

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

185,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Introductory Chapter: Communication, Education, and Internationalization - Paths and Possibilities of the Systems in the European Union

Francisco Gilson Rebouças Porto Junior

1. Introduction

The crisis in the European Union was a scenario announced long ago. Authors point a series of situations that indicated the construction of a structural crisis in the European continent: the globalization of economy under the influence of large economic spaces; the limits of market economy and the failure in the compatibility between growth and solidarity; the intense outsourcing that changed the productive network from a goods economy to a service economy; the shortage of workplaces, even with the existent cycles of hiring and expansion; the fast change in the nature and content of occupational structure; the decrease of private and public savings, with consumerism reinforcement; the transnational flow of capitals; and the collapse of leadership behavioral values [1–16].

In this environment surrounded by the possibilities of a structural crisis, the consolidation of the European Union and its yearnings for mobility and employability and the strengthening of transnational economies found a possible answer in the search for a common education, with accreditation and validation in partner countries [17]. It is the search for formative educational process internationalization. This word has gradually replaced the concept of globalization in education, being more accepted in formative spaces.

2. Communication, education, and internationalization

The concepts around internationalization are diverse, and they represent different understanding perspectives of the social and formative space. These concepts are permeated by individual, collective, and block interests that tend to strengthen certain visions of the world and society.

In the academic field, a place of dispute and contradiction, these visions about what is the internationalization of higher education and its impacts are, very often, focus of problematization and lively discussions. Therefore, it is important to understand that the discussion around the internationalization of higher education occurs inside the discussion of the own concept of globalization. In the last years of the twentieth century, in educational scope,

the word globalization was being gradually replaced by the idea of internationalization, since the first notion is seen in the formative space as negative and conflicting [18].

Giddens 1990, p. 64 apud [19] defines globalization as the “[...] intensification of world social relations that bond distant locations in such a way that the local events are conditioned by events that happened many miles and vice versa” (free translation). Albrow [20] goes beyond and says that globalization is “[...] the process by which the population of the world became increasingly unite in a single society” (free translation). But whoever thinks that it is only financial is wrong. Giddens himself [21] affirms that the “[...] globalization is political, technological, and cultural, as much as financial” (free translation), influenced by communication systems. It can be noticed when thinking about the Bologna Process [1].

Indeed, technology and communication have facilitated the dissemination and the exchanges among countries instantly, expanding the perception that “the distant world is closer than we think” (free translation) and the feeling that it is possible to touch it and change it. Giddens [21] reinforce that feeling the world, without obstacles and widely, ended the “age of nation-state,” with its determined obstacles. This seems to be here the main problem when discussing about the word globalization. It seems to be, in the ordinary mind, mediated by the mass media, synonym of free market, end of trade barriers, reduction of social spending, and end of social security, just to indicate some of the related subjects mentioned as the “social apocalypse” caused by the globalizing neoliberal vision.

Giddens [21] reinforce that “[...] globalization is not, therefore, a singular process, but a complex set of processes” (free translation). This perspective is important, as the notion of globalization is associated with the idea of loss, withdrawn. When thinking about Bologna, this was one of the feelings the participants of formative educational activities had about the whole process [17, 22–25], and this was also noticed in the social communication/journalism area. Indeed, losses occur, because deep curricular and formative changes were established, but there are also possible gains with the interrelationships with other countries, among them the resurgence of cultural identities, previously fragmented and forgotten by national societies, and “new economic and cultural areas inside e through nations” [21].

Santos [19] remembers “globalization results, indeed, from a series of political decisions identified in time and in authorship,” since it “[...] disorganized the hierarchies of the previous global economy” (free translation). This recognition of “time and authorship” reinforces that the epistemological breach caused by globalization had an important moment, time, and space in the disorganization of systems and crystallized hierarchies, but it does not mean, necessarily, that it could not be resignified [19].

This resignification has been made and in the educational field gradually slowed down the notion of globalization by using the expression internationalization. Less rejected by the wider community, the expression tries to agglutinate resignified elements of globalization, producing similar effects, as in the case of regionalization [26–28]. Internationalization, apparently less threatening than globalization, is defined as the expression of postures and actions updating, and it is already practiced in the foundations of university. Therefore, the impact is mitigating, but not the necessary actions to consolidate the formative processes necessary to the Bologna implementation.

Morosini [29] says that:

The internationalization of higher education (Ides) is considered as any systematic effort that aims to make higher education more responsive to the demands and challenges related to the globalization of society, economy, and job market. (Free translation)

This response action to the challenges and demands of society is also shared by other authors. Knight [30] affirms that internationalization has as its focus on “[...] the process of integrating and international or intercultural dimension into the research, teaching and services functions of an institution of higher education.” More pragmatist, Knight [30] understands the interaction in an international scope focusing in the university pillars. Really close to the opinion of Morosini [29], Wende [31] reinforces that the internationalization of higher education includes “[...] any systematic, sustained effort aimed at making higher education (more) responsive to the requirements and challenges related to the globalisation of societies, economy and labour markets.” These indications, despite having their focuses on Brazil and North America, are applied worldwide.

3. Paths and possibilities

In the Portuguese space, these perspectives are also shared to a greater or lesser extent. With Bologna, Portugal awakened to education and learning as fundamental parts in the knowledge economy. About this perspective, Reis and Camacho [32] indicate that:

Politically, this process also fits in the strategic option that the European Union made, in 2000, to become until 2010, in the most competitive and dynamic knowledge economy of the world, capable of a lasting economic growth, anchored in a sustainable economic progress, with environmental concern, followed by a quantitative and qualitative improvement of employment and social cohesion (Lisbon Strategy). The concept of knowledge economy fits in the scope of knowledge society and assumes a strong bet in the increase of the levels of human resources skills, namely through a lifelong learning process, and in the scientific and technological development. (Free translation)

Mobility—from students, professors, and technicians—reinforced by the Bologna speech, allowed this knowledge economy to be shared among nations. Formation and investigation networks were created and tuned, resulting in more fluidity of knowledge, which is close to what Castells [18] calls informational economy. In addition, the curriculum realignment, not fully creating common contents but approaching common professional competencies and skills, allowed students, professors, and technicians to be inserted in realities that were totally different from what they experienced in their home countries [33]. It is on this path that the Bologna proposal eased the interaction and the exchange of expertise among countries, even if not totally set and operational [14, 16, 34, 35].

Internationalization, reinforced and amplified by the Bologna speech, allowed the modernization and the debureaucratization of university structures, which resulted in more attractiveness and visibility [13–16]. Giddens [21] certifies this, indicating that “[...] new economic and cultural zones *inside* and *through* nations”

(free translation) are created and reinforced. But we cannot fail to realize that, as universities open to a new market, more “technological and technocratic,” new demands as “[...] efficiency, productivity, competitiveness, profitability, cost-benefit analysis, result evaluation, results-based management” (free translation), never considered before with emphasis in public institutions and in the “doing” of professors¹, are reinforced and put in the center of the formative educational speeches [33].

This new technical-educational-formative vocabulary, mixed with technological elements, consists in a new paradigm of formation, which has teaching as its focus. Formative processes were resignified, creating the perspective of the constitution of a new society composed by competent citizens for a transnational community that has its curricula denationalized², focused on the continent’s future [36, 37].

¹ About these changes, Josep M. Blanch, professor of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), in an interview for Bianchetti [33], highlighted the impacts of Bologna on the teaching work in his institution: “But changing from an ‘old’ system, based on teaching, masterful, from an university more similar to the German and French type, universal, encyclopedic, with many contents, to a model similar to the Anglo-Saxon, where the reference center becomes the student and not the professors is something complex. ECTS credits are no longer counted in the professor-hour system (basic reference of the system based on the professor’s ‘teaching’), but in student-hour system (rhetorical reference of the system based on the student’s ‘learning’). An old credit meant 10 professor-hours, more or less. Now, a new credit becomes 25 student-hours, which depending on the subjects, might be seven professor-hours, ten hours of fieldwork and eight hours in the library depending on the subject or content, if it is more theoretical, practical or experimental. Therefore, this is a change of concept. [...] about this I calculate that the transition from the modern system to the post-modern, from the old system to Bologna system, I assume – talking about my work field – a 30% work increase, at least, which clearly should imply 30% more in means, human, technical, and material resources, not to mention the difference between the linear and diachronic time of the ‘thesis that traveled by boat’ in the 1980s and the synchronic and instant time of the archive that ‘travels’ through internet” (free translation).

² The project *TUNING Educational Structures in Europe*, which began in May 2001, had as its aim obtaining a degree of convergence in the European Union and in the higher education systems in the block countries. Five major areas were the focus: mathematics, geology, management, history, and education science. The program’s aim was to define professionally accepted parameters in these major areas.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen

Author details

Francisco Gilson Rebouças Porto Junior
Universidade Federal do Tocantins (UFT), Palmas, Tocantins, Brazil

*Address all correspondence to: gilsonporto@uft.edu.br

IntechOpen

© 2020 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Fernandes SVA. O princípio da subsidiariedade nas políticas de ensino superior da União Europeia [Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Política e Relações Internacionais)] Lisboa: Universidade Nova de Lisboa/Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas; 2012. p. 93
- [2] Costa JM BP. Europa—Política comum de segurança e defesa ou potência civil?: O contributo do processo europeu para a “governança” global [Tese (Doutorado em Relações Internacionais)]. Lisboa: Universidade Nova de Lisboa; 2011. p. 355
- [3] Cortez JT. O papel do federalismo como fonte da construção europeia [Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Política e Relações Internacionais)]. Lisboa: Universidade Nova de Lisboa; 2010. p. 189
- [4] Pereira FMA. A Europa nos media: Estudo de caso sobre a europeização da esfera pública portuguesa. [Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Política e Relações Internacionais)]. Lisboa: Universidade Nova de Lisboa; 2010. p. 122
- [5] Wielewicki, Hamilton de G; Oliveira, Marlize R. Internacionalização da Educação Superior: Processo de Bolonha. *Revista Ensaio—Avaliação, Políticas Públicas e Educação*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 18, n. 67, p. 215-234, 2010
- [6] Paulos MR. Tendências futuras de evolução das qualificações na Europa. IET Working Papers Series No. WPS01/2008. Lisboa; 2008. pp. 1-16
- [7] Hameline D. Os Professores: Prisioneiros, Cúmplices? Que Nova Profissionalidade? Porto: Edições ASA; 2000. Disponível em: <http://www.cursoverao.pt> [Acesso em: 01 June 2017]
- [8] Terrén E. A Educação Face aos Desafios da Pós-modernidade. Porto: Edições ASA; 2000. Disponível em: <http://www.cursoverao.pt> [Acesso em: 01 June 2017]
- [9] Stavenhagen R. O Tesouro da Educação: Uma Velha Arca Apta Para Enfrentar o Século XXI? Porto: Edições ASA; 1996. Disponível em: <http://www.cursoverao.pt> [Acesso em: 01 Maio 2017]
- [10] Carneiro R. A Evolução da Economia e do Emprego: Novos Desafios Para os Sistemas Educativos no Dealbar do Século XXI. Porto: Edições ASA; 1995. Disponível em: http://www.cursoverao.pt/c_1995/RCar-01.html [Acesso em: 01 March 2019]
- [11] Pires ALO. Desenvolvimento pessoal e profissional: Um estudo dos contextos e processos de formação das novas competências profissionais [Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciências da Educação)]. Lisboa: Universidade Nova de Lisboa; 1995. 219p
- [12] Lema Paula B, Teixeira José A. Desindustrialização. Reindustrialização. Terceirização. Lisboa: *Revista da Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas*; 1988. pp. 75-88
- [13] Pôrto Junior FGR. Entre Comunicação e Educação: o Processo de Bolonha e as ações formativas em cursos de Comunicação Social/Jornalismo em Portugal [Tese (Doutorado em Comunicação e Culturas Contemporâneas)]. Salvador: UFBA/Faculdade de Comunicação; 2012. 614p
- [14] Pôrto Junior FGR. Novas geografias curriculares na União Europeia: O processo de Bolonha e a formação em Comunicação Social/Jornalismo. *Revista Interin Curitiba*. 2014;17(1):11-95
- [15] Pôrto Junior FGR. Processo de Bolonha: História, Formação e Ensino na União Europeia. Porto Alegre, RS: Editora Fi 2014; 2017

- [16] Porto Junior FGR, Moraes N. Formando Pesquisadores Pós-bolonha em Portugal: relações entre a formação de graduação e o campo da pesquisa/ investigação. *Revista Observatório*. 2017;3(6):202-228. DOI: 10.20873/uft.2447-4266.2017v3n6p202
- [17] Fernandes P, Mouraz A, Sampaio M. Tendências da formação contínua de professores da Universidade do Porto em período pós-Bolonha: Uma análise focada nos discursos “oficiais.” *Repositório Aberto da Universidade do Porto*. Porto: Universidade do Porto; 2012. pp. 5048-5065
- [18] Castells M. *A Sociedade Em Rede*. São Paulo: Paz e Terra; 2000
- [19] Santos B d S, editor. *Globalização: Fatalidade ou Utopia*. Porto: Edições Afrontamento; 2001
- [20] Albrow M. Globalização. In: Outhwaite W, Bottomore T, editors. *Dicionário do Pensamento Social do Século XX*. Rio de Janeiro: Jorge Zahar Editor; 1993. pp. 340-341
- [21] Giddens A. *Mundo em Descontrole: O que a Globalização está Fazendo de nós*. Rio de Janeiro: Record; 2003
- [22] Fernandes P. O Processo de Bolonha no seu terceiro ano de existência: Olhares diversos para um debate útil. In: *Revista Educação, Sociedade e Culturas*. Vol. 28. Porto: Universidade do Porto/Centro de Investigação e Intervenção Educativas (CIIE); 2009. pp. 161-173
- [23] Fonseca A et al. Análise de Uma Estratégia Universitária na Adaptação aos Requisitos de Bolonha. Vol. 6. Porto: *Revista da Faculdade de Ciências Humanas e Sociais*; 2006. pp. 232-248
- [24] Morgado JC. Processo de Bolonha e ensino superior num mundo globalizado. *Educação e Sociedade*. 2009;30(106):37-62
- [25] Roberto J, Saraiva M, Casas Novas J. *Ensino Superior em Portugal: Transpondo Fronteiras à luz do Novo Paradigma de Bolonha? Portugal: Universidade de Évora; 2007. pp. 1-20*
- [26] Zgaga P. Ten years after: time for reconsideration. In: *Processing the Bologna Process: Current Losses and Future Gains*; Zagreb: University of Zagreb/UNESCO; 5-6 March, 2010. pp. 1-30
- [27] Zha Q. Diversification or homogenization in higher education: A global Allomorphism perspective. *Higher Education in Europe*. 2009;XXXIV(3-4):459-480
- [28] Zorrinho C. *Ordem, Caos e Utopia: Contributos Para a História do Século XXI*. Lisboa: Editorial Presença; 2001
- [29] Morosini MC. Estado do conhecimento sobre internacionalização da educação superior—Conceitos e práticas. *Revista Educar, Curitiba, UFPR*. 2006;28:107-124
- [30] Knight J. Internationalisation of higher education: A conceptual framework. In: Knight J, de Wit H, editors. *Internationalisation of Higher Education in Asia Pacific Countries*. Amsterdam: European Association of International Education; 1994
- [31] Wende, Van Der M. Internationalisation policies: about new trends and contrasting paradigms. *Higher Education Policy*. 2001;14(3):249-259
- [32] Reis Pedro R, Camacho G. A avaliação da concretização do Processo de Bolonha numa instituição de ensino superior portuguesa. In: *Revista Española de Educación Comparada*. Espanha: Faculdade de Educação, UNED; 2009. pp. 41-59
- [33] Bianchetti L. O Processo de Bolonha e a intensificação do trabalho

na universidade: entrevista com Josep M. Blanch. *Revista Educação e Sociedade*. 2010;31(110):263-285

[34] Ferreira NSC, Pacheco JA. As políticas de formação de pesquisadores: Análise comparativa (Portugal-Brasil) em contextos de programas de pós-graduação. *Revista Ensaio—Avaliação, Políticas Públicas e Educação*. 2009;17(65):719-728

[35] Lima LC, Azevedo MLN, Catani AM. O Processo de Bolonha, a avaliação da educação superior e algumas considerações sobre a Universidade Nova. *Avaliação, revista da Universidade de Sorocaba, Campinas*. 2008;13(1):7-36

[36] Newman F. Saving higher education's soul. In: *The Futures Project: Policy for Higher Education in a Changing World*; Rhode Island: Brown University; 2000. pp. 1-19

[37] Veiga MAPT. Oportunidades e ameaças de Bolonha (um processo em curso) e a universidade europeia (um projecto em discurso) num contexto de globalização [Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciências Políticas)]. Porto: Universidade do Porto; 2003. p. 196