112**:2001-2009. DOI: 10.1002/app.29661

[130] Magalhaes E, Luiz W, Cao X, Ramires MA, Lucia LA. Novel all-cellulose composite displaying aligned cellulose nanofibers reinforced with cellulose nanocrystals. Tappi Journal. 2011;**10**:19-25

[131] Li Q, Zhou J, Zhang L. Structure and properties of the nanocomposite films of chitosan reinforced with cellulose whiskers. Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics. 2009; **47**:1069-1077. DOI: 10.1002/polb.21711

[132] Azeredo HMC, Mattoso LHC, Avena-Bustillos RJ, Filho GC, Munford ML, Wood D, et al. Nanocellulose reinforced chitosan composite films as affected by nanofiller loading and plasticizer content. Journal of Food Science. 2010;**75**:1-7. DOI: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2009.01386.x

[133] de Mesquita JP, Donnici CL, Pereira FV. Biobased nanocomposites from layer-by-layer assembly of cellulose nanowhiskers with chitosan. Biomacromolecules. 2010;**11**:473-480. DOI: 10.1021/bm9011985

[134] Lu P, Hsieh Y-L. Cellulose nanocrystal-filled poly(acrylic acid) nanocomposite fibrous membranes. Nanotechnology. 2009;**20**:415604. DOI: 10.1088/0957-4484/20/41/415604

[135] Jean B, Dubreuil F, Heux L, Cousin F. Structural details of cellulose nanocrystals/polyelectrolytes multilayers probed by neutron reflectivity and AFM. Langmuir. 2008; **24**:3452-3458. DOI: 10.1021/la703045f

[136] Podsiadlo P, Choi S-Y, Shim B, Lee J, Cuddihy M, Kotov NA. Molecularly engineered nanocomposites: Layer-by-layer

assembly of cellulose nanocrystals. Biomacromolecules. 2005;**6**:2914-2918. DOI: 10.1021/bm050333u

[137] Chauve G, Heux L, Arouini R, Mazeau K. Cellulose poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate) nanocomposites studied by molecular modeling and mechanical spectroscopy. Biomacromolecules. 2005;**6**:2025-2031. DOI: 10.1021/bm0501205

[138] Dufresne A, Kellerhals MB, Witholt B. Transcrystallization in mcl-PHAs/cellulose whiskers composites. Macromolecules. 1999;**32**:7396-7401. DOI: 10.1021/ma990564r

[139] Dubief D, Samain E, Dufresne A. Polysaccharide microcrystals reinforced amorphous poly(β-hydroxyoctanoate) nanocomposite materials. Macromolecules. 1999;**32**:5765-5771. DOI: 10.1021/ma990274a

[140] Pandey JK, Kim CS, Chu WS, Choi WY, Ahn SH, Lee CS. Preparation and structural evaluation of nano reinforced composites from cellulose whiskers of grass and biodegradable polymer matrix. Journal of Composite Materials. 2012;**46**:653-663. DOI: 10.1177/0021998312438174

[141] Fortunati E, Armentano I, Zhou Q, Iannoni A, Saino E, Visai L, et al. Multifunctional bionanocomposite films of poly(lactic acid), cellulose nanocrystals and silver nanoparticles. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2012;**87**: 1596-1605. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.09.066

[142] Hamad WY, Chuanwei M. Nanocomposite biomaterials of nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC) and polylactic acid (PLA). U.S. Patent 8,829,110; 2014

[143] Xiang C, Joo YL, Frey MW. Nanocomposite fibers electrospun from poly(lactic acid)/cellulose nanocrystals. Journal of Biobased Materials and Bioenergy. 2009;**3**:147-155. DOI: 10.1166/jbmb.2009.1016

[144] Salmieri S, Islam F, Khan RA, Hossain FM, Ibrahim HMM, Miao C, et al. Antimicrobial nanocomposite films made of poly(lactic acid)-cellulose nanocrystals (PLA-CNC) in food applications: Part A—Effect of nisin release on the inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ham. Cellulose. 2014; **21**:1837-1850. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-014-0230-6

[145] Dong H, Strawhecker KE, Snyder JF, Orlicki JA, Reiner RS, Rudie AW. Cellulose nanocrystals as a reinforcing material for electrospun poly(methyl methacrylate) fibers: Formation, properties and nanomechanical characterization. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2012;**87**: 2488-2495. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.11.015

[146] Liu H, Liu D, Yao F, Wu Q. Fabrication and properties of transparent polymethylmethacrylate/cellulose nanocrystals composites. Bioresource Technology. 2010;**101**: 5685-5692. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.045

[147] Horvath AE, Lindström T, Laine J. On the indirect polyelectrolyte titration of cellulosic fibers. Conditions for charge stoichiometry and comparison with ESCA. Langmuir. 2006;**22**: 824-830. DOI: 10.1021/la052217i

[148] Zhou C, Chu R, Wu R, Wu Q. Electrospun polyethylene oxide/cellulose nanocrystal composite nanofibrous mats with homogeneous and heterogeneous microstructures. Biomacromolecules. 2011;**12**:2617-2625. DOI: 10.1021/bm200401p

[149] Helbert W, Cavaille JY, Dufresne A, Fourier UJ. Thermoplastic nanocomposites filled with wheat straw cellulose whisker. Part 1: Processing and mechanical behavior. Polymer

Composites. 1996;**17**:604-611. DOI: 10.1002/pc.10650

[150] Oksman K, Mathew AP, Bondeson D, Kvien I. Manufacturing process of cellulose whiskers/polylactic acid nanocomposites. Composites Science and Technology. 2006;**66**: 2776-2784. DOI: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2006.03.002

[151] Fortunati E, Puglia D, Monti M, Santulli C, Maniruzzaman M, Kenny JM. Cellulose nanocrystals extracted from okra fibers in PVA nanocomposites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2013;**128**:3220-3230. DOI: 10.1002/app.38524

[152] Alain D, Danièle D, Michel RV. Cellulose microfibrils from potato tuber cells: Processing and characterization of starch-cellulose microfibril composites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2000;**76**:2080-2092. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4628(20000628)76:143.0.CO;2-U

[153] Peresin MS, Habibi Y, Vesterinen A, Rojas OJ, Pawlak JJ, Seppa JV. Effect of moisture on electrospun nanofiber composites of poly(vinyl alcohol) and cellulose nanocrystals. Biomacromolecules. 2010; **11**:2471-2477

[154] Li W, Yue J, Liu S. Preparation of nanocrystalline cellulose via ultrasound and its reinforcement capability for poly (vinyl alcohol) composites. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry. 2012;**19**:479-485. DOI: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2011.11.007

[155] Zoppe JO, Peresin MS, Habibi Y, Venditti RA, Rojas OJ. Reinforcing poly (ε-caprolactone) nanofibers with cellulose nanocrystals. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2009;**1**: 1996-2004. DOI: 10.1021/am9003705

[156] Habibi Y, Goffin A-L, Schiltz N, Duquesne E, Dubois P, Dufresne A. Bionanocomposites based on poly(ε-caprolactone)-grafted cellulose nanocrystals by ring-opening polymerization. Journal of Materials Chemistry. 2008;**18**:5002. DOI: 10.1039/b809212e

[157] Habibi Y, Dufresne A. Highly filled bionanocomposites from functionalized polysaccharide nanocrystals. Biomacromolecules. 2008;**9**:1974-1980. DOI: 10.1021/bm8001717

[158] Ljungberg N, Bonini C, Bortolussi F, Boisson C, Heux L, Cavaillé. New nanocomposite materials reinforced with cellulose whiskers in atactic polypropylene: Effect of surface and dispersion characteristics. Biomacromolecules. 2005;**6**:2732-2739. DOI: 10.1021/bm050222v

[159] Ljungberg N, Cavaillé JY, Heux L. Nanocomposites of isotactic polypropylene reinforced with rod-like cellulose whiskers. Polymer. 2006;**47**: 6285-6292. DOI: 10.1016/j.polymer.2006.07.013

[160] Rojas OJ, Montero GA, Habibi Y. Electrospun nanocomposites from polystyrene loaded with cellulose nanowhiskers. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2009;**113**:927-935. DOI: 10.1002/app.30011

[161] Li S, Gao Y, Bai H, Zhang L, Qu P, Bai L. Preparation and characteristics of polysulfone dialysis composite membranes modified with nanocrystalline cellulose. BioResources. 2011;**6**:1670-1680

[162] Auad ML, Richardson T, Hicks M, Mosiewicki MA, Aranguren MI, Marcovich NE. Shape memory segmented polyurethanes: Dependence of behavior on nanocellulose addition and testing conditions. Polymer International. 2012;**61**:321-327. DOI: 10.1002/pi.3193

[163] Marcovich NE, Auad ML, Bellesi NE, Nutt SR, Aranguren MI.

Cellulose micro/nanocrystals reinforced polyurethane. Journal of Materials Research. 2006;**21**:870-881. DOI: 10.1557/jmr.2006.0105

[164] Pei A, Malho J-M, Ruokolainen J, Zhou Q, Berglund LA. Strong nanocomposite reinforcement effects in polyurethane elastomer with low volume fraction of cellulose nanocrystals. Macromolecules. 2011;**44**: 4422-4427. DOI: 10.1021/ma200318k

[165] Chazeau L, Cavaille JY, Canova G, Dendievel R, Boutherin B. Viscoelastic properties of plasticized PVC reinforced with cellulose whiskers. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 1999;**71**: 1797-1808. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4628(19990314)71:11<1797:AID-APP9>3.0.CO;2-E

[166] Chazeau L, Cavaillé J, Terech P. Mechanical behaviour above Tg of a plasticised PVC reinforced with cellulose whiskers; a SANS structural study. Polymer. 1999;**40**:5333-5344. DOI: 10.1016/S0032-3861(98)00748-4

[167] Chazeau L, Cavaillé JY, Perez J. Plasticized PVC reinforced with cellulose whiskers. II. Plastic behavior. Journal of Polymer Science. Part B: Polymer Physics. 2000;**38**:383-392. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-0488(20000201)38:3<383::AID-POLB5>3.0.CO;2-Q

[168] Qi H, Cai J, Zhang L, Kuga S. Properties of films composed of cellulose nanowhiskers and a cellulose matrix regenerated from alkali/urea solution. Biomacromolecules. 2009;**10**: 1597-1602. DOI: 10.1021/bm9001975

[169] Ma H, Zhou B, Li HS, Li YQ, Ou SY. Green composite films composed of nanocrystalline cellulose and a cellulose matrix regenerated from functionalized ionic liquid solution. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2011;**84**: 383-389. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.11.050

[170] Wang Y, Cao X, Zhang L. Effects of cellulose whiskers on properties of soy protein thermoplastics. Macromolecular Bioscience. 2006;**6**: 524-531. DOI: 10.1002/mabi.200600034

[171] Cao X, Chen Y, Chang PR, Stumborg M, Huneault MA. Green composites reinforced with hemp nanocrystals in plasticized starch. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2008;**109**:3804-3810. DOI: 10.1002/app.28418

[172] Cao X, Chen Y, Chang PR, Muir AD, Falk G. Starch-based nanocomposites reinforced with flax cellulose nanocrystals. Express Polymer Letters. 2008;**2**:502-510. DOI: 10.3144/expresspolymlett.2008.60

[173] Lu Y, Weng L, Cao X. Biocomposites of plasticized starch reinforced with cellulose crystallites from cottonseed linter. Macromolecular Bioscience. 2005;**5**:1101-1107. DOI: 10.1002/mabi.200500094

[174] Saxena A, Ragauskas AJ. Water transmission barrier properties of biodegradable films based on cellulosic whiskers and xylan. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2009;**78**:357-360. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2009.03.039

[175] Saxena A, Elder TJ, Kenvin J, Ragauskas AJ. High oxygen nanocomposite barrier films based on xylan and nanocrystalline cellulose. Nano-Micro Letters. 2010;**2**:235-241. DOI: 10.3786/nml.v2i4.p235-241

[176] Saxena A, Elder TJ, Ragauskas AJ. Moisture barrier properties of xylan composite films. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2011;**84**:1371-1377. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.01.039