We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



185,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

# Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



# Enzyme Dynamic in Plant Nutrition Uptake and Plant Nutrition

Metin Turan, Emrah Nikerel, Kerem Kaya, Nurgul Kitir, Adem Gunes, Negar Ebrahim Pour Mokhtari, Şefik Tüfenkçi, M. Rüştü Karaman and K. Mesut ÇİMRİN

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/66938

#### Abstract

Soil contains enzymes, constantly interacting with soil constituents, e.g. minerals, rhizosphere and numerous nutrients. Enzymes, in turn, catalyse important biochemical reactions for rhizobacteria and plants, stabilize the soil by degrading wastes and mediate nutrient recycling. The available enzymes inside soil could originate from plants, animals or microbes. The enzymes that are produced from these organism could exhibit intracellular activities, at the cell membrane, interacting therefore with soil and its constituents, or extracellularly (so freely available). Therefore, vis-à-vis to plant nutrition, the (extra or sub) cellular localization has a key role. Typical major enzymes available in soil can be listed as dehydrogenases, hydrogenases, oxidases, catalases, peroxidases, phenol o-hydroxylase, dextransucrase, aminotransferase, rhodanese, carboxylesterase, lipase, phosphatase, nuclease, phytase, arylsulphatase, amylase, cellulase, inulase, xylanase, dextranase, levanase, poly-galacturonase, glucosidase, galactosidase, invertase, peptidase, asparaginase, glutaminase, amidase, urease, aspartate decarboxylase, glutamate decarboxylase and aromatic amino acid decarboxylase. An interesting strategy for improving the nutritional quality of the soil would be to inoculate microorganism to soil while giving attention to mineral or other compounds that affect enzyme activity in soil. Since, some elements or compounds could show both activation and inhibitory effect, such as Fe, Na, etc. metals, the regulation of their bioavailability is crucial.

**Keywords:** plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, amino acid, organic acid, nutrient element, hormone, plant physiology



© 2017 The Author(s). Licensee InTech. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. [cc] BY

# 1. Introduction

Soil contains, among many others, enzymes that are constantly interacting (regulating, being regulated by) with soil constituents, for example, minerals, rhizosphere and numerous nutrients. Enzymes, in turn, catalyse important biochemical reactions for rhizobacteria and plants, stabilize the soil by degrading wastes and mediate nutrient recycling [1].

The available enzymes inside soil could originate from plants, animals or microbes (bacteria or fungi). The enzymes that are produced from these organism could exhibit activities intracellular of the source organism, at its cell membrane, interacting therefore with soil and its constituents, or extracellularly (so freely available). Therefore, vis-à-vis to plant nutrition, or bioavailability of the macro- or micro-nutrients, the (extra or sub) cellular localization has a key role. Typical major enzymes available in soil can be listed as dehydrogenases, hydrogenases, oxidases, chief among those being glucose, aldehyde, urate, catechol, p-diphenol, ascorbate oxidases, catalases, peroxidases, phenol o-hydroxylase, dextransucrase, levan sucrase, aminotransferase, rhodanese, carboxylesterase, arylesterase, lipase, phosphatase, nuclease, nucleotidase, phytase, arylsulphatase, amylase, cellulase, laminarinase, inulase, xylanase, dextranase, levanase, poly-galacturonase, glucosidase, galactosidase, galactosidase, invertase, proteinase, peptidase, asparaginase, glutaminase, amidase, urease, inorganic pyrophosphatase, polymetaphosphatase, adenosine triphosphatase, asparate decarboxylase, glutamate decarboxylase and aromatic amino acid decarboxylase [1].

An interesting strategy for improving the nutritional quality of the soil would be either inoculating microorganism to soil while giving attention to mineral or other compounds that affect enzyme activity in soil. Since, some elements or compounds could show both activation and inhibitory effect, such as Fe, Na, etc., metals, the regulation of their bioavailability is crucial.

Measurement of soil enzyme activity is important to determine soil characteristics, for further studies, such as, improving soil composition for plant growth using enzymes. A simple example can be given for proteases. Soil, when supplemented with proteases, would degrade proteins, thereby, increasing the amount of available nitrogen, which in turn is expected to improve plant nutrition. Similarly, soil supplemented with urease would increase bioavailable nitrogen level, and as such, this enzyme can be seen as a 'knob' for nitrogen regulation in soil and indirectly in plants. Finally, the use of enzymes, typically from microorganisms as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), is important not only from an economical perspective (improved crop yield), but also environmental point-of-view (reduced use of chemical fertilizers).

Enzymes are, at industrial scale, typically produced using either fungi or bacteria, either technology having advantages and disadvantages. While cultivation of bacteria is easier to handle (from both process and genetics perspective) and to scale up, fungi has typically larger portfolio of enzymes and the latter is more resilient to stress conditions, a characteristic of the production and application conditions.

Vis-à-vis plant nutrition, enzymes have crucial roles, tightly coupled to soil remediation as soil contains impurities in the form of heavy metals as well as polymers, for example, starch and cellulose residues, polyphosphate rocks, urea from N-cycle, oils and fats from either plants or animals that cannot be readily used by plants, in particular for nutrition. Enzymes

are then responsible to break these residues into forms that renders them bioavailable to plants. The application depends on the soil type, the content of the above-listed polymers or substances. The conventional approach is to use directly plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) to improve growth and yield. The mechanism of action of those is actually the use of key enzymes (not limited to the five enzymes listed here) for plant growth promoting effect, making nutrient-rich materials bioavailable, shortening composting time yielding highly rich soil, improving thereby plant nutrition and allowing soil remediation.

Taken together, the use of some key enzymes are promising for soil conditioning and plant nutrition. As a follow-up, to gather better soil environment for plants, information on both organisms and especially the enzymes that are produced is of great value. This chapter focuses on this idea and provides key properties for a handful of enzymes, relevant to plant nutrition. The focus is on amylase, cellulase, lipase, phosphatase, phytase and urease, some key properties thereof and list of applications relevant to plant nutrition.

# 2. Amylase

Amylases are enzymes hydrolyzing glycosidic bonds of polysaccharides. Usually these are classified into three sub-classes as  $\alpha$ -amylase (E.C. 3.2.1.1),  $\beta$ -amylase (E.C. 3.2.1.2) and  $\gamma$ -amylase (E.C. 3.2.1.3).  $\alpha$ -Amylase is responsible in endo-hydrolysis of (1-4)- $\alpha$ -D-glucosidic linkages, while  $\beta$ -amylase is responsible in the hydrolysis of (1-4)- $\alpha$ -D-glucosidic linkages in polysaccharides to remove maltose units from non-reducing ends.  $\gamma$ -Amylase, in contrast, is responsible in the hydrolysis of terminal (1-4)- $\alpha$ -D-glucose residues from non-reducing ends of the chains for releasing of  $\beta$ -D-glucose.

All the three versions of this enzyme are produced by bacteria and fungi.  $\alpha$ -amylase have been reported by *Acinetobacter* spp., *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus megaterium*, *Bacillus subtilis* and some thermophilic actinomycetes organisms as well, for example, *Thermomonospora curvata* and *Thermomonospora vulgaris* [2, 3], while  $\beta$ -amylase have been reported to be produced by *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus circulans*, *B. megaterium* and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* [4, 5]. Lastly,for  $\gamma$ -amylase, in addition to the *Bacillus species*, halophylic *Halolactibacillus* sp. and thermophilic organisms, for example, *Thermoactinomyces vulgaris* have been reported to produce this enzyme [6–8].

Amylases are reported to be active in a broad range of pH 1–13 [9, 10], yet  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -amylases have narrower ranges. The optimum working pH range is reported to be from 2 [2] to 10.5 [11] for  $\alpha$ -amylase, the other two being in a narrower range. As for the temperature, again  $\alpha$ -amylases are active in a broad range or temperature from 20 [12] to 145°C [13]. Lastly, molecular weights range between 10 [14] and 240 kDa [15].

Despite the broad range of pH and temperature where the amylases are active, there is fairly long list of inhibitors for the microbiologically produced amylases: Ag<sup>+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> [14]; Cd<sup>2+</sup>, iodoacetate [16]; ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), K<sup>+</sup> [17]; Na<sup>+</sup>, Triton X-100, Tween 20 [18]; phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 4-bromophenacyl bromide [19]; Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3'</sub> N-ethylmaleimide and sodium deoxycholate [20]

are reported to be inhibitors. Interestingly, sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS), urea and 2-mercaptoethanol are reported to be both activating [21, 22] and inhibiting [17, 18] compounds.

The production of enzymes is typically performed in submerged fermentation, less often via solid state fermentation, typically under mesophilic conditions, moderate pH and temperature (30–50°C, mostly in 37°C; pH range of 3–9, mostly at 7) in chemically defined  $((NH_4)_2HPO_4$  as N-source,  $KH_2PO_4$  as K-source) or complex media (yeast extract as N and K source), lactose, maltose glucose or starch as C-source, using chiefly *Bacillus* species [23–27]. Additionally, agro-wastes are also used as substrates and inducers as coconut oil cake, wheat/rice bran, spent brewing grain, cassava bagasse, jackfruit or tamarind seed powder, palm kernel, olive oil or mustard oil cake and rice husk [27].

# 3. Phosphatase

Phosphatases belong to the enzyme group responsible in the hydrolysis of ester-phosphate bonds which releases phosphates. These are sub-classified as phosphomonoesterases (EC 3.1.3.x), phosphodiesterases (EC 3.1.4.x), enzymes that hydrolyze phosphorus-containing anhydrides (EC 3.6.1.x), P-N bonds (EC 3.9.1.x) and various groups that act on this bonds. From an application point of view, these are grouped as alkaline, acid phosphatases and inorganic diphosphatases. The microbial producers of these enzymes are numerous, including *B. subtilis* [28], *Escherichia coli* [29] and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [30] for alkaline phosphatase; *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans* [31], *E. coli* [32] and *Lactobacillus curvatus* [33] for acid phosphatase and *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* [34], *Rhodobacter capsulatus, Rhodopseudomonas palustris* [35] for Inorganic diphosphatase.

The large portfolio of phosphatases works in a broad range of pH and temperature. For the pH, the phosphatases are reported to work optimally between 2.5 [36] and 12.5 [37]. As for the temperature, active ranges are reported to be between 5 [38] and 95°C [39], while optimally, the enzyme works between 20 and 70°C [40, 41]. With different pockets or binding sites, there is also a range for the molecular weight, from 32.5 [42] to 128 [43] kDa.

Several agents are reported to inhibit the phosphatases. These are ascorbate, dithiothreitol, NaF, molybdate, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, sodium lauryl sulfate, tartrate [31], 2-mercaptoethanol, BaCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, hexametaphosphate, HgCl<sub>2</sub>, MnCl<sub>2</sub>, p-chloromercuribenzoate (PCMB), PMSF, tripolyphosphate and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> [33]. In contrast, some organic acids, for example, citrate, pyruvate, succinate [32], 1,10-phenanthroline, EDTA [33] have been found to stimulate enzyme activity.

# 4. Lipase

Lipases (EC3.1.1.x) are enzymes degrading lipids. In literature, most of the studied and reported lipases are triacylglycerol lipases (EC 3.1.1.3), while additionally there are carboxylesterase (EC 3.1.1.1) which hydrolyze carboxylic ester bonds, arylesterase (EC 3.1.1.2) also acting on carboxylic esters but more specifically on phenolic esters, phospholipase A2 (EC 3.1.1.4) again hydrolyzing carboxylic esters specifically on phosphatidylcholine. It should be noted that distinguishing each of these enzymes is rather challenging as they have similar activities.

The producing organisms span the fungi and bacteria, in particular *B. subtilis* [44], *E. coli* [45] for EC 3.1.1.1 (carboxyl esterase), *Gluconobacter oxydans* [46] and *Lactobacillus casei* [47] for EC 3.1.1.2 (arylesterases) and *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*, *B. subtilis*, *Chromobacterium viscosum*, *Micrococcus freudenreichii*, *Lactobacillus delbruckii*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Streptococcus lactis* [48] for EC 3.1.1.3 (triacylglycerol lipase)

Bacterial lipases has a pH working range between 4 [49] and 12 [50], while optimum pH is reported to vary between 6 [51] and 11 [52]. As for the temperature, there is a large range between 0 [53] and 100°C [54], while the optimum temperature for enzyme activity vary between 10 [55] and 90°C [50]. A span of molecular weights is reported for this enzyme (bacterial variants) from 11 [56] to 840 [57] kDa.

Metals ions such as Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ag<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and ascorbic acid are reported to have inhibitory effect on the carboxylesterase activity [58, 59] as well as sodium dodecyl-sulfate (SDS), diisopropylfluorophosphate, eserine, sodium fluoride [60] and phenylmethyl-sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) [61]. Organic solvents such as acetone, EDTA, ethanol, isopropanol, PMSF and SDS [49] are reported to inhibit triacylglycerol lipases [62, 63]. Under lab conditions, Triton X-100, Tween-20, Tween-40, Tween-80 [64], 1,4-dioxane, acetone, dimethyl sulfoxide, ethanol and tetrahydrofuran [65] are reported activators to carboxyl esterases. Interestingly, acetone, Brij 52, cholic acid, deoxycholic acid, isopropanol, Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), lithocholic acid, rhamnolipid and sodium deoxycholate are also reported as activators for triacylglycerol lipases [66].

For production of enzyme, apart from the above-listed organisms, see **Table 1**.

Microorganism	Media	Conditions	Production mode References
Anaerovibrio zipolytica 5s	0.75 g casein hydrolysate; 15 mL 0.3% (w/v) dipotassium hydrogen phosphate; 15 mL 0.3% (w/v) potassium dihydrogen phosphate; 0-1 mL 0.1% (w/v) resazurin and 10 mL 5% (w/v) glycerol, 0.5% (w/v) cysteine	38°C	Batch via 300 mL [67] vessels
Bacillus coagulans BTS-3	HCI and 6% (w/v) sodium bicarbonate peptone (0.5%), yeast extract (0.5%), NaCl (0.05%), CaCl <sub>2</sub> (0.005%) and olive oil (1.0%, emulsified with gun) <sup>*</sup> ,	48 h, 55°℃ 170 rpm,	Batch via 250 [68] mL erlenmeyers (50 mL working
Pseudomonas sp.	pH 8.5 Ground soybean (2.0%), corn-steep liquor (2.0%), soluble starch (1.0%), $K_2$ HPO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) and NaNO <sub>3</sub> (0.5%) and the pH 9.0	30°C, 72 h, 150 rpm	volume) Batch via 500 [69] mL erlenmeyer (working volume of 50 mL)

\* Besides olive oil, coconut oil, castor oil, groundnut oil, mustard oil, sunflower oil, Tween 20, Tween 80, cottonseed oil and soybean oil is studied as a carbon source. Beside peptone and yeast extract, gelatin and urea is also studied as organic nitrogen sources. Besides ammonium sulphate, ammonium nitrate, potassium nitrate and L-asparagine are also studied as inorganic nitrogen sources.

Table 1. Lipase production studies and the reported conditions.

### 5. Phytase

Phytases are enzymes that hydrolyze phytic acid which is an organic phosphorus source and makes inorganic usable phosphorus. Bacterially produced phytases are 3-phytase (EC 3.1.3.8), 4-phytase (EC 3.1.3.26) and protein-tyrosine-phosphatase (PTP, EC 3.1.3.48). Besides PTP, the other enzymes differentiate at which carbon they attack and take out the phosphorus in phytic acid. Several reports are available on the production of phytases. The organisms used are *Aerobacter aerogenes*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B. subtilis*, *Enterobacter* sp., *E. coli*, *Klebsiella aerogenes*, *Lactobacillus amylovorus*, *Pseudomonas* sp., *Selenomonas ruminantium* [70] for three and four phytases and *B. subtilis*, *M. tuberculosis*, *S. aureus* [71–73]; typically grown under complex media (tryptone, yeast extract and NaCl and sugars, for example, lactose as inducer)

The activity of bacterially produced phytases change with pH, ranging from 2 [74] to 10 [75], while the optimum pH range is narrower (from 2.7 [76] to 8.5 [77]). As for the temperature, optimum working range is between 20 [78] and 80°C [79] due to the presence of some thermophilic organisms [70, 79]. The molecular weight range is found to be between 12.8 [80] and 700 [70] kDa, again depending on the producing host.

Similar to the other enzymes, several metal ions are reported to inhibit the phytase activity. These include Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>and Zn<sup>2+</sup> [77, 81], while EDTA is considered as an activator compound [75]

#### 6. Urease

An important enzyme for plant nutrition, in particular for N-cycle is Urease (EC 3.5.1.5), catalysing the conversion of urea to carbon dioxide and ammonia:

$$(NH_2)_2 CO + H_2 O = CO_2 + 2NH_3$$
 (1)

This enzyme is produced by bacteria, fungi as well as plants. Some bacterial producers are listed as *A. aerogenes, Arthrobacter oxydans, Bacillus pasteurii, Brevibacterium ammoniagenes, Brucella suis, E. coli, Helicobacter pylori, Proteus mirabilis, Providencia stuartii, S. ruminantium, Sporosarcina pasteurii, Staphylococcus saprophyticus and Ureaplasma urealyticum [82–84], while the following organisms are reported to produce acid urease: Arthrobacter mobilis, Lactobacillus fermentum and Streptococcus mitior [82]. These are typically grown in batch mode, under complex (yeast extract, peptone and glucose) or chemically defined medium conditions, mezo-philic temperatures, with urea as the inducer of the enzyme production [85, 86].* 

The pH range whereby the enzyme works optimally is 2–9 [87–90], while optimum temperature ranges from 20 to 70°C [91–94]. Molecular weights can vary from 11.1 [82] to 600 [90] kDa. Listed inhibitors are methylurea, thiourea, acetohydroxamic acid, phenylphosphorodiamidate,  $H_3PO_4$ , 2-mercaptoethanol, boric acid, lodoacetamide, lodoacetic acid, N-Ethylmaleimide, 5,5'-Dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DNTB) [95]; 12-hydroxytetradecanoc acid, 3-hydroxytetradecanoc acid, 6-hydroxytetradecanoc acid [96, 97] and several metal ions [98, 99]. Glycerol, n-octylglucoside, polyethylene glycol (PEG), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS),

Triton X-100 have some activatory effect in certain amounts [100]. It is worth noting that urease is nickel-containing metalloenzyme, as a result of which requires to a certain level nickel metal [101], as usual higher concentrations have inhibitory effect [99].

# 7. Cellulase

Cellulase (EC 3.2.1.4) is an important enzyme, naturally produced by bacteria, fungi and protozoa, in particular by necrophilic microorganisms, and is responsible to hydrolyze (1-4)-beta-D-glucosidic linkages in cellulose, which is by far the most abundant organic compound, totalling to almost 50% of the biomass synthesised by photosynthetic fixation of CO<sub>2</sub>. Cellulases also degrade cellulose available in lichenin and cereal beta-D-glucans. As such, it is a key enzyme in degradation of the most abundant polymer on earth. The bacterial producers are listed as *Acetivibrio cellulolyticus*, *B. Subtilis*, *B. Amyloliquefaciens*, *Cellulomonas fimi*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Ruminococcus albus*, *Thermobifida fusca*, *Thermotoga maritima* [102–104].

Ag<sup>+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, iodoacetamide, N-bromosuccinimide [105]; Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Sn<sup>2+</sup>, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) [106]; NiCl<sub>2</sub>, SrCl<sub>2</sub> [7], sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) [107]; Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> [108] and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, syringaldehyde, trans-cinnamic acid, vanillin [109] are shown to inhibit bacterial-originated cellulases. Arabitol, dithiothreitol, erythritol, glycerol, histamine [106]; N-ethylmaleimide [110]; CH<sub>3</sub>COONa, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> [111] and Ca<sup>2+</sup> [107] are shown that activate enzyme. For production of the cellulase enzyme, reported conditions are listed in **Table 2**.

Bacteria	Media	Conditions	Production mode	References
Bacillus sp. AC1	Yeast 2.5 g/L, Tryptone 2.5 g/L, carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) (low viscosity) 2.5 g/L, (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1 g/L, KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 0.5 g/L, K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.5 g/L and MgSO <sub>4</sub> 0.2 g/L	30°C, 2 d	Submerged fermentation	[112]
Bacillus sp. NZ	Carboxymethyl cellulose 5 g/L, peptone 5 g/L, yeast extract 5 g/L, KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 1 g/L, MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O 0.2 g/L, NaCl <sub>5</sub> g/L	45°C, 24–48 h, pH 9–10	Submerged fermentation via 250 mL erlenmeyers	[113]
Bacillus subtilis CBTK 106	10 g of banana fruit stalk with Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O 1.1 g/L, NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O 0.61 g/L, KCl 0.3 g/L, MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O 0.01 g/L	35°C, 72 h, pH 7.0, initial moisture content is 65%	Submerged fermentation via 250 mL erlenmeyers	[114]*

\* Besides banana fruit stalk, wheat bran, rice bran and rice straw was tested as a substrate, but banana fruit stalk showed more cellulase activity. Also in this article with same media solid state and submerged fermentation was compared.

Table 2. Producing conditions of cellulase from bacteria.

#### 8. The use of enzymes for plant nutrition

The use of enzymes for plant nutrition is typically mentioned within compost preparation context and optimization and/or speeding of this process. In an early work, Hankin et al. [115] studied several microorganisms from the extracellular enzyme production perspective from composting leaves and concluded that depending on the substrate available the microbial community produced tailor-made enzymes, and this production process was highly dependent on temperature [115]. The portfolio of enzymes produced covered all major enzymes. The temperature of the compost core increased significantly, when compared to the outer regions contacting with air. In general, Amylase is typically seen as one of the necessary enzymes to speed-up composting, yet of low importance. As for the use of the phosphatase (both alkaline and acidic) activity, hinting the soil-bacteria collaboration. Tiquia et al. [116] reported the dynamics and enzyme activity during composting of poultry litter and yard trimmings, focusing on 19 different enzymes of different microbial groups in soil [116]. Similarly, Garcia et al. [117] after detailed biochemical analysis of biochemical parameters reported that highest phosphatase activity is found on sewage sludge [117].

The relation with enzymatic activity and compost state is so tight that enzymatic activity has been studied as indicator of composting process. Mondini et al. [118] reported the results of such study, whereby they concluded that drying the compost expectedly decreased the activity, but more importantly, measuring the activity of four enzymes ( $\beta$ -glucosidase, arylsulphatase, acid and alkaline phosphatase) in air-dried compost would be a fast and reliable method to follow composting process. Similar outcomes have been reported in Margesin et al. [119], focusing this time to lower temperatures. Herrmann and Shann [120] concluded that cellulase activity could be used as an indicator of stability, while lipase activity indicated compost maturity [120].

Lee et al. reported the positive effect of compost on lettuce growth as two to three times increase in fresh weight of the lettuce. They focused in particular to phosphatase and dehydrogenase activity [121]. Focusing more on the fats mixtures and the effect of lipase on co-composting sludges, Gea et al. [122] reported 85% reduction in fat content, with an initial fat content of 30%. The authors note that due to hydrophobic nature of the fats, the moisture content needs to be maintained above 40%. Krzywy-Gawrońska [123] focused on urease and dehydrogenase activity in compost-fertilized soil, in a 2-year field trial, and found increased level of organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in fertilized soil, clearly pointing to highly nutritious soil.

#### 9. Conclusions

Enzymes are key players in a plethora of biological processes, plant nutrition is no exception. Depending on the soil content and residues that it carries, different enzymes play key roles in rendering soil nutrient-rich; an immediate application is the composting process and PGPR-soil-plant interactions. This important area calls for further research not only on the plant side but also on the enzyme side and more importantly on applications to specific soil types. This

knowledge will further facilitate decreased use of chemical fertilizers and will create avenues for organic farming practices.

### Author details

Metin Turan<sup>1\*</sup>, Emrah Nikerel<sup>1</sup>, Kerem Kaya<sup>1</sup>, Nurgul Kitir<sup>1</sup>, Adem Gunes<sup>2</sup>, Negar Ebrahim Pour Mokhtari<sup>3</sup>, Şefik Tüfenkçi<sup>4</sup>, M. Rüştü Karaman<sup>5</sup> and K. Mesut Çimrin<sup>6</sup>

\*Address all correspondence to: m\_turan25@hotmail.com

1 Genetics and Bioengineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey

2 Soil and Plant Nutrition Science, Agricultural Faculty, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

3 Organic Farming Department, Islahiye Vocational School, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey

4 Department of Agricultural Faculty, Bisystem Enginering, Yüzüncüyıl University, Van, Turkey

5 Vocational High School of Medical and Aramatic, Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyon

6 Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Mustfa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey

### References

- Vaughan D, Malcolm RE. Soil Organic Matter and Biological Activity, Developments in Plant and Soil Sciences, Matinus Nijhoff/Dr. W. Junk, Springer, Netherlands, 1985, Vol. 16, ISBN 978-94-009-5105-1.
- [2] Kindle KL. Characteristics and production of thermostable a-amylase, Appl.Biochem. Biotechnol. 1983; 8: 153–170.
- [3] Allam AM, Hussein AM, Ragab AM. Amylase of the thermophilic actinomycete *Thermomonospora vulgaris*, Z. Allg. Mikrobiol. 1975; 15(6): 393–398.
- [4] Niziolek S. Beta-amylase production by some *Bacillus cereus, Bacillus megaterium* and *Bacillus polymyxa* strains, Acta Microbiol. Pol. 1997; 46: 357–362.
- [5] Arai M, Sumida M, Nakatani S, Murao S. A novel beta-amylase inhibitor, agric, Biol. Chem. 1983; 47: 183–185.
- [6] Yu HY, Li X. Characterization of an organic solvent-tolerant thermostable glucoamylase from a halophilic isolate, *Halolactibacillus* sp. SK71 and its application in raw starch hydrolysis for bioethanol production, Biotechnol. Prog. 2014; 30: 1262–1268.

- [7] Kumar P, Satyanarayana T. Microbial glucoamylases: characteristics and applications, Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2009; 29: 225–255.
- [8] Ichikawa K, Tonozuka T, Mizuno M, Tanabe Y, Kamitori S, Nishikawa A, Sakano Y, Crystallization and preliminary X-ray analysis of *Thermoactinomyces vulgaris* R-47 maltooligosaccharide-metabolizing enzyme homologous to glucoamylase, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F. 2005; 61: 302–304.
- [9] Uchino F. A thermostable and unusually acidophilic amylase produced by a thermophilic acidophilic *Bacillus* sp., agric, Biol. Chem. 1982; 46: 7–13.
- [10] Burhan A, Nisa U, Gokhan C, Omer C, Ashabil A, Osman G. Enzymatic properties of a novel thermostable, thermophilic, alkaline and chelator-resistant amylase from an alkaliphilic *Bacillus* sp. isolate ANT-6, Proc. Biochem. 2003; 38: 1397–1403.
- [11] Ingle MB, Erikson RJ. Bacterial alpha-amylases, Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 1978; 24: 257–278.
- [12] Pancha I, Jain D, Shrivastav A, Mishra SK, Shethia B, Mishra SVPM, Jha B. A thermoactive alpha-amylase from a *Bacillus* sp. isolated from CSMCRI salt farm, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2010; 47: 288–291.
- [13] Konsoula Z, Liakopoulou-Kyriakides M, Perysinakis A, Chira P, Afendra A, Drainas C, Kyriakidis DA. Heterologous expression of a hyperthermophilic alpha-amylase in xanthan gum producing *Xanthomonas campestris* cells, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 2008; 149: 99–108.
- [14] Murakami S, Nishimoto H, Toyama Y, Shimamoto E, Takenaka S, Kaulpiboon J, Prousoontorn M, Limpaseni T, Pongsawasdi P, Aoki K. Purification and characterization of two alkaline, thermotolerant alpha-amylases from *Bacillus halodurans* 38C-2-1 and expression of the cloned gene in *Escherichia coli*, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2007; 71: 2393–2401.
- [15] Shen GJ, Saha BC, Lee YE, Bhatnagar L, Zeikus JG. Purification and characterization of a novel thermostable beta-amylase from *Clostridium thermosulphurogenes*, Biochem. J. 1988; 254: 835–840.
- [16] Krishnan T, Chandra AK. Purification and characterization of alpha-amylase from *Bacillus licheniformis* CUMC305, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1983; 46: 430–437.
- [17] Gangadharan D, Nampoothiri KM, Sivaramakrishnan S, Pandey A. Biochemical characterization of raw-starch-digesting alpha amylase purified from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 2009: 158: 653–662.
- [18] Hmidet N, Maalej H, Haddar A, Nasri M. A Novel alpha-amylase from *Bacillus mojaven-sis* A21: Purification and biochemical characterization, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 2010; 162: 1018–1030.
- [19] Das K, Doley R, Mukherjee AK. Purification and biochemical characterization of a thermostable, alkaliphilic, extracellular alpha-amylase from *Bacillus subtilis* DM-03, a strain isolated from the traditional fermented food of India, Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem. 2004; 40: 291–298.

- [20] Ray RR. Purification and characterization of extracellular beta-amylase of *Bacillus megaterium* B6, Acta Microbiol. Immunol. Hung. 2000; 47: 29–40.
- [21] Bealin-Kelly FJ, Kelly CT, Fogarty WM. The alpha-amylase of the caldoactive bacterium *Bacillus caldovelox*, Biochem. Soc. Trans. 1990; 18: 310–311.
- [22] Dheeran P, Kumar S, Jaiswal YK, Adhikari DK. Characterization of hyperthermostable alpha-amylase from *Geobacillus* sp. IIPTN, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2010; 86: 1857–1866.
- [23] Hewitt CJ, Solomons GL. The production of s-amylase (E.C.3.2.1.1.) by Bacillus amylofiquefaciens, in a complex and a totally defined synthetic culture medium, J. Ind. Microbiol. 1996; 17: 96–99.
- [24] Meers JL. The regulation of alpha-amylase production in *Bacillus licheniformis*, Anton. Leeuw. 1972; 38: 585–590.
- [25] Srivastava, RAK, Baruah, JN. Culture conditions for production of thermostable amylase by *Bacillus stearothermophilus*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 1986, 52(1), 179–184.
- [26] Dey G, Mitra A, Banerjee R, Maiti BR. Enhanced production of amylase by optimization of nutritional constituents using response surface methodology, Biochem. Eng. J. 2001; 7: 227–231.
- [27] Gangadharan D, Sivaramakrishnan S, Nampoothiri KM, Pandey A. Solid culturing of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* for alpha amylase production, Food Technol. Biotechnol. 2006; 44 (2): 269–274.
- [28] Koksharov M, Lv C, Zhai X, Ugarova N, Huang E. *Bacillus subtilis* alkaline phosphatase IV acquires activity only late at the stationary phase when produced in *Escherichia coli*. Overexpression and characterization of the recombinant enzyme, Protein Expr. Purif. 2013; 90: 186–194.
- [29] Yang K, Metcalf WW. A new activity for an old enzyme: *Escherichia coli* bacterial alkaline phosphatase is a phosphite-dependent hydrogenase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2004; 101: 7919–7924.
- [30] Huang CT, Xu KD, McFeters GA, Stewart PS. Spatial patterns of alkaline phosphatase expression within bacterial colonies and biofilms in response to phosphate starvation, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1998; 64: 1526–1531.
- [31] Tominaga N, Mori T. A sulfate-dependent acid phosphatase of *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. Its partial purification and some properties, J. Biochem. 1974; 76: 397–408.
- [32] Dassa E, Cahu M, Desjoyaux-Cherel B, Boquet PL. The acid phosphatase with optimum pH of 2.5 of *Escherichia coli*. Phys. Biochem. Study J. Biol. Chem. 1982; 257: 6669–6676.
- [33] Magboul AAA, McSweeney PLH. Purification and properties of an acid phosphatase from *Lactobacillus curvatus* DPC2024, Int. Dairy J. 2000; 9: 849–855.

- [34] Hachimori A, Takeda A, Kaibuchi M, Ohkawara N, Samejima T. Purification and characterization of inorganic pyrophosphatase from *Bacillus stearothermophilus*, J. Biochem. 1975; 77: 1177–1183.
- [35] Klemme JH, Klemme B, Gest H. Catalytic properties and regulatory diversity of inorganic pyrophosphatases from photosynthetic bacteria, J. Bacteriol. 1971; 108: 1122–1128.
- [36] Touati E, Danchin A. Cloning and characterization of the pH 2.5 acid phosphatase gene, appA cyclic AMP mediated negative regulation, Mol. Gen. Genet. 1987; 208: 499–505.
- [37] Li J, Xu L, Yang F. Expression and characterization of recombinant thermostable alkaline phosphatase from a novel thermophilic bacterium *Thermus thermophilus* XM, Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. 2007; 39: 844–850.
- [38] Dhaked RK, Alam SI, Dixit A, Singh L. Purification and characterization of thermolabile alkaline phosphatase from an antarctic psychrotolerant *Bacillus* sp. P9, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 2005; 36: 855–861.
- [39] Dong G, Zeikus JG. Purification and characterization of alkaline phosphatase from *Thermotoga neapolitana*, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 1997; 21: 335–340.
- [40] Wende A, Johansson P, Vollrath R, Dyall-Smith M, Oesterhelt D, Grininger M. Structural and biochemical characterization of a halophilic archaeal alkaline phosphatase, J. Mol. Biol. 2010; 400: 52–62.
- [41] Pilar MC, Ortega N, Perez-Mateos M, Busto MD. Alkaline phosphatase-polyresorcinol complex: characterization and application to seed coating, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009; 57: 1967–1974.
- [42] Wang R, Ohtani K, Wang Y, Yuan Y, Hassan S, Shimizu T. Genetic and biochemical analysis of a class C non-specific acid phosphatase (NSAP) of *Clostridium perfringens*, Microbiology. 2010; 156: 167–173.
- [43] Lahti R, Niemi T. Purification and some properties of inorganic pyrophosphatase from *Streptococcus faecalis*, J. Biochem. 1981; 90: 79–85.
- [44] Streit TM, Borazjani A, Lentz SE, Wierdl M, Potter PM, Gwaltney SR, Ross MK. Evaluation of the side door in carboxylesterase-mediated catalysis and inhibition, Biol. Chem. 2008; 389: 149–162.
- [45] Sanishvili R, Yakunin AF, Laskowski RA, Skarina T, Evdokimova E, Doherty-Kirby A, Lajoie GA, Thornton JM, Arrowsmith CH, Savchenko A, Joachimiak A, Edwards AM. Integrating structure, bioinformatics, and enzymology to discover function. BioH, a new carboxylesterase from *Escherichia coli*, J. Biol. Chem. 2003; 278: 26039–26045.
- [46] Navarro-Gonzalez I, Sanchez-Ferrer A, Garcia-Carmona F. Molecular characterization of a novel arylesterase from the wine-associated acetic acid bacterium *Gluconobacter oxidans* 621H, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012; 60: 10789–10795.

- [47] Fenster KM, Parkin KL, Steele JL. Nucleotide sequencing, purification, and biochemical properties of an arylesterase from *Lactobacillus casei* LILA, J. Dairy Sci. 2003; 86: 2547–2557.
- [48] Sharma R, Chisti Y, Banerjee UC. Production, purification, characterization, and applications of lipases, Biotechnol. Adv. 2001; 19: 627–662.
- [49] Hasan F, Shah AA, Hameed A. Purification and characterization of a mesophilic lipase from *Bacillus subtilis* FH5 stable at high temperature and pH, Acta Biol. Hung., 2007; 58: 115–132.
- [50] Rathi P, Bradoo S, Saxena RK, Gupta R. A hyper-thermostable, alkaline lipase from *Pseudomonas* sp. with the property of thermal activation, Biotechnol. Lett. 2000; 22: 495–498.
- [51] Ogino H, Hiroshima S, Hirose S, Yasuda M, Ishimi K, Ishikawa H. Cloning, expression and characterization of a lipase gene (lip3) from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LST-03, Mol. Genet. Genomics. 2004; 271: 189–196.
- [52] Karadzic I, Masui A, Zivkovic LI, Fujiwara N. Purification and characterization of an alkaline lipase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from putrid mineral cutting oil as component of metalworking fluid, J. Biosci. Bioeng. 2006; 102: 82–89.
- [53] Zhang J, Lin S, Zeng R. Cloning, expression, and characterization of a cold-adapted lipase gene from an antarctic deep-sea psychrotrophic bacterium, *Psychrobacter* sp. 7195, J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2007; 17: 604–610.
- [54] Uttatree S, Winayanuwattikun P, Charoenpanich J. Isolation and characterization of a novel thermophilic-organic solvent stable lipase from acinetobacter baylyi, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 2010; 162:1362–1376.
- [55] Gatti-Lafranconi P, Caldarazzo SM, Villa A, Alberghina L, Lotti M. Unscrambling thermal stability and temperature adaptation in evolved variants of a cold-active lipase, FEBS Lett. 2008; 582: 2313–2318.
- [56] Jovel SR, Kumagai T, Danshiitsoodol N, Matoba Y, Nishimura M, Sugiyama M. Purification and characterization of the second *Streptomyces phospholipase* A2 refolded from an inclusion body, Protein Expr. Purif. 2006; 50:82–88.
- [57] Jaeger KE, Kharazmi A, Hoiby N. Extracellular lipase of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: biochemical characterization and effect on human neutrophil and monocyte function in vitro, Microb. Pathog. 1991; 10: 173–182.
- [58] Goullet P, Picard B, Laget PF. Purification and properties of carboxylesterase B of *Escherichia coli*, Ann. Microbiol. 1984; 135: 375–387.
- [59] Maqbool QU, Johri S, Rasool S, Riyaz-ul-Hassan S, Verma V, Nargotra A, Koul S, Qazi, GN. Molecular cloning of carboxylesterase gene and biochemical characterization of encoded protein from *Bacillus subtilis* (RRL BB1), J. Biotechnol. 2006; 125: 1–10.
- [60] Riefler JF, Higerd TB. Characterization of intracellular esterase A from *Bacillus subtilis*, Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1976; 429: 191–197.

- [61] Pesaresi A, Devescovi G, Lamba D, Venturi V, Degrassi G. Isolation, characterization, and heterologous expression of a carboxylesterase of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, Curr. Microbiol. 2005; 50: 102–109.
- [62] Ruiz, C., Blanco, A., Pastor, F.I., Diaz, P., 2002, Analysis of *Bacillus megaterium* lipolytic system and cloning of LipA, a novel subfamily I.4 bacterial lipase, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 217: 263–267.
- [63] Lee DW, Kim HW, Lee KW, Kim BC, Choe EA, Lee HS, Kim DS, Pyun YR. Purification and characterization of two distinct thermostable lipases from the gram-positive thermophilic bacterium *Bacillus thermoleovorans* ID-1, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 2001; 29: 363–371.
- [64] Chen S, Qian L, Shi B. Purification and properties of enantioselective lipase from a newly isolated *Bacillus cereus* C71, Process Biochem. 2007; 42: 988–994.
- [65] Lescic I, Vukelic B, Majeric-Elenkov M, Saenger W, Abramic M. Substrate specificity and effects of water-miscible solvents on the activity and stability of extracellular lipase from *Streptomyces rimosus*, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 2001; 29: 548–553.
- [66] Sekhon A, Dahiya N, Tiwari RP, Hoondal GS. Properties of a thermostable extracellular lipase from *Bacillus megaterium* AKG-1, J. Basic Microbiol. 2005; 45: 147–154.
- [67] Henderson C. A Study of the Lipase Produced by *Anaerovibrio lipolytica*, a rumen bacterium, J. Gen. Microbiol. 1971; 65: 81–89.
- [68] Kumar S, Kikon K, Upadhyay A, Kanwar SS, Gupta R. Production, purification, and characterization of lipase from thermophilic and alkaliphilic *Bacillus coagulans* BTS-3, Protein Exp. Purif. 2005; 41: 38–44.
- [69] Dong H, Gao S, Han S, Cao S. Purification and characterization of a *Pseudomonas* sp. lipase and its properties in non-aqueous media, Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem. 1999; 30: 251–256.
- [70] Vohra A, Satyanarayana T. Phytases: Microbial sources, production, purification, and potential biotechnological applications, Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2003; 23(1):29–60.
- [71] Mijakovic I, Musumeci L, Tautz L, Petranovic D, Edwards RA, Jensen PR, Mustelin T, Deutscher J, Bottini N. In vitro characterization of the *Bacillus subtilis* protein tyrosine phosphatase YwqE, J. Bacteriol. 2005; 187, 3384–3390.
- [72] Noeren-Mueller A, Wilk W, Saxena K, Schwalbe H, Kaiser M, Waldmann H. Discovery of a new class of inhibitors of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* protein tyrosine phosphatase B by biology-oriented synthesis, Angew. Chem. 2008; 47: 5973–5977.
- [73] Vega C, Chou S, Engel K, Harrell ME, Rajagopal L, Grundner C. Structure and substrate recognition of the *Staphylococcus aureus* protein tyrosine phosphatase PtpA, J. Mol. Biol. 2011; 413: 24–31.
- [74] Guerrero-Olazaran M, Rodriguez-Blanco L, Carreon-Trevino J, Gallegos-Lopez J, Viader-Salvado J. Expression of a *Bacillus phytase* C gene in *Pichia pastoris* and properties of the recombinant enzyme, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2010; 76: 5601–5608.

- [75] Hong S, Chu I, Chung K. Purification and biochemical characterization of thermostable phytase from newly isolated *Bacillus subtilis* CF92, J. Appl. Biol. Chem. 2011; 54: 89–94.
- [76] Dvorakova J. Phytase: source, preparation and exploitation, Folia Microbiol. 1998; 43: 323–338.
- [77] Choi YM, Suh HJ, Kim JM. Purification and properties of extracellular phytase from *Bacillus* sp. KHU-10, J. Protein Chem. 2001; 20: 287–292.
- [78] Li Z, Huang H, Yang P, Yuan T, Shi P, Zhao J, Meng K, Yao B. The tandemly repeated domains of a beta-propeller phytase act synergistically to increase catalytic efficiency, FEBS J. 2011; 278: 3032–3040.
- [79] Shim JH, Oh BC. Characterization and application of calcium-dependent beta-propeller phytase from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* DS11, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012; 60: 7532–7537.
- [80] Tambe SM, Kaklij GS, Kelkar SM, Parekh LJ. Two distinct molecular forms of phytase from *Klebsiella aerogenes*: evidence for unusually small active enzyme peptide, J. Ferment. Bioeng. 1994; 77: 23–27.
- [81] Wang Q, Fu S, Sun J, Weng X. Characterization of a thermostable alkaline phytase from *Bacillus licheniformis* ZJ-6 in *Pichia pastoris*, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2011; 27: 1247–1253.
- [82] Krajewska B. Ureases I. Functional, catalytic and kinetic properties: a review, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 2009; 59:9–21.
- [83] Nakano M, Iida T, Honda T. Urease activity of enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* depends on a specific one-base substitution in ureD, Microbiology. 2004; 150: 3483–3489.
- [84] Dixon NE, Gazzola C, Blakeley RL, Zerner B. Metal ions in enzymes using ammonia or amides, Science. 1976; 191:1144–1150.
- [85] Ramesh R, Aarthy M, Gowthaman MK, Gabrovska K, Godjevargova T, Kamini NR. Screening and production of a potent extracellular *Arthrobacter creatinolyticus* urease for determination of heavy metal ions, J. Basic Microbiol. 2013; 1–11.
- [86] Zotta T, Ricciardi A, Rossano R, Parente E. Urease production by *Streptococcus thermophi-lus*, Food Microbiol. 2008; 25:113–119.
- [87] Kakimoto S, Miyashita H, Sumino Y, Akiyama S. Properties of acid ureases from *Lactobacillus* and *Streptococcus* strains, Agric. Biol. Chem. 1990; 54: 381–386.
- [88] del Mar Dobao M, Castillo F, Pineda M. Characterization of urease from the phototrophic bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus* E1F1, Curr. Microbiol. 1993; 27:119–123.
- [89] Booth NJ, Beekman JB, Thune RL. *Edwardsiella ictaluri* encodes an acid-activated urease that is required for intracellular replication in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) macrophages, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2009; 75:6712–6720.
- [90] Evans DJ, Evans DG, Kirkpatrick SS, Graham DY. Characterization of the *Helicobacter pylori* urease and purification of its subunits, Microb. Pathog. 1991; 10:15–26.

- [91] Contreras-Rodriguez A, Quiroz-Limon J, Martins AM, Peralta H, Avila-Calderon E, Sriranganathan N, Boyle SM, Lopez-Merino A. Enzymatic, immunological and phylogenetic characterization of *Brucella suis* urease, BMC Microbiol. 2008; 8: 121.
- [92] Chen YYM, Clancy KA, Burne RA. *Streptococcus salivarius* urease: genetic and biochemical characterization and expression in a dental plaque streptococcus, Infect. Immun. 1996; 64: 585–592.
- [93] Andrich L, Esti M, Moresi M. Urea degradation kinetics in model wine solutions by acid urease immobilised onto chitosan-derivative beads of different sizes, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 2010; 46: 397–405.
- [94] Yang Y, Kang Z, Zhou J, Chen J, Du G. High-level expression and characterization of recombinant acid urease for enzymatic degradation of urea in rice wine, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2014; 99: 301–308.
- [95] Mobley HLT, Hausinger RP. Microbial Ureases: Significance, Regulation, and Molecular Characterization, Microbiol. Rev. 1989; 5(1): 85–108.
- [96] Sokmen BB, Hasdemir B, Yusufoglu A, Yanardag R. Some monohydroxy tetradecanoic acid isomers as novel urease and elastase inhibitors and as new antioxidants, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 2014; 172: 1358–1364.
- [97] Khan WN, Lodhi MA, Ali I, Azhar-Ul-Haq I, Malik A, Bilal S, Gul R, Choudhary MI. New natural urease inhibitors from Ranunculus repens, J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 2006; 21: 17–19.
- [98] Xiao ZP, Ma TW, Fu WC, Peng XC, Zhang AH, Zhu HL. The synthesis, structure and activity evaluation of pyrogallol and catechol derivatives as *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2010; 45: 5064–5070.
- [99] Perez-Perez GI, Gower CB, Blaser MJ. Effects of cations on *Helicobacter pylori* urease activity, release, and stability, Infect. Immun. 1994; 62: 299–302.
- [100] Gang JG, Yun SK, Hwang SY. *Helicobacter pylori* urease may exist in two forms: evidence from the kinetic studies, J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2009; 19:1565–1568.
- [101] Dixon NE, Gazzola C, Blakeley RL, Zerner B. Jack Bean Urease (EC 3.5.1.5). A Metalloenzyme. A Simple Biological Role for Nickel?, J. American Chem. Soc. 1975; 97: 4131–4133.
- [102] Robson LM, Chambliss GH. Cellulases of bacterial origin, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 1989; 11: 626–644.
- [103] McCleary BV, Mangan D, Daly R, Fort S, Ivory R, McCormack N. Novel substrates for the measurement of endo-1,4-beta-glucanase (endo-cellulase), Carbohydr. Res. 2014; 385: 9–17.
- [104] Yamane K, Suzuki H. Cellulases of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* var. cellulosa, Methods Enzymol. 1988; 160: 200–210.

- [105] Mawadza C, Hatti-Kaul R, Zvauya R, Mattiasson B. Purification and characterization of cellulases produced by two *Bacillus* strains, J. Biotechnol. 2000; 83: 177–187.
- [106] Au KS, Chan KY. Purification and properties of the endo-1,4-beta-glucanase from *Bacillus subtilis*, J. Gen. Microbiol. 1987; 133: 2155–2162.
- [107] Saleem M, Akhtar MS, Yasmin R, Zahid M, Malik NN, Afzal M, Rajoka MI. Production, purification and characterization of beta-1,4-endoglucanase from a novel bacterial strain CTP-09 of a *Bacillus* sp, Protein Pept. Lett. 2008; 15: 402–410.
- [108] Fukumori F, Kudo T, Horikoshi K. Purification and properties of a cellulase from alkalophilic *Bacillus* sp. No. 1139, J. Gen. Microbiol. 1985; 131: 3339–3345.
- [109] Ximenes E, Kim Y, Mosier N, Dien B, Ladisch M. Inhibition of cellulases by phenols, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 2010; 46: 170–176.
- [110] Ogura J, Toyoda A, Kurosawa T, Chong AL, Chohnan S, Masaki T. Purification, characterization, and gene analysis of cellulase (Cel8A) from *Lysobacter* sp. IB-9374, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2006; 70: 2420–2428.
- [111] Hirasawa K, Uchimura K, Kashiwa M, Grant WD, Ito S, Kobayashi T, Horikoshi K. Saltactivated endoglucanase of a strain of alkaliphilic *Bacillus agaradhaerens*, Anton. Leeuw. 2006; 89: 211–219.
- [112] Li YH, Ding M, Wang J, Xu G, Zhao F. A novel thermoacidophilic endoglucanase, Ba-EGA, from a new cellulose-degrading bacterium, *Bacillus* sp.AC-1, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2006; 70: 430–436.
- [113] Nizamudeen, S., & Bajaj, B. K. (2009). A novel thermo-alkalitolerant endoglucanase production using cost-effective agricultural residues as substrates by a newly isolated Bacillus sp. NZ. Food technology and Biotechnology, 47(4), 435–440.
- [114] Krishna C. Production of bacterial cellulases by solid state bioprocessing of banana wastes, Bioresour. Technol. 1999; 69: 231–239.
- [115] Hankin L, Poincelot RP, Anagnostakis SL. Microorganisms from composting leaves: ability to produce extracellular degradative enzymes, Microbial. Ecol. 1975; 2(4): 296–308.
- [116] Tiquia SM, Wan HC, Tam NF. Microbial population dynamics and enzyme activities during composting, Compost Sci. Util. 2002; 10(2): 150–161.
- [117] Garcia C, Hernandez T, Costa C, Ceccanti B, Masciandaro G, Ciardi C. A study of biochemical parameters of composted and fresh municipal wastes, Bioresour. Technol. 1993; 44(1): 17–23.
- [118] Mondini C, Fornasier F, Sinicco T. Enzymatic activity as a parameter for the characterization of the composting process, Soil Bio. Biochem. 2004; 36(10): 1587–1594.
- [119] Margesin R, Cimadom J, Schinner F. Biological activity during composting of sewage sludge at low temperatures, Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation. 2006; 57(2): 88–92.

- [120] Herrmann RF, Shann JR. Enzyme activities as indicators of municipal solid waste compost maturity, Compost Sc. Util. 1993; 1(4): 54–63.
- [121] Lee YJ, Kim BK, Lee BH, Jo KI, Lee NK, Chung CH, Lee YC, Lee JW. Purification and characterization of cellulase produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* DL-3 utilizing rice hull, Biores. Technol. 2008; 99: 378–386.
- [122] Gea T, Ferrer P, Alvaro G, Valero F, Artola A, Sánchez A. Co-composting of sewage sludge: fats mixtures and characteristics of the lipases involved. Biochem. Eng. J. 2007; 33(3): 275–283.
- [123] Krzywy-Gawrońska E. Enzymatic activity of urease and, degydrogenase in soil fertilized with GWDA compost with or without a PRP SOL addition, Pol. J. Environ. Studs. 2012; 21(4): 949–955.

