We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Translational Challenges for Hepatocyte-Directed Gene Transfer

Stephanie C. Gordts, Eline Van Craeyveld, Frank Jacobs and Bart De Geest Center for Molecular and Vascular Biology, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

1. Introduction

The liver is a central organ in many metabolic processes. Multiple inherited metabolic disorders have their origin in this organ. Therefore, hepatocytes are a key target for gene therapy directed at correction of inborn errors of metabolism and of hemophilia. Inborn errors of metabolism lead to accumulation of toxic products in hepatocytes and extensive hepatotoxicity, as observed in disorders like α_1 -antitrypsin deficiency, type I tyrosinemia, or Wilson disease¹. In other metabolic disorders, such as in Crigler-Najjar syndrome type I, ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency, familial hypercholesterolemia, and hemophilia A and B, manifestations are primarily extrahepatic¹. In addition, the liver is a target for gene therapy of acquired diseases such as liver cancer and hepatitis², ³.

Insights into the determinants of gene transfer efficiency to hepatocytes are therefore required to evaluate the potential of gene therapy for inborn errors of metabolism and for acquired liver diseases. These determinants include innate and adaptive immune responses, cellular and biochemical determinants of hepatocyte transduction such as ligand receptor interactions, and anatomical and histological factors. Here we will first focus on the role of liver sinusoidal cells and sinusoidal fenestrae as determinants of the efficiency in hepatocyte-directed gene transfer. Uptake of gene transfer vectors by Kupffer cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells limits hepatocyte transduction⁴⁻¹¹. However, the presence of fenestrae in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells provides a direct access to the space of Disse and microvillous surface of hepatocytes and may allow transcellular migration of vectors. In the following paragraphs, we provide substantial evidence that the interplay between these opposing forces, i.e. uptake by non-parenchymal liver cells and transcellular migration through fenestrae, is a critical determinant of gene transfer efficiency into hepatocytes.

Before discussing the different parameters that influence the efficiency of hepatocytedirected gene transfer, it is important to point out that the process of gene transfer to parenchymal liver cells using replication-defective virus-derived vectors or non-viral vectors is fundamentally different from infection of hepatocytes by hepatotropic viruses. After successful infection, viruses replicate, leading to an exponential local increase of viral load. Consequently, a very small inoculum may be sufficient to induce hepatitis. In contrast, the number of transgenes carried by viral gene transfer vectors that pass through fenestrae cannot be amplified in hepatocytes since these vectors are replication defective. The number of vector particles that pass through fenestrae and are subsequently taken up by hepatocytes is therefore a critical determinant of transgene DNA copy number in these cells and of transgene expression levels.

2. Liver anatomy and histology

The liver is the largest internal organ of the human body, weighs about 1.5 kg, and is located in the upper right quadrant of the abdomen. The liver is highly vascularised and the total blood flow through the liver can reach up to 25% of the cardiac output. Approximately 75% of the blood that enters the liver derives from the portal vein that carries oxygen-poor blood. Oxygenated blood entering the liver via the hepatic artery constitutes the remaining 25% of blood supply. The portal vein, the hepatic artery, lymphatic vessels, nerves, and bile ducts enter the liver at the hilus. From the hilus, continuous branching of the hepatic artery and portal vein results in an intricate network of intertwining capillaries, called sinusoids. Histologically, the liver is divided into lobuli, hexagonal functional units formed by hepatocytes and sinusoids surrounding a central vein. Neighbouring lobules are surrounded by portal triads, consisting of branches of the bile duct, the portal vein, and the hepatic artery.

A human liver comprises 4.5×10^{11} cells^{12, 13}. A murine liver contains approximately 1.6×10^{8} cells¹² whereas a rabbit liver counts about 1.5×10^{10} cells. These data allow to calculate the ratio of transgene copies contained in a given vector dose versus the number of hepatocytes, which can be calculated as 66% of the total number of liver cells. Empirical determination of the transgene DNA copy number per hepatocyte is hampered by the fact that copy numbers per diploid genome do not accurately reflect transgene DNA copy numbers per hepatocyte. Indeed, hepatocytes are frequently tetraploid or even octoploid and may also have a binuclear nucleus.

3. Liver sinusoidal cells

Sinusoidal cells constitute approximately 33% of the number of resident liver cells whereas parenchymal liver cells or hepatocytes comprise the remaining cells¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Sinusoidal cells are a compilation of endothelial cells, Kupffer cells (resident liver macrophages), fat-storing cells (also called stellate cells or Ito cells), and pit cells (natural killer cells). Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells comprise 70%, Kupffer cells 20%, stellate cells 10%, and pit cells less than 1% of the number of sinusoidal cells^{14, 16, 17}. In the context of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer, we focus here on the role of Kupffer cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells that constitute together the reticulo-endothelial cells of the liver. Kupffer cells account for 80% to 90% of resident macrophages in the entire body¹⁸. They have a diameter of 10-15 μ m whereas liver sinusoidal endothelial cells are smaller with a diameter of 7-9 μ m¹⁴. Sinusoidal endothelial cells are able to internalize particles up to 0.23 μ m under physiologic conditions *in vivo*¹⁹. Larger particles are taken up by Kupffer cells¹⁹. Since most gene transfer vectors have a diameter below 0.23 μ m, uptake of vectors by both Kupffer cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells may attenuate the efficiency of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer.

Most experimental work on the role of liver reticulo-endothelial cells in relation to hepatocyte transduction has been performed with adenoviral vectors. These studies support the dual role of liver reticulo-endothelial cells in hepatocyte-directed gene transfer. On the one hand, the high endocytotic capacity of these cells limits hepatocyte transduction, on the other hand, the presence of fenestrae facilitates hepatocyte transduction²⁰. The relevance of these observations for other types of vectors and other modes of gene transfer will subsequently be discussed.

4. In vitro and in vivo transduction by adenoviral vectors

Efficient hepatocyte transduction by adenoviral vectors requires that two clearly distinct conditions are met. First, adenoviral vectors should have a facilitated access to the space of Disse via sufficiently large sinusoidal fenestrae. Second, vectors in the space of Disse must be able to bind to cellular receptors on hepatocytes for internalisation and transduction. Thus, both the anatomical access of vectors to the space of Disse and the potential for interaction with hepatocyte receptors *in vivo* are necessary but not always sufficient for hepatocyte transduction *in vivo*. Before going into the anatomical access of vectors to the space of Disse, we will first discuss differences between adenoviral transduction *in vitro* and transduction of hepatocytes *in vivo*.

For humans, 51 adenovirus serotypes have been identified, and these serotypes are classified into 6 species (A-F). In vitro, uptake of most Ad serotypes belonging to species A, C, D, E, and F, is initiated by binding of the adenovirus fiber protein to coxsackie and adenovirus receptor (CAR) on the cell surface^{21, 22}. CD46, a complement regulatory protein that is ubiquitously expressed in humans²³, but only in the testis in mice²⁴, is a cellular receptor for group B adenoviruses²³. Human species C adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) is the most common viral vector used in clinical studies worldwide²⁵. In vitro, Ad5 infects cells through binding of the fiber to CAR, followed by binding of an arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD)-motif on the Ad5 penton base with cellular integrins (mainly $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v}\beta_{5}$) which initiates receptor-mediated endocytosis via clathrin coated pits^{26, 27}. However, CAR binding ablation^{28, 29} and α_v integrin binding ablation^{29, 30} do not significantly reduce liver transduction by adenoviral vectors in vivo. The direct Ad binding to hepatic heparan sulfate proteoglycans via the KKTK motif within the fiber shaft domain has been suggested to be the major mechanism of hepatocyte transduction in vivo^{31, 32}. However, mutation of the KKTK motif in the Ad5 fiber shaft renders the fiber inflexible and prevents internalisation of Ad5 though steric hindrance^{33, 34}. In vitro and in vivo infectivity studies of Ad5-based vectors possessing long Ad31- (species A) or Ad41- (species F) derived fiber shaft domains that lack the KKTK motif, have shown that these vectors transduce hepatocytes with similar efficiency compared to Ad5 vectors³⁵, consistent with the absence of a critical role of the KKTK motif in hepatocyte transduction.

An important difference between *in vitro* transduction and *in vivo* transduction of liver cells after intravenous injection is that adenoviral vectors are in contact with blood proteins. Treatment of mice with the vitamin K antagonist warfarin, which inactivates several proteins of the coagulation cascade (factor II, factor VII, factor IX, factor X) as well as the anticoagulant protein C, abrogates transduction of hepatocytes by adenoviral serotype 5 vectors^{8, 36-38}. These studies suggested that a coagulation protein or coagulation proteins have a bridging function in the entry of liver cells by adenoviral vectors. Only factor X could rescue liver transduction in warfarin anticoagulated mice³⁹. Recently, it has been shown that the γ -carboxyglutamic acid domain of factor X binds in a calcium-dependent manner to hexon protein in adenovirus serotype 525, 39, 40. Factor X binds at the cup formed by the center of each hexon trimer. Serotypes with a high affinity for factor X, such as the species C serotypes Ad2 and Ad5, have been shown to efficiently transduce hepatocytes following intravenous administration^{41, 42}. In contrast, species B Ad35 and species D Ad26 bind to factor X weakly or not at all, and fail to transduce hepatocytes^{39, 43, 44}. More specifically, factor X binds to the adenovirus hexon hypervariable regions (HVRs). Liver infection by the factor X-Ad5 complex is mediated through a heparin-binding exosite in the factor X serine

protease domain. Substitution of HVR5 or HVR7 from Ad5 with sequences from the nonfactor X binding serotype Ad26 substantially lowered factor X binding and liver transduction *in vivo*⁴⁵. An Ad5 mutant containing an insertion in HVR5 was shown to bind factor X *in vitro* with 10 000-fold reduced affinity compared with unmodified vector and failed to deliver the red fluorescent protein transgene *in vivo*²⁵. Taken together, factor X binding to hexon trimer is a necessary prerequisite for hepatocyte transduction *in vivo*³⁹.

5. Liver trapping of adenoviral vectors

Previous studies have shown that different adenoviral serotypes are rapidly sequestered in the liver after intravenous delivery, independent of their potential to effectively transduce hepatocytes^{43, 46, 47}. Trapping of adenoviral vectors in the liver is comparable between wildtype mice and mice treated with warfarin, which shows that factor X-facilitated adenoviral vector entry into hepatocytes is not required for trapping of vectors in the liver8. Demonstration of liver sequestration using whole livers does not make a distinction between the presence of vectors extracellularly (in the vascular lumen of the sinusoids or in the space of Disse) or intracellularly (in the non-parenchymal liver cells of the parenchymal liver cells). Cellular uptake of adenoviral vectors after systemic gene transfer occurs predominantly in non-parenchymal liver cells (i.e. mainly liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and Kupffer cells)⁴⁻⁷. Kupffer cells may bind adenoviral vectors via multiple mechanisms including scavenger receptor-A, complement, and natural antibodies⁹⁻¹¹ and this uptake is independent of factor X^{10, 11}. Interactions of adenoviral vectors with platelets in blood may contribute significantly to sequestration in the reticuloendothelial system of the liver9. Nevertheless, the exact mechanisms of adenoviral vector uptake in Kupffer cells have not been elucidated. The amount of Ad vector DNA after intravenous administration was nearly identical in wild-type mice and scavenger receptor-A deficient mice¹¹ consistent with the presence of multiple pathways leading to Kupffer cell sequestration¹⁰.

Recently, Di Paolo *et al.*¹¹ showed that simultaneous treatment of mice with warfarin and clodronate liposomes, that deplete Kupffer cells, results in only a minor reduction of sequestration of adenoviral vectors in the liver 1 hour after gene transfer. Transmission electron microsopy showed the presence of vectors in the space of Disse, consistent with anatomical sequestration of vectors. We suggest that the presence of fenestrae is crucial in the liver targeting of adenoviral vectors. In other words, the targeting of adenoviral vectors to the liver reflects predominantly or exclusively anatomical targeting. This also implies that molecular strategies directed at liver detargeting of adenoviral vectors should take into account the existence of anatomical targeting to the liver.

6. Uptake of gene transfer vectors by reticulo-endothelial cells of the liver reduces hepatocyte transduction

Both Kupffer cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells take up the large majority of adenoviral vectors after systemic gene transfer⁷. Uptake of vectors by non-parenchymal liver cells (i.e. mainly liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and Kupffer cells) inversely correlates with transduction of parenchymal liver cells⁷. The transgene DNA copy number in the non-parenchymal liver cells at one hour after transfer in Balb/c mice was nearly 6-fold higher than in C57BL/6 mice⁷. This difference in scavenging of vectors between both strains is a major determinant of the approximately 3-fold higher transgene DNA levels in hepatocytes

80

and higher transgene expression levels in C57BL/6 mice compared to Balb/c mice⁷. Based on more refined experiments with isolation of Kupffer cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells, we showed that the transgene DNA copy number per diploid genome at 1 hour after transfer in C57BL/6 mice was 2.9-fold higher in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells than in Kupffer cells⁷. In contrast, the copy number in Kupffer cells was 2.6-fold higher than in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells in Balb/c mice. These data indicate that the relative contribution of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and Kupffer cells to adenoviral vector clearance may be highly dependent on the specific genetic context. One explanation for this difference of uptake of adenoviral vectors by the liver reticulo-endothelial cells of C57BL/6 and Balb/c mice may be the differential modulation of the function of these cells by humoral factors produced by spleen cells. Indeed, a significantly reduced transgene DNA copy number was observed in the liver reticulo-endothelial cells one hour after adenoviral transfer in splenectomized Balb/c mice and in Balb/c rag-2-/- mice compared to control Balb/c mice⁷. This was accompanied by a significantly higher transgene DNA copy number in hepatocytes of splenectomized Balb/c mice and of Balb/c rag-2^{-/-} mice than in hepatocytes of wild-type Balb/c mice7. Splenectomy in Balb/c rag-2-/- mice did not result in an incremental effect⁷. This suggests that humoral factors produced by spleen lymphocytes may affect the clearance of adenoviral vectors by liver reticulo-endothelial cells in Balb/c mice. In contrast, no such effects on intrahepatic transgene DNA distribution were observed in splenectomized C57BL/6 mice and in C57BL/6 rag-1-/- mice, suggesting highly heterogeneous effects of humoral factors produced by spleen lymphocytes on liver reticuloendothelial cells.

Further evidence for a major role of liver reticulo-endothelial cells as a determinant of hepatocyte transduction comes from experiments with clodronate liposomes. Depletion of Kupffer cells and macrophages in the spleen by intravenous administration of clodronate liposomes results in significantly increased transgene DNA levels in parenchymal liver cells⁷ and in increased transgene expression^{6, 7, 48, 49}. Since liver sinusoidal endothelial cell function may be modified by Kupffer cells^{50, 51}, it cannot be excluded that part of the effect of clodronate liposomes is due to reduced activation of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells by Kupffer cells. Besides clodronate liposomes, pre-administration of polyinosinic acid, a scavenger receptor A ligand, before gene transfer has been shown to prevent sequestration of adenoviral vectors in Kupffer cells and to enhance parenchymal liver cell transduction⁵². Transient saturation of the reticulo-endothelial system with phosphatidylcholine liposomes or with Intralipid[®] also reduces uptake of vectors in the non-parenchymal liver cells and augments hepatocyte transduction⁷. Taken together, various interventions that result in reduced uptake of adenoviral vectors in liver reticulo-endothelial cells consistently enhance hepatocyte transduction.

7. Liver sinusoidal endothelial fenestrae

Liver sinusoids are highly specialized capillaries with two critical features: the thin endothelium contains open fenestrae, whereas a basal lamina is lacking⁵³. Fenestrae are clustered in sieve plates and provide an open pathway between the sinusoidal lumen and the space of Disse, in which numerous microvilli from parenchymal liver cells protrude^{53, 54}. Sinusoidal fenestrae have no diaphragm and visualisation requires perfusion fixation with glutaraldehyde. Scanning electron microscopy analysis has shown that sinusoidal fenestrae

comprise 6–8% of the sinusoidal surface⁵⁵. Compared to the centrilobular area, the diameter of fenestrae is larger but the frequency of fenestrae is lower in the periportal area^{55, 56}.

The open communication between the sinusoidal lumen and the space of Disse through fenestrae represents a unique route that provides direct access for gene transfer vectors to the surface of hepatocytes. However, fenestrae act as a sieve and will mechanically restrict the transport of gene transfer vectors according to their size. Thus, two parameters must be taken into account when considering the access of gene transfer vectors to hepatocytes namely the diameter of both fenestrae and gene transfer vectors.

8. Species variation of the average diameter of fenestrae

Fenestrae generally measure between 100 nm and 200 nm and significant species differences in their size exist⁵³⁻⁵⁵. However, the interpretation of the existing literature on species variations of the size of sinusoidal fenestrae is hampered by differences in preparatory methods applied by different investigators. Standardised protocols within one group of investigators are therefore *a conditio sine qua non* for reliable species and strain comparisons. A direct comparative study of the diameter of sinusoidal fenestrae in five species using scanning electron microscopy was performed by Higashi et al.57. The average diameter of sinusoidal endothelial fenestrae in this study was 45 nm in cows, 52 nm in sheep, 66 nm in guinea pigs, 82 nm in pigs, and 131 nm in dogs⁵⁷. However, these results are based on scanning electron microscopy preparations and are therefore subject to a shrinkage effect in the order of 30% caused by dehydration and drying of the tissue⁵⁸. Accurate measurements of fenestrae can only be obtained by gradually replacing cellular water by plastic during preparation for transmission electron microscopy⁵⁹. Previous studies have shown that this method of preparation leads to accurate measurements of cellular details, such as fenestrae. Visualisation of fenestrae in transmission electron microscopy sections requires that endothelial cells and their sieve plates are cut tangentially so that fenestrae become visible as holes. Using this technology and standardized protocols, it has previously been shown that the average diameter of fenestrae is significantly larger in Sprague Dawley rats (150 nm in the pericentral area and 175 nm in the periportal area)⁵⁵ and C57BL/6 mice (141 nm)⁶⁰ than in New Zealand White rabbits (103 nm)60, Fauve de Bourgogne rabbits (105 nm)61, and humans with a healthy liver (107 nm)⁶². The diameter in Dutch Belt rabbits was intermediate (124 nm)61. Taken together, this species comparison demonstrates that the diameter of fenestrae in humans is similar to New Zealand White rabbits and significantly smaller compared to mice and rats, two species that are most frequently used in gene transfer studies. The occurrence of major shrinkage effects in scanning electron microscopy samples is indicated by the significantly lower diameters reported for New Zealand White rabbits (49 nm)63 and Sprague Dawley rats (89 nm)63 as compared to diameters obtained in transmission electron microscopy studies^{55, 60}. Transmission electron microscopy studies consistently show that the interindividual variation of the average diameter of fenestrae within the same species or strain is low, as indicated by coefficients of variation between 3-8%. In contrast, as will be discussed in the next paragraph, the intraindividual variation of diameters of fenestrae is high.

9. Intraindividual variation of the diameter of fenestrae

The intraindividual variation of the diameter of fenestrae is an important parameter that may complicate investigations on the relation between the diameter of fenestrae and gene transfer efficiency to hepatocytes. The distribution of the diameters of fenestrae within one individual or within one animal follows a Gaussian function with some skewing to the right²⁰.

Two opposing processes will determine the entrance of vectors into the space of Disse: on the one hand passage through sinusoidal fenestrae and on the other hand endocytosis by Kupffer cells and endothelial cells. Species and strain differences in transendothelial passage will be determined by intrinsic differences of the function of liver reticulo-endothelial cells (e.g. C57BL/6 versus Balb/c mice) as well as the rate passage of vectors through fenestrae. Based on these considerations, one can predict that the ratio of transgene DNA copy number in parenchymal liver cells versus the copy number in sinusoidal liver cells will correlate positively with the diameter of fenestrae. After reviewing data on the diameters of different gene transfer vectors, we will present several lines of experimental evidence that support the critical role of the diameter of fenestrae in hepatocyte transduction.

10. Diameters of gene transfer vectors

To put the importance of the size of fenestrae for hepatocyte-directed gene transfer into perspective, accurate knowledge of the diameter of gene transfer vectors is required. To avoid bias in the measurement of the diameter of adenoviral vectors, we previously vitrified a sample of adenoviral vectors using Vitrobot[™] technology and determined the diameter by cryo-electron microscopy⁶⁰. Adenoviral serotype 5 virions were shown to have a diameter of 93 nm with protruding fibers of 30 nm⁶⁰. Using the same imaging techniques, the diameter of a vesicular stomatitis virus-G pseudotyped human immunodeficiency virus-1 derived lentiviral vector was found to be 150 nm⁶⁰. Adeno-associated viral serotype 2 vectors have an average diameter of 22 nm⁶⁴. Herpes simplex virions have been reported to be as large as 180 nm⁶⁵. The diameter of liposomes used for non-viral gene transfer varies between 50 nm and 1000 nm and is highly dependent on production parameters^{66, 67}.

11. Experimental evidence for a critical role of sinusoidal fenestrae in hepatocyte transduction following adenoviral gene transfer

Based on our prior studies in different strains of rabbits and in different species⁶⁰⁻⁶², the correlation coefficient between the average diameter of sinusoidal fenestrae in these different strains and species and human apo A-I expression at day 7 after transfer with an adenoviral vector containing a hepatocyte-specific expression cassette was found to be 0.94 (p<0.01). This strongly suggests that the diameter of sinusoidal fenestrae is an important determinant of gene transfer efficiency to hepatocytes.

To demonstrate that the difference of human apo A-I plasma levels reflects differences of transgene DNA levels in parenchymal liver cells, we isolated parenchymal and non-parenchymal liver cells at day 3 after transfer in C57BL/6 mice and New Zealand White rabbits. Transgene DNA levels in parenchymal liver cells were much higher in C57BL/6 mice than in New Zealand White rabbits whereas the reverse pattern was observed in non-parenchymal liver cells⁶⁰. Considering the small average diameter of fenestrae in New Zealand White rabbits (103 nm), it appears that the sinusoidal wall constitutes a histological barrier for adenoviral vectors in this species leading to increased uptake by liver reticulo-endothelial cells. In contrast, the larger fenestrae in C57BL/6 mice (141 nm) facilitate access to hepatocytes, leading to increased uptake into hepatocytes and to reduced scavenging by

Kupffer cell and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells. In other words, the size of fenestrae determines the distribution of vectors between sinusoidal and parenchymal liver cells.

Although the relation between the diameter of sinusoidal fenestrae and transgene expression after adenoviral gene transfer may be confounded by substantial differences in genetic background, we showed that interventions that increase the diameter of fenestrae result in New Zealand White rabbits significantly increased transgene expression^{60, 61}. These two intervention studies support the view that the correlation between the diameter of fenestrae and transgene expression after adenoviral transfer reflects a causal relationship.

Based on the high degree of similarity of the distribution of the diameter of fenestrae between humans and New Zealand White rabbits^{20, 68}, one would predict a low efficiency of gene transfer into hepatocytes after adenoviral transfer in humans. In the ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency trial, low levels of gene transfer in hepatocytes were indeed observed⁶⁹. The authors concluded that the level of transgene expression was lower than what would have been predicted based on preclinical animal models⁶⁹. Although histological alterations of the livers in patients with partial ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency may have contributed to low hepatocyte transduction, we speculate that a much smaller size of fenestrae in humans compared to mice and rats is likely the most critical factor in the observed species difference of hepatocyte transduction. On the other hand, the small diameter of fenestrae in humans may be beneficial for the efficacy of molecular strategies directed at liver detargeting of adenoviral vectors since anatomical targeting to the liver will be limited.

Recently, Brunetti-Pierri *et al.*⁷⁰ developed a minimally invasive procedure that significantly improves the efficiency of hepatocyte-directed transfer in nonhuman primates. A balloon occlusion catheter was percutaneously positioned in the inferior *vena cava* to occlude hepatic venous outflow⁷⁰. Gene transfer of gutted vectors was performed via a percutaneously placed hepatic artery catheter with an infusion time of 7.5 minutes or 15 minutes. This procedure resulted in approximately 10-fold higher transgene expression levels compared to systemic gene transfer. Increased intrahepatic pressure following occlusion of hepatic outflow of the liver may increase the diameter of fenestrae, similar as observed following hydrodynamic injections in mice⁷¹, and this may contribute to the beneficial effects of this procedure in monkeys.

12. Potential relevance of sinusoidal fenestrae for other modes of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer

Based on the data obtained with adenoviral vectors, it is likely that the large diameter of lentiviral vectors is an important limitation for hepatocyte-directed gene transfer and may restrict passage of vectors even in mice and rats. Indeed, gene transfer efficiency in mice and rats is low after *in vivo* lentiviral gene transfer⁷²⁻⁷⁵. Although other factors like technological challenges to obtain high titer vector stocks may play a role, it is likely that the large diameter of lentiviral vectors is a limitation for hepatocyte-directed gene transfer. Since this anatomical limitation does not exist for adeno-associated viral vectors, gene transfer efficiency into hepatocytes with this type of vectors will be solely dependent on cellular and molecular determinants of hepatocyte transduction.

Fenestrae may also play a role in naked DNA transfer. Liu *et al.*⁷⁶ showed that the murine liver can rapidly extract up to 25 μ g of plasmid DNA from the blood during a single pass after simple intravenous injection. Moreover, this study showed that naked DNA is

84

primarily taken up by the liver endothelial cells, but not by Kupffer cells, and that transfection of hepatocytes can be improved by mechanical massage of the liver, which increases the size of liver sinusoidal fenestrae⁷⁶. Substantial amounts of plasmid DNA are degraded by nucleases in the blood following simple intravenous injection, which can be overcome by hydrodynamic gene transfer. It has also been proposed that fenestrae play a role in the transport of naked DNA into hepatocytes during hydrodynamic gene transfer⁷¹. Although the exact mechanism of hepatocyte transfection following hydrodynamic gene transfer remains to be elucidated, a general consensus is that the injected volume induces right heart volume overload. This results in a retrograde flow through the vena cava and in particular in a retrograde flow into the hepatic veins. As a result, intrahepatic pressure increases and the DNA containing solution is forced out of the hepatic sinusoids into the parenchymal liver cells. Following systemic hydrodynamic gene transfer in mice and rats, the majority of the injected DNA (i.e. >90%) can be retrieved in the liver77. In addition, microscopic analysis has indicated that transfected hepatocytes are predominantly located in the pericentral region⁷⁸. This predilection may be explained by the fact that sinusoids are wider and straighter and contain more fenestrae per unit of surface in the pericentral area than in the periportal area^{56,77}.

13. Sinusoidal fenestrae and hepatocyte transduction in diseased livers

The unique morphological features of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells may change in pathological conditions. Liver fibrosis and cirrhosis lead to a decreased number of fenestrae⁷⁹ and capillarization and perisinusoidal fibrosis leads to the development of a basal lamina, found to be absent in normal sinusoids. A significant reduction in the number of fenestrae and porosity of the sinusoidal endothelial cells was observed in alcoholic liver disease without cirrhosis⁸⁰. In a comparative study, decreased transduction by adenoviral vectors has been observed in cirrhotic rat livers compared to normal livers⁸¹. Furthermore, hydrodynamic gene transfer was significantly less efficient in rats with a fibrotic liver compared to rats with a healthy liver⁸². Sinusoidal capillarization also occurs in hepatocellular carcinoma^{83, 84}. This may constitute a major obstacle for efficient gene therapy for liver cancers.

14. General perspective

Preclinical viral and non-viral gene transfer studies should consider scavenging of vectors by liver reticulo-endothelial cells and as well as the diameter of sinusoidal fenestrae as important determinants of gene transfer efficiency into hepatocytes. Although the diameter of fenestrae may be modulated to some extent, there is currently no safe pharmacological intervention that results in a significant enlargement of fenestrae. The small diameter of fenestrae in humans and alterations of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells in liver disease may constitute a significant and potentially insurmountable obstacle for efficient gene transfer into hepatocytes with several vectors. Both anatomical access of vectors to the space of Disse on the one hand and the potential of vectors for interaction with hepatocyte receptors *in vivo* on the other hand are necessary for efficient hepatocyte transduction *in vivo*. A model on hepatocyte transduction should therefore take into account that both an anatomical prerequisite and a molecular prerequisite have to be met.

15. Innate and adaptive immune responses: an introductory perspective

The use of non-viral gene therapy vectors, including naked DNA and liposomes, results in very low to suboptimal expression levels with the possible exception of hydrodynamic gene transfer⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷. However, this latter method is only successful in mice and rats and attempts for implementation of this methodology in larger species have resulted in very low gene transfer efficiencies⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰. Therefore, our strategic point of view is that only viral vectors constitute sufficiently potent gene delivery platforms to treat genetic and acquired diseases. Although viral vectors are non-replicative in contrast to wild-type viruses, the immune system is efficient to fight off what it perceives as invading pathogens. Innate immune responses are initiated by recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patters by pattern recognition receptors like Toll-like receptors on the surface of professional antigen presenting cells. Subsequent production of inflammatory cytokines stimulates maturation of antigen presenting cells, enhances their endocytic activity, and upregulates expression of molecules required for antigen processing and presentation and for costimulation. Viral capsid proteins not only elicit innate immunity but are also viable targets for host adaptive immune responses that do not necessarily require *de novo* viral gene expression. Adaptive immune responses against vector-derived antigens may reduce the efficacy of *in vivo* gene transfer and may prevent readministration. Furthermore, many vectors are derived from parent viruses that humans have encountered through natural infection, resulting in preexisting antibodies and possibly in memory responses against vector antigens. Taken together, adaptive immune responses represent one the most challenging remaining hurdles for the development of viral hepatocyte-directed gene transfer strategies with a sufficient therapeutic index. Besides the issue of adaptive immune responses against the vector and the potential problem of pre-existing immunity, immune responses against the transgene product also constitute a hurdle.

16. Innate immune responses after viral gene transfer

Adenoviral vectors efficiently transduce liver cells after systemic gene transfer and expression levels are generally significantly higher as compared to non-viral, adenoassociated viral, and lentiviral transfer. However, recognition of molecular patterns on adenoviral capsids by pattern recognition receptors on macrophages and dendritic cells triggers innate immune responses and induces the production of several cytokines and chemokines^{6, 91-96}. Severe activation of the innate immune system, as observed in patients with systemic microbial infections, severe trauma, or after major surgery, may lead to a systemic inflammatory response syndrome, or even to multiple system organ failure and shock. The development of systemic inflammatory response syndrome and multiple system organ failure after adenoviral transfer is dose and species dependent^{69, 94, 97}. Schnell et al.⁹⁴ demonstrated significant species variation in innate immune responses after adenoviral gene transfer. Mice did not develop clinical symptoms of systemic inflammatory response syndrome at any dose of vector whereas rhesus monkeys developed liver necrosis and coagulopathy at a dose of 1013 particles/kg of an E1-deleted vector94. The sensitivity of humans to adverse effects of innate immune responses after adenoviral transfer is significantly higher than in rhesus monkeys as significant side-effects have been observed at doses of 6×10^{11} particles/kg or even lower⁶⁹.

Interleukin (IL)-6 is not only produced by macrophages and T cells but also by liver sinusoidal endothelial cells⁹⁸ and possibly by spleen sinusoidal endothelial cells.

Notwithstanding the fact that Kupffer cells are by large the most numerous population of resident macrophages in the body, we have shown that the predominant source of IL-6 after adenoviral transfer in C57BL/6 mice is the spleen and not the liver or lungs⁹⁹. This finding is based both on gene transfer experiments in splenectomized mice as well as on quantification of IL-6 mRNA levels in different organs following adenoviral transfer⁹⁹.

Innate immune responses following adenoviral transfer are dependent on both Toll like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR9¹⁰⁰. TLR2 and TLR9 are expressed in Kupffer cells but also in the spleen¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ as well as in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells¹⁰².

Depletion of tissue macrophages and dendritic cell subpopulations in liver and spleen by the administration of liposomes encapsulating dichloromethylene-biphosphonate results in reduced plasma levels of IL-6, IL-12, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α^{93} . However, this cytotoxic strategy is unlikely to be applicable in humans. Conjugation of adenoviral vectors with activated monomethoxypolyethylene glycols (MPEG) has been shown to reduce IL-6 plasma levels after adenoviral transfer^{99, 104}. In addition, we have shown that the combination of PEGylation of adenoviral vectors and administration of methylprednisolone completely suppresses elevations of IL-6 levels after transfer with E1E3E4-deleted adenoviral vectors at a dose of 4 x 10¹² particles/kg⁹⁹. This combined strategy also inhibits chemokine expression in the liver, abrogates neutrophil infiltration and T-lymphocyte infiltration in the liver, and reduces elevations of serum transaminases in the early phase after adenoviral transfer⁹⁹.

Since dose reduction represents an additional means to attenuate innate immune responses against adenoviral vectors, strategies to enhance the efficiency of hepatocyte transduction are required to obtain therapeutic expression levels at lower doses. Brunetti-Pierri *et al.*⁷⁰ developed a minimally invasive procedure that significantly improves the therapeutic index of hepatocyte-directed transfer in nonhuman primates. A balloon occlusion catheter was percutaneously positioned in the inferior *vena cava* to occlude hepatic venous outflow⁷⁰. Gene transfer of gutted vectors was performed via a percutaneously placed hepatic artery catheter with an infusion time of 7.5 minutes or 15 minutes. This procedure resulted in approximately 10-fold higher transgene expression levels compared to systemic gene transfer. At vector doses of 1 x 10¹⁰ or 3 x 10¹⁰ particles/kg, IL-6 levels were consistently below 100 pg/ml⁷⁰.

In contrast to adenoviral gene transfer, adeno-associated viral gene transfer induces very weak or absent innate immune responses to viral capsids both in mice⁹⁵ and monkeys¹⁰⁵. Serum levels of five major inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , interferon- γ , IL-6, IL-10, and IL-12) were not elevated in macaques after gene transfer with vectors based on adeno-associated virus serotypes 2, 7, and 8¹⁰⁵. These data suggest that very weak or absent innate immune responses to adeno-associated viral capsids may be a general observation in different species. Nevertheless, some level of innate immune activation occurs even with adeno-associated viral vectors¹⁰⁶.

17. Adaptive immune responses after hepatocyte-directed gene transfer

T helper cell activation is complex. Besides signalling via pattern recognition receptors (signal 0), T cell activation requires interaction of the T cell receptor CD3 complex with antigen presented in Major Histocompatibility Complex II (MHCII) (signal 1), engagement of costimulatory molecules such as CD80/86 on antigen presenting cells with CD28 on T cells or CD40 on antigen presenting cells with CD40 ligand on T cells (signal 2), and a

specific cytokine milieu (signal 3) that primes a T helper 1 (Th1) or a T helper 2 (Th2) response. Immunological tolerance is a state in which the immune system is not capable to activate the appropriate cellular or humoral immune responses following antigen exposure. In absence of signal 1, naive T cells are never primed, resulting in immunological ignorance. In the absence of adequate costimulation (signal 2), immunological tolerance is based on anergy/deletion: antigen-specific T cells are primed but are functionally deficient with regard to proliferation and cytokine production. In the absence of signal 3, regulatory T cells (Tregs) may develop. Tregs actively suppress adaptive immune effectors. Taken together, there are multiple requirements for adequate priming of T cells, which offers perspectives for induction of antigen specific tolerance.

Immune responses in mice are highly strain dependent. C57BL/6 mice preferentially develop a predominantly Th1-type immune response whereas Balb/c mice develop a Th2-type immune response¹⁰⁷. Nevertheless, we have shown that cellular immune responses against adenoviral epitopes do not play a role in transgene DNA kinetics in C57BL/6 mice¹⁰⁸. This implies that, in the absence of an adaptive immune response against the transgene product, non-immune mechanisms are responsible for the decrease of transgene DNA over time. Whether this is also the case in Balb/c mice, is unknown. Taken together, episomal stability of non-integrated transgenes is an important issue. This is further highlighted by our observations that the persistence of transgene DNA is dependent on the expression cassette design¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹.

Treatment of genetic diseases by gene replacement therapy is hampered by adaptive immune responses against the transgene product. The risk of antibody formation against the transgene product may be limited in the specific setting of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer¹¹²⁻¹¹⁴ and specifically by restricting transgene expression to hepatocytes by use of hepatocyte-specific expression cassettes^{115, 116}.

We consistently observed the absence of antibodies against human apolipoprotein (apo) A-I in all investigated murine strains after gene transfer with vectors containing a hepatocyte-specific expression cassette^{110, 115}. This absence of a humoral immune response has also been observed after gene transfer with the same vector in rats¹¹⁷. However, this observation cannot be robustly extrapolated to other species. In contrast to findings in mice and rats, we have previously observed a vigorous humoral immune response against human apo A-I in three different rabbit strains following transfer with AdA-I leading to the disappearance of detectable human apo A-I levels within 14 days⁶¹.

18. Development of expression cassettes for hepatocyte-directed gene transfer

The development of potent hepatocyte-specific expression cassettes for gene transfer offers several advantages. First, a therapeutic effect may be obtained at a lower vector dose, thus providing a means to improve the therapeutic index of vectors. Second, hepatocyte-specific expression cassettes represent a very efficient way to restrict transgene expression to hepatocytes, i.e. transcriptional targeting^{108, 111, 115}. In contrast, targeting vectors to hepatocytes is significantly more difficult to realise. Third, hepatocyte-specific expression cassettes may lead to immunological unresponsiveness to an immunogenic transgene product via immunological ignorance or immunological tolerance^{110, 114, 115, 118, 119}.

Optimalisation of transgene expression may be achieved by modulating all levels of expression including transcription, post-transcriptional modification of RNA, RNA export,

88

RNA stability, and translation. Expression cassettes for hepatocyte-directed transfer have been improved by using new promoter-enhancer combinations^{109, 111, 119-123}, inclusion of introns^{109, 124-127}, and inclusion of additional transcriptional sequences like scaffold matrix attachment regions (SMAR) and hepatic control regions (HCR)126, 128-132. In a series of studies^{87, 108-111, 115, 133}, we have performed a direct *in vivo* comparison of nearly 50 different expression cassettes in the context of gene transfer with E1-deleted or E1E3E4-deleted adenoviral vectors using human apo A-I plasma levels in C57BL/6 mice as end-point. These studies represent a continuous improvement of expression cassettes. The conclusion of these investigations is that the DC172 promoter, consisting of an 890 bp human α_1 -antitrypsin promoter and two copies of the 160 bp α_1 -*microglobulin* enhancer, upstream of the genomic human apo A-I sequence and 2 copies of the HCR-1, represents at present the most potent expression cassette. After gene transfer with a moderate dose (5 x 1010 particles) of an E1E3E4-deleted vector containing this expression cassette, human apo A-I levels in C57BL/6 mice were more than 3-fold higher than physiological plasma levels in humans⁸⁷. In addition, hydrodynamic gene transfer of minicircles containing this expression cassette resulted in sustained plasma levels in C57BL/6 mice that were equivalent to physiological levels in humans⁸⁷.

19. General conclusion

The limited external validity of experimental gene transfer studies with regard to several of the parameters discussed in this review provides a framework to understand why clinical translation of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer is such a major challenge. It is inherent to gene transfer technologies that a wide variety of biological processes affect the ultimate outcome of these interventions. This number of biological determinants is significantly higher compared to classical pharmaceutical therapies or to protein infusion therapies. Species or strain variation of any of these multiple determinants of the outcome of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer hinders the process of clinical translation. Considering the relative small size of fenestrae in humans, one important parameter that should be considered is the diameter of gene transfer vectors. A progressive increase of fundamental insights into species variations of determinants of the success of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer may provide a solid base for technological advances that may result in more robust technologies and finally in clinical translation. At the present time, it is our view that hepatocyte-directed adeno-associated viral gene transfer has the greatest potential for clinical translation. This view is based on two fundamental properties of these vectors: (1) their size is small enough to pass through human fenestrae that are characterised by a much smaller diameter than those of rodents and (2) innate immune responses are very weak after adeno-associated viral gene transfer. Taken together, the current review contains multiple elements that are a foundation to make stable progress in this field and that provide a realistic perspective on the future of hepatocyte-directed gene transfer.

20. References

- [1] Nguyen TH, Ferry N. Liver gene therapy: Advances and hurdles. *Gene Ther*. 2004;11 Suppl 1:S76-84
- [2] Hernandez-Alcoceba R, Sangro B, Prieto J. Gene therapy of liver cancer. *Ann Hepatol.* 2007;6:5-14

- [3] Grimm D, Kay MA. Therapeutic short hairpin rna expression in the liver: Viral targets and vectors. *Gene Ther*. 2006;13:563-575
- [4] Alemany R, Suzuki K, Curiel DT. Blood clearance rates of adenovirus type 5 in mice. *The Journal of general virology*. 2000;81:2605-2609
- [5] Tao N, Gao GP, Parr M, Johnston J, Baradet T, Wilson JM, Barsoum J, Fawell SE. Sequestration of adenoviral vector by kupffer cells leads to a nonlinear dose response of transduction in liver. *Mol Ther*. 2001;3:28-35
- [6] Wolff G, Worgall S, van Rooijen N, Song WR, Harvey BG, Crystal RG. Enhancement of in vivo adenovirus-mediated gene transfer and expression by prior depletion of tissue macrophages in the target organ. *J Virol*. 1997;71:624-629.
- [7] Snoeys J, Mertens G, Lievens J, van Berkel T, Collen D, Biessen EA, De Geest B. Lipid emulsions potently increase transgene expression in hepatocytes after adenoviral transfer. *Mol Ther*. 2006;13:98-107
- [8] Waddington SN, Parker AL, Havenga M, Nicklin SA, Buckley SM, McVey JH, Baker AH. Targeting of adenovirus serotype 5 (ad5) and 5/47 pseudotyped vectors in vivo: Fundamental involvement of coagulation factors and redundancy of car binding by ad5. J Virol. 2007;81:9568-9571
- [9] Stone D, Liu Y, Shayakhmetov D, Li ZY, Ni S, Lieber A. Adenovirus-platelet interaction in blood causes virus sequestration to the reticuloendothelial system of the liver. J Virol. 2007;81:4866-4871
- [10] Xu Z, Tian J, Smith JS, Byrnes AP. Clearance of adenovirus by kupffer cells is mediated by scavenger receptors, natural antibodies, and complement. J Virol. 2008;82:11705-11713
- [11] Di Paolo NC, van Rooijen N, Shayakhmetov DM. Redundant and synergistic mechanisms control the sequestration of blood-born adenovirus in the liver. *Mol Ther*. 2009;17:675-684
- [12] Knook DL, Blansjaar N, Sleyster EC. Isolation and characterization of kupffer and endothelial cells from the rat liver. *Exp Cell Res*. 1977;109:317-329
- [13] Seymour LW, Ferry DR, Anderson D, Hesslewood S, Julyan PJ, Poyner R, Doran J, Young AM, Burtles S, Kerr DJ. Hepatic drug targeting: Phase i evaluation of polymer-bound doxorubicin. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20:1668-1676
- [14] Do H, Healey JF, Waller EK, Lollar P. Expression of factor viii by murine liver sinusoidal endothelial cells. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274:19587-19592
- [15] Sasse D, Spornitz UM, Maly IP. Liver architecture. *Enzyme*. 1992;46:8-32
- [16] Blouin A, Bolender RP, Weibel ER. Distribution of organelles and membranes between hepatocytes and nonhepatocytes in the rat liver parenchyma. A stereological study. *J Cell Biol.* 1977;72:441-455
- [17] Knook DL, Sleyster EC. Isolated parenchymal, kupffer and endothelial rat liver cells characterized by their lysosomal enzyme content. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1980;96:250-257
- [18] Arii S, Imamura M. Physiological role of sinusoidal endothelial cells and kupffer cells and their implication in the pathogenesis of liver injury. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg.* 2000;7:40-48
- [19] Shiratori Y, Tananka M, Kawase T, Shiina S, Komatsu Y, Omata M. Quantification of sinusoidal cell function in vivo. *Semin Liver Dis.* 1993;13:39-49

- [20] Jacobs F, Wisse E, De Geest B. The role of liver sinusoidal cells in hepatocyte-directed gene transfer. *Am J Pathol*. 2010;176:14-21
- [21] Bergelson JM, Cunningham JA, Droguett G, Kurt-Jones EA, Krithivas A, Hong JS, Horwitz MS, Crowell RL, Finberg RW. Isolation of a common receptor for coxsackie b viruses and adenoviruses 2 and 5. *Science*. 1997;275:1320-1323.
- [22] Tomko RP, Xu R, Philipson L. Hcar and mcar: The human and mouse cellular receptors for subgroup c adenoviruses and group b coxsackieviruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94:3352-3356
- [23] Gaggar A, Shayakhmetov DM, Lieber A. Cd46 is a cellular receptor for group b adenoviruses. *Nat Med.* 2003;9:1408-1412
- [24] Tsujimura A, Shida K, Kitamura M, Nomura M, Takeda J, Tanaka H, Matsumoto M, Matsumiya K, Okuyama A, Nishimune Y, Okabe M, Seya T. Molecular cloning of a murine homologue of membrane cofactor protein (cd46): Preferential expression in testicular germ cells. *The Biochemical journal*. 1998;330 (Pt 1):163-168
- [25] Kalyuzhniy O, Di Paolo NC, Silvestry M, Hofherr SE, Barry MA, Stewart PL, Shayakhmetov DM. Adenovirus serotype 5 hexon is critical for virus infection of hepatocytes in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008;105:5483-5488
- [26] Wickham TJ, Mathias P, Cheresh DA, Nemerow GR. Integrins alpha v beta 3 and alpha v beta 5 promote adenovirus internalization but not virus attachment. *Cell*. 1993;73:309-319.
- [27] Lowenstein PR. With a little help from my f(x)riends!: The basis of ad5-mediated transduction of the liver revealed. *Mol Ther*. 2008;16:1004-1006
- [28] Alemany R, Curiel DT. Car-binding ablation does not change biodistribution and toxicity of adenoviral vectors. *Gene Ther*. 2001;8:1347-1353
- [29] Mizuguchi H, Koizumi N, Hosono T, Ishii-Watabe A, Uchida E, Utoguchi N, Watanabe Y, Hayakawa T. Car- or alphav integrin-binding ablated adenovirus vectors, but not fiber-modified vectors containing rgd peptide, do not change the systemic gene transfer properties in mice. *Gene Ther*. 2002;9:769-776
- [30] Hautala T, Grunst T, Fabrega A, Freimuth P, Welsh MJ. An interaction between penton base and alpha v integrins plays a minimal role in adenovirus-mediated gene transfer to hepatocytes in vitro and in vivo. *Gene Ther*. 1998;5:1259-1264
- [31] Smith TA, Idamakanti N, Rollence ML, Marshall-Neff J, Kim J, Mulgrew K, Nemerow GR, Kaleko M, Stevenson SC. Adenovirus serotype 5 fiber shaft influences in vivo gene transfer in mice. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2003;14:777-787
- [32] Smith TA, Idamakanti N, Marshall-Neff J, Rollence ML, Wright P, Kaloss M, King L, Mech C, Dinges L, Iverson WO, Sherer AD, Markovits JE, Lyons RM, Kaleko M, Stevenson SC. Receptor interactions involved in adenoviral-mediated gene delivery after systemic administration in non-human primates. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2003;14:1595-1604
- [33] Kritz AB, Nicol CG, Dishart KL, Nelson R, Holbeck S, Von Seggern DJ, Work LM, McVey JH, Nicklin SA, Baker AH. Adenovirus 5 fibers mutated at the putative hspg-binding site show restricted retargeting with targeting peptides in the hi loop. *Mol Ther*. 2007;15:741-749
- [34] Bayo-Puxan N, Cascallo M, Gros A, Huch M, Fillat C, Alemany R. Role of the putative heparan sulfate glycosaminoglycan-binding site of the adenovirus type 5 fiber shaft on liver detargeting and knob-mediated retargeting. *J Gen Virol*. 2006;87:2487-2495

- [35] Di Paolo NC, Kalyuzhniy O, Shayakhmetov DM. Fiber shaft-chimeric adenovirus vectors lacking the kktk motif efficiently infect liver cells in vivo. J Virol. 2007;81:12249-12259
- [36] Parker AL, Waddington SN, Nicol CG, Shayakhmetov DM, Buckley SM, Denby L, Kemball-Cook G, Ni S, Lieber A, McVey JH, Nicklin SA, Baker AH. Multiple vitamin k-dependent coagulation zymogens promote adenovirus-mediated gene delivery to hepatocytes. *Blood.* 2006;108:2554-2561
- [37] Parker AL, McVey JH, Doctor JH, Lopez-Franco O, Waddington SN, Havenga MJ, Nicklin SA, Baker AH. Influence of coagulation factor zymogens on the infectivity of adenoviruses pseudotyped with fibers from subgroup d. J Virol. 2007;81:3627-3631
- [38] Shayakhmetov DM, Gaggar A, Ni S, Li ZY, Lieber A. Adenovirus binding to blood factors results in liver cell infection and hepatotoxicity. *J Virol*. 2005;79:7478-7491
- [39] Waddington SN, McVey JH, Bhella D, Parker AL, Barker K, Atoda H, Pink R, Buckley SM, Greig JA, Denby L, Custers J, Morita T, Francischetti IM, Monteiro RQ, Barouch DH, van Rooijen N, Napoli C, Havenga MJ, Nicklin SA, Baker AH. Adenovirus serotype 5 hexon mediates liver gene transfer. *Cell*. 2008;132:397-409
- [40] Vigant F, Descamps D, Jullienne B, Esselin S, Connault E, Opolon P, Tordjmann T, Vigne E, Perricaudet M, Benihoud K. Substitution of hexon hypervariable region 5 of adenovirus serotype 5 abrogates blood factor binding and limits gene transfer to liver. *Mol Ther*. 2008;16:1474-1480
- [41] Morral N, O'Neal W, Rice K, Leland M, Kaplan J, Piedra PA, Zhou H, Parks RJ, Velji R, Aguilar-Cordova E, Wadsworth S, Graham FL, Kochanek S, Carey KD, Beaudet AL. Administration of helper-dependent adenoviral vectors and sequential delivery of different vector serotype for long-term liver-directed gene transfer in baboons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96:12816-12821.
- [42] Parks R, Evelegh C, Graham F. Use of helper-dependent adenoviral vectors of alternative serotypes permits repeat vector administration. *Gene therapy*. 1999;6:1565-1573
- [43] Sakurai F, Mizuguchi H, Yamaguchi T, Hayakawa T. Characterization of in vitro and in vivo gene transfer properties of adenovirus serotype 35 vector. *Mol Ther*. 2003;8:813-821
- [44] Seshidhar Reddy P, Ganesh S, Limbach MP, Brann T, Pinkstaff A, Kaloss M, Kaleko M, Connelly S. Development of adenovirus serotype 35 as a gene transfer vector. *Virology*. 2003;311:384-393
- [45] Alba R, Bradshaw AC, Parker AL, Bhella D, Waddington SN, Nicklin SA, van Rooijen N, Custers J, Goudsmit J, Barouch DH, McVey JH, Baker AH. Identification of coagulation factor (f)x binding sites on the adenovirus serotype 5 hexon: Effect of mutagenesis on fx interactions and gene transfer. *Blood.* 2009
- [46] Stone D, Ni S, Li ZY, Gaggar A, DiPaolo N, Feng Q, Sandig V, Lieber A. Development and assessment of human adenovirus type 11 as a gene transfer vector. J Virol. 2005;79:5090-5104
- [47] Stone D, Liu Y, Li ZY, Tuve S, Strauss R, Lieber A. Comparison of adenoviruses from species b, c, e, and f after intravenous delivery. *Mol Ther*. 2007;15:2146-2153

- [48] Kuzmin AI, Finegold MJ, Eisensmith RC. Macrophage depletion increases the safety, efficacy and persistence of adenovirus-mediated gene transfer in vivo. *Gene Ther*. 1997;4:309-316.
- [49] Schiedner G, Hertel S, Johnston M, Dries V, van Rooijen N, Kochanek S. Selective depletion or blockade of kupffer cells leads to enhanced and prolonged hepatic transgene expression using high-capacity adenoviral vectors. *Mol Ther*. 2003;7:35-43
- [50] Niwano M, Arii S, Monden K, Ishiguro S, Nakamura T, Mizumoto M, Takeda Y, Fujioka M, Imamura M. Amelioration of sinusoidal endothelial cell damage by kupffer cell blockade during cold preservation of rat liver. J Surg Res. 1997;72:36-48
- [51] Deaciuc IV, Bagby GJ, Niesman MR, Skrepnik N, Spitzer JJ. Modulation of hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cell function by kupffer cells: An example of intercellular communication in the liver. *Hepatology*. 1994;19:464-470
- [52] Haisma HJ, Kamps JA, Kamps GK, Plantinga JA, Rots MG, Bellu AR. Polyinosinic acid enhances delivery of adenovirus vectors in vivo by preventing sequestration in liver macrophages. J Gen Virol. 2008;89:1097-1105
- [53] Wisse E. An electron microscopic study of the fenestrated endothelial lining of rat liver sinusoids. *J Ultrastruct Res.* 1970;31:125-150
- [54] Braet F, Wisse E. Structural and functional aspects of liver sinusoidal endothelial cell fenestrae: A review. *Comp Hepatol.* 2002;1:1
- [55] Wisse E, De Zanger RB, Charels K, Van Der Smissen P, McCuskey RS. The liver sieve: Considerations concerning the structure and function of endothelial fenestrae, the sinusoidal wall and the space of disse. *Hepatology*. 1985;5:683-692
- [56] Wisse E, De Zanger RB, Jacobs R, McCuskey RS. Scanning electron microscope observations on the structure of portal veins, sinusoids and central veins in rat liver. Scan Electron Microsc. 1983:1441-1452
- [57] Higashi N, Ueda H, Yamada O, Oikawa S, Koiwa M, Tangkawattana P, Takehana K. Micromorphological characteristics of hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cells and their basal laminae in five different animal species. *Okajimas Folia Anat Jpn.* 2002;79:135-142
- [58] Gatmaitan Z, Varticovski L, Ling L, Mikkelsen R, Steffan AM, Arias IM. Studies on fenestral contraction in rat liver endothelial cells in culture. Am J Pathol. 1996;148:2027-2041
- [59] Wisse E, Braet F, Duimel H, Vreuls C, Koek G, Olde Damink SW, van den Broek MA, De Geest B, Dejong CH, Tateno C, Frederik P. Fixation methods for electron microscopy of human and other liver. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2010;16:2851-2866
- [60] Snoeys J, Lievens J, Wisse E, Jacobs F, Duimel H, Collen D, Frederik P, De Geest B. Species differences in transgene DNA uptake in hepatocytes after adenoviral transfer correlate with the size of endothelial fenestrae. *Gene Ther*. 2007;14:604-612
- [61] Lievens J, Snoeys J, Vekemans K, Van Linthout S, de Zanger R, Collen D, Wisse E, De Geest B. The size of sinusoidal fenestrae is a critical determinant of hepatocyte transduction after adenoviral gene transfer. *Gene Ther*. 2004;11:1523-1531
- [62] Wisse E, Jacobs F, Topal B, Frederik P, De Geest B. The size of endothelial fenestrae in human liver sinusoids: Implications for hepatocyte-directed gene transfer. *Gene Ther*. 2008;15:1193-1199
- [63] Wright PL, Smith KF, Day WA, Fraser R. Small liver fenestrae may explain the susceptibility of rabbits to atherosclerosis. *Arteriosclerosis*. 1983;3:344-348

- [64] Chen H. Comparative observation of the recombinant adeno-associated virus 2 using transmission electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. *Microsc Microanal*. 2007;13:384-389
- [65] Szilagyi JF, Berriman J. Herpes simplex virus l particles contain spherical membraneenclosed inclusion vesicles. *J Gen Virol*. 1994;75 (Pt 7):1749-1753
- [66] Li S, Rizzo MA, Bhattacharya S, Huang L. Characterization of cationic lipid-protamine-DNA (lpd) complexes for intravenous gene delivery. *Gene Ther*. 1998;5:930-937
- [67] Banerjee R. Liposomes: Applications in medicine. J Biomater Appl. 2001;16:3-21
- [68] Jacobs F, Feng Y, Van Craeyveld E, Lievens J, Snoeys J, De Geest B. Species differences in hepatocyte-directed gene transfer: Implications for clinical translation. *Curr Gene Ther*. 2009;9:83-90
- [69] Raper SE, Yudkoff M, Chirmule N, Gao GP, Nunes F, Haskal ZJ, Furth EE, Propert KJ, Robinson MB, Magosin S, Simoes H, Speicher L, Hughes J, Tazelaar J, Wivel NA, Wilson JM, Batshaw ML. A pilot study of in vivo liver-directed gene transfer with an adenoviral vector in partial ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2002;13:163-175
- [70] Brunetti-Pierri N, Stapleton GE, Law M, Breinholt J, Palmer DJ, Zuo Y, Grove NC, Finegold MJ, Rice K, Beaudet AL, Mullins CE, Ng P. Efficient, long-term hepatic gene transfer using clinically relevant hdad doses by balloon occlusion catheter delivery in nonhuman primates. *Mol Ther*. 2009;17:327-333
- [71] Zhang G, Gao X, Song YK, Vollmer R, Stolz DB, Gasiorowski JZ, Dean DA, Liu D. Hydroporation as the mechanism of hydrodynamic delivery. *Gene Ther*. 2004;11:675-682
- [72] VandenDriessche T, Thorrez L, Naldini L, Follenzi A, Moons L, Berneman Z, Collen D, Chuah MK. Lentiviral vectors containing the human immunodeficiency virus type-1 central polypurine tract can efficiently transduce nondividing hepatocytes and antigen-presenting cells in vivo. *Blood.* 2002;100:813-822.
- [73] Follenzi A, Sabatino G, Lombardo A, Boccaccio C, Naldini L. Efficient gene delivery and targeted expression to hepatocytes in vivo by improved lentiviral vectors. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2002;13:243-260
- [74] Kang Y, Xie L, Tran DT, Stein CS, Hickey M, Davidson BL, McCray PB, Jr. Persistent expression of factor viii in vivo following nonprimate lentiviral gene transfer. *Blood*. 2005;106:1552-1558
- [75] Nguyen TH, Aubert D, Bellodi-Privato M, Flageul M, Pichard V, Jaidane-Abdelghani Z, Myara A, Ferry N. Critical assessment of lifelong phenotype correction in hyperbilirubinemic gunn rats after retroviral mediated gene transfer. *Gene Ther*. 2007;14:1270-1277
- [76] Liu F, Shollenberger LM, Conwell CC, Yuan X, Huang L. Mechanism of naked DNA clearance after intravenous injection. *J Gene Med.* 2007;9:613-619
- [77] Herweijer H, Wolff JA. Gene therapy progress and prospects: Hydrodynamic gene delivery. *Gene Ther*. 2007;14:99-107
- [78] Suda T, Gao X, Stolz DB, Liu D. Structural impact of hydrodynamic injection on mouse liver. *Gene Ther.* 2007;14:129-137
- [79] Neubauer K, Saile B, Ramadori G. Liver fibrosis and altered matrix synthesis. *Can J Gastroenterol*. 2001;15:187-193

- [80] Horn T, Christoffersen P, Henriksen JH. Alcoholic liver injury: Defenestration in noncirrhotic livers--a scanning electron microscopic study. *Hepatology*. 1987;7:77-82
- [81] Garcia-Banuelos J, Siller-Lopez F, Miranda A, Aguilar LK, Aguilar-Cordova E, Armendariz-Borunda J. Cirrhotic rat livers with extensive fibrosis can be safely transduced with clinical-grade adenoviral vectors. Evidence of cirrhosis reversion. *Gene Ther*. 2002;9:127-134
- [82] Yeikilis R, Gal S, Kopeiko N, Paizi M, Pines M, Braet F, Spira G. Hydrodynamics based transfection in normal and fibrotic rats. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2006;12:6149-6155
- [83] Ichida T, Hata K, Yamada S, Hatano T, Miyagiwa M, Miyabayashi C, Matsui S, Wisse E. Subcellular abnormalities of liver sinusoidal lesions in human hepatocellular carcinoma. J Submicrosc Cytol Pathol. 1990;22:221-229
- [84] Kin M, Torimura T, Ueno T, Inuzuka S, Tanikawa K. Sinusoidal capillarization in small hepatocellular carcinoma. *Pathol Int*. 1994;44:771-778
- [85] Liu F, Song Y, Liu D. Hydrodynamics-based transfection in animals by systemic administration of plasmid DNA. *Gene Ther*. 1999;6:1258-1266
- [86] Zhang G, Budker V, Wolff JA. High levels of foreign gene expression in hepatocytes after tail vein injections of naked plasmid DNA. *Hum Gene Ther*. 1999;10:1735-1737
- [87] Jacobs F, Snoeys J, Feng Y, Van Craeyveld E, Lievens J, Armentano D, Cheng SH, De Geest B. Direct comparison of hepatocyte-specific expression cassettes following adenoviral and nonviral hydrodynamic gene transfer. *Gene therapy*. 2008;15:594-603
- [88] Eastman SJ, Baskin KM, Hodges BL, Chu Q, Gates A, Dreusicke R, Anderson S, Scheule RK. Development of catheter-based procedures for transducing the isolated rabbit liver with plasmid DNA. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2002;13:2065-2077
- [89] Yoshino H, Hashizume K, Kobayashi E. Naked plasmid DNA transfer to the porcine liver using rapid injection with large volume. *Gene Ther*. 2006;13:1696-1702
- [90] Fabre JW, Grehan A, Whitehorne M, Sawyer GJ, Dong X, Salehi S, Eckley L, Zhang X, Seddon M, Shah AM, Davenport M, Rela M. Hydrodynamic gene delivery to the pig liver via an isolated segment of the inferior vena cava. *Gene therapy*. 2008;15:452-462
- [91] Lieber A, He CY, Meuse L, Schowalter D, Kirillova I, Winther B, Kay MA. The role of kupffer cell activation and viral gene expression in early liver toxicity after infusion of recombinant adenovirus vectors. *J Virol*. 1997;71:8798-8807.
- [92] Muruve DA, Barnes MJ, Stillman IE, Libermann TA. Adenoviral gene therapy leads to rapid induction of multiple chemokines and acute neutrophil-dependent hepatic injury in vivo. *Hum Gene Ther.* 1999;10:965-976.
- [93] Zhang Y, Chirmule N, Gao GP, Qian R, Croyle M, Joshi B, Tazelaar J, Wilson JM. Acute cytokine response to systemic adenoviral vectors in mice is mediated by dendritic cells and macrophages. *Mol Ther*. 2001;3:697-707.
- [94] Schnell MA, Zhang Y, Tazelaar J, Gao GP, Yu QC, Qian R, Chen SJ, Varnavski AN, LeClair C, Raper SE, Wilson JM. Activation of innate immunity in nonhuman primates following intraportal administration of adenoviral vectors. *Mol Ther*. 2001;3:708-722.
- [95] Zaiss AK, Liu Q, Bowen GP, Wong NC, Bartlett JS, Muruve DA. Differential activation of innate immune responses by adenovirus and adeno-associated virus vectors. J Virol. 2002;76:4580-4590.

- [96] Liu Q, Zaiss AK, Colarusso P, Patel K, Haljan G, Wickham TJ, Muruve DA. The role of capsid-endothelial interactions in the innate immune response to adenovirus vectors. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2003;14:627-643
- [97] Nunes FA, Furth EE, Wilson JM, Raper SE. Gene transfer into the liver of nonhuman primates with e1-deleted recombinant adenoviral vectors: Safety of readministration. *Hum Gene Ther*. 1999;10:2515-2526
- [98] Kobayashi S, Nagino M, Yokoyama Y, Nimura Y, Sokabe M. Evaluation of hepatic interleukin-6 secretion following portal vein ligation using a minimal surgical stress model. *J Surg Res.* 2006;135:27-33
- [99] De Geest B, Snoeys J, Van Linthout S, Lievens J, Collen D. Elimination of innate immune responses and liver inflammation by pegylation of adenoviral vectors and methylprednisolone. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2005;16:1439-1451
- [100] Appledorn DM, Patial S, McBride A, Godbehere S, Van Rooijen N, Parameswaran N, Amalfitano A. Adenovirus vector-induced innate inflammatory mediators, mapk signaling, as well as adaptive immune responses are dependent upon both tlr2 and tlr9 in vivo. J Immunol. 2008;181:2134-2144
- [101] Zhong B, Ma HY, Yang Q, Gu FR, Yin GQ, Xia CM. Decrease in toll-like receptors 2 and 4 in the spleen of mouse with endotoxic tolerance. *Inflamm Res.* 2008;57:252-259
- [102] Martin-Armas M, Simon-Santamaria J, Pettersen I, Moens U, Smedsrod B, Sveinbjornsson B. Toll-like receptor 9 (tlr9) is present in murine liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (lsecs) and mediates the effect of cpg-oligonucleotides. J Hepatol. 2006;44:939-946
- [103] Equils O, Schito ML, Karahashi H, Madak Z, Yarali A, Michelsen KS, Sher A, Arditi M. Toll-like receptor 2 (tlr2) and tlr9 signaling results in hiv-long terminal repeat transactivation and hiv replication in hiv-1 transgenic mouse spleen cells: Implications of simultaneous activation of tlrs on hiv replication. J Immunol. 2003;170:5159-5164
- [104] Croyle MA, Le HT, Linse KD, Cerullo V, Toietta G, Beaudet A, Pastore L. Pegylated helper-dependent adenoviral vectors: Highly efficient vectors with an enhanced safety profile. *Gene Ther*. 2005;12:579-587
- [105] Gao G, Lu Y, Calcedo R, Grant RL, Bell P, Wang L, Figueredo J, Lock M, Wilson JM. Biology of aav serotype vectors in liver-directed gene transfer to nonhuman primates. *Mol Ther*. 2006;13:77-87
- [106] Zhu J, Huang X, Yang Y. The tlr9-myd88 pathway is critical for adaptive immune responses to adeno-associated virus gene therapy vectors in mice. J Clin Invest. 2009;119:2388-2398
- [107] Muller A, Schott-Ohly P, Dohle C, Gleichmann H. Differential regulation of th1-type and th2-type cytokine profiles in pancreatic islets of c57bl/6 and balb/c mice by multiple low doses of streptozotocin. *Immunobiology*. 2002;205:35-50
- [108] Van Linthout S, Lusky M, Collen D, De Geest B. Persistent hepatic expression of human apo A-I after transfer with a helper-virus independent adenoviral vector. *Gene Ther*. 2002;9:1520-1528.
- [109] De Geest B, Van Linthout S, Lox M, Collen D, Holvoet P. Sustained expression of human apolipoprotein A-I after adenoviral gene transfer in c57bl/6 mice: Role of apolipoprotein A-I promoter, apolipoprotein A-I introns, and human apolipoprotein e enhancer. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2000;11:101-112.

- [110] De Geest B, Van Linthout S, Collen D. Sustained expression of human apo A-I following adenoviral gene transfer in mice. *Gene Ther*. 2001;8:121-127.
- [111] Van Linthout S, Collen D, De Geest B. Effect of promoters and enhancers on expression, transgene DNA persistence, and hepatotoxicity after adenoviral gene transfer of human apolipoprotein A-I. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2002;13:829-840.
- [112] Nathwani AC, Davidoff A, Hanawa H, Zhou JF, Vanin EF, Nienhuis AW. Factors influencing in vivo transduction by recombinant adeno-associated viral vectors expressing the human factor ix cdna. *Blood*. 2001;97:1258-1265
- [113] Mount JD, Herzog RW, Tillson DM, Goodman SA, Robinson N, McCleland ML, Bellinger D, Nichols TC, Arruda VR, Lothrop CD, Jr., High KA. Sustained phenotypic correction of hemophilia b dogs with a factor ix null mutation by liverdirected gene therapy. *Blood.* 2002;99:2670-2676
- [114] Mingozzi F, Liu YL, Dobrzynski E, Kaufhold A, Liu JH, Wang Y, Arruda VR, High KA, Herzog RW. Induction of immune tolerance to coagulation factor ix antigen by in vivo hepatic gene transfer. J Clin Invest. 2003;111:1347-1356
- [115] De Geest BR, Van Linthout SA, Collen D. Humoral immune response in mice against a circulating antigen induced by adenoviral transfer is strictly dependent on expression in antigen-presenting cells. *Blood.* 2003;101:2551-2556
- [116] Follenzi A, Battaglia M, Lombardo A, Annoni A, Roncarolo MG, Naldini L. Targeting lentiviral vector expression to hepatocytes limits transgene-specific immune response and establishes long-term expression of human antihemophilic factor ix in mice. *Blood.* 2004;103:3700-3709
- [117] Van Linthout S, Spillmann F, Riad A, Trimpert C, Lievens J, Meloni M, Escher F, Filenberg E, Demir O, Li J, Shakibaei M, Schimke I, Staudt A, Felix SB, Schultheiss HP, De Geest B, Tschope C. Human apolipoprotein A-I gene transfer reduces the development of experimental diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*. 2008;117:1563-1573
- [118] Pastore L, Morral N, Zhou H, Garcia R, Parks RJ, Kochanek S, Graham FL, Lee B, Beaudet AL. Use of a liver-specific promoter reduces immune response to the transgene in adenoviral vectors. *Hum Gene Ther*. 1999;10:1773-1781.
- [119] Franco LM, Sun B, Yang X, Bird A, Zhang H, Schneider A, Brown T, Young SP, Clay TM, Amalfitano A, Chen YT, Koeberl DD. Evasion of immune responses to introduced human acid alpha-glucosidase by liver-restricted expression in glycogen storage disease type ii. *Mol Ther*. 2005;12:876-884
- [120] Al-Dosari M, Zhang G, Knapp JE, Liu D. Evaluation of viral and mammalian promoters for driving transgene expression in mouse liver. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2006;339:673-678
- [121] Guo ZS, Wang LH, Eisensmith RC, Woo SL. Evaluation of promoter strength for hepatic gene expression in vivo following adenovirus-mediated gene transfer. *Gene Ther*. 1996;3:802-810
- [122] Kankkonen HM, Vahakangas E, Marr RA, Pakkanen T, Laurema A, Leppanen P, Jalkanen J, Verma IM, Yla-Herttuala S. Long-term lowering of plasma cholesterol levels in ldl-receptor-deficient whhl rabbits by gene therapy. *Mol Ther*. 2004;9:548-556

- [123] Wang L, Calcedo R, Nichols TC, Bellinger DA, Dillow A, Verma IM, Wilson JM. Sustained correction of disease in naive and aav2-pretreated hemophilia b dogs: Aav2/8-mediated, liver-directed gene therapy. *Blood.* 2005;105:3079-3086
- [124] Brinster RL, Allen JM, Behringer RR, Gelinas RE, Palmiter RD. Introns increase transcriptional efficiency in transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1988;85:836-840
- [125] Liu K, Sandgren EP, Palmiter RD, Stein A. Rat growth hormone gene introns stimulate nucleosome alignment in vitro and in transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:7724-7728
- [126] Miao CH, Ohashi K, Patijn GA, Meuse L, Ye X, Thompson AR, Kay MA. Inclusion of the hepatic locus control region, an intron, and untranslated region increases and stabilizes hepatic factor ix gene expression in vivo but not in vitro. *Mol Ther*. 2000;1:522-532.
- [127] Palmiter RD, Sandgren EP, Avarbock MR, Allen DD, Brinster RL. Heterologous introns can enhance expression of transgenes in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88:478-482
- [128] Agarwal M, Austin TW, Morel F, Chen J, Bohnlein E, Plavec I. Scaffold attachment region-mediated enhancement of retroviral vector expression in primary t cells. J Virol. 1998;72:3720-3728
- [129] Auten J, Agarwal M, Chen J, Sutton R, Plavec I. Effect of scaffold attachment region on transgene expression in retrovirus vector-transduced primary t cells and macrophages. *Hum Gene Ther*. 1999;10:1389-1399
- [130]Dang Q, Auten J, Plavec I. Human beta interferon scaffold attachment region inhibits de novo methylation and confers long-term, copy number-dependent expression to a retroviral vector. J Virol. 2000;74:2671-2678
- [131] Miao CH, Thompson AR, Loeb K, Ye X. Long-term and therapeutic-level hepatic gene expression of human factor ix after naked plasmid transfer in vivo. *Mol Ther*. 2001;3:947-957
- [132] Schiedner G, Hertel S, Johnston M, Biermann V, Dries V, Kochanek S. Variables affecting in vivo performance of high-capacity adenovirus vectors. J Virol. 2002;76:1600-1609
- [133] De Geest B, Zhao Z, Collen D, Holvoet P. Effects of adenovirus-mediated human apo A-I gene transfer on neointima formation after endothelial denudation in apo Edeficient mice. *Circulation*. 1997;96:4349-4356.



Targets in Gene Therapy Edited by Prof. Yongping You

ISBN 978-953-307-540-2 Hard cover, 436 pages Publisher InTech Published online 23, August, 2011 Published in print edition August, 2011

This book aims at providing an up-to-date report to cover key aspects of existing problems in the emerging field of targets in gene therapy. With the contributions in various disciplines of gene therapy, the book brings together major approaches: Target Strategy in Gene Therapy, Gene Therapy of Cancer and Gene Therapy of Other Diseases. This source enables clinicians and researchers to select and effectively utilize new translational approaches in gene therapy and analyze the developments in target strategy in gene therapy.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Stephanie C. Gordts, Eline Van Craeyveld, Frank Jacobs and Bart De Geest (2011). Translational Challenges for Hepatocyte-Directed Gene Transfer, Targets in Gene Therapy, Prof. Yongping You (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-540-2, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/targets-in-gene-therapy/translational-challenges-for-hepatocyte-directed-gene-transfer

Open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri Slavka Krautzeka 83/A 51000 Rijeka, Croatia Phone: +385 (51) 770 447 Fax: +385 (51) 686 166 www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China 中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元 Phone: +86-21-62489820 Fax: +86-21-62489821 © 2011 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License</u>, which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.



