We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ (PMN) Relaxor: Dipole Glass or Nano-Domain Ferroelectric?

Desheng Fu, Hiroki Taniguchi, Mitsuru Itoh and Shigeo Mori

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/52139

1. Introduction

In sharp contrast to normal ferroelectric (for example BaTiO₃), relaxors show unusually large dielectric constant over a large temperature range (~100 K) (Fig. 1 (a)) [1,2]. Such large dielectric response is strongly dependent on the frequency. Its origin has been the focus of interest in the solid-state physics. Unlike the dielectric anomaly in $BaTiO_3$, which is associated with a ferroelectric phase transition, the maximum of dielectric response in relaxor does not indicate the occurrence of a ferroelectric phase transition. Such huge dielectric response suggests that local polarization might occur in the crystal. This was envisioned by Burns and Dacol from the deviation from linearity of refractive index n(T) (Fig. 1(c)) around the socalled Burns temperature (T_{Burns}) [3] because the deviation Δn is proportional to polarization $P_{\rm s}$. The local polarization is suggested to occur in a nano-region, and is generally called as polar nano-region (PNR). The existence of PNR in relaxor is well confirmed from the neutron scattering measurements [4] and transition electron microscopy (TEM) observation. However, it is still unknown how PNRs contribute to the large dielectric response. More recently, it is also suggested that a strong coupling between zone-center and zone-boundary soft-modes may play a key role in understanding the relaxor behaviors [5]. Clearly, the question "what is the origin of the giant dielectric constant over a broad temperature range?" is still unclear [6,7].

Another longstanding issue on relaxors is how PNRs interact at low temperature. There are two acceptable models: (1) dipole glass model [2,8-11], and (2) random-field model [12,13]. In spherical random-bond–random-field (SRBRF) model, Pirc and Blinc assumed that PNRs are spherical and interact randomly and proposed a frozen dipole glass state for relaxor (Fig. 2(a)) [10,11]. It predicts that the scaled third-order nonlinear susceptibility $a_3 = -\varepsilon_3/\varepsilon_1^4$ will



© 2013 Fu et al.; licensee InTech. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

shows a nearly divergent behavior at the freezing temperature T_f of the spherical glass phase. In sharp contrast, the random field model of Fisch [13], which assumes non-random two-spin exchange, predicts a ferroelectric or ferroelectric domain state in relaxor (Fig. 2(b)). This random Potts field model also predicts a broadening specific heat peak for the glass phase and shows that the latent heat at ferroelectric transition T_c is so small that it may be difficult to be detected, which reasonably explains the data reported by Moriya et al. [14].

Combining our recent results [15] from the electrical polarization, Raman scattering, TEM measurements and those reported in the literature, here, we propose a physical picture to understand the dielectric behaviors of $Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O_3$ (PMN) relaxor.



Figure 1. Temperature dependence of (a) linear dielectric constant [9], (b) cold [35] & thermal [4] neutrons diffuse intensities, and (c) refractive index [3] for PMN relaxor crystal.

2. Multiple inhomogeneities in relaxors

PMN is a prototypical relaxor with $A(B',B'')O_3$ perovskite structure (Fig. 3), in which B-sites are occupied by two kinds of heterovalent cations. Such chemical inhomogeneity is a common feature of relaxor crystals. Although it remains an average centrosymmetric cubic structure down to 5 K [16], local structural inhomogeneity has been detected in PMN relaxor. In addition to PNR mentioned above, chemically ordering region (COR) [17-19] with size of several nm has been observed in PMN crystal by TEM. It should be noticed that PNR and COR belong to different symmetry groups and are considered to have non-centrosymmetry of R3m and centrosymmetry of $Fm\bar{3}m$, respectively. Therefore, there is spontaneous polarization P_s along the $<111>_c$ direction of pseudocubic structure in PNRs [20,21], but none of P_s exists in CORs. In addition to chemical and structural inhomogeneities, we will show that PMN relaxor also has inhomogeneity of ferroelectric domain structure. Multiple inhomogeneities are thus considered to play a crucial role in inducing the intriguing behaviors in relaxors.



Figure 2. Two phase diagrams proposed for relaxor by (a) a dipole glass model [10], and (b) a random field model [13].



Figure 3. (a) ABO₃perovskite structure. (b) Model for relaxor structure. PNR and COR represent the polar nano-region and chemically order region, respectively. (c) &(d) show two models of atom arrangement for COR. To maintain the electric neutrality, a Nb-rich layer is required for case (c).

3. Evolution of the electrical polarization and origin of the huge dielectric responses

In order to understand the nature of the huge dielectric response and the ground state of the electrical polarization in PMN, it is essential to know the polarization hysteresis of all states including virgin state in PMN crystal. Although there are many reports on the polarization hysteresis of PMN crystal, there is a lack of understanding of the polarization hysteresis of the virgin state. In our polarization measurements, in order to access the virgin state of the crystal at a temperature, it was firstly annealed at 360 K and then cooled to the desired temperature for the measurements.

Figure 4 shows the *D*-*E* hysteresis at three typical temperatures observed for $(110)_c$ -cut PMN crystal At *T*=360 K that is greatly higher than the freezing temperature T_f =224 K assumed for PMN crystal [9],there is no remnant polarization within the experimental time scale of τ ~10 ms (one cycle of the *D*–*E* loop) and the polarization is history-independent, indicating that the crystal is macroscopically paraelectric at this temperature. When temperature is lower than room temperature (for example, *T*=250 K), remnant polarization was observed but it generally disappears after removing the electric field.

Upon further cooling to temperatures lower than ~220 K,PMN shows polarization hysteresis similar to that of normal ferroelectric [22]. Fig. 4(a) shows an example of the characteristic hysteresis loop in this temperature range. In the virgin state as indicated by the thick red line, it appears that there is no remnant polarization in the crystal at zero electric field. However, as increasing the electric field, we can see the gradual growth of the polarization. This is a characteristic behavior of the polarization reversal (switching) in ferroelectric. When the applied field is larger than the coercive field E_{cr} ferroelectric domains are aligned along the direction of the electric field, leading to a stable macroscopic polarization in the crystal. This is evident from the fact that the remnant polarization is identical to the saturation polarization. These results clearly indicate that PMN is a ferroelectric rather than a dipole glass at temperature lower than 220 K.

There are many reports on the electric-field induced phase transition in PMN. On the basis of the change of dielectric constant under the application of a DC electric field, Colla et al. proposed an *E*-*T* phase diagram for PMN [8], which suggests a phase transition from a glass phase to a ferroelectric phase at a critical field of E_t =1. 5kV/cm in the temperature range of 160 K - 200 K. However, from our polarization results, we cannot find such a critical field except the coercive field E_c . If we assume that E_c is the critical field, then its value completely disagrees with the reported value. We observed that E_c increases rapidly with lowering the temperature, for example, E_c can reach a value of ~11 kV/cm at 180 K, which is about 7 times of E_t . Moreover, E_c is strongly dependent on frequency (Fig. 4b), and has an exponential form(1/*f* \propto exp (α/E_c) (Fig. 4c), which will result in an undefined critical field if we assume $E_c=E_t$ because E_c is dependent on the measurement time-scale.



Figure 4. (a) Polarization hysteresis in PMN crystal at 190 K, 250 K, 360K. (b) Frequency dependence of polarization hysteresis. (c) Relationship between frequency and coercive field determined from the peak of switching currents. Superscript *i* denotes the value observed for the virgin state.

As discussed in following, ferroelectric micro-domain and soft-mode behaviors have also been observed at zero field in PMN in our measurements. Also, lowering of symmetry of local structure at zero field was also revealed around 210 K by a NMR study [21]. All these results direct to the fact of occurrence of a ferroelectric state at zero field in PMN crystal. We therefore consider that it is more rational to attribute E_c to the coercive field required for the domain switching rather than the critical field for a field-induced phase transition. In fact, the exponential relationship of coercive field with frequency is well-known as Merz's law ($f=1/\tau \propto \exp(-\alpha/E_c)$, τ =switching time, α =activation field) [23-28] in the normal ferroelectrics (for example, BaTiO₃,TGS) [23,24]. Using this relationship, the activation field is estimated to be 83. 5 kV/cm for PMN crystal at 200 K (Fig. 4c), which is one order of magnitude greater than that of the BaTiO₃ crystal. This indicates that the domain switching in PMN become more and more difficult as lowering temperatures. For example, when an electric field of 1 kV/cm is applied to the crystal at 200 K, an unpractical time of 2. 3×10^{29} s (~7. 3×10^{21} years) is required for the domain switching. Actually, it is impossible to observe the spontaneous polarization by this weak field within a limit time at this temperature.

The high-resolution data of the polarization obtained by a 14-bit oscilloscope allow us to calculate the linear and nonlinear dielectric susceptibilities (defined by the expansion $P = \varepsilon_0(\chi_1 E)$ $+\chi_3 E^3+$)) directly from the *D*-*E* hysteresis by differentiating the polarization with respective to the electric field. The calculated results are summarized in Fig. 5 for various electric fields in the virgin state and the zero electric field after the polarization reversal. These results allow us to have a deep insight into the nature of abnormal dielectric behaviors and the phase transition in PMN relaxor. Fig. 5(a) shows the linear dielectric response for the virgin state in various electric fields. When comparing the response obtained at zero field with that obtained by LCR meter at the frequency corresponding to the sampling rate used in D-E hysteresis measurements, one might find that they both behavior in the same way with the temperature. As mentioned above that the polarization response under the electric field in the virgin state is essentially due to the polarization reorientation, we therefore can reasonably attribute the dielectric anomaly usually observed in PMN relaxor to the polarization reorientation. This indicates that the reorientation of the PNRs dominates the huge dielectric response in PMN relaxor. Fig. 5 (a) also shows that the peak of dielectric response shifts to lower temperature at a higher electric field. This means that the activation field required for domain switching increases with lowering the temperature.

The nonlinear dielectric susceptibility ε_{3v} and its scaled value a_{3v} for the virgin state are given in Fig. 5(c) and (d), respectively. ε_{3v} shows a broad peak around 255 K, which is in good agreement with those obtained by Levstik et al. using a lock-in to wave analyzer technique for various frequencies [9]. Levstik et al. attributed this behavior to the freezing of dipole glass at $T_r=224$ K in PMN. However, this picture is inconsistent with the results shown in the above polarization measurements, which indicates that PMN relaxor is ferroelectric but not glass at $T < T_f$. Such dipole glass picture is also excluded by the results of the scaled susceptibility a_3 shown in Fig. 5(d), and those reported in the previous studies [29, 30]. We can see that there is no divergent behavior of a_3 in PMN relaxor. This result again suggests that there is no freezing of dipole glass in PMN as predicted for the dipole glass model. The observed anomaly of nonlinear dielectric susceptibility around 255 K is more consistent with the phase diagram of the random field model proposed by Fisch [13], in which a glass phase occurs between the paraelectric phase and the ferroelectric phase in relaxors. Such anomaly of nonlinear dielectric susceptibility may be a manifestation of spherical dipole glass with random interaction used in SRBRF model, and its nature requires further theoretical investigations.

In the random field model, the ferroelectric phase transition is suggested to be smeared due to the quenched random fields, but it may be visible if the random fields are overcome by an external electric field [12]. This ferroelectric phase transition has been convincingly shown by the sharp peak of the linear dielectric susceptibility ε_{1p} (Fig. 5(b)) and the anomaly of its nonlinear components ε_{3p} and a_{3p} (solid circles in Fig. 5(c) and (d)) when ferroelectric domains are aligned by an external field. The sharp peak of linear susceptibility indicates that the ferroelectric phase transition occurs at $T=T_c=225$ K, around which Curie-Weise law was observed. The value of Curie constant is estimated to be $C = 2.05 \times 10^5$ K, which is characteristic of that of the displacive-type phase transition, suggesting a soft mode-driven phase transition in this system. This conclusion is supported by the occurrence of soft–mode in the crystal observed by neutron [31] and Raman scattering measurements [32].



Figure 5. Dielectric responses in PMN crystal. (a) Chang of linear dielectric responses $(\varepsilon_1 = \partial D/\partial E|_E)$ with the electric field for the virgin state. Inset shows an example of the polarization and dielectric responses, in which the thick lines indicate the virgin state. Dielectric constant obtained by LCR impedance measurements at an ac level of 1 V/cm (red solid line) is also shown for comparison. (b) Linear dielectric response $(\varepsilon_{1p}=\partial D/\partial E|_{E=0})$ at zero field after the polarization reversal (indicated by the square in inset of (a)), and its inverse. (c) Nonlinear dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_3=\partial^3 D/\partial^3 E|_{E=0}$) at zero field for the virgin state, and the state after the polarization reversal. (d) The corresponding $a_3=\varepsilon_3/\varepsilon_1^4|_{E=0}$ for these two states.

Here, we can see that there are two characteristic temperatures in relaxors: Burns temperature T_{Burns} and ferroelectric phase transition temperature T_c . At T_{Burns} , local polarizations (PNRs) begin to occur. Before the ferroelectric transition occurs, PNRs are dynamic, and more importantly, interactions among them are random. Consequently, the existence of PNRs between T_c and T_{Burns} can be considered as precursor phenomenon in a phase transition [33]. Actually, such precursor phenomenon has also been observed in the normal ferroelectric BaTiO₃at temperatures far above T_c [34]. A difference between BaTiO₃ and PMN relaxor is that the temperate region of precursor existence in PMN is greatly lager than in BaTiO₃. To probe the precursor behavior, one has to consider the time scale used. For example, we cannot detect a spontaneous polarization at ms scale by *D*-*E* measurements for PMN at room temperature, but at the probe time scale of 2 ns, cold neutron high-flux backscattering spectrometer can detect PNRs up to ~400K [35] (Fig. 1b). In contrast, due to a shorter probe time scale of ~6 ps [4], thermal neutron scattering can probe PNRs up to 600 K, which is close to T_{Burns} determined by the optical measurements that have the shortest probe time scale.

4. Soft mode behaviors in PMN relaxor

In the displacive-type ferroelectrics, soft-modes should occur in the lattice dynamics of the crystal. Actually, in a study of neutron inelastic scattering, a FE soft mode was revealed to recovers, i. e., becomes underdamped, below 220 K, and from there its energy squared $(\hbar\omega_s)^2$ increases linearly with decreasing *T* as for normal FEs below T_c (see also Fig. 6(e)) [31]. This has long been a puzzle for PMN relaxor: how can this be, since it has been thought that PMN remains cubic to at least 5 K [16]? However, such soft-mode behavior is exactly consistent with our results from polarization measurements shown in previous section, which show a ferroelectric phase transition at T_c ~225 K. Our Raman scattering measurements also support the occurrence of FE softmode in PMN relaxor [32].

In Raman scattering studies for relaxors, the multiple inhomogeneities due to the coexistence of different symmetry regions such as the PNR and COR has been a tremendous barrier to clarify the dynamical aspect of relaxor behavior in PMN. In particular, the intense temperature-independent peak at 45 cm⁻¹ (indicated by lin Fig. 6(a)), which stems from the COR with $Fm\bar{3}m$ [32], always precludes a detailed investigation of low-wave number spectra of PMN crystal. Our angular dependence of the Raman spectra together with the results from the Raman tensor calculations clearly indicate that the strong F_{2g} mode located at 45 cm⁻¹ can be eliminated by choosing a crossed Nicols configuration with the polarization direction of the incident laser along <110>_c direction (see right panel in Fig. 6(c)).

Such special configuration allows us to observe the other low-wave number modes easily. Fig. 6 (d) shows the spectra obtained by this configuration. At the lowest temperature, a well-defined mode can be seen from the spectrum, which softens as increasing the temperature, indicating the occurrence of FE soft mode in PMN relaxor. Due to the multiple inhomogeneities of the system, the shape of soft-mode of PMN relaxor is not as sharp as that observed in normal displacive-type ferroelectrics. However, we still can estimate its frequency reliably from the careful spectrum analysis. Its temperature dependence is shown in Fig. 6(e) (indicated by \bullet) in comparison with the results obtained by neutron inelastic scattering (\bigcirc) [31].

Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ (PMN) Relaxor: Dipole Glass or Nano-Domain Ferroelectric? 59 http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/52139



Figure 6. a) Room-temperature Raman spectra in PMN observed by the parallel (upper panel) and crossed (bottom panel) Nicols configurations, with the polarization direction of the incident laser parallel to $<100>_c(P // <100>_c)$. (b) Scattering configurations used in measurements on the angular dependence of the Raman spectra. (c) Angular dependence of the low-wave number Raman spectra obtained at room temperature. (d) Temperature dependence of Raman spectra observed by the crossed Nicols configuration with the polarization direction of the incident laser along $<110>_c$ direction ($P // <110>_c$). (e) Soft mode wave numbers obtained by Raman scattering (\bullet) and by neutron inelastic scattering by Wakimoto et al. (\circ) [31].



Figure 7. a)-(c) Temperature variation of TEM images observed for PMN relaxor. (a')-(c') & (a")-(c") show images of PNR and COR derived from (a)-(c). (d)Micrometric domain structure observed in the ferroelectric phase at 130K. (e) Schematic domain patterns shown in (d). Arrows and lines indicate the polarization directions and domain boundaries, respectively.

We find that the soft-mode exhibits softening towards T_c on heating and follows the conventional Curie–Weiss law (solid line) over a large temperature region. However, upon further heating, the soft mode becomes over damped in a temperature region extending over ~200 K, which does not allow us to estimate the frequency of the mode. At temperatures above 480 K, the soft mode recovers the under damped oscillation and hardens as the temperature increases. These phenomena are very similar to those revealed by neutron inelastic scattering [31]. A major difference is that the wave number of the soft mode in the present study is significantly lower than that observed by the neutron inelastic scattering. This can be reasonably understood by the splitting of the soft mode due to the lowering of symmetry as

demonstrated in the NMR study [21]. According to previous results, the local symmetry in the PNR changes from cubic to rhombohedral. Therefore, the soft mode can be assumed to split from the F_{1u} mode to the A_1 and E modes. Generally, the A_1 mode is higher in wave number than the E mode due to the depolarization field effect.

In a short summary, we may say that the polarization in PMN is induced by the soft mode. This interpretation is essentially consistent with the results described in the polarization measurements, and the results obtained in previous neutron studies [36, 37], in which the crystallographic structure of PNR is attributed to the displacement pattern of the soft mode. The results of the Raman study also support that a ferroelectric state exists in PMN even at the zero-bias field.

5. Ferroelectric domain structures observed by TEM

In order to understand the microstructures of COR and PNR together with the domain structures and its evolution with temperature in the ferroelectric phase of PMN relaxor, we have carried out a detailed TEM observation. The typical results are summarized in Fig. 7. As shown in Fig. 7 (a")-(c"), COR was found to be spherical shape and has size less than 5 nm. It is very stable and remains unchanged within the temperature range of 130 K-675K. In the TEM observation, large amount of CORs were found to distribute in the PMN crystal. In a previous HRTEM study, its volume fraction has been estimated to be ~1/3 of the crystal [18]. CORs are thus considered to be the intense sources of the strong random fields.



Figure 8. Temperature variation of PNR observed by (a) TEM [38]and (b) Neutron scattering [4]. In (b), ξ is the correlation length, and I_0 is the integrated diffuse scattering intensity and can be written to $N\xi^3 |Q\delta|^2$, where N is the total number of PNR, δ is the average displacement of atoms within the PNR, and Q is the wave vector.

In contrast to CORs, PNRs exhibit remarkable change with temperature. As shown in Fig. 7(a')-(c') and Fig. 8, PNRs with size of several nm were found to occur in the crystal for $T < T_{\text{Burns}}$. These spherical PNRs show continuous growth as lowering the temperature. However, PNRs change from spherical shape to elliptical shape around T_c . Associating with the change in shape, its intensity was also found to drop rapidly. These results are consistent with those derived from neutron scattering (Fig. 8(b)) [4]. Neutron scattering study by Xu et al. [4] shows that the "correlation length" ξ , which is a direct measure of the length scale of the PNR, increases on cooling and changes remarkably around T_c . At the same time, the number of PNR increases on cooling from high temperatures and then drops dramatically at around T_c , remaining roughly constant below T_c .

Associating with the change in the number and the shape of PNR, micrometric ferroelectric domains were found to occur for $T < T_c$. It is because of growing into macroscopic domain that the number of PNR drop sharply in the ferroelectric phase. Figs. 7(d) and (e) show the structure of a FE micrometric domain in the FE phase of 130 Kand its schematic patterns, respectively. The micrometric domains are formed in the crystal with the spontaneous P_s along the <111>_c direction. In comparison with the domain structure of normal ferroelectric such as Ba-TiO₃, domain size is relatively small and the domain boundaries blur in PMN relaxor.



Figure 9. Volume fraction of PNR estimated from neutron scattering measurements [37,39]. Solid line denotes the threshold of percolation for elliptical shape [40].

The occurrence of micrometric domains, the soft-mode observed by Raman scattering, and the macroscopic polarization all direct to the same conclusion: PMN is essentially ferroelectric but not dipole-glass at $T < T_c$ although it exhibits some unique characteristic properties including broadening soft-mode, smearing domain wall, and very large activation field required for domain switching. Our TEM measurements clearly indicate that interactions among PNRs for $T < T_c$ are not random, but cooperative, which is different from the picture expected in the SRBRF model [10]. It is due to such non-random interaction, PNRs team up

together to form micrometric domain in the FE phase. Thus, our TEM observations support the random field model suggested by Fisch [13]. It should be emphasized that PNRs cannot merge together completely due to the blocking of the intense CORs in the crystal (Fig. 10).

Here, we made a discussion on the volume fraction of PNRs in PMN crystal. Neutron scattering technique has been used to estimate the volume fraction of PNRs. Fig. 9 replots two results reported by Jeong et al. [37] and Uesu et al. [39], respectively. Both studies indicate that PNRs occupy a volume fraction > 25% at the lowest measurement temperature. This volume fraction is larger than the threshold of 22% to form a percolated ferroelectric state with an ellipsoidal-shape [40], supporting again the picture of a ferroelectric state in PMN relaxor.



Figure 10. A physics picture of structure evolution in PMN relaxor.

6. A physics picture of relaxor

In summary, we propose a physics picture for relaxors. Figure 10 schematically shows a model of structure evolution in PMN relaxor. Since COR has been observed at $T>T_{Burns'}$ it can be considered that there is a coexistence of paraelectric phase of COR with $Fm\bar{3}m$ symmetry and paraelectric $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase in this high temperature. Upon cooling, spherical PNRs occur from paraelectric $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase for $T<T_{Burns'}$. Both number and size of PNR increase as lowering the temperature. Around room temperature, PNRs grow to a size of about 10 nm. For $T<T_c$ (~225K), neighboring PNRs merge together to form elliptical shape with anisotropy, associating with the reduction of its number. Due to the blocking by the intense CORs, individual PNR merely grows to a size of about 20 nm at $T<T_c$. However, PNRs with elliptical

shape tend to team up together to form a larger domain at $T < T_c$ at which a ferroelectric state occurs. The existence of a multi-scale inhomogeneity of ferroelectric domain structure provides a key point to understand the huge electromechanical coupling effects in relaxors and piezoelectrics with morphotropic phase boundary (MPB). This also gives idea to design new material with domain structure to have large elastic deformation with the application of an electric or magnetic field [41].

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. M. Yoshida, Prof. N. Yamamoto and Prof. Shin-ya Koshihara of Tokyo Institute of Technology for their contributions in this work. We acknowledge the support of a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, MEXT, Japan.

Author details

Desheng Fu^{1*}, Hiroki Taniguchi², Mitsuru Itoh² and Shigeo Mori³

*Address all correspondence to: ddsfu@ipc. shizuoka. ac. jp

1 Division of Global Research Leaders, Shizuoka University, Johoku, Naka-ku, Hamamatsu, Japan

2 Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatsuta, Yokohama, Japan

3 Department of Materials Science, Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka, Japan

References

- [1] Smolenskii, G. A., Agranovskaia, A. I. Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. (1958), 3, 1380.
- [2] Cross, L. E. Relaxor ferroelectrics: An overview. *Ferroelectrics* (1994), 151, 305-320.
- [3] Burns, G., Dacol, F. H. Glassy polarization behavior in ferroelectric compounds Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ and Pb(Zn_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ . *Solid State Commun*. (1983), 48, 853-856.
- [4] Xu, G., Shirane, G., Copley, J. R. D., Gehring, P. M. Neutron elastic diffuse scattering study of Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃. *Phys. Rev. B* (2004), 69, 064112.
- [5] Swainson, I. P., Stock, C., Gehring, P. M., Xu, G., Hirota, K., Qiu, Y., Luo, H., Zhao, X., Li, J.-F., Viehland, D., Soft phonon columns on the edge of the Brillouin zone in the relaxor PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃. *Phys. Rev. B* (2009), 79, 224301.

- [6] Vugmeister, B. E. Polarization dynamics and formation of polar nanoregions in relaxor ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev. B* (2006), 73, 174117.
- [7] Grinberg, I., Juhas, P., Davies, P. K., Rappe, A. M. Relationship between local structure and relaxor behavior in perovskite oxides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2007), 99, 267603.
- [8] Colla, E.V., Koroleva, E. Yu., Okuneva, N. M., Vakhrushev, S. B. Long-time relaxation of the dielectric response in lead magnoniobate. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (1995), 74, 1681-1684.
- [9] Levstik, A., Kutnjak, Z., Filipič, C., Pirc, R. Glassy freezing in relaxor ferroelectric lead magnesium niobate. *Phys. Rev. B* (1998), 57, 11204-11211.
- [10] Pirc, R., Blinc, R. Spherical random-bond-random-field model of relaxor ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev. B* (1999), 60, 13470-13478.
- [11] Bobnar, V., Kutnjak, Z., Pirc, R., Blinc, R., Levstik, A. Crossover from glassy to inhomogeneous-ferroelectric nonlinear dielectric response in relaxor ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2000), 84, 5892-5895.
- [12] Westphal, V., Kleemann, W., Glinchuk, M. D. Diffuse phase transitions and randomfield-induced domain states of the "relaxor" ferroelectric PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (1992), 68, 847.
- [13] Fisch, R. Random-field models for relaxor ferroelectric behavior. *Phys. Rev. B* 2003; 67, 094110.
- [14] Moriya, Y., Kawaji, H., Tojo, T., Atake, T. Specific-heat anomaly caused by ferroelectric nanoregions in Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ and Pb(Mg_{1/3}Ta_{2/3})O₃ relaxors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2003), 90, 205901.
- [15] Fu, D., Taniguchi, H., Itoh, M., Koshihara, S., Yamamoto, N., and Mori S. Relaxor Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}) O₃: A ferroelectric with multiple inhomogeneities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2009), 103, 207601.
- [16] Mathan, N. de, Husson, E., Calvarn, G., Gavarri, J. R., Hewat, A. W., Morell, A. A structural model for the relaxor PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃ at 5 K. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* (1991), 3, 8159.
- [17] Hilton, A. D., Barber, D. J., Randall, C.A., Shrout, T. R. On short range ordering in the perovskite lead magnesium niobate. *J. Mater. Sci.* (1990), 25, 3461-3466.
- [18] Boulesteix, C., Varnier, F., Llebaria, A., Husson, E. Numerical determination of the local ordering of PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃ (PMN) from high resolution electron microscopy images. *J. Solid State Chem.* (1994), 108, 141-147.
- [19] Chen, J., Chan, H. M., Harmer, M. P. Ordering structure and dielectric properties of undoped and La/Na-doped Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. (1989), 72, 593-598.
- [20] Jeong, I. K., Darling, T. W., Lee, J. K., Proffen, Th., Heffner, R. H., Park, J. S., Hong, K. S., Dmowski, W., Egami, T. Direct observation of the formation of polar nanoregions

in Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ using neutron pair distribution function analysis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2005), 94, 147602.

- [21] Blinc, R., Laguta, V. V., Zalar, B. Field cooled and zero field cooled ²⁰⁷Pb NMR and the local structure of relaxor PbMg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3}O₃. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2003), 91, 247601.
- [22] Wieder, H. H. Electrical behavior of barium titanatge single crystals at low temperatures. *Phys. Rev.* (1955), 99, 1161-1165.
- [23] Wieder, H. H. Activation field and coercivity of ferroelectric barium titanate. *J. Appl. Phys.* (1957), 28, 367-369.
- [24] Wieder, H. H. Ferroelectric polarization reversal in rochelle salt. Phys. Rev. (1958), 110, 29-36.
- [25] Merz, W. J. Domain formation and domain wall motions in ferroelectric BaTiO₃ single crystals. *Phys. Rev.* (1954), 95, 690-698.
- [26] Fatuzzo, E., Merz, W. J. Switching mechanism in triglycine sulfate and other ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev.* 1959; 116, 61-68.
- [27] Fatuzzo, E. Theoretical Considerations on the Switching transient in ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev.* (1962), 127, 1999-2005.
- [28] Shin, Y-H., Grinberg, I., Chen, I-W., Rappe, A. M. Nucleation and growth mechanism of ferroelectric domain-wall motion. *Nature* (2007), 449, 881-884.
- [29] Glazounov, A. E., Tagantsev, A. K. Phenomenological model of dynamic nonlinear response of relaxor ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2000), 85, 2192-2195.
- [30] Dec, J., Miga, S., Kleemann, W., Dkhil, B. Nonlinear dielectric properties of PMN relaxor crystals within Landau-Ginzburg-Devonshire approximation. *Ferroelectrics* (2008), 363, 141-149.
- [31] Wakimoto, S., Stock, C., Birgeneau, R. J., Ye, Z.-G., Chen, W., Buyers, W. J. L., Gehring, P. M., Shirane, G. Ferroelectric ordering in the relaxor Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ as evidenced by low-temperature phonon anomalies. *Phys. Rev. B* (2002), 65, 172105.
- [32] Taniguchi, H., Itoh, M., Fu, D. Raman scattering study of the soft mode in Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃. J. Raman Spectrosc. (2010), 42, 706-714.
- [33] Bussmann-Holder, A., Beige, H., Völkel, G. Precursor effects, broken local symmetry, and coexistence of order-disorder and displacive dynamics in perovskite ferroelectrics. *Phys. Rev. B* (2009), 79, 184111.
- [34] Tai, Z. R., Namikawa, K., Sawada, A., Kishimoto, M., Tanaka, M., Lu, P., Nagashima, K., Maruyama, H., Ando, M. Picosecond view of microscopic-scale polarization clusters in paraelectric BaTiO₃. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2004), 93, 087601.
- [35] Gehring, P. M., Hiraka, H., Stock, C., Lee, S.-H., Chen, W., Ye, Z.-G., Vakhrushev, S. B., Chowdhuri, Z. Reassessment of the Burns temperature and its relationship to the

diffuse scattering, lattice dynamics, and thermal expansion in relaxor Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃. *Phys. Rev. B* (2009), 79, 224109.

- [36] Hirota, K., Ye, Z. G., Wakimoto, S., Gehring, P. M., Shirane, G. Neutron diffuse scattering from polar nanoregions in the relaxor Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃. *Phys. Rev. B* (2002), 65, 104105.
- [37] Jeong, I. K., Darling, T. W., Lee, J. K., Proffen, T., Heffner, R. H., Park, J. S., Hong, K. S., Dmowski, W., Egami, T. Direct observation of the formation of polar nanoregions in Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ using neutron pair distribution function analysis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2005), 94, 147602.
- [38] Yoshida, M. Study of Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ ferroelectric relaxor by Transmission Electron Microscopy, *Undergraduate thesis*, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, (1996).
- [39] Uesu, Y., Tazawa, H., Fujishiro, K., Yamada, Y. Neutron scattering and nonlinear-optical studies on the phase transition of ferroelectric relaxor Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃. *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* (1996), 29, S703-S705.
- [40] Garboczi, E. I., Snyder, K. A., Douglas, J. F. Geometrical percolation threshold of overlapping ellipsoids. *Phys. Rev. E* (1995), 52, 819-828.
- [41] "Ferroelectrics: Nanoregions team together", NPG Asia Materials, Feb. 1, 2010, doi: 10.1038/asiamat.2010.17.





IntechOpen