We are IntechOpen,
the world's leading publisher of
Open Access books
Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900
Open access books available

185,000
International authors and editors

200M
Downloads

Our authors are among the

154
Countries delivered to

TOP 1%
most cited scientists

12.2%
Contributors from top 500 universities

CLARIVATE ANALYTICS
BOOK CITATION INDEX
INDEXED

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Early Evaluation of Cardiac Chest Pain – Beyond History and Electrocardiograph

Ghulam Naroo and Aysha Nazir
Rashid Hospital, Dubai
United Arab Emirates

1. Overview

Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) represents a continuous spectrum of disease including Unstable Angina (UA), acute non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), and acute ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). In spite of major advances in prevention and treatment, Acute Coronary Syndrome remains a leading cause of death as well as a major cause of hospital admissions both within Europe and worldwide.[1-3]

Recent advances have allowed for early detection and disposition of patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome. The first step in the management of patients with ACS is prompt recognition. The diagnosis of ACS is largely based on the history, the electrocardiogram (ECG) and changes in cardiac biomarkers. It is a universally acknowledged fact that history remains the most essential tool in directing the need for further workup which includes serial ECGs and measurement of cardiac biomarkers.

2. Diagnostic challenges

The ECG is an important diagnostic and risk stratification tool. Most patients who have UA/NSTEMI have some ECG changes, although the ECG may be normal in 1% to 6% of patients who have NSTEMI and in approximately 4% of patients who have UA.[4]ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is diagnosed by the symptoms and the characteristic ST elevation on the ECG. The other two variants of ACS, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction and unstable angina are differentiated from each other by the presence of positive cardiac biomarker in the former and the treatment varies accordingly.[5-7]

Of the number of available markers and assays that detect myocardial necrosis, the cardiac troponins T and I and the creatinine kinase–MB (CK-MB) isoform are the most commonly used, with troponins gaining acceptance as the markers of choice in ACS. These have achieved an important role in diagnostic, prognostic, and treatment pathways by virtue of their high degree of sensitivity and specificity and their relative ease of use and interpretation. However, troponins are detectable only 6 hours after myocardial injury and are measurable for up to 2 weeks.

For a patient presenting with a suspected acute MI, the characteristics of the chest pain and the ECG findings permit initial risk stratification. The gold standard in the care of a patient

with cardiac chest pain is that an ECG and an abbreviated history and physical examination be obtained within 10 minutes of patient arrival.[8]

The early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is however sometimes difficult due to: [9]

1. Equivocal electrocardiogram (ECG) changes and other conditions with ECG changes that mimic acute myocardial infarction. Atypical chest pains with many differentials confuse to make a diagnosis.
2. Acute myocardial infarction patients without ST-segment elevation.
3. Delayed liberation and detection of cardiac markers of myocardial necrosis such as troponin and creatine kinase (CK).

Cardiac troponin is frequently not detected until after 4-6 hours and in many cases, repeated measurement is needed 8-12 hours after admission. The importance of early risk stratification in the management of acute myocardial infarction is emphasized in the American Heart Association task force guidelines.[10]Risk stratification is an important objective in the evaluation of patients with ACS. The presence of positive biomarkers indicates higher risk and worse prognosis.[11]

When initiating reperfusion therapy, door-to-needle time of less than or equal to 30 minutes for initiation of fibrinolytic therapy and a door-to-balloon time of less than or equal to 90 minutes for percutaneous coronary perfusion is the standard of care.[12-14]Although, more and more hospitals are meeting this benchmark, diagnosing and excluding ACS often poses a diagnostic challenge to the clinicians.[15]A misdiagnosis may lead to considerable increase in morbidity and mortality. An ideal marker which can predict the onset of the disease, could aid in reducing the deaths due to ACS.

3. Cardiac biomarkers

Acute myocardial infarction refers to irreversible myocardial necrosis caused by an imbalance between oxygen supply and demand. In 75% cases, plaque rupture or erosion leading to thrombus formation are the causes of acute coronary syndromes. Early diagnosis and subsequent reperfusion therapies within 4 to 6 hours of onset of symptoms can salvage myocardium at risk. Therefore, optimal markers of myocardial necrosis need to be rapidly detectable in blood.

Myocardial injury causes release into the extracellular space of intracellular constituents including detectable levels of a variety of biologically active cytosolic and structural proteins such as troponin, creatine kinase, myoglobin, lactate dehydrogenase, etc.

Cardiac biomarkers have characteristic release and clearance kinetics. However, the time to presentation and comorbidities that affect clearance may confound the interpretation of biomarkers. Myoglobin is the earliest biochemical marker of myocardial cell damage, and it is detectable in blood within 1 to 2 hours of myocyte damage. Blood levels of CK-MB may be detectable in blood after 4 to 6 hours of myocardial ischemia. [16] Cardiac troponins are elevated within 4 to 12 hours of symptom onset and remain elevated for 4 to 10 days. [17]

Based on these patterns of release and clearance, a diagnostic algorithm of serial biomarker measurements has been developed. Serial sampling of multiple cardiac markers beginning at the time of presentation is recommended currently. The sensitivity of serial measurements of multiple markers nears 100%, whereas the sensitivity of a single

measurement of any biomarker at the time of presentation is poor. The recommended time between the first and second blood draw is 6 to 7 hours. [18] If cardiac marker levels are not elevated but clinical suspicion remains high, a third set of markers should be drawn at 12 to 24 hours after presentation. [19] The markers currently used in this multimarker approach are myoglobin, CKMB, and troponin.

3.1 Myoglobin
Myoglobin is a heme protein found in the cytoplasm of cardiac and skeletal muscle cells that rises most rapidly after myocardial injury but is not cardiac-specific. [16] Myoglobin levels are frequently elevated in patients who have renal failure, skeletal muscle injury, trauma, and other diseases. Myoglobin is not used in most hospital laboratories.

3.2 Creatine kinase-MB isoform
CK-MB is an enzyme present primarily in cardiac muscle and active in energy generation. CK-MB is released rapidly after myocardial injury and is more cardiac-specific than myoglobin. However, CK-MB also comprises up to 5% of skeletal muscle and can be elevated in noncardiac disease states. Before the use of troponin, CK-MB was the gold standard for the biochemical diagnosis of AMI. CK-MB is released early during AMI, and it plays an important role in defining infarct size, infarct expansion, and reinfarction.[20]

3.3 Cardiac troponins
Cardiac troponins and tropomyosin form the thin filament component of the contractile structure in striated muscle. Troponins are released into the blood stream following irreversible ischemic myocardial cell injury and remain elevated for a prolonged time. There is no clinical difference between TnT and TnI for diagnosing cardiac necrosis. There are separate cardiac and skeletal isoforms of both TnI and TnT, allowing for the development of highly cardiac-specific assays. [17, 21] Troponin assays can detect as little as 1 g of myocardial tissue necrosis, and even minute elevations in cardiac troponins have been associated with myocardial necrosis and increased rate of short- and long-term mortality. [19,22-24]

Cardiac troponins have been studied in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients who have renal dysfunction. It is important that emergency physicians include the patient's history and physical examination when considering an elevated troponin level in patients who have renal dysfunction. In patients in whom acute coronary syndrome is not suspected, renal failure may be associated with chronic elevations of TnI and TnT, without evidence of acute myocardial necrosis. However, an acute increase from baseline troponin levels may be associated with increased mortality[25, 26]

Therefore, baseline troponin levels are helpful when differentiating between acute and chronic elevations in cardiac troponins. Elevated levels of cardiac troponins in patients who have renal dysfunction may be attributable to decreased renal clearance and increased release from cytoplasm because of the loss of membrane integrity. TnT is of higher molecular weight and is more commonly present in the free, unbound form in the cytoplasm, potentially explaining why TnT is more frequently elevated than TnI. [25] A study

of asymptomatic patients who had renal failure did not show TnI levels to be elevated in this population. The previously noted false-positive TnI results in patients who have renal failure were measured during acute disease states, including sepsis or pulmonary embolism, which may independently cause elevated troponin levels. [27]

In symptomatic patients who have chest pain and renal dysfunction, elevated levels of cardiac troponin predict patients at an increased risk for adverse cardiovascular outcomes. In a study of 7033 patients who had suspected acute coronary syndromes, the elevated levels of TnT were predictive of death or myocardial infarction across the spectrum of creatinine clearance. [28]

Despite the value of cardiac troponin as a very sensitive marker for myocardial damage, elevated troponin levels do not reflect the mechanism of damage and should not be used alone to diagnose myocardial infarction. Troponin levels may be elevated in patients who have myocarditis, pericarditis, decompensated heart failure, and septic shock. The use of troponin measurements as a screening tool in patients whose conditions have a low suspicion for ACS lowers the sensitivity and positive predictive value to 47% and 19%, respectively. A high sensitive troponin could be available in future to turn out an ideal biomarker for ACS. [29]

4. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein

Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein (h-FABP) has been researched since 1988, due to its high potential as an early marker for myocardial infarction. It bears considerable resemblance to myoglobin in terms of size, location within the cell, release and clearance kinetics. It is a relatively low molecular mass cytoplasmic protein (15 kDa) available in abundance in myocardial tissue. [30-32]It is important for myocardial homeostasis since 50 – 80% of the heart's energy is provided by lipid oxidation and h-FABP ensures intracellular transport of insoluble fatty acids. It is released from the heart during cell necrosis, it diffuses much more rapidly than troponins through the interstitial space and appears in the circulation as early as 90 minutes after the onset of symptoms, reaching its peak within 6 hours and clearing within 24 hours.

This combination of early h-FABP release after symptom onset, rapid kidney clearance from the circulation and high cardiac specificity suggests great potential for its clinical use.[30-32]Therefore,it can be derived that h-FABP may not only be of value in detecting myocardial injury in the early hours of the insult but may also be ideal for the diagnosis of reinfarctions. H-FABP has been found to be superior to troponins due to its higher sensitivity.[33, 34]A recent study showed h-FABP had a sensitivity of 75.76% and a specificity of 96.97% compared with 58.59% and 98.94% for cTnT and 68.69% and 97.54% for CK-MB in the initial 6 hours after the onset of chest pain. [35]Recent data also suggests h-FABP may provide some prognostic information which appears superior to that of troponins. [36].

4.1 h-FABP in the pre-hospital setting

There is some evidence to suggest the utility of h-FABP in the pre-hospital setting.[37]According to the literature, early assessment of H-FABP in patients presenting with chest pain improves the diagnosis of an ongoing myocardial infarction. An h-FABP self-testing kit can be helpful in the pre-hospital setting. Though an h-FABP testing kit (h-

FABP Quanta) is used for the quantitative measurement, especially in next one hour of the initial testing to see if there is a rise of titre. This kit is more useful in emergency department/CCU and ICU setting. ConformitéEuropéenne (CE) certification approving it for sale in the European Union member countries.

5. References

[1] World Health Organization Department of Health Statistics and Informatics in the Information, Evidence and Research Cluster (2004).The global burden of disease 2004 update. Geneva: WHO. ISBN 9241563710.

[2] Thom T, Haase N, Rosamond W, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics - 2006 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Circulation.2006; 113:85-151.

[3] World Health Organization. Estimated deaths per 100,000 population by cause and Member State. http://www.oint/research/en (14 November 2008)

[4] Slater DK, Hlatky MA, Mark DB, et al. Outcome in suspected acute myocardial infarction with normal or minimally abnormal admission electrocardiographic findings. Am J Cardiol 1987;60:766–70.

[5] Alpert JS, Thygesen K, Antman E, Bassand JP. Myocardial infarction redefined-a consensus document of the Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee for the redefinition of myocardial infarction. J Am CollCardiol 2000;36 (3):959-69.

[6] Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Hand M, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction-executive summary. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to revise the 1999 guidelines for the management of patients with acute myocardial infarction). J Am CollCardiol 2004;44 (3):671-719.

[7] Braunwald E, Antman EM, Beasley JW, Califf RM, Cheitlin MD, Hochman JS, et al. ACC/AHA guideline update for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction-2002: summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina). Circulation 2002;106(14):1893-900.

[8] Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Revise the 1999 Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction). Circulation 2004; 110:e82.

[9] Okamoto F, Sohmiya K, Ohkaru Y, Kawamura K, Asayama K, Kimura H, et al. Human heart-type cytoplasmic fatty acid-binding protein (H-FABP) for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Clinical evaluation of H-FABP in

comparison with myoglobin and creatine kinase isoenzyme MB.ClinChem Lab Med 2000;38(3):231-8.

[10] Ryan TJ, Antman EM, Brooks NH, Califf RM, Hillis LD, Hiratzka LF, et al. 1999 update: ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with acute myocardial infarction: executive summary and recommendations: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Management of Acute Myocardial Infarction). Circulation 1999;100(9):1016-30.

[11] Antman EM, Tanasijevic MJ, Thompson B, Schactman M, McCabe CH, Cannon CP, et al. Cardiac-specific troponin I levels to predict the risk of mortality in patients with acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med 1996;335(18):1342-9.

[12] Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, et al: 2007 focused update of the ACC/AHA 2004 guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: Developed in collaboration With the Canadian Cardiovascular Society: Endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians: 2007 Writing Group to Review New Evidence and Update the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Writing on Behalf of the 2004 Writing Committee. Circulation 2008; 117:296.

[13] Bradley EH, Herrin J, Wang Y, et al: Strategies for reducing the door-to-balloon time in acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2006; 355:2308.

[14] Wang OJ, Wang Y, Lichtman JH, et al: "America's Best Hospitals" in the treatment of acute myocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med 2007; 167:1345.

[15] Bruins Slot MHE, van der Heijden GJMG, Rutten FH, van der Spoel OP, Gijs Mast E, BrederoAdC, Doevendans PA, Glatz JFC, Hoes AW. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein in acute myocardial infarction evaluation (FAME): background and design of a diagnostic study in primary care. BMC CardiovascDisord 2008;8:8.

[16] Azzazy HM, Christenson RH. Cardiac markers of acute coronary syndromes: is there a case for point-of-care testing? ClinBiochem 2002;35:13–27.

[17] Newby LK. Markers of cardiac ischemia, injury, and inflammation.ProgCardiovasc Dis 2004;46:404–16.

[18] Balk EM, Ioannidis JP, Salem D, et al. Accuracy of biomarkers to diagnose acute cardiac ischemia in the emergency department: a meta-analysis. Ann Emerg Med 2001;37:478–94.

[19] Alpert JS, Thygesen K, Antman E, et al. Myocardial infarction redefined: a consensus document of The Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee for the redefinition of myocardial infarction. J Am CollCardiol 2000;36:959–69.

[20] Panteghini M. Acute coronary syndrome: biochemical strategies in the troponin era. Chest 2002;122:1428–35

[21] Roongsritong C, Warraich I, Bradley C. Common causes of troponin elevations in the absence of acute myocardial infarction: incidence and clinical significance. Chest 2004;125:1877–84

[22] Cantwell RV, Aviles RJ, Bjornsson J, et al. Cardiac amyloidosis presenting with elevations of cardiac troponin I and angina pectoris. ClinCardiol 2002;25:33–7.

[23] Aviles RJ, Wright RS, Aviles JM, et al. Long-term prognosis of patients with clinical unstable angina pectoris without elevation of creatine kinase but with elevation of cardiac troponin i levels. Am J Cardiol 2002;90:875–8.

[24] Antman EM, Tanasijevic MJ, Thompson B, et al. Cardiac-specific troponin I levels to predict the risk of mortality in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1342–9

[25] Hamm CW, Giannitsis E, Katus HA. Cardiac troponin elevations in patients without acute coronary syndrome. Circulation 2002;106:2871–2

[26] Apple FS, Murakami MM, Pearce LA, et al. Predictive value of cardiac troponin I and T for subsequent death in end-stage renal disease. Circulation 2002;106:2941–5

[27] Donnino MW, Karriem-Norwood V, Rivers EP, et al. Prevalence of elevated troponin I in end-stage renal disease patients receiving hemodialysis. AcadEmerg Med 2004;11:979–81.

[28] Aviles RJ, Askari AT, Lindahl B, et al. Troponin T levels in patients with acute coronary syndromes, with or without renal dysfunction. N Engl J Med 2002;346:2047–52

[29] Polanczyk CA, Lee TH, Cook EF, et al. Cardiac troponin I as a predictor of major cardiac events in emergency department patients with acute chest pain. J Am CollCardiol 1998;32:8–14.

[30] Kleine AH, Glatz JF, Van Nieuwenhoven FA, Van der Vusse GJ. Release of heart fatty acid-binding protein into plasma after acute myocardial infarction in man.Mol Cell Biochem 1992;116(1-2):155-62.

[31] Chan CP, Sum KW, Cheung KY, Glatz JF, Sanderson JE, Hempel A, et al. Development of a quantitative lateral-flow assay for rapid detection of fatty acidbinding protein. J Immunol Methods 2003;279(1-2):91-100.

[32] Nakata T, Hashimoto A, Hase M, Tsuchihashi K, Shimamoto K. Human heart-type fatty acid-binding protein as an early diagnostic and prognostic marker in acute coronary syndrome. Cardiology 2003;99(2):96-104.

[33] Pelsers MM, Hermens WT, Glatz JF. Fatty acid-binding proteins as plasma markers of tissue injury.ClinChimActa 2005;352(1-2):15-35.

[34] Ishii J, Wang JH, Naruse H, Taga S, Kinoshita M, Kurokawa H, et al. Serum concentrations of myoglobin vs human heart-type cytoplasmic fatty acid-binding protein in early detection of acute myocardial infarction. ClinChem 1997;43(8 Pt 1):1372-8.

[35] Naroo GY, Ali SM, Butros V et al. Elevated heart-type fatty acid-binding protein predicts early myocardial injury and aids in the diagnosis of non-ST elevation myocardial infarction. Hong Kong Journal of Emergency Medicine 2009;16(3):141-147.

[36] Azzazy HME, Pelsers MMAL, Christenson RH. Unbound free fatty acids and heart type binding protein: diagnostic assays and clinical applications. ClinChem 2006;52(1):19–29.

[37] Ecollan P, Collet JP, Boon G,Tanguy ML, Fievet ML, Haas R, Bertho N, Siami S, Hubert JC, Coriat P, Montalescot G. Pre-hospital detection of acute myocardial infarction with ultra-rapid human fatty acid-binding protein (H-FABP) immunoassay. Int J Cardiol. 2007 Jul 31;119(3):349-54. Epub 2006 Nov 13.

Acute Coronary Syndromes

Edited by Dr. Mariano Brizzio

This book has been written with the intention of providing an up-to-the minute review of acute coronary syndromes. Atherosclerotic coronary disease is still a leading cause of death within developed countries and not surprisingly, is significantly rising in others. Over the past decade the treatment of these syndromes has changed dramatically. The introduction of novel therapies has impacted the outcomes and surviving rates in such a way that the medical community need to be up to date almost on a "daily bases". It is hoped that this book will provide a timely update on acute coronary syndromes and prove to be an invaluable resource for practitioners seeking new and innovative ways to deliver the best possible care to their patients.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Ghulam Naroo and Aysha Nazir (2012). Early Evaluation of Cardiac Chest Pain – Beyond History and Electrocardiograph, Acute Coronary Syndromes, Dr. Mariano Brizzio (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-827-4, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/acute-coronary-syndromes/early-evaluation-of-cardiac-chest-pain-beyond-history-and-electrocardiograph

INTECH
open science | open minds