We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

# Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



# High-Sensitivity and High-Stiffness Force Sensor Using Strain-Deformation Expansion Mechanism

Yong Yu Takashi Chaen and Showzow Tsujio Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan

## 1. Introduction

In order to grasp and manipulate an object controllably and dexterously with a multifingered hand of robot, the sensing of fingertip force is required. To these operation, for making the controlability higher and making force sensor be proof against unexpected collisions or weights, not only the sensor's sensibility but also its stiffness are desired as high as possible.

For sensing a force acting on a force sensor, in general, some sensing elastic bodies is equipped in the force sensor. When a force is applied on the sensor, the force will pass through the sensing elastic bodies and make the bodies deform linearly so that it can be measured from the strain-deformations on the elastic bodies. So far, many researches [1]~[7] [9] consider making the sensed force all passed through the sensing elastic bodies for force sensing. To this situation, if we want to make the sensibility higher, the stiffness of the sensing elastic bodies have to be reduced for making their deformations larger. Conversely, if we wan to make the stiffness higher, the sensibility of the sensing elastic bodies will be reduced since their deformations become to small because of the higher stiffness. Thus by using the previous sensing structures, it is hard to realize the force sensing with both of high-stiffness and high-sensitivity.

For instance, about torque sensing, some strain gauges are put on an arm joint shaft to sense the torque deformation on the shaft [1]. In this way, to make the sensitivity higher, reducing the stiffness of joint shaft, for instance, using an elastic shaft as a portion of joint shaft [2], was considered. On the other hand, a joint shaft and an arm link was fixedly connected in series by an elastic body and its elastic deformation was used for joint torque sensing [3]. However this method will reduce the joint stiffness, too. Also, double-cross structure [7], double-membrane structure [6] [8] were proposed for force sensing or acceleration sensing. But these methods will reduce the sensor's stiffness if higher sensibility is required. In general, increasing the sensitivity of joint torque sensing by reducing the joint stiffness, is not desired.

This paper proposes a novel mechanism called *Strain-Deformation Expansion Mechanism* for 3-axis force sensing. By the force sensing mechanism, the small strain-deformation used for force sensing can be expanded while the sensor stiffness will not be reduced but will be heightened. In this paper, the force sensing principle is addressed by analyzing the

Source: Sensors, Focus on Tactile, Force and Stress Sensors, Book edited by: Jose Gerardo Rocha and Senentxu Lanceros-Mendez, ISBN 978-953-7619-31-2, pp. 444, December 2008, I-Tech, Vienna, Austria deformation of the sensing mechanism and the forces acting on the sensor theoretically. Then, the sensitivity of the sensing mechanism and its expansion rate of sensitivity are defined, and a design method for realizing the sensing mechanism with high sensitivity is discussed. Lastly, some experiments are performed to show the basic characteristics and the effectiveness of the proposed force sensing mechanism.



Fig. 1. Proposed force sensing mechanism



Fig. 2. Side view of proposed force sensing mechanism

## 2. Force sensing principle

## A. Bending deformation on a beam

Fig. 1(a) shows a typical structure of previous 3- axis force sensor, which consists of one pillar and two beams crossing one and another at right angles. 3-axis forces to be sensed will act at the top of the pillar top, and the forces will be sensed by the strain deformations yielding on the crossing beam of the structure. For convenience, let us consider a side view of the sensing structure with height *C*, where the beam with length *L*, thickness *H* and width *B* is put on a hinge support and a support on rollers (see Fig. 2(a)). If a vertical force or a

lateral force is loading on the pillar top, the reactions to the loads at two supports will be upward or downward, so that the beam will be bent and strains will yield on the beam surface. The relation between a strain-deformation  $\epsilon_c$  at a position x from the support A and the vertical force  $F_x$  or the lateral force  $F_x$  will be

$$\epsilon_{cz} = -\frac{F_z x}{E_c I_c} \cdot \frac{H}{8}, \tag{1}$$

$$\epsilon_{cx} = \frac{F_x C x}{L E_c I_c} \cdot \frac{H}{2}, \tag{2}$$

where  $E_c$  and  $I_c$  (=  $BH^3/12$ ) represent the modulus of longitudinal elasticity (Young's modulus) and the second moment of area of the beam respectively. From the equations, the relation between  $F_z$  (or  $F_x$ ) and  $\epsilon_{cz}$  (or  $\epsilon_{cx}$ ) is linear and  $F_z$  (or  $F_x$ ) can be measured if  $\epsilon_{cz}$  (or  $\epsilon_{cx}$ ) is known. Hence, strain gauges can be stuck on the beam surface for measuring the forces form the strain-deformation on the beam.

By this method, however, for making the sensitivity of the sensing structure higher, from eqs. (1) and (2) we can know that  $I_c$  (or B and H) of the beam must be reduced, so that the stiffness of the sensing structure will be lower. It is hard to make its sensitivity and stiffness higher in the same time. To this problem, this paper proposes a novel force sensing mechanism, by which the small strain-deformation on the beam can be expanded for the force sensing while the beam stiffness is increased but reduced.

#### **B.** Force sensing principle

When a force  $\Phi_z$  (or  $\Phi_x$ ) acts on the pillar top, the beam will be bent and at a position x there is a bending angle  $\Phi_z$  (or  $\Phi_x$ )

$$F_{z} = \frac{4E_{c}I_{c}}{x^{2} - L^{2}/4} \cdot \Phi_{z},$$
(3)

$$F_x = \frac{2LE_c I_c}{C(x^2 - L^2/12)} \cdot \Phi_x,$$
(4)

and the strain at *x* will vary with  $\Phi_z$  (or  $\Phi_x$ ). From the above equations, the relation between  $F_z$  (or  $F_x$ ) and  $\Phi_z$  (or  $\Phi_x$ ) is linear. The novel mechanism in this paper will use the bending angle  $\Phi$  to realize a high-sensitivity and high-stiffness force sensing.

Fig. 1 to Fig. 3 show the principle of the proposed mechanism, which has four beam-like elastic bodies (sensor hereafter) on two crossing beams for force sensing. For one of two crossing beam, two sensors of the mechanism are fitted on the two side of the beam shown as Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 3. The sensor construct of the mechanism is designed as Fig. 3. We set that the longitudinal direction of the beam-like sensor crosses the beam at right angles, and is parallel with the longitudinal direction of the pillar when no deformation yields. One end of the sensor is fixed on the beam, while another end can displace in the longitudinal and rotational directions of the sensor but on the sensor laterals the end is constrained by the fixtures fixed on the wall around the mechanism.



Fig. 3. Proposed force sensor construct

Let  $l_x$  denote the length of the narrow portion (whose thickness is h, width is b) of the sensor,  $l_1$  denote the length from the beam connection of the sensor to the middle of  $l_x$ ,  $l_2$  denote the length from the middle of  $l_x$  to the fixtures (see Fig. 4(a)). The portions except the narrow portion are designed enough thickly for checking their deformations. Thus, shown as Fig. 4(a), the sensor's deformation can be focused on the narrow portion when the  $l_1$  portion is displaced rotatively together with the bending angle  $\Phi$ .



Fig. 4. Force sensor behavior

Fig. 4 gives figures with a side view in Fig. 3, which shows the behavior and characteristic of the proposed sensor. When a vertical force is applied to the pillar top, the force will be delivered to the middle of beam, so that the beam will be bent shown as Fig. 5 and a

bending moment will act at the connection between the beam and sensor. From the beam's bending deformation the  $l_1$  portion of sensor will be rotated, if the stiffness of shaft is hard while the narrow portion of sensor is flexible. Then, because the both laterals of the  $l_2$  portion are constrained, bending deformations like Fig. 5 will occur at the deformable  $l_x$  portion, and the two sensors on beam's two sides will be bent with opposite rotation. In the same way, if a lateral force is applied at the pillar top, the  $l_x$  portion of two sensors will also be bent but thier bent behaviors will be the same rotation (see Fig. 5). By measuring the bending deformations of sensor, it is possible to sense the bending deformation of beam.



Fig. 5. Behaviors of force sensor

## C. Forces acting on beam

When a force is applied on the pillar top, for a beam without the proposed sensing mechanism, two reactions from two beam supports will yield to act against the applied force. For a beam with the proposed sensing mechanism, besides the two support reactions, two reactions at the two sensor's  $l_2$  portions will also yield because of the bending deformation of beam as shown by Fig. 4 and 5. Accordingly, by using the proposed sensing mechanism, part of the applied force will branch off to act on the sensors to yield a bending deformation for force sensing.

In the previous researches [1]~[7], an elastic body for sensing is fitted between a sensor's top and its base in series, so that the whole applied force will pass though the elastic body for yielding a deformation. And for obtaining a higher sensitivity, the beam stiffness should be reduced. In this paper, sensing elastic bodies (sensors) are connected with beams in parallel, so that the applied force will be divided into two parts, one passes though the beams to beam's hinge supports and another passes though the sensors to sensor's fixtures. Thereby, only a part of applied force is required for yielding a sensor deformation. And, considering that the sensors and beams are arranged in parallel, the stiffness of this mechanism can be made higher than that only using the beams.

For the case when the sensors and the beams are arranged in parallel, since the sensors have lower stiffness and the beams have higher stiffness, a bending deformation of beam can make a bending deformation on the sensor. On the other hand, the sensors will give their resistances to the beam bending. Accordingly, the beam stiffness added the sensor stiffness makes the whole stiffness of the mechanism. If we make the sensor stiffness higher, the mechanism stiffness will become higher. For a certain applied force, however, the bending deformation of sensor will become smaller because of its higher stiffness. Conversely, if we make the sensor stiffness lower, its bending deformation will be larger, while the mechanism stiffness will be still higher somewhat than the beam stiffness. Meanwhile, the branched force for bending deformation on sensors will become smaller. Therefore, only by smaller branched forces, we can make a larger bending deformation on sensors for force sensing without reducing the beam stiffness.

## 3. Analysis of force sensing principle

#### A. Geometric analysis on sensor deformation

Fig. 4(b) shows a deformed sensor, which is simplified from Fig. 4(a). Since the bending angle of beam  $\Phi$  is very small, the length of the triangular long-side in Fig. 4(b) is considered as  $l_1$ , the length of shortside does  $l_2$ . At first, let us consider expressing angle  $\Theta$  by angle  $\Phi$ . Noting that only the  $l_x$  portion is bent on the deformed sensor, about angles  $\Phi$  and a, we get

$$l_1 \sin \Phi = l_2 \sin \alpha, \tag{5}$$

$$\alpha = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{l_1}{l_2} \sin \Phi \right). \tag{6}$$

Thus,  $\Theta$  can be expressed as

$$\Theta = \alpha + \Phi = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{l_1}{l_2} \sin \Phi \right) + \Phi.$$
(7)

Then, let us consider obtaining the surface strain  $\varepsilon_{l_x}$  on the bent  $l_x$  portion. Shown as Fig. 4(a), let  $\rho$  (=  $l_x/\Theta$ ) denote the radius of curvature and  $\Delta l$  denote the deformation of length on its surface. Since the thickness is *h* and the length of neutral surface is  $l_x$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_{l_x} = \frac{\Delta l}{l_x} = \frac{(\rho + h/2)\Theta - \rho\Theta}{l_x} = \frac{h}{2l_x}\Theta.$$
(8)

According to eq. (5),  $\varepsilon_{l_x}$  can be expressed by  $\Phi$  as

$$\varepsilon_{l_x} = \frac{h}{2l_x}\Theta = \frac{h}{2l_x}\left\{\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{l_1}{l_2}\sin\Phi\right) + \Phi\right\}.$$
(9)

Hence, from eq. (9) and  $\sin \Phi \approx \Phi$ ,  $\cos \Phi \approx 1$  since  $\Phi$  is very small, there exists

$$\Phi\left(\frac{l_1}{l_2} + \cos\frac{2l_x\varepsilon_{l_x}}{h}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{2l_x\varepsilon_{l_x}}{h}\right). \tag{10}$$

Considering that the strain  $\varepsilon_{l_x}$  is  $10^{-3}$  orders of magnitude, we have  $\sin(2l_x\varepsilon_{l_x}/h) \approx (2l_x\varepsilon_{l_x}/h)$ and  $\cos(2l_x\varepsilon_{l_x}/h) \approx 1$  if  $(2l_x\varepsilon_{l_x})/h$  is designed small enough. Thereby,  $\varepsilon_{l_x}$  can be obtained as

High-Sensitivity and High-Stiffness Force Sensor Using Strain-Deformation Expansion Mechanism 79

$$\varepsilon_{l_x} = \frac{(l_1 + l_2)h}{2l_x l_2} \cdot \Phi. \tag{11}$$

Accordingly, the strain  $\varepsilon_{l_x}$  on the bent  $l_x$  portion has a linear relation with the bending angle of beam  $\Phi$ .

#### B. Sensor deformation and forces

About the bent  $l_x$  portion of a sensor shown as Fig. 4, the relation between an bending moment acted on the sensor  $M_s$  and the radius of curvature  $\rho$  can be described as

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{M_s}{E_s I_s},\tag{12}$$

where,  $E_s$  denotes the modulus of longitudinal elasticity (Young's modulus) of the sensor's material,  $I_s$  denotes second moment of area, which is  $I_s = bh^3/12$  when the cross section of the  $l_x$  portion is rectangular. From eqs. (6), (12) and  $l_x = \rho \Theta$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_{l_x} = \frac{h}{2\rho} = \frac{6}{E_s b h^2} \cdot M_s. \tag{13}$$

Thus, if we make the thickness h and width b on  $l_x$  portion smaller, in the same material, a certain strain  $\varepsilon_{l_x}$  is able to be realized with a smaller  $M_s$ . By eq. (13), the moment  $M_s$  for realizing a strain  $\varepsilon_{l_x}$  can be written as

$$M_s = \frac{E_s b h^2}{6} \cdot \varepsilon_{l_x}.$$
(14)

For a beam with the proposed sensing mechanism, the relations among an applied force  $F_z$  (or  $F_x$ ), the sensor's bending moment  $M_{sa}$  and  $M_{sb}$ , and the beam's bending angle  $\Phi_a$  and  $\Phi_b$  at the connecting positions  $x_a$  and  $x_b$ , can be written as follows, that is,

$$\Phi_{a} = \frac{F_{z}(x_{a}^{2} - L^{2}/4)}{4E_{c}I_{c}} - \frac{M_{sa}(x_{a} - L/2)}{E_{c}I_{c}},$$

$$\Phi_{b} = -\frac{F_{z}[x_{b}^{2} - L^{2}/4]}{4E_{c}I_{c}} + \frac{M_{sb}(x_{b} - L/2)}{E_{c}I_{c}},$$
(15)
(16)

for a vertical force *F*<sub>z</sub>;

$$\Phi_a = \frac{F_x C(x_a^2 - L^2/12)}{2LE_c I_c} + \frac{M_{sa}(2x_a^2 - x_a L + L^2/6)}{LE_c I_c},$$
(17)

$$\Phi_b = \frac{F_x C(x_b^2 - L^2/12)}{2LE_c I_c} + \frac{M_{sb}(2x_b^2 - x_b L + L^2/6)}{LE_c I_c},$$
(18)

for a lateral force  $F_x$ . From eqs. (11), (14) and the above equations, we have

$$F_{z} = \frac{4E_{c}I_{c}}{x_{a}^{2} - L^{2}/4} \left[ \frac{(l_{1} + l_{2})h}{2l_{x}l_{2}} + \frac{E_{s}bh^{2}(x_{a} - L/2)}{6E_{c}I_{c}} \right] \varepsilon_{zal_{x}}$$
(19)  

$$\triangleq B_{a}\varepsilon_{zal_{x}},$$

$$F_{z} = \frac{4E_{c}I_{c}}{x_{b}^{2} - L^{2}/4} \left[ -\frac{(l_{1} + l_{2})h}{2l_{x}l_{2}} + \frac{E_{s}bh^{2}(x_{b} - L/2)}{6E_{c}I_{c}} \right] \varepsilon_{zbl_{x}}$$
(20)  

$$\triangleq B_{b}\varepsilon_{zbl_{x}},$$

$$F_{x} = \frac{2LE_{c}I_{c}}{C(x_{a}^{2} - L^{2}/12)} \left[ \frac{(l_{1} + l_{2})h}{2l_{x}l_{2}} - \frac{E_{s}bh^{2}(2x_{a}^{2} - x_{a}L + L^{2}/6)}{6LE_{c}I_{c}} \right] \varepsilon_{xal_{x}} \triangleq A_{a}\varepsilon_{xal_{x}},$$

$$F_{x} = \frac{2LE_{c}I_{c}}{C(x_{a}^{2} - L^{2}/12)} \left[ \frac{(l_{1} + l_{2})h}{2l_{x}l_{2}} - \frac{(l_{1} + l_{2})h}{6LE_{c}I_{c}} \right] \varepsilon_{xal_{x}} \triangleq A_{a}\varepsilon_{xal_{x}},$$

$$F_x = \frac{2LE_c I_c}{C(x_b^2 - L^2/12)} \left[ \frac{(l_1 + l_2)h}{2l_x l_2} - \frac{E_s bh^2 (2x_b^2 - x_b L + L^2/6)}{6LE_c I_c} \right] \varepsilon_{xbl_x} \triangleq A_b \varepsilon_{xbl_x},$$

$$(22)$$

where  $\varepsilon_{zal_x}$  and  $\varepsilon_{zbl_x}$  are the strains on the sensors at  $x_a$  and  $x_b$  corresponding  $F_z$ ,  $\varepsilon_{xal_x}$  and  $\varepsilon_{xbl_x}$  are those corresponding  $F_x$ .

For an applied force whose direction is between  $F_z$  and  $F_x$ , the corresponding strains  $\varepsilon_{al_x}$ and  $\varepsilon_{bl_x}$  on the two sensor of a beam will be

$$\varepsilon_{al_x} = \varepsilon_{zal_x} + \varepsilon_{xal_x},\tag{23}$$

$$\varepsilon_{bl_x} = -\varepsilon_{zbl_x} + \varepsilon_{xbl_x}.\tag{24}$$

And since  $|\varepsilon_{zal_x}| = |\varepsilon_{zbl_x}|$  and  $|\varepsilon_{xal_x}| = |\varepsilon_{xbl_x}|$  for the proposed mechanism, we have

$$\varepsilon_{zal_x} = \varepsilon_{zbl_x} = (\varepsilon_{al_x} - \varepsilon_{bl_x})/2, \tag{25}$$
$$\varepsilon_{xal_x} = \varepsilon_{xbl_x} = (\varepsilon_{al_x} + \varepsilon_{bl_x})/2. \tag{26}$$

Therefore, an arbitrary force  $F_{xz}$  between  $F_x$  and  $F_z$  can be obtained by

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{xz} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} (A_a + A_b) & (A_a + A_b) \\ (B_a + B_b) & -(B_a + B_b) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{al_x} \\ \varepsilon_{bl_x} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(27)

In the same way, we can obtain the relation between an arbitrary 3-axis force F and the strains  $\varepsilon_{al_x}^X$ ,  $\varepsilon_{bl_x}^X$ ,  $\varepsilon_{al_x}^Y$ ,  $\varepsilon_{bl_x}^Y$  on the 4 sensors of 2 crossing beams as following

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 2(A_a^X + A_b^X) & 2(A_a^X + A_b^X) \\ 0 & 0 \\ (B_a^X + B_b^X) & -(B_a^X + B_b^X) \end{bmatrix}$$

www.intechopen.com

. . .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 2(A_a^Y + A_b^Y) & 2(A_a^Y + A_b^Y) \\ (B_a^Y + B_b^Y) & -(B_a^Y + B_b^Y) \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{al_x}^X \\ \varepsilon_{bl_x}^X \\ \varepsilon_{al_x}^Y \\ \varepsilon_{al_x}^Y \\ \varepsilon_{bl_x}^Y \end{bmatrix}$$
(28)

where  $*^{X}$  and  $*^{Y}$  denote the beams respectively along X-axis and Y -axis. Thereby, we can know that there exist linear relations between an applied 3-axis force and the proposed sensor's strains.

According to eq. (28), the sensitivity and stiffness of the proposed sensing mechanism can be regulated within a certain extent by the designing of the sensor's dimensions and material.

## C. Sensor's sensitivity and expansion rate of sensitivity

This subsection discusses the expansion rate of sensitivity of the proposed sensing mechanism for a certain applied force. For this purpose, the strain on the proposed sensor will be compared with the strain on the beam which was employed for force sensing in previous methods. In this paper, the sensitivity of joint torque sensor is defined as the magnitude of the strain for sensing for every unit force.

At first, about the previous method which directl sticks gauges on the crossing beams, the strains on beam  $\varepsilon_{cz}$  and  $\varepsilon_{cx}$  corresponding to forces  $F_z$  and  $F_x$  are represented as eqs. (1) and (2) respectively. Accordingly, their sensitivity can be expressed as

$$\frac{\epsilon_{cz}}{F_z} = -\frac{xH}{8E_cI_c},\tag{29}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon_{cx}}{F_x} = -\frac{CxH}{2LE_c I_c}.$$
(30)

On the other hand, the sensitivity of the proposed sensor can be written as

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{l_x}}{F} = \frac{\varepsilon_{l_x}}{\varepsilon_c} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_c}{F}.$$
(31)

From eqs. (19), (21) and (29), (30),  $\varepsilon_{l_x} / \varepsilon_c$  will be

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{l_x}}{\varepsilon_{cz}} = \left[ \frac{(l_1 + l_2)h}{2l_x l_2} + \frac{E_s bh^2 (x - L/2)}{6E_c I_c} \right] \frac{L^2/4 - x^2}{xH},$$
(32)
$$\frac{\epsilon_{l_x}}{\epsilon_{cx}} = \left[ \frac{(l_1 + l_2)h}{2l_x l_2} - \frac{E_s bh^2 (2x^2 - xL + L^2/6)}{6LE_c I_c} \right] \\
\frac{x^2 - L^2/12}{xH}.$$
(33)

When  $\varepsilon_{l_x}/\varepsilon_c$  is larger than 1, sensitivity  $\varepsilon_{l_x}/F$  will be larger than  $\varepsilon_c/F$ . In this paper,  $\varepsilon_{l_x}/\varepsilon_c$  is referred to as *Expansion Rate of Sensitivity* relative to a strain on beams.

As the above discussion, by means of the proposed joint torque sensor, the applied force can be sensed by "expanding" the strain-deformation on beams. The sensor will be referred to as *SDEM* (*Strain- Deformation Expansion Mechanism*) *Force Sensor* hereafter.

## 4. Basic characteristics and implementation of SDEM force sensor

## A. Experimental SDEM force sensor

Overview of a SDEM force sensor with size  $\phi$  18 [mm] × 18 [mm] is shown in Fig. 6. The dimensions about the proposed sensor is shown in Table I. The materials used for sensors and beams are duralumin (A2014-T4) and austenitic stainless steel (SUS303) respectively. According to eqs. (32) and (33), the expansion rate of sensitivity of the sensor are 3.071 in *x* and *y* directions and 9.309 in *z* direction theoretically.

L	$10.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$	$l_1$	$9.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$
C	$15.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$	$l_2$	$3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$
H	$1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$	$l_x$	$3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$
B	$3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$	h	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$
$E_c$	$20.6 \times 10^{10}$ [Pa]	b	$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ [m]
$E_s$	$73.0 \times 10^9$ [Pa]	x	$0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [m]}$

Table I. Dimensions of experimental force sensor

## B. Evaluation of static characteristics

A series of forces in x(y) and z directions from -20.0 [N] to 20.0 [N], and forces continuously and slowly changing in x(y) direction from 0 up to 6.2 [N] then down to -6.2 [N] and up to 0, in z direction from 0 up to 4.0 [N] then down to -4.0 [N] and up to 0 were respectively applied on the SDEM force sensor to confirm the linearity and hysteresis characteristics.



Fig. 6. Experimental SDEM 3-axis force sensor

Fig. 7 shows the experiment results on the linearity of the sensor respectively in x (y) and z directions, which plots the applied force values in abscissa and the SDEM sensor outputs in ordinate. According to the errors which are less than ±0.004 [Nm], the degree of linearity (100×error / measured range) is ±0.95 [%].

Based on the data from the SEDM force sensor and a Force Gauge (FGC-5N, made by Nihon Densan), Fig. 8 gives the graphs plotting the hysteresis characteristics, which plots the Force

- F1

82

Gauge outputs in abscissa and the SDEM sensor outputs in ordinate. The hysteresis differences in x(y) direction are less than 0.75 [N], those in z direction are less than 0.70 [N].



Fig. 7. Linearity between applied forces and sensor outputs



Fig. 8. Hysteresis characteristics

## C. Evaluation of dynamic characteristics

The step response of the SDEM force sensor was examined. In the experiments of step response, the applied forces in x (y) and z directions were varied respectively from 0.5 [kgf], 1.0 [kgf], 2.0 [kgf] to 0 instantaneously. The results are shown by Fig. 9, where almost no overshoot or time lag appears.

## D. Experimental verifications on expansion rate of sensitivity

In order to verify the expansion rate of sensitivity of the SDEM sensor experimentally, we use a previous crossing beam sensor without the proposed sensing mechanism. In the experiments, 3 forces of 0.5 [kgf], 1.0 [kgf] and 2.0 [kgf] were applied respectively in x(y) and z directions, and the SDEM sensor outputs and the outputs from the previous sensor were accumulated respectively. The 3 ratios of the two kind of outputs are plotted in Fig. 10.

According to the experimental results, the expansion rate of sensitivity of the SDEM force sensor is 3.195 about x (y) direction, 9.429 about z direction on the average. On the other hand, the expansion rate calculated theoretically is 3.079 about x (y) direction and 9.309 about z direction. The theoretical value and the experimental value are almost equal.



Fig. 10. Expansion rate of sensitivity

# 5. Conclusion

For dexterously performing object grasping and manipulation with multifingered hand of robot, sensing the fingertip forces with high-sensitivity and highstiffness is desired. In general, from previous sensing structures, if the stiffness of a sensor is made be high, its sensitivity will be reduced, so that It is hard to realize the force sensing with both of high-sensitivity and high-stiffness. This paper proposes a novel mechanism called *Strain-Deformation Expansion Mechanism* for 3-axis force sensing. By the force sensing mechanism, the small strain-deformation used for force sensing can be expanded while the sensor stiffness will not be reduced but will be heightened. In this paper, the force sensing principle was addressed by analyzing the deformation of the sensing mechanism and the forces acting on the sensor theoretically. Then, the sensitivity of the sensing mechanism and its expansion rate of sensitivity was discussed. Lastly, some experiments with robot finger were performed to show the basic characteristics and the effectiveness of the proposed force sensing mechanism.

The proposed force sensing mechanism can be also applied to other cases besides robot and the like, for force sensing with high sensitivity and high stiffness.

## 6. References

- L. E. Pfeffer, O. Khatib and J. Hake, "Joint Torque Sensory Feedback in Control of a PUMA Manipulator," *IEEE Trans. on Robotics and Automation*, Vol.5, No.4, pp.537-544, 1989.
- H. Asada *et al.,* "Joint Torque Measurement of a Direct-Drive Arm," *Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on Decision and Control,* pp.1332, 1984.
- D. Vischer and O. Khatib, "Design and Development of High-Performance Torque-Controlled Joints," *IEEE Trans. on Robotics and Automation*, Vol.11, No.4, pp.537-544, 1995.
- Y. F. Zhang and Y. Fan, "Robot Force Sensor Interaction with Environments," *IEEE Trans. on Robotics and Automation*, Vol.7, No.1, pp.156-164, 1991.
- U. Uchiyama, E. Bayo and E. Palma-Villalon, "A Mathematical Approach to the Optimal Structural Design of a Robot Force Sensor," *Proc. of USA-JAPAN Symposium on Flexible Automation*, Vol.1, pp.539-546, 1988.
- M. Kaneko and T. Nishihara, "Basic Study of Six-Axis Force Sensor Design Based on Combination Theory," *Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan*, Vol.11, No.8, pp.1261-1271, 1993. (*in Japanese*)
- K. Nagai, Y. Ito, M. Yazaki, K Higuchi and S. Abe, "Development of a Small Six-Component Force/Torque Sensor Based on the Double-Cross Structure," *Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan*, Vol.22, No.3, pp.361-368, 2004. (*in Japanese*)
- Y. Yu, T. Ishitsuka and S. Tsujio, "Torque Sensing of Finger Joint Using Strain-Deformation Expansion Mechanism," Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation, Vol.2, pp.1850-1856, 2003.

- M. Meng, Z. Wu, Y. Yu, Y. Ge and Y. Ge, "Design and Characterization of a Six-axis Accelerometer," *Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, Vol.3, pp.2367-2372, 2005.
- Y. Yu, T. Arima and S. Tsujio, "Estimation of Object Inertia Parameters on Robot Pushing Operation," *Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, Vol.2, pp.1669-1674, 2005.





Sensors: Focus on Tactile Force and Stress Sensors Edited by Jose Gerardo Rocha and Senentxu Lanceros-Mendez

ISBN 978-953-7619-31-2 Hard cover, 444 pages Publisher InTech Published online 01, December, 2008 Published in print edition December, 2008

This book describes some devices that are commonly identified as tactile or force sensors. This is achieved with different degrees of detail, in a unique and actual resource, through the description of different approaches to this type of sensors. Understanding the design and the working principles of the sensors described here requires a multidisciplinary background of electrical engineering, mechanical engineering, physics, biology, etc. An attempt has been made to place side by side the most pertinent information in order to reach a more productive reading not only for professionals dedicated to the design of tactile sensors, but also for all other sensor users, as for example, in the field of robotics. The latest technologies presented in this book are more focused on information readout and processing: as new materials, micro and sub-micro sensors are available, wireless transmission and processing of the sensorial information, as well as some innovative methodologies for obtaining and interpreting tactile information are also strongly evolving.

## How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Yong Yu Takashi Chaen and Showzow Tsujio (2008). High-Sensitivity and High-Stiffness Force Sensor Using Strain-Deformation Expansion Mechanism, Sensors: Focus on Tactile Force and Stress Sensors, Jose Gerardo Rocha and Senentxu Lanceros-Mendez (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-7619-31-2, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/sensors-focus-on-tactile-force-and-stress-sensors/high-sensitivity\_and\_high-stiffness\_force\_sensor\_using\_strain-deformation\_expansion\_mechanism



open science | open minds

## InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri Slavka Krautzeka 83/A 51000 Rijeka, Croatia Phone: +385 (51) 770 447 Fax: +385 (51) 686 166 www.intechopen.com

#### InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China 中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元 Phone: +86-21-62489820 Fax: +86-21-62489821 © 2008 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License</u>, which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.



