

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

185,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Myeloid Cells in Multiple Sclerosis

*Marilyn Wang, Sofia Caryotakis, Nagendra Kumar Rai,
Alan Nguyen and Athena M. Soulika*

Abstract

In steady state, the central nervous system (CNS) houses a variety of myeloid cells, such as microglia, non-parenchymal macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs), and granulocytes. Most of these cells enter the CNS during embryogenesis and are crucial for proper CNS development. In adulthood, these resident myeloid cells exert crucial homeostatic functions. In neuroinflammatory conditions, like multiple sclerosis (MS), both lymphoid and myeloid cells from the periphery infiltrate the tissue and cause local damage. Although lymphocytes are undeniably important players in MS, CNS-resident and CNS-infiltrating myeloid cells have recently gained much-deserved attention for their roles in disease progression. Here, we will review significant advances made in recent years delineating myeloid cell functions within the CNS both in homeostasis and MS. We will also discuss how these cells are affected by currently employed therapeutics for MS patients.

Keywords: microglia, macrophages, dendritic cells, monocytes, granulocytes, disease modifying treatments, multiple sclerosis, EAE

1. Introduction

Myeloid cells are crucial for central nervous system (CNS) tissue function both in development and adulthood. Other than microglia, which are found in the parenchyma, CNS meningeal and perivascular spaces along with the choroid plexus, are populated by special subsets of macrophages and dendritic cells [1–3]. Additionally, granulocyte cells are also present in the homeostatic CNS [4]. Studies in rodents have elucidated mechanisms by which these cells promote tissue physiology.

In multiple sclerosis (MS), myeloid cells play a dominant role. Studies in mice and human patient samples show that myeloid cells from the periphery enter the tissue through a compromised blood-brain barrier (BBB) and together with CNS-resident cells perpetuate the inflammatory environment through secretion of inflammatory cytokines and reactivation of primed T cells. However, myeloid cells may also exhibit anti-inflammatory and pro-reparative functions. The exact contribution of each myeloid subset to disease progression is currently the focus of thorough investigation.

Here, we will provide an overview of myeloid cell types and functions in homeostasis and how these populations evolve in neuroinflammation. In addition, we will review the effects of therapeutics currently employed for MS patients on myeloid cell populations and functions.

2. CNS-resident myeloid cells in homeostasis

The CNS houses a variety of myeloid cell subsets that exert multiple functions crucial to homeostasis such as BBB maintenance, sampling of the local milieu, synaptic pruning, and control of neuronal populations in development and adulthood. In this section, we will elaborate on the developmental origin and known functions of these subsets in the CNS.

2.1 Microglia

Microglia are resident immune cells within the CNS parenchyma proper. They derive from Runx1⁺ erythromyeloid precursors in the extraembryonic yolk sac and enter the brain early in embryonic development [5–7]. Before migrating out of the yolk sac, these progenitors acquire CD45 and CX3CR1 expression [8] and seed the brain parenchyma around embryonic day 9.5 [7, 9, 10], through a process that is mediated largely by the metalloproteinases MMP8 and MMP9 [8].

Microglia development relies on transcription factors PU.1, IRF8, and colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) signaling [11], whereas transcription factors such as MYB, BATF3, and ID2 are not necessary, suggesting that microglia are transcriptionally distinct from bone marrow-derived myeloid cells [6, 10]. Moreover, the microglial transcriptional profile changes at each developmental stage, roughly divided into early microglia (microglia that seed the brain from E10.5 to E12.5), pre-microglia (microglia found in the CNS from E12.5 up to P9), and adult microglia [10, 12]. Early microglia are highly proliferative, pre-microglia exert functions on synapse pruning [10] and excess neuron elimination [13], and adult microglia perform immune surveillance but also synaptic refinement [11, 14–16]. During development, microglia control the numbers of neural progenitors via phagocytosis. This was shown by clodronate-mediated microglia deletion in organotypic brain cultures [13] or in CSF1R knockout mice, which lack microglia [17]. However, CSF1R is also expressed in other cells including peripheral myeloid subsets and neurons. Specific deletion of CSF1R in nestin⁺ cells recapitulated some of the observed effects in the global CSF1R knockout [17].

Complement components C1q and C3 tag extra synapses which are then removed by microglia via CR3 receptor-mediated phagocytosis [15, 16]. This process is known as synaptic pruning [15]. Neuronally derived CX3CL1 acting on microglial CX3CR1 is one of the cues that guide microglia to the synapses [15]. Mice deficient in microglia or CX3CR1 exhibit neuronal connectivity and behavioral deficits similar to those observed in autism spectrum disorders [4, 10, 18, 19]. Developing microglia also control neural cells in the cerebellum and were shown to induce Purkinje cell death via NADPH activity [5, 20]. On the other hand, developing microglia also secrete trophic factors that promote neuronal circuit formation and neuronal survival. Microglial-derived insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) promotes survival of cortical layer V neurons in postnatal development. In addition, it induces the fate of many cell lineages, such as oligodendrocytes, and also protects them from glutamate-mediated apoptosis [5]. Basic fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, epidermal growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, nerve growth factor, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor are all also secreted by microglia and contribute to neuronal development, maintenance, and function throughout life [21–23].

As microglia mature, they adopt a ramified morphology characterized by a small body and thin, long processes. Interestingly, recent studies suggest that adult microglia are not a homogeneous population and their activation state is the result of region-specific cues [24–30]. They are self-renewing via a local progenitor [31, 32],

but in certain instances and when microglia are depleted for prolonged periods of time via genetic or pharmacologic methods, peripheral myeloid cells can enter and engraft in the CNS for long periods but remain functionally distinct [33]. Microglia in the steady-state CNS depend on CSF1R signaling for survival. Both CSF1R ligands, CSF1 and IL-34, are found in the normal CNS and their expression is regionally controlled [34]. Interestingly, in the absence of CSF1, microglia numbers decrease by 30%, while in the absence of IL-34, microglial numbers decrease by 70%. IL-34 in particular controls the migration of microglial precursor cells in the CNS via CSF1R signaling in development [35]. TGF- β signaling is also necessary for homeostatic microglial functions, and in its absence, they assume a transcriptome that is similar to that of peripheral macrophages [36].

Defining microglial markers that are distinct from those of peripheral monocytes has been the focus of investigation for many years. New RNA-Seq techniques yielded a number of genes that are preferentially expressed by microglia but not peripheral myeloid cells in homeostasis [1, 10, 18, 37, 38]. Lately, the most commonly employed markers are the purinergic receptor P2Y, G-protein coupled receptor 12 (P2RY12), the transmembrane protein TMEM119, and the transcriptional regulator Sal-like 1 (SALL1) [1, 10, 18, 37, 38]. Both P2RY12 and TMEM119 are expressed by the vast majority of microglia within the healthy CNS. The function of TMEM119 in microglia has not been yet elucidated, but in other cell types, it has been implicated with differentiation and proliferation [39–42]. P2RY12 serves as a chemotactic receptor that guides microglia to sites of injury [26]. SALL1 is a microglia fate-determining factor, vital for expression of essential microglial genes and normal microglial morphology [26, 36]. Whether these markers are still able to differentiate between microglia and infiltrating myeloid cells in neuroinflammation, when all these cells undergo major transcriptional changes, is still under investigation. However, SALL1 and TMEM119 are emerging as the most reliable microglial markers.

Adult microglia exert multiple roles in tissue maintenance: they phagocytose debris or dead cells, clear toxic amyloid- β , shape neural circuits via phagocytosing inappropriate or inactive connections [16], provide trophic support to neurons by producing growth factors, and regulate neurogenesis in the hippocampus and the subventricular zone (SVZ). Interestingly, microglial-derived CX3CL1 increases with exercise and confers a protective effect on neuronal cells, while CX3CR1 deletion results in activated microglia with an inflammatory phenotype, leading to decreased rates of adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus [43–45]. In addition, microglia phagocytose neuronal progenitors in the adult SVZ, thus controlling the local pool of neurons [18, 44, 46]. Microglia also influence oligodendrocyte development and myelinogenesis both during development and in adulthood. In the adult CNS, microglia are necessary for myelin homeostasis and maintenance of adult oligodendrocyte progenitor cells [47, 48], promote BBB function [49, 50], and in case of injury, they migrate to the affected site to promote repair [51].

Microglial malfunction is associated with neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and neurodevelopmental and psychological defects such as Rett syndrome and obsessive-compulsive disorder [10]. Furthermore, the lack of phagocytosis by microglia results in excess synapses which is associated with impaired memory formation [16].

2.2 Tissue-resident macrophages

In addition to microglia, the healthy CNS houses three types of non-parenchymal tissue-resident macrophages. They are named based on their location and are currently categorized as perivascular macrophages, meningeal macrophages,

and macrophages in the choroid plexus [1, 52]. These macrophage populations are optimally placed to regulate and interrogate peripheral cell entry, act as sentinels by sampling their environment, and quickly respond in the event of an insult. Previously thought to be derived from bone marrow (BM) monocytic progenitor cells, it is now established that the majority of CNS-resident macrophages are long-lived and transcriptionally similar to microglia. As such, most of these cells are derived from erythromyeloid progenitors found in the extraembryonic yolk sac or the fetal liver, and their generation is dependent on PU.1 and independent of MYB and BATF3 [1, 7]. Choroid plexus macrophages are the most distinct among these types of CNS non-parenchymal macrophages and originate from either embryonic precursors or BM.

Perivascular macrophages are located between the blood vessel endothelium (of BBB) and the glia limitans, which form the barrier for the CNS parenchyma. They are wrapped around endothelial walls with their elongated cell bodies and monitor the perivascular space [2]. Perivascular macrophages provide nutrients to endothelial cells, regulate vascular permeability, maintain BBB integrity, clear toxic amyloid- β from the CNS, sample debris to assess the local milieu, and communicate with surrounding cells [52]. Their location is ideal to simultaneously sample both the CNS interstitial fluid and the blood [1, 52]. Perivascular macrophages infiltrate the CNS at the same time as microglia (E 9.5) and populate the abluminal spaces of the newly developed vasculature. Together with microglia, these macrophages play significant roles on the refinements of the developing vasculature [53]. In adulthood and in response to injury, perivascular macrophages promote anastomoses and the repair of vasculature [54].

Meningeal macrophages have a very similar origin and transcriptional control as perivascular macrophages. They are located in between meningeal vasculature and ER-TR7+ fibroblast-like cells that line the meninges. They also express similar markers to those of perivascular macrophages and are also long-lived with negligible contribution from the periphery [1, 2].

The choroid plexus macrophages reside on the apical side of the epithelium facing the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in the stroma. The stroma of the choroid plexus is highly vascularized and surrounded by a monolayer of cuboidal epithelial cells, which are joined together by tight junctions forming the blood-CSF barrier. The choroid plexus is located in all four ventricles of the brain and is responsible for producing CSF [52]. It allows trafficking of a variety of immune cell types and is an area with an anti-inflammatory environment [55, 56]. In addition, the choroid plexus is the gateway to the CNS and is an area through which pioneering T cells gain access into the CNS in preclinical stages of the MS murine model experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) [57]. Unlike the other types of CNS macrophages, these macrophages are partially replenished from the bone marrow [1].

All of these brain-resident macrophages express the mannose receptor CD206 and scavenger receptor CD163, along with CD11b, CX3CR1, and MHC-II. Perivascular and meningeal macrophages also express the lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor LYVE1, which is not expressed in choroid plexus-associated macrophages [1, 2, 58].

2.3 Dendritic cells

At steady state, dendritic cells (DCs) are sparsely distributed within the non-parenchymal CNS spaces. They are more numerous in the leptomeninges and dura mater, less prominent in the choroid plexus, and mostly absent from perivascular spaces [3].

DCs develop from committed DC or monocyte progenitors in the BM and are dependent upon FLT3 signaling [59]. They are relatively short-lived and are replenished roughly every 1–2 weeks [60]. Mature DCs are divided into conventional DCs (cDCs), plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), and monocyte-derived DCs (moDCs). cDCs are further subdivided into cDC1 and cDC2. cDC1s are associated with Th1 responses [61, 62], while cDC2 with Th2 and Th17 [63]. cDC1s are also able to cross present antigens and activate CD8⁺ T cells. cDCs leave the BM in the form of committed precursors, while pDCs mature in the BM before entering the circulation. In addition, moDCs are not usually found in steady state but are crucial mediators on inflammatory responses [64].

IRF4 and IRF8 are transcription factors differentially expressed in the various DC subsets. cDC1s are IRF8⁺IRF4^{lo/-}, cDC2s are IRF8^{lo}IRF4⁺, pDCs are IRF8⁺IRF4⁺, and moDCs are IRF4^{lo}IRF8^{lo} [65]. cDC1s do not express CD11b. Within the mouse CNS, the majority of DCs are cDC2 and are mostly located in the leptomeninges and dura mater, while in the choroid plexus, the majority of DCs are cDC1s [3].

2.4 Granulocytes

Although their presence within the CNS at steady state is commonly ignored, various types of granulocytes such as neutrophils, mast cells, basophils, and eosinophils are found within perivascular and meningeal spaces and the choroid plexus [4]. Mast cells in particular are also found within the parenchyma [66, 67].

Neutrophils exit the bone marrow in a mature state and are thought to be short-lived. However, subsets of neutrophils live much longer than previously thought and, more importantly, some have been found in various organs likely as a local reservoir [68]. It is now acknowledged that neutrophils or neutrophil subsets may have different functions. Other than the well-documented inflammatory functions, pro-reparative CD206⁺ neutrophils, VEGF-responding angiogenic neutrophils, and CD11c⁺Ly6G⁺ “hybrid” cell types have been identified [69–72]. Interestingly, neutrophils were recently detected in the normal murine CNS localized within the subdural meningeal spaces, but their contribution to tissue homeostasis is still not known [73].

Mast cells (MCs) are derived from CD34⁺ bone marrow progenitor cells, enter the circulation in an immature state, and mature once they reach the tissue in response to local cues. They are mostly known for their effects during allergic/atopic responses mediated by cross-linking of their FcεRI receptor by IgE. MCs are a heterogeneous population, and depending on the types of proteases they carry within their granules, they are broadly categorized into at least three subtypes: MCs that contain only tryptase (MCT), MCs that contain only chymase (MCC), and MCs that contain tryptase, chymase, carboxypeptidase, and cathepsin G (MCCT) [74, 75]. MCs are loaded with granules containing preformed mediators and can synthesize mediators *de novo*. They are found in many tissues and usually associated with vascular epithelial cells and nerves. CNS MCs are constitutively active and degranulate in response to homeostatic or inflammatory stimuli [67, 76–78]. Their preformed granules are released immediately upon activation and contain various mediators such as histamine, serotonin, and TNF-α in addition to proteases. They can quickly synthesize lipid mediators such as prostaglandins and leukotrienes and growth factors. A late-phase MC activation results in *de novo* production of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-α [74, 79].

Within the healthy CNS, MCs are found within the thalamus, hypothalamus, entorhinal cortex, hippocampus, meninges, and perivascular spaces in proximity to the BBB. They interact with neurons and microglia, and their granules contain

a plethora of mediators including neurotransmitters. Their location allows them to modulate BBB permeability, and genetically modified mice that lack MCs display decreased BBB permeability both in homeostasis and neuroinflammation [67, 77, 78].

MC activity in stress has been associated with migraines [78, 80]. Moreover, histamine released from MCs was shown to promote wakefulness in adult mice [81] and microglial synaptic pruning in the developing CNS, which then regulates sexual behavior in adulthood [82].

3. Myeloid cells in multiple sclerosis

Pathologically, MS is characterized by focal demyelinating lesions disseminated in space and time and neuronal and axonal damage. MS lesions are rich in myeloid cells (microglia, infiltrating monocyte-derived macrophages, and DCs), which outnumber lymphoid cells [83]. Below we will discuss current knowledge on myeloid cells in MS, which are now emerging as crucial players in disease pathogenesis and progression. Some of this knowledge is derived from studies on the animal model of MS, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE). Although this model has been criticized [84], it mimics most of the CNS pathology observed in MS such as tissue infiltration by immune cells, formation of lesions, local inflammation, and progressive axonal loss [85, 86].

Monocytes are not found in the healthy CNS but are regularly found in the CNS and CSF of MS patients. Once they enter the CNS, monocytes mature into macrophages and participate in disease progression. There are three well-characterized monocyte subsets categorized based on expression patterns of the LPS receptor CD14 and the Fcε (greek) RIII receptor CD16: the classical CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻ (similar to the inflammatory monocyte Ly6ChiCCR2⁺ in mice), the nonclassical CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺ (similar to the anti-inflammatory CX3CR1⁺Ly6Clo in mice), and the intermediate CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺. CD16⁺ monocytes have been associated with inflammation and promoting the generation of Th17 cells. MS patients with active disease show increased CD14⁺ cells both in the blood and the CSF. These cells also contribute to BBB disruption [87, 88].

Both conventional and plasmacytoid DCs are increased in the blood and CSF of MS patients. cDCs are usually found early in disease, and pDC numbers are highly increased in the CSF during relapses. Circulating cDCs in MS patients upregulate CCR5 which is a receptor for CCL3 and CCL5, both of which are upregulated in MS lesions. However, cDCs in primary progressive MS display an immature phenotype [89]. Interestingly, although pDC numbers increase in MS, these cells are found to be phenotypically similar to those of healthy controls. Although the data on circulating pDCs are still conflicting, imbalances in DC populations may result in significant changes in T-cell functionality in MS [90].

3.1 Myeloid cells in MS lesions

MS lesions are found both within the brain and spinal cord and can be formed within the white and the gray matter [91, 92]. The most commonly employed classification is the four types of lesions described by Lucchinetti and colleagues [93]. Type I is characterized by macrophage products, and type II is characterized by antibody and complement deposition, while type III lacks complement and antibody deposition. Types I and II have clearly demarcated borders, while type III is characterized by diffuse demyelination and lacks clear demarcation. Type IV is characterized by dystrophic apoptotic oligodendrocytes. In most of these lesions, the major cell types are myeloid cells [83].

Although more pronounced during relapses, infiltrating myeloid cells and activated microglia are found within the CNS of MS patients throughout the disease and are associated with demyelination, oligodendrocytic loss, and axonal damage [92, 94, 95]. With the exception of rapid progressive MS, in which the CNS is intensely infiltrated [94], in progressive forms of the disease, the tissue is not massively infiltrated; however, myeloid cells (microglia and/or infiltrating myeloid) remain activated [92, 96]. During progressive stages of the disease, axonal loss is prominent leading to tissue atrophy in both MS and EAE [86, 92]. These processes are likely mediated via the production of oxygen radicals produced by either microglia or infiltrating myeloid cells [84].

3.1.1 Microglia/macrophages

The contribution of microglia to MS is still debated. Studies in mice have shown that microglia are poor antigen-presenting cells and not likely to activate infiltrating lymphocytes. Instead, microglia may contribute to the disease process via oxidative stress and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines that may activate astrocytes or cause oligodendrocytic damage. Microglia are highly phagocytic and thus can remove myelin debris and cellular fragments, damaged axons, and dead cells. It is clear that microglia are activated in the CNS of MS patients, but whether they promote disease or facilitate repair is still not well delineated. One of the main hurdles for these investigations is that there is no unique marker to reliably distinguish microglia from infiltrating monocytes in neuroinflammation. Additionally, activated microglia are morphologically indistinguishable from infiltrating monocytes/macrophages. RNA transcriptome analysis has yielded a number of markers that show preferential expression in microglia (see Section 2.1). TMEM119 is the only marker so far examined in MS tissue and seems to be expressed by a subpopulation of myeloid cells within lesions and in cells with microglial morphology in nonlesional areas [97]. However, there is still not a wide breadth of studies examining the specificity of TMEM119 in neuroinflammation, when all myeloid cells undergo major transcriptional changes [2]. Thus, below we will talk about microglia and macrophages as one population in active MS lesions and specify TMEM119-expressing cells within the MS CNS.

Microglia/macrophages (M/Ms) in active MS lesions are heterogeneous and capable of performing a variety of activities that may promote or control inflammation and repair [98, 99]. M/Ms found within active MS lesions usually express markers associated with inflammatory macrophage functions, including inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), co-stimulatory molecules CD40 and CD86, the Fc receptors CD32 and CD64, phagocytosis marker CD68, and p22phox, a subunit of NADPH oxidase [100, 101]. In addition, M/Ms may also express anti-inflammatory markers such as the mannose receptor CD206 and the scavenger receptor CD163 [100]. Approximately half of the myeloid cells within active lesions express TMEM119, suggesting these cells may be microglia. Interestingly, PY2R12, which is usually expressed in homeostatic microglia, is not expressed in these cells, suggesting it is downregulated upon activation [97].

MS lesions are not static and over time grow outward, eventually becoming chronically active. These lesions are slowly expanding and have a thin border of M/Ms. The center of these lesions appears quiescent and populated by lipid-laden (foamy) macrophages, many of them expressing CD206 and CD163 [98, 102]. However, M/Ms lining the rim of these lesions express iNOS and HLA-DR, suggesting they are inflammatory and promote T-cell functions [103]. M/Ms at the rims of either active or chronically active plaques contain iron which has been suggested to promote MS pathology [104, 105]. In the normal CNS, most iron is found within

oligodendrocytes or myelin. When iron is released after oligodendrocytic death and demyelination, it is internalized by ferritin+ M/Ms which acquire a dystrophic phenotype [106]. Interestingly TMEM119+ cells that express low or no P2RY12 (likely activated microglia) are found within chronically active or slow-expanding lesions, and their density decreases inward. Strikingly, there are no differences between overall M/M density and levels of activation between lesion types [97, 100, 103].

Areas of the CNS that are far from the demyelinating lesions and often appearing normal (normal-appearing white matter; NAWM) are also characterized by scattered microglial activation. Interestingly, ramified microglia were shown to express iNOS and were often close to injured axons [107]. However, microglia have also been documented to exhibit a suppressed and anti-inflammatory character [108]. Clusters of microglia or macrophages, known as microglial nodules, have been found in NAWM in close proximity to degenerating axons. These nodules appear in the absence of extensive inflammation, astrogliosis, or demyelination, and their formation has been argued to be one of the early events in MS pathology [109]. Furthermore, P2RY12+ TMEM119+ microglia in the NAWM also expressing activation markers CD68 and p22phox are found in both MS and healthy controls' brains, suggesting that certain microglial populations are in a pre-activated state [97].

In addition to white matter, demyelination is also observed within the gray matter. MS gray matter is characterized by less infiltration by immune cells and less activation of M/Ms compared to white matter. This type of demyelination has been mostly attributed to aberrant microglia functions such as ROS production via the NADPH oxidase activity. This mechanism seems to be more prominent in the gray matter compared to white matter lesions. In addition, cortical microglial activation can be observed via PET imaging by administering the traditional PK11195 and more recently the novel PBR28 ligand [110, 111].

In progressive forms of MS, M/Ms are activated both within the lesions and in the normal-appearing white and gray matter, and this has been linked to inflammatory cytokines produced in the meninges, likely by infiltrating B cells [112, 113]. Activated complement component 3 fragments (C3d) are found within microglia clusters of slowly expanding lesions in progressive but not acute MS [114] and in close proximity of damaged axons. This suggests that C3 activation and deposition are not likely associated with lesion initiation but rather a mechanism that facilitates the removal of axonal and cellular debris. Furthermore, the activation/phagocytosis marker CD68 is significantly increased in the NAWM in progressive forms of MS compared to that of relapsing–remitting MS and healthy controls [97].

3.1.2 Dendritic cells

Both cDCs and pDCs accumulate in the leptomeninges and lesions in MS patients. MoDCs, which are not present in the homeostatic CNS, differentiate from infiltrating inflammatory monocytes after these reach the CNS of MS patients. Studies in murine EAE showed that both cDCs and moDCs are found within the CNS infiltrates. cDCs express CD26 and ZBTB46, a transcription factor also expressed in human cDCs, while moDCs express CD88 and CD64 [3, 103, 115]. Although these markers may be expressed by other cell types, they are useful markers for identification of DC subsets. cDCs are the most efficient antigen-presenting cells and are able to process larger myelin fragments to activate naive and effector T cells. Both cDCs and moDCs progressively expand during the onset and peak of EAE in every CNS compartment. pDCs are not efficient antigen-presenting cells but are equipped to secrete inflammatory cytokines and promote an inflammatory environment to support cDCs and moDCs [116].

3.1.3 Granulocytes

Neutrophils are relatively rare in established MS lesions; thus, their contribution to disease course has long been debated. Studies in EAE show that neutrophils are part of the inflammatory lesions, appear early in disease process [86, 117], and are increased in peripheral lymphoid organs and blood [117]. Neutrophils may promote early disease progression by increasing permeability of the BBB, possibly through secretion of matrix metalloproteinases or the release of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) [118, 119]. Inactivation of neutrophil products, such as myeloperoxidase or neutrophil elastase, results in milder EAE course and associated optic neuritis [120, 121]. In agreement with the EAE data, CSF of newly diagnosed patients shows elevated neutrophil counts [122], and the CSF of patients with established disease contains increased levels of the neutrophil chemoattractant CXCL8 [123, 124]. Neutrophil elastase and chemokines that promote neutrophil recruitment, such as CXCL1 and CXCL5, are systemically elevated in relapsing MS patients and correlate with lesion burden and clinical disability [125]. Transcripts of the granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) which promotes the proliferation and differentiation of neutrophils (and other granulocytes) are found within lesions but not in NAWM [126], and treatment with G-CSF worsens MS symptoms [127, 128]. Thus, lack of neutrophil detection in MS lesions may be due to incorrect sampling timing.

Interestingly, mast cells are found in close proximity to MS lesions and were initially observed in 1890 by Neuman [129] and later by other groups [66, 130–132]. Their numbers are very low compared with those of the other myeloid subsets; thus, not much is known about their contribution to disease progression. However, the ability of mast cells to secrete histamine and proteases may facilitate disease onset or relapses by promoting vascular permeability and tissue infiltration. In EAE, mice with spontaneous c-Kit mutations that lead to deletion of mast cells have shown that these cells may prevent, promote, or have no effect on disease onset and progression [133]. These conflicting data are likely due to the fact that none of these mouse strains are specific and efficient mast cell knockouts.

4. Effect of MS therapeutics on myeloid cells

MS therapies are designed to dampen immune system activation. Although most of these therapies target lymphocytes, myeloid cells can also be affected directly or indirectly. This section will explore how current MS therapies affect myeloid cells.

4.1 IFN- β

IFN- β , the first FDA-approved biologic therapeutic for MS, is a pleiotropic cytokine exerting a plethora of effects on a variety of cells [134, 135]. Monocytes isolated from MS patients treated ex vivo with IFN- β exhibit impaired inflammatory responses when stimulated with LPS/alum compared to monocytes isolated from healthy donors [136]. Ex vivo treatment of DCs derived from MS patients or healthy donors with IFN- β reduced the expression of IL-1 β and IL-23 and upregulated the expression of IL-12p35 and IL-27p28, which resulted in reduced generation of Th17 cells [137]. Additionally, studies in EAE showed that deletion of IFN- α/β receptor (IFNAR), the receptor of IFN- β specifically on myeloid cells, resulted in aggravated EAE disease [138].

IFN- β is one of the most common first-line MS treatments; however, a large proportion of patients is not responsive. Interestingly, non-responders exhibit

exaggerated upregulation of type I IFN-responsive genes either at baseline or in response to IFN- β treatment compared to responders [139–141]. MS patients that upregulated the death-associated receptor TRAIL on monocytes were responsive to IFN- β treatment, but those who did not upregulate TRAIL were not responsive to IFN- β treatment, than patients that did not [142]. Additionally, monocytes isolated from MS patients treated with IFN- β for prolonged periods of time (9 months to 6 years) upregulated the co-stimulatory molecules CD80, CD86, and CD40 [143], and were associated with responsiveness to treatment [144]. A different study, however, showed a positive association between monocytic CD40 upregulation, early after IFN- β injections (9–12 h) and relapses [145].

About 30% of MS patients treated with IFN- β also develop antidrug antibodies and thus are not responsive to treatment. Antidrug antibody generation was associated with decreased NOTCH2 signaling. NOTCH2, which promotes the conversion of patrolling inflammatory monocytes to anti-inflammatory phenotype [146], was markedly reduced in CD14⁺ monocytes of untreated MS patients that developed antidrug antibodies 12 months after IFN- β therapy initiation [147].

All the above suggest that defining myeloid cell subset propensities in MS before and after treatment initiation will be useful in determining whether IFN- β is a suitable treatment for specific patients.

4.2 Glatiramer acetate

Glatiramer acetate (GA) is a synthetic random copolymer, composed of glutamic acid, alanine, lysine and tyrosine, employed as a treatment for relapsing-remitting MS. MS patients treated with GA show a shift toward Th2 responses and produce anti-inflammatory/pro-repair mediators, likely due to GA effects on myeloid subsets [148, 149]. Initial studies showed that GA binds to MHC-II, altering the myelin antigen presentation capabilities resulting in impaired activation of autoreactive T cells [150, 151]. However, it was later shown that GA can also exert its anti-inflammatory effects independently of MHC-II [152]. Instead, GA was shown to promote the generation of anti-inflammatory monocytes which support regulatory T-cell functions [152].

In support of this, monocytes isolated from the blood of GA-treated MS patients produced significantly higher amounts of IL-10 and lower amounts of IL-12, and the levels of CD16⁺ anti-inflammatory monocytes were restored to those of healthy controls [153, 154]. DCs from GA-treated MS patients exhibit reduced IL-12 production [155] and express lower levels of CD40, upregulation of which is associated with relapses [156]. Furthermore, the activity of myeloid-derived suppressor cells, a population that suppresses inflammatory responses, is augmented in GA-treated MS patients [157], and GA-treated human microglia express IL-10 and reduce production of pro-inflammatory TNF- α [153]. Increased levels of circulating IL-27, a regulatory cytokine produced by myeloid cells in inflammatory conditions, was recently linked to better GA therapeutic outcomes [158]. Another study showed increased levels of IL-27 in blood, CSF and lesions of MS patients. however, there was no association with treatments [159].

4.3 Fingolimod

Fingolimod is the first oral therapy approved to treat relapsing-remitting MS and is more effective in reducing relapses than IFN- β [160]. Fingolimod (FTY720) is phosphorylated by sphingosine kinase, and its phosphorylated metabolite (FTY720-P) binds to the G-protein-coupled sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptors. S1P receptors are expressed on a variety of cells including neural, glial,

and endothelial cells in the CNS and most of the immune cells in the CNS and the periphery [161]. One of the mechanisms by which fingolimod reduces disease severity and relapses in MS is that it binds S1PR1, a type of S1P receptor, on lymphocytes and prevents their egress from lymphoid tissues [162].

Fingolimod's immunosuppressive effects are also exerted on myeloid cells. Incubation of murine macrophages or human monocytes with either S1P (the natural ligand of S1PR1), or fingolimod, respectively, reduced inflammatory responses after LPS exposure [163–165]. Although microglia, DCs, and peripheral macrophages express similar patterns and levels of S1P receptors, fingolimod downregulated ERK phosphorylation only in DCs and macrophages. Fingolimod also downregulated expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-12 and upregulated anti-inflammatory IL-10 in DCs and macrophages but not in microglia [164]. Fingolimod crosses the BBB [168], and therapeutic administration of fingolimod reduced TNF- α production by microglia and monocytes in EAE [163]. Flow cytometry analyses of DCs and monocytes isolated from MS patients before and during fingolimod treatment showed decreased levels of activation markers (CD83, CD150, and HLA-DR). Furthermore, fingolimod treatment reduced pro-inflammatory cytokine production, phagocytic activity of DCs and monocytes, and impaired priming of Th1 and Th17 cells [166]. Interestingly, monocytes isolated from fingolimod-treated MS patients exhibited reduced expression of pro-inflammatory micro-RNA miR-155 but also of antioxidant genes HMOX1 and OSGIN1 compared to untreated patients [167]. When monocyte-derived macrophages and microglia were examined in vitro, fingolimod reduced LPS-induced inflammatory cytokines and increased expression of antioxidants. These data suggest that the effects of fingolimod on myeloid cells in vivo may be an indirect effect.

4.4 Dimethyl fumarate

Dimethyl fumarate (DMF) was approved as an oral first-line therapeutic for relapsing-remitting MS in 2013. It is a methyl ester of fumaric acid, quickly metabolized to active monomethyl fumarate which activates transcription factor nuclear factor erythroid-derived 2 (Nrf2) and suppresses NF- κ B to modulate oxidative stress [169]. DMF exerts its effects on multiple immune subsets [170].

Monocytes from DMF-treated RRMS patients express reduced levels of the pro-inflammatory micro-RNA miR-155, and DMF-treated human microglia and monocyte-derived macrophages had reduced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines after LPS stimulation, indicating direct regulatory effects [167].

DMF reduces neuroinflammation levels and cognitive deficits induced by systemic LPS administration in mice [171]. In EAE, DMF promoted the generation of anti-inflammatory monocytes and decreased macrophage infiltration into the CNS resulting in milder clinical deficits. Interestingly, these effects were exerted independently of Nrf2 [172, 173].

4.5 Teriflunomide

Teriflunomide is a reversible inhibitor of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), a mitochondrial enzyme active in proliferating cells [174]. Teriflunomide impairs proliferation of lymphocytes, but exerts nebulous effects on myeloid cells [175]. In EAE, teriflunomide reduced T-cell and myeloid cell infiltration of the CNS [176]. In cultured primary microglia, teriflunomide downregulated expression of CD86 and mildly upregulated of IL-10 [177]. Ex vivo, teriflunomide treatment decreased production of IL-6 and CCL2 in activated monocytes from healthy individuals [178].

Furthermore, MS patients after 6 months of treatment showed increased IL-10 production and PD-L1 expression in monocytes, implying that teriflunomide induces anti-inflammatory and regulatory responses in these cells [179].

4.6 Monoclonal antibodies

Several recently developed antibody-based MS therapies target lymphocytes. Below, we will discuss whether and how these therapies affect myeloid cells.

4.6.1 Natalizumab

Natalizumab (NTZ) is an immunomodulatory antibody that limits immune cell infiltration into the CNS by blocking the interaction between the very late activation antigen-4 (VLA-4), an integrin expressed on lymphocytes and myeloid cells, and vascular adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) [180]. As a result, fewer cells are able to migrate and infiltrate the CNS [181]. NTZ reduces relapses and lesion load but increases the risk for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy [182]. NTZ reduced the frequencies of mature activated pDCs; however, this activation was not a direct effect of NTZ on pDCs [183].

Triggering receptor 2 expressed on myeloid cells (TREM2) is an innate immune receptor associated with inflammatory responses and within the CNS expressed by microglia [184]. In neuroinflammation, microglia shed TREM2, which can be detected in CSF [185, 186]. NTZ reduced CSF-soluble TREM2 to baseline levels, indicating dampened microglial activation, which is associated with improved clinical outcome after 12 months of treatment [187]. It is not clear however whether there is a direct effect of NTZ on microglia.

4.6.2 Anti-CD20 antibodies

There are multiple anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies shown to ameliorate relapses in relapsing-remitting MS including rituximab, ocrelizumab, and ofatumumab [188]. However, ocrelizumab is the only anti-CD20 antibody that exerts beneficial effects in relapsing-remitting and also in primary progressive MS [189]. A subset of GM-CSF-producing memory B cells, more prevalent in MS patients than healthy controls, was shown to activate pro-inflammatory myeloid cells in vitro [190]. Following B-cell-depleting therapy in MS patients, the inflammatory myeloid response is diminished [190].

4.6.3 Alemtuzumab

Alemtuzumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds CD52 and effectively depletes CD52-expressing lymphocytes through antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Both lymphocytes and myeloid cells express CD52; however, myeloid cells are more resistant to alemtuzumab-mediated cytotoxicity. Thus their numbers are not affected by treatment [191]. Neutrophils, however, express CD52 and are subject to lysis during alemtuzumab treatment [192], occasionally leading to severe neutropenia [193].

5. Conclusion

The contribution of myeloid cells to MS progression is now widely appreciated. Their persistent elevated presence in lesions and activated phenotype, regardless

of tissue infiltration load, in both relapsing and progressive MS, suggest they play crucial roles in disease progression and chronicity. Although gaps in knowledge still exist, recent advances facilitated the efforts by researchers and clinicians to dissect the roles of each myeloid subset in the disease process.

Current therapeutics have broad activities or specifically target lymphocyte functions. In many instances, however, their efficacy stems from their direct or indirect effects on myeloid cell functions. Future research focusing on modulation of myeloid populations and their activities will prove useful for the design of novel therapeutics for MS patients.

Acknowledgements

National Multiple Sclerosis Society (RG-1701-26770) and Shriners Hospitals for Children (85114-NCA-18).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author details

Marilyn Wang^{1,2}, Sofia Caryotakis¹, Nagendra Kumar Rai^{1,3}, Alan Nguyen^{1,3}
and Athena M. Soulika^{1,3*}


1 Shriners Hospital for Children—North America, Sacramento, USA

2 Department of Neurology, University of California, Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, USA

3 Department of Dermatology, University of California, Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, USA

*Address all correspondence to: asoulika@ucdavis.edu

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Goldmann T, Wieghofer P, Jordao MJ, Prutek F, Hagemeyer N, Frenzel K, et al. Origin, fate and dynamics of macrophages at central nervous system interfaces. *Nature Immunology*. 2016;**17**(7):797-805
- [2] Mrdjen D, Pavlovic A, Hartmann FJ, Schreiner B, Utz SG, Leung BP, et al. High-dimensional single-cell mapping of central nervous system immune cells reveals distinct myeloid subsets in health, aging, and disease. *Immunity*. 2018;**48**(3):599
- [3] Mundt S, Mrdjen D, Utz SG, Greter M, Schreiner B, Becher B. Conventional DCs sample and present myelin antigens in the healthy CNS and allow parenchymal T cell entry to initiate neuroinflammation. *Science Immunology*. 2019;**4**(31). pii: eaau8380
- [4] Herz J, Filiano AJ, Smith A, Yogev N, Kipnis J. Myeloid cells in the central nervous system. *Immunity*. 2017;**46**(6):943-956
- [5] Nayak D, Roth TL, McGavern DB. Microglia development and function. *Annual Review of Immunology*. 2014;**32**:367-402
- [6] Schulz C, Gomez Perdiguero E, Chorro L, Szabo-Rogers H, Cagnard N, Kierdorf K, et al. A lineage of myeloid cells independent of Myb and hematopoietic stem cells. *Science*. 2012;**336**(6077):86-90
- [7] Ginhoux F, Greter M, Leboeuf M, Nandi S, See P, Gokhan S, et al. Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages. *Science*. 2010;**330**(6005):841-845
- [8] Kierdorf K, Erny D, Goldmann T, Sander V, Schulz C, Perdiguero EG, et al. Microglia emerge from erythromyeloid precursors via Pu.1- and Irf8-dependent pathways. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2013;**16**(3):273-280
- [9] Rymo SF, Gerhardt H, Wolfhagen Sand F, Lang R, Uv A, Betsholtz C. A two-way communication between microglial cells and angiogenic sprouts regulates angiogenesis in aortic ring cultures. *PLoS One*. 2011;**6**(1):e15846
- [10] Hammond TR, Robinton D, Stevens B. Microglia and the brain: Complementary partners in development and disease. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*. 2018;**34**:523-544
- [11] Torres L, Danver J, Ji K, Miyauchi JT, Chen D, Anderson ME, et al. Dynamic microglial modulation of spatial learning and social behavior. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2016;**55**:6-16
- [12] Matcovitch-Natan O, Winter DR, Giladi A, Vargas Aguilar S, Spinrad A, Sarrazin S, et al. Microglia development follows a stepwise program to regulate brain homeostasis. *Science*. 2016;**353**(6301):aad8670
- [13] Cunningham CL, Martinez-Cerdeno V, Noctor SC. Microglia regulate the number of neural precursor cells in the developing cerebral cortex. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2013;**33**(10):4216-4233
- [14] Parkhurst CN, Yang G, Ninan I, Savas JN, Yates JR 3rd, Lafaille JJ, et al. Microglia promote learning-dependent synapse formation through brain-derived neurotrophic factor. *Cell*. 2013;**155**(7):1596-1609
- [15] Paolicelli RC, Bolasco G, Pagani F, Maggi L, Scianni M, Panzanelli P, et al. Synaptic pruning by microglia is necessary for normal brain development. *Science*. 2011;**333**(6048):1456-1458

- [16] Stevens B, Allen NJ, Vazquez LE, Howell GR, Christopherson KS, Nouri N, et al. The classical complement cascade mediates CNS synapse elimination. *Cell*. 2007;**131**(6):1164-1178
- [17] Nandi S, Gokhan S, Dai XM, Wei S, Enikolopov G, Lin H, et al. The CSF-1 receptor ligands IL-34 and CSF-1 exhibit distinct developmental brain expression patterns and regulate neural progenitor cell maintenance and maturation. *Developmental Biology*. 2012;**367**(2):100-113
- [18] Kierdorf K, Prinz M. Microglia in steady state. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2017;**127**(9):3201-3209
- [19] Zhan Y, Paolicelli RC, Sforazzini F, Weinhard L, Bolasco G, Pagani F, et al. Deficient neuron-microglia signaling results in impaired functional brain connectivity and social behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2014;**17**(3):400-406
- [20] Marin-Teva JL, Dusart I, Colin C, Gervais A, van Rooijen N, Mallat M. Microglia promote the death of developing Purkinje cells. *Neuron*. 2004;**41**(4):535-547
- [21] Araujo DM, Cotman CW. Basic FGF in astroglial, microglial, and neuronal cultures: Characterization of binding sites and modulation of release by lymphokines and trophic factors. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 1992;**12**(5):1668-1678
- [22] Nakajima K, Honda S, Tohyama Y, Imai Y, Kohsaka S, Kurihara T. Neurotrophin secretion from cultured microglia. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*. 2001;**65**(4):322-331
- [23] Trang T, Beggs S, Salter MW. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor from microglia: A molecular substrate for neuropathic pain. *Neuron Glia Biology*. 2011;**7**(1):99-108
- [24] De Biase LM, Schuebel KE, Füsfield ZH, Jair K, Hawes IA, Cimbrot R, et al. Local cues establish and maintain region-specific phenotypes of basal ganglia microglia. *Neuron*. 2017;**95**(2):341-356. e6
- [25] Grabert K, Michoel T, Karavolos MH, Clohisy S, Baillie JK, Stevens MP, et al. Microglial brain region-dependent diversity and selective regional sensitivities to aging. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2016;**19**(3):504-516
- [26] Butovsky O, Weiner HL. Microglial signatures and their role in health and disease. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*. 2018;**19**(10):622-635
- [27] Hanisch UK. Functional diversity of microglia—How heterogeneous are they to begin with? *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*. 2013;**7**:65
- [28] Ransohoff RM, Cardona AE. The myeloid cells of the central nervous system parenchyma. *Nature*. 2010;**468**(7321):253-262
- [29] Hammond TR, Dufort C, Dissing-Olesen L, Giera S, Young A, Wysoker A, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing of microglia throughout the mouse lifespan and in the injured brain reveals complex cell-state changes. *Immunity*. 2019;**50**(1):253-271. e6
- [30] Masuda T, Sankowski R, Staszewski O, Bottcher C, Amann L, Scheiwe C, et al. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of mouse and human microglia at single-cell resolution. *Nature*. 2019;**566**(7744):388-392
- [31] Huang Y, Xu Z, Xiong S, Sun F, Qin G, Hu G, et al. Repopulated microglia are solely derived from the proliferation of residual microglia after acute depletion. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2018;**21**(4):530-540
- [32] Zhan L, Krabbe G, Du F, Jones I, Reichert MC, Telpoukhovskaia M,

- p>et al. Proximal recolonization by self-renewing microglia re-establishes microglial homeostasis in the adult mouse brain.
- PLoS Biology*
- . 2019;
- 17**
- (2):e3000134
- [33] Cronk JC, Filiano AJ, Louveau A, Marin I, Marsh R, Ji E, et al. Peripherally derived macrophages can engraft the brain independent of irradiation and maintain an identity distinct from microglia. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2018;**215**(6):1627-1647
- [34] Chitu V, Gokhan S, Nandi S, Mehler MF, Stanley ER. Emerging roles for CSF-1 receptor and its ligands in the nervous system. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2016;**39**(6):378-393
- [35] Wu S, Xue R, Hassan S, Nguyen TML, Wang T, Pan H, et al. Il34-Csf1r pathway regulates the migration and colonization of microglial precursors. *Developmental Cell*. 2018;**46**(5):552-563 e4
- [36] Buttgereit A, Lelios I, Yu X, Vrohling M, Krakoski NR, Gautier EL, et al. Sall1 is a transcriptional regulator defining microglia identity and function. *Nature Immunology*. 2016;**17**(12):1397-1406
- [37] Butovsky O, Jedrychowski MP, Moore CS, Cialic R, Lanser AJ, Gabriely G, et al. Identification of a unique TGF-beta-dependent molecular and functional signature in microglia. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2014;**17**(1):131-143
- [38] Hickman SE, Kingery ND, Ohsumi TK, Borowsky ML, Wang LC, Means TK, et al. The microglial sensome revealed by direct RNA sequencing. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2013;**16**(12):1896-1905
- [39] Jiang ZH, Peng J, Yang HL, Fu XL, Wang JZ, Liu L, et al. Upregulation and biological function of transmembrane protein 119 in osteosarcoma. *Experimental & Molecular Medicine*. 2017;**49**(5):e329
- [40] Tanaka K, Inoue Y, Hendy GN, Canaff L, Katagiri T, Kitazawa R, et al. Interaction of Tmem119 and the bone morphogenetic protein pathway in the commitment of myoblastic into osteoblastic cells. *Bone*. 2012;**51**(1):158-167
- [41] Tanaka K, Kaji H, Yamaguchi T, Kanazawa I, Canaff L, Hendy GN, et al. Involvement of the osteoinductive factors, Tmem119 and BMP-2, and the ER stress response PERK-eIF2alpha-ATF4 pathway in the commitment of myoblastic into osteoblastic cells. *Calcified Tissue International*. 2014;**94**(4):454-464
- [42] Zheng P, Wang W, Ji M, Zhu Q, Feng Y, Zhou F, et al. TMEM119 promotes gastric cancer cell migration and invasion through STAT3 signaling pathway. *OncoTargets and Therapy*. 2018;**11**:5835-5844
- [43] Bachstetter AD, Morganti JM, Jernberg J, Schlunk A, Mitchell SH, Brewster KW, et al. Fractalkine and CX 3 CR1 regulate hippocampal neurogenesis in adult and aged rats. *Neurobiology of Aging*. 2011;**32**(11):2030-2044
- [44] Sellner S, Paricio-Montesinos R, Spiess A, Masuch A, Erny D, Harsan LA, et al. Microglial CX3CR1 promotes adult neurogenesis by inhibiting Sirt 1/p65 signaling independent of CX3CL1. *Acta Neuropathologica Communications*. 2016;**4**(1):102
- [45] Vukovic J, Colditz MJ, Blackmore DG, Ruitenberg MJ, Bartlett PF. Microglia modulate hippocampal neural precursor activity in response to exercise and aging. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2012;**32**(19):6435-6443
- [46] Fourgeaud L, Traves PG, Tufail Y, Leal-Bailey H, Lew ED, Burrola PG,

- et al. TAM receptors regulate multiple features of microglial physiology. *Nature*. 2016;**532**(7598):240-244
- [47] Hagemeyer N, Hanft KM, Akriditou MA, Unger N, Park ES, Stanley ER, et al. Microglia contribute to normal myelinogenesis and to oligodendrocyte progenitor maintenance during adulthood. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2017;**134**(3):441-458
- [48] Wlodarczyk A, Holtman IR, Krueger M, Yogev N, Bruttger J, Khoroshii R, et al. A novel microglial subset plays a key role in myelinogenesis in developing brain. *The EMBO Journal*. 2017;**36**(22):3292-3308
- [49] Keaney J, Campbell M. The dynamic blood-brain barrier. *The FEBS Journal*. 2015;**282**(21):4067-4079
- [50] Obermeier B, Daneman R, Ransohoff RM. Development, maintenance and disruption of the blood-brain barrier. *Nature Medicine*. 2013;**19**(12):1584-1596
- [51] Lou N, Takano T, Pei Y, Xavier AL, Goldman SA, Nedergaard M. Purinergic receptor P2RY12-dependent microglial closure of the injured blood-brain barrier. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2016;**113**(4):1074-1079
- [52] Katsumoto A, Lu H, Miranda AS, Ransohoff RM. Ontogeny and functions of central nervous system macrophages. *Journal of Immunology*. 2014;**193**(6):2615-2621
- [53] Checchin D, Sennlaub F, Levavasseur E, Leduc M, Chemtob S. Potential role of microglia in retinal blood vessel formation. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*. 2006;**47**(8):3595-3602
- [54] Liu C, Wu C, Yang Q, Gao J, Li L, Yang D, et al. Macrophages mediate the repair of brain vascular rupture through direct physical adhesion and mechanical traction. *Immunity*. 2016;**44**(5):1162-1176
- [55] Kunis G, Baruch K, Miller O, Schwartz M. Immunization with a myelin-derived antigen activates the brain's choroid plexus for recruitment of immunoregulatory cells to the CNS and attenuates disease progression in a mouse model of ALS. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2015;**35**(16):6381-6393
- [56] Prinz M, Erny D, Hagemeyer N. Ontogeny and homeostasis of CNS myeloid cells. *Nature Immunology*. 2017;**18**(4):385-392
- [57] Reboldi A, Coisne C, Baumjohann D, Benvenuto F, Bottinelli D, Lira S, et al. C-C chemokine receptor 6-regulated entry of TH-17 cells into the CNS through the choroid plexus is required for the initiation of EAE. *Nature Immunology*. 2009;**10**(5):514-523
- [58] Zeisel A, Munoz-Manchado AB, Codeluppi S, Lonnerberg P, La Manno G, Jureus A, et al. Brain structure. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science*. 2015;**347**(6226):1138-1142
- [59] Waskow C, Liu K, Darrasse-Jeze G, Guernonprez P, Ginhoux F, Merad M, et al. The receptor tyrosine kinase Flt3 is required for dendritic cell development in peripheral lymphoid tissues. *Nature Immunology*. 2008;**9**(6):676-683
- [60] Anandasabapathy N, Victora GD, Meredith M, Feder R, Dong B, Kluger C, et al. Flt3L controls the development of radiosensitive dendritic cells in the meninges and choroid plexus of the steady-state mouse brain. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2011;**208**(8):1695-1705

- [61] Harpur CM, Kato Y, Dewi ST, Stankovic S, Johnson DN, Bedoui S, et al. Classical type 1 dendritic cells dominate priming of Th1 responses to herpes simplex virus type 1 skin infection. *Journal of Immunology*. 2019;**202**(3):653-663
- [62] Lee CH, Chen JS, Chiu HC, Hong CH, Liu CY, Ta YC, et al. Differential activation behavior of dermal dendritic cells underlies the strain-specific Th1 responses to single epicutaneous immunization. *Journal of Dermatological Science*. 2016;**84**(3):248-257
- [63] Collin M, Bigley V. Human dendritic cell subsets: An update. *Immunology*. 2018;**154**(1):3-20
- [64] Langlet C, Tamoutounour S, Henri S, Luche H, Ardouin L, Gregoire C, et al. CD64 expression distinguishes monocyte-derived and conventional dendritic cells and reveals their distinct role during intramuscular immunization. *Journal of Immunology*. 2012;**188**(4):1751-1760
- [65] Malissen B, Tamoutounour S, Henri S. The origins and functions of dendritic cells and macrophages in the skin. *Nature Reviews. Immunology*. 2014;**14**(6):417-428
- [66] Theoharides TC. Mast cells: The immune gate to the brain. *Life Sciences*. 1990;**46**(9):607-617
- [67] Silver R, Curley JP. Mast cells on the mind: New insights and opportunities. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2013;**36**(9):513-521
- [68] Kolaczowska E, Kubes P. Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation. *Nature Reviews. Immunology*. 2013;**13**(3):159-175
- [69] Geng S, Matsushima H, Okamoto T, Yao Y, Lu R, Page K, et al. Emergence, origin, and function of neutrophil-dendritic cell hybrids in experimentally induced inflammatory lesions in mice. *Blood*. 2013;**121**(10):1690-1700
- [70] Christoffersson G, Vagesjo E, Vandooren J, Liden M, Massena S, Reinert RB, et al. VEGF-A recruits a proangiogenic MMP-9-delivering neutrophil subset that induces angiogenesis in transplanted hypoxic tissue. *Blood*. 2012;**120**(23):4653-4662
- [71] Massena S, Christoffersson G, Vagesjo E, Seignez C, Gustafsson K, Binet F, et al. Identification and characterization of VEGF-A-responsive neutrophils expressing CD49d, VEGFR1, and CXCR4 in mice and humans. *Blood*. 2015;**126**(17):2016-2026
- [72] Tourki B, Halade G. Leukocyte diversity in resolving and nonresolving mechanisms of cardiac remodeling. *The FASEB Journal*. 2017;**31**(10):4226-4239
- [73] Cronk JC, Derecki NC, Ji E, Xu Y, Lampano AE, Smirnov I, et al. Methyl-CpG binding protein 2 regulates microglia and macrophage gene expression in response to inflammatory stimuli. *Immunity*. 2015;**42**(4):679-691
- [74] Frossi B, Mion F, Sibilano R, Danelli L, Pucillo CEM. Is it time for a new classification of mast cells? What do we know about mast cell heterogeneity? *Immunological Reviews*. 2018;**282**(1):35-46
- [75] Irani AM, Schwartz LB. Human mast cell heterogeneity. *Allergy Proceedings*. 1994;**15**(6):303-308
- [76] Gupta K, Harvima IT. Mast cell-neural interactions contribute to pain and itch. *Immunological Reviews*. 2018;**282**(1):168-187
- [77] Silverman AJ, Asarian L, Khalil M, Silver R. GnRH, brain mast cells and behavior. *Progress in Brain Research*. 2002;**141**:315-325

- [78] Theoharides TC, Donelan J, Kandere-Grzybowska K, Konstantinidou A. The role of mast cells in migraine pathophysiology. *Brain Research. Brain Research Reviews*. 2005;**49**(1):65-76
- [79] Crivellato E, Ribatti D. The mast cell: An evolutionary perspective. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. 2010;**85**(2):347-360
- [80] Levy D. Migraine pain, meningeal inflammation, and mast cells. *Current Pain and Headache Reports*. 2009;**13**(3):237-240
- [81] Chikahisa S, Kodama T, Soya A, Sagawa Y, Ishimaru Y, Sei H, et al. Histamine from brain resident MAST cells promotes wakefulness and modulates behavioral states. *PLoS One*. 2013;**8**(10):e78434
- [82] Lenz KM, Pickett LA, Wright CL, Davis KT, Joshi A, McCarthy MM. Mast cells in the developing brain determine adult sexual behavior. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2018;**38**(37):8044-8059
- [83] Wingerchuk DM, Lucchinetti CF, Noseworthy JH. Multiple sclerosis: Current pathophysiological concepts. *Laboratory Investigation*. 2001;**81**(3):263-281
- [84] Lassmann H, Bradl M. Multiple sclerosis: Experimental models and reality. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2017;**133**(2):223-244
- [85] Constantinescu CS, Farooqi N, O'Brien K, Gran B. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) as a model for multiple sclerosis (MS). *British Journal of Pharmacology*. 2011;**164**(4):1079-1106
- [86] Soulika AM, Lee E, McCauley E, Miers L, Bannerman P, Pleasure D. Initiation and progression of axonopathy in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2009;**29**(47):14965-14979
- [87] Chuluundorj D, Harding SA, Abernethy D, La Flamme AC. Expansion and preferential activation of the CD14(+)CD16(+) monocyte subset during multiple sclerosis. *Immunology and Cell Biology*. 2014;**92**(6):509-517
- [88] Gjelstrup MC, Stilund M, Petersen T, Moller HJ, Petersen EL, Christensen T. Subsets of activated monocytes and markers of inflammation in incipient and progressed multiple sclerosis. *Immunology and Cell Biology*. 2018;**96**(2):160-174
- [89] Karni A, Abraham M, Monsonego A, Cai G, Freeman GJ, Hafler D, et al. Innate immunity in multiple sclerosis: Myeloid dendritic cells in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis are activated and drive a proinflammatory immune response. *Journal of Immunology*. 2006;**177**(6):4196-4202
- [90] Nuyts AH, Lee WP, Bashir-Dar R, Berneman ZN, Cools N. Dendritic cells in multiple sclerosis: Key players in the immunopathogenesis, key players for new cellular immunotherapies? *Multiple Sclerosis*. 2013;**19**(8):995-1002
- [91] Dendrou CA, Fugger L, Friese MA. Immunopathology of multiple sclerosis. *Nature Reviews. Immunology*. 2015;**15**(9):545-558
- [92] Popescu BF, Lucchinetti CF. Pathology of demyelinating diseases. *Annual Review of Pathology*. 2012;**7**:185-217
- [93] Lucchinetti C, Bruck W, Parisi J, Scheithauer B, Rodriguez M, Lassmann H. Heterogeneity of multiple sclerosis lesions: Implications for the pathogenesis of demyelination. *Annals of Neurology*. 2000;**47**(6):707-717

- [94] Mahad DH, Trapp BD, Lassmann H. Pathological mechanisms in progressive multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurology*. 2015;**14**(2):183-193
- [95] Trapp BD, Peterson J, Ransohoff RM, Rudick R, Mork S, Bo L. Axonal transection in the lesions of multiple sclerosis. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1998;**338**(5):278-285
- [96] Fischer MT, Sharma R, Lim JL, Haider L, Frischer JM, Drexhage J, et al. NADPH oxidase expression in active multiple sclerosis lesions in relation to oxidative tissue damage and mitochondrial injury. *Brain*. 2012;**135** (Pt 3):886-899
- [97] Zrzavy T, Hametner S, Wimmer I, Butovsky O, Weiner HL, Lassmann H. Loss of 'homeostatic' microglia and patterns of their activation in active multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2017;**140**(7):1900-1913
- [98] Boven LA, Van Meurs M, Van Zwam M, Wierenga-Wolf A, Hintzen RQ, Boot RG, et al. Myelin-laden macrophages are anti-inflammatory, consistent with foam cells in multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2006;**129**(Pt 2):517-526
- [99] Fan X, Zhang H, Cheng Y, Jiang X, Zhu J, Jin T. Double roles of macrophages in human neuroimmune diseases and their animal models. *Mediators of Inflammation*. 2016;**2016**:8489251
- [100] Gillen KM, Mubarak M, Nguyen TD, Pitt D. Significance and in vivo detection of iron-laden microglia in white matter multiple sclerosis lesions. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2018;**9**:255
- [101] Vogel DY, Vereyken EJ, Glim JE, Heijnen PD, Moeton M, van der Valk P, et al. Macrophages in inflammatory multiple sclerosis lesions have an intermediate activation status. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2013;**10**:35
- [102] Zhang Z, Zhang ZY, Schittenhelm J, Wu Y, Meyermann R, Schluesener HJ. Parenchymal accumulation of CD163+ macrophages/microglia in multiple sclerosis brains. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 2011;**237**(1-2):73-79
- [103] Giles DA, Washnock-Schmid JM, Duncker PC, Dahlawi S, Ponath G, Pitt D, et al. Myeloid cell plasticity in the evolution of central nervous system autoimmunity. *Annals of Neurology*. 2018;**83**(1):131-141
- [104] Mehta V, Pei W, Yang G, Li S, Swamy E, Boster A, et al. Iron is a sensitive biomarker for inflammation in multiple sclerosis lesions. *PLoS One*. 2013;**8**(3):e57573
- [105] Popescu BF, Frischer JM, Webb SM, Tham M, Adiele RC, Robinson CA, et al. Pathogenic implications of distinct patterns of iron and zinc in chronic MS lesions. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2017;**134**(1):45-64
- [106] Hametner S, Wimmer I, Haider L, Pfeifenbring S, Bruck W, Lassmann H. Iron and neurodegeneration in the multiple sclerosis brain. *Annals of Neurology*. 2013;**74**(6):848-861
- [107] Howell OW, Rundle JL, Garg A, Komada M, Brophy PJ, Reynolds R. Activated microglia mediate axoglial disruption that contributes to axonal injury in multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology*. 2010;**69**(10):1017-1033
- [108] Melief J, Schuurman KG, van de Garde MD, Smolders J, van Eijk M, Hamann J, et al. Microglia in normal appearing white matter of multiple sclerosis are alerted but immunosuppressed. *Glia*. 2013;**61**(11):1848-1861
- [109] Singh S, Metz I, Amor S, van der Valk P, Stadelmann C, Bruck W. Microglial nodules in early multiple sclerosis white matter

are associated with degenerating axons. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2013;**125**(4):595-608

[110] Herranz E, Gianni C, Louapre C, Treaba CA, Govindarajan ST, Ouellette R, et al. Neuroinflammatory component of gray matter pathology in multiple sclerosis. *Annals of Neurology*. 2016;**80**(5):776-790

[111] Politis M, Giannetti P, Su P, Turkheimer F, Keihaninejad S, Wu K, et al. Increased PK11195 PET binding in the cortex of patients with MS correlates with disability. *Neurology*. 2012;**79**(6):523-530

[112] Abdelhak A, Weber MS, Tumani H. Primary progressive multiple sclerosis: Putting together the puzzle. *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2017;**8**:234

[113] Howell OW, Reeves CA, Nicholas R, Carassiti D, Radotra B, Gentleman SM, et al. Meningeal inflammation is widespread and linked to cortical pathology in multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2011;**134**(Pt 9):2755-2771

[114] Michailidou I, Naessens DM, Hametner S, Guldenaar W, Kooi EJ, Geurts JJ, et al. Complement C3 on microglial clusters in multiple sclerosis occur in chronic but not acute disease: Implication for disease pathogenesis. *Glia*. 2017;**65**(2):264-277

[115] Shemer A, Grozovski J, Tay TL, Tao J, Volaski A, Suss P, et al. Engrafted parenchymal brain macrophages differ from microglia in transcriptome, chromatin landscape and response to challenge. *Nature Communications*. 2018;**9**(1):5206

[116] Said A, Weindl G. Regulation of dendritic cell function in inflammation. *Journal of Immunology Research*. 2015;**2015**:743169

[117] Pierson ER, Wagner CA, Goverman JM. The contribution of neutrophils

to CNS autoimmunity. *Clinical Immunology*. 2018;**189**:23-28

[118] Brinkmann V, Reichard U, Goosmann C, Fauler B, Uhlemann Y, Weiss DS, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science*. 2004;**303**(5663):1532-1535

[119] Naegele M, Tillack K, Reinhardt S, Schippling S, Martin R, Sospedra M. Neutrophils in multiple sclerosis are characterized by a primed phenotype. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 2012;**242**(1-2):60-71

[120] Saadoun S, Waters P, MacDonald C, Bell BA, Vincent A, Verkman AS, et al. Neutrophil protease inhibition reduces neuromyelitis optica-immunoglobulin G-induced damage in mouse brain. *Annals of Neurology*. 2012;**71**(3):323-333

[121] Woodberry T, Bouffler SE, Wilson AS, Buckland RL, Brustle A. The emerging role of neutrophil granulocytes in multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2018;**7**(12). pii: E511

[122] Kostic M, Dzopalic T, Zivanovic S, Zivkovic N, Cvetanovic A, Stojanovic I, et al. IL-17 and glutamate excitotoxicity in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology*. 2014;**79**(3):181-186

[123] Campbell SJ, Meier U, Mardiguian S, Jiang Y, Littleton ET, Bristow A, et al. Sickness behaviour is induced by a peripheral CXC-chemokine also expressed in multiple sclerosis and EAE. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2010;**24**(5):738-746

[124] Ishizu T, Osoegawa M, Mei FJ, Kikuchi H, Tanaka M, Takakura Y, et al. Intrathecal activation of the IL-17/IL-8 axis in optico-spinal multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2005;**128**(Pt 5):988-1002

[125] Rumble JM, Huber AK, Krishnamoorthy G, Srinivasan A,

Giles DA, Zhang X, et al. Neutrophil-related factors as biomarkers in EAE and MS. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2015;**212**(1):23-35

[126] Lock C, Hermans G, Pedotti R, Brendolan A, Schadt E, Garren H, et al. Gene-microarray analysis of multiple sclerosis lesions yields new targets validated in autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Nature Medicine*. 2002;**8**(5):500-508

[127] Burt RK, Fassas A, Snowden J, van Laar JM, Kozak T, Wulffraat NM, et al. Collection of hematopoietic stem cells from patients with autoimmune diseases. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*. 2001;**28**(1):1-12

[128] Openshaw H, Lund BT, Kashyap A, Atkinson R, Snieciński I, Weiner LP, et al. Peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in multiple sclerosis with busulfan and cyclophosphamide conditioning: Report of toxicity and immunological monitoring. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2000;**6**(5A):563-575

[129] Neumann J. Ueber das Vorkommen der sogenannten "Mastzellen" bei pathologischen Veränderungen des Gehirns. *Archiv für pathologische Anatomie und Physiologie und für klinische Medizin*. 1890;**122**(2):378-308

[130] Ibrahim MZ, Reder AT, Lawand R, Takash W, Sallouh-Khatib S. The mast cells of the multiple sclerosis brain. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 1996;**70**(2):131-138

[131] Olsson Y. Mast cells in plaques of multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*. 1974;**50**(5):611-618

[132] Toms R, Weiner HL, Johnson D. Identification of IgE-positive cells and mast cells in frozen sections of multiple sclerosis brains. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 1990;**30**(2-3):169-177

[133] Brown MA, Weinberg RB. Mast cells and innate lymphoid cells: Underappreciated players in CNS autoimmune demyelinating disease. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2018;**9**:514

[134] Calabresi PA, Stone LA, Bash CN, Frank JA, McFarland HF. Interferon beta results in immediate reduction of contrast-enhanced MRI lesions in multiple sclerosis patients followed by weekly MRI. *Neurology*. 1997;**48**(5):1446-1448

[135] Jacobs LD, Cookfair DL, Rudick RA, Herndon RM, Richert JR, Salazar AM, et al. Intramuscular interferon beta-1a for disease progression in relapsing multiple sclerosis. The Multiple Sclerosis Collaborative Research Group (MSCRG). *Annals of Neurology*. 1996;**39**(3):285-294

[136] Guarda G, Braun M, Staehli F, Tardivel A, Mattmann C, Forster I, et al. Type I interferon inhibits interleukin-1 production and inflammasome activation. *Immunity*. 2011;**34**(2):213-223

[137] Ramgolam VS, Sha Y, Jin J, Zhang X, Markovic-Plese S. IFN-beta inhibits human Th17 cell differentiation. *Journal of Immunology*. 2009;**183**(8):5418-5427

[138] Prinz M, Schmidt H, Mildner A, Knobloch KP, Hanisch UK, Raasch J, et al. Distinct and nonredundant in vivo functions of IFNAR on myeloid cells limit autoimmunity in the central nervous system. *Immunity*. 2008;**28**(5):675-686

[139] Bustamante MF, Nurtdinov RN, Rio J, Montalban X, Comabella M. Baseline gene expression signatures in monocytes from multiple sclerosis patients treated with interferon-beta. *PLoS One*. 2013;**8**(4):e60994

[140] Comabella M, Lunemann JD, Rio J, Sanchez A, Lopez C, Julia E, et al. A type I interferon signature in monocytes

is associated with poor response to interferon-beta in multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2009;**132**(Pt 12):3353-3365

[141] Zula JA, Green HC, Ransohoff RM, Rudick RA, Stark GR, van Boxel-Dezaire AH. The role of cell type-specific responses in IFN-beta therapy of multiple sclerosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2011;**108**(49):19689-19694

[142] Hebb AL, Moore CS, Bhan V, Robertson GS. Effects of IFN-B on TRAIL and decoy receptor expression in different immune cell populations from MS patients with distinct disease subtypes. *Autoimmune Disease*. 2010;**2011**:485752

[143] Marckmann S, Wiesemann E, Hilse R, Trebst C, Stangel M, Windhagen A. Interferon-beta up-regulates the expression of co-stimulatory molecules CD80, CD86 and CD40 on monocytes: Significance for treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*. 2004;**138**(3):499-506

[144] Wiesemann E, Deb M, Trebst C, Hemmer B, Stangel M, Windhagen A. Effects of interferon-beta on co-signaling molecules: Upregulation of CD40, CD86 and PD-L2 on monocytes in relation to clinical response to interferon-beta treatment in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis*. 2008;**14**(2):166-176

[145] Sellebjerg F, Krakauer M, Limborg S, Hesse D, Lund H, Langkilde A, et al. Endogenous and recombinant type I interferons and disease activity in multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One*. 2012;**7**(6):e35927

[146] Gamrekelashvili J, Giagnorio R, Jussofie J, Soehnlein O, Duchene J, Briseno CG, et al. Regulation of monocyte cell fate by blood vessels mediated by Notch signalling. *Nature Communications*. 2016;**7**:12597

[147] Adriani M, Nytrova P, Mbogning C, Hassler S, Medek K, Jensen PEH, et al. Monocyte NOTCH2 expression predicts IFN-beta immunogenicity in multiple sclerosis patients. *JCI Insight*. 2018;**3**(11). pii: 99274

[148] Prod'homme T, Zamvil SS. The evolving mechanisms of action of glatiramer acetate. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*. 2019;**9**(2). pii: a029249

[149] Weber MS, Hohlfeld R, Zamvil SS. Mechanism of action of glatiramer acetate in treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2007;**4**(4):647-653

[150] Fridkis-Hareli M, Teitelbaum D, Gurevich E, Pecht I, Brautbar C, Kwon OJ, et al. Direct binding of myelin basic protein and synthetic copolymer 1 to class II major histocompatibility complex molecules on living antigen-presenting cells—Specificity and promiscuity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1994;**91**(11):4872-4876

[151] Teitelbaum D, Fridkis-Hareli M, Arnon R, Sela M. Copolymer 1 inhibits chronic relapsing experimental allergic encephalomyelitis induced by proteolipid protein (PLP) peptides in mice and interferes with PLP-specific T cell responses. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 1996;**64**(2):209-217

[152] Weber MS, Prod'homme T, Youssef S, Dunn SE, Rundle CD, Lee L, et al. Type II monocytes modulate T cell-mediated central nervous system autoimmune disease. *Nature Medicine*. 2007;**13**(8):935-943

[153] Kim HJ, Ifergan I, Antel JP, Seguin R, Duddy M, Lapierre Y, et al. Type 2 monocyte and microglia differentiation mediated by glatiramer acetate therapy in patients with multiple

sclerosis. *Journal of Immunology*. 2004;**172**(11):7144-7153

[154] Chuluundorj D, Harding SA, Abernethy D, La Flamme AC. Glatiramer acetate treatment normalized the monocyte activation profile in MS patients to that of healthy controls. *Immunology and Cell Biology*. 2017;**95**(3):297-305

[155] Hussien Y, Sanna A, Soderstrom M, Link H, Huang YM. Glatiramer acetate and IFN-beta act on dendritic cells in multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 2001;**121**(1-2):102-110

[156] Sellebjerg F, Hesse D, Limborg S, Lund H, Sondergaard HB, Krakauer M, et al. Dendritic cell, monocyte and T cell activation and response to glatiramer acetate in multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis*. 2013;**19**(2):179-187

[157] van der Touw W, Kang K, Luan Y, Ma G, Mai S, Qin L, et al. Glatiramer acetate enhances myeloid-derived suppressor cell function via recognition of paired Ig-like receptor B. *Journal of Immunology*. 2018;**201**(6):1727-1734

[158] Mindur JE, Valenzuela RM, Yadav SK, Boppana S, Dhib-Jalbut S, Ito K. IL-27: A potential biomarker for responders to glatiramer acetate therapy. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 2017;**304**:21-28

[159] Lalive PH, Kreutzfeldt M, Devergne O, Metz I, Bruck W, Merkler D, et al. Increased interleukin-27 cytokine expression in the central nervous system of multiple sclerosis patients. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2017;**14**(1):144

[160] Cohen JA, Barkhof F, Comi G, Hartung HP, Khatri BO, Montalban X, et al. Oral fingolimod or intramuscular interferon for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2010;**362**(5):402-415

[161] Chun J, Kihara Y, Jonnalagadda D, Blaho VA. Fingolimod: Lessons learned and new opportunities for treating multiple sclerosis and other disorders. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*. 2019;**59**:149-170

[162] Brinkmann V, Billich A, Baumruker T, Heining P, Schmouder R, Francis G, et al. Fingolimod (FTY720): Discovery and development of an oral drug to treat multiple sclerosis. *Nature Reviews. Drug Discovery*. 2010;**9**(11):883-897

[163] Di Dario M, Colombo E, Govi C, De Feo D, Messina MJ, Romeo M, et al. Myeloid cells as target of fingolimod action in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology: Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation*. 2015;**2**(6):e157

[164] Durafour BA, Lambert C, Johnson TA, Blain M, Bar-Or A, Antel JP. Differential responses of human microglia and blood-derived myeloid cells to FTY720. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 2011;**230**(1-2):10-16

[165] Hughes JE, Srinivasan S, Lynch KR, Proia RL, Ferdek P, Hedrick CC. Sphingosine-1-phosphate induces an antiinflammatory phenotype in macrophages. *Circulation Research*. 2008;**102**(8):950-958

[166] Thomas K, Sehr T, Proschmann U, Rodriguez-Leal FA, Haase R, Ziemssen T. Fingolimod additionally acts as immunomodulator focused on the innate immune system beyond its prominent effects on lymphocyte recirculation. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2017;**14**(1):41

[167] Michell-Robinson MA, Moore CS, Healy LM, Osso LA, Zorko N, Grouza V, et al. Effects of fumarates on circulating and CNS myeloid cells in multiple sclerosis. *Annals of Clinical Translational Neurology*. 2016;**3**(1):27-41

- [168] Foster CA, Howard LM, Schweitzer A, Persohn E, Hiestand PC, Balatoni B, et al. Brain penetration of the oral immunomodulatory drug FTY720 and its phosphorylation in the central nervous system during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: Consequences for mode of action in multiple sclerosis. *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 2007;**323**(2):469-475
- [169] Linker RA, Lee DH, Ryan S, van Dam AM, Conrad R, Bista P, et al. Fumaric acid esters exert neuroprotective effects in neuroinflammation via activation of the Nrf2 antioxidant pathway. *Brain*. 2011;**134**(Pt 3):678-692
- [170] Mills EA, Ogrodnik MA, Plave A, Mao-Draayer Y. Emerging understanding of the mechanism of action for dimethyl fumarate in the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2018;**9**:5
- [171] Paraiso HC, Kuo PC, Curfman ET, Moon HJ, Sweazey RD, Yen JH, et al. Dimethyl fumarate attenuates reactive microglia and long-term memory deficits following systemic immune challenge. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2018;**15**(1):100
- [172] Schulze-Topphoff U, Varrin-Doyer M, Pekarek K, Spencer CM, Shetty A, Sagan SA, et al. Dimethyl fumarate treatment induces adaptive and innate immune modulation independent of Nrf2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2016;**113**(17):4777-4782
- [173] Schilling S, Goelz S, Linker R, Luehder F, Gold R. Fumaric acid esters are effective in chronic experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and suppress macrophage infiltration. *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*. 2006;**145**(1):101-107
- [174] Bar-Or A, Pachner A, Menguy-Vacheron F, Kaplan J, Wiendl H. Teriflunomide and its mechanism of action in multiple sclerosis. *Drugs*. 2014;**74**(6):659-674
- [175] Bar-Or A. Teriflunomide (Aubagio(R)) for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Experimental Neurology*. 2014;**262**(Pt A):57-65
- [176] Merrill JE, Hanak S, Pu SF, Liang J, Dang C, Iglesias-Bregna D, et al. Teriflunomide reduces behavioral, electrophysiological, and histopathological deficits in the Dark Agouti rat model of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Journal of Neurology*. 2009;**256**(1):89-103
- [177] Wostradowski T, Prajeeth CK, Gudi V, Kronenberg J, Witte S, Brieskorn M, et al. In vitro evaluation of physiologically relevant concentrations of teriflunomide on activation and proliferation of primary rodent microglia. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2016;**13**(1):250
- [178] Li L, Liu J, Delohery T, Zhang D, Arendt C, Jones C. The effects of teriflunomide on lymphocyte subpopulations in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells in vitro. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*. 2013;**265**(1-2):82-90
- [179] Medina S, Sainz de la Maza S, Villarrubia N, Alvarez-Lafuente R, Costa-Frossard L, Arroyo R, et al. Teriflunomide induces a tolerogenic bias in blood immune cells of MS patients. *Annals of Clinical Translational Neurology*. 2019;**6**(2):355-363
- [180] Polman CH, O'Connor PW, Havrdova E, Hutchinson M, Kappos L, Miller DH, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2006;**354**(9):899-910

- [181] Planas R, Jelcic I, Schippling S, Martin R, Sospedra M. Natalizumab treatment perturbs memory- and marginal zone-like B-cell homing in secondary lymphoid organs in multiple sclerosis. *European Journal of Immunology*. 2012;**42**(3):790-798
- [182] Metz I, Radue EW, Oterino A, Kumpfel T, Wiendl H, Schippling S, et al. Pathology of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in multiple sclerosis with natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2012;**123**(2):235-245
- [183] Kivisakk P, Francois K, Mbianda J, Gandhi R, Weiner HL, Khoury SJ. Effect of natalizumab treatment on circulating plasmacytoid dendritic cells: A cross-sectional observational study in patients with multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One*. 2014;**9**(7):e103716
- [184] Painter MM, Atagi Y, Liu CC, Rademakers R, Xu H, Fryer JD, et al. TREM2 in CNS homeostasis and neurodegenerative disease. *Molecular Neurodegeneration*. 2015;**10**:43
- [185] Kleinberger G, Yamanishi Y, Suarez-Calvet M, Czirr E, Lohmann E, Cuyvers E, et al. TREM2 mutations implicated in neurodegeneration impair cell surface transport and phagocytosis. *Science Translational Medicine*. 2014;**6**(243):243ra86
- [186] Piccio L, Buonsanti C, Cella M, Tassi I, Schmidt RE, Fenoglio C, et al. Identification of soluble TREM-2 in the cerebrospinal fluid and its association with multiple sclerosis and CNS inflammation. *Brain*. 2008;**131** (Pt 11):3081-3091
- [187] Ohrfelt A, Axelsson M, Malmstrom C, Novakova L, Heslegrave A, Blennow K, et al. Soluble TREM-2 in cerebrospinal fluid from patients with multiple sclerosis treated with natalizumab or mitoxantrone. *Multiple Sclerosis*. 2016;**22**(12):1587-1595
- [188] Greenfield AL, Hauser SL. B-cell therapy for multiple sclerosis: Entering an era. *Annals of Neurology*. 2018;**83**(1):13-26
- [189] Montalban X, Hauser SL, Kappos L, Arnold DL, Bar-Or A, Comi G, et al. Ocrelizumab versus placebo in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2017;**376**(3):209-220
- [190] Li R, Rezk A, Miyazaki Y, Hilgenberg E, Touil H, Shen P, et al. Proinflammatory GM-CSF-producing B cells in multiple sclerosis and B cell depletion therapy. *Science Translational Medicine*. 2015;**7**(310):310ra166
- [191] Rao SP, Sancho J, Campos-Rivera J, Boutin PM, Severy PB, Weeden T, et al. Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells exhibit heterogeneous CD52 expression levels and show differential sensitivity to alemtuzumab mediated cytotoxicity. *PLoS One*. 2012;**7**(6):e39416
- [192] Ambrose LR, Morel AS, Warrens AN. Neutrophils express CD52 and exhibit complement-mediated lysis in the presence of alemtuzumab. *Blood*. 2009;**114**(14):3052-3055
- [193] Baker D, Giovannoni G, Schmierer K. Marked neutropenia: Significant but rare in people with multiple sclerosis after alemtuzumab treatment. *Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders*. 2017;**18**:181-183