We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Epitranscriptomics for Biomedical Discovery

Min Xiong, Daniel P. Heruth, Xun Jiang, Shamima Islam, Li Qin Zhang, Ding-You Li and Shui Q. Ye

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.69033

Abstract

Epitranscriptomics is a newly burgeoning field pertaining to the complete delineation and elucidation of chemical modifications of nucleotides found within all classes of RNA that do not involve a change in the ribonucleotide sequence. More than 140 diverse and distinct nucleotide modifications have been identified in RNA, dwarfing the number of nucleotide modifications found in DNA. The majority of epitranscriptomic modifications have been identified in ribosomal RNA (rRNA), transfer RNA (tRNA), and small nuclear RNA (snRNA). However, in total, the knowledge of the occurrence, and specifically the function, of RNA modifications remains scarce. Recently, the rapid advancement of next-generation sequencing and mass spectrometry technologies have allowed for the identification and functional characterization of nucleotide modifications in both protein-coding and non-coding RNA on a global, transcriptome scale. In this chapter, we will introduce the concepts of nucleotide modification, summarize transcriptome-wide RNA modifications and their association to disease, and finally offer insight into the future progression of epitranscriptomics.

Keywords: epitranscriptomics, RNA modifications, gene expression

1. Introduction

RNA has been shown to play critical roles in regulating cellular functions. Comparative transcriptomics between mammals has revealed that ~66% of human genomic DNA is transcribed. Remarkably, only ~2% of the transcriptional production is protein-coding messenger RNA (mRNA), while ~98% encompasses a wide variety of non-coding RNA (ncRNA) molecules [1, 2]. ncRNAs have been classified functionally as either housekeeping or regulatory. The



© 2017 The Author(s). Licensee InTech. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. housekeeping ncRNA genes include ribosomal RNA (rRNA), transfer RNA (tRNA), and small nuclear RNA (snRNA), while examples of regulatory ncRNAs are microRNA (miRNA) and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) [3-5]. The complexity of RNA is further complicated by numerous post-transcriptional modifications which alter the chemical structure of the nucleotides without changing the nucleotide sequence. Similar to the field of epigenetics which investigates the modifications of DNA and histone proteins, the study of chemical modifications of RNA is called epitranscriptomics [6, 7]. More than 140 chemically diverse and distinct modified nucleotides have been identified in both mRNA and ncRNA, including N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A), 5-methyl cytidine (m⁵C), pseudouridine (Ψ), adenosine (A) to inosine (I), and N¹-methyladenosine (m¹A). These modifications have been identified mostly in the housekeeping ncRNAs [3, 4, 8]; however, chemical modifications have also been detected in mRNA and the regulatory ncRNAs [9-11]. Unfortunately, the knowledge about the occurrence and function of RNA modifications at transcriptome level remains scarce. Recently, the interest in RNA modifications and their functions have gained momentum owing mainly to the application of novel modifications to next-generation sequencing (NGS) and mass spectrometry technologies, which have allowed transcriptome-wide detection of distinct RNA modifications [12, 13]. Accurate regulation of the transcriptome is critical for gene expression and its subsequent control of cellular functions, including metabolism, proliferation, differentiation, and development. Thus, alterations in transcriptome regulation can disrupt cellular functions and lead to disease. Accumulating evidence has identified and functionally characterized several distinct types of chemical modifications of RNA nucleotides in both protein-coding and ncRNAs, further advancing the burgeoning field of epitranscriptomics. In this chapter, we will first provide an overview of RNA modifications and then synopsize several transcriptome-wide RNA modification mapping techniques such as m⁶A-seq, m⁵C-seq, pseudouridine-seq, and NAD captureSeq. Next, we will highlight novel insights into the potential functions of RNA modifications and their disease relevance as revealed and facilitated by epitranscriptomic profiling. Finally, we will offer our perspective on how the field will progress or evolve in the near future.

2. An overview of post-transcriptional modifications of RNA

The process of mRNA maturation involving 5'-capping, splicing, and polyadenylation has been well studied [14]. However, the more subtle post-transcriptional modifications of epitranscriptomics, also termed RNA-epigenetics, are now just fully coming to light. The post-transcriptional modifications found in RNA are often called marks because they mark a region of RNA that potentially contributes to the regulation of cellular processes, including gene expression, protein translation, or RNA stability. Like mRNA maturation, enzymes are required to catalyze the reactions, which chemically modify RNA nucleotides. The most common post-transcriptional RNA modification, Ψ , was also the first to be discovered [15]. Originally discovered in rRNA and tRNA, Ψ modifications are also present in mRNA [16, 17]. Site-specific isomerization of uridine (U) to Ψ (5-ribosyluracil) is irreversibly catalyzed via Ψ synthases. The family of Ψ synthases (PUS) consists of enzymes which can either function independently or those that require H/ACA ribonucleotide complexes [18]. Compared to U, Ψ contains an extra imino group (>C=NH), which serves as an additional hydrogen bond donor, while the carbon-carbon (C–C) glycosidic bond linking the sugar to the base is more stable than the carbon-nitrogen (C–N) found in U. These two chemical changes confer rigidity to the sugar-phosphate backbone and enhances local base stacking [19].

The most common internal modification in eukaryotic mRNA is m⁶A [20]. Unlike Ψ , m⁶A modifications are reversible, suggesting that the modifications are involved in regulatory switches. Methyltransferases (METTL3, METTL14, and WTAP), termed writers, catalyze the methylation of adenosine [21–23], whereas demethylases (FTO and ALKBH5), termed erasers, remove the methyl group [24, 25]. The m⁶A marks are recognized by YTH domain proteins, termed readers, which regulate mRNA processing and metabolism [26, 27].

An additional class of nucleotide modifications, termed RNA editing, creates an irreversible change in the nucleotide sequence. These modifications include insertions, deletions, and base substitutions and occur in all classes of RNA. When they occur in mRNA, the amino acid sequence of the protein will be altered relative to the sequence encoded by genomic DNA. RNA editing by deamination results in adenosine (A) to inosine (I) and cytosine (C) to uridine (U). A-to-I editing is an abundant class of RNA modifications found throughout metazoans [28]. The conversion of A-to-I residues by base deamination results in the synthesis of distinct proteins, which creates functional diversity and serves to enhance the response to rapid environmental changes [29]. RNA editing by deamination is mediated by two major classes of enzymes; the first class is a group of tissue-specific and context-dependent adenosine deaminases called ADARs [30-32]. The ADAR enzyme class (adenosine deaminases acting on RNA) catalyzes hydrolytic deamination of A-to-I in double-stranded regions of RNA secondary structure [33]. The second class of enzymes, the vertebrate-specific apolipoprotein B mRNA editing catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) family, promotes C-to-U editing by cytosine deamination [34]. APOBEC1, the first-discovered member of the APOBEC family, was characterized as the zinc-dependent cytidine deaminase which catalyzed a C-to-U modification, resulting in an in-frame stop codon in APOB mRNA [35].

3. NGS-based RNA modification techniques

The first transcriptome-wide and NGS-based approach for mapping m⁶A modifications demonstrated the feasibility of identifying RNA modifications across the entire transcriptome and established the field of epitranscriptomics [6]. The most important aspects of NGS-based techniques are the ability to map modifications on a global scale at the single nucleotide resolution and that the modified nucleotides are analyzed within the context of the surrounding gene sequence. These features insure that the nucleotide modifications are accurately assigned to the appropriate RNA and not falsely attributed to homologous genes or RNA contaminates [6]. Now, several high-throughput NGS-based technologies, including RNA-seq, have been established to profile and quantitate RNA modifications (m⁶A, m6^Am, m⁵C, m¹A, A-to-I, Ψ , and NAD cap). These RNA-seq-based methodologies can be divided into two classes: immunoprecipitation-based and chemical-based methods. **Table 1** lists six representative NGSbased detection methods of RNA modifications.

Method	Modification	Strategies
m ⁶ A-seq [26], MeRIP-seq [36], m ⁶ A-LAICIC-seq [37]	m ⁶ A, m ⁶ Am	Methyl-RNA immunoprecipitation and UV cross-linking
m ¹ A-ID-seq [39]	m ¹ A	Methyl-RNA immunoprecipitation and the inherent ability of m ¹ A to stall reverse transcription
Bisulfite sequencing [40]	m ⁵ C	Chemical conversion of modified nucleotides
ICE-seq [42]	A-to-I editing	Cyanoethylation of RNA combined with reverse transcription
Pseudo-seq [16], Ψ-seq [17]	¥	Chemical modification to terminate reverse transcription in the pseudouridylated site
NAD captureSeq [43]	NAD	Chemoenzymatic capture

Table 1. NGS-based methods to profile transcriptome-wide RNA modifications.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)-based methods use an RNA modification-specific antibody or an enzyme-specific antibody to capture modified RNA followed by RNA-seq. m⁶A-seq [26], methylated RIP-seq (MeRIP-seq) [36] and m⁶A-level, and isoform-characterization sequencing (m⁶A-LAIC-seq) [37] combine RNA-seq with RIP specific for m⁶A methylation. **Figure 1A** displays a typical m⁶A-seq workflow. RIP is performed using an anti-m⁶A antibody to enrich m⁶A-modified RNAs followed by cDNA library preparation and high throughput NGS sequencing and finally analysis to identify the occurrence and consensus motif (RRACU) of global m⁶A modifications. A modified RIP approach, called m⁶A individual-nucleotide-resolution by cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (miCLIP), uses ultraviolet light-induced antibody RNA cross-linking to induce site-specific mutations at m⁶A marks. These mutational signatures block reverse transcription and facilitate the detection of m⁶A marks at singlenucleotide resolution [38]. As illustrated in **Figure 1B**, m¹A-ID-seq, which combines m¹A



Figure 1. Immunoprecipitation-based strategies to detect RNA modifications. (A) m⁶A-seq workflow: RNA immunoprecipitation is done using anti-m⁶A antibody to enrich m⁶A-modified RNAs followed by cDNA library preparation and high throughput NGS sequencing before occurrence and consensus motif (RRACU) of global m⁶A modifications are analyzed. (B) m¹A-ID-seq workflow: RNA immunoprecipitation is carried out using anti-m¹A antibody to enrich m¹A-modified RNAs, which are then subjected to either the demethylase (–) treatment or the demethylase (+). Reverse transcription is stopped at m¹A site in demethylase (–) group while extended in the demethylase (+) group. After NGS, m¹A site can be identified by comparing the data of the demethylase (–) group to those of the demethylase (+) group.

immunoprecipitation and the m¹A residue to cause truncated reverse transcription products, has been applied successfully for the transcriptome-wide characterizations of m¹A [39].

Chemical-based methods rely on the misincorporation of nucleotide or nucleotide conversion to truncate or stop RNA products during reverse transcription. RNA bisulfite conversion followed by high-throughput sequencing (BS-seq, **Figure 2A**) is a chemical conversion method based on converting unmodified cytosine residues to uracil and keeping m⁵C residues unchanged by bisulfite treatment. BS-seq is the only method currently available for the detection of site-specific endogenous m⁵C [40, 41]. Inosine chemical erasing (ICE) uses nucleotide switching to detect A-to-I modifications [42]. Inosine ribonucleotides are



Figure 2. Chemical-based strategies to detect RNA modification. (A) BS-seq: Bisulfite selectively converts cytosine, not m^5C , into uracil, subsequent to reverse transcription and RNA-seq processes. After comparison with reference genome or control, m^5C residues are identified as cytosine, whereas unmethylated cytosine as thymine. (B) ICE-seq: The acrylonitrile can cyanoethylate inosine into N1-cyanoethylinosine (ce¹I). Reverse transcription will transcript inosine into cytidine but arrest at the ce¹I site after the CE treatment. cDNA library, sequencing, reads mapping, and analysis will detect A-to-I sites. (C) Ψ -seq: The reagent CMC followed by incubation at alkaline pH leads to hydrolysis of U-CMC adducts, which are less stable than Ψ -CMC. Reverse transcription in Ψ -CMC sample will stop at Ψ site. Following RNA-seq and reads mapping will detect Ψ sites with increased transcript termination in the CMC-treated sample. (D) NAD captureSeq: ADPRC enzyme catalyzes a transglycosylation reaction of NAD with pentynol, which are bound by CuAAC with biotin azide. The RNA with NAD is captured by streptavidin beads before being readied for cDNA library preparation and sequenced for identifying NAD-capped RNAs.

cyanoethylated with acrylonitrile to form N^1 -cyanoethylinosine (ce¹I). Subsequently, the Watson-Crick base pairing of I with C is inhibited by the newly formed N¹-cyanoethyl group of ce¹I. Thus, cyanoethylation of I blocks cDNA synthesis by preventing extension of the cDNA that bears a cytosine (C) corresponding to the editing site during reverse transcription. However, I will be replaced by guanosine (G) [42] (Figure 2B). To detect RNA pseudouridylation, several groups developed Pseudo-seq (Ψ-seq). RNA is treated with N₃-[N-cyclohexyl-N'- β -(4-methylmorpholinium) ethylcarbodiimide- Ψ (N₃-CMC- Ψ)], which binds covalently to U, G, and Ψ residues and then exposed to alkaline pH to reduce stable U-CMC and G-CMC adducts. Reverse transcription will pause at the remaining intact Ψ -CMC sites, allowing for the mapping of Ψ -modifications [16, 17] (Figure 2C). Comparison of mapping reads from CMC-treated samples versus non-treated controls, Ψ will be detected as the sites with an increased proportion of reads supporting reverse transcription termination. NAD captureSeq (Figure 2D) requires the chemo-enzymatic modification of NAD which is capping the 5' end of RNA. The first step, the transglycosylation of NAD, is catalyzed by ADP-ribosyl cyclase (ADPRC) from Aplysia californica in the presence of an alkynyl alcohol. In the second step, the modified NAD is biotinylated by a copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition. Thirdly, the biotin-linked RNA is captured on streptavidin beads and processed further for cDNA library preparation and NGS. The NAD-biotin-captured sequences are then identified by comparison with the control samples which were not subjected to the first step of chemo-enzymatic biotinylation [43].

4. Physiological functions of RNA modifications

Although we do not have full knowledge on the effects of RNA modification on physiological function, there is increasing evidence that they play critical roles in the regulation of gene expression, cellular functions, and development. Disruptions of RNA modification mechanisms have also been associated with disease. We present here a few examples, which demonstrate the importance of RNA modification on physiological function.

As stated earlier, m⁶A modifications are commonly found throughout eukaryotes, as demonstrated by multiple m⁶A-seq studies. Human m⁶A-seq analyses revealed 12,769 putative m⁶A sites within 6990 and 250 protein-coding and non-coding transcripts, respectively [26], whereas, in mice, 4513 m⁶A peaks were identified in 3376 and 66 protein-coding and non-coding transcripts, respectively [26]. The m⁶A consensus motif, RRACU, was identified with a median distance from m⁶A peaks of 24 nucleotides [26]. Interestingly, the majority of m⁶A sites were conserved between both mouse and human transcriptomes and enriched further within long internal exons and around stop codons, suggesting strong evolutionary selection [26, 36]. m⁶A-LAIC-seq showed that methylated transcripts utilized proximal alternative polyadenylation (APA) sites, which resulted in shorter 3' untranslated regions, whereas non-methylated transcripts tended to use distal APA sites [37]. This observation correlated with the finding that m⁶A-modified transcripts had both significantly shorter RNA half lives and slightly lower translational efficiencies than unmarked transcripts [44]. In vitro and in vivo genetic depletion of the m⁶A writer, Mettl3, in both mouse and human, led to the absence of m⁶A modification within *Nanog* mRNA which encodes a pluripotency factor. The absence of m⁶A marks extended Nanog expression throughout differentiation and inhibited embryonic stem cell exit from self-renewal towards lineage differentiation [44]. m⁶A-seq in mouse naïve embryonic stem cells (ESCs), 11-day-old embryoid bodies (EBs), and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) revealed m⁶A marks in naïve pluripotency-promoting genes reduced mRNA stability of key pluripotency-promoting transcripts and facilitated differentiation [45]. These findings suggest that m⁶A modification provides the flexibility of the stem cell transcriptome required to differentiate into different lineages [44]. NANOG is also important in both the maintenance and specification of cancer stem cells which can metastasize and form primary tumors. The exposure of breast cancer cells to hypoxia induced the expression of the eraser ALKBH5 which resulted in m⁶A demethylation in the 3' UTR of NANOG mRNA and the increased half life of NANOG mRNA, thereby promoting the breast cancer stem cell (BCSC) phenotype [46]. The m⁶A reader YTHDF2 protects the 5' UTR of stress-induced transcripts from demethylation. Cap-independent translation initiation was enhanced by 5' UTR methylation [47]. m⁶A modification is critical for the regulation of HIV-1 replication and HIV-1's effect on the host immune system [48]. HIV-1 viral infection induced m⁶A modification in both host and viral mRNAs. HIV-1 coding, non-coding, and splicing regulatory regions contained a total of 14 m6A methylation peaks. In addition, methylation of two highly conserved m⁶A target sites in the HIV-1 rev response element (RRE) stem loop II region enriched the binding of the HIV-1 rev protein to the RRE in vivo and enhanced nuclear export of HIV-1 RNA [48]. The long non-coding RNA X-inactive specific transcript (XIST) regulates transcriptional silencing of genes on the X chromosome. XIST is heavily modified with at least 78 m⁶A sites. Knockdown of METTL3 leads to decreased XIST m⁶A marks and impairs XIST-mediated gene silencing [49].

The tRNA T-loop at position 58 commonly contains a m¹A modification [50], along with position 9 of metazoan mitochondrial tRNAs [51] and eukaryotic rRNAs [52]. Initiator tRNA^{Met} contains fully modified m¹A 58 which stabilizes its tertiary structure. Hypomodification of tRNA m¹A 58 affects the association with polysomes and the subsequent efficiency of translation [53, 54]. m¹A modifications in tRNA function in response to environmental stress [55], whereas m¹A-modified rRNA regulates ribosome biogenesis [52]. m¹A-ID-seq demonstrated that m¹A methylation regulated the dynamic response to stimuli and identified 901 m¹A peaks enriched within the 5' UTR near the start codons of 600 distinct protein-coding and non-coding RNAs [39].

m⁵C sites have been detected in several eukaryotic tRNA, Rrna, and mRNA. m⁵C marks stabilize the secondary structure of tRNA, alter aminoacylation and codon recognition [56], and regulate translational fidelity [57]. A low level of internal m⁵C was found in mRNA cap structures in mammalian- and virus-infected mammalian cells [58, 59]. BS-seq identified 10,275 sites in protein-coding and non-coding RNAs [41]. m⁵C marks in mRNAs were enriched near argonaute-binding sites within the 3' UTR [41].

A-to-I editing sites are distributed through human mRNA, including exons, introns. and 5' and 3' UTRs [60]. Alu repeat elements contain the highest frequency of A-to-I editing sites

among the untranslated regions of the genome [61]. Intronic editing mediated by ADAR1 contributes to the maintenance of mature mRNA by protecting it against unfavorable processing of the Alu sequence and by degradation of aberrant transcripts by nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) [42]. A-to-I RNA editing is diminished in brain tissue from patients with Alzheimer's disease relative to controls [62]. The reduction occurs predominantly in the hippocampus and to a lesser extent in the temporal and frontal lobes. These alterations result in decreased levels of protein recoding, the process of changing the amino acid sequence by A-to-I editing, in Alzheimer's disease [62]. The APOBEC3 family of cytidine deaminases has been associated with mutations in cancer genomes in several types of cancer. Accumulated data linking mutations in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes with APOBEC3B activity are providing evidence that cytidine deaminase-induced mutagenesis is activated in tumorigenesis, thus providing novel therapeutic targets [63].

Pseudo-seq revealed that mRNA Ψ marks mRNA are regulated in response to stimuli, such as serum starvation in human cells and nutrient deprivation in yeast. The observations indicate that Ψ triggers a rapid regulatory mechanism to rewire the genetic code through inducible mRNA [16]. Pseudouridylation of rRNA and telomerase RNA component (TERC) were also found to be reduced in dyskeratosis congenita patients [17]. Furthermore, missense mutations in pseudouridine synthase 1 (PUS1) may lead to deficient pseudouridylation of mitochondrial tRNAs in mitochondrial myopathy and sideroblastic anemia (MLASA) patients [64].

NAD captureSeq identified NAD as a 5' RNA cap in a subset of regulatory RNAs in bacteria [43] and subsequently proposed that this type of capping may be common across all of life [65]. It is safe to predict that investigation of the roles and mechanisms of 5' NAD caps in eukaryotes will draw increasing attention in the biomedical field. This is due to mainly two reasons. First, the chemical modification of the 5' end of RNA is critical for RNA processing, localization, stability, translational efficiency, and epitranscriptomic regulation of gene expression [66]. Second, NAD is both a co-substrate for enzymes, such as the sirtuins and poly(adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerases, and a critical electron-carrying coenzyme for enzymes that catalyze oxidation-reduction reactions. NAD is involved in nearly all physiological processes. For example, cellular NAD⁺ levels are modulated during aging, and the use and production of NAD⁺ usage has been associated with prolonged health and life spans [67]. Regulation of NAD-mediated RNA capping and hence gene expression will undoubtedly enrich our understanding of NAD's expanding roles in normal physiology and disease pathogenesis.

5. Perspective

Although rapid advances have been made in the past few years in epitranscriptomics, more work is needed in this field. To date, more than 140 different RNA modifications have been identified. However, there are only a few reliable high-throughput techniques available to determine the global occurrence of a particular RNA modification. Thus, there is a need for the development of more high-throughput techniques to characterize the full spectra of RNA modifications. It is also important to pursue the comprehensive

identification and characterization of the enzymes responsible for RNA modification since several of these enzymes have been shown to play important roles in development and disease. It is essential to decipher all functions and disease involvements of all RNA modifications. Development of additional technologies to alter RNA modifications, including the engineering of RNA-modifying enzymes with modified substrate specificity and activity via the CRISPR-Cas 9 system, will open the door to new types of detection and analysis pipelines. With further technological development, we will be able to elucidate the sequence-specific signatures in RNA that direct modifications and then better relate these RNA marks to their corresponding biological functions. Finally, the advancement of current approaches, coupled with new technologies, will allow for the development of new therapies and therapeutic targets for human diseases associated with deficient RNA modification.

Author details

Min Xiong¹, Daniel P. Heruth¹, Xun Jiang², Shamima Islam¹, Li Qin Zhang¹, Ding-You Li³ and Shui Q. Ye^{1,4*}

*Address all correspondence to: sqye@cmh.edu

1 Division of Experimental and Translational Genetics, The Children's Mercy Hospital, MO, USA

2 Department of Pediatrics, Tangdu Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xian, China

3 Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, University of Missouri Kansas City School of Medicine, MO, USA

4 Department of Biomedical and Health Informatics, University of Missouri Kansas City School of Medicine, MO, USA

References

- [1] Djebali S, et al. Landscape of transcription in human cells. Nature. 2012;489(7414):101-108
- [2] Maeda N, et al. Transcript annotation in FANTOM3: Mouse gene catalog based on physical cDNAs. PLoS Genetics. 2006;**2**(4):e62
- [3] Kirchner S, Ignatova Z. Emerging roles of tRNA in adaptive translation, signalling dynamics and disease. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2015;**16**(2):98-112
- [4] Sato H, Kamiya M. Deleterious effect of prednisolone on the attachment of *Taenia crassiceps* cysticerci to the intestine of gerbils. Nihon Juigaku Zasshi. 1989;**51**(5):1099-1101
- [5] Fatica A, Bozzoni I. Long non-coding RNAs: New players in cell differentiation and development. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2014;**15**(1):7-21

- [6] Frye M, et al. RNA modifications: What have we learned and where are we headed? Nature Reviews Genetics. 2016;17(6):365-372
- [7] Dominissini D. Genomics and proteomics. Roadmap to the epitranscriptome. Science. 2014;**346**(6214):1192
- [8] Milanowska K, et al. RNApathwaysDB—a database of RNA maturation and decay pathways. Nucleic Acids Research. 2013;41(Database issue):D268-D272
- [9] Machnicka MA, et al. MODOMICS: A database of RNA modification pathways—2013 update. Nucleic Acids Research. 2013;41(Database issue):D262-D267
- [10] Zhao BS, Roundtree IA, He C. Post-transcriptional gene regulation by mRNA modifications. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2017;18(1):31-42
- [11] Saletore Y, et al. The birth of the epitranscriptome: Deciphering the function of RNA modifications. Genome Biology. 2012;13(10):175
- [12] Nishikura K. A-to-I editing of coding and non-coding RNAs by ADARs. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2016;17(2):83-96
- [13] Chi KR. The RNA code comes into focus. Nature. 2017;542(7642):503-506
- [14] Bentley DL. Coupling mRNA processing with transcription in time and space. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2014;15(3):163-175
- [15] Davis FF, Allen FW. Ribonucleic acids from yeast which contain a fifth nucleotide. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1957;227(2):907-915
- [16] Carlile TM, et al. Pseudouridine profiling reveals regulated mRNA pseudouridylation in yeast and human cells. Nature. 2014;515(7525):143-146
- [17] Schwartz S, et al. Transcriptome-wide mapping reveals widespread dynamic-regulated pseudouridylation of ncRNA and mRNA. Cell. 2014;159(1):148-162
- [18] Kiss T, Fayet-Lebaron E, Jady BE. Box H/ACA small ribonucleoproteins. Molecular Cell. 2010;37(5):597-606
- [19] Charette M, Gray MW. Pseudouridine in RNA: What, where, how, and why. IUBMB Life. 2000;49(5):341-351
- [20] Desrosiers R, Friderici K, Rottman F. Identification of methylated nucleosides in messenger RNA from Novikoff hepatoma cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1974;71(10):3971-3975
- [21] Bokar JA, et al. Characterization and partial purification of mRNA N6-adenosine methyltransferase from HeLa cell nuclei. Internal mRNA methylation requires a multisubunit complex. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1994;269(26):17697-17704
- [22] Liu J, et al. A METTL3-METTL14 complex mediates mammalian nuclear RNA N6-adenosine methylation. Nature Chemical Biology. 2014;10(2):93-95

- [23] Wang Y, et al. N6-methyladenosine modification destabilizes developmental regulators in embryonic stem cells. Nature Cell Biology. 2014;**16**(2):191-198
- [24] Zheng G, et al. ALKBH5 is a mammalian RNA demethylase that impacts RNA metabolism and mouse fertility. Molecular Cell. 2013;49(1):18-29
- [25] Fu Y, et al. FTO-mediated formation of N6-hydroxymethyladenosine and N6-formyladenosine in mammalian RNA. Nature Communications. 2013;4:1798
- [26] Dominissini D, et al. Topology of the human and mouse m6A RNA methylomes revealed by m6A-seq. Nature. 2012;**485**(7397):201-206
- [27] Liu N, Pan T. N6-methyladenosine-encoded epitranscriptomics. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology. 2016;23(2):98-102
- [28] Bass BL. RNA editing by adenosine deaminases that act on RNA. Annual Review of Biochemistry. 2002;71:817-846
- [29] Nainar S, et al. Evolving insights into RNA modifications and their functional diversity in the brain. Nature Neuroscience. 2016;**19**(10):1292-1298
- [30] Paupard MC, et al. Patterns of developmental expression of the RNA editing enzyme rADAR2. Neuroscience. 2000;95(3):869-879
- [31] Sansam CL, Wells KS, Emeson RB. Modulation of RNA editing by functional nucleolar sequestration of ADAR2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2003;100(24):14018-14023
- [32] Cattenoz PB, et al. Transcriptome-wide identification of A> I RNA editing sites by inosine specific cleavage. RNA. 2013;19(2):257-270
- [33] Keegan LP, et al. Adenosine deaminases acting on RNA (ADARs): RNA-editing enzymes. Genome Biology. 2004;5(2):209
- [34] Smith HC. RNA binding to APOBEC deaminases; not simply a substrate for C to U editing. RNA Biology. 2016. DOI:10.1080/15476286.2016.1259783
- [35] Teng B, Burant CF, Davidson NO. Molecular cloning of an apolipoprotein B messenger RNA editing protein. Science. 1993;260(5115):1816-1819
- [36] Meyer KD, et al. Comprehensive analysis of mRNA methylation reveals enrichment in 3' UTRs and near stop codons. Cell. 2012;**149**(7):1635-1646
- [37] Molinie B, et al. m(6)A-LAIC-seq reveals the census and complexity of the m(6)A epitranscriptome. Nature Methods. 2016;**13**(8):692-698
- [38] Linder B, et al. Single-nucleotide-resolution mapping of m6A and m6Am throughout the transcriptome. Nature Methods. 2015;**2**(8):767-772
- [39] Li X, et al. Transcriptome-wide mapping reveals reversible and dynamic N(1)-methyladenosine methylome. Nature Chemical Biology. 2016;**12**(5):311-316

- [40] Schaefer M, et al. RNA cytosine methylation analysis by bisulfite sequencing. Nucleic Acids Research. 2009;**37**(2):e12
- [41] Squires JE, et al. Widespread occurrence of 5-methylcytosine in human coding and noncoding RNA. Nucleic Acids Research. 2012;40(11):5023-5033
- [42] Sakurai M, et al. Inosine cyanoethylation identifies A-to-I RNA editing sites in the human transcriptome. Nature Chemical Biology. 2010;6(10):733-740
- [43] Cahova H, et al. NAD captureSeq indicates NAD as a bacterial cap for a subset of regulatory RNAs. Nature. 2015;519(7543):374-377
- [44] Batista PJ, et al. m(6)A RNA modification controls cell fate transition in mammalian embryonic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2014;15(6):707-719
- [45] Geula S, et al. Stem cells. m6A mRNA methylation facilitates resolution of naive pluripotency toward differentiation. Science. 2015;347(6225):1002-1006
- [46] Zhang C, et al. Hypoxia induces the breast cancer stem cell phenotype by HIF-dependent and ALKBH5-mediated m(6)A-demethylation of NANOG mRNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2016;113(14):E2047–E2056
- [47] Zhou J, et al. Dynamic m(6)A mRNA methylation directs translational control of heat shock response. Nature. 2015;526(7574):591-594
- [48] Lichinchi G, et al. Dynamics of the human and viral m(6)A RNA methylomes during HIV-1 infection of T cells. Nature Microbiology. 2016;1:16011
- [49] Patil DP, et al. m6A RNA methylation promotes XIST-mediated transcriptional repression. Nature. 2016;537(7620):369-373
- [50] Ozanick S, et al. The bipartite structure of the tRNA m1A58 methyltransferase from S. cerevisiae is conserved in humans. RNA. 2005;11(8):1281-1290
- [51] Helm M, et al. The presence of modified nucleotides is required for cloverleaf folding of a human mitochondrial tRNA. Nucleic Acids Research. 1998;**26**(7):1636-1643
- [52] Peifer C, et al. Yeast Rrp8p, a novel methyltransferase responsible for m1A 645 base modification of 25S rRNA. Nucleic Acids Research. 2013;41(2):1151-1163
- [53] Schevitz RW, et al. Crystal structure of a eukaryotic initiator tRNA. Nature. 1979;278 (5700):188-190
- [54] Saikia M, et al. Genome-wide analysis of N1-methyl-adenosine modification in human tRNAs. RNA. 2010;**16**(7):1317-1327
- [55] Chan CT, et al. A quantitative systems approach reveals dynamic control of tRNA modifications during cellular stress. PLoS Genetics. 2010;6(12):e1001247
- [56] Motorin Y, Helm M. tRNA stabilization by modified nucleotides. Biochemistry. 2010;49 (24):4934-4944

- [57] Chow CS, Lamichhane TN, Mahto SK. Expanding the nucleotide repertoire of the ribosome with post-transcriptional modifications. ACS Chemical Biology. 2007;**2**(9):610-619
- [58] Sommer S, et al. The methylation of adenovirus-specific nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA. Nucleic Acids Research. 1976;**3**(3):749-765
- [59] Dubin DT, Taylor RH. The methylation state of poly A-containing messenger RNA from cultured hamster cells. Nucleic Acids Research. 1975;**2**(10):1653-1668
- [60] Levanon EY, et al. Systematic identification of abundant A-to-I editing sites in the human transcriptome. Nature Biotechnology. 2004;**22**(8):1001-1005
- [61] Athanasiadis A, Rich A, Maas S. Widespread A-to-I RNA editing of Alu-containing mRNAs in the human transcriptome. PLoS Biology. 2004;2(12):e391
- [62] Khermesh K, et al. Reduced levels of protein recoding by A-to-I RNA editing in Alzheimer's disease. RNA. 2016;**22**(2):290-302
- [63] Kanu N, et al. DNA replication stress mediates APOBEC3 family mutagenesis in breast cancer. Genome Biology. 2016;17(1):185
- [64] Bykhovskaya Y, et al. Missense mutation in pseudouridine synthase 1 (PUS1) causes mitochondrial myopathy and sideroblastic anemia (MLASA). American Journal of Human Genetics. 2004;74(6):1303-1308
- [65] Bird JG, et al. The mechanism of RNA 5' capping with NAD⁺, NADH and desphospho-CoA. Nature. 2016;535(7612):444-447
- [66] Jaschke A, et al. Cap-like structures in bacterial RNA and epitranscriptomic modification. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2016;30:44-49
- [67] Verdin E. NAD(+) in aging, metabolism, and neurodegeneration. Science. 2015;**350**(6265): 1208-1213





IntechOpen