We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

186,000

200M

Download

154
Countries delivered to

Our authors are among the

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Immunomodulation

Konstantinos Arnaoutakis, Dorothy A. Graves, Laura F. Hutchins and Thomas Kieber-Emmons

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/53667

1. Introduction

Immune treatment for melanoma has roots in clinical observations surrounding regression of normal nevi, the appearance of halo nevi, the correlation of vitiligo with outcome, the occurrence of spontaneous regression of primary melanomas, nodal metastases of unknown primary lesions and the occurrence of metastases many years after resection of a primary lesion. Coupling these observations with the observations on the power of the immune system to reject transplanted organs and control leukemia after allogeneic bone marrow transplant, the possibility of harnessing and directing this power has been a source of both excitement and disappointment.

In examining the natural history of normal nevi, it is noted that they undergo a life cycle in which growth occurs during childhood in the border of the epidermis and dermis (junctional nevi). With increasing age the melanocytes move deeper into the dermis. As adult life continues they regress in old age. It is not evident that this type of regression has an immune basis.

Another type of regression called halo nevi is more definitively tied to the immune system [1]. Akasu, et. al have described halo nevi regression in four stages characterized initially by pan-T lymphocytes in stage one and the addition of KP-1 positive cells as well as FX IIIa-positive cells in stage two. Stage three continues with increased numbers of FX IIIa-positive cells and the addition of Langerhans cells. Finally upon complete regression in stage four there is a moderate mononuclear infiltrate comprised predominantly of T cells [2]. The role of natural killer (NK) cells has been studied in normal and malignant melanocytic lesions. The highest concentration of NK cells was seen in regressing malignant lesions followed by regressing normal nevi [3]. This type of "spontaneous" regression is observed in other be-



nign skin lesions such as keratoachanthomas, and the pathologic studies give insight into the possibility of stimulating similar immune action against malignancies [1, 2].

The autoimmune condition of vitiligo has been associated with regression of metastases as well as better outcomes associated with immunotherapy. Vitiligo is mediated through auto-antibodies. Antibodies against tyrosinase have been observed in patients with melanoma as well as vitiligo not associated with melanoma. Other autoimmune effects are well documented with immunotherapeutic treatments, indicating the ability of the therapy to break tolerance to self-antigens [4-7].

Spontaneous regression is observed in primary melanoma [8, 9]. Statistics vary on the incidence but may be as high as 20%, especially if cases of unknown primary are included. When remnants of primary lesions are found, they frequently are partially regressed and show histologic evidence of infiltration by lymphocytes. Although some have observed a worse clinical outcome with partially regressed primary lesions, patients with nodal metastases and unknown primaries tend to have a better outcome. The latter observation is attributed to improved immune surveillance compared to patients with intact primaries [10-14]. Regression of metastases is less common and has anecdotally been tied to infections or surgeries. Regression of metastases seems to predict a better overall outcome [15, 16].

The issue of late metastases from primary melanoma is well documented but much harder to explain. Issues within the tumor microenvironment remain incompletely explored, but high on the list of explanations is the possibility that the immune system is able to control proliferation until some as yet undocumented effect allows escape [17, 18].

Early studies with nonspecific therapies described below produced enough positive results to keep interest in melanoma immunotherapy alive. However, progress in the clinic has been slow until very recently. Many issues have presented challenges to progress. Among them is an incomplete understanding of the normal immune system, including immune tolerance and the effects of the tumor microenvironment on the immune response. Drug development required technology to produce biologic agents, and that capability has only recently been perfected. Issues of study design also need to be kept in mind. Subject selection can be difficult since these studies require immune competence but disease advanced enough to answer the question in a reasonable time frame with a reasonable number of subjects.

2. Biomarkers and endpoints

Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints are tools to obtain information about disease status or response to interventions. The mainstay of efficacy determination in cancer therapeutic clinical trials has been the regression of known tumor masses listed as complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD) or progressive disease (PD) along with various survival endpoints: overall survival (OS), disease free survival (DFS) and progression free survival (PFS). Each of these endpoints is defined by the study. The response criteria have been

standardized by various systems. The World Health Organization (WHO) developed the most commonly used system called response criteria for solid tumors (RECIST) [19]. Recent developments in assessing response during trials utilizing the drug, ipilimumab, have served to highlight differences in direct cytotoxic chemotherapy responses and those seen with immunotherapy. The responses in tumor measurement seen in the ipilimumab trials did not correspond to the survival endpoints. Subjects had prolonged survival with delayed or no tumor measurement changes. These observations have led to a new system for assessing immune based therapies called immune-related response criteria (irRC) [20].

Biomarkers can serve to assess efficacy, but it is difficult to find results that consistently correlate with clinical response. Some assays can serve as immunologic endpoints in addition to or instead of tumor regression, PFS and OS in clinical studies. The most frequent measurements include: antibody titers, delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) skin tests, enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), enzyme-linked immunospot assay (ELISPOT), tetramer markers of antigen specific T cells and other assays indicating that the therapy induced a response toward its target [21-25].

All of these issues should be considered in assessing the past studies and in the planning of future studies. As we will discuss, progress has been made and enthusiasm is at an all-time high.

2.1. Patient selection

Predicting response to treatment is probably the best way to increase benefit from a variety of different immunotherapy agents that are currently approved or under investigation for the treatment of early stage (as adjuvant therapy) or advanced melanoma. Identifying patients that are likely to respond to these agents would spare patients unnecessary toxicities and encourage more research in the field.

Response to treatment may be determined by clinical characteristics or by the presence or level of biomarkers in the serum or tissue that predict a robust response to immunotherapy agents. Clinical characteristics such as ulcerated lesions appear to get more benefit from interferon (IFN) in the adjuvant setting [26]. In addition, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, the number of involved organs and sites of metastases appear to be treatment factors predicting response to interleukin 2 (IL-2) in some studies [27].

The appearance of serum autoantibodies or clinical manifestations of autoimmunity during treatment with IFN α -2b was associated with improved outcomes in patients with melanoma [28]. In addition, multiplexed analysis of serum cytokines appeared to be potentially useful as a predictive marker of response to IFN α -2b in patients with high-risk operable melanoma [29]. A serum proteomic analysis has been found to possibly predict response to IL-2 treatment [30]. In this study, high pretreatment serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and fibronectin levels were predictive of resistance to treatment. A marker of potential interest in ipilimumab therapy appears to be the absolute lymphocyte count [31]. Absolute lymphocyte counts that exceeded 1 x 109/L at the time of the third ipilimumab dose were associated with a survival benefit at one year. Immune responses to NY-ESO-1, a cancer-testis antigen, also appear to correlate with clinical benefit from ipilimumab [32].

Gene signatures within the tumor have also shown some correlation with clinical benefit both for IL-2 and vaccination [33, 34]. Little is known about some of the newer drugs such as the CTLA-4 antagonists (ipilimumab) or other checkpoint inhibitors. It has been suggested that the presence of PD-L1 expression detected by immunohistochemistry may predict response to PD-1 antibody therapy [35].

Undoubtedly, utilizing serum and tissue biomarkers for response to treatment is much more challenging for immunotherapy than in the field of molecular targeted therapies. For example V600E BRAF mutations predict treatment response in patients who receive vemurafenib, a BRAF inhibitor. In melanoma immunotherapy, however, no serum or tissue biomarkers have yet been prospectively studied in the context of a clinical trial.

3. Immunoregulatory barriers, immune tolerance and tumor microenvironment

The immune system is designed to protect our bodies from foreign agents. This protection is selective, such that host tissues are recognized as self and preserved (termed immunologic tolerance), while other agents are recognized as foreign and targeted for killing. Cancer cells, however, present the immune system with a unique challenge. While some, such as virally transformed cells, express foreign viral proteins on their surface, most tumors express normal proteins and carbohydrates. Efforts to understand how tumors survive immunosurveillance versus how and when they are targeted for killing have preoccupied scientists for well over half a century. This section explores what we currently know about the complex interplay between the immune system and cancer cells as it relates to immunotherapy.

We know now that tumor cells are immunogenic but efficacy is limited due to the lack of robustness of the response. There are two primary reasons for this: 1) due to the nature of the immunogens (self antigens) and 2) the active role-played by tumors to suppress the response. The mammalian anti-tumor response engages both the humoral and cell-mediated arms of the immune system through both specific (adaptive) and non-specific (innate) effectors. While cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), NK cells and T helper (TH) cells are viewed as the most significant players in the anti-tumor response, they are not alone [36]. Antigen presenting cells (APCs - macrophages and dendritic cells) are absolutely essential to stimulate a variety of anti-tumor responses across tumor types, and anti-tumor antibodies are often easily found in patients with melanoma and many other solid tumors, indicating a strong humoral response following stimulation by antigen specific TH cells [37]. There is accumulating evidence that the CD4+ T cell population is far more involved in the anti-tumor response than previously thought [38, 39]. When APCs present antigen to TH cells in the context of a major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecule on their surface, TH cells become activated and can stimulate B cells to result

in the proliferation of antibody-producing plasma cells and CTLs to result in direct killing of the tumor cells. NK cells and macrophages can also directly kill tumor cells alone or with the help of antibodies or complement.

3.1. Melanoma antigenicity

The goal of cancer immunotherapy is to provoke the immune system to generate a tumor cell rejection strength response and to prevent recurrence of cancer by establishing longterm effector cell memory. In order for the immune system to mount an attack against melanoma, it must first recognize the involved tumor cells as foreign or in need of clearing (a danger signal); it can then target them for killing. Tumor cells, like all cells, display a variety of proteins on their cell surface, and when antigen is presented in the context of MHC, the cell may be recognized by the T cell receptor (TCR) on an effector T lymphocyte. Tumor cells in general and melanoma tissues specifically, are antigenically diverse, and their ability to survive correlates with the ability of the tumor antigens to avoid detection by the immune system [40, 41]. Highly antigenic tumor cells are killed off rather quickly, due to the immune system's ability to recognize the tumor cells and mount an effective immune response, while poorly antigenic tumor cells thrive. Tumor specific transplantation antigens (TSTAs) generally convey strong immunogenicity. These are antigens expressed on the surface of tumor cells that are specific to that tumor or type of tumor. However, the majority of antigens associated with melanoma cells are tumor associated transplantation antigens, or TATAs. TA-TAs are antigens that are associated with tumor cells, but not unique to tumor cells. TATAs are far better at preserving a tumor cell under the radar of the immune system, because these antigens are not danger signals.

Within the tumor microenvironment, tolerance may be naturally overcome by antigen expression levels or the timing of antigen expression. Melanomas overexpress many antigens that are present in normal melanocytes but at lower levels, and expression of these antigens suggest a progression of differentiation from normal melanocytes to melanomas. For example, a melanoma expressing a mutant triosephosphate isomerase protein was discovered to bind MHC class II at five times greater affinity than the wild type oligopeptide, resulting in both a significant increase in surface expression and an increase in immunogenicity [42]. Some melanoma cells overexpress the transferrin receptor by a factor of 100 [43]. Some human melanomas overexpress the gangliosides relative to levels seen in normal melanocytes, illustrating that overexpression of carbohydrates can attract the attention of the immune system, similar to protein antigens [44].

Much of melanoma's antigenicity comes from the more than 100 identified melanoma TA-TAs. Melan-A/MART-1, gp100 and tyrosinase are well studied differentiation antigens expressed in both primary and metastatic melanoma [45-53].

Melanoma cells may also express oncofetal antigens which are normally displayed during embryogenesis but only expressed in select tissues, if at all, in adults. These include the cancer germ-line/cancer-testis (CT) antigens. MAGE-A family members and NY-ESO-1 are the most significant members of this group to date, and expression of MAGE-A1 and MAGE-A4 increases with tumor progression [47, 54, 55]. NY-ESO-1 is only expressed in adults in testis and placenta tissue, however, it is expressed in up to 40% of late stage melanomas and is highly immunogenic [56]. MAGE-6 is expressed in more than 70% of metastatic melanomas [57].

Identification of melanoma TATAs is crucial as a key strategy for immunotherapy. Administration of vaccines that deliver TATAs can push the immune system into overcoming tolerance. T cells specific for TATAs have been identified in melanoma patients, and spontaneously occurring circulating T cells reactive to Melan-A and NY-ESO-1 were recently found to be predictive of better survival [58]. A recent study reported an analysis of the human leukocyte antigen 1 (HLA-I) peptidomes from melanomas in four patients, and while finding that melanoma antigenicity was highly variable, the investigators also found that the peptidomes were highly immunogenic, identifying new potential peptides for melanoma vaccines [41].

3.2. Immunoevasion strategies

Tumor cells increase their odds of survival by lowering their immunological profiles. TH cells, CTLs and antibodies specific for TATAs are readily detectable in the blood, lymph nodes and tumors of cancer patients. Despite tolerance, the immune system can mount an immune response to these antigens, but tumors and/or tumor cells may persist, so all the efforts of the immune system are not enough to clear tumor cells. The immunoevasion strategies utilized by melanomas are impressive and generally include down-regulation of TATAs on the tumor cell surface, secretion of immunosuppressive cytokines that affect APCs and shedding of material that promotes the stimulation of the inhibitory regulatory T cells (Tregs). Together, these strategies create a toleragenic tumor microenvironment that is both adaptive to immune pressures and predictive of clinical outcomes.

Many tumor cells stop displaying TATAs or TSTAs on their surface to escape immune recognition [59]. Expression of MART-1, gp100 and tyrosinase generally decreases as melanoma progresses [60]. Following immunization with gp100 or MART-1 peptides, melanoma metastases lost expression of the corresponding TATA, suggesting that TATAs can be downregulated in direct response to a specific CTL anti-tumor response [45]. This strategy is specifically adaptive to removing known CTL targets from the tumor population and selects for proliferation of tumor cells that do not bear antigens yet targeted by the CTL response.

Tumor cells may additionally repress expression of MHC class I proteins by repressing MHC I gene expression or posttranslational modifications [59]. In fact, many human tumors demonstrate a decreased expression of MHC I, and the loss of MHC I expression is often associated with more invasive and metastatic tumors [61, 62]. In melanoma, MHC I expression correlates with disease progression, and the lack of HLA I expression and lack of response to T cell based immunotherapy may be linked to acquired β2-microglobulin gene defects [63-65]. C-myc oncogene overexpression in melanoma also correlates with HLA I downregulation [66]. The one caveat of this strategy, however, is that a total lack of MHC expression invites attack by NK cells [67]. To circumvent this, tumor cells often only lower MHC I expression, retaining some minimal expression to protect themselves while not alarming the immune system.

Tumors in general create microenvironments with depressed immune activity such that few functional cytotoxic cells are found near the developing tumor. One strategy for this involves the poorly understood regulation of lymphocyte types within the tumor microenvironment. Moderate to large numbers of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) have been associated with improved survival in melanoma patients; however this has not been observed consistently [68, 69]. While most patients with melanoma have TILs, the mere presence of TILs is obviously not sufficient to mount an effective anti-tumor response [70]. Tumor cells are able to attract a particular type of T cell that is immunosuppressive. Tregs can directly inhibit and kill CTLs and TH cells, and they functionally drive the tumor's T helper Type 2 (Th-2) immune environment by producing the immunosuppressive cytokines IL-10 and tumor growth factor beta (TGF-β) while suppressing CTL production of immunostimulatory T helper Type 1 (Th-1) cytokines interferon gamma (IFN γ) and IL-2 [71]. Tregs also negatively regulate effector dendritic cells and NK cells. In melanoma, depletion of Tregs prior to infusion with activated T lymphocytes (adoptive cell therapy) measurably improves response rates [72].

Melanoma cells may also create an immunosuppressed microenvironment through galectin expression [73]. Deregulation of galectins is common in human tumors. Expression of galectin 3 correlates with melanoma metastasis and poorer disease outcomes, perhaps through induction of TIL apoptosis. Galectin 1 may also induce apoptosis of T cells and this may be an important mechanism of tumor evasion for melanoma. Additionally, galectins 1 and 3 convey resistance to apoptosis in tumor cells, though this is less studied in melanoma.

Immunosuppression similar to that found in the tumor microenvironment can also be found in the sentinel lymph node [71, 74]. Tregs are found in higher numbers in metastatic melanoma sentinel lymph nodes, and as in the tumor microenvironment, this appears to be mediated by Th-2 cytokines IL-6, IL-8, IL-10 and TGF-β, among others. This locoregional immunosupporession is thought to be necessary for metastasis and prepares the lymphatic environment for the arrival and survival of metastastic cells [75]. While dendritic cells are detectable in melanoma sentinel lymph nodes, they may be present in lower number and/or contain a higher percentage of immature dendritic cells that lack the costimulatory molecules necessary for effective T cell activation [74, 76].

IL-10 and TGF-β are immunosuppressive cytokines utilized by melanoma to create an immunosuppressive microenvironment and progress disease toward metastasis [77, 78]. Both cytokines can induce T cells to undergo apoptosis; TGF-β can additionally induce apoptosis in dendritic cells and macrophages. Normal melanocytes are subject to TGF-β anti-proliferative regulation, and loss of this phenotype is thought to be a crucial step toward melanoma development [77]. Neutrophils from patients with melanoma constitutively and spontaneously synthesize IL-10 through activation by serum amyloid A 1 (SAA-1) which is enriched in melanoma tissue [79].

There are a variety of other general tumor microenvironment conditions and immunoevasion strategies that melanomas employ to ensure their survival. Hypoxia occurs in solid tumor masses and is well known to create an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment [80]. Tumor cells can also alter the expression of stress proteins that bind NK cells for targeted killing. Melanoma cells predominantly express the MICA and ULBP2 stress proteins, and a correlation has been found between poor prognosis and expression of soluble ULBP2 that is competitive for NK cell binding [81, 82]. Heat shock proteins are well known to promote tumor growth, invasion and metastasis through a variety of mechanisms [83]. Expression of heat shock proteins 90 and 40 (hsp90 and hsp40) in melanoma tissue correlates with advanced disease and patient survival, in the case of hsp40 [84].

It is important to remember that while immune evasion and creation of an immunsuppressive tumor microenvironment is highly variable among melanomas, the ability of the tumor to effectively create a strong toleragenic microenvironment correlates with clinical outcome. Toleragenic tumor microenvironments are associated with sentinel lymph node involvement and more advanced disease [74]. Efforts to overcome this tolerance and re-capitulate the balance of immune system regulators to a state of anti-tumor effectiveness comprise the field of immunotherapy, and success in this therapeutic approach holds tremendous promise for not only halting tumor progression but for turning back the clock to ultimately result in tumor clearance.

3.3. Immunotherapy strategies

Most immunotherapy efforts strive to activate T cells and specifically CTLs. Therapeutic melanoma vaccines may enhance antigen presentation directly through peptides or DNA. A synthetic peptide vaccine targeted to the melanoma gp100 TATA, for example, has resulted in good objective clinical responses [85]. Vaccines may also rely on the assistance of dendritic cells, a key stimulator of immune cells [86-88]. The goal is to utilize TSTA or TATA antigens to provoke the development and proliferation of cytotoxic cells directed against tumor cells, thereby overcoming tolerance.

Adoptive cell transfer or therapy (ACT) is a passive immunotherapeutic approach in which a patient's antigen-specific cells are expanded and activated *ex vivo* and then reintroduced following radiation or chemotherapy [89]. TILs, autologous T cell clones, donor anti-tumor lymphocytes and genetically engineered lymphocytes have all been used in this strategy. Some encouraging results have been seen in melanoma patients with advanced disease [90]. In three separate trials, autologous TILs provided through ACT and administered with IL-2 to metastatic melanoma patients resulted in up to a 72% objective response rate, and 22% of the 93 subjects had complete tumor regression [91]. ACT employing autologous T cells targeting NY-ESO-1 resulted in objective responses in five of 11 metastatic melanoma patients and two complete regressions at one-year post-procedure [92]. While it is argued that ACT is more effective in metastatic melanoma than ipilimumab (see below), it is practically more complex to administer and less accessible for a majority of patients [90].

Cytokines have shown efficacy in high risk local and metastatic melanoma patients as well. IL-2 and IFN α -2b have been investigated the most. IL-2 alone produces a durable remission in some patients, though it is often associated with significant side effects, and better outcomes may be obtained by combining it with other therapeutic approaches [93]. A pooled analysis of nearly 2,000 stage IIB and III melanoma patients indicated that adjuvant high dose IFN α -2b prolongs relapse free survival in patients [94].

At present, the greatest promise for metastatic melanoma patients lies in immunomodulatory antibody therapy against immunological checkpoints. Immunotherapies that employ this targeting strategy are recent and have yielded some of the most promising clinical responses in decades. Immunological checkpoints are negative regulators of the immune system. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4) is found on naïve T cells and Tregs; upon activation it turns off TCR signaling and serves to stop activation of targeted T cells. Antibodies to CTLA4 prevent this from happening and prolong and intensify T cell activation [36]. Ipilimumab was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2012 for the treatment of metastatic melanoma owing to the overall survival benefit observed in a phase III study that has now resulted in durable responses lasting 8 years and beyond [95]. Although ipilimumab is the only FDA-approved checkpoint inhibitor indicated for treatment of melanoma, there are others in the pipeline [96]. Tremelimumab is another CTLA4 antibody, and there are several programmed death ligand 1 (PD-1) antibodies undergoing clinical development as well [97, 98]. Though both CTLA4 and PD-1 antibodies have demonstrated significant improvement in clinical outcome for metastatic melanoma patients, they are not effective in all patients and cause a new and unique spectrum of side effects termed "immune-related adverse events."

4. Nonspecific immune therapy and adjuvants

The success of anti-tumor and antiviral vaccines often requires the use of an adjuvant, a substance that significantly enhances the immune response to a coadministered antigen. Only a handful of adjuvants have both sufficient potency and acceptable toxicity for clinical investigation. The critical roles of vaccine adjuvants lie in their ability to: (1) enable the use of otherwise impotent antigens; (2) extend the benefits of vaccination to poor responders (e.g., older or immune-compromised patients); and (3) effect dose-sparing of rare and expensive antigens in short supply (e.g., during an epidemic) [99]. Vaccine adjuvants for the most part can be evaluated as such only when they are associated with a vaccine. Early therapies were nonspecific and were thought to produce a general immune response. Many current vaccine trials utilize nonspecific immune stimulants as adjuncts.

4.1. BCG

Adjuvant therapy of melanoma assumes that treatment will be more effective when the tumor burden is small. Mycobacterium bovis bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) is an old vaccine/adjuvant used in countries where tuberculosis is widespread. First used in humans in 1921, BCG is made from a strain of weakened bovine tuberculosis bacterium. The local and systemic effect of BCG has been known for decades and is an immunomodulating agent for melanoma. BCG therapy induces a massive local immune response characterized by the expression of multiple cytokines. A significant correlation between a reduced risk of melanoma and BCG and vaccinia vaccination in early childhood or infectious diseases later in life has already been reported from the FEBrile Infections and Melanoma (FEBIM) multicenter

case-control study [100]. Such observations suggest that BCG can augment immune responses and be used in adjuvant therapy strategies.

Phase II trials indicate that active specific immunotherapy can alter the natural course of American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC] Stage III and IV melanoma following surgical resection of nodal or distant metastases. Initial adjuvant immunotherapy trials demonstrated a greater disease-free interval in patients treated with BCG compared with historical controls [101]. In one study 149 patients at high risk of recurrence after surgical treatment of local or regional malignant melanoma were given BCG for 2 years and were followed up for a median of 28 months from the start of immunotherapy [101]. Studies such as these suggest that improved survival rates following recurrence might be explained by the pattern of recurrence; suggesting local or regional sites might be more responsive to treatment. Mechanistic studies suggest that tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) is induced by BCG treatment. Subsequently, BCG and components of the mycobacterial cell wall can directly stimulate the release of soluble TRAIL through toll-like receptor-2 (TLR2) recognition that is augmented by IFN. Based on the need for a Th-1 cytokine response to BCG therapy for therapeutic results, it might be proposed that cells migrating in response to BCG treatment release TRAIL. In addition, IFN acts to augment and prolong the amount of TRAIL released by effector cells, resulting in an effective therapeutic outcome.

Early trials of BCG-based immunotherapy for melanoma consistently showed a trend toward improved clinical outcomes in patients treated with BCG compared with observation alone (reviewed by [102]). However, mature results of a phase III randomized trial of BCG versus observation and BCG plus dacarbazine versus BCG in the adjuvant therapy of AJCC stage I-III melanoma (E1673: an ECOG trial) ascribes no benefit for BCG for this patient population [103]. As early as 1976 BCG was tested as an immunotherapy systemic adjunct to surgery in malignant melanoma [104]. In 1984 a polyvalent BCG formulation along with Canvaxin began testing in phase II trials as postsurgical adjuvant therapy for stage III melanoma [105], with results from such trials summarized as no apparent benefit from vaccination [106, 107].

Although experiments with animals have demonstrated that BCG mediates anti-tumor activity, most randomized adjuvant clinical trials have failed to show significant benefit to patients with malignant melanoma. This may be because there is no accepted clinical technique for monitoring *in vivo* BCG activity. As a result the optimal route of administration and dose of BCG have not been truly determined as well as the optimal BCG strain. Attempts to improve the efficacy of BCG therapy have been made. One approach introduced the gene encoding the 65 kDa hsp of Mycobacterium tuberculosis into a mouse malignant melanoma cell line (B16) as proof of principle [108]. The 65 kDa hsp was expressed after gene transduction and significantly enhanced the anti-tumor effect of BCG immunotherapy, further indicating that CD4+ T cells play an important role in this anti-tumor effect.

4.2. DETOX

Many adjuvants currently under evaluation for use in cancer vaccines activate relevant APCs, such as dendritic cells and macrophages, via TLRs and promote effective uptake,

processing and presentation of antigen to T-cells in draining lymph nodes. The Gram-negative bacterial cell constituent lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is known to possess strong immunostimulatory properties and has been evaluated as an adjuvant for promoting immune responses to minimally immunogenic antigens, including TATAs. The relatively recent discovery of TLRs and the identification of TLR4, in particular, as the signaling receptor for lipid A have allowed for a better understanding of how this immunostimulant functions with regard to induction of innate and adaptive immune responses. Local TLR stimulation is an attractive approach to induce anti-tumor immunity. Tumor cells respond to TLR ligands with an increase in MHC class I expression and induce IL-6 secretion in vitro. Melanoma cells are typically characterized as having low expression of MHC I. Consequently TLR ligands interacting with melanoma cells might enhance MHC class I expression, along with their targeting by melanoma specific CTLs. Although several lipid A species, including LPS and synthetic analogs, have been developed and tested as monotherapeutics for the treatment of cancer, monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL), a ligand for TLR4 has been evaluated as a cancer vaccine adjuvant in published human clinical trials. MPL comprises the lipid A portion of Salmonella minnesota LPS [109]. LPS and MPL induce similar cytokine profiles, but MPL is at least 100-fold less toxic.

DETOX, an adjuvant consisting of MPL and purified mycobacterial cell-wall skeleton (CWS) is another vaccine potentiating agent. MPL (Corixa Corp., Seattle, Washington, USA) adjuvant is a chemically modified LPS derivative that displays greatly reduced toxicity while maintaining most of the immunostimulatory activity of LPS [110] signaling through TLR4 to stimulate the innate immune system. MPL adjuvant has been used extensively in clinical trials as a component in prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines targeting infectious disease, cancer and allergies. MPL has been administered to more than 300,000 human subjects in studies of next-generation vaccines, emerging as a safe and effective vaccine adjuvant. In one study DETOX markedly potentiated antibody but had little effect on DTH responses to melanoma vaccine immunization. It did not appear to improve DFS in comparison to alum in this non-randomized study [111]. DETOX has been formulated into Melacine (Corixa Corp.), a vaccine prepared from the lysate of two melanoma cell lines adjuvanted with DE-TOX. In clinical trials with Melacine, tumor progression is delayed in the vaccine-treated patients, although this was only observed in patients with certain HLA phenotypes.

The potency of local TLR treatment in therapy demonstrates that local treatment with TLR adjuvants like MPL might effectively restore anti-tumor immunity. Melacine is available for sale in Canada.

4.3. QS-21

Saponins are natural glycosides of steroid or triterpene which exhibit many different biological and pharmacological activities [112]. Notably, saponins can activate the mammalian immune system, and this has led to significant interest in their potential as vaccine adjuvants. The most widely used saponin-based adjuvants are Quil A and its derivative QS-21, isolated from the bark of the Quillaja saponaria Molina (Chilean soap bark tree); these have been evaluated in numerous clinical trials [113]. Their unique capacity to stimulate both the Th-1 immune response and the production of CTLs against exogenous antigens makes them ideal for use in subunit vaccines and vaccines directed against intracellular pathogens as well as cancer.

QS-21 possesses an ability to clinically augment significant antibody and T cell responses to vaccine antigens against a variety of infectious diseases, degenerative disorders and cancers. Currently, there exists no rapid *in vitro* biological screen for assessing the potential efficacy of saponin vaccine adjuvants, given that the mechanism by which saponins augment the immune response is unknown. As a result, evaluation of novel saponins as immunostimulants typically proceed directly to preclinical studies involving mouse vaccination with antigens [99, 112-114].

QS-21 appears to augment both Th-1 and Th-2 type responses and to favor the *in vivo* priming of antigen-specific CD8+ cytotoxic cells. QS-21 has been used in a variety of melanoma targeting vaccines [115]. QS-21 has been shown to be superior to some vaccine formulations such as GM2-KLH plus QS-21 vaccine compared to GM2/BCG vaccine [115]. Efforts to further advance QS-21 in the clinic, as well as to illuminate its unknown mechanism of action, require access to adjuvant-active samples of known composition [114]. The recent synthesis of active molecules of QS-21 has provided a robust method to produce this leading vaccine adjuvant in high purity as well as to produce novel synthetic QS-21 congeners designed to induce increased immune responsiveness and decreased toxicity [99, 112-114].

4.4. Montanide

Mineral oils are known to be very efficient adjuvants but can sometimes induce local reactions with reactive antigens. In contrast, non-mineral oils are well tolerated but less effective with poor immunogens. Mineral oils stay at the injection site and are progressively eliminated by competent cells like the macrophages. They can also be partially metabolized into fatty acids, triglycerides, phospholipids or sterols. Water in oil emulsions represent one of the new promising generations of adjuvants for immunotherapy [116-118]. In this class both Montanide ISA 51 and 720 have been tested in animals and thousands of individuals and found to be safe. Nevertheless, the proper antigen concentration has yet to be established [116-118]. Adverse effects usually depend on the concentration and nature of the antigen.

The mechanistic premise of emulsions is the "depot" effect, in which the adjuvant protects the antigen from both dilution and rapid degradation and elimination by the host. By localizing and slowly releasing intact antigen, the adjuvant permits a slow, prolonged exposure of the immune system cells to a low level of antigen. This prolonged exposure results in continued stimulation of antibody producing cells, resulting in the production of high levels of antibody by the host.

MONTANIDE™ ISA 51 VG has been used in Phase I and II clinical trials for vaccines against malaria, HIV, and various cancers. MONTANIDE™ ISA 51 VG, has been tested in AIDS and cancer vaccine trials which together represent more than 10,000 patients and around 100,000 injections. A survey of ongoing clinical trials listed in ClinicalTrials.gov revealed 36 trials currently accruing patients that are using the olive-derived Montanide ISA

51 IFA. The formulation is generally well-tolerated and induces transient local reactions. Some transient general reactions such as flu-like symptoms are also observed. The results suggest that numerous repeated vaccine doses can be safely administered. Immunization with tumor associated antigen peptides in combination with montanide expands tumor antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in melanoma patients [119-122].

4.5. Cyclophosphamide

High-dose cyclophosphamide (CY) has long been used as an anti-cancer agent, a conditioning regimen for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and a potent immunosuppressive agent in autoimmune diseases including aplastic anemia. High-dose CY is highly toxic to lymphocytes but spares hematopoietic stem cells because of their abundant levels of aldehyde dehydrogenase, the major mechanism of CY inactivation. CY has emerged as a clinically feasible agent that can suppress Tregs and allow more effective induction of anti-tumor immune responses [123]. Tregs have become an important player in regulating anti-cancer immune responses, with poor prognoses often ascribed to their action [124].

Studies using low dose CY in combination with vaccine components and IL-12 continue to suggest that CY is a viable addition to affect immune responses [125]. Low-dose CY is found to selectively deplete CD4+CD25+ T cells (Tregs) and impede tolerance allowing for a more active immune response. CY preconditioning can enhance the CD8+ T cell response to peptide vaccination, thus leading to enhanced anti-tumor effects against pre-existing tumors [126]. CY markedly enhanced the magnitude of secondary but not primary CTL response induced by vaccines and synergized with vaccine in therapy but not in prophylaxis tumor models [127].

4.6. Conclusions

The major issues that need to be addressed are designing more effective melanoma vaccines with a mix of melanoma-associated antigens that can stimulate clinically beneficial anti-tumor immune responses and finding an adjuvant that can safely, easily and powerfully boost the frequency and magnitude of these responses.

5. Cytokine therapy

Cytokine therapy has had an important position in the treatment of melanoma in the adjuvant and metastatic settings. Various cytokines have been studied with variable success. The most important cytokines in melanoma treatment thus far have been IFN, IL-2, IL-21 and GM-CSF.

5.1. IFN

Interferon IFN is a pleotropic cytokine that exerts anti-tumor activity through numerous mechanisms. High-dose IFN α -2b was approved by the FDA in 1995 for adjuvant therapy of

resected stage IIB and III melanoma based on the results of ECOG E1684 [128]. This was a randomized controlled study of IFN α -2b administered at doses of 20 megaunits/m²/d intravenously (IV) 5 days per week for 1 month and 10 megaunits/m² 3 times per week subcutaneously (s.c.) for 48 weeks versus observation in 287 patients. Through this study IFN α -2b was the first agent to show a significant benefit in relapse-free survival (RFS) and OS of high-risk melanoma patients in a randomized controlled trial. A subsequent study [129] (E1690) with a total of 642 patients evaluated the efficacy of high-dose IFN α -2b for 1 year (20 megaunits/m²/d IV 5 days/week for 4 weeks; 10 megaunits/m² s.c. TIW for 48 weeks) and low-dose IFN α -2b (3 megaunits/d TIW) for 2 years versus observation in high-risk (stage IIB and III) melanoma with RFS and OS as end points. The results of the intergroup E1690 trial demonstrated a RFS benefit of IFN α -2b that was dose-dependent and significant for the high-dose INF α -2b. Neither high-dose nor low dose IFN α -2b demonstrated an OS benefit compared with observation at the time.

Pooled data from E1684 and E1690 showed that RFS, but not OS, was significantly prolonged for patients treated with high dose IFN versus observation [94]. Long term OS data from E1684 also shows a diminishing level of statistical significance (P=.02 at 7 years, but P=. 09 at 12.6 years' median follow-up) [94]. Additional studies by the Eastern Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) [130] with adjuvant pegylated IFN α -2b (6 µg/kg per week for 8 weeks followed by 3 µg/kg per week for an intended duration of 5 years) showed a similarly significant and sustained effect on RFS.

The effect of IFN α on OS has been criticized strongly since only two studies (E1684 and E1694) have shown a survival benefit. In contrast, several other studies mentioned above have shown RFS as the only benefit. A recent meta-analysis [131] showed statistically significant improvement in both RFS and OS. The meta-analysis included 14 randomized controlled trials published between 1990 and 2008 and involved 8,122 patients, of which 4,362 were allocated to the IFN α arm. Subgroup analysis and meta-regression did not identify an optimal IFN α dose, the optimal treatment duration or a subset of patients more responsive than others to the adjuvant therapy. Therefore, the role of IFN in the adjuvant setting remains controversial by many. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) has a 2B recommendation for the use of IFN as an adjuvant treatment, and enrollment in clinical trials is encouraged.

Single-agent IFN has demonstrated modest activity in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma with response rates between 10%-20% [132]. Most of the responses were transient and usually restricted to cutaneous metastases [133]. Therefore, its use in the metastatic setting has been employed more frequently in combination with chemotherapy (biochemotherapy) with improved response rates but without a well documented survival benefit [134]. Other cytokines have been subsequently evaluated, and the interest in IFN has been shifted to the adjuvant setting as mentioned above.

5.2. IL-2

One of the most promising immune stimulating cytokines has been interleukin 2 (IL-2). High dose IL-2 produces not only PRs but also CRs. Overall objective response rates (ORR)

are approximately 16% with IL-2 with a 6% CR rate [93]. Importantly, some patients achieved durable CRs which led to the approval of high-dose IL-2 for patients with metastatic melanoma. Responses occurred with all sites of disease and in patients with large tumor burdens (unlike previously with IFN). Disease progression was not observed in any patient responding for longer than 30 months, and in some cases where disease progression was observed, durable disease free status was achieved with metastasectomy.

The use of high-dose IL-2, however, is limited by its severe toxicity; 2.2% of the patients in the National Cancer Institute (NCI) trial series died from treatment-related toxicities with bacterial sepsis being the predominant cause of death. No deaths were observed in the NCI series when antibiotic prophylaxis was implemented. However, the incidence of grade 3-4 toxicities remains high, ranging between 1-64%. Alternate regimens have been employed including low dose IL-2 alone or in combination with IFN- α or chemotherapy. However, there is evidence that suggests that high dose IL-2 is a more efficacious regimen. A phase II study showed durable CRs with high-dose bolus IL-2 in patients with metastatic melanoma who have experienced progression after biochemotherapy [135]. In addition, IL-2 based biochemotherapy regimens have not shown significantly better results than chemotherapy alone, presumptively due to the fact that high dose IL-2 is not utilized [136].

5.3. IL-21

The role of other cytokines has also been explored. IL-21 has recently emerged as a promising cytokine [137]. In an open-label, multicenter phase II study, IL-21 was given as a bolus injection on days 1 through 5 on alternate weeks using three different dosing regimens in 40 patients with malignant melanoma. Cohort 1 received 50 μ g/kg per day by outpatient IV bolus injection for 5 days of each week during weeks 1, 3, and 5 of an 8-week cycle. Cohort 2 received 30 μ g/kg per day on the same schedule, and cohort 3 received 50 μ g/kg per day for 5 days of each week during weeks 1 and 3 of a 6-week cycle. The primary objective of the study was to assess efficacy (ORR and PFS) of IL-21 in this population. The ORR to IL-21 was 22.5%. The median PFS was 4.3 months and the median OS was 12.4 months, suggesting that this is an active agent that warrants further investigation. The 30 μ g/kg per day dose and schedule was generally well tolerated as an outpatient regimen, with the most common adverse events being flu-like symptoms and rash, most of which were grade 1 or 2.

5.4. **GM-CSF**

Granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) has also been studied mostly in the adjuvant setting. Forty-eight patients with stage III or IV melanoma were treated in a phase II trial with long-term, chronic, intermittent GM-CSF after complete surgical resection of disease [138]. The median survival duration was 37.5 months in the study patients versus 12.2 months in the matched controls. OS and DFS were significantly prolonged in patients who received GM-CSF compared with matched historical controls, and treatment was well tolerated with acceptable toxicity. A phase III prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled

study (E4697) failed to show an OS benefit but improved DFS in patients with completely resected high-risk melanoma with minimal toxicity [139].

5.5. Conclusions

Immune stimulating cytokines have historically been an important part of the therapeutic armamentarium for early stage and metastatic melanoma due to the importance of the immune system in this disease. The currently approved IFN and IL-2 treatments in the adjuvant and metastatic settings, respectively, provide modest but reproducible clinical benefits. Their use is limited by toxicity and the lack of clearly defined predictive-to-treatment tools. In the near future, the development of novel molecular and immune treatments might limit their role. However, the durable responses that we see in some patients should not be ignored, and the search for predictive biomarkers should continue.

6. Vaccine therapy

The purpose of cancer vaccines is to evoke an immune response against malignant cells. One of the earliest approaches was taken more than 100 years ago when Dr. William Coley treated patients with Coley's Toxin derived from bacteria [22]. Although clinical success in individual trials has been uncommon, a meta-analysis of 56 clinical trials showed that evidence of an immune response predicted a better outcome [140]. Vaccines are of various types, each with advantages and disadvantages. The following discussion will be divided by the vaccine type, and when available, clinical data in advanced and adjuvant settings.

6.1. Autologous whole cell vaccines

Vaccines derived from the patient's own cancer should have the advantage of presenting the complete array of tumor antigens, both internal and external. There should be less chance of the remaining tumor mutating sufficiently to avoid detection. These vaccines should be able to produce both humoral and cellular immunity [141]. Autologous vaccines are produced by irradiating resected tumors or by establishing cell lines from resected specimens [22]. This approach is difficult from a technical and regulatory standpoint. It is further hampered by limiting eligible patients to those with accessible cancer and those who can wait for the vaccine development [141]. These vaccines have used the cells themselves with adjuvants or cells modified to produce cytokines.

An autologous whole cell vaccine is exemplified in work done by Berd, et. al. Early reports demonstrated clinical response to an irradiated autologous tumor cell vaccine given with BCG as an adjuvant. Subsequently, low dose CY preceded vaccination. In that study there were 5 responses in the 40 subjects assessable for response. The responses were associated with DTH responses [142]. In the next series of studies the vaccine was modified by the hapten, dinitrophenyl (DNP), and BCG and CY were maintained. Sixty two subjects with resected nodal metastases were vaccinated and compared to historical controls. There was a perceived benefit in disease progression and survival, especially in subjects over the age of

50 years [143]. In an expansion of this initial trial to 214 subjects, there was an improvement in OS in patients who developed a positive DTH response (59.3% vs 29.3%; p < 0.001). Forty-seven percent of subjects had a DTH response [144].

Work done by the National Biotherapy Study Group utilizing patient specific autologous tumor cell lines in patients with melanoma has been summarized [145]. This series of studies utilized different adjuvants including BCG, IFN γ and GM-CSF. Once again, benefit was seen in groups developing a positive DTH response [145-147]. Additional non-randomized studies show positive outcomes as well, but without randomization, the results are difficult to weigh [148-151]. Some studies have genetically modified the tumor cells to secret cytokines. These trials have also been non-randomized but have shown positive results [152, 153]. A randomized trial using an autologous tumor vaccine processed to extract hsps compared to physician's choice was conducted in 322 patients with metastatic disease. There was no difference between the two groups overall, but subjects with M1a disease had longer survival when treated with vaccine [154].

6.2. Allogeneic whole cell vaccines

Allogeneic whole cell vaccines are produced by a cell line or cell lines. Other features are similar to the autologous cell vaccines. The advantage of this approach is that it is more readily available and would prevent delays in treatment that are necessitated by the autologous vaccines. However the antigens may not match those of the patient's melanoma [141].

A vaccine developed by Dr. Donald Morton beginning in 1984 from three irradiated melanoma cell lines has been studied the most extensively. It is named Canvaxin (CancerVax Corp., Carlsbad, California, USA). There were extensive phase II trials done in patients with stage IV disease demonstrating response to therapy. However, when tested in randomized multicenter trials in resected stage III and IV melanoma patients, there was no benefit noted. However, the trials were stopped prior to their planned accrual by the data safety monitoring board for futility [141, 155-157]. Other allogeneic vaccines including VACCIMEL (produced from three melanoma cell lines) have had less mature study and similar biomarker results [158].

6.3. Tumor lysate vaccines

Tumor lysate vaccines have similar advantages and disadvantages of allogeneic vaccines. The vaccinia melanoma oncolysate (VMO) vaccine is prepared from four allogeneic cell lines infected with the vaccinia virus to increase immunogenicity. The cells are then lysed by sonication prior to administration. VMO yielded encouraging phase II results, however, there was no statistically significant increase in DFS when studied in an adjuvant setting [157, 159].

A second tumor lysate vaccine, called Melacine (Corixa Corp., Seattle Washington, USA) is also a cell lysate vaccine which has been tested in two large randomized trials. One adjuvant trial conducted by the Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG) studied 600 eligible patients treated with Melacine along with the adjuvant DETOX versus observation. To be

eligible the subjects had to have intermediate thickness lesions and negative nodes; however, sentinel lymph node biopsy was not required, and therefore, the staging in this trial would be considered inadequate by today's standards. Survival in the overall analysis showed no difference between treatment and observation, however, a subgroup of patients expressing certain MHC classes showed a five-year survival rate of 83% compared to 59% in the observation group. This subset analysis was statistically significant [160-163]. An Ad Hoc Melanoma Working Group reported a separate study in stage III resected patients comparing high dose IFN for one year versus low lose IFN plus Melacine with DETOX for 2 years. Six hundred subjects were registered. There was no difference in outcome [164]. As described above, high dose IFN had been shown previously to be superior to observation.

6.4. Protein vaccines

Protein vaccines using purified proteins have the potential for a broader spectrum of antigens, but they can be more complex to manufacture and monitor for response [157]. The use of hsps, which have a normal function in chaperoning proteins as they are processed into peptides, has also been explored with peptide vaccines [154] and may have a role in identifying new antigenic targets [165]. A trial using NY-ESO was found to produce a strong immunologic response after vaccination. There were better clinical outcomes compared to placebo in those given the protein vaccine compared to placebo. The adjuvant used in the trial was ISCOMATRIX [166].

6.5. Ganglioside antigen vaccines

Gangliosides are non-protein antigens (glycosphingolipids containing sialic acids) that have been shown to elicit antibodies. They are present on melanoma cells (GM2, GD2, GD3) [157, 167]. The GM2 antigen plus BCG versus BCG alone was studied in stage III resected patients. There was no difference in DFS, but subjects with IgM antibodies against the antigen had better outcome [167]. GM2 conjugated to a keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) and administered with the adjuvant QS-21 had better immunogenicity [168]. This same vaccine was compared to high dose IFN in an Intergroup adjuvant trial in patients with resected stage IIB or III melanoma. The trial ended when an interim analysis showed therapeutic inferiority in the vaccine arm [169].

6.6. Peptide vaccines

Peptide vaccines have the advantage of being easy to manufacture and have an excellent safety record. However, there are challenges that impact their effectiveness. These include the identification of epitopes that stimulate a T cell response, selecting an appropriate adjuvant, breaking tolerance without causing limiting autoimmunity, handling MHC restriction and assessing the need for multi-epitope vaccines [170]. Peptide vaccines have been reported to increase survival following resection of metastatic lesions [171] and have been shown to have increased immune efficacy with various adjuvants [172, 173]. Use of multiple peptides has been another strategy [121, 174, 175]. An ECOG study test-

ing a multi-epitope vaccine with GM-CSF and/or IFNα-2b showed that an immune response to the vaccine correlated with outcome but that the cytokines did not affect outcome [176].

One of the most studied peptide vaccines is a modified gp100 peptide antigen. This vaccine has been studied in locally advanced stage III or stage IV patients comparing IL-2 to IL-2 plus vaccine. The results showed a statistically significant improvement in response rate and PFS in the vaccine arm [177]. This is in contrast to a report from the Cytokine Working Group analysis of three phase II trials looking at a similar vaccine with IL-2 showing no benefit to the addition of the vaccine [178]. Another phase III study comparing ipilimumab plus gp100 vaccine versus gp100 plus placebo versus ipilimumab plus placebo failed to show a benefit to the addition of the vaccine, and the vaccine alone was inferior [95].

6.7. Monoclonal antibodies

Anti-idiotype vaccines consist of monoclonal antibodies that mimic an antigen. The theoretical hypothesis is sound [179-181], but trials have been limited [182-184]. These vaccines have not been tested prospectively.

6.8. Viral vaccines

Viral vectors can boost the immunogenicity of the vaccines they carry [141, 185]. However the presence of neutralizing antibodies in the host could play a role [186]. Novel methods of utilizing this mode of immune stimulation are still being explored [187, 188]. A randomized trial in stage III resected patients utilizing a vaccinia viral lysate vaccine failed to show benefit [189]. Transduction of cell lines to produce expression of B7-1 and IL-2 have been accomplished and show promising immunostimulatory effects [190, 191]. These techniques are difficult to pursue from a technical and regulatory standpoint. Recombinant viral vaccines have also been used to prime dendritic cells [192].

6.9. DNA vaccines

DNA vaccines have the advantage of specificity for the target for which they encode, which can simplify monitoring, but in general they have not done well in breaking tolerance [141, 193-195]. Two groups have reported on vaccines that rely on production of GM-CSF. Dranoff has reported on a vaccine utilizing melanoma cell lines engineered to produce GM-CSF and has noted improved anti-tumor effects [196]. A different approach using an intralesional vaccination with an oncolytic herpesvirus encoding GM-CSF has been developed and has had initial positive results [197, 198]. Another agent called Allovectin-7 consists of a plasmid containing DNA encoding for the MHC class I gene, HLA-B7. It was administered by intralesional injection. Early studies showed evidence of biologic activity [199]. Subsequent phase II studies showed efficacy locally as well as systemically [200-203]. A phase III study has not yet been reported.

7. Cellular therapy

The transfer of immunologically competent white blood cells or their precursors into the host (cellular adoptive immunotherapy, adoptive cell treatment, adoptive cell therapy [ACT]) has been studied extensively in patients with melanoma over the last 30 years. Since it was thought that the effect of IL-2 is potentiated by this form of therapy, various studies examined the role of combination regimens with lymphokine-activated killer cells (LAK cells) or TILs with or without lymphodepletion with mixed results.

7.1. TILs

Earlier studies with LAK cells [204] showed promise, improving the responses with IL-2. A randomized study [205] with IL-2 and LAK cells compared to IL-2 alone failed to show significant improvement in survival which tempered the initial enthusiasm. Subsequent studies with Tumor Infiltrating Lymphocytes (TILs) [206] showed some response to treatment (overall ORR in these patients was 34%) when combined with IL-2. Interestingly, there was no significant difference in the ORR in patients whose therapy with high-dose IL-2 had failed (32%) compared with patients not previously treated with IL-2 (34%). However, the responses appeared to be short-lived, probably due to the transient persistence of the transferred TILs [207].

The addition of lymphodepletion has been thought to promote the persistence of the transferred TILs by eliminating the regulatory cells. Pooled data from three clinical trials employing three different lymphodepleting regimens [91] showed high responses between 49-72%. Ninety-five percent of these patients had progressive disease following a prior systemic treatment. Twenty of the 93 patients (22%) achieved complete tumor regression, and 19 have ongoing complete regressions beyond 3 years. The actuarial 3- and 5-year survival rates for the entire group were 36% and 29%, respectively, but for the 20 complete responders were 100% and 93%. Factors associated with objective response included longer telomeres of the infused cells, the number of CD8+ CD27+ cells infused and the persistence of the infused cells in the circulation at one month. This treatment appears to also be helpful in the treatment of intracranial disease [208].

At this point, there is reserved enthusiasm about the role of TILs with lymphodepletion regimens. There are several programs within the United States [209] outside of the NCI, where this work was pioneered, and internationally more groups are starting to employ similar strategies.

7.2. Dendritic cells

Another form of ACT is the infusion of dendritic cells. There is a lot of interest in developing dendritic cell based immunotherapy strategies since the approval of sipuleucel-T, an autologous dendritic cell based immunotherapy in hormone refractory prostate cancer. Dendritic cells are believed to induce a Th-1 response which activates CTLs through processing and presenting of peptides derived from the tumor protein antigens. In a

study that evaluated the role of dendritic cells pulsed with Mage-3A1 tumor peptide and a recall antigen, tetanus toxoid or tuberculin, 6 of 11 patients with advanced stage IV melanoma experienced significant regression of their metastases [210]. Resolution of skin metastases in two of the patients was accompanied by CD8⁺ T cell infiltration, whereas nonregressing lesions lacked CD8⁺ T cells.

In another trial [211] 16 patients with metastatic stage IV melanoma were treated with dendritic cells derived from incubation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells with IL-4 and GM-CSF and overnight pulsing with several peptides (tyrosinase, gp100 and MART-1). One patient had a complete remission of lung and pleural disease after two cycles of therapy. Two additional patients had SD, and two patients had mixed responses. In general, reviewing over 30 studies that employed dendritic cell-based treatments [212], it appears that clinical response (defined as CR, PR or SD) was significantly correlated with the use of peptide antigens, use of helper antigen or adjuvant and induction of tumor antigen specific T cells.

Although there appears to be a real effect of these treatments on tumor response in a subset of the treated patients, undoubtedly the success has not been universal and convincing [213]. This could be due to Tregs that counteract the effect of dendritic cells. In addition, melanoma can also mediate dendritic cell suppression possibly through the activation of the MEK1/2-p44/42 axis [214]. Finally, little is known about optimal dendritic cell generation, administration and immune monitoring which could hamper progress in this field.

8. Enhancement of cellular immunity

8.1. Checkpoint inhibitors

Monoclonal antibodies targeted against a number of regulatory immune system checkpoints are being evaluated in patients with advanced melanoma. The recently approved ipilimumab remains the prototype, but others are currently being evaluated in several trials.

8.2. Ipilimumab

Ipilimumab is a monoclonal antibody against cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4). In two phase III trials ipilimumab showed improved OS in patients with advanced melanoma. In the first one [95], 676 HLA-A*0201–positive patients with unresectable stage III or IV melanoma, whose disease had progressed while they were receiving therapy for metastatic disease were studied. More than 70% of the patients had M1c disease (presence of visceral metastases), and more than 36% had elevated lactate dehydrogenase levels. The patients were randomly assigned, in a 3:1:1 ratio, to receive ipilimumab plus gp100, ipilimumab alone or gp100 alone. Ipilimumab, at a dose of 3 mg/kg of body weight, was administered with or without gp100 every three weeks for up to four treatments. HLA-A*0201–positivity was required because of the use of the gp100 vaccine. Certain patients were allowed to have another course of treatment upon progression. The primary end point was OS.

The median OS was 10.0 months among patients receiving ipilimumab plus gp100, as compared with 6.4 months among patients receiving gp100 alone (hazard ratio for death, 0.68; P<0.001). The median OS with ipilimumab alone was 10.1 months (hazard ratio for death in comparison with gp100 alone, 0.66; P=0.003). No difference in OS was detected between the ipilimumab groups (hazard ratio with ipilimumab plus gp100, 1.04; P=0.76). The best OR or SD was seen in the ipilimumab-alone group (10.9%) and a disease control rate (the proportion of patients with a PR, CR or SD) of 28.5%. In the ipilimumab-alone group, 60.0% maintained an OR for at least two years. Responses to ipilimumab continued to improve beyond week 24: in the ipilimumab-alone group, two patients with SD improved to a PR, and three with a PR improved to a CR. Interestingly, among 31 patients given reinduction therapy with ipilimumab, a PR, CR or SD was achieved by 21 weeks. Grade 3 or 4 immune-related adverse events occurred in 10 to 15% of patients treated with ipilimumab and in 3% treated with gp100 alone. There were 14 deaths related to the study drugs (2.1%), and seven were associated with immune-related adverse events.

In the second phase III study [215], 502 patients with previously untreated metastatic melanoma were assigned in a 1:1 ratio to receive 10 mg/kg ipilimumab plus 850 mg/m² dacarbazine or 850 mg/m² dacarbazine plus placebo, given at weeks 1, 4, 7 and 10, followed by 850 mg/m² dacarbazine alone every three weeks through week 22. Patients with SD or an OR and no dose-limiting toxic effects received ipilimumab or placebo every 12 weeks thereafter as maintenance therapy. Similarly with the previous study a significant number of patients had poor prognosis based on the presence of visceral metastases and increased lactate dehydrogenase. The primary end point was OS.

OS was significantly longer in the group receiving ipilimumab plus dacarbazine than in the group receiving dacarbazine plus placebo (11.2 months vs. 9.1 months), with higher survival rates in the ipilimumab–dacarbazine group at one year (47.3% vs. 36.3%), two years (28.5% vs. 17.9%), and three years (20.8% vs. 12.2%) (hazard ratio for death, 0.72; P<0.001). The rate of disease control (PR, CR or SD) did not differ significantly between the two groups: 33.2% in the ipilimumab–dacarbazine group and 30.2% in the dacarbazine group (P=0.41). The rate of best OR (PR or CR) was 15.2% in the ipilimumab–dacarbazine group and 10.3% in the dacarbazine group (P=0.09). However, the median duration of response among all patients with a PR or CR was 19.3 months (95% CI, 12.1 to 26.1) in the ipilimumab–dacarbazine group and 8.1 months (95% CI, 5.19 to 19.8) in the dacarbazine group (P=0.03). In addition, some patients in the study who were receiving ipilimumab had an improvement from PR to CR after six months. Grade 3 or 4 adverse events occurred in 56.3% of patients treated with ipilimumab plus dacarbazine, as compared with 27.5% treated with dacarbazine and placebo (P<0.001). No drug-related deaths or gastrointestinal perforations occurred in the ipilimumab–dacarbazine group.

Patients with untreated brain metastases were excluded from both phase III studies. However, phase II data indicate that ipilimumab has activity in patients with brain metastases [216]. The currently approved dose is 3 mg/kg based on the registration trial [95], however other doses and schedules [217] have been used that do not appear to produce significantly different results but appear to increase toxicity.

The experience with ipilimumab has shown that a subgroup of patients may experience a late response and more interestingly, some patients exhibit apparent disease progression after 12 weeks of ipilimumab followed by subsequent disease regression [218]. Therefore, traditional criteria (e.g. RECIST) may not apply in the evaluation of patients who receive ipilimumab or similar treatments, and different criteria may need to be established in the interpretation of efficacy data in clinical trials [20].

Based on the favorable results from the ipilimumab studies, other anti-CTLA4 antibodies are currently being evaluated such as tremelimumab. Tremelimumab has a longer half-life than ipilimumab and is dosed less frequently. Phase II data showed results similar to ipilimumab with durable responses suggesting a potential role for tremelimumab in melanoma [219]. However, a phase III trial comparing tremelimumab and chemotherapy failed to demonstrate an improvement in OS [220].

8.3. Toxicity with ipilimumab

The toxicity of ipilimumab appears to be related to the increased activation of the immune system. A variety of immune mediated adverse events have been observed. Some of them are life-threatening and the most common are enterocolitis, hepatitis, dermatitis and endocrinopathies, but others such as neurologic complications, ocular symptoms, hematologic manifestations, vasculitis, et al are also observed. The prompt administration of corticosteroids is paramount when these are observed, and in some cases treatment interruption or permanent discontinuation is required. A relationship between the development of side effects and anti-tumor activity has been proposed by several investigators [218].

8.4. PD-1

Another regulatory checkpoint is Programmed Death-1 receptor (PD-1). Its inhibition is currently being evaluated in clinical trials. The PD-1 and PD ligand-1 (PD-L1) interaction is believed to affect T cell anti-tumor immunity. Many tumors express high levels of PD-L1. When PD-L1 interacts with the PD-1 receptor on T cells, T cell function is impaired through a variety of mechanisms including induction of apoptosis, suppression of proliferation and inhibition of T cell cytokine production [96]. There are several ways to target PD-1; one way is targeting the PD-1 receptor and another is targeting the PD-1 ligand. There are several molecules currently under investigation that target the PD-1 receptor directly (BMS-936558, CT-011, MK-3475). In a recent study [98], BMS-936558 showed significant anti-tumor activity in a variety of solid tumors. In melanoma patients response rates were 28% and appeared to be durable. Interestingly, of 17 patients with PD-L1-negative tumors, none had an OR while 9 of 25 patients (36%) with PD-L1-positive tumors had an OR (P=0.006). CT-011 has demonstrated favorable results in hematologic malignancies but has not been well studied in melanoma patients yet [221], although there is an ongoing phase I study being conducted at present. The role of MK-3475 in melanoma is also currently being evaluated in a phase I trial. Another molecule which is not probably directly targeting the PD-1 is AMP-224; it is a fusion protein of B7-DC and an antibody Fc portion. It is not a monoclonal antibody like the three checkpoint agents mentioned previously, and no data has been reported yet regarding its efficacy in human trials. PD-L1 monoclonal antibodies have emerged as another strategy to affect the PD-1/PD L-1 pathway. A multi-center phase I study evaluating the role of BMS-936559 in patients with advanced cancers including melanoma showed a 17% response rate in melanoma patients with some of those being durable responses [97].

8.5. Co-stimulatory agonists

4-1BB or CD137 is a member of the TNF receptor (TNFR) family and provides a costimulatory signal important to the effective generation of many types of T cell responses. A completed phase I study in melanoma with BMS-663513, a fully human anti-CD137 agonist monoclonal antibody, showed that this agent was well tolerated and three PRs were seen [222].

OX-40 is another member of the TNFR family. An agonist molecule is also under investigation, but mature data are not yet available [223].

8.6. Conclusions

Checkpoint inhibitors and co-stimulatory agonists are improving anti-tumor cellular immunity. In a similar way to the more non-specific activation through cytokines, responses are durable but are not seen in all patients. This brings up issues such as appropriate patient selection, biomarker development and optimization of the dose, frequency and administration in order to optimize efficacy.

9. Combination approaches

Combination approaches have been traditionally used in the treatment of melanoma (for example different cytokines together or cytokines with chemotherapy). It is quite interesting that the results of this strategy have not been yet as successful as expected. While new agents are developed and we understand more about their function, these strategies may become more successful. At this point there is a lot of interest combining checkpoint inhibitors with other checkpoint blocking agents, co-stimulatory agonists, chemotherapy, targeted agents (such as B-type Raf kinas [BRAF] inhibitors) and radiotherapy. Interestingly, the BRAF inhibitors appear to improve T cell recognition of melanoma [224] which reinforces a rational combination of targeted therapy and immunotherapy. The results are not yet mature and studies are ongoing, but there is preclinical and retrospective data that support this model of treatment [224-227]. The following combination strategies have shown some recent benefit and promise.

9.1. Anti-CTLA4 and IFNa

A phase II study [227] combining tremelimumab and high dose IFN in patients with advanced melanoma showed an ORR of 24% with 4 patients obtaining a CR. Toxicity was acceptable and the median OS was 21 months. The University of Pittsburgh group is now

contemplating a neoadjuvant and adjuvant therapy that employs an anti-CTLA4 and IFN- α combination strategy.

9.2. Anti-CTLA4 and GM-CSF

A phase II study through ECOG combining ipilimumab and GM-CSF is currently ongoing. Previous studies [228] with periodic infusions of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies after vaccination with irradiated, autologous tumor cells engineered to secrete GM-CSF have yielded favorable results with acceptable toxicity.

9.3. IL-2 and gp-100

A phase III trial evaluated the combination of IL-2 and the gp100 peptide vaccine in advanced melanoma. This combination therapy increased the response rate (16% vs. 6%) with more CRs in the combination arm and a trend toward increased OS [177]. Interestingly, the single arm responses were lower than expected. A similarly designed study employing ipilimumab did not show significant benefit in the combination arm [95].

10. Conclusion

Immunotherapy holds the promise of "the cure" for cancer. Glimpses of this outcome have been seen throughout the past century but may be best exemplified in melanoma therapy. Although attempts in the past have not had satisfactory results, the knowledge gained along with the ability to develop biologically active drugs is bearing fruit in the current generation of clinical trials. The few positive results have kept the interest for cancer immunotherapy alive which has also helped us to achieve a better understanding of the immune system in relationship to cancer treatment. The improvement in outcome seen with gp100 plus IL-2 is impressive, and the power of the anti-cancer and autoimmune toxicities seen with ipilimumab is dramatic. The excitement stems not only from the clinical results that were obtained but also by our ability to successfully manipulate the complex immune system in a different way than before. Undoubtedly, there are a lot of unanswered questions including why are there still a large number of patients who do not achieve responses with immunotherapy and eventually die from advanced disease? However, unlike immunotherapy applied to other advanced setting solid tumors, immunotherapy in advanced melanoma has resulted in some durable responses and possibly cures.

The future of immunotherapy in melanoma and other tumor types would ideally involve research in a broad range of directions. An optimization of the IL-2 based treatments is needed to improve the number of durable responses. Results from the current work at NCI augmenting IL-2 treatment with lymphodepletion are quite encouraging. Identification of serum or tissue biomarkers is also urgently needed. Biomarker research is often complex, but this work should reveal a better understanding of the tumor itself as well as the host. The checkpoint inhibitors such as ipilimumab and tremelimumab have opened the door for research into other immunologic checkpoints such as PD-1 and co-stimulatory signals. The

need for a reliable melanoma biomarker is again paramount, and the significance of PD-L1 expression that is currently under investigation is anticipated with interest. Studying mechanisms of resistance in all cancer immunotherapeutics is equally important.

The combination of different immunotherapeutics with each other, with molecularly targeted agents or with conventional treatments such as chemotherapy or radiation therapy, may not be the simple process that combination strategies with vaccines were in the past, but this approach is quite attractive and rational and will help us further understand the role of the immune system in this disease. In a similar fashion, sequential therapy, using different agents at different times might improve clinical response and survival. Appropriate first line selection is also quite challenging since there are currently three approved agents in BRAF V600E mutated patients (high does IL-2, ipilimumab and vemurafenib) and two for BRAF wild type patients (high dose IL-2 and ipilimumab). A randomized clinical trial of ipilimumab followed by vemurafenib versus vemurafenib followed by ipilimumab is planned through the NCI Cooperative Group mechanisms [218]. Future clinical trials will hopefully provide some answers to these questions. In the design of clinical trials, the importance of refining the RECIST criteria for immunotherapy agents cannot be overemphasized. Overall, this is an exciting time for cancer immunologists and clinicians who treat patients with melanoma. The future for innovative trials of new agents and combinations is brighter than ever before.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Linda Ray for her invaluable administrative support.

Author details

Konstantinos Arnaoutakis, Dorothy A. Graves, Laura F. Hutchins and Thomas Kieber-Emmons

Division of Hematology/Oncology and Department of Pathology University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, Winthrop P. Rockefeller Cancer Institute, USA

References

- [1] I. B. Bayer-Garner, D. Ivan, M. R. Schwartz and J. A. Tschen, "The immunopathology of regression in benign lichenoid keratosis, keratoacanthoma and halo nevus," *Clin. Med. Res.*, vol. 2, pp. 89-97, May, 2004.
- [2] R. Akasu, L. From and H. J. Kahn, "Characterization of the mononuclear infiltrate involved in regression of halo nevi," *J. Cutan. Pathol., vol. 21, pp. 302-311, Aug, 1994.*

- [3] K. McKay, P. C. Moore, B. R. Smoller and K. M. Hiatt. Association between natural killer cells and regression in melanocytic lesions. Hum. Pathol. [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 42(12), pp. 1960-1964. 2011. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=21676435.
- [4] N. Arpaia, N. Cassano and G. A. Vena. Regressing cutaneous malignant melanoma and vitiligo-like depigmentation. Int. J. Dermatol. [Part @ UAMS (Click Library Holdings)]. 45(8), pp. 952-956. 2006. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=16911383.
- [5] M. G. Bouwhuis, A. Gast, A. Figl, A. M. Eggermont, K. Hemminki, D. Schadendorf and R. Kumar. Polymorphisms in the CD28/CTLA4/ICOS genes: Role in malignant melanoma susceptibility and prognosis?. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 59(2), pp. 303-312. 2010. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=19672595.
- [6] P. Fishman, O. Merimski, E. Baharav and Y. Shoenfeld. Autoantibodies to tyrosinase: The bridge between melanoma and vitiligo. Cancer [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 79(8), pp. 1461-1464. 1997. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=9118024.
- [7] K. A. Chianese-Bullock, E. M. Woodson, H. Tao, S. A. Boerner, M. Smolkin, W. W. Grosh, P. Y. Neese, P. Merrill, G. R. Petroni and C. L. Slingluff Jr. Autoimmune toxicities associated with the administration of antitumor vaccines and low-dose interleukin-2. Journal of Immunotherapy [All @ UAMS]. 28(4), pp. 412-419. 2005. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medc&AN=16000961.
- [8] C. Printz, "Spontaneous regression of melanoma may offer insight into cancer immunology," J. Natl. Cancer Inst., vol. 93, pp. 1047-1048, Jul 18, 2001.
- [9] W. A. High, D. Stewart, C. R. Wilbers, C. J. Cockerell, M. P. Hoang and J. E. Fitzpatrick, "Completely regressed primary cutaneous malignant melanoma with nodal and/or visceral metastases: a report of 5 cases and assessment of the literature and diagnostic criteria," J. Am. Acad. Dermatol., vol. 53, pp. 89-100, Jul, 2005.
- [10] K. K. Anbari, L. M. Schuchter, L. P. Bucky, R. Mick, M. Synnestvedt, D. Guerry 4th, R. Hamilton and A. C. Halpern, "Melanoma of unknown primary site: presentation, treatment, and prognosis--a single institution study. University of Pennsylvania Pigmented Lesion Study Group," Cancer, vol. 79, pp. 1816-1821, May 1, 1997.
- [11] B. Schlagenhauff, W. Stroebel, U. Ellwanger, F. Meier, C. Zimmermann, H. Breuninger, G. Rassner and C. Garbe, "Metastatic melanoma of unknown primary origin shows prognostic similarities to regional metastatic melanoma: recommendations for initial staging examinations," Cancer, vol. 80, pp. 60-65, Jul 1, 1997.
- [12] G. H. Baab and C. M. McBride. Malignant melanoma: The patient with an unknown site of primary origin. Archives of Surgery [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)].

- 110(8), pp. 896-900. 1975. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med1&AN=1156155.
- [13] M. F. Demierre. Thin melanomas and regression, thick melanomas and older men: Prognostic implications and perspectives on secondary prevention. *Arch. Dermatol.* [All @ UAMS; Part @ ACH(Click Lib.Holdings) & LRVA(10 yrs)]. 138(5), pp. 678-682.

 2002. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=12020232.
- [14] J. K. O'Neill, R. Khundar, L. Knowles, N. Scott-Young and A. Orlando. Melanoma with an unknown primary--a case series. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery: JPRAS [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. 63(12), pp. 2071-2080. 2010. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=20335085.
- [15] M. King, D. Spooner and D. C. Rowlands. Spontaneous regression of metastatic malignant melanoma of the parotid gland and neck lymph nodes: A case report and a review of the literature. *Clinical Oncology (Royal College of Radiologists)* [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. 13(6), pp. 466-469. 2001. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=full-text&D=med4&AN=11824888.
- [16] L. V. Kalialis, K. T. Drzewiecki and H. Klyver. Spontaneous regression of metastases from melanoma: Review of the literature. *Melanoma Res.* [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. 19(5), pp. 275-282. 2009. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=full-text&D=medl&AN=19633580.
- [17] N. J. Crowley and H. F. Seigler, "Late recurrence of malignant melanoma. Analysis of 168 patients," *Ann. Surg.*, vol. 212, pp. 173-177, Aug, 1990.
- [18] M. H. Schmid-Wendtner, J. Baumert, M. Schmidt, B. Konz, D. Holzel, G. Plewig and M. Volkenandt, "Late metastases of cutaneous melanoma: an analysis of 31 patients," *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.*, vol. 43, pp. 605-609, Oct, 2000.
- [19] E. A. Eisenhauer, P. Therasse, J. Bogaerts, L. H. Schwartz, D. Sargent, R. Ford, J. Dancey, S. Arbuck, S. Gwyther, M. Mooney, L. Rubinstein, L. Shankar, L. Dodd, R. Kaplan, D. Lacombe and J. Verweij, "New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1)," *Eur. J. Cancer*, vol. 45, pp. 228-247, Jan, 2009.
- [20] J. D. Wolchok, A. Hoos, S. O'Day, J. S. Weber, O. Hamid, C. Lebbe, M. Maio, M. Binder, O. Bohnsack, G. Nichol, R. Humphrey and F. S. Hodi, "Guidelines for the evaluation of immune therapy activity in solid tumors: immune-related response criteria," *Clin. Cancer Res.*, vol. 15, pp. 7412-7420, Dec 1, 2009.
- [21] J. Weber and A. Aparicio. Novel immunologic approaches to the management of malignant melanoma. Curr. *Opin. Oncol. 13(2)*, pp. 124-128. 2001.

- [22] Faries and D. L. Morton. Therapeutic vaccines for melanoma current status. Biodrugs [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 19(4), pp. 247-260. 2005. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=42-19506.
- [23] L. A. DiFronzo, R. K. Gupta, R. Essner, L. J. Foshag and D. L. Morton. Enhanced humoral immune response correlates with improved disease-free and overall survival in american joint committee on cancer stage II melanoma patients receiving adjuvant polyvalent vaccine. Journal of Clinical Oncology [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. pp. 3242-3248. 2002. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=39-16839.
- [24] E. Hsueh, R. Gupta, K. Qi and D. Morton. Correlation of specific immune responses with survival in melanoma patients with distant metastases receiving polyvalent melanoma cell vaccine. Journal of Clinical Oncology 16(9), pp. 2913-2920. 1998.
- [25] K. Shafer-Weaver, S. Rosenberg, S. Strobl, W. Gregory Alvord, M. Baseler and A. Malyguine. Application of the granzyme B ELISPOT assay for monitoring cancer vaccine trials. Journal of Immunotherapy [All @ UAMS]. 29(3), pp. 328-335. 2006. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medc&AN=16699376.
- [26] A. Eggermont, S. Suciu, A. Testori, P. Patel and A. Spatz, "Ulceration of primary melanoma and responsiveness to adjuvant interferon therapy: analysis of the adjuvant trials EORTC 18952 and EORTC 18991 in 2,644 patients," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 27, pp. 9007, 2009.
- [27] D. Schadendorf, S. M. Algarra, L. Bastholt, G. Cinat, B. Dreno, A. M. Eggermont, E. Espinosa, J. Guo, A. Hauschild, T. Petrella, J. Schachter and P. Hersey. Immunotherapy of distant metastatic disease. Annals of Oncology [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. 20(Suppl 6), pp. 41-50. 2009. Available: http:// ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=19617297.
- [28] H. Gogas, J. Ioannovich, U. Dafni, C. Stavropoulou-Giokas, K. Frangia, D. Tsoutsos, P. Panagiotou, A. Polyzos, O. Papadopoulos, A. Stratigos, C. Markopoulos, D. Bafaloukos, D. Pectasides, G. Fountzilas and J. M. Kirkwood, "Prognostic significance of autoimmunity during treatment of melanoma with interferon," N. Engl. J. Med., vol. 354, pp. 709-718, Feb 16, 2006.
- [29] Z. R. Yurkovetsky, J. M. Kirkwood, H. D. Edington, A. M. Marrangoni, L. Velikokhatnaya, M. T. Winans, E. Gorelik and A. E. Lokshin, "Multiplex analysis of serum cytokines in melanoma patients treated with interferon-alpha2b," Clin. Cancer Res., vol. 13, pp. 2422-2428, Apr 15, 2007.
- [30] M. Sabatino, S. Kim-Schulze, M. C. Panelli, D. Stroncek, E. Wang, B. Taback, D. W. Kim, G. Deraffele, Z. Pos, F. M. Marincola and H. L. Kaufman, "Serum vascular en-

- dothelial growth factor and fibronectin predict clinical response to high-dose interleukin-2 therapy," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol.* 27, pp. 2645-2652, Jun 1, 2009.
- [31] G. Y. Ku, J. Yuan, D. B. Page, S. E. Schroeder, K. S. Panageas, R. D. Carvajal, P. B. Chapman, G. K. Schwartz, J. P. Allison and J. D. Wolchok, "Single-institution experience with ipilimumab in advanced melanoma patients in the compassionate use setting: lymphocyte count after 2 doses correlates with survival," *Cancer*, vol. 116, pp. 1767-1775, Apr 1, 2010.
- [32] J. Yuan, M. Adamow, B. A. Ginsberg, T. S. Rasalan, E. Ritter, H. F. Gallardo, Y. Xu, E. Pogoriler, S. L. Terzulli, D. Kuk, K. S. Panageas, G. Ritter, M. Sznol, R. Halaban, A. A. Jungbluth, J. P. Allison, L. J. Old, J. D. Wolchok and S. Gnjatic. Integrated NY-ESO-1 antibody and CD8+ T-cell responses correlate with clinical benefit in advanced melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 108(40), pp. 16723-16728. 2011. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=full-text&D=medl&AN=21933959.
- [33] T. F. Gajewski, J. Louahed and V. G. Brichard. Gene signature in melanoma associated with clinical activity: A potential clue to unlock cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Journal* [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. 16(4), pp. 399-403. 2010. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=20693853.
- [34] R. J. Sullivan, Y. Hoshida, J. Brunet, S. Tahan, J. Aldridge, C. Kwabi, E. Gardiner, D. McDermott, T. Golub and M. B. Atkins, "A single center experience with high-dose (HD) IL-2 treatment for patients with advanced melanoma and pilot investigation of a novel gene expression signature as a predictor of response," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 27, pp. 9003, 2009.*
- [35] J. R. Brahmer, C. G. Drake, I. Wollner, J. D. Powderly, J. Picus, W. H. Sharfman, E. Stankevich, A. Pons, T. M. Salay, T. L. McMiller, M. M. Gilson, C. Wang, M. Selby, J. M. Taube, R. Anders, L. Chen, A. J. Korman, D. M. Pardoll, I. Lowy and S. L. Topalian. Phase I study of single-agent anti-programmed death-1 (MDX-1106) in refractory solid tumors: Safety, clinical activity, pharmacodynamics, and immunologic correlates. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 28(19), pp. 3167-3175. 2010. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=20516446.
- [36] I. Mellman, G. Coukos and G. Dranoff, "Cancer immunotherapy comes of age," *Nature*, vol. 480, pp. 480-489, Dec 21, 2011.
- [37] M. G. Lewis, R. L. Ikonopisov, R. C. Nairn, T. M. Phillips, G. H. Fairley, D. C. Bodenham and P. Alexander, "Tumour-specific antibodies in human malignant melanoma and their relationship to the extent of the disease," *Br. Med. J.*, vol. 3, pp. 547-552, Sep 6, 1969.

- [38] F. Avogadri and J. D. Wolchok, "Selecting antigens for cancer vaccines," Nat. Biotechnol., vol. 30, pp. 328-329, Apr 10, 2012.
- [39] P. Muranski, A. Boni, P. A. Antony, L. Cassard, K. R. Irvine, A. Kaiser, C. M. Paulos, D. C. Palmer, C. E. Touloukian, K. Ptak, L. Gattinoni, C. Wrzesinski, C. S. Hinrichs, K. W. Kerstann, L. Feigenbaum, C. C. Chan and N. P. Restifo, "Tumor-specific Th17polarized cells eradicate large established melanoma," Blood, vol. 112, pp. 362-373, Jul 15, 2008.
- [40] F. S. Hodi, "Well-defined melanoma antigens as progression markers for melanoma: insights into differential expression and host response based on stage," Clin. Cancer Res., vol. 12, pp. 673-678, Feb 1, 2006.
- [41] S. Jarmalavicius, Y. Welte and P. Walden, "High immunogenicity of the HLA peptidomes of melanoma tumor cells," J. Biol. Chem., Aug 6, 2012.
- [42] R. Pieper, R. E. Christian, M. I. Gonzales, M. I. Nishimura, G. Gupta, R. E. Settlage, J. Shabanowitz, S. A. Rosenberg, D. F. Hunt and S. L. Topalian, "Biochemical identification of a mutated human melanoma antigen recognized by CD4(+) T cells," J. Exp. *Med.*, vol. 189, pp. 757-766, Mar 1, 1999.
- [43] J. P. Brown, R. G. Woodbury, C. E. Hart, I. Hellstrom and K. E. Hellstrom, "Quantitative analysis of melanoma-associated antigen p97 in normal and neoplastic tissues," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., vol. 78, pp. 539-543, Jan, 1981.
- [44] P. Fredman, K. Hedberg and T. Brezicka, "Gangliosides as therapeutic targets for cancer," BioDrugs, vol. 17, pp. 155-167, 2003.
- [45] E. Jager, M. Ringhoffer, J. Karbach, M. Arand, F. Oesch and A. Knuth, "Inverse relationship of melanocyte differentiation antigen expression in melanoma tissues and CD8+ cytotoxic-T-cell responses: evidence for immunoselection of antigen-loss variants in vivo," Int. J. Cancer, vol. 66, pp. 470-476, May 16, 1996.
- [46] E. Jager, M. Ringhoffer, H. P. Dienes, M. Arand, J. Karbach, D. Jager, C. Ilsemann, M. Hagedorn, F. Oesch and A. Knuth. Granulocyte-macrophage-colony-stimulating factor enhances immune responses to melanoma-associated peptides in vivo. International Journal of Cancer [All @ UAMS]. 67(1), pp. 54-62. 1996. Available: http:// ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=8690525.
- [47] C. Barrow, J. Browning, D. MacGregor, I. D. Davis, S. Sturrock, A. A. Jungbluth and J. Cebon, "Tumor antigen expression in melanoma varies according to antigen and stage," Clin. Cancer Res., vol. 12, pp. 764-771, Feb 1, 2006.
- [48] Y. Kawakami, S. Eliyahu, C. Jennings, K. Sakaguchi, X. Kang, S. Southwood, P. F. Robbins, A. Sette, E. Appella and S. A. Rosenberg, "Recognition of multiple epitopes in the human melanoma antigen gp100 by tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes associated with in vivo tumor regression," J. Immunol., vol. 154, pp. 3961-3968, Apr 15, 1995.

- [49] T. Boon, P. G. Coulie, B. J. Van den Eynde and P. van der Bruggen, "Human T cell responses against melanoma," *Annu. Rev. Immunol.*, vol. 24, pp. 175-208, 2006.
- [50] R. F. Wang and S. A. Rosenberg, "Human tumor antigens for cancer vaccine development," *Immunol. Rev.*, vol. 170, pp. 85-100, Aug, 1999.
- [51] D. Valmori, M. J. Pittet, C. Vonarbourg, D. Rimoldi, D. Lienard, D. Speiser, R. Dunbar, V. Cerundolo, J. C. Cerottini and P. Romero, "Analysis of the cytolytic T lymphocyte response of melanoma patients to the naturally HLA-A*0201-associated tyrosinase peptide 368-376," *Cancer Res.*, vol. 59, pp. 4050-4055, Aug 15, 1999.
- [52] K. S. Lang, C. C. Caroli, A. Muhm, D. Wernet, A. Moris, B. Schittek, E. Knauss-Scherwitz, S. Stevanovic, H. G. Rammensee and C. Garbe, "HLA-A2 restricted, melanocyte-specific CD8(+) T lymphocytes detected in vitiligo patients are related to disease activity and are predominantly directed against MelanA/MART1," *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, vol. 116, pp. 891-897, Jun, 2001.
- [53] P. P. Lee, C. Yee, P. A. Savage, L. Fong, D. Brockstedt, J. S. Weber, D. Johnson, S. Swetter, J. Thompson, P. D. Greenberg, M. Roederer and M. M. Davis, "Characterization of circulating T cells specific for tumor-associated antigens in melanoma patients," *Nat. Med.*, vol. 5, pp. 677-685, Jun, 1999.
- [54] E. Zorn and T. Hercend, "A MAGE-6-encoded peptide is recognized by expanded lymphocytes infiltrating a spontaneously regressing human primary melanoma lesion," *Eur. J. Immunol.*, vol. 29, pp. 602-607, Feb, 1999.
- [55] M. Monte, M. Simonatto, L. Y. Peche, D. R. Bublik, S. Gobessi, M. A. Pierotti, M. Rodolfo and C. Schneider, "MAGE-A tumor antigens target p53 transactivation function through histone deacetylase recruitment and confer resistance to chemotherapeutic agents," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 103, pp. 11160-11165, Jul 25, 2006.
- [56] S. Gnjatic, H. Nishikawa, A. A. Jungbluth, A. O. Gure, G. Ritter, E. Jager, A. Knuth, Y. T. Chen and L. J. Old, "NY-ESO-1: review of an immunogenic tumor antigen," *Adv. Cancer Res.*, vol. 95, pp. 1-30, 2006.
- [57] V. Vantomme, P. Boel, E. De Plaen, T. Boon and P. van der Bruggen, "A new tumor-specific antigenic peptide encoded by MAGE-6 is presented to cytolytic T lymphocytes by HLA-Cw16," *Cancer. Immun.*, vol. 3, pp. 17, Dec 10, 2003.
- [58] B. Weide, H. Zelba, E. Derhovanessian, A. Pflugfelder, T. K. Eigentler, A. M. Di Giacomo, M. Maio, E. H. Aarntzen, I. J. de Vries, A. Sucker, D. Schadendorf, P. Buttner, C. Garbe and G. Pawelec, "Functional T cells targeting NY-ESO-1 or Melan-A are predictive for survival of patients with distant melanoma metastasis," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 30, pp. 1835-1841, May 20, 2012.
- [59] H. T. Khong and N. P. Restifo, "Natural selection of tumor variants in the generation of "tumor escape" phenotypes," *Nat. Immunol.*, vol. 3, pp. 999-1005, Nov, 2002.
- [60] T. J. de Vries, A. Fourkour, T. Wobbes, G. Verkroost, D. J. Ruiter and G. N. van Muijen, "Heterogeneous expression of immunotherapy candidate proteins gp100,

- MART-1, and tyrosinase in human melanoma cell lines and in human melanocytic lesions," Cancer Res., vol. 57, pp. 3223-3229, Aug 1, 1997.
- [61] F. Garrido, F. Ruiz-Cabello, T. Cabrera, J. J. Perez-Villar, M. Lopez-Botet, M. Duggan-Keen and P. L. Stern, "Implications for immunosurveillance of altered HLA class I phenotypes in human tumours," *Immunol. Today*, vol. 18, pp. 89-95, Feb, 1997.
- [62] I. Algarra, A. Collado and F. Garrido, "Altered MHC class I antigens in tumors," Int. J. Clin. Lab. Res., vol. 27, pp. 95-102, 1997.
- [63] M. Bernal, F. Ruiz-Cabello, A. Concha, A. Paschen and F. Garrido, "Implication of the beta2-microglobulin gene in the generation of tumor escape phenotypes," Cancer Immunol. Immunother., vol. 61, pp. 1359-1371, Sep, 2012.
- [64] N. P. Restifo, F. M. Marincola, Y. Kawakami, J. Taubenberger, J. R. Yannelli and S. A. Rosenberg, "Loss of functional beta 2-microglobulin in metastatic melanomas from five patients receiving immunotherapy," J. Natl. Cancer Inst., vol. 88, pp. 100-108, Jan 17, 1996.
- [65] K. Taniguchi, M. Petersson, P. Hoglund, R. Kiessling, G. Klein and K. Karre, "Interferon gamma induces lung colonization by intravenously inoculated B16 melanoma cells in parallel with enhanced expression of class I major histocompatibility complex antigens," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., vol. 84, pp. 3405-3409, May, 1987.
- [66] R. Versteeg, K. M. Kruse-Wolters, A. C. Plomp, A. van Leeuwen, N. J. Stam, H. L. Ploegh, D. J. Ruiter and P. I. Schrier, "Suppression of class I human histocompatibility leukocyte antigen by c-myc is locus specific," J. Exp. Med., vol. 170, pp. 621-635, Sep 1, 1989.
- [67] K. Karre, "NK cells, MHC class I molecules and the missing self," Scand. J. Immunol., vol. 55, pp. 221-228, Mar, 2002.
- [68] R. J. Tuthill, J. M. Unger, P. Y. Liu, L. E. Flaherty, V. K. Sondak and Southwest Oncology Group, "Risk assessment in localized primary cutaneous melanoma: a Southwest Oncology Group study evaluating nine factors and a test of the Clark logistic regression prediction model," Am. J. Clin. Pathol., vol. 118, pp. 504-511, Oct, 2002.
- [69] D. A. Oble, R. Loewe, P. Yu and M. C. Mihm Jr, "Focus on TILs: prognostic significance of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in human melanoma," Cancer. Immun., vol. 9, pp. 3, Apr 2, 2009.
- [70] C. M. Balch, S. J. Soong, J. E. Gershenwald, J. F. Thompson, D. S. Reintgen, N. Cascinelli, M. Urist, K. M. McMasters, M. I. Ross, J. M. Kirkwood, M. B. Atkins, J. A. Thompson, D. G. Coit, D. Byrd, R. Desmond, Y. Zhang, P. Y. Liu, G. H. Lyman and A. Morabito, "Prognostic factors analysis of 17,600 melanoma patients: validation of the American Joint Committee on Cancer melanoma staging system," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 19, pp. 3622-3634, Aug 15, 2001.
- [71] T. E. Grotz, A. S. Mansfield, J. W. Jakub and S. N. Markovic, "Regional lymphatic immunity in melanoma," Melanoma Res., vol. 22, pp. 9-18, Feb, 2012.

- [72] M. E. Dudley, J. C. Yang, R. Sherry, M. S. Hughes, R. Royal, U. Kammula, P. F. Robbins, J. Huang, D. E. Citrin, S. F. Leitman, J. Wunderlich, N. P. Restifo, A. Thomasian, S. G. Downey, F. O. Smith, J. Klapper, K. Morton, C. Laurencot, D. E. White and S. A. Rosenberg, "Adoptive cell therapy for patients with metastatic melanoma: evaluation of intensive myeloablative chemoradiation preparative regimens," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol.* 26, pp. 5233-5239, Nov 10, 2008.
- [73] R. R. Braeuer, E. Shoshan, T. Kamiya and M. Bar-Eli, "The sweet and bitter sides of galectins in melanoma progression," *Pigment Cell. Melanoma Res.*, vol. 25, pp. 592-601, Sep, 2012.
- [74] M. W. Ma, R. C. Medicherla, M. Qian, E. Vega-Saenz de Miera, E. B. Friedman, R. S. Berman, R. L. Shapiro, A. C. Pavlick, P. A. Ott, N. Bhardwaj, Y. Shao, I. Osman and F. Darvishian, "Immune response in melanoma: an in-depth analysis of the primary tumor and corresponding sentinel lymph node," *Mod. Pathol., vol. 25, pp. 1000-1010, Jul, 2012.*
- [75] A. S. Mansfield, S. G. Holtan, T. E. Grotz, J. B. Allred, J. W. Jakub, L. A. Erickson and S. N. Markovic, "Regional immunity in melanoma: immunosuppressive changes precede nodal metastasis," *Mod. Pathol., vol.* 24, pp. 487-494, Apr, 2011.
- [76] M. E. Polak, P. Johnson, S. Di Palma, B. Higgins, J. Hurren, N. J. Borthwick, M. J. Jager, D. Mccormick and I. A. Cree, "Presence and maturity of dendritic cells in melanoma lymph node metastases," *J. Pathol.*, vol. 207, pp. 83-90, Sep, 2005.
- [77] A. Busse and U. Keilholz, "Role of TGF-beta in melanoma," *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.*, vol. 12, pp. 2165-2175, Dec, 2011.
- [78] K. Asadullah, W. Sterry and H. D. Volk, "Interleukin-10 therapy--review of a new approach," *Pharmacol. Rev.*, vol. 55, pp. 241-269, Jun, 2003.
- [79] C. De Santo, R. Arscott, S. Booth, I. Karydis, M. Jones, R. Asher, M. Salio, M. Middleton and V. Cerundolo, "Invariant NKT cells modulate the suppressive activity of IL-10-secreting neutrophils differentiated with serum amyloid A," *Nat. Immunol.*, vol. 11, pp. 1039-1046, Nov, 2010.
- [80] A. Facciabene, X. Peng, I. S. Hagemann, K. Balint, A. Barchetti, L. P. Wang, P. A. Gimotty, C. B. Gilks, P. Lal, L. Zhang and G. Coukos, "Tumour hypoxia promotes tolerance and angiogenesis via CCL28 and T(reg) cells," *Nature*, vol. 475, pp. 226-230, Jul 13, 2011.
- [81] N. Schwinn, D. Vokhminova, A. Sucker, S. Textor, S. Striegel, I. Moll, N. Nausch, J. Tuettenberg, A. Steinle, A. Cerwenka, D. Schadendorf and A. Paschen, "Interferongamma down-regulates NKG2D ligand expression and impairs the NKG2D-mediated cytolysis of MHC class I-deficient melanoma by natural killer cells," *Int. J. Cancer*, vol. 124, pp. 1594-1604, Apr 1, 2009.
- [82] A. Paschen, A. Sucker, B. Hill, I. Moll, M. Zapatka, X. D. Nguyen, G. C. Sim, I. Gutmann, J. Hassel, J. C. Becker, A. Steinle, D. Schadendorf and S. Ugurel, "Differential

- clinical significance of individual NKG2D ligands in melanoma: soluble ULBP2 as an indicator of poor prognosis superior to S100B," Clin. Cancer Res., vol. 15, pp. 5208-5215, Aug 15, 2009.
- [83] S. K. Calderwood, M. A. Khaleque, D. B. Sawyer and D. R. Ciocca, "Heat shock proteins in cancer: chaperones of tumorigenesis," Trends Biochem. Sci., vol. 31, pp. 164-172, Mar, 2006.
- [84] C. Shipp, B. Weide, E. Derhovanessian and G. Pawelec, "Hsps are up-regulated in melanoma tissue and correlate with patient clinical parameters," Cell Stress Chaperones, Aug 8, 2012.
- [85] S. A. Rosenberg, J. C. Yang, D. J. Schwartzentruber, P. Hwu, F. M. Marincola, S. L. Topalian, N. P. Restifo, M. E. Dudley, S. L. Schwarz, P. J. Spiess, J. R. Wunderlich, M. R. Parkhurst, Y. Kawakami, C. A. Seipp, J. H. Einhorn and D. E. White, "Immunologic and therapeutic evaluation of a synthetic peptide vaccine for the treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma," Nat. Med., vol. 4, pp. 321-327, Mar, 1998.
- [86] J. C. Yang, "Melanoma vaccines," *Cancer J.*, vol. 17, pp. 277-282, Sep-Oct, 2011.
- [87] W. J. Lesterhuis, E. H. Aarntzen, I. J. De Vries, D. H. Schuurhuis, C. G. Figdor, G. J. Adema and C. J. Punt, "Dendritic cell vaccines in melanoma: from promise to proof?" Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol., vol. 66, pp. 118-134, May, 2008.
- [88] J. Banchereau and R. M. Steinman, "Dendritic cells and the control of immunity," Nature, vol. 392, pp. 245-252, Mar 19, 1998.
- [89] M. E. Dudley and S. A. Rosenberg, "Adoptive-cell-transfer therapy for the treatment of patients with cancer," Nat. Rev. Cancer., vol. 3, pp. 666-675, Sep, 2003.
- [90] S. Lee and K. Margolin, "Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Melanoma," Curr. Oncol. Rep., Aug 10, 2012.
- [91] S. A. Rosenberg, J. C. Yang, R. M. Sherry, U. S. Kammula, M. S. Hughes, G. Q. Phan, D. E. Citrin, N. P. Restifo, P. F. Robbins, J. R. Wunderlich, K. E. Morton, C. M. Laurencot, S. M. Steinberg, D. E. White and M. E. Dudley, "Durable complete responses in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma using T-cell transfer immunotherapy," Clin. Cancer Res., vol. 17, pp. 4550-4557, Jul 1, 2011.
- [92] P. F. Robbins, R. A. Morgan, S. A. Feldman, J. C. Yang, R. M. Sherry, M. E. Dudley, J. R. Wunderlich, A. V. Nahvi, L. J. Helman, C. L. Mackall, U. S. Kammula, M. S. Hughes, N. P. Restifo, M. Raffeld, C. C. Lee, C. L. Levy, Y. F. Li, M. El-Gamil, S. L. Schwarz, C. Laurencot and S. A. Rosenberg, "Tumor regression in patients with metastatic synovial cell sarcoma and melanoma using genetically engineered lymphocytes reactive with NY-ESO-1," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 29, pp. 917-924, Mar 1, 2011.
- [93] M. B. Atkins, M. T. Lotze, J. P. Dutcher, R. I. Fisher, G. Weiss, K. Margolin, J. Abrams, M. Sznol, D. Parkinson, M. Hawkins, C. Paradise, L. Kunkel and S. A. Rosenberg, "High-dose recombinant interleukin 2 therapy for patients with metastatic melano-

- ma: analysis of 270 patients treated between 1985 and 1993," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 17, pp. 2105-2116, Jul, 1999.*
- [94] J. M. Kirkwood, J. Manola, J. Ibrahim, V. Sondak, M. S. Ernstoff, U. Rao and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, "A pooled analysis of eastern cooperative oncology group and intergroup trials of adjuvant high-dose interferon for melanoma," *Clin. Cancer Res.*, vol. 10, pp. 1670-1677, Mar 1, 2004.
- [95] F. S. Hodi, S. J. O'Day, D. F. McDermott, R. W. Weber, J. A. Sosman, J. B. Haanen, R. Gonzalez, C. Robert, D. Schadendorf, J. C. Hassel, W. Akerley, A. J. van den Eertwegh, J. Lutzky, P. Lorigan, J. M. Vaubel, G. P. Linette, D. Hogg, C. H. Ottensmeier, C. Lebbe, C. Peschel, I. Quirt, J. I. Clark, J. D. Wolchok, J. S. Weber, J. Tian, M. J. Yellin, G. M. Nichol, A. Hoos and W. J. Urba, "Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma," N. Engl. J. Med., vol. 363, pp. 711-723, Aug 19, 2010.
- [96] M. A. Postow, J. Harding and J. D. Wolchok, "Targeting immune checkpoints: releasing the restraints on anti-tumor immunity for patients with melanoma," *Cancer J.*, vol. 18, pp. 153-159, Mar-Apr, 2012.
- [97] J. R. Brahmer, S. S. Tykodi, L. Q. Chow, W. J. Hwu, S. L. Topalian, P. Hwu, C. G. Drake, L. H. Camacho, J. Kauh, K. Odunsi, H. C. Pitot, O. Hamid, S. Bhatia, R. Martins, K. Eaton, S. Chen, T. M. Salay, S. Alaparthy, J. F. Grosso, A. J. Korman, S. M. Parker, S. Agrawal, S. M. Goldberg, D. M. Pardoll, A. Gupta and J. M. Wigginton, "Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer," *N. Engl. J. Med.*, vol. 366, pp. 2455-2465, Jun 28, 2012.
- [98] S. L. Topalian, F. S. Hodi, J. R. Brahmer, S. N. Gettinger, D. C. Smith, D. F. McDermott, J. D. Powderly, R. D. Carvajal, J. A. Sosman, M. B. Atkins, P. D. Leming, D. R. Spigel, S. J. Antonia, L. Horn, C. G. Drake, D. M. Pardoll, L. Chen, W. H. Sharfman, R. A. Anders, J. M. Taube, T. L. McMiller, H. Xu, A. J. Korman, M. Jure-Kunkel, S. Agrawal, D. McDonald, G. D. Kollia, A. Gupta, J. M. Wigginton and M. Sznol, "Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer," N. Engl. J. Med., vol. 366, pp. 2443-2454, Jun 28, 2012.
- [99] M. M. Adams, P. Damani, N. R. Perl, A. Won, F. Hong, P. O. Livingston, G. Ragupathi and D. Y. Gin, "Design and synthesis of potent Quillaja saponin vaccine adjuvants," *J Am Chem Soc*, vol. 132, pp. 1939-45, Feb 17, 2010.
- [100] B. Krone, K. F. Kolmel, J. M. Grange, G. Mastrangelo, B. M. Henz, I. N. Botev, M. Niin, C. Seebacher, D. Lambert, R. Shafir, E. M. Kokoschka, U. R. Kleeberg, O. Gefeller and A. Pfahlberg, "Impact of vaccinations and infectious diseases on the risk of melanoma--evaluation of an EORTC case-control study," *Eur J Cancer*, vol. 39, pp. 2372-8, Nov, 2003.
- [101] H. K. Silver, E. M. Ibrahim, J. A. Evers, J. W. Thomas, R. N. Murray and J. J. Spinelli, "Adjuvant BCG immunotherapy for stage I and II malignant melanoma," *Can Med Assoc J*, vol. 128, pp. 1291-5, Jun 1, 1983.

- [102] J. H. Stewart 4th and E. A. Levine. Role of bacillus calmette-guerin in the treatment of advanced melanoma. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 11(11), pp. 1671-1676. 2011. Available: http:// ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=22050015.
- [103] S. S. Agarwala, D. Neuberg, Y. Park and J. M. Kirkwood, "Mature results of a phase III randomized trial of bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) versus observation and BCG plus dacarbazine versus BCG in the adjuvant therapy of American Joint Committee on Cancer Stage I-III melanoma (E1673): a trial of the Eastern Oncology Group," Cancer, vol. 100, pp. 1692-8, Apr 15, 2004.
- [104] D. L. Morton, F. R. Eilber, E. C. Holmes, F. C. Sparks and K. Ramming, "BCG immunotherapy as a systemic adjunct to surgery in malignant melanoma," Med Clin North Am, vol. 60, pp. 431-9, May, 1976.
- [105] D. L. Morton, L. J. Foshag, D. S. Hoon, J. A. Nizze, E. Famatiga, L. A. Wanek, C. Chang, D. G. Davtyan, R. K. Gupta and R. Elashoff, "Prolongation of survival in metastatic melanoma after active specific immunotherapy with a new polyvalent melanoma vaccine," Ann Surg, vol. 216, pp. 463-82, Oct, 1992.
- [106] D. L. Morton, E. C. Hsueh, R. Essner, L. J. Foshag, S. J. O'Day, A. Bilchik, R. K. Gupta, D. S. Hoon, M. Ravindranath, J. A. Nizze, G. Gammon, L. A. Wanek, H. J. Wang and R. M. Elashoff, "Prolonged survival of patients receiving active immunotherapy with Canvaxin therapeutic polyvalent vaccine after complete resection of melanoma metastatic to regional lymph nodes," Ann Surg, vol. 236, pp. 438-48; discussion 448-9, Oct, 2002.
- [107] S. Hoshimoto, M. B. Faries, D. L. Morton, T. Shingai, C. Kuo, H. J. Wang, R. Elashoff, N. Mozzillo, M. C. Kelley, J. F. Thompson, J. E. Lee and D. S. Hoon, "Assessment of prognostic circulating tumor cells in a phase III trial of adjuvant immunotherapy after complete resection of stage IV melanoma," Ann Surg, vol. 255, pp. 357-62, Feb, 2012.
- [108] I. Hara, N. Sato, H. Miyake, M. Muramaki, S. Hikosaka and S. Kamidono, "Introduction of 65 kDa antigen of Mycobacterium tuberculosis to cancer cells enhances antitumor effect of BCG therapy," Microbiol Immunol, vol. 48, pp. 289-95, 2004.
- [109] C. W. Cluff, "Monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) as an adjuvant for anti-cancer vaccines: clinical results," Adv Exp Med Biol, vol. 667, pp. 111-23, 2010.
- [110] J. T. Evans, C. W. Cluff, D. A. Johnson, M. J. Lacy, D. H. Persing and J. R. Baldridge, "Enhancement of antigen-specific immunity via the TLR4 ligands MPL adjuvant and Ribi.529," Expert Rev Vaccines, vol. 2, pp. 219-29, Apr, 2003.
- [111] N. Schultz, R. Oratz, D. Chen, A. Zeleniuch-Jacquotte, G. Abeles and J. C. Bystryn, "Effect of DETOX as an adjuvant for melanoma vaccine," Vaccine, vol. 13, pp. 503-8, Apr, 1995.

- [112] H. X. Sun, Y. Xie and Y. P. Ye, "Advances in saponin-based adjuvants," *Vaccine*, vol. 27, pp. 1787-96, Mar 13, 2009.
- [113] G. Ragupathi, J. R. Gardner, P. O. Livingston and D. Y. Gin, "Natural and synthetic saponin adjuvant QS-21 for vaccines against cancer," *Expert Rev Vaccines*, vol. 10, pp. 463-70, Apr, 2011.
- [114] K. Deng, M. M. Adams, P. Damani, P. O. Livingston, G. Ragupathi and D. Y. Gin, "Synthesis of QS-21-xylose: establishment of the immunopotentiating activity of synthetic QS-21 adjuvant with a melanoma vaccine," *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*, vol. 47, pp. 6395-8, 2008.
- [115] P. O. Livingston, "Approaches to augmenting the immunogenicity of melanoma gangliosides: from whole melanoma cells to ganglioside-KLH conjugate vaccines," *Immunol Rev*, vol. 145, pp. 147-66, Jun, 1995.
- [116] J. Aucouturier, L. Dupuis, S. Deville, S. Ascarateil and V. Ganne, "Montanide ISA 720 and 51: a new generation of water in oil emulsions as adjuvants for human vaccines," *Expert Rev Vaccines*, vol. 1, pp. 111-8, Jun, 2002.
- [117] J. Aucouturier, S. Ascarateil and L. Dupuis, "The use of oil adjuvants in therapeutic vaccines," *Vaccine*, vol. 24 Suppl 2, pp. S2-44-5, Apr 12, 2006.
- [118] E. J. Huijbers, J. Femel, K. Andersson, H. Bjorkelund, L. Hellman and A. K. Olsson, "The non-toxic and biodegradable adjuvant Montanide ISA 720/CpG can replace Freund's in a cancer vaccine targeting ED-B--a prerequisite for clinical development," *Vaccine*, vol. 30, pp. 225-30, Jan 5, 2012.
- [119] J. Fourcade, P. Kudela, P. A. Andrade Filho, B. Janjic, S. R. Land, C. Sander, A. Krieg, A. Donnenberg, H. Shen, J. M. Kirkwood and H. M. Zarour, "Immunization with analog peptide in combination with CpG and montanide expands tumor antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in melanoma patients," *J Immunother*, vol. 31, pp. 781-91, Oct, 2008.
- [120] J. Karbach, S. Gnjatic, A. Bender, A. Neumann, E. Weidmann, J. Yuan, C. A. Ferrara, E. Hoffmann, L. J. Old, N. K. Altorki and E. Jager, "Tumor-reactive CD8+ T-cell responses after vaccination with NY-ESO-1 peptide, CpG 7909 and Montanide ISA-51: association with survival," *Int J Cancer*, vol. 126, pp. 909-18, Feb 15, 2010.
- [121] K. A. Chianese-Bullock, J. Pressley, C. Garbee, S. Hibbitts, C. Murphy, G. Yamshchikov, G. R. Petroni, E. A. Bissonette, P. Y. Neese, W. W. Grosh, P. Merrill, R. Fink, E. M. Woodson, C. J. Wiernasz, J. W. Patterson and S. C. L. Jr, "MAGE-A1-, MAGE-A10-, and gp100-derived peptides are immunogenic when combined with granulo-cyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and montanide ISA-51 adjuvant and administered as part of a multipeptide vaccine for melanoma," *J Immunol*, vol. 174, pp. 3080-6, Mar 1, 2005.
- [122] S. N. Markovic, V. J. Suman, J. N. Ingle, J. S. Kaur, H. C. Pitot, C. L. Loprinzi, R. D. Rao, E. T. Creagan, M. R. Pittelkow, J. B. Allred, W. K. Nevala and E. Celis, "Peptide vaccination of patients with metastatic melanoma: improved clinical outcome in pa-

- tients demonstrating effective immunization," Am J Clin Oncol, vol. 29, pp. 352-60, Aug, 2006.
- [123] D. T. Le and E. M. Jaffee, "Regulatory T-cell modulation using cyclophosphamide in vaccine approaches: a current perspective," Cancer Res, vol. 72, pp. 3439-44, Jul 15, 2012.
- [124] E. Elkord, E. M. Alcantar-Orozco, S. J. Dovedi, D. Q. Tran, R. E. Hawkins and D. E. Gilham, "T regulatory cells in cancer: recent advances and therapeutic potential," Expert Opin Biol Ther, vol. 10, pp. 1573-86, Nov, 2010.
- [125] Q. Y. Guo, M. Yuan, J. Peng, X. M. Cui, G. Song, X. Sui and S. B. Lu, "Antitumor activity of mixed heat shock protein/peptide vaccine and cyclophosphamide plus interleukin-12 in mice sarcoma," J Exp Clin Cancer Res, vol. 30, pp. 24, 2011.
- [126] F. Ghiringhelli, N. Larmonier, E. Schmitt, A. Parcellier, D. Cathelin, C. Garrido, B. Chauffert, E. Solary, B. Bonnotte and F. Martin, "CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells suppress tumor immunity but are sensitive to cyclophosphamide which allows immunotherapy of established tumors to be curative," Eur J Immunol, vol. 34, pp. 336-44, Feb, 2004.
- [127] M. L. Salem, A. N. Kadima, S. A. El-Naggar, M. P. Rubinstein, Y. Chen, W. E. Gillanders and D. J. Cole, "Defining the ability of cyclophosphamide preconditioning to enhance the antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell response to peptide vaccination: creation of a beneficial host microenvironment involving type I IFNs and myeloid cells," J Immunother, vol. 30, pp. 40-53, Jan, 2007.
- [128] J. M. Kirkwood, M. H. Strawderman, M. S. Ernstoff, T. J. Smith, E. C. Borden and R. H. Blum, "Interferon alfa-2b adjuvant therapy of high-risk resected cutaneous melanoma: the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial EST 1684," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 14, pp. 7-17, Jan, 1996.
- [129] J. M. Kirkwood, J. G. Ibrahim, V. K. Sondak, J. Richards, L. E. Flaherty, M. S. Ernstoff, T. J. Smith, U. Rao, M. Steele and R. H. Blum, "High- and low-dose interferon alfa-2b in high-risk melanoma: first analysis of intergroup trial E1690/S9111/C9190," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 18, pp. 2444-2458, Jun, 2000.
- [130] A. M. Eggermont, S. Suciu, M. Santinami, A. Testori, W. H. Kruit, J. Marsden, C. J. Punt, F. Sales, M. Gore, R. Mackie, Z. Kusic, R. Dummer, A. Hauschild, E. Musat, A. Spatz, U. Keilholz and EORTC Melanoma Group, "Adjuvant therapy with pegylated interferon alfa-2b versus observation alone in resected stage III melanoma: final results of EORTC 18991, a randomised phase III trial," Lancet, vol. 372, pp. 117-126, Jul 12, 2008.
- [131] S. Mocellin, S. Pasquali, C. R. Rossi and D. Nitti, "Interferon alpha adjuvant therapy in patients with high-risk melanoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis," J. Natl. Cancer Inst., vol. 102, pp. 493-501, Apr 7, 2010.

- [132] C. I. Falkson, G. Falkson and H. C. Falkson, "Improved results with the addition of interferon alfa-2b to dacarbazine in the treatment of patients with metastatic malignant melanoma," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 9, pp. 1403-1408, Aug, 1991.*
- [133] E. T. Creagan, D. L. Ahmann, S. J. Green, H. J. Long, S. Frytak, J. R. O'Fallon and L. M. Itri, "Phase II study of low-dose recombinant leukocyte A interferon in disseminated malignant melanoma," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 2, pp. 1002-1005, Sep, 1984.*
- [134] N. J. Ives, R. L. Stowe, P. Lorigan and K. Wheatley, "Chemotherapy compared with biochemotherapy for the treatment of metastatic melanoma: a meta-analysis of 18 trials involving 2,621 patients," *J. Clin. Oncol.*, vol. 25, pp. 5426-5434, Dec 1, 2007.
- [135] A. A. Tarhini, J. M. Kirkwood, W. E. Gooding, C. Cai and S. S. Agarwala, "Durable complete responses with high-dose bolus interleukin-2 in patients with metastatic melanoma who have experienced progression after biochemotherapy," *J. Clin. Oncol.*, vol. 25, pp. 3802-3807, Sep 1, 2007.
- [136] D. F. McDermott and M. B. Atkins, "More support for the judicious use of high-dose interleukin-2 in patients with advanced melanoma," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 25, pp. 3791-3793, Sep 1, 2007.*
- [137] T. M. Petrella, R. Tozer, K. Belanger, K. J. Savage, R. Wong, M. Smylie, S. Kamel-Reid, V. Tron, B. E. Chen, N. N. Hunder, L. Hagerman, W. Walsh and E. A. Eisenhauer, "Interleukin-21 Has Activity in Patients With Metastatic Melanoma: A Phase II Study," *J. Clin. Oncol.*, Aug 20, 2012.
- [138] L. E. Spitler, M. L. Grossbard, M. S. Ernstoff, G. Silver, M. Jacobs, F. A. Hayes and S. J. Soong, "Adjuvant therapy of stage III and IV malignant melanoma using granulo-cyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 18, pp. 1614-1621, Apr, 2000.*
- [139] D. H. Lawson, S. J. Lee, A. A. Tarhini, K. A. Margolin, M. S. Ernstoff and J. M. Kirkwood, "Phase III cooperative group study of yeast-derived granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) versus placebo as adjuvant treatment of patients with completely resected stage III-IV melanoma," *J Clin Oncol*, vol. 28, pp. 8504, 2010.
- [140] M. Chi and A. Z. Dudek. Vaccine therapy for metastatic melanoma: Systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Melanoma Res.* 21(3), pp. 165-174. 2011.
- [141] A. M. Terando, M. B. Faries and D. L. Morton. Vaccine therapy for melanoma: Current status and future directions. *Vaccine* 25pp. B4-B16. 2007.
- [142] D. BERD, H. MAGUIRE, P. MCCUE and M. MASTRANGELO. Treatment of metastatic melanoma with an autologous tumor-cell vaccine clinical and immunological results in 64 patients. *Journal of Clinical Oncology 8(11)*, pp. 1858-1867. 1990.
- [143] D. Berd, H. Maguire, L. Schuchter, R. Hamilton, W. Hauck, T. Sato and M. Mastrangelo. Autologous hapten-modified melanoma vaccine as postsurgical adjuvant treatment after resection of nodal metastases. Journal of Clinical Oncology 15(6), pp. 2359-2370. 1997.

- [144] D. Berd, T. Sato, H. C. Maguire, J. Kairys and M. J. Mastrangelo. Immunopharmacologic analysis of an autologous, hapten-modified human melanoma vaccine. Journal of Clinical Oncology [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 22(3), pp. 403-415. 2004. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=41-07931.
- [145] R. O. Dillman, C. DePriest, C. DeLeon, N. M. Barth, L. S. Schwartzberg, L. D. Beutel, P. M. Schiltz and S. K. Nayak. Patient-specific vaccines derived from autologous tumor cell lines as active specific immunotherapy: Results of exploratory phase I/II trials in patients with metastatic melanoma. Cancer Biotherapy and Radiopharmaceuticals 22(3), pp. 309-321. 2007.
- [146] R. Dillman, S. Selvan, P. Schiltz, C. Peterson, K. Allen, C. DePriest, E. McClay, N. Barth, P. Sheehy, C. de Leon and L. Beutel. Phase I/II trial of melanoma patient-speciric vaccine of proliferating autologous tumor cells, dendritic cells, and GM-CSF: Planned interim analysis. Cancer Biotherapy and Radiopharmaceuticals 19(5), pp. 658-665. 2004.
- [147] R. O. Dillman, L. D. Beutel, N. M. Barth, C. de Leon, A. A. O'Connor, C. DePriest and S. K. Nayak. Irradiated cells from autologous tumor cell lines as patient-specific vaccine therapy in 125 patients with metastatic cancer: Induction of delayed-type hypersensitivity to autologous tumor is associated with improved survival. Cancer Biother. Radiopharm. [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 17(1), pp. 51-66. 2002. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=11915174.
- [148] A. Anichini, C. Vegetti and R. Mortarini. The paradox of T-cell-mediated antitumor immunity in spite of poor clinical outcome in human melanoma. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 53(10), pp. 2004. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? 855-864. T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=15175905.
- [149] E. G. Elias, C. M. Suter and D. S. Fabian. Adjuvant immunotherapy in melanoma with irradiated autologous tumor cells and low dose cyclophosphamide. J. Surg. Oncol. [Part @ UAMS (Click Library Holdings)]. 64(1), pp. 17-22. 1997. Available: http:// ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=9040795.
- [150] E. G. Elias, J. L. Zapas, E. C. McCarron, S. L. Beam, J. H. Hasskamp and W. J. Culpepper. Sequential administration of GM-CSF (sargramostim (R)) and IL-2 +/- autologous vaccine as adjuvant therapy in cutaneous melanoma: An interim report of a phase II clinical trial. Cancer Biotherapy and Radiopharmaceuticals 23(3), pp. 285-291. 2008.
- [151] O. Eton, D. Kharkevitch, M. Gianan, M. Ross, K. Itoh, M. Pride, C. Donawho, A. Buzaid, P. Mansfield, J. Lee, S. Legha, C. Plager, N. Papadopoulos, A. Bedikian, R. Benjamin and C. Balch. Active immunotherapy with ultraviolet B-irradiated autologous

- whole melanoma cells plus DETOX in patients with metastatic melanoma. Clinical Cancer Research 4(3), pp. 619-627. 1998.
- [152] R. Soiffer, T. Lynch, M. Mihm, K. Jung, C. Rhuda, J. C. Schmollinger, F. S. Hodi, L. Liebster, P. Lam, S. Mentzer, S. Singer, K. K. Tanabe, A. B. Cosimi, R. Duda, A. Sober, A. Bhan, J. Daley, D. Neuberg, G. Parry, J. Rokovich, L. Richards, J. Drayer, A. Berns, S. Clift, L. K. Cohen, R. C. Mulligan and G. Dranoff, "Vaccination with irradiated autologous melanoma cells engineered to secrete human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor generates potent antitumor immunity in patients with metastatic melanoma," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., vol. 95, pp. 13141-13146, Oct 27, 1998.
- [153] S. Nawrocki, P. Murawa, J. Malicki, M. Kapcinska, K. Gryska, D. Izycki, A. Kaczmarek, M. Laciak, A. Czapczyk, A. Karczewska, S. Rose-John and A. Mackiewicz, "Genetically modified tumour vaccines (GMTV) in melanoma clinical trials," Immunol. Lett., vol. 74, pp. 81-86, Sep 15, 2000.
- [154] A. Testori, J. Richards, E. Whitman, G. B. Mann, J. Lutzky, L. Camacho, G. Parmiani, G. Tosti, J. M. Kirkwood, A. Hoos, L. Yuh, R. Gupta and P. K. Srivastava. Phase III comparison of vitespen, an autologous tumor-derived heat shock protein gp96 peptide complex vaccine, with physician's choice of treatment for stage IV melanoma: The C-100-21 study group. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 26(6), pp. 955-962. 2008.
- [155] V. Sondak, M. Sabel and J. Mule. Allogeneic and autologous melanoma vaccines: Where have we been and where are we going? Clinical Cancer Research 12(7), pp. 2337S-2341S. 2006.
- [156] E. Hsueh and D. Morton. Antigen-based immunotherapy of melanoma: Canvaxin therapeutic polyvalent cancer vaccine. Semin. Cancer Biol. 13(6), pp. 401-407. 2003.
- [157] D. Berd and G. Parmiani. Human tumor vaccines go molecular. summary of american association for cancer research symposium, march 20,1995. Cancer Immunology, *Immunotherapy* [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 41(3), pp. 199-200. Available: 1995. http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med3&AN=7553690.
- [158] M. M. Barrio, P. T. de Motta, J. Kaplan, E. M. von Euw, A. I. Bravo, R. D. Chacon and J. Mordoh, "A phase I study of an allogeneic cell vaccine (VACCIMEL) with GM-CSF in melanoma patients," J. Immunother., vol. 29, pp. 444-454, Jul-Aug, 2006.
- [159] M. K. Wallack, S. D. Scoggin and M. Sivanandham, "Active specific immunotherapy with vaccinia melanoma oncolysate," Mt. Sinai J. Med., vol. 59, pp. 227-233, May, 1992.
- [160] M. S. Mitchell, W. Harel, J. Kan-Mitchell, L. G. LeMay, P. Goedegebuure, X. Q. Huang, F. Hofman and S. Groshen. Active specific immunotherapy of melanoma with allogeneic cell lysates. rationale, results, and possible mechanisms of action. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. [Part @ UAMS (Click Library Holdings)]. 690pp. 153-166. 1993.

- http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? Available: T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med3&AN=8368734.
- [161] V. K. Sondak, P. Y. Liu, R. J. Tuthill, R. A. Kempf and L. E. Flaherty. Adjuvant immunotherapy of resected, intermediate-thickness, node-negative melanoma with an allogeneic tumor vaccine: Overall results of a randomized trial of the southwest oncology group. Journal of Clinical Oncology [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 20(8), pp. 2058-2066. 2002. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=39-11023.
- [162] V. K. Sondak, J. Sosman, J. M. Unger, P. Y. Liu, J. Thompson, R. Tuthill, R. Kempf and L. Flaherty. Significant impact of HLA class I allele expression on outcome in melanoma patients treated with an allogeneic melanoma cell lysate vaccine. final analysis of SWOG-9035 [abstract]. Annual Meeting Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 707p2004. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=cctr&AN=CN-00544014.
- [163] J. A. Sosman, J. M. Unger, P. Y. Liu, L. E. Flaherty and SW Oncology Grp. Adjuvant immunotherapy of resected, intermediate-thickness, node-negative melanoma with an allogeneic tumor vaccine: Impact of HLA class I antigen expression on outcome. Journal of Clinical Oncology [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 20(8), pp. 2067-2075. 2002. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=39-10980.
- [164] M. S. Mitchell, J. Abrams, J. A. Thompson, M. Kashani-Sabet, R. C. DeConti, W. Hwu, M. B. Atkins, E. Whitman, M. S. Ernstoff, F. G. Haluska, J. G. Jakowatz, T. K. Das Gupta, J. M. Richards, W. E. Samlowski, J. J. Costanzi, F. R. Aronson, A. B. Deisseroth, A. Z. Dudek and V. E. Jones. Randomized trial of an allogeneic melanoma lysate vaccine with low-dose interferon alfa-2b compared with high-dose interferon alfa-2b for resected stage III cutaneous melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology 25(15), pp. 2078-2085. 2007.
- [165] J. D. Wolchok and P. O. Livingston. Vaccines for melanoma: Translating basic immunology into new therapies. Lancet Oncology [Part @ UAMS (Click Library Holdings)]. Available: 2001. http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? 2(4),pp. T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=11905765.
- [166] I. D. Davis, W. Chen, H. Jackson, P. Parente, M. Shackleton, W. Hopkins, Q. Chen, N. Dimopoulos, T. Luke, R. Murphy, A. M. Scott, E. Maraskovsky, G. McArthur, D. MacGregor, S. Sturrock, T. Y. Tai, S. Green, A. Cuthbertson, D. Maher, L. Miloradovic, S. V. Mitchell, G. Ritter, A. A. Jungbluth, Y. T. Chen, S. Gnjatic, E. W. Hoffman, L. J. Old and J. S. Cebon, "Recombinant NY-ESO-1 protein with ISCOMATRIX adjuvant induces broad integrated antibody and CD4(+) and CD8(+) T cell responses in humans," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., vol. 101, pp. 10697-10702, Jul 20, 2004.
- [167] P. O. Livingston, G. Y. Wong, S. Adluri, Y. Tao, M. Padavan, R. Parente, C. Hanlon, M. J. Calves, F. Helling and G. Ritter. Improved survival in stage III melanoma pa-

- tients with GM2 antibodies: A randomized trial of adjuvant vaccination with GM2 ganglioside. Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 12(5), pp. 1036-1044. 1994. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=cctr&AN=CN-00100781.
- [168] F. Helling, S. Zhang, A. Shang, S. Adluri and M. Calves. GM2-KLH conjugate vaccine: Increased immunogenicity in melanoma patients after administration with immunological adjuvant QS-21. Cancer Res. [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 55(Jul 1), pp. 2783-2788. 1995. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=33-06593.
- [169] J. M. Kirkwood, J. G. Ibrahim, J. A. Sosman, V. K. Sondak, S. S. Agarwala, M. S. Ernstoff and U. Rao. High-dose interferon alfa-2b significantly prolongs relapse-free and overall survival compared with the GM2-KLH/QS-21 vaccine in patients with resected stage IIB-III melanoma: Results of intergroup trial E1694/S9512/C509801. Journal of Clinical Oncology [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 19(9), pp. 2370-2380. 2001. http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? Available: T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=11331315.
- [170] C. Buteau, S. Markovic and E. Celis. Challenges in the development of effective peptide vaccines for cancer. Mayo Clin. Proc. 77(4), pp. 339-349. 2002.
- [171] S. Tagawa, E. Cheung, W. Banta, C. Gee and J. Weber. Survival analysis after resection of metastatic disease followed by peptide vaccines in patients with stage IV melanoma. Cancer 106(6), pp. 1353-1357. 2006.
- [172] P. Lee, F. Wang, J. Kuniyoshi, V. Rubio, T. Stuges, S. Groshen, C. Gee, R. Lau, G. Jeffery, K. Margolin, V. Marty and J. Weber. Effects of interleukin-12 on the immune response to a multipeptide vaccine for resected metastatic melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology 19(18), pp. 3836-3847. 2001.
- [173] F. O. Smith, S. G. Downey, J. A. Klapper, J. C. Yang, R. M. Sherry, R. E. Royal, U. S. Kammula, M. S. Hughes, N. P. Restifo, C. L. Levy, D. E. White, S. M. Steinberg and S. A. Rosenberg. Treatment of metastatic melanoma using interleukin-2 alone or in conjunction with vaccines. Clinical Cancer Research 14(17), pp. 5610-5618. 2008.
- [174] C. L. Slingluff, G. R. Petroni, K. A. ChianeseBullock, M. E. Smolkin, S. Hibbitts, C. Murphy, N. Johansen, W. W. Grosh, G. V. Yamshchikov, P. Y. Neese, J. W. Patterson, R. Fink and P. K. Rehm. Immunologic and clinical outcomes of a randomized phase II trial of two multipeptide vaccines for melanoma in the adjuvant setting. Clinical Cancer Research: An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research [All @ UAMS]. 13(21), pp. 6386-6395. 2007. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=cctr&AN=CN-00620609.
- [175] V. Corbiere, J. Chapiro, V. Stroobant, W. Ma, C. Lurquin, B. Lethe, N. van Baren, B. J. Van den Eynde, T. Boon and P. G. Coulie. Antigen spreading contributes to MAGE

- vaccination-induced regression of melanoma metastases. Cancer Res. 71(4), pp. 1253-1262. 2011.
- [176] J. M. Kirkwood, S. Lee, S. J. Moschos, M. R. Albertini, J. C. Michalak, C. Sander, T. Whiteside, L. H. Butterfield and L. Weiner. Immunogenicity and antitumor effects of vaccination with peptide vaccine+/-granulocyte-monocyte colony-stimulating factor and/or IFN-alpha2b in advanced metastatic melanoma: Eastern cooperative oncology group phase II trial E1696. Clinical Cancer Research: An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research [All @ UAMS]. 15(4), pp. 1443-1451. 2009. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=cctr&AN=CN-00688685.
- [177] D. J. Schwartzentruber, D. H. Lawson, J. M. Richards, R. M. Conry, D. M. Miller, J. Treisman, F. Gailani, L. Riley, K. Conlon, B. Pockaj, K. L. Kendra, R. L. White, R. Gonzalez, T. M. Kuzel, B. Curti, P. D. Leming, E. D. Whitman, J. Balkissoon, D. S. Reintgen, H. Kaufman, F. M. Marincola, M. J. Merino, S. A. Rosenberg, P. Choyke, D. Vena and P. Hwu, "Gp100 Peptide Vaccine and Interleukin-2 in Patients with Advanced Melanoma," N. Engl. J. Med., vol. 364, pp. 2119-2127, Jun 2, 2011.
- [178] J. A. Sosman, C. Carrillo, W. J. Urba, L. Flaherty and Ernstoff. Three phase II cytokine working group trials of gp100 (210M) peptide plus high-dose interleukin-2 in patients with HLA-A2-positive advanced melanoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 26(14), pp. 2292-2298. 2008. Available: http:// ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=45-13437.
- [179] M. Bhattacharya-Chatterjee, S. K. Chatterjee and K. A. Foon. Anti-idiotype vaccine against cancer. Immunol. Lett. [Part @ UAMS (Click Library Holdings)]. 74(1), pp. 51-58. 2000. http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? Available: T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=10996628.
- [180] S. Ferrone. Anti-idiotypes in melanoma. Hybridoma [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. 12(5), pp. 509-514. 1993. Available: http:// ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med3&AN=8300123.
- [181] R. L. Metheringham, V. A. Pudney, B. Gunn, M. Towey, I. Spendlove and L. G. Durrant. Antibodies designed as effective cancer vaccines. MAbs 1(1), pp. 71-85. 2009. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=20046577.
- [182] K. A. Foon, G. Sen, L. Hutchins, O. L. Kashala, R. Baral, M. Banerjee, M. Chakraborty, J. Garrison, R. A. Reisfeld and M. Bhattacharya-Chatterjee. Antibody responses in melanoma patients immunized with an anti-idiotype antibody mimicking disialoganglioside GD2. Clinical Cancer Research [All @ UAMS]. 4(5), pp. 1117-1124. 1998. http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? Available: T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=9607568.

- [183] K. A. Foon, J. Lutzky, R. N. Baral, J. R. Yannelli, L. Hutchins, A. Teitelbaum, O. L. Kashala, R. Das, J. Garrison, R. A. Reisfeld and M. Bhattacharya-Chatterjee. Clinical and immune responses in advanced melanoma patients immunized with an anti-idiotype antibody mimicking disialoganglioside GD2. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. *18*(2), pp. 376-384. 2000. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=10637253.
- P. B. Chapman, D. Wu, G. Ragupathi, S. Lu, L. Williams, W. J. Hwu, D. Johnson and P. O. Livingston. Sequential immunization of melanoma patients with GD3 ganglioside vaccine and anti-idiotypic monoclonal antibody that mimics GD3 ganglioside. Clinical Cancer Research: An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research [All @ UAMS]. 10(14), pp. 4717-4723. 2004. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=cctr&AN=CN-00502094.
- [185] S. A. Rosenberg, J. C. Yang, D. J. Schwartzentruber, P. Hwu, S. L. Topalian, R. M. Sherry, N. P. Restifo, J. R. Wunderlich, C. A. Seipp, L. Rogers-Freezer, K. E. Morton, S. A. Mavroukakis, L. Gritz, D. L. Panicali and D. E. White. Recombinant fowlpox viruses encoding the anchor-modified gp100 melanoma antigen can generate antitumor immune responses in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Clinical Cancer Research* [All @ UAMS]. *9*(8), pp. 2973-2980. 2003. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medc&AN=12912944.
- [186] S. A. Rosenberg, Y. Zhai, J. C. Yang, D. J. Schwartzentruber and D. E. White. Immunizing patients with metastatic melanoma using recombinant adenoviruses encoding MART-1 or gp100 melanoma antigens. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 90(Dec 16), pp. 1894-1900. 1998. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=37-00699.
- [187] M. Adamina, R. Rosenthal, W. P. Weber, D. M. Frey, C. T. Viehl, M. Bolli, R. W. Huegli, A. L. Jacob, M. Heberer, D. Oertli, W. Marti, G. C. Spagnoli and P. Zajac. Intranodal immunization with a vaccinia virus encoding multiple antigenic epitopes and costimulatory molecules in metastatic melanoma. Molecular Therapy 18(3), pp. 651-659. 2010.
- B. W. Bridle, J. E. Boudreau, B. D. Lichty, J. Brunelliere, K. Stephenson, S. Koshy, J. L. Bramson and Y. Wan. Vesicular stomatitis virus as a novel cancer vaccine vector to prime antitumor immunity amenable to rapid boosting with adenovirus. Molecular Therapy: The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. *17(10)*, pp. 1814-1821. 2009. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=full-text&D=medl&AN=19603003.
- [189] P. Hersey, A. S. Coates, W. H. McCarthy, J. F. Thompson and R. J. Simes. Adjuvant immunotherapy of patients with high-risk melanoma using vaccinia viral lysates of melanoma: Results of a randomized trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* [All @ UAMS; LRVA (latest 10 years)]. 20(20), pp. 4181-4190. 2002. Available: http://

- ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=40-02647.
- [190] A. Mazzocchi, C. Melani, L. Rivoltini, C. Castelli, M. Del Vecchio, C. Lombardo, M. Colombo and G. Parmiani. Simultaneous transduction of B7-1 and IL-2 genes into human melanoma cells to be used as vaccine: Enhancement of stimulatory activity for autologous and allogeneic lymphocytes. Cancer Immunology Immunotherapy 50(4), pp. 199-211. 2001.
- [191] H. Kaufman, G. DeRaffele, J. Mitcham, D. Moroziewicz, S. Cohen, K. Hurst-Wicker, K. Cheung, D. Lee, J. Divito, M. Voulo, J. Donovan, K. Dolan, K. Manson, D. Panicali, E. Wang, H. Horig and F. Marincola. Targeting the local tumor microenvironment with vaccinia virus expressing B7.1 for the treatment of melanoma. J. Clin. Invest. 115(7), pp. 1903-1912. 2005.
- [192] C. J. Kim, J. Cormier, M. Roden, L. Gritz, G. P. Mazzara, P. Fetsch, Y. Hijazi, K. H. Lee, S. A. Rosenberg and F. M. Marincola. Use of recombinant poxviruses to stimulate anti-melanoma T cell reactivity. Annals of Surgical Oncology [Part @ UAMS (Click Library Holdings)]. 5(1), pp. 64-76. 1998. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=9524710.
- [193] Sabel and V. K. Sondak. Tumor vaccines A role in preventing recurrence in melanoma? American Journal of Clinical Dermatology [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 3(9), pp. 609-616. 2002. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=ipab&AN=40-06431.
- [194] G. J. Prud'homme. DNA vaccination against tumors. J. Gene Med. [Not locally available; ask about Interlibrary Loan]. 7(1), pp. 3-17. 2005. Available: http:// ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=15538731.
- [195] D. B. Lowe, M. H. Shearer, C. A. Jumper and R. C. Kennedy. Towards progress on DNA vaccines for cancer. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 64(18), pp. 2391-2403. 2007.
- [196] G. Dranoff. GM-CSF-secreting melanoma vaccines. Oncogene [Part @ UAMS (Click Library Holdings)]. 22(20), pp. 3188-3192. 2003. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=12789295.
- [197] H. L. Kaufman, D. W. Kim, G. DeRaffele, J. Mitcham, R. S. Coffin and S. Kim-Schulze. Local and distant immunity induced by intralesional vaccination with an oncolytic herpes virus encoding GM-CSF in patients with stage IIIc and IV melanoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology 17(3), pp. 718-730. 2010.
- [198] H. L. Kaufman and S. D. Bines, "OPTIM trial: a Phase III trial of an oncolytic herpes virus encoding GM-CSF for unresectable stage III or IV melanoma," Future Oncol., vol. 6, pp. 941-949, Jun, 2010.

- [199] A. T. Stopeck, E. M. Hersh, E. T. Akporiaye, D. T. Harris, T. Grogan, E. Unger, J. Warneke, S. F. Schluter and S. Stahl, "Phase I study of direct gene transfer of an allogeneic histocompatibility antigen, HLA-B7, in patients with metastatic melanoma," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 15, pp. 341-349, Jan, 1997.
- [200] A. T. Stopeck, A. Jones, E. M. Hersh, J. A. Thompson, D. M. Finucane, J. C. Gutheil and R. Gonzalez. Phase II study of direct intralesional gene transfer of allovectin-7, an HLA-B7/beta2-microglobulin DNA-liposome complex, in patients with metastatic melanoma. Clinical Cancer Research [All @ UAMS]. 7(8), pp. 2285-2291. 2001. Availahttp://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=11489803.
- [201] R. Gonzalez, L. Hutchins, J. Nemunaitis, M. Atkins and P. O. Schwarzenberger, "Phase 2 trial of Allovectin-7 in advanced metastatic melanoma," Melanoma Res., vol. 16, pp. 521-526, Dec, 2006.
- [202] A. Y. Bedikian, J. Richards, D. Kharkevitch, M. B. Atkins, E. Whitman and R. Gonzalez, "A phase 2 study of high-dose Allovectin-7 in patients with advanced metastatic melanoma," Melanoma Res., vol. 20, pp. 218-226, Jun, 2010.
- [203] R. Chowdhery and R. Gonzalez, "Immunologic therapy targeting metastatic melanoma: allovectin-7," Immunotherapy, vol. 3, pp. 17-21, Jan, 2011.
- [204] S. A. Rosenberg, M. T. Lotze, L. M. Muul, A. E. Chang, F. P. Avis, S. Leitman, W. M. Linehan, C. N. Robertson, R. E. Lee and J. T. Rubin, "A progress report on the treatment of 157 patients with advanced cancer using lymphokine-activated killer cells and interleukin-2 or high-dose interleukin-2 alone," N. Engl. J. Med., vol. 316, pp. 889-897, Apr 9, 1987.
- [205] S. A. Rosenberg, M. T. Lotze, J. C. Yang, S. L. Topalian, A. E. Chang, D. J. Schwartzentruber, P. Aebersold, S. Leitman, W. M. Linehan and C. A. Seipp, "Prospective randomized trial of high-dose interleukin-2 alone or in conjunction with lymphokine-activated killer cells for the treatment of patients with advanced cancer," J. Natl. Cancer Inst., vol. 85, pp. 622-632, Apr 21, 1993.
- [206] S. A. Rosenberg, J. R. Yannelli, J. C. Yang, S. L. Topalian, D. J. Schwartzentruber, J. S. Weber, D. R. Parkinson, C. A. Seipp, J. H. Einhorn and D. E. White, "Treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma with autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and interleukin 2," J. Natl. Cancer Inst., vol. 86, pp. 1159-1166, Aug 3, 1994.
- [207] A. I. Riker, S. Radfar, S. Liu, Y. Wang and H. T. Khong, "Immunotherapy of melanoma: a critical review of current concepts and future strategies," Expert Opin. Biol. Ther., vol. 7, pp. 345-358, Mar, 2007.
- [208] J. J. Hong, S. A. Rosenberg, M. E. Dudley, J. C. Yang, D. E. White, J. A. Butman and R. M. Sherry, "Successful treatment of melanoma brain metastases with adoptive cell therapy," Clin. Cancer Res., vol. 16, pp. 4892-4898, Oct 1, 2010.
- [209] Anonymous NCI. Available: http://www.cancer.gov/ncicancerbulletin/050112/page4.

- [210] B. Thurner, I. Haendle, C. Roder, D. Dieckmann, P. Keikavoussi, H. Jonuleit, A. Bender, C. Maczek, D. Schreiner, P. von den Driesch, E. B. Brocker, R. M. Steinman, A. Enk, E. Kampgen and G. Schuler, "Vaccination with mage-3A1 peptide-pulsed mature, monocyte-derived dendritic cells expands specific cytotoxic T cells and induces regression of some metastases in advanced stage IV melanoma," J. Exp. Med., vol. 190, pp. 1669-1678, Dec 6, 1999.
- [211] R. Lau, F. Wang, G. Jeffery, V. Marty, J. Kuniyoshi, E. Bade, M. E. Ryback and J. Weber, "Phase I trial of intravenous peptide-pulsed dendritic cells in patients with metastatic melanoma," J. Immunother., vol. 24, pp. 66-78, Jan-Feb, 2001.
- [212] L. Engell-Noerregaard, T. H. Hansen, M. H. Andersen, P. Thor Straten and I. M. Svane, "Review of clinical studies on dendritic cell-based vaccination of patients with malignant melanoma: assessment of correlation between clinical response and vaccine parameters," Cancer Immunol. Immunother., vol. 58, pp. 1-14, Jan, 2009.
- [213] D. Schadendorf, S. Ugurel, B. Schuler-Thurner, F. O. Nestle, A. Enk, E. B. Brocker, S. Grabbe, W. Rittgen, L. Edler, A. Sucker, C. Zimpfer-Rechner, T. Berger, J. Kamarashev, G. Burg, H. Jonuleit, A. Tuttenberg, J. C. Becker, P. Keikavoussi, E. Kampgen, G. Schuler and DC study group of the DeCOG, "Dacarbazine (DTIC) versus vaccination with autologous peptide-pulsed dendritic cells (DC) in first-line treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma: a randomized phase III trial of the DC study group of the DeCOG," Ann. Oncol., vol. 17, pp. 563-570, Apr, 2006.
- [214] D. T. Alexandrescu, T. E. Ichim, N. H. Riordan, F. M. Marincola, A. Di Nardo, F. D. Kabigting and C. A. Dasanu, "Immunotherapy for melanoma: current status and perspectives," J. Immunother., vol. 33, pp. 570-590, Jul-Aug, 2010.
- [215] R. Thomas, J. Padmanabha, M. Chambers, S. McFadyen, E. Walpole, G. Nielssen and M. Smithers. Metastatic lesions in the joint associated with acute inflammatory arthritis after dendritic cell immunotherapy for metastatic melanoma. Melanoma Res. [Online Access Only (Click E Journal Holdings for Information)]. 11(2), pp. 167-173. 2001. Available: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi? T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=med4&AN=11333127.
- [216] D. P. Lawrence, O. Hamid, D. F. McDermott, I. Puzanov, M. Sznol, J. Clark, T. Logan, F. S. Hodi, K. N. Heller and K. A. Margolin, "Phase II trial of ipilimubab monotherapy in melanoma patients with brain metastasis," J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 28, pp. 8523, 2010.
- [217] J. D. Wolchok, B. Neyns, G. Linette, S. Negrier, J. Lutzky, L. Thomas, W. Waterfield, D. Schadendorf, M. Smylie, T. Guthrie Jr, J. J. Grob, J. Chesney, K. Chin, K. Chen, A. Hoos, S. J. O'Day and C. Lebbe, "Ipilimumab monotherapy in patients with pretreated advanced melanoma: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 2, doseranging study," Lancet Oncol., vol. 11, pp. 155-164, Feb, 2010.
- [218] K. T. Flaherty, J. A. Sosman and M. B. Atkins, "New options and new questions: How to select sequence therapies for patients with metastatic melanoma," ASCO Educational Book, pp. 524-530, 2012.

- [219] J. M. Kirkwood, P. Lorigan, P. Hersey, A. Hauschild, C. Robert, D. McDermott, M. A. Marshall, J. Gomez-Navarro, J. Q. Liang and C. A. Bulanhagui, "Phase II trial of tremelimumab (CP-675,206) in patients with advanced refractory or relapsed melanoma," *Clin. Cancer Res.*, vol. 16, pp. 1042-1048, Feb 1, 2010.
- [220] A. Ribas, A. Hauschild, R. Kefford, C. J. Punt, J. B. Haanen, M. Marmol, C. Garbe, J. Gomez-Navarro, D. Pavlov and M. Marshall, "Phase III, open-label, randomized, comparative study of tremelimumab (CP-675,206) and chemotherpay (temozolomide or dacarbazine) in patiens with advanced melanoma," *J. Clin. Oncol.*, vol. 26, pp. LBA9011, 2008.
- [221] D. M. Benson Jr, C. E. Bakan, A. Mishra, C. C. Hofmeister, Y. Efebera, B. Becknell, R. A. Baiocchi, J. Zhang, J. Yu, M. K. Smith, C. N. Greenfield, P. Porcu, S. M. Devine, R. Rotem-Yehudar, G. Lozanski, J. C. Byrd and M. A. Caligiuri, "The PD-1/PD-L1 axis modulates the natural killer cell versus multiple myeloma effect: a therapeutic target for CT-011, a novel monoclonal anti-PD-1 antibody," *Blood*, vol. 116, pp. 2286-2294, Sep 30, 2010.
- [222] M. Sznol, F. S. Hodi, K. Margolin, D. F. McDermott, M. S. Ernstoff, J. M. Kirkwood, C. Wojtaszek, D. Feltquate and T. Logan, "Phase I study of BMS-663513, a fully human anti-CD137 agonist monoclonal antibody in patients with advanced cancer," *J. Clin. Oncol.*, vol. 26, pp. 3007, 2008.
- [223] B. Curti, A. Weinberg and N. Morris, "A phase I trial of monoclonal antibody to OX40 in patients with advanced cancer," *International Society for Biological Therapy of Cancer Annual Meeting*, 2007.
- [224] A. Boni, A. P. Cogdill, P. Dang, D. Udayakumar, C. N. Njauw, C. M. Sloss, C. R. Ferrone, K. T. Flaherty, D. P. Lawrence, D. E. Fisher, H. Tsao and J. A. Wargo, "Selective BRAFV600E inhibition enhances T-cell recognition of melanoma without affecting lymphocyte function," *Cancer Res.*, vol. 70, pp. 5213-5219, Jul 1, 2010.
- [225] M. A. Curran, W. Montalvo, H. Yagita and J. P. Allison, "PD-1 and CTLA-4 combination blockade expands infiltrating T cells and reduces regulatory T and myeloid cells within B16 melanoma tumors," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 107, pp. 4275-4280, Mar 2, 2010.
- [226] M. A. Curran, M. Kim, W. Montalvo, A. Al-Shamkhani and J. P. Allison, "Combination CTLA-4 blockade and 4-1BB activation enhances tumor rejection by increasing T-cell infiltration, proliferation, and cytokine production," *PLoS One*, vol. 6, pp. e19499, Apr 29, 2011.
- [227] A. A. Tarhini, J. Cherian, S. J. Moschos, H. A. Tawbi, Y. Shuai, W. E. Gooding, C. Sander and J. M. Kirkwood, "Safety and efficacy of combination immunotherapy with interferon alfa-2b and tremelimumab in patients with stage IV melanoma," *J. Clin. Oncol., vol. 30, pp. 322-328, Jan 20, 2012.*
- [228] F. S. Hodi, M. Butler, D. A. Oble, M. V. Seiden, F. G. Haluska, A. Kruse, S. Macrae, M. Nelson, C. Canning, I. Lowy, A. Korman, D. Lautz, S. Russell, M. T. Jaklitsch, N.

Ramaiya, T. C. Chen, D. Neuberg, J. P. Allison, M. C. Mihm and G. Dranoff, "Immunologic and clinical effects of antibody blockade of cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 in previously vaccinated cancer patients," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., vol. 105, pp. 3005-3010, Feb 26, 2008.





IntechOpen

IntechOpen