We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

186,000

200M

Downloads

154

Our authors are among the

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Platelet Interactions with Bacteria

Steven W. Kerrigan

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/60531

1. Introduction

It is well established that the primary function of platelets is their adhesion to endothelium or to matrix protein components at sites of the injured vessel wall in the initiation of haemostasis [1].Despite this critical role, platelets are poorly appreciated for their involvement in inflammatory or immune processes associated with host defence. The concept that platelets interact with bacteria is not new as there are many reports published throughout history describing this interaction. For example, the earliest report by Levaditi in 1901 demonstrated that platelets activated and 'clumped' when *Vibrio cholerae* were introduced into the circulation of rabbits [2]. In 1931 Dudgeon demonstrated platelet clumping occurred at 5 minutes of injecting S. aureus into rabbits [3]. More recently in the early 1970's Clawson and White demonstrated that bacteria were able to binding specifically to a platelet receptor, triggering a signal that resulted in aggregation and degranulation of intracellular contents [4-7]. These early studies provided observational evidence that platelets can respond to invading pathogens.

As our knowledge of basic platelet biology developed in more modern times it has become apparent that platelets are powerful multifunctional cells that are involved in processes outside their traditional role of thrombosis and haemostasis. For example, platelets share many similarities with professional leukocytes (white blood cells) well characterised for their role in immuno-protection following invasion by foreign invaders. Platelets can also recognise foreign invaders through specific receptors, release their granule contents and recruit immune cells.

Recently human platelets have been shown to express Toll Like Receptor (TLR) 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 9 [8-13]. These type I integral membrane receptors recognise common pathogen-associated molecular patterns found in foreign invaders. Platelets also express Intracellular Cell Adhesion Molecular (ICAM) 2 which binds to leukocyte β 2 integrin, LFA-1 (α 1 β 2, CD11a/CD18) and to



dendritic cell specific ICAM grabbing nonintegrin (DC-SIGN). Trans interactions of platelet-derived Junctional Adhesion Molecules (A and C) have been found to support the luminal deposition of platelet chemokines and to enhance the recruitment of leukocytes. Upon activation CD40L is upregulated on the platelet surface which results in stimulation of endothelial cells through its cognate receptor CD40 and in increased expression of adhesion molecules, release of chemokines (eg. RANTES) enhancing recruitment of leukocytes [14].

As a result of such receptor mediated interactions platelets can secrete granular contents which have significant immuno-modulatory effects. Alpha granules contain proteins such as P-selectin which mediates adhesion of platelets to monocytes, neutrophils and lymphocytes, resulting in the formation of platelet leukocyte complexes [15-17]. Secretion also results in release of many chemotactic agents which lead to the recruitment of various inflammatory cells; platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) and 12-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (12-HETE) which recruit neutrophils [18, 19]; platelet factor 4 and platelet derived histamine releasing factor (PDHRF) which recruit eosinophils in airway disease [20, 21]; PDGF and transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) which recruit monocytes and macrophages and TGF- β which recruits fibroblasts [22-24]. In addition the alpha granules also release many antimicrobial peptides such as beta-lysin, platelet microbial protein (PMP), neutrophil activating peptide (NAP-2), released upon activation normal T-cell expressed and secreted (RANTES) and fibrinopeptides A and B [25-29].

2. Common observations in platelet-bacterial interactions

Unlike typical platelet agonists that bind to specific platelet receptors and trigger a response, bacteria can interact with platelets using a number of different mechanisms.

Direct interaction: Bacteria express proteins that can directly interact with a surface receptor on the platelet. In this case they have ligand-mimetic domains that act as agonists on the platelet receptor. One such direct interaction is that with *Streptococcus sanguinis* which can directly to GPIb α , the vWf receptor on the platelet [30]. Other potential mediators of platelet activation are lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and lipoteichoic acid (LTA). LPS has been shown to activate platelets and LTA has been shown to inhibit platelet activation [11, 31].

Indirect interaction (bridging protein): Bacteria can also coat itself in a plasma protein and then use this mechanism as a bridge to its reciprocal platelet receptor. For example, Clumping factor A & B [32] and fibronectin binding protein [33] on *S. aureus* can both bind fibronectin and/or fibrinogen both of which are ligands for GPIIb/IIIa on the platelet. *Helicobacter pylori* can bind vWf which interacts with GPIb α on the platelet [34]. The most common bridging molecule for bacteria to use is IgG. IgG bound to the bacteria surface can interact with the platelet Fc γ RIIa receptor and while it appears that this alone cannot stimulate platelet activation it acts in conjunction with other bridging molecules [34-36]. In the absence of a second bridging molecule bound antibody can trigger complement formation which can mediate platelet activation via complement receptors in conjunction with the Fc γ RIIa receptor [36-38].

Indirect interaction (secretion): Bacteria also have the potential to secrete products that can in turn activate platelets. Porphyromonas gingivalis secretes gingipain, an enzyme that activates the thrombin receptor on platelets which leads to platelet activation [39] and Escherichia coli shiga toxin is associated with platelet activation [40] via a novel platelet glycosphingolipid [41]

Bacterial induced platelet aggregation is different in some respects to that seen with other platelet agonists. Bacterial-induced aggregation is an all-or-nothing response, in that no matter what concentration of bacteria are added to a platelet preparation the extent of aggregation will always be maximal (often less than that seen with other agonists) or else there is no aggregation. Unlike other agonists there is a lag time to aggregation. Adjusting the concentration of bacteria shortens the lag time to a minimum but never eliminates it. There are two categories of bacteria: those that have a short lag time of around 2-5 mins e.g. S. aureus and those with a long lag time of 15-20 mins e.g. S. sanguinis or S. gordonii. The short lag time usually indicates the presence of a direct interaction and is dependent on the levels of expression of the interacting protein on the bacterial surface [36]. The long lag time usually indicates a complement -dependent aggregation process.

Bacteria can finally support platelet adhesion, induce platelet spreading or trigger platelet aggregation and these interactions are often mediated by different platelet receptors and bacterial proteins. For example, S. gordonii supports platelet adhesion via a GPIb α – Hsa/GspB interaction, whereas platelet spreading is mediated by GPIIbIIIa -PadA interaction and finally platelet aggregation is mediated by GPIb α – Hsa/GspB/SspA/B interaction.

3. Platelet receptors recognised by bacteria

3.1. Glycoprotein Ib α

3.1.1. Direct interaction with GPIba

Streptococcus sanguinis and Streptococcus gordonii are common commensals found in the oral cavity and have been found to bind directly to GPIb α . The interaction is mediated by a growing family of bacteria adhesins called Serine Rich Repeat (SRR) proteins. Streptococcus sanguinis expresses SrpA and Streptococcus gordonii expresses GspB and Hsa. [30, 42, 43]. The region on GspB and Hsa that bind to GPIb α has been localised to the non-repetitive region and interestingly does not contain any sequence similarity with vWf [44]. The non-repetitive ligand binding region of GspB has been worked out by crystallography studies as having a modular organization: helical domain; a Siglec domain (domain that binds sialic acids); and a unique domain [45]. A mammalian carbohydrate binding domain, identified as the Siglec domain, was found in Hsa and SrpA but not in the protein sequences of five other characterised SRR proteins on other bacteria suggesting that this domain is critical for the interaction with GPIb α [45]. Consistent with this finding is that at present Hsa and SrpA are the only streptococcal SRR proteins that have been found to bind to GPIb α . Interestingly, a point mutation in the Siglec domain at R484E showed a marked reduction in binding to purified GPIb α , in addition use of this mutated streptococcus reduced vegetative growth in a rat model of infective endocarditis [45]. *S. aureus* is also a common commensal of the human found predominately in the anterior nares of the nostrils and a opportunistic pathogen found in the blood stream. This bacteria also expresses a highly glycosylated SRR protein named SraP on its surface. A strain of *Staphylococcus aureus* deficient in expression of SraP led to the reduced virulence in a rabbit model of endocarditis [46]. Regardless of the fact that SraP shares significant similarities with a number of other SRR's found in the streptococci that have been found to bind to platelet GPIb α , it is currently unclear as to whether SraP binds to this platelet receptor.

3.1.2. Indirect interaction with GPIb α

Additional studies identified that bacterial interaction with platelets was abolished when plasma was removed, suggestive of the need for a plasma protein in the interaction. Subsequent studies identified that a number of bacteria bind vWf and bridge the bacteria to platelet GPIbα. For example, S. aureus expresses protein A (SpA) on its surface which binds to immobilised vWf under both static and shear based conditions which in turn bridges to platelet GPIbα. Site directed mutagenesis demonstrated that all five domains of SpA (A-E) can bind to the A1 domain of vWf with high affinity (low nM range) [47]. It is well established that GPIb α binding vWf can cross link to another platelet via GPIb α which represents agglutination rather than true platelet aggregation involving GPIIbIIIa and fibrinogen. Additional experiments are required to establish if the protein A-vWf interaction represents agglutination or true aggregation. Helicobacter pylori is the main causative organism of peptic ulcers and have been shown to induce platelet aggregation in vitro by binding to vWf which in turn bridges to platelet GPIba triggering an activating response. Blocking vWf or GPIba with inhibitory antibodies prevented the interaction. Patients lacking expression of GPIb α (Bernard Soulier Syndrome) fail to aggregate in response to *H. pylori* [34]. To date the *H. pylori* component that binds vWf has not yet been identified [48].

3.2. Glycoprotein IIbIIIa

3.2.1. Direct interaction with GPIIbIIIa

A number of different species of bacteria have been shown to bind directly to GPIIbIIIa. Physiological ligands mediate attachment to GPIIbIIIa via a short amino acid sequence, RGD. Consistent with this observation, several bacterial proteins have been identified to express an RGD-like sequence in their cell wall proteins. The serine/aspartate (SD) repeat family of proteins are among the most important cell wall components expressed on the surface of the skin commensal *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. SdrG, also referred to as Fbe, is expressed on up to 91% of clinical *S. epidermidis* strains [49]. Protein analysis identified a potential RGD-like sequence (643RTD) in the B-domain of the SdrG protein. Platelet adhesion to a purified recombinant B-domain of SdrG was inhibited by using either a short synthetic peptide containing the RGD sequence or the GPIIbIIIa antagonist tirofiban (which spans the RGD site), suggesting that this sequence is responsible for directly interacting with GPIIbIIIa [50].

Under iron limited conditions *S. aureus* expresses a surface determinant called IsdB which also contains an RGD-like sequence (²⁴⁸KYD) [51]. Surface plasmon resonance demonstrated that

recombinant IsdB interacts directly with purified GPIIb/IIIa with high affinity with a dissociation constant (K_D) of 405±73.7nM. Platelet adhesion and aggregation was significantly reduced following preincubation of platelets with the GPIIbIIIa antagonist tirofiban or an inhibitory RGDS peptide mimetic. Furthermore, a strain defective in expression of IsdB also failed to bind to GPIIbIIIa [51].

Streptococcus gordonii expresses a large molecular weight protein on its surface (397kDa) designated platelet adherence protein A (PadA). Platelet adhesion to *S. gordonii* was inhibited by the GPIIbIIIa antagonist abciximab or an inhibitory peptide mimetic, RGDS [52]. Platelets adhering to immobilised *S. gordonii* or specific fragments of PadA underwent dramatic changes in morphology as observed by fluorescent confocal microscopy. Rearrangement of the platelet actin cytoskeleton led to filopodia and llamelipodia formation resulting in full platelet spreading [53]. Proteomic analysis identified that PadA contains integrin recognition motifs (383RGT and 484AGD) that may act as binding sites for GPIIbIIIa. Using site directed mutagenesis the AGD or RGT sequence was replaced with a AAA. Platelet interaction with these mutants demonstrated that platelet adhesion was unaffected however platelet spreading was significantly reduced. In addition, replacement of the RGT sequence to AAA (but not the AGD sequence) significantly reduced granule secretion [54]. These results suggesting that there are potentially multiple sequences on PadA responsible for specific platelet functions. The sequence that is directly responsible for supporting platelet adhesion has currently not yet been identified.

3.2.2. Indirect interaction with GPIIbIIIa

Both staphylococci and streptococci express a number of plasma protein binding proteins on their surface. Probably the most common are fibrinogen binding proteins, often expressed at different phase of bacterial growth. For example, *S. aureus* expresses clumping factor B (ClfB), fibronectin binding protein A (FnBPA), fibronectin binding protein B (FnBPB) in the early stage of growth (exponential phase) and clumping factor A (ClfA) in the late stage of growth (stationary phase). *S. epidermidis* expresses a fibrinogen binding protein, SdrG, at the exponential phase of growth. Group A (*Streptococcus pyogenes*) and Group B (*Streptococcus agalactiae*) streptococcus also express fibrinogen binding proteins called M protein and FbsA, respectively, in the exponential phase of growth.

The ligand binding sites of ClfA and ClfB have been mapped to residues 220 to 559 [55]. Interestingly the ligand binding sites of the two homologs are only 27% identical. In contrast to ClfA which recognises the extreme C-terminus of the γ -chain of fibrinogen, ClfB recognises the α -chain of fibrinogen [56, 57]. The FnBPA or FnBPB can bind either fibronectin or fibrinogen. The N-terminal region of the fibronectin binding proteins (N1, N2 and N3) is structurally and functionally similar to the clumping factors, however in place of the serine-aspartate repeat region are tandemly repeated fibronectin-binding repeat domains. The FnBP's bind fibrinogen via the N1, N2 and N3 domains and fibronectin via the repeat domains (11 in FnBPA and 10 in FnBPB) [58]. SdrG (*S. epidermidis*) has the same structural organisation as the clumping factors and bind directly to the beta-chain of the fibrinogen molecule with a Kd in the range of 90-300nm [59, 60].

Group A streptococci (*Streptococcus pyogens*) express more than 80 types of the highly virulent factor, M-protein [61]. The fibrinogen binding motif differs between homologs of M-proteins, suggesting that this domain might have evolved independently in different M-protein lineages [62]. The exact binding site on fibrinogen that interacts with M-protein has not yet been defined, however in inhibitory peptide mimetic RGDS, abolishes platelet aggregation, suggesting the RGD site is involved [63]. Group B streptococcus (GBS) express three homologous proteins termed FbsA, FbsB and FbsC (BsaB). While all of these proteins have all been shown to bind fibrinogen to date only fsbA has been shown to be capable of binding fibrinogen and crosslinking to GPIIbIIIa [64]. Different GBS strains possess different numbers of repeat domains in the FbsA protein thus accounting for the size heterogeneity of the protein. Studies have demonstrated that a single repeat unit was capable of binding fibrinogen [65]. Although the site on the fibrinogen molecule that binds to FsbA was not identified, an RGDS peptide abolished the interaction suggesting that this motif on fibrinogen is critical [64].

A common observation is beginning to unfold in the light of all the fibrinogen binding proteins expressed on bacteria. Results demonstrate that where a bacterial protein binds fibrinogen and crosslinks to platelet GPIIbIIIa it is usually not enough to trigger an activating signal in the platelet and usually requires a co-stimulus In all cases outlined above the key co-stimulus is provided by the bacteria binding IgG and cross linking to its reciprocal receptor on platelets, FcyRIIa.

3.3. FcγRIIA

3.3.1. Direct interaction with FcyRIIA

Currently there are no reports of a bacterial protein binding directly to Fc γ RIIa on platelets, however there are a number of reports of an indirect interaction where bacterial proteins use IgG to cross link to platelet Fc γ RIIA.

3.3.2. Indirect interaction with FcyRIIA

Fc γ RIIA is fast becoming the most important receptor in platelet bacterial interactions as it has been shown to inhibit all bacterial induced platelet activation including those triggered by *S. aureus, S. epidermidis, S. sanguinis, S. gordonii, Streptococcus pneumonia, Streptococcus oralis, H. pylori* and *S. pyogenes* [30, 33, 34, 36, 50, 53, 66-70]. A key observation is that IgG is required for all of these bacteria to induce platelet aggregation, however antibody alone was not enough to trigger aggregation / activation of platelets. In all cases engagement of another platelet receptor was required such as GPIIbIIIa, GPIb α , or Toll Like Receptors (TLR's). These observations suggest that Fc γ RIIa requires receptor clustering in order to trigger platelet activation [69]. Indeed Fc γ RIIa has been shown to be physically associated with GPIIbIIIa and GPIb α and therefore plays an important role in their respective signalling in an IgG-independent manner [71].

Platelet receptor	Bacteria & protein	Bridging protein	Reference
GPΙbα	S. gordonii GspB/Hsa	Direct	42,43,44
	S. sanguinis SrpA	Direct	30,94
	S. aureus SraP	?	46
	S. aureus SpA	vWf	47
	H. pylori [unidenified]	vWf	48
GPIIbIIIa	S. epidermidis SdrG	Direct	49,50
	S. aureus IsdB	Direct	51
	S. gordonii PadA	Direct	52,53,54
	S. aureus ClfA	Fibrinogen	55,56
	S. aureus ClfB	Fibrinogen	57
	S. aureus FnbpA/B	Fibronectin	58
	S. epidermidis SdrG	Fibrinogen	59,60
	S. pyogenes M1	Fibrinogen	62,63
	S. agalactiae Fbs	Fibrinogen	64,65
FcγRIIa	S. aureus FnbpA/B	IgG	33
	S. aurues ClfA	IgG	36
	S. aureus ClfB	IgG	72
	S. epidermidis SdrG	IgG	50
	H. pylori [unidentified]	IgG	34
	P. gingivalis [unidentified]	IgG	73
gC1q-R/P32	S. aureus SpA	direct	74,75
	S. aureus ClfA	?	32, 36
	S. aureus ClfB	?	32,72
	S. sanguinis [unidentified]	?	38,77
TLR2	S. pneumoniae [unidentified]	direct	67
	P. gingivalis [unidentified]	direct	79

Table 1. Summary of interactions between bacteria and platelets. Bacteria can either interact with platelets directly or indirectly using a bridging protein, thus triggering activation. ClfA; clumping factor A, FnbpA; fibronectin binding protein A, SpA; protein A, PadA; platelet adhesion protein A, IsdB; iron-regulated surface determinant B, SdrG; Serine aspartate repeat G, Hsa; haemaglutinin salivary antigen, GspB; glycosylated spretococcal protein B, SrpA; serine rich protein A, IgG; immunoglobulin G, vWf; vonWillebrand Factor, C1q; complement 1q, GP; glycoprotein, TLR; Toll like receptor

Platelet receptor	Bacteria	Bacterial toxin	Reference
TLR4	E. coli	LPS	81,82,83,84
?	S. epidermidis	LTA	85,86,87,88
?	P. gingivalis	Gingipains	39,89
Glycospingolipids	E.coli	Verotoxin	41,90
?	S. aureus	α-toxin	91,92

Table 2. Summary of interactions between platelets and bacterial toxins. LPS; Lipopolysaccharide, LTA; Lipoteichoic acid

S.~aureus and S.~epidermidis induce platelet aggregation in an Fc γ RIIa dependent manner. S.~aureus fails to induce platelet aggregation in the absence of plasma proteins. Addition of IgG alone is not enough to trigger aggregation induced by S.~aureus, however addition of fibrinogen/fibronectin and IgG combined induces full aggregation [33, 36, 72]. Similarly $S.~epidermidis~SdrG~also~induces~platelet~aggregation~however~requires~concomitant~binding~of~fibrinogen~and~IgG~to~the~A-domain~of~SdrG~and~an~unidentified~cell~wall~component~of~H.~pylori~requires~concomitant~binding~of~vWf~and~IgG~which~crosslinks~to~GPIb<math>\alpha$ ~and~Fc γ RIIa~[34, 50].

All published reports of streptococcal induced platelet aggregation demonstrate their ability to induce platelet aggregation in an Fc γ RIIa dependent manner. Early reports suggested that streptococci could induce platelet aggregation in the absence of plasma proteins. However regardless of this, blocking Fc γ RIIa with a monoclonal antibody still abolished aggregation [30], suggesting that Fc γ RIIa may be playing a role in signal amplification. This observation is analogous to the role of Fc γ RIIa in promoting cell signalling/amplification through various platelets receptors such as GPIIbIIIa and GPIb α . Another oral bacteria, *S. oralis* on the other hand failed to induce platelet aggregation in gel filtered platelets [68]. The addition of plasma concentrations of fibrinogen to the gel filtered platelets failed to restore aggregation however addition of plasma concentrations of purified IgG fully restored platelet aggregation. More recently, Arman et al, demonstrated that *S. sanguinis*, *S. gordonii* but not *S. pneumoniae* require IgG's to induce platelet aggregation [69]. The site that IgG binds to was not identified in this study.

Oral bacteria, *Porphomonas gingivalis* expresses a surface protein called hgp44 which induces platelet aggregation in an FcγRIIA dependent manner [73]. The authors demonstrated that IgG was critical for the interaction. Preincubation of platelets with an anti-FcγRIIa antibody abolished *P. gingivalis* induced platelet aggregation. Furthermore, depletion of IgG from plasma also completely prevented aggregation. Interestingly recombinant hgp44 failed to induce platelet aggregation suggesting that hgp44 may be dependent on another *P. gingivalis* protein binding to platelets in order to crosslink receptors to trigger a signal that results in platelet aggregation.

3.4. gC1q-R/P33

3.4.1. Direct interaction with gC1q-R/P33

S. aureus SpA has been shown to be capable of binding directly to full length recombinant gC1qR (amino acids 1-282). Under resting conditions there is a low level of gC1qR expression on platelets, however upon activation expression levels significantly rises [74]. The latter suggests that another interaction between S. aureus and the platelet is required in order to express high levels of the receptor on the platelet surface. Binding was inhibited by both recombinant gC1qR and soluble purified protein A, however complement proteins did not appear to be required as the binding interaction occurs in the absence of complement proteins. Deletion of the C1q binding domain (amino acids 74-95) on the recombinant gC1qR resulted in loss of C1q binding but not S. aureus SpA suggesting that the SpA binding site is likely to reside outside of this domain [75].

3.4.2. Indirect interaction

Complement is part of the immune system that augments the opsonisation of bacteria by antibodies which in turn facilitates phagocytosis. There are three main pathways that lead to complement activation; the classical pathway can be triggered by antigen-antibody complexes; the alternative pathway can be triggered by binding specific complement proteins binding to the bacterial surface and finally the lectin pathway can be triggered by mannose binding protein binding the bacterial surface [76]. The lag time to platelet aggregation in response to S. aureus (mediated by ClfA or ClfB) is 2-4 mins and required the binding of fibrinogen and IgG to their respective receptors on platelets in order to trigger full activation and aggregation [32]. Subsequent studies on these interactions discovered that by deleting the fibrinogen binding domain on S. aureus ClfA (ClfA-PY) or ClfB (Q235A) it significantly extended the lag time to aggregation (12-15 mins) suggestive of a second much slower interaction [36, 72]. Heating plasma to destroy proteins abolished platelet activation and aggregation by both ClfA-PY and ClfB-Q235A. Further studies using zymosan, which removes complement proteins from plasma, also inhibited platelet activation and aggregation by both ClfA-PY and ClfB-Q235A. These studies suggested that complement played a key role in the second much slower interaction that resulted in platelet activation/aggregation. The receptor on platelets that binds bacteria coated complement proteins has not been identified yet.

The lag time to platelet aggregation varies with different strains of S. sanguinis [77], for example S. sanguinis can induce platelet aggregation with a lag time of 2-4 minutes or 12-15 minutes. The long lag time is indicative of the time taken for complement assembly [38]. Inactivation of complement by cobra venom or heat treatment abolished aggregation [38]. It is not known precisely how complement activation triggers platelet activation but it is possible that there is a threshold of bacterial-platelet interactions (capable of inducing strong or weak signals) which must be surpassed before triggering platelet aggregation however this remains to be investigated.

3.5. Toll like receptor 2

3.5.1. Direct interaction with TLR2

Streptococcus pneumoniae is a major pathogen usually found colonising the upper respiratory tract and nasopharynx. Following intense inflammatory response of the lungs the bacteria can gain entry to the bloodstream. S. pneumonia has been shown to bind induce platelet aggregation and dense granule secretion [67]. Preincubation of platelets with an inhibitory TLR2 antibody abolished platelet aggregation and dense granule secretion induced by S. pneumoniae. Both aggregation and dense granule secretion was dependent on FcyRIIa however antibody was not required for either to take place, further suggesting that this receptor plays a role in signal amplification possibly through recruitment of adapter proteins to initiate signaling. Interestingly stimulation of TLR2 by S. pneumoniae resulted in the activation of the PI3 kinase pathway [67]. PI3-kinase is typically a negative regulator of TLR2-dependent responses in several immune cells. However, in platelets many studies demonstrate that PI3-kinase acts as a positive regulator in various platelet functions such as adhesion, aggregation and spreading [78]. Therefore it appears that PI3 kinase is a positive regulator of S. pneumoniae-induced platelet aggregation. Oral bacteria, Porphyromonas gingivalis induced platelet-neutrophil aggregates in a TLR2 dependent manner. This response was significantly reduced in TLR2 deficient mice, highlighting the importance of this receptor in the platelet recognition of P. gingivalis [79]. Consistent with previous observations the authors also demonstrated that PI3 kinase was critically important in the signalling response mediated downstream of TLR2.

4. Secreted products

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is shed from the cell wall of gram negative bacteria into the local milieu and interacts with Toll-like receptors (TLR) on immune cells [80]. *Escherichia coli* LPS was shown to bind to and mediate activation of platelets in a TLR4-dependent manner [81] and to enhance platelet secretion of cytokines [82]. Chicken thymocytes were also shown to express TLR4 receptor and to become activated by LPS [83]. There seems to be variation in the ability of different types of LPS to bind to platelets and LPS from *E. coli* O157 appears to be the most potent [81]. Although LPS was found to bind to TLR4 on platelets, there is little evidence to suggest that binding leads to platelet activation. LPS bound platelets had increased affinity for neutrophils and only LPS-treated platelets were capable of inducing neutrophil activation [84]. LPS injected into wildtype mice induced thrombocytopenia that was neutrophil-dependent [13]. Thus, current understanding is that LPS binds to platelet TLR4 but does not generate an activation signal, at least not one than leads to platelet aggregation. However, LPS appears to prime the platelets allowing them to bind to and activate neutrophils.

In contrast Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) is secreted by Gram-positive bacteria. LTA binds to platelets and inhibits platelet aggregation by collagen [85]. LTA also supports platelet adhesion to *S. epidermidis* [86]. Some studies suggested that the anti-platelet effect of LTA was due to conformational changes in the membrane [87] and an increase in cAMP levels [88].

In a manner similar to thrombin activation of the Protease Activated Receptors on the platelet surface, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* secretes gingipains which are proteases that can directly activate platelets [39, 89]. Shiga-like toxin (verotoxin) is secreted by *E. coli* and triggers platelet aggregation [90] by binding to glycosphingolipid receptors on the platelet surface [41]. α -toxin is a pore forming toxin produced by *S. aureus* which is responsible for haemolysis. It also leads to platelet activation [91] leading to the assembly of the pro-thrombinase complex on the platelet surface [92].

5. Animal studies versus clinically relevant models of infection

There are many reports in the literature investigating the interaction between bacteria and platelets *in vivo*. Translating animal studies to human disease is becoming increasingly difficult, especially with the identification that Fc γ RIIA is a key receptor involved in signal amplification in human platelets [69]. Fc γ RIIa is unique to higher primates and therefore calls into question the validity of using mouse, rat or rabbit animal models for studying platelet-bacterial interactions [93], as they do not express Fc γ RIIa. Although mouse, rat and rabbit platelets do aggregate in response to bacteria in vivo, the mechanism through which they signal must be different. Transgenic mice expressing human Fc γ RIIa are available commercially which can overcome this problem.

One potential possibility to overcome this problem is to develop a more clinically relevant model of infection using physiological conditions with human platelets. Using a parallel flow chamber with human platelets and shear conditions experienced under human physiological conditions a number of key interactions were observed. Under fluid shear conditions, human platelets rolled on immobilised S. sanguinis and S. gordonii at low shear rates (50s-1) followed by firm adhesion [42, 94]. This rolling behaviour was similar to the interaction between platelet GPIb α and vWf. Of key interest is that platelet rolling on vWf occurs under high shear conditions (>1000s-1) where the platelet rolling on bacteria occurs under low shear conditions (50s-1). Deletion of cell wall proteins S. sanguinis SrpA or S. gordonii Hsa abolished the rolling behaviour suggesting that these proteins must be in a conformation for direct interaction with platelet GPIb α under low shear conditions [42, 94]. Platelets rolled, adhered and formed micro thrombi on immobilised S. oralis at shear rates of 50s-1 to 800s-1. This interaction was also dependent on GPIb α as determined by anti-GPIb α antibodies and blood from a Bernard Soulier patient. Aggregate formation was dependent on S. oralis binding IgG, which cross-links to platelet FcγRIIa. This interaction also led to phosphorylation of the ITAM domain on FcγRIIa, resulting in dense granule secretion and amplification through the ADP receptor [68].

S. pyogenes interacts with platelets under high shear conditions. The major cell wall component M-protein was found to bind IgG and fibrinogen which cross link to FcγRIIa and GPIIbIIIa respectively to trigger thrombus formation [95]. *S. aureus* also triggered thrombus formation in the presence of human whole blood under high shear conditions (800s-1). These studies identified that deletion of major cell wall protein ClfA but not other cell wall proteins (FnBP's, SpA or SdrC) completely abolished thrombus formation. Thrombus formation was dependent

on fibrinogen and IgG binding to platelets in order to trigger thrombus formation. Subsequent studies demonstrated that S. aureus ClfA bound IgG and fibrinogen crosslinked to Fc γ RIIa and GPIIbIIIa respectively thus triggering thrombus formation. Of particular interest is that S. aureus failed to interact with platelets under low shear [66].

6. Conclusion

Although the field of platelet bacterial interactions is in its infancy, significant advances have been made in identifying some of the molecular mechanisms. Through learning about these interactions it has provided strong evidence that platelets may indeed be acting as primitive immune cells. However a lot more research is required to gain a better understanding of the exact role platelets play in in the process. For example, by adhering, aggregating, spreading or forming a thrombus on the bacteria are the platelets trying to restrict spread of infection and then by releasing their granular contents orchestrate or control the immune response to the infection by recruiting defined numbers of leukocytes. Alternatively is it a clever move by the bacteria who coat themselves in non-professional immune cells (platelets) and therefore rendering themselves safe from attack from professional immune cells (leukocytes) and antibiotics, which cannot penetrate the platelet encapsulation to kill the bacteria thus allowing them to grow and divide in a safe environment.

Author details

Steven W. Kerrigan

School of Pharmacy & Molecular and Cellular Therapeutics, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland

References

- [1] Ruggeri, Z. M. (2009) Platelet adhesion under flow, Microcirculation 16, 58-83.
- [2] Levaditi, C. (1901) Et des organism vaccines contre le vibron cholerique, *Ann Inst Pasteur 15*, 894-924.
- [3] Dudgeon LS, G. H. (1931) The examination of the tissues and some observations on the blood platelets of rabbits at intervals of five minutes and later after intraveneous inoculations of Staphylococcus aureus and India ink, *J. Hyg (camb)* 31.
- [4] Clawson, C. C., and White, J. G. (1971) Platelet interaction with bacteria. I. Reaction phases and effects of inhibitors, *The American journal of pathology* 65, 367-380.

- [5] Clawson, C. C., and White, J. G. (1971) Platelet interaction with bacteria. II. Fate of the bacteria, *The American journal of pathology 65, 381-397*.
- [6] Clawson, C. C. (1973) Platelet interaction with bacteria. 3. Ultrastructure, The American journal of pathology 70, 449-471.
- [7] Clawson, C. C., Rao, G. H., and White, J. G. (1975) Platelet interaction with bacteria. IV. Stimulation of the release reaction, *The American journal of pathology 81*, 411-420.
- [8] Cognasse, F., Hamzeh, H., Chavarin, P., Acquart, S., Genin, C., and Garraud, O. (2005) Evidence of Toll-like receptor molecules on human platelets, Immunology and cell biology 83, 196-198.
- [9] Shiraki, R., Inoue, N., Kawasaki, S., Takei, A., Kadotani, M., Ohnishi, Y., Ejiri, J., Kobayashi, S., Hirata, K., Kawashima, S., and Yokoyama, M. (2004) Expression of Tolllike receptors on human platelets, *Thrombosis research* 113, 379-385.
- [10] Aslam, R., Speck, E. R., Kim, M., Crow, A. R., Bang, K. W., Nestel, F. P., Ni, H., Lazarus, A. H., Freedman, J., and Semple, J. W. (2006) Platelet Toll-like receptor expression modulates lipopolysaccharide-induced thrombocytopenia and tumor necrosis factor-alpha production in vivo, Blood 107, 637-641.
- [11] Zhang, G., Han, J., Welch, E. J., Ye, R. D., Voyno-Yasenetskaya, T. A., Malik, A. B., Du, X., and Li, Z. (2009) Lipopolysaccharide stimulates platelet secretion and potentiates platelet aggregation via TLR4/MyD88 and the cGMP-dependent protein kinase pathway, Journal of immunology 182, 7997-8004.
- [12] Garraud, O., and Cognasse, F. (2010) Platelet Toll-like receptor expression: the link between "danger" ligands and inflammation, Inflammation & allergy drug targets 9, 322-333.
- [13] Andonegui, G., Kerfoot, S. M., McNagny, K., Ebbert, K. V., Patel, K. D., and Kubes, P. (2005) Platelets express functional Toll-like receptor-4, Blood 106, 2417-2423.
- [14] von Hundelshausen, P., and Weber, C. (2007) Platelets as immune cells: bridging inflammation and cardiovascular disease, Circulation research 100, 27-40.
- [15] Diacovo, T. G., Puri, K. D., Warnock, R. A., Springer, T. A., and von Andrian, U. H. (1996) Platelet-mediated lymphocyte delivery to high endothelial venules, Science 273, 252-255.
- [16] Diacovo, T. G., Roth, S. J., Buccola, J. M., Bainton, D. F., and Springer, T. A. (1996) Neutrophil rolling, arrest, and transmigration across activated, surface-adherent platelets via sequential action of P-selectin and the beta 2-integrin CD11b/CD18, Blood 88, 146-157.
- [17] Larsen, E., Celi, A., Gilbert, G. E., Furie, B. C., Erban, J. K., Bonfanti, R., Wagner, D. D., and Furie, B. (1989) PADGEM protein: a receptor that mediates the interaction of activated platelets with neutrophils and monocytes, Cell 59, 305-312.

- [18] Herd, C. M., and Page, C. P. (1994) Pulmonary immune cells in health and disease: platelets, *Eur Respir J 7*, 1145-1160.
- [19] Mannaioni, P. F., Di Bello, M. G., and Masini, E. (1997) Platelets and inflammation: role of platelet-derived growth factor, adhesion molecules and histamine, *Inflamm Res* 46, 4-18.
- [20] Brindley, L. L., Sweet, J. M., and Goetzl, E. J. (1983) Stimulation of histamine release from human basophils by human platelet factor 4, *J Clin Invest* 72, 1218-1223.
- [21] Frigas, E., and Gleich, G. J. (1986) The eosinophil and the pathophysiology of asthma, *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 77, 527-537.
- [22] Deuel, T. F., Senior, R. M., Huang, J. S., and Griffin, G. L. (1982) Chemotaxis of monocytes and neutrophils to platelet-derived growth factor, *J Clin Invest* 69, 1046-1049.
- [23] Tzeng, D. Y., Deuel, T. F., Huang, J. S., and Baehner, R. L. (1985) Platelet-derived growth factor promotes human peripheral monocyte activation, *Blood 66*, 179-183.
- [24] Wahl, S. M., Hunt, D. A., Wakefield, L. M., McCartney-Francis, N., Wahl, L. M., Roberts, A. B., and Sporn, M. B. (1987) Transforming growth factor type beta induces monocyte chemotaxis and growth factor production, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 84*, 5788-5792.
- [25] Johnson, F. B., and Donaldson, D. M. (1968) Purification of staphylocidal beta-lysin from rabbit serum, *J Bacteriol* 96, 589-595.
- [26] Donaldson, D. M., and Tew, J. G. (1977) beta-Lysin of platelet origin, *Bacteriol Rev* 41, 501-513.
- [27] Kameyoshi, Y., Dorschner, A., Mallet, A. I., Christophers, E., and Schroder, J. M. (1992) Cytokine RANTES released by thrombin-stimulated platelets is a potent attractant for human eosinophils, *J Exp Med 176*, 587-592.
- [28] Yeaman, M. R., Tang, Y. Q., Shen, A. J., Bayer, A. S., and Selsted, M. E. (1997) Purification and in vitro activities of rabbit platelet microbicidal proteins, *Infect Immun* 65, 1023-1031.
- [29] Krijgsveld, J., Zaat, S. A., Meeldijk, J., van Veelen, P. A., Fang, G., Poolman, B., Brandt, E., Ehlert, J. E., Kuijpers, A. J., Engbers, G. H., Feijen, J., and Dankert, J. (2000) Thrombocidins, microbicidal proteins from human blood platelets, are C-terminal deletion products of CXC chemokines, J Biol Chem 275, 20374-20381.
- [30] Kerrigan, S. W., Douglas, I., Wray, A., Heath, J., Byrne, M. F., Fitzgerald, D., and Cox, D. (2002) A role for glycoprotein Ib in Streptococcus sanguis-induced platelet aggregation, *Blood* 100, 509-516.
- [31] Waller, A. K., Sage, T., Kumar, C., Carr, T., Gibbins, J. M., and Clarke, S. R. (2013) Staphylococcus aureus lipoteichoic acid inhibits platelet activation and thrombus formation via the Paf receptor, *The Journal of infectious diseases* 208, 2046-2057.

- [32] O'Brien, L., Kerrigan, S. W., Kaw, G., Hogan, M., Penades, J., Litt, D., Fitzgerald, D. J., Foster, T. J., and Cox, D. (2002) Multiple mechanisms for the activation of human platelet aggregation by Staphylococcus aureus: roles for the clumping factors ClfA and ClfB, the serine-aspartate repeat protein SdrE and protein A, *Molecular microbiology* 44, 1033-1044.
- [33] Fitzgerald, J. R., Loughman, A., Keane, F., Brennan, M., Knobel, M., Higgins, J., Visai, L., Speziale, P., Cox, D., and Foster, T. J. (2006) Fibronectin-binding proteins of Staphylococcus aureus mediate activation of human platelets via fibrinogen and fibronectin bridges to integrin GPIIb/IIIa and IgG binding to the FcgammaRIIa receptor, *Molecular microbiology* 59, 212-230.
- [34] Byrne, M. F., Kerrigan, S. W., Corcoran, P. A., Atherton, J. C., Murray, F. E., Fitzgerald, D. J., and Cox, D. M. (2003) Helicobacter pylori binds von Willebrand factor and interacts with GPIb to induce platelet aggregation, *Gastroenterology* 124, 1846-1854.
- [35] Fitzgerald, J. R., Foster, T. J., and Cox, D. (2006) The interaction of bacterial pathogens with platelets, *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4, 445-457.
- [36] Loughman, A., Fitzgerald, J. R., Brennan, M. P., Higgins, J., Downer, R., Cox, D., and Foster, T. J. (2005) Roles for fibrinogen, immunoglobulin and complement in platelet activation promoted by Staphylococcus aureus clumping factor A, Molecular microbiology 57, 804-818.
- [37] Ford, I., Douglas, C. W., Cox, D., Rees, D. G., Heath, J., and Preston, F. E. (1997) The role of immunoglobulin G and fibrinogen in platelet aggregation by Streptococcus sanguis, *Br J Haematol* 97, 737-746.
- [38] Ford, I., Douglas, C. W., Heath, J., Rees, C., and Preston, F. E. (1996) Evidence for the involvement of complement proteins in platelet aggregation by Streptococcus sanguis NCTC 7863, *British journal of haematology* 94, 729-739.
- [39] Lourbakos, A., Yuan, Y. P., Jenkins, A. L., Travis, J., Andrade-Gordon, P., Santulli, R., Potempa, J., and Pike, R. N. (2001) Activation of protease-activated receptors by gingipains from Porphyromonas gingivalis leads to platelet aggregation: a new trait in microbial pathogenicity, *Blood 97*, 3790-3797.
- [40] Proulx, F., Seidman, E. G., and Karpman, D. (2001) Pathogenesis of Shiga toxin-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome, *Pediatr Res* 50, 163-171.
- [41] Cooling, L. L., Walker, K. E., Gille, T., and Koerner, T. A. (1998) Shiga toxin binds human platelets via globotriaosylceramide (Pk antigen) and a novel platelet glycosphingolipid, *Infect Immun 66*, 4355-4366.
- [42] Kerrigan, S. W., Jakubovics, N. S., Keane, C., Maguire, P., Wynne, K., Jenkinson, H. F., and Cox, D. (2007) Role of Streptococcus gordonii surface proteins SspA/SspB and Hsa in platelet function, *Infection and immunity 75*, 5740-5747.

- [43] Bensing, B. A., Lopez, J. A., and Sullam, P. M. (2004) The Streptococcus gordonii surface proteins GspB and Hsa mediate binding to sialylated carbohydrate epitopes on the platelet membrane glycoprotein Ibalpha, *Infection and immunity* 72, 6528-6537.
- [44] Takamatsu, D., Bensing, B. A., Cheng, H., Jarvis, G. A., Siboo, I. R., Lopez, J. A., Griffiss, J. M., and Sullam, P. M. (2005) Binding of the Streptococcus gordonii surface glycoproteins GspB and Hsa to specific carbohydrate structures on platelet membrane glycoprotein Ibalpha, *Molecular microbiology* 58, 380-392.
- [45] Pyburn, T. M., Bensing, B. A., Xiong, Y. Q., Melancon, B. J., Tomasiak, T. M., Ward, N. J., Yankovskaya, V., Oliver, K. M., Cecchini, G., Sulikowski, G. A., Tyska, M. J., Sullam, P. M., and Iverson, T. M. (2011) A structural model for binding of the serine-rich repeat adhesin GspB to host carbohydrate receptors, *PLoS pathogens* 7, e1002112.
- [46] Siboo, I. R., Chambers, H. F., and Sullam, P. M. (2005) Role of SraP, a Serine-Rich Surface Protein of Staphylococcus aureus, in binding to human platelets, *Infection and immunity* 73, 2273-2280.
- [47] O'Seaghdha, M., van Schooten, C. J., Kerrigan, S. W., Emsley, J., Silverman, G. J., Cox, D., Lenting, P. J., and Foster, T. J. (2006) Staphylococcus aureus protein A binding to von Willebrand factor A1 domain is mediated by conserved IgG binding regions, *The FEBS journal* 273, 4831-4841.
- [48] Corcoran, P. A., Atherton, J. C., Kerrigan, S. W., Wadstrom, T., Murray, F. E., Peek, R. M., Fitzgerald, D. J., Cox, D. M., and Byrne, M. F. (2007) The effect of different strains of Helicobacter pylori on platelet aggregation, *Canadian journal of gastroenterology = Journal canadien de gastroenterologie* 21, 367-370.
- [49] Arciola, C. R., Campoccia, D., Gamberini, S., Donati, M. E., and Montanaro, L. (2004) Presence of fibrinogen-binding adhesin gene in Staphylococcus epidermidis isolates from central venous catheters-associated and orthopaedic implant-associated infections, *Biomaterials* 25, 4825-4829.
- [50] Brennan, M. P., Loughman, A., Devocelle, M., Arasu, S., Chubb, A. J., Foster, T. J., and Cox, D. (2009) Elucidating the role of Staphylococcus epidermidis serine-aspartate repeat protein G in platelet activation, *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis : JTH* 7, 1364-1372.
- [51] Miajlovic, H., Zapotoczna, M., Geoghegan, J. A., Kerrigan, S. W., Speziale, P., and Foster, T. J. (2010) Direct interaction of iron-regulated surface determinant IsdB of Staphylococcus aureus with the GPIIb/IIIa receptor on platelets, *Microbiology* 156, 920-928.
- [52] Petersen, H. J., Keane, C., Jenkinson, H. F., Vickerman, M. M., Jesionowski, A., Waterhouse, J. C., Cox, D., and Kerrigan, S. W. (2010) Human platelets recognize a novel surface protein, PadA, on Streptococcus gordonii through a unique interaction involving fibrinogen receptor GPIIbIIIa, *Infection and immunity* 78, 413-422.

- [53] Keane, C., Petersen, H., Reynolds, K., Newman, D. K., Cox, D., Jenkinson, H. F., Newman, P. J., and Kerrigan, S. W. (2010) Mechanism of outside-in {alpha}IIb{beta}3mediated activation of human platelets by the colonizing Bacterium, Streptococcus gordonii, Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology 30, 2408-2415.
- [54] Keane, C., Petersen, H. J., Tilley, D. O., Haworth, J., Cox, D., Jenkinson, H. F., and Kerrigan, S. W. (2013) Multiple sites on Streptococcus gordonii surface protein PadA bind to platelet GPIIbIIIa, Thrombosis and haemostasis 110, 1278-1287.
- [55] McDevitt, D., Francois, P., Vaudaux, P., and Foster, T. J. (1995) Identification of the ligand-binding domain of the surface-located fibrinogen receptor (clumping factor) of Staphylococcus aureus, Molecular microbiology 16, 895-907.
- [56] McDevitt, D., Nanavaty, T., House-Pompeo, K., Bell, E., Turner, N., McIntire, L., Foster, T., and Hook, M. (1997) Characterization of the interaction between the Staphylococcus aureus clumping factor (ClfA) and fibrinogen, European journal of biochemistry / FEBS 247, 416-424.
- [57] Walsh, E. J., Miajlovic, H., Gorkun, O. V., and Foster, T. J. (2008) Identification of the Staphylococcus aureus MSCRAMM clumping factor B (ClfB) binding site in the alphaC-domain of human fibrinogen, Microbiology 154, 550-558.
- [58] Wann, E. R., Gurusiddappa, S., and Hook, M. (2000) The fibronectin-binding MSCRAMM FnbpA of Staphylococcus aureus is a bifunctional protein that also binds to fibrinogen, The Journal of biological chemistry 275, 13863-13871.
- [59] Davis, S. L., Gurusiddappa, S., McCrea, K. W., Perkins, S., and Hook, M. (2001) SdrG, a fibrinogen-binding bacterial adhesin of the microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules subfamily from Staphylococcus epidermidis, targets the thrombin cleavage site in the Bbeta chain, The Journal of biological chemistry 276, 27799-27805.
- [60] Hartford, O., O'Brien, L., Schofield, K., Wells, J., and Foster, T. J. (2001) The Fbe (SdrG) protein of Staphylococcus epidermidis HB promotes bacterial adherence to fibrinogen, Microbiology 147, 2545-2552.
- [61] Cunningham, M. W. (2000) Pathogenesis of group A streptococcal infections, Clinical microbiology reviews 13, 470-511.
- [62] Carlsson, F., Sandin, C., and Lindahl, G. (2005) Human fibrinogen bound to Streptococcus pyogenes M protein inhibits complement deposition via the classical pathway, Molecular microbiology 56, 28-39.
- [63] Shannon, O., Hertzen, E., Norrby-Teglund, A., Morgelin, M., Sjobring, U., and Bjorck, L. (2007) Severe streptococcal infection is associated with M protein-induced platelet activation and thrombus formation, *Molecular microbiology* 65, 1147-1157.

- [64] Pietrocola, G., Schubert, A., Visai, L., Torti, M., Fitzgerald, J. R., Foster, T. J., Reinscheid, D. J., and Speziale, P. (2005) FbsA, a fibrinogen-binding protein from Streptococcus agalactiae, mediates platelet aggregation, *Blood* 105, 1052-1059.
- [65] Schubert, A., Zakikhany, K., Schreiner, M., Frank, R., Spellerberg, B., Eikmanns, B. J., and Reinscheid, D. J. (2002) A fibrinogen receptor from group B Streptococcus interacts with fibrinogen by repetitive units with novel ligand binding sites, *Molecular microbiology* 46, 557-569.
- [66] Kerrigan, S. W., Clarke, N., Loughman, A., Meade, G., Foster, T. J., and Cox, D. (2008) Molecular basis for Staphylococcus aureus-mediated platelet aggregate formation under arterial shear in vitro, *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology 28*, 335-340.
- [67] Keane, C., Tilley, D., Cunningham, A., Smolenski, A., Kadioglu, A., Cox, D., Jenkinson, H. F., and Kerrigan, S. W. (2010) Invasive Streptococcus pneumoniae trigger platelet activation via Toll-like receptor 2, *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis*: *JTH 8*, 2757-2765.
- [68] Tilley, D. O., Arman, M., Smolenski, A., Cox, D., O'Donnell, J. S., Douglas, C. W., Watson, S. P., and Kerrigan, S. W. (2013) Glycoprotein Ibalpha and FcgammaRIIa play key roles in platelet activation by the colonizing bacterium, Streptococcus oralis, *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis*: *JTH 11*, 941-950.
- [69] Arman, M., Krauel, K., Tilley, D. O., Weber, C., Cox, D., Greinacher, A., Kerrigan, S. W., and Watson, S. P. (2014) Amplification of bacteria-induced platelet activation is triggered by FcgammaRIIA, integrin alphaIIbbeta3, and platelet factor 4, Blood 123, 3166-3174.
- [70] Svensson, L., Baumgarten, M., Morgelin, M., and Shannon, O. (2014) Platelet Activation by Streptococcus pyogenes Leads to Entrapment in Platelet Aggregates, from Which Bacteria Subsequently Escape, *Infection and immunity* 82, 4307-4314.
- [71] Sullam, P. M., Hyun, W. C., Szollosi, J., Dong, J., Foss, W. M., and Lopez, J. A. (1998) Physical proximity and functional interplay of the glycoprotein Ib-IX-V complex and the Fc receptor FcgammaRIIA on the platelet plasma membrane, *The Journal of biological chemistry* 273, 5331-5336.
- [72] Miajlovic, H., Loughman, A., Brennan, M., Cox, D., and Foster, T. J. (2007) Both complement- and fibrinogen-dependent mechanisms contribute to platelet aggregation mediated by Staphylococcus aureus clumping factor B, *Infection and immunity 75*, 3335-3343.
- [73] Naito, M., Sakai, E., Shi, Y., Ideguchi, H., Shoji, M., Ohara, N., Yamamoto, K., and Nakayama, K. (2006) Porphyromonas gingivalis-induced platelet aggregation in plasma depends on Hgp44 adhesin but not Rgp proteinase, *Molecular microbiology* 59, 152-167.

- [74] Peerschke, E. I., Murphy, T. K., and Ghebrehiwet, B. (2003) Activation-dependent surface expression of gC1qR/p33 on human blood platelets, *Thrombosis and haemostasis* 89, 331-339.
- [75] Nguyen, T., Ghebrehiwet, B., and Peerschke, E. I. (2000) Staphylococcus aureus protein A recognizes platelet gC1qR/p33: a novel mechanism for staphylococcal interactions with platelets, *Infection and immunity 68*, 2061-2068.
- [76] Sarma, J. V., and Ward, P. A. (2011) The complement system, *Cell and tissue research* 343, 227-235.
- [77] Ford, I., Douglas, C. W., Preston, F. E., Lawless, A., and Hampton, K. K. (1993) Mechanisms of platelet aggregation by Streptococcus sanguis, a causative organism in infective endocarditis, *British journal of haematology 84*, 95-100.
- [78] Jackson, S. P., Yap, C. L., and Anderson, K. E. (2004) Phosphoinositide 3-kinases and the regulation of platelet function, *Biochemical Society transactions* 32, 387-392.
- [79] Blair, P., Rex, S., Vitseva, O., Beaulieu, L., Tanriverdi, K., Chakrabarti, S., Hayashi, C., Genco, C. A., Iafrati, M., and Freedman, J. E. (2009) Stimulation of Toll-like receptor 2 in human platelets induces a thromboinflammatory response through activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase, *Circulation research* 104, 346-354.
- [80] Beutler, B., Hoebe, K., Du, X., and Ulevitch, R. J. (2003) How we detect microbes and respond to them: the Toll-like receptors and their transducers, *J Leukoc Biol* 74, 479-485.
- [81] Stahl, A. L., Svensson, M., Morgelin, M., Svanborg, C., Tarr, P. I., Mooney, J. C., Watkins, S. L., Johnson, R., and Karpman, D. (2006) Lipopolysaccharide from enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli binds to platelets through TLR4 and CD62 and is detected on circulating platelets in patients with hemolytic uremic syndrome, *Blood 108*, 167-176.
- [82] Cognasse, F., Hamzeh-Cognasse, H., Lafarge, S., Delezay, O., Pozzetto, B., McNicol, A., and Garraud, O. (2008) Toll-like receptor 4 ligand can differentially modulate the release of cytokines by human platelets, *Br J Haematol* 141, 84-91.
- [83] Scott, T., and Owens, M. D. (2008) Thrombocytes respond to lipopolysaccharide through Toll-like receptor-4, and MAP kinase and NF-kappaB pathways leading to expression of interleukin-6 and cyclooxygenase-2 with production of prostaglandin E2, *Mol Immunol* 45, 1001-1008.
- [84] Clark, S. R., Ma, A. C., Tavener, S. A., McDonald, B., Goodarzi, Z., Kelly, M. M., Patel, K. D., Chakrabarti, S., McAvoy, E., Sinclair, G. D., Keys, E. M., Allen-Vercoe, E., Devinney, R., Doig, C. J., Green, F. H., and Kubes, P. (2007) Platelet TLR4 activates neutrophil extracellular traps to ensnare bacteria in septic blood, *Nat Med* 13, 463-469.
- [85] Beachey, E. H., Chiang, T. M., Ofek, I., and Kang, A. H. (1977) Interaction of lipoteichoic acid of group A streptococci with human platelets, *Infect Immun* 16, 649-654.

- [86] Chugh, T. D., Burns, G. J., Shuhaiber, H. J., and Bahr, G. M. (1990) Adherence of Staphylococcus epidermidis to fibrin-platelet clots in vitro mediated by lipoteichoic acid, *Infect Immun* 58, 315-319.
- [87] Sheu, J. R., Lee, C. R., Lin, C. H., Hsiao, G., Ko, W. C., Chen, Y. C., and Yen, M. H. (2000) Mechanisms involved in the antiplatelet activity of Staphylococcus aureus lipoteichoic acid in human platelets, *Thromb Haemost 83*, 777-784.
- [88] Sheu, J. R., Hsiao, G., Lee, C., Chang, W., Lee, L. W., Su, C. H., and Lin, C. H. (2000) Antiplatelet activity of Staphylococcus aureus lipoteichoic acid is mediated through a cyclic AMP pathway, *Thromb Res* 99, 249-258.
- [89] Lourbakos, A., Potempa, J., Travis, J., D'Andrea, M. R., Andrade-Gordon, P., Santulli, R., Mackie, E. J., and Pike, R. N. (2001) Arginine-specific protease from Porphyromonas gingivalis activates protease-activated receptors on human oral epithelial cells and induces interleukin-6 secretion, *Infect Immun* 69, 5121-5130.
- [90] Rose, P. E., Armour, J. A., Williams, C. E., and Hill, F. G. (1985) Verotoxin and neuraminidase induced platelet aggregating activity in plasma: their possible role in the pathogenesis of the haemolytic uraemic syndrome, *J Clin Pathol 38*, 438-441.
- [91] Bhakdi, S., Muhly, M., Mannhardt, U., Hugo, F., Klapettek, K., Mueller-Eckhardt, C., and Roka, L. (1988) Staphylococcal alpha toxin promotes blood coagulation via attack on human platelets, *J Exp Med 168*, 527-542.
- [92] Arvand, M., Bhakdi, S., Dahlback, B., and Preissner, K. T. (1990) Staphylococcus aureus alpha-toxin attack on human platelets promotes assembly of the prothrombinase complex, *J Biol Chem* 265, 14377-14381.
- [93] Ramsland, P. A., Farrugia, W., Bradford, T. M., Sardjono, C. T., Esparon, S., Trist, H. M., Powell, M. S., Tan, P. S., Cendron, A. C., Wines, B. D., Scott, A. M., and Hogarth, P. M. (2011) Structural basis for Fc gammaRIIa recognition of human IgG and formation of inflammatory signaling complexes, *Journal of immunology* 187, 3208-3217.
- [94] Plummer, C., Wu, H., Kerrigan, S. W., Meade, G., Cox, D., and Ian Douglas, C. W. (2005) A serine-rich glycoprotein of Streptococcus sanguis mediates adhesion to platelets via GPIb, British journal of haematology 129, 101-109.
- [95] Sjobring, U., Ringdahl, U., and Ruggeri, Z. M. (2002) Induction of platelet thrombi by bacteria and antibodies, Blood 100, 4470-4477.