

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

186,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS) and Sexual Function and Dysfunction

*Charalampos Konstantinidis, Ioannis Eleftheropoulos
and Achileas Karafotias*

Abstract

In recent years, the coexistence of sexual dysfunction (SD) and lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) has become a popular topic for researchers. Numerous clinical epidemiologic studies have been planned for this reason and have evaluated the relationship between these seemingly irrelevant urological conditions. The connection between SD and LUTS has already been acknowledged, and common pathophysiological pathways have been recognized. In this chapter was attempted to evaluate the impact on patient's quality of life (QoL), common pathophysiological pathways and therapy aspects of this condition. SD and LUTS are common problems among the general population and affect a great percentage of urological patients. It is a subject that affects the community in social, financial, and psychological terms. In this case, research for new treatment options has been triggered as phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors established their role as the widely approved combination therapy.

Keywords: lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), sexual dysfunction (SD), PDE5i

1. Introduction

In recent years, the coexistence of sexual dysfunction and lower urinary tract symptoms has become a popular topic for researchers. Numerous clinical epidemiologic studies have been planned for this reason and have evaluated the relationship between these seemingly irrelevant urological fields of study. In this chapter, an approach to these two fields, their impact on patients' quality of life (QoL), common pathophysiological pathways and therapy aspects are attempted. Both are common problems among the general population and affect a great percentage of urological patients. In this case, research for new treatment options has been triggered as phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors established their role as the widely approved combination therapy.

2. Lower urinary tract symptoms

Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) are a common complaint in adult men and women with a major impact on quality of life (QoL) [1–4]. They can be divided into storage, voiding, and postmicturition symptoms [5]. LUTS are strongly associated with aging [1, 2] and also with a number of modifiable risk factors, suggesting

potential targets for prevention (e.g., metabolic syndrome) [6]. Most elderly men have at least one LUTS [2], which is often mild or not very bothersome [4, 7, 8]. LUTS progression is a dynamic procedure. LUTS may persist and upscale over long time periods, or they may retreat [2]. LUTS have usually been related to bladder outlet obstruction (BOO), which is often caused by an increase of prostatic volume, as a result of benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) [3, 5]. On the contrary, numerous studies have shown that LUTS are often not related to the BPH [2, 9]. Bladder dysfunction may also cause LUTS, such as detrusor overactivity or overactive bladder syndrome (OAB), detrusor underactivity, and structural or functional abnormalities of the urinary tract and its surrounding tissues [9]. Prostatitis may also cause the appearance of LUTS [10, 11]. Furthermore, there are some nonurological conditions that may be related to urinary symptoms, mainly to nocturia [2].

The definitions of the most common conditions related to LUTS are presented below:

- Acute retention of urine is defined as a painful and palpable bladder when the patient cannot urinate [5].
- Chronic retention of urine is defined as a nonpainful bladder, which remains palpable even though the patient has urinated. It may also be accompanied by incontinence [5].
- Bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) is characterized by reduced urine flow rate and increased detrusor pressure. It can be diagnosed by studying the synchronous values of detrusor pressure and urine flow rate [5].
- Benign prostatic obstruction (BPO) is a form of BOO, diagnosed when the cause of outlet obstruction is known to be BPH [5].
- Detrusor overactivity (DO) is a urodynamic observation characterized by involuntary detrusor contractions during the bladder filling phase [5].
- Overactive bladder (OAB) syndrome is characterized by urinary urgency, with or without urinary incontinence, usually with increased daytime frequency and nocturia, and in this case, there is no proven infection or other obvious pathology [12].

Patient's history must be assessed thoroughly [13–15]. A medical history aims to identify relevant comorbidities and potential causes, including medical and neurological diseases. Lifestyle habits, medication, emotional, and psychological factors must also be reviewed. When relevant, the sexual function should be assessed, preferably with validated symptom questionnaires such as the International Index for Erectile Function (IIEF). The literature recommends, for male LUTS assessment, the use of validated symptom score questionnaire [13, 15]. Several questionnaires have been developed, which are sensitive to symptom changes. In this case, they are helpful in monitoring treatment approaches [16–22]. Symptom scores are helpful in quantifying LUTS and identifying the predominant symptoms. Nevertheless, they are not disease- or age specific.

3. Sexual dysfunction

It is difficult to identify the prevalence of sexual dysfunction in men, because there is no standard definition of sexual dysfunction (SD). Erectile dysfunction

(ED) is defined as the persistent inability to attain and maintain an erection sufficient to permit satisfactory sexual performance [23]. Penile erection is a complex phenomenon, which implies coordination among the neurological, vascular, and smooth muscle compartment. It includes arterial dilation, trabecular smooth muscle relaxation, and activation of the corporal veno-occlusive mechanism [24]. ED may affect physical and psychosocial health. It might have a significant impact on the QoL of sufferers and their partners' as well [25–27]. Therefore, ED should not be regarded only as a QoL issue, but also as a potential warning sign of cardiovascular disease (CVD), as it can be an early manifestation of coronary artery and peripheral vascular disease [28–30].

The pathophysiology of ED may be vasculogenic, neurogenic, anatomical, hormonal, drug-induced, and/or psychogenic [24]. Usually, many pathophysiology pathways can be comorbid and concomitant, negatively impacting on erectile function. In most cases, ED is the result of more than one organic pathophysiological element and, very often, a psychological component. ED was initially classified into three categories based on its etiology. These include organic, psychogenic, and mixed ED. Nowadays, these are recognized as two categories: the psychogenic and the mixed one, as any organic ED has an additional psychogenic impact that interferes with the pathophysiology of ED and causes additional distress to the patient.

Epidemiological data have shown a high incidence and prevalence of ED worldwide. The Massachusetts male aging study (MMAS) [25] reported, in noninstitutionalized men aged 40–70 years in the Boston area, an overall prevalence of 52% ED. Prevalence for minimal, moderate, and complete ED was 17.2, 25.2, and 9.6%, respectively. In the Cologne study of men aged 30–80 years, the prevalence of ED was 19.2% [65]. The incidence rate of ED (new cases per 1000 men annually) was 26 in the long-term data from the MMAS study [66] and 19.2 (mean follow-up of 4.2 years) in a Dutch study [31].

ED shares common risk factors with CVD (e.g., obesity, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, lack of exercise, and smoking) [27, 32–34]. The association among ED and age, diabetes mellitus, body mass index (BMI) [35, 36], obstructive sleep apnea, and hyperhomocysteinemia has been established [37–39]. A number of studies have shown evidence that lifestyle modification [29, 40] and pharmacotherapy [40, 41] for CVD risk factors may also improve sexual function in men with ED. Epidemiological studies have also demonstrated consistent evidence for an association between LUTS and sexual dysfunction, regardless of age, other comorbidities, and behavioral factors [42]. The multinational survey on the aging male (MSAM-7) study (performed in France, Germany, Spain, Italy, the Netherlands, the USA, and the UK) investigated the relationship between LUTS and sexual dysfunction in over 12,000 men aged 50–80 years. From 83% of sexually active men, the prevalence of LUTS was 90%, with the prevalence of ED being 49%. The complete absence of erection was reported in 10% of patients. The prevalence of ejaculatory disorders has been reported in 46% of patients [43]. Association between chronic prostatitis or chronic pelvic pain syndrome (CP/CPPS) and ED is confirmed [44].

Surgical interventions to the prostate also have an impact on erectile function according to the type of surgery that was performed [45]. Epidemiologically, there are other risk factors that potentially associate to ED, including psoriasis [46–48], gouty arthritis [49, 50], ankylosing spondylitis [51], nonalcoholic fatty liver [52], chronic liver disorders [53], chronic periodontitis [54], open-angle glaucoma [55], inflammatory bowel disease [56], and complications following transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) guided prostate biopsy [57].

World Health Organization (WHO) and International Classifications of Diseases-10 (ICD-10) define female sexual dysfunction as “the various ways in

which an individual is unable to participate in a sexual relationship as she would wish” [58]. There are three categories of sexual dysfunction: female sexual interest-arousal disorder, female orgasmic disorder, and genito-pelvic pain-penetration disorder [59]. It is estimated that 10% of women suffer from female sexual interest-arousal disorder and 3.5–35% present orgasmic problems [60].

Validated psychometric questionnaires, such as the International Index for Erectile Function (IIEF) [61] or the sexual health inventory for men (SHIM) [62], are the assessment tools needed in different sexual function domains (i.e., erectile function, sexual desire, intercourse, orgasmic function, and overall satisfaction), as well as for the potential impact of a specific treatment modality. The use of the erectile hardness score for the assessment of penile rigidity in practice and in clinical trial research is supported by psychometric analyses [63]. Patients should be screened for symptoms of possible hypogonadism (testosterone deficiency), libido, fatigue, cognitive impairment, and LUTS. Even though LUTS does not represent a contraindication to treat a patient for late-onset hypogonadism, screening for LUTS severity is clinically relevant [64].

4. The relationship between ED and LUTS

A lot of epidemiological studies demonstrate the coexistence of ED and LUTS. It is also proven that the existence of LUTS is a risk factor for ED. The patient's age and the severity of LUTS are independent prognostic factors for ED, as well. Although it is not clear if LUTS lead to ED, or ED results to LUTS, or these conditions are just coexisting, their relation is very narrow and clear, especially in older patients. Therefore, men who suffer from LUTS should be checked for ED and men who present ED should be evaluated for LUTS. There are four theories that try to correlate LUTS with ED. These theories include deregulation of NO/NOS system, increased sympathetic tone (autonomic hyperactivity (AH)), up-regulation of Rho-kinase, and chronic hypoxia. Common vascular risk factors can combine and support these theories.

4.1 Alteration in nitric oxide (NO)

The role of nitric oxide (NO) in erectile function is well known as the main regulator of penile corporal smooth muscle relaxation and resultant erection. The decrease in NO/cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) has the effect of reduction in NO synthase (NOS) due to endothelial dysfunction. Lack or reduction of smooth muscle relaxation of the bladder neck, prostate and urethra may lead to LUTS. The NO system has been shown to be down-regulated in the transition zone of the prostate in BPH when compared with normal controls [67, 68].

4.2 Autonomic hyperactivity (AH)

AH, as a component of the metabolic syndrome, refers to dysregulation of sympathetic and parasympathetic tone. Increased sympathetic tone results in flaccidity and antagonizes penile erection, due to vasoconstriction. Parasympathetic activation can lead to prostate smooth muscle contraction (due to activation of the M2 receptors), so nonrelaxing bladder neck, prostatic urethra, and pelvic floor may lead to LUTS [67, 68].

4.3 RhoA/rho-kinase-calcium-sensitizing pathway

Smooth muscle tone is adjusted commonly not only through the calcium-dependent mechanism, but also through the activity of RhoA/ROCK calcium

pathway. Activation of RhoA-ROCK pathway can affect smooth muscle relaxation and finally increase ED and LUTS. Therefore, penile RhoA/ROCK signaling was increased in pathologic situations associated with ED, like diabetes and involuntary bladder contractions were associated with increased signaling of the muscarinic receptor-activated RhoA/ROCK pathway. Increase in RhoA/ROCK was demonstrated in corpora cavernosa and bladder of spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR), a rat strain genetically prone to develop BPH and OAB. The inhibition of ROCK reduces bladder hyperactivity, limits contractions in bladder strips from SHR, and improves erectile function [67, 68].

4.4 Pelvic atherosclerosis

Atherosclerosis of the bladder, prostate, and penis serves an extra assumption linking LUTS with ED. The theory claims that the risks for ED (smoking, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes mellitus) also affect on LUTS. An epidemiologic study was published that supports this theory, all men and women who had two risk factors of atherosclerosis (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and nicotine use) and had a statistically higher International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) compared with those with one or no risk factors at all. Smooth muscle changes in the prostate, bladder, and penis of animal models of hypercholesterolemia and pelvic ischemia are quite similar. Hypoxia drives to overexpression of TGF β 1, and converted prostanoid production has been suggested as potential mechanisms. Similarly, penile ischemia leads to smooth muscle loss in it and ends up with ED. Likewise, the loss of smooth muscle in the bladder may decrease compliance and increase the symptoms of LUTS. Additionally, bladder ischemia either from BOO or pelvic vascular disease would result in bladder smooth muscle loss with the resultant replacement of collagen deposition and fibrosis as well as loss of compliance, overactivity, and impaired contractility. Loss of smooth muscle in the prostate can induce a less distensible urethra, a decreased urinary flow rate, increased flow resistance, and worsening LUTS. Pelvic atherosclerosis associated with the previously described theories, as pelvic ischemia/atherosclerosis is a component of the metabolic syndrome/AH, up-regulates Rk activity, and reduces NOS expression. [35, 67, 68].

5. Multifactorial interaction between LUTS and ED

LUTS are significant indicators of a disease when the patient, caregiver, or partner realizes it, and change of them may lead him/her to find help from professionals. It is also known (from the 6th International Consultation on Incontinence 2016) that from overall world's population, 46% (of the adults >20 years) experience LUTS, 11.8% suffer from OAB symptoms, 8% complain of some type of urinary incontinence (UI), and 4% of severe stress urinary incontinence (SUI). Urinary incontinence is associated with reduced QoL, higher rates of depression, reduced work productivity, and decreased enjoyment of sexual activity [35, 69].

It is well known that sexual life, behavior, and relationships are very important for a good and healthy life, and they are affected by attitudes, social models and overall health. For sexual health many aspects are necessary and not only the absence of infirmity, disease or dysfunction. Sexual practice and habits have changed a lot over the last years, it is over also known that the sexual frequency and different practices are reducing with age. On the other hand, some sexual behaviors are more common in our days, like anal sex. These changes are more likely to be attributed to educational status, rather than economic status [69].

From a physiological prospect, the functions of pelvic organ functions are related as there is a direct relationship with the neuronal network of the pelvis that includes bladder, bowel, and sexual functions. Furthermore, vascular, hormonal, cellular, and other factors comprehensively affect pelvic organ functions. LUTS and ED in males share common pathophysiological pathways [4].

6. Effects of LUTS/incontinence on male sexual function: epidemiological data

Many large studies over the last years have proved the coexistence of SD in men with storage and voiding LUTS. Statistics from the Health Improvement Network database showed that from 11,327 men in the UK, there was a rise in the overall prevalence of recorded SD from 1.7% in 2000 to 4.9% in 2007. The odds ratio (OR) for ED was 3.0 (2.6–3.4) for storage LUTS, 2.6 (2.4–2.7) for voiding LUTS, and 4.0 (3.4–4.8) for voiding and storage LUTS. The EpiLUTS study (a cross-sectional, population-representative survey in the UK, Sweden, and the USA with 6326 men) show off an impact of OAB on sexual health. Both OAB wet and OAB dry were associated with poor sexual health, diminished enjoyment of sex ($P < 0.0001$), and decreased sexual activity. OAB dry/wet was very significant predictors of ED and ejaculatory dysfunction (EjD) in men. According to a study by Rosen et al., the attendance and severity of LUTS are independent risk factors for SD in older men [69].

LUTS are common in older men. While LUTS have a multifactorial etiology, BOO/BPH has traditionally been considered as one of the most common causes of LUTS. These symptoms, which include dribbling and urgency with leaking, nocturia, and difficulty in urinating, can also impact the sexual function, probably due to impact on QoL [70].

7. Medical and surgical therapy for LUTS and its impact on sexual function

The efficacy of all currently available treatments for LUTS is well studied. However, the negative impact of them on erectile function is under evaluation. Behavior modification therapies and phytotherapies seem to have minimal or no impact on sexual function, and even less efficacy on LUTS treatment. On the other hand, α -blockers, 5 α -reductase inhibitors, and prostatic surgery are associated with improvement in LUTS, but they usually have a negative impact on the sexual function [68]. Many clinical trials have reported on the efficacy of chronic treatment with phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5-Is), either alone or in combination with other therapies, in treating LUTS in men with or without ED [71].

α -Blockers such as alfuzosin, doxazosin, tamsulosin, and silodosin have shown similar efficacy, but their effect on sexual function is variable. They seem to have a slightly positive impact on erectile function. However, they can have a negative impact on orgasmic function and ejaculation [72]. Originally, the abnormal ejaculate was thought to be retrograde. However, it seems likely to be due to a decrease or absence of seminal fluid, possibly by a central effect. α -Blockers decrease the prostate secretion and inhibit the contraction of seminal vesicles as both effects are mediated by the sympathetic adrenergic system.

5 α -Reductase inhibitors (5-ARIs) are usually offered to men with LUTS who have a prostate estimated to be larger than 40 ml and who are considered to be at high risk of progression [73–75]. Compared to α -blockers, 5-ARIs have a greater

impact on sexual function. The side effects most frequently notified are reduced libido, ED, and ejaculation disorders such as dry orgasm, ejaculation failure, or decreased semen volume [76–78]. It must be mentioned that the effect of 5-ARIs on ejaculatory function is currently poorly studied.

Regarding surgical options, transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) and transurethral incision of the prostate (TUIP) are the gold standard surgical techniques for BPH/BOO treatment. Dry orgasm after these operations ranges from 30.4 to 96.9% and 6.1 to 55.1%, respectively. Heterogeneous data are reported, mainly because only a few studies analyzed these rates [79–81] in a prospective fashion. ED has been found ranging from 3.4 to 32.4% [80, 81] after TURP.

Laser procedures, such as GreenLight photoselective vaporization of the prostate (PVP) and holmium laser enucleation of the prostate (HoLEP) are widely offered for BOO/BPH as well. The impact of GreenLight on sexual function seems to be close to that of TURP. One single study, comparing these two prostatic surgery techniques, reported no significant difference in the rate of retrograde ejaculation [82]. There is also no difference reported between TURP and PVP for erectile function [83, 84]. HoLEP seems to have comparable results. Specifically, the incidence of retrograde ejaculation and erectile dysfunction is comparable between HoLEP and TURP [85, 86]. It is reported that almost 75% of sexually active patients have retrograde ejaculation after HoLEP. Currently, both GreenLight and HoLEP surgeons are trying to develop ejaculation preserving techniques [87, 88].

Transurethral thermotherapy and microwave techniques such as transurethral needle ablation (TUNA) seem to have lower rates of retrograde ejaculation comparing to TURP. A few studies report that the incidence of retrograde ejaculation seems to be much lower compared to TURP, with no reported cases in the TUNA cohort, compared to the 45% of the TURP arm [89–91]. Urolift/prostatic urethral lift (PUL) is an alternative option and has shown positive results in terms of sexual function [92].

Currently, because of the increase in life expectancy, patients with LUTS often wish to preserve or to improve their sexual function according to their treatments. Physicians may focus on the symptoms without considering patients' wishes and expectations. In those cases, despite successful treatment, many patients still complain about their QoL due to the procedure or medication side effects.

8. Medical therapy for ED and its impact on LUTS

Phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) is expressed in the whole of the lower urinary tract, including the urethra, prostate, and bladder. All these organs are targets of PDE5-Is [93–96]. PDE5 is prominently localized in the stroma and in the vascular bed (endothelial and smooth muscle cells), suggesting the action of PDE5-I on smooth muscle contraction and blood flow.

McVary et al. [97], in 2007, evaluated the safety and efficacy of tadalafil for the treatment of LUTS in men with or without ED, for the first time. A total of 479 patients were screened. After a 4-week washout and 4-week placebo run-in period, 281 were randomly assigned to a 6-week treatment with once-daily placebo or tadalafil 5 mg. After 6 weeks, the remaining 261 patients were assigned to continue with placebo for another 6 weeks (a total of 12 weeks of once-daily placebo treatment) or to dose escalate tadalafil to 20 mg once daily. Of 143 placebo-assigned patients, 121 (84.6%) were sexually active, 84 (59.2%) had no erectile dysfunction, and 76 (53.1%) were sexually active despite ED. On the other hand, 138 men treated with 5 or 20 mg tadalafil, 107 (77.5%) were sexually active, 99 (71.7%) had normal sexual function, and 80 (58.0%) were sexually active despite ED. The IPSS

(including the IPSS-QoL question) and BII questionnaires were used in order to evaluate LUTS. Maximum urinary flow rate (Q_{\max}) and average urinary flow rate (Q_{ave}) of free uroflowmetry were recorded and post-void residual urine (PVR) was measured by ultrasound after uroflowmetry. The erectile function (EF) domain of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) questionnaire (questions 1–5 and 15) was used to estimate the sexual function.

Since 2007, numerous studies proved the safety and efficacy of PDE5s as a medical therapy for both LUTS and ED. Bora Iler et al. [98] studied LUTS, nocturia, SD, and the status of QoL in men with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS). Patients applied continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) treatment, which is one of the most effective treatments for OSAS, supplying positive air pressure for the opening of the respiratory tract and keeping high saturation of oxygen. Changes in IPSS, IIEF, Overactive Bladder Syndrome Score (OABSS), International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire for Male LUTS (ICIQ-MLUTS), Neuro-quality of life score (Nqol), 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), and Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Impact Index (BII); the frequency of nocturia; and night-time urine volume were reported. After CPAP treatment, significant changes and improvements on these symptoms and QoL were observed. It has been shown that the frequency of nocturia decreases and erectile function and QoL improves in patients with OSAS under CPAP treatment [99, 100].

9. Conclusion

SD and LUTS are confirmed to have a strong connection. It is implied by common pathophysiological paths that seem to link these two complications, which have a great impact on a significant percentage of urological patients. For men, PDE5s inhibitors are the milestone of medical treatment for ED and LUTS. Their safety and efficacy are widely accepted. More studies need to support this relatively new field of research for both LUTS and SD, and new treatments may be used as an alternative in near future.

Author details

Charalampos Konstantinidis^{1,2*}, Ioannis Eleftheropoulos² and Achileas Karafotias²

¹ Urology and Neuro-Urology Unit, National Rehabilitation Center, Athens, Greece

² Urology Department, General Hospital “Asklepieio Voulas”, Athens, Greece

*Address all correspondence to: konstantinidischaralampos@yahoo.com

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Kupelian V et al. Prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms and effect on quality of life in a racially and ethnically diverse random sample: The Boston area community health (BACH) survey. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2006;**166**:2381 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17130393>
- [2] Agarwal A et al. What is the most bothersome lower urinary tract symptom? Individual- and population-level perspectives for both men and women. *European Urology*. 2014;**65**:1211 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24486308>
- [3] De Ridder D et al. Urgency and other lower urinary tract symptoms in men aged ≥ 40 years: A Belgian epidemiological survey using the ICIQ-MLUTS questionnaire. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2015;**69**:358 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25648652>
- [4] Taub DA et al. The economics of benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms in the United States. *Current Urology Reports*. 2006;**7**:272 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16930498>
- [5] Chapple C, Abrams P. Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS): An International Consultation On Male LUTS. Societe Internationale d'Urologie (SIU). ISBN: 978-0-9877465-4-2
- [6] Chapple CR et al. Lower urinary tract symptoms revisited: A broader clinical perspective. *European Urology*. 2008;**54**:563 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18423969>
- [7] Gacci M et al. Metabolic syndrome and benign prostatic enlargement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJU International*. 2015;**115**:24 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24602293>
- [8] Ficarra V et al. The role of inflammation in lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) due to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and its potential impact on medical therapy. *Current Urology Reports*. 2014;**15**:463 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25312251>
- [9] He Q et al. Metabolic syndrome, inflammation and lower urinary tract symptoms: Possible translational links. *Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases*. 2016;**19**:7 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26391088>
- [10] Drake MJ. Do we need a new definition of the overactive bladder syndrome? ICI-RS 2013. *Neurourology and Urodynamics*. 2014;**33**:622 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24838519>
- [11] Novara G et al. Critical review of guidelines for BPH diagnosis and treatment strategy. *European Urology Supplements*. 2006;**4**:418 http://eu-acme.org/europeanurology/upload_articles/Novara2.pdf
- [12] McVary KT et al. Update on AUA guideline on the management of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *The Journal of Urology*. 2011;**185**:1793 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21420124>
- [13] Bosch J et al. Etiology, patient assessment and predicting outcome from therapy. International Consultation on Urological Diseases Male LUTS Guideline. 2013;**2013**:37
- [14] Martin RM et al. Lower urinary tract symptoms and risk of prostate cancer: The HUNT 2 cohort, Norway. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2008;**123**:1924 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18661522>
- [15] Young JM et al. Are men with lower urinary tract symptoms at increased risk

of prostate cancer? A systematic review and critique of the available evidence. *BJU International*. 2000;**85**:1037 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10848691>

[16] Donovan JL et al. Scoring the short form ICS male SF questionnaire. *International continence society. The Journal of Urology*. 2000;**164**:1948 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11061889>

[17] Epstein RS et al. Validation of a new quality of life questionnaire for benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 1992;**45**:1431 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1281223>

[18] Homma Y et al. Symptom assessment tool for overactive bladder syndrome--overactive bladder symptom score. *Urology*. 2006;**68**:318 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16904444>

[19] Schou J et al. The value of a new symptom score (DAN-PSS) in diagnosing uro-dynamic infravesical obstruction in BPH. *Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology*. 1993;**27**:489 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7512747>

[20] Homma Y et al. Core lower urinary tract symptom score (CLSS) questionnaire: A reliable tool in the overall assessment of lower urinary tract symptoms. *International Journal of Urology*. 2008;**15**:816 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18657204>

[21] D'Silva KA et al. Does this man with lower urinary tract symptoms have bladder outlet obstruction?: The rational clinical examination: A systematic review. *JAMA*. 2014;**312**:535 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25096693>

[22] Bryan NP et al. Frequency volume charts in the assessment and evaluation

of treatment: How should we use them? *European Urology*. 2004;**46**:636 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15474275>

[23] Salonia A et al. Is erectile dysfunction a reliable proxy of general male health status? The case for the international index of erectile function-erectile function domain. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2012;**9**:2708 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22897643>

[24] Fisher WA et al. Erectile dysfunction (ED) is a shared sexual concern of couples I: Couple conceptions of ED. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2009;**6**:2746 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19694926>

[25] Dong JY et al. Erectile dysfunction and risk of cardiovascular disease: Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2011;**58**:1378 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21920268>

[26] Gandaglia G et al. A systematic review of the association between erectile dysfunction and cardiovascular disease. *European Urology*. 2014;**65**:968 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24011423>

[27] Gupta BP et al. The effect of lifestyle modification and cardiovascular risk factor reduction on erectile dysfunction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2011;**171**:1797 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21911624>

[28] Braun M et al. Epidemiology of erectile dysfunction: Results of the 'Cologne male survey. *International Journal of Impotence Research*. 2000;**12**:305 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11416833>

[29] Johannes CB et al. Incidence of erectile dysfunction in men 40 to 69

years old: Longitudinal results from the Massachusetts male aging study. *The Journal of Urology*. 2000;**163**:460 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10647654>

[30] Schouten BW et al. Incidence rates of erectile dysfunction in the Dutch general population. Effects of definition, clinical relevance and duration of follow-up in the Krimpen study. *International Journal of Impotence Research*. 2005;**17**:58 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15510192>

[31] Jackson G et al. Cardiovascular aspects of sexual medicine. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2010;**7**:1608 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20388161>

[32] Binmoammar TA et al. The impact of poor glycaemic control on the prevalence of erectile dysfunction in men with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine Open*. 2016;**7**:2054270415622602 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26981254>

[33] Glina S et al. Modifying risk factors to prevent and treat erectile dysfunction. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2013;**10**:115 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22971247>

[34] Vlachopoulos C et al. Erectile dysfunction in the cardiovascular patient. *European Heart Journal*. 2013;**34**:2034 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23616415>

[35] Seftel AD et al. Coexisting lower urinary tract symptoms and erectile dysfunction: A systematic review of epidemiological data. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2013;**67**:32 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23082930>

[36] Rosen R et al. Lower urinary tract symptoms and male sexual dysfunction:

The multinational survey of the aging male (MSAM-7). *European Urology*. 2003;**44**:637 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14644114>

[37] Molina Leyva A et al. Sexual dysfunction in psoriasis: A systematic review. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*. 2015;**29**:649 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25424331>

[38] Fan D et al. Male sexual dysfunction and ankylosing spondylitis: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *The Journal of Rheumatology*. 2015;**42**:252 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25448789>

[39] Duman DG et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with erectile dysfunction: A prospective pilot study. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2016;**13**:383 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26853046>

[40] Salonia A et al. Prevention and management of postprostatectomy sexual dysfunctions part 2: Recovery and preservation of erectile function, sexual desire, and orgasmic function. *European Urology*. 2012;**62**:273 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22575910>

[41] Salonia A et al. Prevention and management of postprostatectomy sexual dysfunctions. Part 1: Choosing the right patient at the right time for the right surgery. *European Urology*. 2012;**62**:261 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22575909>

[42] Sanda MG et al. Quality of life and satisfaction with outcome among prostate-cancer survivors. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2008;**358**:1250 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18354103>

[43] Schauer I et al. Have rates of erectile dysfunction improved within the past

17 years after radical prostatectomy? A systematic analysis of the control arms of prospective randomized trials on penile rehabilitation. *Andrology*. 2015;**3**:661 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26198796>

[44] Ficarra V et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies reporting potency rates after robot- assisted radical prostatectomy. *European Urology*. 2012;**62**:418 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22749850>

[45] Stolzenburg JU et al. Effect of surgical approach on erectile function recovery following bilateral nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy: An evaluation utilising data from a randomised, double-blind, double-dummy multicentre trial of tadalafil vs placebo. *BJU International*. 2015;**116**:241 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25560809>

[46] Haglind E et al. Urinary incontinence and erectile dysfunction after robotic versus open radical prostatectomy: A prospective, controlled, nonrandomised trial. *European Urology*. 2015;**68**:216 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25770484>

[47] Yaxley JW et al. Robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy versus open radical retropubic prostatectomy: Early outcomes from a randomised controlled phase 3 study. *Lancet*. 2016;**388**:1057 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27474375>

[48] Isgoren A et al. Erectile function outcomes after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Is it superior to open retropubic or laparoscopic approach. *Sexual Medicine Reviews*. 2014;**2**: 10-23. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27784540>

[49] Glickman L et al. Changes in continence and erectile function between 2 and 4 years after radical prostatectomy. *The Journal of Urology*.

2009;**181**:731 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19091349>

[50] Incrocci L et al. Pelvic radiotherapy and sexual function in men and women. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2013;**10**(Suppl 1):53 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23387912>

[51] Stember DS et al. The concept of erectile function preservation (penile rehabilitation) in the patient after brachytherapy for prostate cancer. *Brachytherapy*. 2012;**11**:87 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22330103>

[52] Cordeiro ER et al. High-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) for definitive treatment of prostate cancer. *BJU International*. 2012;**110**:1228 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22672199>

[53] Williams SB et al. Comparative effectiveness of cryotherapy vs brachytherapy for localised prostate cancer. *BJU International*. 2012;**110**:E92 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22192688>

[54] Hatzichristou D et al. Diagnosing sexual dysfunction in men and women: Sexual history taking and the role of symptom scales and questionnaires. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2016;**13**:1166 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27436074>

[55] Rosen RC et al. The process of care model for evaluation and treatment of erectile dysfunction. The process of care consensus panel. *International Journal of Impotence Research*. 1999;**11**:59-70. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10356665>

[56] Althof SE et al. Standard operating procedures for taking a sexual history. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2013;**10**:26 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22970717>

- [57] Rosen RC et al. The international index of erectile function (IIEF): A multidimensional scale for assessment of erectile dysfunction. *Urology*. 1997;**49**:822 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9187685>
- [58] World Health Organisation (ICD-10). *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems*. Geneva: WHO; 1992
- [59] McCabe MP et al. Definitions of sexual dysfunctions in women and men: A consensus statement from the fourth international consultation on sexual medicine 2015. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2016;**13**(2):135-143
- [60] Graham CA. The DSM diagnostic criteria for female orgasmic disorder. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2010;**39**(2):256-270
- [61] Hatzichristou DG et al. Hemodynamic characterization of a functional erection: Arterial and corporeal veno-occlusive function in patients with a positive intracavernosal injection test. *European Urology*. 1999;**36**:60
- [62] Sikka SC et al. Standardization of vascular assessment of erectile dysfunction: Standard operating procedures for duplex ultrasound. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2013;**10**:120 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22970798>
- [63] Glina S et al. SOP: Corpus cavernosum assessment (cavernosography/cavernosometry). *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2013;**10**:111 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22971225>
- [64] Hatzichristou D et al. Recommendations for the clinical evaluation of men and women with sexual dysfunction. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2010;**7**:337 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20092443>
- [65] Capogrosso P et al. One patient out of four with newly diagnosed erectile dysfunction is a young man-- worrisome picture from the everyday clinical practice. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2013;**10**:1833 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23651423>
- [66] Buvat J et al. Endocrine aspects of male sexual dysfunctions. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2010;**7**:1627 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20388162>
- [67] Köhler TS, McVary KT. The relationship between erectile dysfunction and lower urinary tract symptoms and the role of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors. *European Urology*. 2009;**55**:38-48
- [68] Gacci M et al. Critical analysis of the relationship between sexual dysfunctions and lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *European Urology*. 2011;**60**:809-825
- [69] Rantell A et al. How does lower urinary tract dysfunction affect sexual function in men and women? ICI-RS 2015-part 1. *Neurourology and Urodynamics*. 2017;**36**:949-952
- [70] Giona S, Ganguly I, Muir G. Urologists' attitudes to sexual complications of LUTS/BPH treatments. *World of Journal Urology*. 2018;**36**:1449-1145
- [71] Gacci M, Corona G, Salvi M, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the use of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors alone or in combination with α -blockers for lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *European Urology*. 2012;**61**(5):994-1003
- [72] van Dijk MM, de la Rosette JJ, Michel MC. Effects of alpha (1)-adrenoceptor antagonists on male sexual function. *Drugs*. 2006;**66**:287-301

- [73] Roehrborn CG, Siami P, Barkin J, et al. The influence of baseline parameters on changes in international prostate symptom score with dutasteride, tamsulosin, and combination therapy among men with symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia and an enlarged prostate: 2-year data from the CombAT study. *European Urology*. 2009;**55**:461-471
- [74] Roehrborn CG, Boyle P, Nickel JC, et al. Efficacy and safety of a dual inhibitor of 5-alpha-reductase types 1 and 2 (dutasteride) in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Urology*. 2002;**60**:434-441
- [75] Roehrborn CG, Siami P, Barkin J, et al. The effects of dutasteride, tamsulosin and combination therapy on lower urinary tract symptoms in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostatic enlargement: 2-year results from the CombAT study. *The Journal of Urology*. 2008;**179**:616-621
- [76] McConnell JD, Roehrborn CG, Bautista OM, et al. The long-term effect of doxazosin, finasteride, and combination therapy on the clinical progression of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003;**349**:2387-2398
- [77] Roehrborn CG, Siami P, Barkin J, et al. The effects of combination therapy with dutasteride and tamsulosin on clinical outcomes in men with symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia: 4-year results from the CombAT study. *European Urology*. 2010;**83**:227-237;57:123-131
- [78] Naslund MJ, Miner M. A review of the clinical efficacy and safety of 5alpha-reductase inhibitors for the enlarged prostate. *Clinical Therapeutics*. 2007;**29**(1):17-25
- [79] Madersbacher S, Marberger M. Is transurethral resection of the prostate still justified? *BJU International*. 1999
- [80] McConnell JD, Barry MJ, Bruskewitz RC. Benign prostatic hyperplasia: Diagnosis and treatment In: (AHCPR) AfHCPaR (ed), *Clinical Practice Guideline Quick Reference, Guide for Clinicians*. 1994;**8**:1-17
- [81] Emberton M, Neal DE, Black N, et al. The effect of prostatectomy on symptom severity and quality of life. *British Journal of Urology*. 1996;**77**:233-247
- [82] Horasanli K, Silay MS, Altay B, Tanriverdi O, Sarica K, Miroglu C. Photoselective potassium titanyl phosphate (KTP) laser vaporization versus transurethral resection of the prostate for prostates larger than 70 ml: A short-term prospective randomized trial. *Urology*. 2008;**71**:247-251
- [83] Alivizatos G, Skolarikos A, Chalikopoulos D, et al. Transurethral photoselective vaporization versus transvesical open enucleation for prostatic adenomas >80 ml: 12-mo results of a randomized prospective study. *European Urology*. 2008;**54**:427-437
- [84] Bouchier-Hayes DM, Anderson P, Van Appledorn S, Bugeja P, Costello AJ. KTP laser versus transurethral resection: Early results of a randomized trial. *Journal of Endourology*. 2006;**20**:580-585
- [85] Naspro R, Suardi N, Salonia A, et al. Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate versus open prostatectomy for prostates >70 g: 24-month follow-up. *European Urology*. 2006;**50**:563-568
- [86] Briganti A, Naspro R, Gallina A, et al. Impact on sexual function of holmium laser enucleation versus transurethral resection of the prostate: Results of a prospective, 2-center, randomized trial. *The Journal of Urology*. 2006;**175**:1817-1821
- [87] Tabatabaei S, Choi B, Muir G. 2272 ejaculation-preserving

photo-selective vaporization of prostate (EP-PVP): Six years experience from a multi-Centre study. *The Journal of Urology*. 2011;**185**:e910-e911

[88] Kim M, Song SH, Ku JH, Kim HJ, Paick JS. Pilot study of the clinical efficacy of ejaculatory hood sparing technique for ejaculation preservation in holmium laser enucleation of the prostate. *International Journal of Impotence Research*. 2014;**27**:20-24

[89] Bruskewitz R, Issa MM, Roehrborn CG, et al. A prospective, randomized 1-year clinical trial comparing transurethral needle ablation to transurethral resection of the prostate for the treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. *The Journal of Urology*. 1998;**159**:1588-1593

[90] Hill B, Belville W, Bruskewitz R, et al. Transurethral needle ablation versus transurethral resection of the prostate for the treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia: 5-year results of a prospective, randomized, multicenter clinical trial. *The Journal of Urology*. 2004;**171**:2336-2340

[91] Cimentepe E, Unsal A, Saglam R. Randomized clinical trial comparing transurethral needle ablation with transurethral resection of the prostate for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia: Results at 18 months. *Journal of Endourology/Endourological Society*. 2003;**17**:103

[92] Woo HH, Chin PT, McNicholas TA, et al. Safety and feasibility of the prostatic urethral lift: A novel, minimally invasive treatment for lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). *BJU International*. 2011;**108**:82-88

[93] Filippi S, Morelli A, Sandner P, et al. Characterization and functional role of androgen-dependent PDE5

activity in the bladder. *Endocrinology*. 2007;**148**(3):1019-1029

[94] Fibbi B, Morelli A, Vignozzi L, et al. Characterization of phosphodiesterase type 5 expression and functional activity in the human male lower urinary tract. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2010;**7**(1 Pt 1):59-69

[95] Morelli A, Filippi S, Comeglio P, et al. Acute vardenal administration improves bladder oxygenation in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2010;**7**(1 Pt 1):107-120

[96] Morelli A, Sarchielli E, Comeglio P, et al. Phosphodiesterase type 5 expression in human and rat lower urinary tract tissues and the effect of tadalafil on prostate gland oxygenation in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2011;**8**(10):2746-2760

[97] McVary KT, Roehrborn CG, Kaminetsky JC, et al. Tadalafil relieves lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *The Journal of Urology*. 2007;**177**(4):1401-1407

[98] İrer B et al. Evaluation of sexual dysfunction, lower urinary tract symptoms and quality of life in men with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and the efficacy of continuous positive airway pressure therapy. *Urology*. 2018;**121**:86-92

[99] Miyazato M, Tohyama K, Touyama M, et al. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure on nocturnal urine production in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Neurourology and Urodynamics*. 2017;**36**:376-379

[100] Campos-Juanatey FF, Fernandez-Barrales M, Gonzalez M, Portillo-Martin JA. Effects of obstructive sleep apnea and its treatment over the erectile function: A systematic review. *Asian Journal of Andrology*. 2017;**19**:303-310