

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

186,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Cold Ischaemic Injury in Kidney Transplantation

Sarah A. Hosgood, James P. Hunter and Michael L. Nicholson

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/50666>

1. Introduction

Kidney transplantation is considered the best treatment for end stage renal failure (ESRF) with longer life expectancy and superior quality of life compared to dialysis therapy [1-3]. However, a major constraint to transplantation is the lack of suitable organ donors. To increase the number of available organs there has been an incentive to use 'marginal' donors such as donation after cardiac death (DCD) and expanded criteria donors (ECD), in addition to kidneys from the traditional living and deceased donors [4,5]. Although an important source of organs for transplantation, once transplanted a significant proportion of these kidneys have early graft dysfunction.

There are many attributing factors that influence the outcome of the transplanted graft. Donor and recipient age, creatinine clearance, history of hypertension, poor human leukocyte antigen (HLA) matching, cause of death, ethnicity, the cold ischaemic (CI) time and in the case of DCD donors the warm ischaemic insult have all been described as major determinants of graft function and graft survival [6]. The CI time is perhaps the only modifiable factor that significantly affects graft outcome.

Since the 1970s organ preservation has relied on hypothermic conditions to allow an organ to be preserved outside the body from the time of retrieval until transplantation. This allows the organ to be allocated nationally, to the most suitable and immunologically matched recipient. Nonetheless, hypothermic preservation has its limitations and viability cannot be sustained for an indefinite period of time. Hypothermic preservation has been described as 'a compromise between the benefits and detriments of cooling' [7].

2. Standard criteria donor (SCD)

Deceased organ donors fall into three categories. A standard criteria donor is a deceased donor who is declared brain dead after a stroke or other brain injury. Brain death means that there is the irreversible loss of function of the brain.

3. Donation after cardiac death (DCD) donor

Donation after cardiac death donors (DCD) are donors from which the organs are retrieved after the cessation of circulation due to a cardiac arrest. These organs are regarded as marginal organs due to the warm ischaemic (WI) insult that they receive before the onset of preservation. This WI interval causes a degree of injury that can lead to irreversible damage, resulting in an unfavourable outcome after transplantation. Four classifications of DCD donors have been categorised depending on the circumstances of death and when the organs are retrieved [8,9] (Table 1).

Category	Definition	Type
1	Dead on arrival	Uncontrolled
2	Unsuccessful resuscitation	Uncontrolled
3	Awaiting cardiac arrest	Controlled
4	Cardiac arrest while brain death	Controlled/uncontrolled

Table 1. Maastricht categories of donation after cardiac death donors.

Maastricht type 1 and 2 donors are patients who have died suddenly from a cardiac event or trauma and therefore are usually based in the Accident & Emergency department. After a failed resuscitation, the patient is pronounced dead and a 5 minute 'hands off' period allowed to lapse. The organs are perfused *in-situ* through aortic cannulas inserted through the femoral artery [10].

Maastricht type 3 and 4 are patients who are based on an intensive care unit after a severe brain injury. The patient does not meet the criteria for brain stem death and will maintain spontaneous ventilation. Under controlled conditions with no possibility of recovery withdrawal of treatment is planned. After the cessation of the heartbeat the patient is transferred to the operating theatre and the kidneys retrieved after *in-situ* cooling. In the uncontrolled situation an unexpected cardiac arrest follows brain stem death. The WI time is usually within the region of 15 minutes for controlled donors but can be considerably longer in the uncontrolled situation.

4. Expanded criteria donors (ECD)

Expanded criteria donors (ECD) are defined as any brain dead donor aged ≥ 60 years or over 50 years with ≥ 2 of the following conditions; Hypertension, terminal serum creatinine equal or greater than $132\mu\text{mol/L}$ or death resulting from an intracranial haemorrhage.

5. Cold ischaemic injury

Hypothermic preservation is based on the principle that cooling an organ inhibits the enzymatic processes. There is a 2-3 fold decrease in metabolism for every 10°C reduction in temperature [11,12]. This slows the depletion of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and also inhibits the degrading processes (phospholipid hydrolysis). Nonetheless, under

hypothermic conditions the metabolic rate remains at about 10% and therefore over time, the hypoxic conditions cause substantial injury [12] this is termed CI injury.

The depletion of ATP due to the inhibition of oxidative metabolism increases levels of adenosine, inosine and hypoxanthine within the cell leading to the formation of lactic acid [13]. This lowers the intracellular pH causing lysosomal instability and the activation of lytic enzymes [14,15]. The depletion of ATP also reduces a large number of cellular processes. Inactivation of the Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase pump allows the accumulation of calcium, sodium and water within the cell causing cellular swelling [15]. The binding of transition metals such as iron to their carrier proteins (transferrin, ferritin) is also inhibited which increases the intracellular concentration of free iron [16,17]. This is a strong catalyst for the generation of oxygen free radicals which promotes the production of other free radicals [14]. The impact of CI injury is evident immediately after transplantation when oxygenated blood is re-introduced into the kidney. The downstream effects of ischaemia reperfusion (I/R) injury results in tubular and vascular damage with the impairment of blood flow to the kidney and reduced urine output after transplantation. The kidney can withstand CI times up to 48 hours. Nonetheless, attempts have been made to reduce CI injury and on average the CI time now falls below 24 hours in most transplant centres.

6. Impact

6.1. Delayed graft function

Renal graft function after transplantation is typically measured as incidence of delayed graft function (DGF). There are several definitions of DGF however the majority of centres define DGF as the requirement for dialysis within the first week after transplantation. The diagnosis is based on low urine output, slow decline in serum creatinine levels and increased metabolic instability. Acute tubular injury, otherwise termed acute tubular necrosis (ATN) caused by ischaemic injury is the main cause of DGF after transplantation [18]. DGF is associated with complications such as acute rejection, increased fibrosis and the risk of poorer long term graft survival. It also has a significant economic cost, can complicate patient treatment and prolong hospital stay [19]. Rates of DGF typically range from 5 to 40% in deceased donor kidney transplants [20]. Rates of DGF in live donor transplantation are significantly less (2-5%) due to the short CI time and healthy younger donors [21].

Many experimental studies have shown that the duration of CI directly influences graft function. Several studies suggest that even after 6 hours of CI, significant injury occurs [22,23]. Clinically, the CI time has been clearly shown as an independent risk factor for DGF and reducing the CI time can reduce the incidence of DGF. In an analysis of a series of DBD transplants the risk of DGF was found to increase by 23% for every 6 hours of CI [24] and Locke *et al* found that limiting the CI time to less than 12 hours reduced the risk of DGF by 15% [25]. Other studies have shown that the risk of DGF is increased by 3.3 and 4.4 fold by increasing the CI time by 5 and 10 hours [26].

6.2. Graft survival

The CI time is regarded as an independent risk factor for DGF and DGF is associated with reduced graft survival [27,28]. However, recent evidence suggests that the association of CI time and DGF may have less of an impact on graft survival than previously thought. A multicentre analysis of kidney preservation found that only when the preservation period exceeded 18 hours was the CI time associated with reduced graft survival [29]. A large analysis of registry data of paired deceased donor kidneys found that DGF induced by CI injury had a limited impact on the long term outcome. Nonetheless, in other studies the CI time has been found to independently influence graft survival even in live donor transplantation and in young deceased donors [30,31].

The disparity between DGF and survival is perhaps due to the lack of sensitivity of DGF in determining the severity of kidney injury. DGF is a simple and standard method of reporting early graft dysfunction. However, dialysis within the first week after transplantation can be used to correct metabolic instability without the presence of significant kidney injury. As such, it is difficult to determine the impact of DGF. DGF due to CI can be reversible and therefore have no effect on long term outcome [32]. However, in severe cases, DGF can lead to incomplete recovery and reduced graft survival due to the loss of nephron mass [33]. Giral-Classe *et al* reported that rather than the incidence of DGF, the duration of DGF was the important factor with DGF over six days associated with reduced long term graft survival [34]. More recently, urinary biomarkers have been used to determine the severity of acute kidney injury with cystatin C, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), interleukin-18 (IL-18) and kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1) emerging as the most reliable and sensitive markers of injury [35-37]. Although, not readily used as a diagnostic tool in clinical practice, they may be applied more frequently in the future.

7. Acute rejection

Acute rejection (AR) following renal transplantation can be split into two categories, cell mediated rejection and antibody mediated rejection (also termed vascular rejection). Acute cellular rejection is the more common of the two types and with the introduction of modern immunosuppressive agents rates have dropped from 50% a decade ago to 15-20% today. The typical stimulus for cellular rejection is the presence of so-called 'passenger leucocytes' which are immune cells carried within the blood vessels and tissues of the donor organ. Following transplantation they are exposed to the recipient immune system which recognises them as foreign and results in activation of host lymphocytes which attack the donor kidney. Antibody mediated rejection is less common and usually more severe and if left untreated can rapidly destroy the graft.

Acute rejection is an important factor in early outcomes of transplantation and is closely associated with delayed graft function (DGF) [38-41]. The precise link between DGF, acute rejection and CI time is difficult to fully elucidate. Prolonged CI has been shown to be one of the main risk factors for DGF and DGF is an independent risk factor for AR [42]. However, DGF is a result of a number of factors and it is over simplistic to ascribe acute rejection to

just one of those factors. Nonetheless there is evidence that the CI time, alongside other factors, including duration of dialysis, number of HLA mismatches, panel reactive antibodies more than 5% are independent predictors of AR. A large retrospective analysis of 611 transplants demonstrated that CI time was the strongest predictor of DGF [42]. The risk of DGF increased from 9.6% with 12 hours CI time to 21.5% with 24 hours CI time. In the same analysis the risk of AR was increased by 4% for each additional hour of CI time and the risk of rejection in patients receiving kidneys with less than 24 hours CI time was 14.1% compared to 29.3% in kidneys with greater than 24 hours CI time. Furthermore, death-censored graft survival is significantly reduced in patients in whom AR complicates DGF. In addition CI duration of greater than 24 hours has a significantly reduced death-censored graft survival in comparison with durations of less than 24 hours [42].

8. Donor specific effects

Kidneys from DCD and ECD donors commonly present with high rates of DGF compared to SCD and live donors. [43]. DGF typically ranges from 22% to 84% in DCD kidneys compared to 14% to 40% in DBD donors [25, 44-47]. Evidence suggests that the outcome of kidneys from uncontrolled DCDs is poorer when compared to the controlled DCDs with significantly higher rates of DGF, as a response to the longer duration of warm ischaemic (WI) injury under the uncontrolled situation [48].

Kidneys from ECD have a 70% increased risk of graft loss and higher rates of DGF [25,49,50]. The prognosis is even poorer in DCD kidneys from older donors (over 50 years) with the risk of graft failure rising to 80% [25].

In addition to DGF, a small but significant proportion of kidneys from DCD donors also have primary non function (PNF) with rates reported to range from 4 to 19% amongst transplant centres over the last 30 years [51,52]. PNF is particularly detrimental as the patient is exposed to surgery and immunosuppressive therapies without benefit. Furthermore, they may become sensitized to donor antigens, reducing the opportunity for future transplants.

The WI insult in DCD kidneys and the reduced capacity of kidneys from ECDs to recover and regenerate are certainly major contributing factors for early graft dysfunction. Experimental evidence suggests that the combined effect of WI and CI injury exacerbates the injury during reperfusion and the duration of CI has been found to have a strong influence on graft outcome [53]. However, the impact of CI in clinical transplantation is again varied. It appears that as in SCDs, long term graft survival is not necessarily affected by DGF and CI not necessarily an independent predictor of graft survival. Recent evidence from clinical DCD and DBD programmes have reported similar rates of graft survival after 5 and 10 years [45,54-57]. In a series of 112 uncontrolled DCD kidneys, DGF rates were 84% compared to 22% in DBD donors [54]. Nevertheless, the graft survival rates were similar in both groups of patients, 69.3% versus 75.5% at 5 years and 50.3% versus 57.9% at 10 years, respectively. The link between WI, CI and graft survival is not well documented. However, it appears that prolonged CI after a period WI may not be as detrimental to graft survival as previously thought and that kidneys can recover from ischaemic injury with no long term effects [58].

9. Preservation techniques

Organ preservation was first introduced into clinical transplantation in the 1960s. Until this time without proper preservation conditions, kidneys were transplanted as soon as possible after retrieval to minimize the injury. It was then recognized that in order to improve the outcome of transplantation, better methods of preservation were required. Experimental studies in the 1950s by Lapchinsky [59] in the Soviet Union and the early work by Carrel and Lindbergh, showed that ischaemic injury could be minimized by reducing the temperature [60]. In 1963, Calne *et al* used the concept of hypothermic temperatures to extend the preservation time and successfully transplant canine kidneys after 12 hours of storage [61]. This led to the application and development of preservation techniques and solutions that are used today.

10. Static cold storage

Static cold storage (CS) is undoubtedly the simplest and most widely utilised method of hypothermic preservation. The kidney is flushed with cold preservation solution to remove the blood and cool the organ. The kidney is then stored in solution surrounded by crushed ice. Preservation solutions have been designed to counteract the detrimental effects of CI injury. There are a number of commercially available preservation solution, which all contain the same basic formula. This includes an impermeant to minimise swelling and provide stability to the ultra-structure of the cell. A buffer and a balanced electrolyte composition with either a high or low Na⁺ / K⁺ ratio to prevent the build up of intracellular acidosis and further minimize cellular swelling (Table 2). Solutions with a high potassium concentration are classified as intracellular and those with a high sodium concentration extracellular solutions.

Components	
Impermeants	glucose, lactobionate, mannitol, raffinose, sucrose
Colloid	hydroxyethyl starch (HES), polyethylene glycol (PEG)
Buffers	citrate, histidine, phosphate
Electrolytes	calcium, chloride, magnesium, magnesium sulphate, potassium, sodium
Anti-oxidants	allopurinol, glutathione, mannitol, tryptophan
Additives	adenosine, glutamic acid, ketoglutarate

Table 2. Components commonly used in preservation solutions

11. Static cold storage solutions

11.1. Euro Collins

In 1969 Geoffrey Collins developed the first acellular preservation solution (Collins solution) containing a high concentration of potassium and glucose [62]. Collins solution was later modified omitting some of the ingredients such as magnesium, heparin, procain and replacing glucose with mannitol to provide better osmotic properties and lower the viscosity [63-65]. It was renamed Euro Collins solution and was widely used amongst the transplant community.

11.2. Hyperosmolar citrate

Hyperosmolar citrate (HOC) or more commonly known as Soltran or Marshall's solution was first developed in the 1970s as an alternative to Collins solution [66,67]. It has a high potassium content and contains basic ingredients using citrate as a buffer. Its hypertonicity is designed to prevent fluid entry into cells. It is a relatively inexpensive, non-viscous solution that is still commonly used throughout the UK in kidney transplantation. It is not recommended for DCD or marginal kidneys despite the fact that there is little evidence to support this view.

12. University of Wisconsin solution

University of Wisconsin (UW) solution has a high potassium concentration to maintain the intracellular ionic balance. It is a more complex preservation solution compared to Euro Collin and HOC, containing trisaccharide raffinose and the anion lactobionate as osmotic impermeants, a phosphate buffer, anti-oxidants (glutathione) to scavenge oxygen free radicals, allopurinol to block the activity of xanthine oxidase and adenosine, an ATP precursor. It also contains the colloid hydroxyethyl starch (HES), to prevent cellular swelling [68]. However, it is debatable whether this is necessary in a static storage solution and there is some evidence showing that HES can increase tubular damage and cause red blood cell aggregation. Another potential disadvantage of UW solution is the high concentrations of potassium. Although thought important in the prevention of the build up of intracellular calcium, potassium can induce cellular depolarization, reduce cellular 5'-triphosphate content and activate voltage-dependent channels, such as calcium channels [69]. Nonetheless, due to its composition UW solution had, and still has, a significant advantage over other preservation solutions enabling kidneys to be stored for longer periods with better function and less histological injury after transplantation. It is still considered the 'gold standard' preservation solution today.

13. Histidine-Tryptophan-Ketoglutarate (HTK)

HTK was originally developed as a cardioplegic solution but because of its low viscosity was quickly adopted for clinical preservation of the kidney, pancreas and liver [70-72]. It is an extracellular solution and uses the impermeant mannitol and histidine as a buffer. It also contains 2 amino acids, tryptophan, to stabilize cellular membranes and prevent oxidant damage and ketoglutarate, a substrate to support anaerobic metabolism. Recent concerns have been raised regarding its use for ECD and DCD kidneys or for kidneys with prolonged storage times [73]. Some clinical studies have associated its use with the increased risk of PNF and early graft loss [74]. Nonetheless, it is a popular preservation solution widely used throughout Europe and the UK.

14. Celsior solution

Celsior is an extracellular solution and was initially designed for heart transplantation. It contains a high sodium concentration with histidine as a buffer, lactobionate and mannitol

to prevent oedema and glutathione as an antioxidant. The solution has proved beneficial in heart, liver, pancreas and in kidney transplantation [75-78].

15. Outcome

An abundance of experimental studies have investigated the efficacy of one solution over another with the majority of studies labelling UW solution as the most superior. However, clinically the evidence is sparse. UW, HTK and Celsior appear to be the better preservation solutions with little difference in rates of DGF between the solutions its usage. Euro Collin solution is not widely used and is regarded as inferior with the suggestion of increasing the risk of DGF [79]. The outcome of individual preservation solutions is more apparent when the CI time is extended beyond 24 hours with UW fairing significantly better than other solutions.

16. Hypothermic machine perfusion

Since the introduction of CS techniques in the 1970s there has been much debate about whether CS or hypothermic machine perfusion (HMP) is the best method of kidney preservation. Undoubtedly, the simplicity of CS has a significant advantage over HMP. However, HMP is it thought to be a better method of preservation in that it allows a continual flush of the microcirculation, prevents the accumulation of waste products, sustains a higher metabolic rate, protects against depolarization of the endothelial cell membrane and reduces free radical formation [80].

Folkert O Belzer was the first to develop a portable HMP system [81,82] in the 1960s. However, with the introduction and success of CS in the 1970s there was little development of this technique in subsequent decades. Nonetheless, with the increasing use of DCD and ECD kidneys over the last decade, there has been renewed interest into the use of HMP. New simpler and portable systems have been developed such as the Lifeport Kidney Transporter (Organ Recovery System, US) which has encouraged the use of this technology. Many experimental studies have found HMP to improve preservation [7,12] and the quality of the kidney. The largest multicentre clinical trial conducted in Europe comparing CS and HMP in deceased donors found that HMP reduced the risk of DGF compared to CS (adjusted odds ratio, 0.57; $P=0.01$) and improved 1 and 3 year graft survival [83,84]. Although the overall rate of DGF was only reduced by 6%.

The evidence suggests that HMP may be more beneficial in reducing DGF rates in marginal kidneys. In a sub-analysis of 82 pairs of DCD kidneys from the European trial, the DGF rate in the HMP group was 53.7% compared to 69.5% in kidneys that were statically stored [85]. However, there was no significant difference in graft survival at 1 or 3 years. In a further sub-analysis of ECD donors in this trial, HMP reduced rates of DGF from 29.7% to 22% and also improved 1 and 3 year graft survival in ECD kidneys [84,86]. In contrast to this support for HMP, a multicentre UK trial found no beneficial effects of HMP. 45 pairs of controlled DCD kidneys were randomized to HMP or CS [87]. The DGF rates were 58% vs 56% in the HMP and CS groups respectively. However, this trial has been criticised for the sequential design and the small number of patients [88].

HMP techniques are still open to criticism with the suggestion of increased endothelial injury, as found in a recent study of porcine livers [89], risk of trauma to the vessels and the question of cost effectiveness compared to static storage techniques [90]. Nonetheless, it appears that HMP may hold a significant advantage in reducing CI injury compared to CS techniques. The experimental evidence is strong and there is a growing abundance of evidence from clinical studies to suggest an advantage. However, the evidence is not conclusive and there is a need for more clinical trials to determine the superior method of preservation.

17. Normothermic machine perfusion

Maintaining an organ under normothermic conditions is an alternative technique of preservation. Continuous perfusion of the kidney at warmer temperatures with the delivery of nutrients and oxygen has the advantage of avoiding hypothermic injury and hypoxia. In addition, it also may aid recovery and prevent further injury.

Early attempts at normothermic preservation were generally unsuccessful due to the inability to maintain cellular integrity and support renal metabolism. However, advances have been made over the last few decades with the use of technology borrowed from cardiac surgery. The development of less traumatic perfusion pumps and the recognition of the necessity for the delivery of nutrients and oxygen to achieve successful perfusion has made normothermic preservation a realistic contender in clinical transplantation.

Normothermic perfusion can be applied in various ways. The concept of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) to maintain extracorporeal circulation at normal room or body temperature with hyperoxygenated blood can be used to maintain tissue perfusion after the heart has stopped. Normothermic recirculation has proved beneficial in the retrieval of hearts, lungs and abdominal organs. Valero *et al* assessed the effects of implementing this technique in clinical practice in small group of DCD donors [91]. Circulation was maintained for 60 minutes before total body cooling. The incidence of DGF and PNF was reduced after normothermic recirculation compared to standard *in situ* or total body cooling. Gravel *et al* described a DGF rate of 11% in controlled DCD donors [92] and Lee *et al* found similar 5 year graft survival rates to DBD and living donors [93]. Maintaining circulation before retrieval is thought to condition the organs by up-regulating adenosine receptors which may protect against preservation injury [91]. Reznik *et al*, recently reported the application of extracorporeal normothermic recirculation in uncontrolled DCD donors using leukocyte depleted blood [94,95]. Initial graft function was achieved in 6 out of the 16 patients. In the kidney, more evidence is needed to determine how normothermic recirculation before retrieval correlates with early and longer term graft function.

In consideration of the logistical problems of prolonged preservation a great deal of research has focused on using normothermic preservation in combination with hypothermic techniques. Experimentally, intermediate periods of normothermic preservation have been used to restore energy metabolism with replenishment of adenosine levels, effectively

'resuscitating' the organ and retaining viability compared to kidneys stored under hypothermic conditions [96,97].

Brasile *et al* found that a period of warm *ex-vivo* perfusion at the end of the preservation period could resuscitate the kidney after warm and cold ischaemic injury [98,99]. More prolonged normothermic preservation periods have also been more beneficial than hypothermic techniques [100,101]. The only report of a normothermic kidney perfusion technique in clinical practice is by Hosgood and Nicholson [102]. In this single case report of a short period of normothermic perfusion of a marginal kidney with an oxygenated packed red blood cell based solution, the recipient had immediate graft function compared to DGF in the recipient of the paired CS kidney. Further results of the ongoing series at Leicester are awaited. Nonetheless, despite the potential benefits, normothermic preservation is logistically difficult to carry out requiring technical support and expensive perfusion systems.

18. Biomarkers

Measuring the amount of ischaemic injury during preservation would be advantageous as the quality of the kidney could be assessed and a decision made upon its viability. This would be particularly beneficial for marginal kidneys to reduce the likelihood of PNF. Viability is normally assessed by numerous factors including donor history, duration of cardiac arrest, the quality of in-situ perfusion, CI interval and visual inspection of the kidney. Ultimately this relies on the judgement of an experienced surgeon. To avoid PNF, surgeons are typically cautious and therefore many kidneys are deemed unsuitable for transplantation and are discarded [57]. HMP has been used to assess viability. Two aspects can be measured; Firstly, the continuous recirculation of preservation solution through the kidney allows the perfusate flow to be measured and intra-renal resistance can be calculated. Secondly, the perfusate can be sampled to measure cellular injury.

Clinically, the perfusion flow index (PFI) has been used as a measure of flow and resistance [103,104]. This is based on a minimum flow being obtained for a given pressure. The Transplant Group at Newcastle, UK recommend that a PFI of greater than 0.6ml/min/mmHg/100 gram of kidney is needed for a kidney to be deemed suitable for transplantation [105]. However, the ability of these parameters to predict DGF or PNF in clinical practice is limited. Jochman *et al* recently reported that although renal resistance (RR) at the end of HMP was an independent risk factor for DGF and 1 year graft survival, it had a low predictive power and could not be relied on as a sole measure of viability [106]. This is in agreement with other small clinical studies by Sonnenday [107] and Guarrera [108] *et al* that showed that kidneys with poor perfusion parameters had a similar outcome to those with good parameters.

Viability can also be measured by sampling the perfusate for biomarkers of cellular injury. Markers such as redox free iron, glutathione S-transferase (GST), total glutathione S-transferase (tGST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase (NAG), heart-type fatty acid binding protein (H-FABP) and alanine aminopeptidase (Ala-AP) have

all been used to determine injury [104-106,109]. There is little information on their predictive value. However, Jochman *et al* recently published the results from the European HMP trial in which perfusate samples were taken for the assessment of biomarkers at the end of HMP [106]. GST, NAG, and H-FABP were found to be independent predictors for DGF but not for graft survival in the first year after transplantation. LDH, ASAT, and Ala-AP were found to have no predictive potential for post transplant outcome. Furthermore, the biomarkers did not correlate with intra renal resistance. The evidence suggests that viability assessment during HMP cannot be used independently but may be used collectively with the kidney characteristics and donor demographics to determine the suitability of a kidney for transplantation.

Normothermic preservation techniques may hold more promise in the assessment of viability compared to HMP techniques. During normothermic perfusion renal function and metabolism are restored. In experimental models, low levels of blood flow, reduced renal function and low oxygen consumption have been associated with increased ischaemic injury. Furthermore, these functional measures could be combined with injury biomarkers to assess the quality of the kidney.

19. Experimental studies

19.1. Oxygenation

There is a growing body of evidence in support of recovering ischaemically damaged organs with oxygenated preservation techniques at low temperatures. Historically, oxygenation was considered an essential component of hypothermic kidney preservation in order to support mitochondrial resynthesis of ATP and to delay the injury process. However, with the introduction of the modern day preservation solutions, and the rapid adoption of simple CS techniques, oxygen was not thought to be a vital ingredient and as such is not commonly applied in the clinical setting. Various techniques have been used to apply oxygen under CS and HMP conditions.

Retrograde oxygen persufflation is a simple technique whereby filtered and humidified oxygen is bubbled directly through the renal vasculature during CS. The gas is then allowed to escape through small perforations in the surface of the organ. Reports of its application date back to the 1970s [110,111]. Experimentally, there has been renewed interest in this technique showing a beneficial effect on graft function when compared to CS and HMP techniques [112,113].

Hyperbaric oxygenation is the delivery of oxygen under increased atmospheric pressure. Hyperbaric oxygenation is normally used to treat decompression sickness, carbon monoxide poisoning, gas embolism, circulatory disorders and to promote wound healing [114-116]. However, it has been used in organ preservation. Under normal atmospheric pressure there is a limit to the amount of oxygen that can be carried in the blood. Increasing the atmospheric pressure at which it is delivered, increases the amount of dissolved oxygen in

the plasma allowing deeper penetration into the tissue (Henry's Law). Therefore, tissues can be adequately oxygenated in the absence of a blood flow, a particular advantage in organ preservation [114,115]. Although an interesting concept and benefits have been demonstrated in liver and bowel transplantation, there has been little evidence of its use in kidney preservation in recent times.

Oxygen can also be added during HMP. At present, HMP is not supplemented with oxygen based on the presumption that air equilibration in perfusates sufficiently supports energy metabolism and that oxygen consumption at 4°C is around 5% of that found at body temperature [117]. However, ATP can be restored in part, with the addition of oxygen and energy substrates during perfusion [118]. Short periods of oxygenated perfusion after CS have also been used to resuscitate and condition organs, correcting ATP loss, reducing levels of oxidative stress and improving organ viability [119]. The addition of free radical scavengers such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) to the preservation solution has been found to be beneficial [119,120] in preventing the generation of oxygen free radicals in this highly oxygenated environment.

20. Oxygenated solutions

Oxygen can also be effectively administered during preservation by the use of artificial oxygen carriers. Perfluorocarbons (PFC) are inert solutions that have a high capacity for dissolving oxygen. They release oxygen down a concentration gradient creating a highly oxygenated environment which is not affected by temperature [121,122]. They can be added simply during CS in a technique called the two layer method (TLM). The density of the PFC allows two layers to be formed, PFC on the bottom and the preservation solution on top. The organ is placed in the solution and remains between the two layers. Oxygen can be continuously added allowing adequate diffusion through the organ. TLM has been particularly beneficial for pancreas preservation, allowing a sufficient amount of ATP to be generated to improve organ viability [121,123]. The use of TLM has shown potential in other organs but has failed to gain much support as the ability of oxygen to penetrate deep into tissue in more densely capsulated organs has been questioned. In the kidney its beneficial effect was found in a rat model, however, when applied in a porcine model the results showed no advantage [121,124-126].

PFC can also be formulated as an emulsion for continuous perfusion and was applied during early attempts at machine perfusion [126-129]. However, the instability and adverse effects of the emulsions at that time prevented their continued application [121].

Other novel oxygen carriers have recently been applied experimentally in kidney preservation. Hemarina-M101 (M101) is a respiratory pigment derived from a marine invertebrate, *Arenicola marina* [130]. It has an extremely high affinity for oxygen and functions over a large range of temperatures (4-37°C) releasing oxygen against a gradient. Using a porcine kidney model Thuiller *et al* recently showed in that adding M101 to UW or HTK solution during CS for 24 hours improved renal function and reduced fibrosis after

transplantation. Micro-bubbles derived from Dodecafluoropentane (DDFPe) are also being investigated as oxygen replacement therapies and may in the future be applied during organ preservation [131,132].

In addition to hypothermic conditions, perfluorochemical and haemoglobin solutions can also be used to deliver oxygen at normothermic temperatures [133]. Brasile *et al* originally developed an acellular normothermic solution based on a modified cell culture medium and PFC emulsion (Perflubron) [134]. The perfusate was made up of a highly enriched tissue culture-like medium containing essential and non-essential amino acids, lipids, carbohydrates.

Historically, haemoglobin based solutions such as Stroma-free haemoglobin failed to demonstrate benefit experimentally because of toxic effects on the kidney. However, a newly developed solution, pyridoxalated haemoglobin-polyoxyethylene (PHP) has been deemed to be a more stable solution [133]. New more stable 2nd and 3rd generation PFCs are being developed and several are undergoing clinical trials to assess their safety. Humphreys *et al* recently used a commercially made PFC 'Oxygent' to provide oxygenation and reduced ischaemic injury to the kidney during a period of warm ischaemia by retrograde infusion through the urinary collecting system [135].

Other solutions such as Lifor, a new artificial preservation medium containing a non protein oxygen carrier that can be used at room temperature may also be used for preservation [136, 137]. These new solutions may hold more promise for future development of normothermic preservation perfusates. Nonetheless, the use of these normothermic perfusates in clinical practice is still awaited.

21. Experimental agents

I/R injury involves a cascade of events centralised by activated endothelial cells immediately after transplantation. One of the first inflammatory responses is the infiltration of neutrophils into the tissue. Cell adhesion molecules are recognised by leukocytes which interact with tissue cells to allow the movement of immune cells and mediators to the injury site [138,139]. This is mainly mediated through the up-regulation of endothelial adhesion molecules (ICAM-1, VCAM-1 and E-Selectin) [138]. The release of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, activation of the complement system and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [139] also cause significant cellular injury.

A vast number of therapies have been investigated to ameliorate the detrimental effects of I/R injury such as vasodilatory agents [140,141], antioxidants [142-144], anti-inflammatory agents [145,146] and growth factors [147] and in the experimental setting many of these have proved beneficial. Of particular interest are the therapies that collectively target several mechanisms of I/R injury, these include the endogenous gaseous molecules nitric oxide (NO) [148,149], carbon monoxide (CO) [150,151] and hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) [152,153]. Experimental models have shown their ability to reduce inflammation, oxidative damage,

apoptosis and promote smooth muscle relaxation causing vasodilation to enhance renal blood flow. However, their application into clinical practice is awaited.

There is no single agent used as standard clinical practice to treat I/R injury and reduce DGF. Nonetheless, there are several agents of interest that have recently been examined in clinical trials. Recombinant human erythropoietin (EPO) is a treatment for anaemia in renal patients however it also has cytoprotective properties and has been shown to protect against kidney injury in experimental models [154, 155]. However, the results from two clinical trials contradict the majority of animal studies and showed no benefit of EPO in reducing rates of DGF [156,157]. Furthermore, in one trial concerns of the increase in the incidence of graft thrombosis were raised [157]. Other trials to assess the effects of EPO are ongoing and the results are pending. It has been suggested that EPO mediates protection through a tissue receptor that is distinct from the classical EPO-receptor that is known to mediate erythropoiesis [158]. A new compound has been formulated, pyroglutamate helix B surface peptide (pHBSP) that has the tissue-protective properties similar to those of EPO but without causing erythropoiesis [158]. Early experimental models suggest that this agent is beneficial in reducing kidney injury and may hold promise for future clinical trials.

Several volatile anaesthetic agents sevoflurane and desflurane are also being trialled in clinical transplantation to reduce kidney injury. These agents are thought to have a conditioning effect that up-regulates protective mechanisms to reduce the I/R injury response [159]. The conditioning effect can also be applied by short intervals of ischaemia either directly to the organ or remotely to a limb [160]. It can be applied to the donor or recipient and again experimental models have shown the benefits of conditioning techniques. They are particularly attractive for clinical transplantation in that no pharmacological intervention is required and therefore the technique is expected to have a high safety profile. The results of several clinical trials are eagerly awaited. Propofol is another anaesthetic agent that may reduce I/R injury [161,162]. Experimental models have highlighted the anti-oxidant and anti-apoptotic properties of the agent [161,162].

There has been a great deal of emphasis on stem cell therapy to reduce kidney injury. The ability of stem cells to differentiate into multiple lineages with the capacity to stimulate the regeneration of renal tissue is particularly attractive in kidney transplantation. Bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells have been used in the rat kidney to reduce inflammation and oxidative damage [163-165]. However, there has been no clinical application of this therapy in kidney transplantation.

Immunosuppressant therapies used on induction can be used to reduce I/R injury and DGF. They suppress leukocyte infiltration and reduce endothelial injury. Anti-CD25 [166] and antithymocyte globulin (ATG) [167] are amongst some of the agents being currently being studied to reduce the incidence of DGF.

22. Conclusion

CI injury is detrimental to early graft function and as such early graft dysfunction is associated with reduced graft survival and complications after transplantation. However,

the direct impact of CI on long term graft survival is less clear. Clinical studies suggest that CI may not necessarily be an independent risk factor for reduced graft survival. Nonetheless, further evidence is needed to examine the relationship between CI injury and graft survival. Hypothermic preservation techniques are designed to counteract the detrimental effect of CI injury and hypothermic machine perfusion is emerging as a superior method of preservation compared with static cold storage. Other preservation techniques are being developed such as normothermic perfusion and the addition of oxygen and oxygen carriers during hypothermic preservation. These techniques may hold promise for the future to limit the damage caused by CI injury. Therapeutic agents administered to the recipient may also prove beneficial in reducing early graft dysfunction. Nonetheless, translation of these therapies from animal models to clinical practice remains difficult and the search for the optimal agent or therapy is ongoing.

Author details

Sarah A. Hosgood, James P. Hunter and Michael L. Nicholson
*University of Leicester, Department of Infection,
 Immunity and Inflammation, Transplant Group. Leicester General Hospital, UK*

23. References

- [1] Rao PS, Schaubel DE, Wei G, Fenton SS. Evaluating the survival benefit of kidney retransplantation. *Transplantation* 2006 Sep 15;82(5):669-674.
- [2] Schnuelle P, Lorenz D, Trede M, Van Der Woude FJ. Impact of renal cadaveric transplantation on survival in end-stage renal failure: evidence for reduced mortality risk compared with hemodialysis during long-term follow-up. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1998 Nov;9(11):2135-2141.
- [3] Wolfe RA, Ashby VB, Milford EL, Ojo AO, Ettenger RE, Agodoa LY, et al. Comparison of mortality in all patients on dialysis, patients on dialysis awaiting transplantation, and recipients of a first cadaveric transplant. *N Engl J Med* 1999 Dec 2;341(23):1725-1730.
- [4] Daemen JW, Oomen AP, Kelders WP, Kootstra G. The potential pool of non-heart-beating kidney donors. *Clin Transplant* 1997 Apr;11(2):149-154.
- [5] Alvarez J, del Barrio R, Arias J, Ruiz F, Iglesias J, de Elias R, et al. Non-heart-beating donors from the streets: an increasing donor pool source. *Transplantation* 2000 Jul 27;70(2):314-317.
- [6] Nyberg G, Nilsson B, Norden G, Karlberg I. Outcome of renal transplantation in patients over the age of 60: a case-control study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1995;10(1):91-94.
- [7] Taylor MJ, Baicu SC. Current state of hypothermic machine perfusion preservation of organs: The clinical perspective. *Cryobiology* 2010 Jul;60(3 Suppl):S20-35.
- [8] Terasaki PI, Cho YW, Cecka JM. Strategy for eliminating the kidney shortage. *Clin Transpl* 1997:265-267.

- [9] Kootstra G, Daemen JH, Oomen AP. Categories of non-heart-beating donors. *Transplant Proc* 1995 Oct;27(5):2893-2894.
- [10] Snoeijs MG, Dekkers AJ, Buurman WA, van den Akker L, Welten RJ, Schurink GW, et al. In situ preservation of kidneys from donors after cardiac death: results and complications. *Ann Surg* 2007 Nov;246(5):844-852.
- [11] Mitchell T, Saba H, Laakman J, Parajuli N, MacMillan-Crow LA. Role of mitochondrial-derived oxidants in renal tubular cell cold-storage injury. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2010 Nov 1;49(8):1273-1282.
- [12] Fuller BJ, Lee CY. Hypothermic perfusion preservation: the future of organ preservation revisited? *Cryobiology* 2007 Apr;54(2):129-145.
- [13] Kosieradzki M, Rowinski W. Ischemia/reperfusion injury in kidney transplantation: mechanisms and prevention. *Transplant Proc* 2008 Dec;40(10):3279-3288.
- [14] Salahudeen AK. Cold ischemic injury of transplanted kidneys: new insights from experimental studies. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2004 Aug;287(2):F181-7.
- [15] Weinberg JM. The cell biology of ischemic renal injury. *Kidney Int* 1991 Mar;39(3):476-500.
- [16] Carden DL, Granger DN. Pathophysiology of ischaemia-reperfusion injury. *J Pathol* 2000 Feb;190(3):255-266.
- [17] Pizanis N, Gillner S, Kamler M, de Groot H, Jakob H, Rauen U. Cold-induced injury to lung epithelial cells can be inhibited by iron chelators - implications for lung preservation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011 Oct;40(4):948-955.
- [18] Kwiatkowski A, Wszola M, Perkowska-Ptasinska A, Ostrowski K, Domagala P, Fesolowicz S, et al. Influence of preservation method on histopathological lesions of kidney allografts. *Ann Transplant* 2009 Jan-Mar;14(1):10-13.
- [19] Brook NR, Waller JR, Richardson AC, Andrew Bradley J, Andrews PA, Koffman G, et al. A report on the activity and clinical outcomes of renal non-heart beating donor transplantation in the United Kingdom. *Clin Transplant* 2004 Dec;18(6):627-633.
- [20] Yarlagadda SG, Coca SG, Formica RN, Jr, Poggio ED, Parikh CR. Association between delayed graft function and allograft and patient survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009 Mar;24(3):1039-1047.
- [21] Gok MA, Shenton BK, Pelsers M, Whitwood A, Mantle D, Cornell C, et al. Reperfusion injury in renal transplantation: comparison of LD, HBD and NHBD renal transplants. *Ann Transplant* 2004;9(2):33-34.
- [22] Dragun D, Hoff U, Park JK, Qun Y, Schneider W, Luft FC, et al. Prolonged cold preservation augments vascular injury independent of renal transplant immunogenicity and function. *Kidney Int* 2001 Sep;60(3):1173-1181.
- [23] Wilhelm SM, Simonson MS, Robinson AV, Stowe NT, Schulak JA. Cold ischemia induces endothelin gene upregulation in the preserved kidney. *J Surg Res* 1999 Jul;85(1):101-108.
- [24] Ojo AO, Wolfe RA, Held PJ, Port FK, Schmodder RL. Delayed graft function: risk factors and implications for renal allograft survival. *Transplantation* 1997 Apr 15;63(7):968-974.

- [25] Locke JE, Segev DL, Warren DS, Dominici F, Simpkins CE, Montgomery RA. Outcomes of kidneys from donors after cardiac death: implications for allocation and preservation. *Am J Transplant* 2007 Jul;7(7):1797-1807.
- [26] Kayler LK, Srinivas TR, Schold JD. Influence of CIT-induced DGF on kidney transplant outcomes. *Am J Transplant* 2011 Dec;11(12):2657-2664.
- [27] Dittrich S, Groneberg DA, von Loeper J, Lippek F, Hegemann O, Grosse-Siestrup C, et al. Influence of cold storage on renal ischemia reperfusion injury after non-heart-beating donor explantation. *Nephron Exp Nephrol* 2004;96(3):e97-102.
- [28] Siedlecki A, Irish W, Brennan DC. Delayed graft function in the kidney transplant. *Am J Transplant* 2011 Nov;11(11):2279-2296.
- [29] Opelz G, Dohler B. Multicenter analysis of kidney preservation. *Transplantation* 2007 Feb 15;83(3):247-253.
- [30] Simpkins CE, Montgomery RA, Hawxby AM, Locke JE, Gentry SE, Warren DS, et al. Cold ischemia time and allograft outcomes in live donor renal transplantation: is live donor organ transport feasible? *Am J Transplant* 2007 Jan;7(1):99-107.
- [31] Hernandez D, Estupinan S, Perez G, Rufino M, Gonzalez-Posada JM, Luis D, et al. Impact of cold ischemia time on renal allograft outcome using kidneys from young donors. *Transpl Int* 2008 Oct;21(10):955-962.
- [32] Chatziantoniou C, Dussaule JC. Is kidney injury a reversible process? *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2008 Jan;17(1):76-81.
- [33] Barrientos A, Portoles J, Herrero JA, Torralbo A, Prats D, Gutierrez-Millet V, et al. Glomerular hyperfiltration as a nonimmunologic mechanism of progression of chronic renal rejection. *Transplantation* 1994 Mar 15;57(5):753-756.
- [34] Giral-Classe M, Hourmant M, Cantarovich D, Dantal J, Blancho G, Daguin P, et al. Delayed graft function of more than six days strongly decreases long-term survival of transplanted kidneys. *Kidney Int* 1998 Sep;54(3):972-978.
- [35] Parikh CR, Jani A, Mishra J, Ma Q, Kelly C, Barasch J, et al. Urine NGAL and IL-18 are predictive biomarkers for delayed graft function following kidney transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2006 Jul;6(7):1639-1645.
- [36] Hall IE, Yarlagadda SG, Coca SG, Wang Z, Doshi M, Devarajan P, et al. IL-18 and urinary NGAL predict dialysis and graft recovery after kidney transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010 Jan;21(1):189-197.
- [37] Koyner JL, Vaidya VS, Bennett MR, Ma Q, Worcester E, Akhter SA, et al. Urinary biomarkers in the clinical prognosis and early detection of acute kidney injury. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010 Dec;5(12):2154-2165.
- [38] McLaren AJ, Jassem W, Gray DW, Fuggle SV, Welsh KI, Morris PJ. Delayed graft function: risk factors and the relative effects of early function and acute rejection on long-term survival in cadaveric renal transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 1999 Jun;13(3):266-272.
- [39] Lebranchu Y, Halimi JM, Bock A, Chapman J, Dussol B, Fritsche L, et al. Delayed graft function: risk factors, consequences and parameters affecting outcome-results from MOST, A Multinational Observational Study. *Transplant Proc* 2005 Jan-Feb;37(1):345-347.

- [40] Rosenthal JT, Danovitch GM, Wilkinson A, Ettenger RB. The high cost of delayed graft function in cadaveric renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 1991 May;51(5):1115-1118.
- [41] Sanfilippo F, Vaughn WK, Spees EK, Lucas BA. The detrimental effects of delayed graft function in cadaver donor renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 1984 Dec;38(6):643-648.
- [42] Mikhalski D, Wissing KM, Ghisdal L, Broeders N, Touly M, Hoang AD, et al. Cold ischemia is a major determinant of acute rejection and renal graft survival in the modern era of immunosuppression. *Transplantation* 2008 Apr 15;85(7 Suppl):S3-9.
- [43] Nicholson ML, Metcalfe MS, White SA, Waller JR, Doughman TM, Horsburgh T, et al. A comparison of the results of renal transplantation from non-heart-beating, conventional cadaveric, and living donors. *Kidney Int* 2000 Dec;58(6):2585-2591.
- [44] Gagandeep S, Matsuoka L, Mateo R, Cho YW, Genyk Y, Sher L, et al. Expanding the donor kidney pool: utility of renal allografts procured in a setting of uncontrolled cardiac death. *Am J Transplant* 2006 Jul;6(7):1682-1688.
- [45] D'Alessandro AM, Fernandez LA, Chin LT, Shames BD, Turgeon NA, Scott DL, et al. Donation after cardiac death: the University of Wisconsin experience. *Ann Transplant* 2004;9(1):68-71.
- [46] Whiting JF, Delmonico F, Morrissey P, Basadonna G, Johnson S, Lewis WD, et al. Clinical results of an organ procurement organization effort to increase utilization of donors after cardiac death. *Transplantation* 2006 May 27;81(10):1368-1371.
- [47] Tojimbara T, Fuchinoue S, Iwadoh K, Koyama I, Sannomiya A, Kato Y, et al. Improved outcomes of renal transplantation from cardiac death donors: a 30-year single center experience. *Am J Transplant* 2007 Mar;7(3):609-617.
- [48] Summers DM, Johnson RJ, Allen J, Fuggle SV, Collett D, Watson CJ, et al. Analysis of factors that affect outcome after transplantation of kidneys donated after cardiac death in the UK: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2010 Oct 16;376(9749):1303-1311.
- [49] Sung RS, Guidinger MK, Christensen LL, Ashby VB, Merion RM, Leichtman AB, et al. Development and current status of ECD kidney transplantation. *Clin Transpl* 2005:37-55.
- [50] Saidi RF, Elias N, Kawai T, Hertl M, Farrell ML, Goes N, et al. Outcome of kidney transplantation using expanded criteria donors and donation after cardiac death kidneys: realities and costs. *Am J Transplant* 2007 Dec;7(12):2769-2774.
- [51] Hordijk W, Hoitsma AJ, van der Vliet JA, Hilbrands LB. Results of transplantation with kidneys from non-heart-beating donors. *Transplant Proc* 2001 Feb-Mar;33(1-2):1127-1128.
- [52] Daemen JH, de Vries B, Oomen AP, DeMeester J, Kootstra G. Effect of machine perfusion preservation on delayed graft function in non-heart-beating donor kidneys--early results. *Transpl Int* 1997;10(4):317-322.
- [53] Johnson RJ, Fuggle SV, O'Neill J, Start S, Bradley JA, Forsythe JL, et al. Factors influencing outcome after deceased heart beating donor kidney transplantation in the United Kingdom: an evidence base for a new national kidney allocation policy. *Transplantation* 2010 Feb 27;89(4):379-386.

- [54] Barlow AD, Metcalfe MS, Johari Y, Elwell R, Veitch PS, Nicholson ML. Case-matched comparison of long-term results of non-heart beating and heart-beating donor renal transplants. *Br J Surg* 2009 Jun;96(6):685-691.
- [55] Singh RP, Farney AC, Rogers J, Zuckerman J, Reeves-Daniel A, Hartmann E, et al. Kidney transplantation from donation after cardiac death donors: lack of impact of delayed graft function on post-transplant outcomes. *Clin Transplant* 2011 Mar-Apr;25(2):255-264.
- [56] Snøeijns MG, Schaubel DE, Hene R, Hoitsma AJ, Idu MM, Ijzermans JN, et al. Kidneys from donors after cardiac death provide survival benefit. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010 Jun;21(6):1015-1021.
- [57] Hoogland ER, Snøeijns MG, Winkens B, Christaans MH, van Heurn LW. Kidney Transplantation from Donors after Cardiac Death: Uncontrolled versus Controlled Donation. *Am J Transplant* 2011 Jul;11(7):1427-1434.
- [58] Taylor CJ, Kosmoliaptsis V, Sharples LD, Prezzi D, Morgan CH, Key T, et al. Ten-year experience of selective omission of the pretransplant crossmatch test in deceased donor kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 2010 Jan 27;89(2):185-193.
- [59] Lapchinsky AG. Recent results of experimental transplantation of preserved limbs and kidneys and possible use of this technique in clinical practice. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1960 May 31;87:539-571.
- [60] Carrel A, Lindbergh CA. The Culture of Whole Organs. *Science* 1935 Jun 21;81(2112):621-623.
- [61] Calne RY, Pegg DE, Pryse-Davies J, Brown FL. Renal Preservation by Ice-Cooling: an Experimental Study Relating to Kidney Transplantation from Cadavers. *Br Med J* 1963 Sep 14;2(5358):651-655.
- [62] Collins GM, Bravo-Shugarman M, Terasaki PI. Kidney preservation for transportation. Initial perfusion and 30 hours' ice storage. *Lancet* 1969 Dec 6;2(7632):1219-1222.
- [63] Opelz G, Terasaki PI. Kidney preservation: perfusion versus cold storage-1975. *Transplant Proc* 1976 Mar;8(1):121-125.
- [64] Collins GM, Halasz NA. Current aspects of renal preservation. *Urology* 1977 Jul;10(1 Suppl):22-32.
- [65] Collins GM, Halasz NA. Simplified 72-hr kidney storage. *Surg Forum* 1974;25(0):275-277.
- [66] Slapak M, Wilson A, Clyne C, Bagshaw H, Naik RB, Lee HA. Hyperosmolar citrate versus perfudex: a functional comparison in clinical kidney preservation. *Transplant Proc* 1979 Mar;11(1):478-481.
- [67] Jablonski P, Howden B, Marshall V, Scott D. Evaluation of citrate flushing solution using the isolated perfused rat kidney. *Transplantation* 1980 Oct;30(4):239-243.
- [68] Belzer FO, Glass NR, Sollinger HW, Hoffmann RM, Southard JH. A new perfusate for kidney preservation. *Transplantation* 1982 Mar;33(3):322-323.
- [69] Hauet T, Han Z, Doucet C, Ramella-Virieux S, Hadj Aissa A, Carretier M, et al. A modified University of Wisconsin preservation solution with high-Na⁺ low-K⁺ content reduces reperfusion injury of the pig kidney graft. *Transplantation* 2003 Jul 15;76(1):18-27.

- [70] Groenewoud AF, Isemer FE, Stadler J, Heideche CD, Florack G, Hoelscher M. A comparison of early function between kidney grafts protected with HTK solution versus Euro-Collins solution. *Transplant Proc* 1989 Feb;21(1 Pt 2):1243-1244.
- [71] Minor T, Olschewski P, Tolba RH, Akbar S, Kocalkova M, Dombrowski F. Liver preservation with HTK: salutary effect of hypothermic aerobiosis by either gaseous oxygen or machine perfusion. *Clin Transplant* 2002 Jun;16(3):206-211.
- [72] Fridell JA, Mangus RS, Tector AJ. Clinical experience with histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate solution in abdominal organ preservation: a review of recent literature. *Clin Transplant* 2009 Jun-Jul;23(3):305-312.
- [73] Lynch RJ, Kubus J, Chenault RH, Pelletier SJ, Campbell DA, Englesbe MJ. Comparison of histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate and University of Wisconsin preservation in renal transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2008 Mar;8(3):567-573.
- [74] Stevens RB, Skorupa JY, Rigley TH, Yannam GR, Nielsen KJ, Schriener ME, et al. Increased primary non-function in transplanted deceased-donor kidneys flushed with histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate solution. *Am J Transplant* 2009 May;9(5):1055-1062.
- [75] Boku N, Tanoue Y, Kajihara N, Eto M, Masuda M, Morita S. A comparative study of cardiac preservation with Celsior or University of Wisconsin solution with or without prior administration of cardioplegia. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2006 Feb;25(2):219-225.
- [76] Boudjema K, Grandadam S, Compagnon P, Salame E, Wolf P, Ducerf C, et al. Efficacy and safety of Celsior preservation fluid in liver transplantation: one-year follow up of a prospective, multicenter, non-randomized study. *Clin Transplant* 2011 Apr 21.
- [77] Fridell JA, Mangus RS, Powelson JA. Organ preservation solutions for whole organ pancreas transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2010 Dec 9.
- [78] Nunes P, Mota A, Figueiredo A, Macario F, Rolo F, Dias V, et al. Efficacy of renal preservation: comparative study of Celsior and University of Wisconsin solutions. *Transplant Proc* 2007 Oct;39(8):2478-2479.
- [79] O'Callaghan JM, Knight SR, Morgan RD, Morris PJ. Preservation solutions for static cold storage of kidney allografts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Transplant* 2012 Apr;12(4):896-906.
- [80] Vaziri N, Thuillier R, Favreau FD, Eugene M, Milin S, Chatauret NP, et al. Analysis of machine perfusion benefits in kidney grafts: a preclinical study. *J Transl Med* 2011 Jan 25;9:15.
- [81] Belzer FO, Ashby BS, Dunphy JE. 24-Hour and 72-Hour Preservation of Canine Kidneys. *Lancet* 1967 Sep 9;2(7515):536-538.
- [82] Belzer FO. Current methods of kidney storage. *Cryobiology* 1969 May-Jun;5(6):444-446.
- [83] Moers C, Smits JM, Maathuis MH, Treckmann J, van Gelder F, Napieralski BP, et al. Machine perfusion or cold storage in deceased-donor kidney transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2009 Jan 1;360(1):7-19.
- [84] Moers C, Pirenne J, Paul A, Ploeg RJ, Machine Preservation Trial Study Group. Machine perfusion or cold storage in deceased-donor kidney transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2012 Feb 23;366(8):770-771.

- [85] Jochmans I, Moers C, Smits JM, Leuvenink HG, Treckmann J, Paul A, et al. Machine perfusion versus cold storage for the preservation of kidneys donated after cardiac death: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 2010 Nov;252(5):756-764.
- [86] Treckmann J, Moers C, Smits JM, Gallinat A, Maathuis MH, van Kasterop-Kutz M, et al. Machine perfusion versus cold storage for preservation of kidneys from expanded criteria donors after brain death. *Transpl Int* 2011 Jun;24(6):548-554.
- [87] Watson CJ, Wells AC, Roberts RJ, Akoh JA, Friend PJ, Akyol M, et al. Cold machine perfusion versus static cold storage of kidneys donated after cardiac death: a UK multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Am J Transplant* 2010 Sep;10(9):1991-1999.
- [88] Jochmans I, Moers C, Ploeg R, Pirenne J. To perfuse or not to perfuse kidneys donated after cardiac death. *Am J Transplant* 2011 Feb;11(2):409-410.
- [89] Monbaliu D, Heedfeld V, Liu Q, Wylin T, van Pelt J, Vekemans K, et al. Hypothermic machine perfusion of the liver: is it more complex than for the kidney? *Transplant Proc* 2011 Nov;43(9):3445-3450.
- [90] Bond M, Pitt M, Akoh J, Moxham T, Hoyle M, Anderson R. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of methods of storing donated kidneys from deceased donors: a systematic review and economic model. *Health Technol Assess* 2009 Aug;13(38):iii-iv, xi-xiv, 1-156.
- [91] Valero R, Cabrer C, Oppenheimer F, Trias E, Sanchez-Ibanez J, De Cabo FM, et al. Normothermic recirculation reduces primary graft dysfunction of kidneys obtained from non-heart-beating donors. *Transpl Int* 2000;13(4):303-310.
- [92] Gravel MT, Arenas JD, Chenault R, 2nd, Magee JC, Rudich S, Maraschio M, et al. Kidney transplantation from organ donors following cardiopulmonary death using extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. *Ann Transplant* 2004;9(1):57-58.
- [93] Lee CY, Tsai MK, Ko WJ, Chang CJ, Hu RH, Chueh SC, et al. Expanding the donor pool: use of renal transplants from non-heart-beating donors supported with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Clin Transplant* 2005 Jun;19(3):383-390.
- [94] Reznik O, Bagnenko S, Skvortsov A, Ananyev A, Senchik K, Loginov I, et al. Rehabilitation of ischemically damaged human kidneys by normothermic extracorporeal hemoperfusion in situ with oxygenation and leukocyte depletion. *Transplant Proc* 2010 Jun;42(5):1536-1538.
- [95] Reznik O, Bagnenko S, Scvortsov A, Loginov I, Ananyev A, Senchik K, et al. The use of in-situ normothermic extracorporeal perfusion and leukocyte depletion for resuscitation of human donor kidneys. *Perfusion* 2010 Sep;25(5):343-348.
- [96] Maessen JG, van der Vusse GJ, Vork M, Kootstra G. Intermediate normothermic perfusion during cold storage of ischemically injured kidneys. *Transplant Proc* 1989 Feb;21(1 Pt 2):1252-1253.
- [97] Maessen JG, van der Vusse GJ, Vork M, Kootstra G. The beneficial effect of intermediate normothermic perfusion during cold storage of ischemically injured kidneys. A study of renal nucleotide homeostasis during hypothermia in the dog. *Transplantation* 1989 Mar;47(3):409-414.

- [98] Brasile L, Stubenitsky BM, Booster MH, Arenada D, Haisch C, Kootstra G. Transfection and transgene expression in a human kidney during ex vivo warm perfusion. *Transplant Proc* 2002 Nov;34(7):2624.
- [99] Brasile L, Stubenitsky BM, Booster MH, Arenada D, Haisch C, Kootstra G. Hypothermia--a limiting factor in using warm ischemically damaged kidneys. *Am J Transplant* 2001 Nov;1(4):316-320.
- [100] Brasile L, Stubenitsky BM, Booster MH, Lindell S, Araneda D, Buck C, et al. Overcoming severe renal ischemia: the role of ex vivo warm perfusion. *Transplantation* 2002 Mar 27;73(6):897-901.
- [101] Brasile L, Stubenitsky BM, Booster MH, Haisch C, Kootstra G. NOS: the underlying mechanism preserving vascular integrity and during ex vivo warm kidney perfusion. *Am J Transplant* 2003 Jun;3(6):674-679.
- [102] Hosgood SA, Nicholson ML. First in Man Renal Transplantation After Ex Vivo Normothermic Perfusion. *Transplantation* 2011 Aug 11.
- [103] Asher J, Wilson C, Gok M, Shenton BK, Stamp S, Wong YT, et al. Transplantation from non heart beating donors in Newcastle upon Tyne. *Ann Transplant* 2004;9(1):59-61.
- [104] Gok MA, Pelzers M, Glatz JF, Shenton BK, Buckley PE, Peaston R, et al. Do tissue damage biomarkers used to assess machine-perfused NHBD kidneys predict long-term renal function post-transplant? *Clin Chim Acta* 2003 Dec;338(1-2):33-43.
- [105] Gok MA, Pelsers M, Glatz JF, Shenton BK, Peaston R, Cornell C, et al. Use of two biomarkers of renal ischemia to assess machine-perfused non-heart-beating donor kidneys. *Clin Chem* 2003 Jan;49(1):172-175.
- [106] Jochmans I, Pirenne J. Graft quality assessment in kidney transplantation: not an exact science yet! *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2011 Apr;16(2):174-179.
- [107] Sonnenday CJ, Cooper M, Kraus E, Gage F, Handley C, Montgomery RA. The hazards of basing acceptance of cadaveric renal allografts on pulsatile perfusion parameters alone. *Transplantation* 2003 Jun 27;75(12):2029-2033.
- [108] Guarrera JV, Goldstein MJ, Samstein B, Henry S, Reverte C, Arrington B, et al. 'When good kidneys pump badly': outcomes of deceased donor renal allografts with poor pulsatile perfusion characteristics. *Transpl Int* 2010 Apr 1;23(4):444-446.
- [109] de Vries B, Snoeijs MG, von Bonsdorff L, Ernest van Heurn LW, Parkkinen J, Buurman WA. Redox-active iron released during machine perfusion predicts viability of ischemically injured deceased donor kidneys. *Am J Transplant* 2006 Nov;6(11):2686-2693.
- [110] Ross H, Escott ML. Gaseous oxygen perfusion of the renal vessels as an adjunct in kidney preservation. *Transplantation* 1979 Nov;28(5):362-364.
- [111] Fischer JH, Kulus D, Hansen-Schmidt I, Isselhard W. Adenine nucleotide levels of canine kidneys during hypothermic aerobic or anaerobic storage in Collins solution. *Eur Surg Res* 1981;13(2):178-188.
- [112] Treckmann JW, Paul A, Saad S, Hoffmann J, Waldmann KH, Broelsch CE, et al. Primary organ function of warm ischaemically damaged porcine kidneys after retrograde oxygen persufflation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006 Jul;21(7):1803-1808.

- [113] Treckmann J, Nagelschmidt M, Minor T, Saner F, Saad S, Paul A. Function and quality of kidneys after cold storage, machine perfusion, or retrograde oxygen persufflation: results from a porcine autotransplantation model. *Cryobiology* 2009 Aug;59(1):19-23.
- [114] Edwards ML. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy. Part 1: history and principles. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San Antonio)* 2010 Jun;20(3):284-288.
- [115] Edwards ML. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy. Part 2: application in disease. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San Antonio)* 2010 Jun;20(3):289-297.
- [116] Muralidharan V, Christophi C. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy and liver transplantation. *HPB (Oxford)* 2007;9(3):174-182.
- [117] Guibert EE, Petrenko AY, Balaban CL, Somov AY, Rodriguez JV, Fuller BJ. Organ Preservation: Current Concepts and New Strategies for the Next Decade. *Transfus Med Hemother* 2011;38(2):125-142.
- [118] Pegg DE, Wusteman MC, Foreman J. Metabolism of normal and ischemically injured rabbit kidneys during perfusion for 48 hours at 10 C. *Transplantation* 1981 Nov;32(5):437-443.
- [119] Stegemann J, Hirner A, Rauen U, Minor T. Gaseous oxygen persufflation or oxygenated machine perfusion with Custodiol-N for long-term preservation of ischemic rat livers? *Cryobiology* 2009 Feb;58(1):45-51.
- [120] Minor T, Isselhard W, Yamamoto Y, Obara M, Saad S. The effects of allopurinol and SOD on lipid peroxidation and energy metabolism in the liver after ischemia in an aerobic/anaerobic persufflation. *Surg Today* 1993;23(8):728-732.
- [121] Hosgood SA, Nicholson ML. The role of perfluorocarbon in organ preservation. *Transplantation* 2010 May 27;89(10):1169-1175.
- [122] Clark LC, Jr, Gollan F. Survival of mammals breathing organic liquids equilibrated with oxygen at atmospheric pressure. *Science* 1966 Jun 24;152(730):1755-1756.
- [123] Brandhorst H, Asif S, Andersson K, Theisinger B, Andersson HH, Felldin M, et al. A new oxygen carrier for improved long-term storage of human pancreata before islet isolation. *Transplantation* 2010 Jan 27;89(2):155-160.
- [124] Maluf DG, Mas VR, Yanek K, Stone JJ, Weis R, Massey D, et al. Molecular markers in stored kidneys using perfluorocarbon-based preservation solution: preliminary results. *Transplant Proc* 2006 Jun;38(5):1243-1246.
- [125] Marada T, Zacharovova K, Saudek F. Perfluorocarbon improves post-transplant survival and early kidney function following prolonged cold ischemia. *Eur Surg Res* 2010;44(3-4):170-178.
- [126] Hosgood SA, Mohamed IH, Nicholson ML. The two layer method does not improve the preservation of porcine kidneys. *Med Sci Monit* 2011 Jan;17(1):BR27-33.
- [127] Berkowitz HD, Mendham J, Miller LD. Importance of circulating microparticles for optimal renal perfusion. *Surg Forum* 1973;24:293-295.
- [128] Berkowitz HD, McCombs P, Sheety S, Miller LD, Sloviter H. Fluorochemical perfusates for renal preservation. *J Surg Res* 1976 Jun;20(6):595-600.
- [129] Honda K. Fundamental and clinical studies on intracadaveric organ perfusion with Fluosol-DA. *Prog Clin Biol Res* 1983;122:327-330.

- [130] Thuillier R, Dutheil D, Trieu MT, Mallet V, Allain G, Rousselot M, et al. Supplementation With a New Therapeutic Oxygen Carrier Reduces Chronic Fibrosis and Organ Dysfunction in Kidney Static Preservation. *Am J Transplant* 2011 Sep;11(9):1845-1860.
- [131] Johnson JL, Dolezal MC, Kerschen A, Matsunaga TO, Unger EC. In vitro comparison of dodecafluoropentane (DDFP), perfluorodecalin (PFD), and perfluorooctylbromide (PFOB) in the facilitation of oxygen exchange. *Artif Cells Blood Substit Immobil Biotechnol* 2009;37(4):156-162.
- [132] Lundgren CE, Bergoe GW, Tyssebotn IM. Intravascular fluorocarbon-stabilized microbubbles protect against fatal anemia in rats. *Artif Cells Blood Substit Immobil Biotechnol* 2006;34(5):473-486.
- [133] Daniels FH, McCabe RE, Jr, Leonard EF. The use of hemoglobin solutions in kidney perfusions. *Crit Rev Biomed Eng* 1984;9(4):315-345.
- [134] Brasile L, DelVecchio P, Amyot K, Haisch C, Clarke J. Organ preservation without extreme hypothermia using an Oxygen supplemented perfusate. *Artif Cells Blood Substit Immobil Biotechnol* 1994;22(4):1463-1468.
- [135] Humphreys MR, Ereth MH, Sebo TJ, Slezak JM, Dong Y, Blute ML, et al. Can the kidney function as a lung? Systemic oxygenation and renal preservation during retrograde perfusion of the ischaemic kidney in rabbits. *BJU Int* 2006 Sep;98(3):674-679.
- [136] Gage F, Leeser DB, Porterfield NK, Graybill JC, Gillern S, Hawksworth JS, et al. Room temperature pulsatile perfusion of renal allografts with Lifer compared with hypothermic machine pump solution. *Transplant Proc* 2009 Nov;41(9):3571-3574.
- [137] Regner KR, Nilakantan V, Ryan RP, Mortensen J, White SM, Shames BD, et al. Protective effect of Lifer solution in experimental renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J Surg Res* 2010 Dec;164(2):e291-7.
- [138] Bonventre JV, Yang L. Cellular pathophysiology of ischemic acute kidney injury. *J Clin Invest* 2011 Nov 1;121(11):4210-4221.
- [139] Bonventre JV. Pathophysiology of AKI: injury and normal and abnormal repair. *Contrib Nephrol* 2010;165:9-17.
- [140] Hosgood SA, Bagul A, Kaushik M, Rimoldi J, Gadepalli RS, Nicholson ML. Application of nitric oxide and carbon monoxide in a model of renal preservation. *Br J Surg* 2008 Aug;95(8):1060-1067.
- [141] Oruc O, Inci K, Aki FT, Zeybek D, Muftuoglu SF, Kilinc K, et al. Sildenafil attenuates renal ischemia reperfusion injury by decreasing leukocyte infiltration. *Acta Histochem* 2010 Jul;112(4):337-344.
- [142] Nafar M, Sahraei Z, Salamzadeh J, Samavat S, Vaziri ND. Oxidative stress in kidney transplantation: causes, consequences, and potential treatment. *Iran J Kidney Dis* 2011 Nov;5(6):357-372.
- [143] Savas M, Yeni E, Ciftci H, Yildiz F, Gulum M, Keser BS, et al. The antioxidant role of oral administration of garlic oil on renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Ren Fail* 2010 Jan;32(3):362-367.

- [144] Chatterjee PK. Novel pharmacological approaches to the treatment of renal ischemia-reperfusion injury: a comprehensive review. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* 2007 Oct;376(1-2):1-43.
- [145] Haug CE, Colvin RB, Delmonico FL, Auchincloss H, Jr, Tolkoff-Rubin N, Preffer FI, et al. A phase I trial of immunosuppression with anti-ICAM-1 (CD54) mAb in renal allograft recipients. *Transplantation* 1993 Apr;55(4):766-72; discussion 772-3.
- [146] Harlan JM, Winn RK. Leukocyte-endothelial interactions: clinical trials of anti-adhesion therapy. *Crit Care Med* 2002 May;30(5 Suppl):S214-9.
- [147] Edelstein CL, Ling H, Schrier RW. The nature of renal cell injury. *Kidney Int* 1997 May;51(5):1341-1351.
- [148] Yates PJ, Hosgood SA, Nicholson ML. A biphasic response to nitric oxide donation in an ex vivo model of donation after cardiac death renal transplantation. *J Surg Res* 2012 Jun 15;175(2):316-321.
- [149] Dal Secco D, Moreira AP, Freitas A, Silva JS, Rossi MA, Ferreira SH, et al. Nitric oxide inhibits neutrophil migration by a mechanism dependent on ICAM-1: role of soluble guanylate cyclase. *Nitric Oxide* 2006 Aug;15(1):77-86.
- [150] Caumartin Y, Stephen J, Deng JP, Lian D, Lan Z, Liu W, et al. Carbon monoxide-releasing molecules protect against ischemia-reperfusion injury during kidney transplantation. *Kidney Int* 2011 May;79(10):1080-1089.
- [151] Hanto DW, Maki T, Yoon MH, Csizmadia E, Chin BY, Gallo D, et al. Intraoperative administration of inhaled carbon monoxide reduces delayed graft function in kidney allografts in Swine. *Am J Transplant* 2010 Nov;10(11):2421-2430.
- [152] Hosgood SA, Nicholson ML. Hydrogen sulphide ameliorates ischaemia-reperfusion injury in an experimental model of non-heart-beating donor kidney transplantation. *Br J Surg* 2010 Feb;97(2):202-209.
- [153] Liu YH, Lu M, Bian JS. Hydrogen sulfide and renal ischemia. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 2011 Jan;4(1):49-61.
- [154] Cassis P, Azzollini N, Solini S, Mister M, Aiello S, Cugini D, et al. Both darbepoetin alfa and carbamylated erythropoietin prevent kidney graft dysfunction due to ischemia/reperfusion in rats. *Transplantation* 2011 Aug 15;92(3):271-279.
- [155] Hu L, Yang C, Zhao T, Xu M, Tang Q, Yang B, et al. Erythropoietin Ameliorates Renal Ischemia and Reperfusion Injury via Inhibiting Tubulointerstitial Inflammation. *J Surg Res* 2011 Jul 19.
- [156] Martinez F, Kamar N, Pallet N, Lang P, Durrbach A, Lebranchu Y, et al. High dose epoetin beta in the first weeks following renal transplantation and delayed graft function: Results of the Neo-PDGF Study. *Am J Transplant* 2010 Jul;10(7):1695-1700.
- [157] Aydin Z, Mallat MJ, Schaapherder AF, van Zonneveld AJ, van Kooten C, Rabelink TJ, et al. Randomized Trial of Short-Course High-Dose Erythropoietin in Donation After Cardiac Death Kidney Transplant Recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2012 Mar 19.
- [158] Patel NS, Kerr-Peterson HL, Brines M, Collino M, Rogazzo M, Fantozzi R, et al. The delayed administration of pHBSP, a novel non-erythropoietic analogue of erythropoietin, attenuates acute kidney injury. *Mol Med* 2012 Mar 8.

- [159] Eldaif SM, Deneve JA, Wang NP, Jiang R, Mosunjac M, Mutrie CJ, et al. Attenuation of renal ischemia-reperfusion injury by postconditioning involves adenosine receptor and protein kinase C activation. *Transpl Int* 2010 Feb;23(2):217-226.
- [160] Szwarc I, Mourad G, Argiles A. Post-conditioning to reduce renal ischaemia/reperfusion injury. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009 Jul;24(7):2288-9; author reply 2289-90.
- [161] Basu S, Meisert I, Eggensperger E, Krieger E, Krenn CG. Time course and attenuation of ischaemia-reperfusion induced oxidative injury by propofol in human renal transplantation. *Redox Rep* 2007;12(4):195-202.
- [162] Snoeijs MG, Vaahtera L, de Vries EE, Schurink GW, Haenen GR, Peutz-Kootstra CJ, et al. Addition of a water-soluble propofol formulation to preservation solution in experimental kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 2011 Aug 15;92(3):296-302.
- [163] Zhuo W, Liao L, Xu T, Wu W, Yang S, Tan J. Mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate ischemia-reperfusion-induced renal dysfunction by improving the antioxidant/oxidant balance in the ischemic kidney. *Urol Int* 2011;86(2):191-196.
- [164] Hara Y, Stolk M, Ringe J, Dehne T, Ladhoff J, Kotsch K, et al. In vivo effect of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in a rat kidney transplantation model with prolonged cold ischemia. *Transpl Int* 2011 Nov;24(11):1112-1123.
- [165] Kwon O, Miller S, Li N, Khan A, Kadry Z, Uemura T. Bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells and endothelial cells may contribute to endothelial repair in the kidney immediately after ischemia-reperfusion. *J Histochem Cytochem* 2010 Aug;58(8):687-694.
- [166] Ciancio G, Burke GW, Gaynor JJ, Roth D, Kupin W, Rosen A, et al. A randomized trial of thymoglobulin vs. alemtuzumab (with lower dose maintenance immunosuppression) vs. daclizumab in renal transplantation at 24 months of follow-up. *Clin Transplant* 2008 Mar-Apr;22(2):200-210.
- [167] Brennan DC, Daller JA, Lake KD, Cibrik D, Del Castillo D, Thymoglobulin Induction Study Group. Rabbit antithymocyte globulin versus basiliximab in renal transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2006 Nov 9;355(19):1967-1977.

IntechOpen