We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

186,000

200M

Downloads

154
Countries delivered to

Our authors are among the

 $\mathsf{TOP}\:1\%$

12.2%

most cited scientists

Contributors from top 500 universitie



WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Introductory Chapter: Arsenic

Margarita Stoytcheva and Roumen Zlatev

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.78399

1. Arsenic occurrence

Arsenic (As) is a chemical element the abundance of which in the continental crust of the Earth is given as 1.5–2 ppm. Most often arsenic appears in nature in the form of Fe, Co and Ni arsenides, arsenic sulfide, and native arsenic. It belongs to the metalloids, in spite that it shows intermediate properties between metals and non-metals.

In general, arsenic forms soluble oxyanions with the predominant oxidation states +3 and +5, while the minor oxidation states are 0 and -3. The main form of As(III) is arsenite existing in solutions with pH between 5 and 9. H_3AsO_3 and the anions resulting from its dissociation occurring according to Eq. 1 are $H_2AsO_3^{-1}$, $H_2AsO_3^{-2}$, and AsO_3^{-3} :

$$H_3AsO_3 \xrightarrow{pK_a=9.2} H_2AsO_3^- + H^+ \xrightarrow{pK_a=14.22} HAsO_3^{2-} + H^+ \xrightarrow{pK_a=19.22} AsO_3^{3-} + H^+$$
 (1)

The main form of As(V) is H_3AsO_4 . The anions resulting from its dissociation are $H_2AsO_4^-$, $HAsO_4^{2-}$, and AsO_4^{3-} . The arsenates have high-ionization capacity due to the presence of the double bond. The H_3AsO_4 molecule easily loses hydrogen ions by dissociation remaining with a negative charge, forming successively several anions according to Eq. 2:

$$H_3AsO_4 \xrightarrow{pK_a=2.2} H_2AsO_4^- + H^+ \xrightarrow{pK_a=6.94} HAsO_4^{2-} + H^+ \xrightarrow{pK_a=11.3} AsO_4^{3-} + H^+$$
 (2)

2. Arsenic and its compound application and removal

Elemental arsenic has a limited application mainly as lead and brass alloy's ingredient, but its compounds are widely used in the industry. The gallium arsenide (GaAs) is extensively used in the electronic industry for high-frequency integrated circuits, laser diodes, and Light Emission Diodes (LEDs); the arsenic oxide is used in glass production industry; the arsenic sulfides



are used as pigments in textile. There is a revival of the interest in arsenic as medicine for the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia, multiple myeloma, myelodysplastic syndrome, and various resistant solid tumors. Other applications of the arsenic compounds include the paper industry, the pyrotechnic industry, and so on. Arsenic compounds were used as pesticides in the past.

The conventional methods most applied for arsenic removal are:

- Coagulation and flocculation (the most commonly employed), which include two distinct processes: colloids destabilization neutralizing the electric charges allowing particles aggregation, followed by flocculation by a polymer building giant aggregates [1, 2].
- Precipitation including one of the following methods: alum coagulation [3], iron coagulation [4], lime softening [5], and combination of iron (and manganese) removal [6–8].
- Membrane filtration: a pressure driven process, which can include one of the following: microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, and hyperfiltration or reverse osmosis [9–12].
- Adsorption and ion exchange are employing solids to accumulate on their surface substances contained in liquid phase [12–16].
- Bioremediation, ozone oxidation, and electrochemical treatments are also applied.

3. Arsenic and its compounds toxicity

Arsenic is extremely poisonous for multicellular life, although several types of bacteria are capable of using arsenic compounds as respiratory metabolites. Pollution of groundwater with arsenic is a problem that affects millions of people around the world. Worldwide, up to 100 million people are at risk of exposure to arsenic from excess arsenic in drinking water in countries such as Argentina, Bangladesh, Chile, China, India, Mexico, and the USA. The US Environmental Protection Agency classifies arsenic as a carcinogen of group A due to the evidence of its adverse effects on health [17]. Exposure to 0.05 mg L⁻¹ can cause 31.33 cases of skin cancer per 1000 inhabitants. For this reason, the maximum acceptance limit of 0.050 mg L⁻¹ of arsenic was lowered to 0.010–0.020 mg L⁻¹. However, it was found that a daily intake of 12–15 μg arsenic as a microelement from meat, fish, vegetables, and cereals maintains the immune system activity. The WHO warns that the maximum safe arsenic concentration for health is as low as 10 ppb, but limit values for arsenic species are not established [17, 18].

Arsenic can be found as a pollutant in water or food, especially in shells and other seafood, and often polluting fruits and vegetables, especially rice. Today arsenic poisoning occurs through industrial exposure, from contaminated wine or smuggled spirits, or due to intentional use. The possibility of arsenic contamination of herbal preparations and food supplements should also be taken into account.

Inorganic forms of arsenic are more toxic than the organic ones. Arsenic oxides are the most common threat because arsenite and arsenate salts are the most toxic. These forms are components of geological formations and are extracted into groundwater.

The two forms of inorganic arsenic reduced (trivalent arsenic) and oxidized (five valent arsenic) can be absorbed and accumulated in tissues and body fluids. The trivalent form is more toxic and reacts with thiol groups. Very few organs and systems are not affected by the toxic effects of arsenic. The arsenic compound toxicity decays in the following order: As(III) > monomethylarsine oxide > dimethylarson > monomethylarsenate <math>> As(V). The toxicity of As(III) is 10 times greater than that of As(V) and the lethal dose for adults is 1–4 mg kg⁻¹.

4. Analytical methods for arsenic quantification

The "total arsenic" determined in a sample most often do not represent a valuable information, because of the different properties and different toxicity of its species [19, 20]. The main problem is the easy conversion of the arsenic species to another caused by the pH changes, the presence of reducing agents, oxidizers, and certain bacterial strains able to produce a lot of organic As-species [21, 22]. That is why the As speciation is the only important way to characterize the origin of the As-related problems such as its toxicity and biogeochemical cycling and to find the best procedure for drinking water treatment. Unfortunately, As speciation remains challenging, because of the interference between the arsenic species possessing different toxicity [23], which is typical for the organic As-species [24, 25].

The best analytical methods for As speciation are considered those, including chromatographic separations [26, 27] such as IC [28] and HPLC [29], coupled with a sensitive detection system, such as ICP–MS, AFS–HG, and AAS–HG [30]. Specific sorbents and exchange resins have been developed and applied recently for this purpose [31–35]. Apart from the chromatographic and non-chromatographic methods for the arsenic species separation, simple and cost-effective electrochemical methods were developed recently based on the distinct As-species electrochemical properties [36, 37].

5. Conclusion

In spite of the arsenic and its compounds important industrial application, the greatest attention is paid to their influence on the human health, especially in case of long temp action by contaminated drinking water and food. That is why detailed studies on arsenic toxicity and cancer provoking mechanisms were realized. The toxicity of the arsenic species may differ 10 and more times from one to another, so their transformation caused by condition changes (as pH, dissolved oxygen, and bacterial activity) during the sample transportation and conservation prior the laboratory analysis may cause false results. For this reason, simple analytical techniques and sensors for arsenic speciation have been developed able to realize *in-situ* speciation to evaluate the real toxicity of contaminated water, food, or soil samples. To prevent

the negative arsenic influence on the human health, the most important is the decontamination of the affected waters and soils. Many methods based on different principles were developed ad successfully applied for this purpose.

Author details

Margarita Stoytcheva* and Roumen Zlatev

*Address all correspondence to: margarita.stoytcheva@uabc.edu.mx

Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Instituto de Ingeniería, Mexicali, México

References

- [1] McNeill LS, Edwards MJ. Soluble arsenic removal at water treatment plants. Journal AWWA. 1995;87:105-113
- [2] Gregor J. Arsenic removal during conventional aluminium-based drinking-water treatment. Water Research. 2001;35:1659-1664
- [3] Kartinen EO, Martin CJ. An overview of arsenic removal processes. Desalination. 1995; 103:79-88
- [4] Jones CJ, Hudson BC, McGugan PJ. The removal of arsenic(V) from acidic solutions. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 1977;2:333-345
- [5] Gaid K. The removal of arsenic from drinking water. Journal Europeen d'Hydrologie. 2005;**36**:145-165
- [6] Sorg TJ. Adsorptive media for arsenic removal. In: Public Water System Compliance Using POU and POE Treatment Technology NFS Conference; Orlando, FL; 2003
- [7] Sorg TJ, Lytlem D. Arsenic treatment pilot plant tests. Course Materials. In: Water and Wastewater Workshop; Operator Training Committee of Ohio; 2005
- [8] Kunzru S, Chaudhuri M. Manganese amended activated alumina for adsorption/oxidation of arsenic. Journal of Environmental Engineering. 2005;131:1350-1353
- [9] Wiesner MR, Clark MM, Jacangelo JG, Lykins BW, Marinas BJ, O'Melia CR, Rittman BE, Semmens MJ, Brittan J, Fiessinger F, Gemin J, Summers RS, Thompson MA, Tobiason JE. Committee report. Membrane processes in potable water treatment. Journal AWWA. 1992; 84:59-67
- [10] Waypa JJ, Elimelech M, Hering JG. Arsenic removal by RO and NF membranes. Journal AWWA. 1997;89:102-114

- [11] Seidel A, Waypa JJ, Elimech M. Role of charge (Donnan) exclusion in removal of arsenic from water by a negatively charged porous nanofiltration membrane. Environmental Engineering Science. 2001;18:105-113
- [12] Saitúa H, Campderrós M, Cerutti S, Pérez A. Effect of operating conditions in removal of arsenic from water by nanofiltration membrane. Desalination. 2005;172:173-180
- [13] Wennrich RD, Weiss H. Sorption materials for arsenic removal from wastes: a comparative study. Water Research. 2004;38:2948-2954
- [14] Huang CP, Fu PLK. Treatment of arsenic(V)-containing water by the activated carbon process. Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation. 1984;56:233-242
- [15] Gimbel R, Hobby R. Discharge of arsenic and heavy metals from activated carbon filters during drinking water treatment. Wasser Rohrbau. 2000;51:15-16
- [16] Eguez HE, Cho EH. Adsorption of arsenic on activated-charcoal. Journal of Meteorology. 1987;39:38-41
- [17] US EPA. National primary drinking water regulations: Arsenic and clarifications to compliance and new source contaminants monitoring. In: Final Rule, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, parts 141 and 142, USA. 2001
- [18] WHO. Arsenic in Drinking Water. In: Geneva: WHO; 2001
- [19] Ammann AA. Arsenic speciation analysis by ion chromatography-a critical review of principles and applications. American Journal of Analytical Chemistry. 2011;2:27-45
- [20] Smedley PL, Kinniburgh DG. A review of the source, behavior and distribution of arsenic in natural waters. Applied Geochemistry. 2002;17:517-568
- [21] Feldmann J, Devalla S, Raab A, Hansen HR. In: Hirner AV, Emons H, editors. Organic Metal and Metalloid Species in the Environment. Heidelberg: Springer; 2004. p. 41
- [22] Goessler W, Kuehnelt D. In: Mester Z, Sturgeon R, editors. Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2003. pp. 1027-1044
- [23] Miller GP, Norman DI, Frisch PL. A comment on arsenic species separation using ion exchange. Water Research. 2000;34:1397-1400
- [24] Francesconi KA. Toxic metal species and food regulations-making a healthy choice. Analyst. 2007;132:17-20
- [25] Nischwitz V, Pergantis SA. Optimisation of an HPLC selected reaction monitoring electrospray tandem mass spectrometry method for the detection of 50 arsenic species. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. 2006;21:1277-1286
- [26] Szpunar J, Lobinski R. Multidimensional approaches in biochemical speciation analysis. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 2002;373:404-411

- [27] Komorowicz I, Baralkiewicz D. Arsenic and its speciation in water samples by high performance liquid chromatography inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry-last decade review. Talanta. 2011;84:247-261
- [28] Gault AG, Polya DA, Lythgoe PR, Farquhar ML, Charnock JM, Wogelius RA. Arsenic speciation in surface waters and sediments in a contaminated waterway: An IC-ICP-MS and XAS based study. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18:1387-1397
- [29] Ronkart SN, Laurent V, Carbonnelle P, Mabon N, Copin A, Barthélemy JP. Speciation of five arsenic species (arsenite, arsenate, MMAA, DMAA and AsBet) in different kind of water by HPLC-ICP-MS. Chemosphere. 2007;6:738-745
- [30] Akter KF, Chen Z, Smith L, Davey D, Naidu R. Speciation of arsenic in groundwater samples: a comparative study of CE-UV, HG-AAS and LC-ICP-MS. Talanta. 2005;68:406-415
- [31] Chen D, Huang C, He M, Separation HB. preconcentration of inorganic arsenic species in natural water samples with 3-(2-aminoethylamino) propyltrimethoxysilane modified ordered mesoporous silica micro-column and their determination by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2009;164:1146-1151
- [32] Issa NB, Rajakovic-Ognjanovic VN, Jovanovic BM, Rajakovic LV. Determination of inorganic arsenic species in natural waters-Benefits of separation and preconcentration on ion exchange and hybrid resins. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2010:185-193
- [33] Issa NB, Rajakovic-Ognjanovic VN, Marinkovic AD, Rajakovic LV. Separation and determination of arsenic species in water by selective exchange and hybrid resins. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2011;706:191-198
- [34] Issa NB, Marinkovic AD, Rajakovic LV. Separation and determination of dimethylarsenate in natural waters. Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society. 2012;77:775-788
- [35] Daus B, Mattusch J, Wennrich R, Weiss H. Analytical investigations of phenyl arsenicals in groundwater. Talanta. 2008;75:376-379
- [36] Zlatev R, Stoytcheva M, Valdez B, Álvarez L, Cobo JM. Application of single-use PCB gold disc electrodes for "in-situ" determination of As(III) in natural waters. ECS Transactions. 2009;**20**:3-12
- [37] Zlatev R, Stoytcheva M, Valdez B. As(III) determination in the presence of Pb(II) by Differential Alternative Pulses Voltammetry. Electroanalysis. 2010;22:1671-1674