

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

185,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



An Update on Canine Duodenal Disorders

Mani Saravanan

Abstract

Duodenal disorders are difficult to identify clinically because of the non-specific symptoms. They may or may not be identifiable through routine radiographic, ultrasonographic, or laboratory evaluation methods, because many diseases of duodenum primarily involve the mucosal surface. Duodenal ulcers, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) are the duodenal disorders. Duodenoscopy is an efficient way of identifying the abnormalities of mucosal irregularities, distortion, ulceration, neoplasia, and inflammation, and it is also useful for obtaining mucosal biopsy and duodenal juice for routine histopathological and bacteriological studies. This paper will provide more detail about the advanced diagnostic methods and therapeutic measures for various duodenal disorders.

Keywords: duodenal ulcer, IBD, SIBO, duodenoscopy, malabsorption

1. Introduction

Gastrointestinal disorder is one of the commonly encountered problems in canine practice. Chronic disorders of small intestine of dogs are one among the major problems. Majority of the disorders can be successfully managed if diagnosed and treated earlier. If small intestine disorders are not treated appropriately, they may result in severe malabsorptive disease and death. Chronic form of intestinal disease usually disrupts the normal function of the small intestine and results in vomiting, diarrhea, weight loss, and reduced appetite [1]. Duodenal disorders like ulcers, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) play a major role in the small intestinal disorders [2]. Duodenal disorders are difficult to identify clinically because of the non-specific symptoms. They may or may not be identifiable through routine radiographic, ultrasonographic, or laboratory evaluation methods, because many diseases of duodenum primarily involve the mucosal surface [3]. Thus diagnosis and treatment of the duodenal disorders are challenging for the canine practitioners [4]. Duodenoscopy is an efficient way of identifying the abnormalities of proximal small intestinal mucosa including mucosal irregularities, distortion, ulceration, neoplasia, inflammation, and other mucosal disorders of the duodenum. Duodenoscopy is also useful for obtaining mucosal biopsy and duodenal juice for routine histopathological and bacteriological studies [5].

2. Incidence

Twet [6] listed out the common duodenal disorders as inflammatory bowel disease, lymphangiectasia, ulcer, foreign body, *Giardia*, parasites (Strongyloides, *Ascaris*), bacterial overgrowth, and neoplasia.

Duodenal disorders	Breed	Reference
Gastroduodenal ulceration and erosion (GDUE)	Mixed breeds—2 years to 17 years of age groups of dogs	[7]
Spontaneous gastroduodenal perforation	Rottweiler, Dobermann Pinscher, German Shepherd, and Labrador Retriever	[8]
Gastrointestinal ulceration with intervertebral disk prolapse	Dachshunds	[9]
Congenital form of duodenal diverticulum	Boxer	[10]
Gastrointestinal perforation by meloxicam toxicity	Rottweiler, Springer Spaniel, Siberian Husky, and Newfoundland	[11]
Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)	German Shepherd, Boxers, and Yorkshire Terriers; Labrador Retrievers and Spitz and Dobermann Pinscher	[12, 13]
Chronic lymphocytic-plasmacytic enteritis	Basenji	[14]
Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO)	German Shepherd Mongrel and Spitz	[15–17]
Lymphangiectasia	Labrador Retriever, Yorkshire Terriers, Dachshund, and German Shepherd dog	[18]
Neoplasia—duodenal gastrinoma	No breed specific	[19]
<i>Giardia</i>	No breed specific	[20, 21]
Duodenal foreign body	No breed specific commonly in puppies	[22, 23]
• Bones (Figure 5) & Thread (Figure 6)		
Duodenal worms	Spitz	[24]
• <i>Ancylostoma caninum</i> (Figure 1)		



Figure 1.
Duodenoscopy: proximal duodenum—Ancylostoma caninum.

3. Pathogenesis

Neiger et al. [25] and Reed [26] opined that corticosteroids increased the secretion of free and total hydrochloric acid, diminished mucus secretion, and promoted bacterial colonization of peptic ulcers. Hinton et al. [8] reported that the common predisposing factors for gastroduodenal ulcer were nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) administration, corticosteroids, hepatic disease, major surgery, shock, decreased gastric circulation, gastric hyper secretions, and gastrointestinal neoplasia and predisposing factors for gastroduodenal perforation were IBD, non-neoplastic infiltrative gastrointestinal disease, *Dirofilaria immitis*, otitis externa, ulcerative dermatitis, dilated cardio myopathy, polycystic kidney, and thyroid illness. Various authors reported that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug administration resulted in duodenal ulcer formation was primarily due to the inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis via inhibition of cyclooxygenase [26–29]. Roherer et al. [30] recorded that degenerative disk diseases along with corticosteroid administration induced ulceration.

Dossin and Henroteaux [12], Jergens [31], Pibot et al. [32], and Kobayashi et al. [33] described inflammatory bowel disease as a group of idiopathic, chronic, gastrointestinal tract disorders, characterized by infiltration of gastrointestinal tract mucosa by inflammatory cells like lymphocytes, plasma cells, and various forms of IBD including lymphocytic-plasmacytic, eosinophilic, and granulomatous enterocolitis.

Mucosal immune system might play an important role in the pathogenesis of small intestinal enteropathies like IBD and idiopathic SIBO. Increased numbers of CD₄ T cells, IgA, IgG, and plasma cells were noticed in duodenal mucosa of dogs with IBD [34]. Cave [2] stated that heating the amino acid, lysine, reacted with reducing sugars to form Maillard compounds that cannot be digested or absorbed in a usable form and served as substrate for luminal bacteria in the small intestine, leading to quantitative or qualitative changes in the flora.

Dossin and Henroteaux [12] opined that gastroduodenal ulceration, erosion, delayed gastric emptying, and postprandial discomfort could lead to IBD. Sancho et al. [14] recorded significantly high serum gastrin in chronic lymphocytic-plasmacytic enteritis (LPE) due to duodenogastric reflux and further reported that refluxes of duodenal contents like bile, pancreatic juice, and other duodenal secretions in the stomach damaged the gastric mucosal barriers leading to an antral hypomotility and gastric acid hypersecretion.

Simpson et al. [35] reported that exocrine pancreatic insufficiency also caused small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in dogs. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth was defined as proliferation of abnormal numbers of bacteria in the upper small intestine. Common bacteria noticed in the proximal small intestine of dog included *Streptococcus faecalis*, other *Streptococcus species*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus xylosus*, other *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Bacillus sp.*, *Escherichia coli*, *Corynebacterium sp.*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Pseudomonas sp.* and *Pasteurella multocida*, *Clostridium subterminale*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Bifidobacterium sp.*, *Eubacterium sp.*, *Bacteroides fragilis*, other *Bacteroides spp.*, *Peptostreptococcus tetradius*, *Peptostreptococcus sp.*, *Propionibacterium acne*, and *Lactobacillus sp.* [60]. In small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, an increased numbers of luminal bacteria in the small intestine could damage the brush border of enterocytes directly or indirectly by attracting polymorphonucleocytes, deconjugating bile acids, producing hydroxylated fatty acid and alcohols, metabolizing dietary nutrients, and altering the absorptive function of the cells [36]. Rinkinen et al. [37] stated that IgA deficiency had been associated with increased susceptibility of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and inflammatory bowel disease.

Intestinal lymphangiectasia was characterized by the abnormal dilatation of lymphatic vessels within the mucosa and submucosa, associated with exudation of protein-rich lymph, into the intestine resulting in lipid malabsorptions [32]. Primary or congenital lymphangiectasia was a result of insufficiency or aplasia of lymphatic vessels, and secondary or acquired lymphangiectasia was due to functional obstruction of the lymphatics, and it might be due to the right side heart failure, constrictive heart failure, or intestinal neoplasia and inflammation [37].

Bonfanti et al. [39] reported that adenocarcinoma, carcinoma, leiomyoma, leiomyosarcoma, fibrosarcoma, lymphoma, and extra medullary plasmacytoma were the most common gastrointestinal tumors in dogs.

German [40] reported that *Giardia* was a flagellate protozoon, transmitted by direct life cycle, and it predominantly inhabit the duodenum of dogs. *Giardia duodenalis* is the common cause of chronic diarrhea in dogs [41].

Richter [42] and Leib and Matz [43] recorded a variety of foreign bodies like fruit pits, rubber ball, cloth, metal objects, coins, sponges, hair ball, bottle caps, chew toys, marbles, and bones in duodenum.

Clinical signs	IBD	Ulcers	SIBO	Lymphangiectasia	Giardia	Neoplasia	Parasites	Foreign body
Vomiting	1	2	5	4	5	2	2	1
Diarrhea	2		1	1	1	3	1	2
Poor response to treatment	4							
Reduced appetite	5		7		3			5
Lethargy	6			5	4	4	3	
Previous steroids/NSAIDs		1						
Abdominal pain		3					4	4
Abdominal distension		6	6					6
Edema/ascites				3				
Weight loss	3		2	2		1		
Steatorrhea			4					
Smell in feces			3					
Melena		4				5		7
Hematemesis		5				6		
Dehydration					2			
Anemia	7	7				7		8
Potbelly				6			5	
Cough							6	
Constipation								3
References	[13, 33, 44, 45]	[7, 8]	[15–17]	[38]	[46, 47]	[48]	[49]	[22]

Table 1.
Common clinical signs of various duodenal disorders.

4. Diagnosis

4.1 History

Diagnosis of duodenal disorder is challenging one, because of the non-specific signs. It requires invasive and noninvasive diagnostic modalities, viz., X-ray, ultrasound, and duodenoscopy, to identify the lesion localization. To confirm the root cause of the duodenal disorder, histopathology, cytology, and culture examination of duodenal aspirates through routine duodenoscopy examination are performed.

4.2 Clinical signs

The clinical signs of various duodenal disorders were recorded by various authors in different periods which were presented in the **Table 1**. The signs of duodenal disorders were arranged serially according to the episode of the disorders from 1, 2,..... to 7 & 8.

4.3 Hematological changes

In dogs with prolonged gastrointestinal bleeding, iron deficiency could develop microcytic hypochromic anemia [50]. Normocytic normochromic anemia in dogs due to GI ulceration and contentious administration of NSAIDs [51].

Jergens et al. [52] observed leukocytosis and relative polycythemia in five dogs and non-regenerative anemia in one dog with gastroduodenal ulceration. Leib and Matz [43] recorded regenerative anemia and eosinophilia in *Ancylostoma*-infected dogs. Ridgway et al. [53] observed thrombocytopenia in dogs with IBD. Ristic and Stidworthy [54] reported severe iron-deficiency (microcytic hypochromic) anemia due to inflammatory bowel disease in the dogs. Dossin and Henroteaux [12] observed chronic anemia with typical feature of iron deficiency (microcytic hypochromic anemia) and thrombocytopenia in IBD-affected dogs.

4.4 Biochemical changes

Hall [55] suggested that panhypoproteinemia (hypoalbuminemia and hypoglobulinemia) was typical of protein-losing enteropathy (PLE) and also reported that hyperglobulinemia was noticed in intense inflammatory conditions. Hinton et al. [8] recorded hypoproteinemia and hypocalcemia in gastroduodenal ulcer dogs. Measurement of the serum concentration of unconjugated bile acid was considered as an index for bacterial activity in the small intestine [56]. Dossin and Henroteaux [12] reported that hypoproteinemia and hypoalbuminemia were observed in IBD. Craven et al. [57] recorded hypoalbuminemia, hypoproteinemia, increased serum folate, and decreased serum cobalamin concentration in canine inflammatory bowel disease. Potoenjak et al. [38] and Brooks [58] observed hypoproteinemia, hypocholesterolemia, and hypocalcemia in lymphangiectasia. Marks [59] recorded that decreased serum cobalamin and increased folate concentration in SIBO affected dogs. Johnston [60] stated that indirect diagnosis of SIBO was made by estimation of deconjugated bile acid, serum cobalamin, and folate concentrations. Rutgers et al. [15] reported serum folate and cobalamin were only present in 5% of dogs with duodenal juice culture proven SIBO. Hall [61] suggested that serum folate and cobalamin concentrations cannot be used to diagnose SIBO because of the poor sensitivity, although a low serum cobalamin still has value as an indication to treat. Allenspach et al. [62] reported hypoalbuminemia and hypoglobulinemia are the important indicators of protein-losing enteropathy in dogs, and in such

case serum albumin concentration should be measured because hypoalbuminemia has been shown to be a negative prognostic indicator in dogs with chronic enteropathies.

4.5 Fecal examination

Berghoff and Steiner [63] reported chronic signs of intestinal disease should be evaluated for endoparasitic infestation before detail diagnostic examination to rule out hookworms (*Ancylostoma* spp., *Uncinaria* spp.), roundworms (*Toxocara* spp.), and whipworms (*Trichuris vulpis*). Broussard [49] stated that hookworm and *Ascaris* were diagnosed by standard fecal flotation method. Sokolow et al. [64] reported that *Giardia* could be diagnosed by the combination of fecal examination and centrifugal flotation.

Cystic stage of *Giardia* was diagnosed with zinc sulfate centrifugal or simple flotation technique and trophozoite stage by direct smear and detection of trophozoites and cysts in feces with FAT technique [46, 55]. Direct microscopic examination of fresh fecal samples suspended in saline could detect *Giardia* trophozoite [40, 59]. Fecal direct immunofluorescence assays were considered to be a golden standard method of diagnosis of *Giardia* infection in dogs, with a reported sensitivity and specificity of more than 90% each [65, 66]. Qualitative enzyme immunoassays (e.g., ProSpecT *Giardia* Microplate assay) and a SNAP test (SNAP *Giardia* Test) are also used for *Giardia* infection [41].

4.6 Duodenoscopy

Donaldson et al. [67] and Lamb [68] stated that gastroduodenoscopy was an important tool for examination of a dog with chronic vomiting and diarrhea and also suggested that visualization of duodenum, aspiration of duodenal contents, and microscopic examination of mucosal samples could be useful in diagnosis. Simpson [69] stated that indications for duodenoscopy were small bowel diarrhea, protein-losing enteropathies, chronic vomiting, and melena. Zoran [3] and Willard [70] reported that gastroduodenoscopy was not only valuable in visualization of mucosal irregularities and obtaining biopsies, cytologic samples, or fluids from duodenum but also might reveal anatomical distortions or displacements occurring in those regions.

Hinton et al. [8] reported that endoscopic examination was the diagnostic tool for gastroduodenal perforation. Moore [71] stated that most of the foreign bodies lodged in proximal duodenum could be removed by using endoscopy. Boston et al. [72, 73] reported that the endoscopy was commonly used to evaluate gastroduodenal ulceration and erosions induced by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and corticosteroids. Endoscopic examination of the upper gastrointestinal tract had been used increasingly as a diagnostic and therapeutic tool in small animal medicine, particularly in the diagnosis of chronic gastrointestinal diseases and in understanding their pathophysiology [74].

4.6.1 Endoscopic appearance of duodenum

Peyer's patches (lymph node) are noticed as flattened areas on the lateral aspect of ascending duodenum [48]. Richter [42] reported that the normal duodenum was pink, smooth, and uniform with velvet-like texture. Normal duodenum appeared slightly grainy or roughened because of the presence of mucosal villi and was reddish pink to yellow if bile was present [3]. In descending duodenum, the major duodenal papilla were noticed approximately 4–5 cm from pylorus on medial aspect

of duodenum, and minor duodenal papilla was located dorsal to the major papilla. Lymphoid follicle appeared as dished out area, often with white spots [70]. Bexfield [71] stated that the normal duodenum was more red in color than the stomach and had yellow tinge due to bile and also reported that the duodenal mucosa was more friable than that of the stomach and had a fine granular appearance due to the mass of intestinal villi.

4.6.2 Endoscopic appearance of duodenal disorders

Roth et al. [72, 73, 75] reported that increased granularity and friability associated with increased cellularity within the lamina propria were due to the inflammation or neoplasm of duodenum. Richter [42] described endoscopic appearance of duodenal ulcer as deep or shallow crater and well demarcated from the surrounding mucosa.

Forsyth et al. [76] described mucosal grading scale for ulceration study as follows: 0, no visible hemorrhage and erosions/ulcers; 1⁺, 1–5 punctate erosions and hemorrhage; 2⁺, 6–15 punctate erosions and hemorrhage; 3⁺, 16–25 punctate erosions and hemorrhage; 4⁺, ≥ 25 punctate erosions and hemorrhage and 1–5 invasive erosions; 5⁺, ≥ 5 invasive erosions; and 6⁺, ulcer of any size (**Figure 2**).

Yamasaki et al. [77] reported that endoscopic appearance of duodenum in lymphocytic-plasmacytic enteritis had mucosal friability and mucosal destruction and hemorrhage when the mucosa was gently touched with endoscope, which was not observed in normal duodenum of dog. Leib and Matz [43] reported that endoscopic appearance of duodenum in IBD-affected dogs was hyperemia, mucosal hemorrhage, ulceration, increased mucosal granularity, and friability. Lecoindre [78] observed a multifocal, pale, papular, and granular appearance of duodenum in lymphangiectasia in dogs. Miura et al. [79] and Snead [80] reported endoscopic appearance of duodenal neoplasia as ulceration, irregular mucosa, hyperemia, cobble stone or granular texture, and friability (**Figure 3**). Walker [81] recognized the diseased duodenum during duodenoscopy as reddened, ulcerated, thickened (**Figure 4**), and abnormally fibrosed or friable such that it bled abnormally following contact with the tip of the scope or after taking biopsy.

Freiche and Poncet [82] recorded increased granularity of mucosa and erythema of the proximal duodenum with highly visible Peyer's patches in brachycephalic breeds affected with upper airway and gastrointestinal syndrome. Sancho et al. [83] evaluated the endoscopic appearance of the descending duodenum in lymphocytic-plasmacytic enteritis and scored as follows: (1) intestinal lumen (0 = normal, 1 = narrowed due to



Figure 2.
Duodenoscopy: duodenal ulceration cum perforation.



Figure 3.
Duodenoscopy: duodenal ulceration in plasmacytic enteritis.




Figure 4.
Duodenoscopy: highly fragile duodenal mucosa in inflammatory bowel disease.




Figure 5.
Duodenoscopy: duodenal foreign body—thread in the proximal duodenum.

lack of elasticity), (2) mucosal erythema (0–3 depending on the degree of alteration), (3) mucosal granularity (0–3 depending on the degree of alteration), (4) irregular mucosa (0–3 depending on the degree of alteration), (5) friable mucosa (0–3 depending on the degree of alteration), and (6) erosions/ulcers (0–3 depending on the degree of alteration). And from this total score, the macroscopic and endoscopic duodenal lesions of the dogs were classified as normal duodenum (0 point), mild LPE (1–4, up to 25% of the maximum score), moderate LPE (5–8, up to 25–50% of the maximum score), and severe LPE (9–16, >50% of the maximum score).



Figure 6.
Duodenoscopy: duodenal foreign body—stones and bone pieces in the proximal duodenum.

4.6.3 Endoscopy-aided biopsy of duodenum

Willard et al. [84] and Michael et al. [85] stated that the endoscopic biopsy of the duodenal mucosa had been an important tool in the diagnosis of small intestinal tract diseases of dogs. Obtaining excellent duodenal tissue samples was typically harder than obtaining samples from other portions of the gastrointestinal tract, and they also opined that it was easier to obtain high-quality tissue samples from the descending duodenum than ascending duodenum [86]. Jergens et al. [87] suggested that the multiple mucosal biopsy specimens were required for diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease. Full-thickness biopsy of intestine was necessary to diagnose alimentary lymphoma, because lesions were usually deep seated and invaded the serosal layer [79].

The definitive diagnosis of IBD was obtained by histological examination of intestinal biopsies [12]. Walker [81] and Spillmann [88] reported that biopsy samples of ulcer condition should be obtained from the periphery of the lesion. Freiche and Poncet [82] observed lymphoplasmacytosis as a principle lesion from duodenal biopsies in brachycephalic dogs affected with upper airway and gastrointestinal syndrome. Bexfield [89] reported that biopsy could be performed by the use of flexible pinch biopsy forceps.

4.6.4 Endoscopy-aided brush cytology

Jergens et al. [90] and Zoran [3] observed that endoscopy-aided brush technique was most useful in detecting cellular infiltration in lamina propria and was considered as a reliable adjunct to histological examination of biopsy specimen in the diagnosis of GI diseases of dogs and cats.

4.6.5 Endoscopy-aided collection of duodenal juice

Pitts et al. [91], Roudebush and Delivorias [92], and Leib et al. [20] reported that aspirate of duodenal content revealed a *Giardia* trophozoite on microscopic examination of the sediments and it was more sensitive than zinc sulfate fecal flotation technique. Hall [55] stated that duodenal juice could be collected during duodenoscopy for diagnosis of SIBO.

4.7 Histopathology and brush cytology

Dow et al. [93] observed slightly blunted and thickened duodenal villi and increased infiltration of inflammatory cells in the dogs with duodenal ulcer (**Figure 7**). Willard

et al. [94] and Rutgers et al. [15] reported that histologic and intestinal mucosal cytologic examinations were not useful in detecting SIBO. Jergens et al. [52] and Yamasaki et al. [77] stated that duodenal mucosa in clinically normal dogs had low numbers of lymphocytes, histiocytes, plasma cells, and eosinophils. The severity of lymphangiectasia was graded by counting the number of dilated lacteals per low-power (10× objective) field. Ridgway et al. [53] observed increased mucosal granularity of duodenum, mucosal cellular infiltration, and architectural alteration in lymphocytic-plasmacytic enteritis (**Figure 8**).

Potoenjak et al. [38] reported histopathological appearances of lymphangiectasia, and there were dilated lacteals of small intestinal villi, lymphatics, focal infiltration of lamina propria with mononuclear cells particularly plasma cells, and mild edema of the lamina propria (**Figures 9, 10**). Duodenal biopsy revealed severe fibrosis of the submucosa and infiltrate of small pockets and cards of round to polygonal cells with granular cytoplasm in duodenal gastrinomas [19].

Cytological examination of intestinal lymphosarcoma revealed large, atypical granular lymphocytes containing irregularly shaped, magenta cytoplasmic granules, and in histopathologic examination, diffuse infiltration of the lamina propria of duodenum by a population of large lymphocytes was observed [80]. Jergens [95], Sancho et al. [83], and Day et al. [96] suggested the scoring system




Figure 7.
Excessive loss of duodenal villus epithelium in duodenal ulcer (H&E 10 μm).




Figure 8.
Lymphoplasmacytic infiltration of lamina propria of duodenum in IBD (H&E 10 μm).




Figure 9.
 Ulceration of villus epithelium with lymphoplasmacytic infiltration in IBD (H&E 50 μm).




Figure 10.
 Duodenal brush cytology—vacuolar degeneration of epithelium and MNC cells (5 μm).

for histopathology of duodenal biopsy samples as follows: intraepithelial lymphocytes, chronic inflammatory cell infiltration, edema, lymphocytic vessel dilation, glandular hyperplasia, and fibrosis scored between 0 and 3 according to the degree of alteration (0 = normal and 3 as the maximum alteration), and from the total score, the microscopic duodenal lesions of the dogs were classified as normal (0 point), mild (1–5, up to 25% of the maximum score), moderate (6–9, 25–50% of the maximum score), and severe (10–18, >50% of maximum score).

4.8 Duodenal juice culture

Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth was diagnosed by isolation of $\geq 10^5$ aerobic bacterial colony-forming units or $\geq 10^4$ anaerobic bacterial colony-forming units from duodenal/proximal jejunal fluid obtained from non-fed dogs [55]. Delles et al. [97] and Batt [16] reported that *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus xylosum*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Corynebacterium* sp., and *Proteus mirabilis* were the most commonly isolated bacteria from intestinal fluid.

Willard et al. [94], Rutgers et al. [15], and Lecoindre [78] reported that small intestinal bacterial overgrowth was diagnosed by quantitative bacterial culture of duodenal juice samples obtained endoscopically. Johnston [60], German et al. [98], and Marks [59] opined that culture of duodenal juice had been regarded as the gold standard for detecting elevated bacterial counts in SIBO. German et al. [99] stated that in SIBO of German Shepherd dog, the duodenal juice had reduced IgA concentration.

4.9 Ultrasound

Gastrointestinal ultrasonography has become a supporting noninvasive diagnostic tool for intestinal diseases and disorders in dogs. It is used to differentiate inflammation and neoplastic infiltrative disease of the intestine [100, 101]. Ultrasonography can be used to inspect the small intestinal wall thickness, dilatation, and peristalsis as well as for intraluminal, intramural, and extraluminal causes of obstruction [102]. Abdominal ultrasonography has become in many instances a part of the minimum database in conjunction with abdominal radiography for the assessment of intestinal disease [103]. The most common ultrasonographic features of intestinal abnormalities are thickening of the bowel wall, loss of its normal layer, and alterations in the contour of the mucosal and/or serosal surfaces [104]. Thickness, altered wall layering appears to be an important finding which differentiates inflammatory from neoplastic and granulomatous infiltration [105]. In dogs, ultrasonographic examination of the small intestine has been successfully used to identify gastrointestinal tumors [104]. Rudorf et al. [100] concluded in his study that ultrasonography should be used to rule out diseases such as intussusceptions and localized tumors in cases of chronic diarrhea.

Ultrasonographic changes were similar in lymphoplasmacytic enteritis and lymphoma of the small intestine, since the overlapping USG findings of inflammatory and neoplastic disease conditions of the intestinal disorders were only confirmed by histopathology examination, which is necessary for differentiating the diseases [106].

Smith et al. [107] reported that hyperechoic mucosal striations are associated with lacteal dilation and are frequently associated with mucosal inflammation and protein-losing enteropathy in dogs.

Gaschen et al. [101] concluded in his study that intestinal mucosal echogenicity could be used for detecting inflammatory bowel disease than bowel wall thickness with chronic diarrhea in dogs.

4.10 Radiographic examination

Gaschen [106] reported abdominal survey radiographic examination remains an important part of screening patients with vomiting and diarrhea, and it should be performed in conjunction with the abdominal ultrasonographic examination instances. Radiography of intestine could identify foreign bodies, nails, mass/neoplasia, and obstructions. Contrast radiography can be used as an adjunct in the investigation of GI disease. Barium studies can provide information on GI transit, obstructive lesions, intestinal mass lesions, or disrupted mucosal integrity.

5. Treatment

Therapeutic protocols for the gastrointestinal disorders are based on the acute or chronicity of the condition. Mostly duodenal disorders are observed with chronic condition because of the non-specific signs. Hence, therapy initiated with symptomatically and appropriated therapeutic regimen is followed after confirming the disease or disorders which are aided by duodenoscopy, histopathology, and bacteriology studies. Herewith some of the commonly using drugs for the various duodenal disorders are as follows.

Name of the drug	Dose	Condition	Reference
Azathioprine	Initially 2 mg/kg q24 h for 3 weeks and then 1–2 mg/kg q48 h up to 3 weeks	IBD	[108]
Misoprostol	2–5 µg/kg PO q8 h	GI protectants and cytoprotective agents	[109]
Fenbendazole	50 mg/kg PO q24 h for 3 days	Giardiasis	[110]
Pyrantel pamoate	5–10 mg/kg PO	<i>Toxocara</i> and <i>Ancylostoma</i> infection	[110]
Loperamide	0.1–0.2 mg/kg PO q8–12 h	Motility-modifying agents	[110]
Bismuth subsalicylate	1 ml/kg/day PO divided q8–12 h for 1–2 days	Anti-secretory drug	[109]
Metoclopramide	0.25–0.5 mg/kg PO, IM, or IV q8–24h, 1–2 mg/kg/day, constant IV infusion	Antiemetics	[109]
Ondansetron	0.1–0.2 mg/kg IV q8–24 h	Antiemetics	[109]
Prednisolone	1 mg/kg orally twice daily for 10 days, then 0.5 mg/kg orally twice daily for 10 days, then 0.5 mg/kg orally once daily for 10 days, and then 0.5 mg/kg orally every other day for 10 days	IBD	[111]
Metronidazole	15–25 mg/kg, PO, q12–24 h, for 5–7 days	Giardiasis	[112]
	10 mg/kg given orally every 8–12 h	SIBO/ARD	[61]
Oxytetracycline	10–20 mg/kg given orally every 8 h	SIBO/ARD	[61]
Omeprazole	0.7–2 mg/kg PO q12–24 h	Antacid/GI ulceration	[110]
Pantoprazole	1 mg/kg IV q24 h	Antacid/GI ulceration	[110]
Sucralfate	0.5–1 g per dog	GI protectants and cytoprotective agents	[109]
Ranitidine	1–2 mg/kg, PO or IV, q8–12 h	Antacid/GI ulceration	[110]
Tylosin	20–40 mg/kg PO q12 h	SIBO and ARD	[61]
Tinidazole	44 mg/kg, PO, q24 h for 6 days	Giardiasis	[112]

5.1 Dietary management

- Marks suggested feeding management is necessary for the management of most chronic small bowel disease of pets. Dogs with small bowel diarrhea can be managed by highly digestible, moderately fat-restricted, lactose-free, and gluten-free dietary supplement [111, 113].
- Milk- and lactose-contained diet should be avoided during the diarrhea, because during the diarrhea intestinal mucosal erosion lead reduction in the brush border enzyme especially lactase. Therefore fail to digest lactose leads bacterial degradation of sugar to VFA which causes osmotic diarrhea.

- Hypoallergenic diet refers to a diet that is generally free of additives and preservatives and contains a single, novel protein source that is highly digestible. Hypoallergenic diet is very much useful for the management canine IBD along with immunosuppressive therapy.

6. Conclusions

Duodenal disorders are the one among major gastrointestinal disturbances in dogs and it also interrelated to the other GI disturbances. Diagnosis of these disorders is a challenging one, due to their non-specific signs and hence, contrasts radiography and endoscopy which play a vital role in the diagnosis. Duodenoscopy is an efficient way of identifying the abnormalities of mucosal irregularities, distortion, ulceration, neoplasia, and inflammation of intestines, and it is very much useful for obtaining mucosal biopsy and duodenal juice for histopathological and bacteriological studies.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges Dr. B. Nagarajan, Professor Madras Veterinary College, TANUVAS, Chennai, for his support.

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest.

Author details

Mani Saravanan

Veterinary Clinical Complex, Veterinary College and Research Institute, Tamilnadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (TANUVAS), Thanjavur, India

*Address all correspondence to: sara82vet@yahoo.com

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Tams TR. Small Animal Endoscopy. 2nd ed. St. Luis: C.V. Mosby Company; 1999. pp. 98, 99, 175, 176
- [2] Cave NJ. Chronic inflammatory disorders of gastrointestinal tract of companion animals. New Zealand Veterinary Journal. 2003;**51**:262-274
- [3] Zoran LD. Gastroduodenoscopy in dog and cat. The Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice. 2001;**31**:631-656
- [4] Shales CJ, Warren J, Anderson DM, Baines SJ, Whites RA. Complications following full thickness small intestinal biopsy in 66 dogs: A retrospective study. The Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2005;**46**:317-321
- [5] Williams DA. Gastrointestinal endoscopy in dogs and cats. In: The 29th World Congress WSAVA Congress. 2004
- [6] Twet DC. Perspective on gastrointestinal endoscopy. The Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice. 1993;**23**: 481-495
- [7] Jergens AE, Moore FM, March P. Idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease associated with gastro duodenal ulceration-erosion: A report of nine cases in the dog and cat. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 1992;**28**:21-26
- [8] Hinton LE, McLoughlin MA, Johnson SE, Weisbrode SE. Spontaneous gastro duodenal perforation in 16 dogs and seven cats (1982-1999). Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2002;**38**:176-187
- [9] Dowdle SM, Joubert KE, Lambrechts NE, Lobetti RG, Pardini AD. The prevalence of sub clinical gastro duodenal ulceration in dachshunds with intervertebral disc prolapse. Journal of the South African Veterinary Association. 2003;**74**:77-81
- [10] Klaveren NJV, Grinwis GCM, Brocks BAN, Kirpensteijn J. Collapse following gastro intestinal bleeding secondary to a congenital duodenal diverticulum into two littermate boxer pups. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2008;**49**(2):103-106
- [11] Enberg TB, Braun LD, Kuzma AB. Gastro intestinal perforation in five dogs associated with the administration of meloxicam. Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care. 2006;**16**:34-43
- [12] Dossin O, Henroteaux M. Diagnosis and treatment of inflammatory bowel disease in dogs. Waltham Focus. 2004;**14**:19-24
- [13] Saravanan M, Nagarajan B, Kavitha S, Balachandran C, Senthilkumar K, Srinivasan SR. Duodenoscopic evaluation of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in dogs. The Indian Veterinary Journal. 2013;**90**(2):74-76
- [14] Sancho MG, Franco FR, Sainz A, Rodriguez A, Silvan G, Carlos Lllera J. Serum gastrin in canine chronic lymphocytic plasmacytic enteritis. The Canadian Veterinary Journal. 2005;**46**:630-634
- [15] Rutgers HC, Batt RM, Elwood CM, Lamport A. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in dogs with chronic intestinal disease. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1995;**206**:187-193
- [16] Batt RM. Bacterial over growth in dogs. In: 27th WSAVA Congress. 2002
- [17] Saravanan M, Nagarajan B, Kavitha S, Balachandaran C, Senthilkumar K, Srinivasan SR. A study on clinico biochemical, endoscopic and duodenal

aspirate culture of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth affected dogs. *Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine*. 2013;**33**(1):61-63

[18] Kull PA, Hess RS, Craig LE, Saunders HM, Washbao RJ. Clinical, clinicopathologic, radiographic and ultrasonographic characteristics of intestinal lymphangiectasia in dogs: 17 cases (1996-1998). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 2001;**219**:197-202

[19] Vergine M, Pozzo S, Pogliani E, Rondena M, Roccabianca P, Bertazzolo W. Common bile duct obstruction due to a duodenal gastrinoma in a dog. *Veterinary Journal*. 2004;**170**:141-143

[20] Leib MS, Dalton N, King SE, Zajac AM. Endoscopic aspiration of intestinal contents in dogs and cats: 394 cases. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 1999;**13**:191-193

[21] Jacobs SR, Forrester CPR, Yang J. A survey of the prevalence of *Guardia* in dog presented to Canadian veterinary practice. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*. 2001;**42**:45-46

[22] Leib MS. Disease of stomach. In: Leib MS, Monvove WE, editors. *Practical Small Animal Internal Medicine*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1997. pp. 640-655

[23] Saravanan M, Nagarajan B, Kavitha S. Duodenal foreign body in dogs: Endoscopic findings. *Indian Journal of Veterinary Research*. 2011;**31**(2):134-135

[24] Saravanan M, Nagarajan B, Kavitha S. Endoscopic retrieval of *Ancylostoma caninum* from duodenum of a dog. *Intas Polivet*. 2011;**12**(1):102-103

[25] Neiger R, Gaschen F, Jaggy A. Gastric mucosal lesions in dogs with acute intervertebral disc disease.

Characterizations and effects of omeprazole or misoprostol. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2000;**14**:33-36

[26] Reed S. Non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs induced duodenal ulceration and perforation in a nature rottweiler. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*. 2002;**43**:971-972

[27] Neiger R. Editorial: NSAID-Induced gastro intestinal adverse effects in dogs—Can we avoid them? *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2003;**17**:259-261

[28] Duerr FM, Carr AP, Bechtk TN, Pople NC. Challenging diagnosis-Ictus associated with a single perforating duodenal ulcer after long term non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug administration in a dog. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*. 2004;**45**:507-510

[29] Hantson SM, Bostwick DR, Twet DC. Clinical evaluation of cimetidine, several fate, and misoprostol for prevention of gastro intestinal tract bleeding in dogs undergoing spinal surgery. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*. 1997;**58**:1320-1323

[30] Roherer CR, Hill RC, Fischer A. Gastric hemorrhage and the effect of miso prosol in dogs treated with high doses of methyl prednisolone. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 1997;**11**:145

[31] Jergens AE. Inflammatory bowel disease current perspectives. *The Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*. 1999;**29**:501-520

[32] Pibot P, Biourge V, Elliott D. Chronic disease of intestinal tract causing diarrhea. In: *Encyclopedia of Canine Clinical Nutrition*. Royal Canin; 2006. pp. 111-112

[33] Kobayashi S, Ohno K, Uetsuka K, Nakashima K, Setoguchi A, Fujino Y, et al. Measurement of intestinal

mucosal permeability in dogs with lymphocytic plasmacytic enteritis. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*. 2007;**69**:745-749

[34] German AJ, Hall EJ, Day MJ. Immune cell populations within the duodenal mucosa of dogs with enteropathies. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2001;**15**:14-25

[35] Simpson KW, Batt RM, Jones D, Norton DB. Effects of exocrine pancreatic insufficiency and replacement therapy on bacterial flora of the duodenum in dogs. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*. 1990;**51**:203-206

[36] Hall EJ, German AJ. Disease of small intestine. In: Ettinger SJ, Feldman EC, editors. *Text Book of Veterinary Internal Medicine Diseases of the Dog and Cat*. 6th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Saunders; 2000. pp. 1332-1374

[37] Rinkinen M, Teppo AM, Wester E. Relationship between canine mucosal and serum immunoglobulin A (IgA) concentrations; serum IgA does not assess duodenal secretory IgA. *Microbial Immunology*. 2003;**47**:155-159

[38] Potoenjak D, Ramadan K, Kucer N, Matijatko V, Curic S, Sabocanec R, et al. Secondary intestinal lymphangiectasia in a dog—A case report. *Veterinary Archives*. 2001;**3**:159-171

[39] Bonfanti U, Bertazzolo W, Bottero E, Lorenzi DD, Marconato L, Masserdotti C, et al. Diagnostic value of cytologic examination of gastrointestinal tract tumors in dogs and cats: 83 cases (2001-2004). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 2006;**229**:1130-1133

[40] German AJ. Diseases of the small intestine. In: Hall EJ, Simpson JW, William DA, editors. *BASAVA Manual*

of Canine and Feline Gastro Enterology. 2nd ed. Quedgeley, England: British Small Animal Veterinary Association; 2005. pp. 181-193

[41] Dryden MW, Payne PA, Smith V. Accurate diagnosis of giardia spp and proper fecal examination procedures. *Veterinary Therapeutics*. 2006;**7**(1):4-14

[42] Richter KP. An introduction to endoscopy instrumentation and technique. *Veterinary Medicine*. 1992;**116**:1165-1175

[43] Leib MS, Matz ME. Disease of the intestine. In: Leib MS, Monvove WE, editors. *Practical Small Animal Internal Medicine*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1997. pp. 685-690

[44] Sturgess K. Diagnosis and management of idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease in dogs and cats. *In Practice*. 2005;**27**:293-301

[45] German A. Update on inflammatory bowel disease. In: WSAVA Congress. 2006. pp. 15-17

[46] Conboy G. Giardia. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*. 1997;**38**:245-247

[47] Olson ME, Leonard NJ, Strout J. Prevalence and diagnosis of giardia infection in dogs and cats using a fecal antigen test and fecal smear. *Canadian Veterinary Journal*. 2010;**51**:640-642

[48] Strombeck DR. Small and large intestine normal structure and function. In: Guilford WG, Center SA, Strombeck DR, William DA, Meyer DJ, editors. *Stomberg's Small Animal Gastroenterology*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1996. pp. 318-1350

[49] Broussard JD. Optimal faecal assessment. *Clinical Techniques in Small Animal Practice*. 2003;**18**:218-230

[50] Twet DC. Gastric ulcers. In: Kirk RW, editor. *Current Veterinary Therapy*

VIII. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1983. pp. 765-770

[51] Wallace MS, Zawie DA, Garvey MS. Gastric ulceration in the dog secondary to the use of non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association*. 1990;**26**:467-472

[52] Jergens AE, Moore FM, Haynes JS, Miler AKG. Idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease in dogs and cats: 84 cases (1987-1990). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 1992;**201**:1603-1608

[53] Ridgway J, Jergens AE, Niyo Y. Possible causal association of idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease with thrombocytopenia in the dog. *Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association*. 2001;**37**:65-74

[54] Ristic JME, Stidworthy MF. Two cases of severe iron-deficiency anemia due to inflammatory bowel disease in the dog. *The Journal of Small Animal Practice*. 2002;**43**:80-83

[55] Hall EJ. Clinical laboratory evaluation of small intestinal function. *The Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*. 1999;**29**:441-469

[56] Suchodolski JS, Steiner JM. Laboratory assessment of gastrointestinal intestinal function. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*. 2003;**18**:203-210

[57] Craven M, Simpson JW, Ridyard AE, Chandler ML. Canine inflammatory bowel disease: Retrospective analysis of diagnosis and outcome in 80 cases (1995-2002). *The Journal of Small Animal Practice*. 2004;**45**:336-342

[58] Brooks TA. Case study in canine intestinal lymphangiectasia. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*. 2005;**46**:1138-1142

[59] Marks SL. Chronic diarrhea in dogs-making the correct diagnosis or avoiding the wrong one. In: *The Second Royal Canine Scientific Meeting*. 2007. pp. 45-51

[60] Johnston EL. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *The Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*. 1999;**29**:523-550

[61] Hall EJ. Antibiotic-responsive diarrhea in small animals. *Veterinary Clinics: Small Animal Practice*. 2011;**41**:273-286

[62] Allenspach K, Wieland B, Grone A, Gaschen F. Chronic enteropathies in dogs: Evaluation of risk factors for negative outcome. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2007;**21**(4):700-708

[63] Berghoff N, Steiner JM. Laboratory tests for the diagnosis and management of chronic canine and feline enteropathies. *Veterinary Clinics: Small Animal Practice*. 2011;**41**:311-328

[64] Sokolow SH, Rand C, Mark SL. Epidemiological evaluation of diarrhea in dogs in an animal shelter. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*. 2005;**66**:1018-1024

[65] Rishniw M, Liotta J, Bellosa M, Bowman D, Simpson KW. Comparison of 4 giardia diagnostic tests in diagnosis of naturally acquired canine chronic subclinical giardiasis. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2010;**24**(2):293-297

[66] Geurden T, Berkvens D, Casaert S, Vercruysse J, Claerebout E. A Bayesian evaluation of three diagnostic assays for the detection of giardia duodenalis in symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2008;**157**(1-2):14-20

[67] Donaldson LL, Leib OMS, Boyd C, Burkholder W, Shevidan M. Effect

- of preanesthetic medication on ease of endoscopic incubation of the duodenum in anesthetized dogs. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*. 1993;**54**:1489-1495
- [68] Lamb CR. Recent developments in diagnostic imaging of the gastro intestinal tract of the dog and cat. *The Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*. 1999;**29**: 307-342
- [69] Simpson KW. Gastro intestinal endoscopy in the dogs. *The Journal of Small Animal Practice*. 1993;**34**:180-188
- [70] Willard MD. Alimentary tract endoscopy. In: Fossum TW, editor. *Small Animal Surgery*. 2nd ed. St. Luis, Missouri: Mosby; 2002. pp. 109-114
- [71] Moore LE. The advantage and disadvantage of endoscopy. *Clinical Techniques in Small Animal Practice*. 2003;**18**:250-253
- [72] Boston SE, Moens NMM, Kruth SA, Southern EP. Endoscopic evaluation of the gastro duodenal mucosa to determine the safety of short term concurrent administration of meloxicam and dexamethasone in healthy dogs. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*. 2003;**64**:1369-1375
- [73] Spillmann T. Endoscopy of the gastrointestinal tract when its rarely indicated. In: *Proceeding of the WSAVA Congress; Australia*. 2007
- [74] Bexfield N. Gastro intestinal endoscopy in the dog and cat. Part-1: Upper GI tract. *UK Vet*. 2007;**12**:59-67
- [75] Roth L, Leib MS, Davenport DJ, Monroe WE. Comparison between endoscopic and histologic evaluation of the gastro intestinal tract in dogs and cats: 75 cases (1984-1987). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 1990;**196**:635-638
- [76] Forsyth SF, Guilford WG, Lawoko CRO. Endoscopic evaluation of the gastroduodenal mucosa following NSAID administration in the dog. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal*. 1996;**44**:179-181
- [77] Yamasaki K, Suematsu H, Takahashi T. Comparison of gastric and duodenal lesions in dogs and cats with and without lymphocytic plasmacytic enteritis. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 1996;**209**:95-97
- [78] Lecoindre P. An atlas of gastro intestinal endoscopy in dogs and cats. *Waltham Focus*. 1999;**9**:2-9
- [79] Miura T, Maruyama H, Sakai M, Takahashi T, Koie H, Yamaya Y, et al. Endoscopic findings on alimentary lymphoma in 7 dogs. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*. 2004;**66**:577-580
- [80] Snead ERC. Large granular intestinal lymphosarcoma and leukemia in a dog. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*. 2007;**48**:848-851
- [81] Walker MC. Endoscopy of the small and large intestine. In: *The North America Veterinary Congress*. 2006. pp. 439-440
- [82] Freiche V, Poncet C. Upper air way and gastro intestinal syndrome in brachycephalic dogs. *Veterinary Focus*. 2007;**17**:4-10
- [83] Sancho MG, Franco FR, Sainz A, Mancho C, Rodriguez A. Evaluation of clinical macroscopic and histopathologic response to treatment in non hypoproteinemia dogs with lymphocytic plasmacytic enteritis. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2007;**21**:11-17
- [84] Willard MD, Lovering SL, Cohen ND, Weeks BR. Quality of tissue specimens obtained endoscopically

from the duodenum of dogs and cats. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2001;**219**:474-479

[85] Michael WD, Lovering LL, Noah CD, Week BR. Quality of tissue specimen obtained endoscopically from duodenum of dogs and cats. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2001;**299**(4):474-479

[86] Mansell J, Willard MD. Biopsy of the gastro intestinal tract. The Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice. 2003;**33**:1099-1116

[87] Jergens AE, Sohreiner CA, Frank DE, Ahrens EF, Eckersall PD, Benson TJ, et al. A scoring index for disease activity in canine inflammatory bowel disease. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2003;**17**:291-297

[88] Spillmann T. Gastroduodenoscopy in dogs and cats. In: 30th World Congress WSAVA Congress; Mexico. 2005. pp. 11-14

[89] Bexfield N. Gastro intestinal endoscopy in the dog and cat. Part-2: Lower GI tract and biopsy techniques. UK Vet. 2007;**12**:27-34

[90] Jergens AE, Andreasen CB, Hagemoser WA, Ridgway J, Campbell KL. Cytologic examination of exfoliative specimen obtained during endoscopy for diagnosis of GI tract disease in dogs and cats. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1998;**213**:1755-1759

[91] Pitts RP, Twet DC, Mallie KA. Comparison of duodenal aspiration with faecal floatation for diagnosis of giardiasis in dogs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1985;**182**:1210-1211

[92] Roudebush P, Delivorias MH. Duodenal aspiration via flexible endoscope for diagnosis of giardiasis

in a dog. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1985;**187**:162-163

[93] Dow SW, Rosychuk RAW, McChesney AE, Curtis CR. Effects of flunixin and flunixin plus prednisone on gastro intestinal tract of dogs. American Journal of Veterinary Research. 1990;**51**:1131-1138

[94] Willard MD, Simpson RB, Fossum TW, Cohen ND, Delles EK, Kolp DI, et al. Characterization of naturally developing small intestinal over growth in 16 German shepherd dogs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1994;**204**:1201-1206

[95] Jergens AE. Clinical assessment of disease activity for canine inflammatory bowel disease. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2004;**40**:437-445

[96] Day MJ, Bilzer T, Mansell J, Wilcock B, Hall EJ, Jergens A, et al. Histopathological standards for the diagnosis of gastrointestinal inflammation in endoscopic biopsy samples from the dog and cat: A report from the world small animal veterinary association gastrointestinal standardization group. Journal of Comparative Pathology. 2008;**138**(Suppl. 1):S1-S43

[97] Delles EK, Willard MD, Simpson RB, Fossum TW, Slater M, Lees GE, et al. Comparison of species and numbers of bacteria in concurrently cultured samples of proximal small intestinal fluid and endoscopically obtained duodenal mucosa in dogs with intestinal over growth. American Journal of Veterinary Research. 1994;**55**:957-964

[98] German AJ, Day MJ, Ruanx CG, Steiner JM, Williams DA, Hall EJ. Comparison of direct and indirect test for SIBO with antibiotic responsive diarrhea in dogs. Journal

of Veterinary Internal Medicine.
2003;**17**:33-43

[99] German AJ, Hall EJ, Day MJ. Relative deficiency in IgA production by duodenal explants from German Shepherd dogs with small intestinal disease. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*. 2000;**76**:25-43

[100] Rudolf H, van Schaik G, O'Brien RT, Brown PJ, Barr FJ, Hall EJ. Ultrasonographic evaluation of the thickness of the small intestinal wall in dogs with inflammatory bowel disease. *The Journal of Small Animal Practice*. 2005;**46**(7):322-326

[101] Gaschen L, Kircher P, Stüssi A, Allenspach K, Gaschen F, Doherr M, et al. Comparison of ultrasonographic findings with clinical activity index (CIBDAI) and diagnosis in dogs with chronic enteropathies. *Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound*. 2008;**49**(1):56-64

[102] Penninck D, d'Anjou M-A. Gastrointestinal tract. In: *Atlas of Small Animal Ultrasonography*. 2nd ed. New York, United States: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015. pp. 259-308

[103] Gaschen L, Rodriguez D. Stomach, small and large intestines. In: Barr F, Gaschen L, editors. *BSAVA Manual of Canine and Feline Ultrasonography*. Woodrow House: BSAVA; 2011. pp. 124-139

[104] Penninck DG, Smyers B, Webster CRL, Rand W, Moore AS. Diagnostic value of ultrasonography in differentiating enteritis from intestinal neoplasia in dogs. *Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound*. 2003;**44**:570-575

[105] Agut A. Ultrasonography of the small intestine in small animals. *Veterinary Focus*. 2009;**19**(1):20-28

[106] Gaschen L. Ultrasonography of small intestinal inflammatory and

neoplastic diseases in dogs and cats. *Veterinary Clinics: Small Animal Practice*. 2011;**41**:329-344

[107] Smith JS, Penninck DG, Keating JH, Webster CRL. Ultrasonographic intestinal hyperechoic mucosal striations in dogs are associated with lacteal dilation. *Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound*. 2007;**48**(1):51-57

[108] Simpson KW, Jergens AE. Pitfalls and progress in the diagnosis and management of canine inflammatory bowel disease. *Veterinary Clinics: Small Animal Practice*. 2011;**41**:381-398

[109] Willard MD. Digestive system disorders. In: Richard W, Nelson C, Couto G, editors. *Small Animal Internal Medicine*. 5th ed. St. Louis, Missouri: Elsevier; 2014. pp. 367-500

[110] Ramsey I. The BSAVA Small Animal Formulary. 6th ed. Quedgeley, England: British Small Animal Veterinary Association; 2008

[111] Hall EJ, Simpson KW. Diseases of the small intestine. In: Ettinger SJ, Feldman EC, editors. *Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2000. pp. 1182-1238

[112] Tangtrongsup S, Scorza V. Update on the diagnosis and management of *Giardia* spp. infections in dogs and cats. *Topics in Companion Animal Medicine*. 2010;**25**(3):155-162

[113] Marks SL. Advances in dietary management of gastrointestinal disease. In: *Managing Gastrointestinal Health, Diabetes, and Obesity*. WSAVA; 2003