We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

## Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



#### Chapter

# Boltzmann Populations of the Fluxional $Be_6B_{11}^-$ and Chiral $Be_4B_8$ Clusters at Finite Temperatures Computed by DFT and Statistical Thermodynamics

Carlos Emilano Buelna-Garcia, Cesar Castillo-Quevedo, Edgar Paredes-Sotelo, Gerardo Martinez-Guajardo and Jose Luis Cabellos

#### Abstract

Total energy computations using density functional theory are typically carried out at a zero temperature; thus, entropic and thermic contributions to the total energy are neglected, even though functional materials work at finite temperatures. This book chapter investigates the Boltzmann populations of the fluxional  $Be_6B_{11}^{-1}$ and chiral Be<sub>4</sub>B<sub>8</sub> isomers at finite temperature estimated within the framework of density functional theory, CCSD(T), and statistical thermodynamics. A couple of steps are taken into account to compute the Boltzmann populations. First, to identify a list of all possible low-energy chiral and achiral structures, an exhaustive and efficient exploration of the potential/free energy surfaces is carried out using a multi-level and multi-step global hybrid genetic algorithm search coupled with Gaussian code. Second, the thermal or so-called Boltzmann populations were computed in the framework of statistical thermodynamics for temperatures ranging from 20 to 1500 K at DFT and CCSD(T) theoretical levels. The results show the effects of temperature on the distribution of isomers define the putative global minimum at finite temperature due to the minimization of the Gibbs free energy and maximization of entropy. Additionally, we found that the fluxional  $Be_6B_{11}$ cluster is strongly dominant at hot temperatures, whereas the chiral Be<sub>4</sub>B<sub>8</sub> cluster is dominant at room temperature. The methodology and results show the thermal effects in the relative population hence molecular properties.

**Keywords:** Global minimum, infrared spectrum, DFT, boron cluster, fluxional, density functional theory, temperature, Boltzmann, Gibbs free energy, entropy, CCSDT, statistical thermodynamics

#### 1. Introduction

Boron is the smallest and lightest semi-metal atom [1, 2] and a neighbor of carbon in the periodic table. Moreover, it has high ionization energy of 344.2 kJ/mol [3], and

an affinity for oxygen atoms, which is the basis of borates [3, 4]. In recent years, the pure boron clusters, the metal, and non-metal doped boron clusters, have attracted considerable attention [1, 5–13] due to their unpredictable chemistry [14, 15] and high potential to form novel structures [16]. The potential of boron atoms to form stable molecular networks [17] lies in the fact that they have three valence electrons and four available orbitals, which implies they are electron-deficient. Boron electron deficiency gives origin to a vast number of allotropic forms and uncommon geometries [6, 16] such as nanotubes [13, 18], borospherenes [19], borophene [16], cages [13, 20], planar [21], quasi planar [22], rings [23, 24], chiral [22, 25–28], boron-based helix clusters [25, 29], and fluxional boron clusters [10, 29–32] that have recently attracted the interest of experimental and theoretical researchers. Aromaticity, antiaromaticity, and conflicting aromaticity dominate the chemical bonding in boron-based clusters [25, 33–35]. The two most-used indices for quantifying aromaticity are the harmonic oscillator model of aromaticity, based on the geometric structure, and the nucleus-independent chemical shift, based on the magnetic response. Aromaticity is not observable, cannot be directly measured [36], and correlates with electronic delocalization [37]. The fluxionality in boron and boron-doped-based molecular systems is highly relevant in terms of its catalytic activity [38] and is due to electronic delocalization [25]. Moreover, in boron-based nanoscale rotors, electronic localization o delocalization contributes significantly to stability, magnetic properties, and chemical reactivity [36], and it is a function of the atomic structure, size, bonding, charge, and temperature [39]. So far, doping a boron cluster with non-metals [40] dramatically affects its structure, stability, and reactivity, like shut-down the fluxionality of the boron-doped anion  $B_{19}$ . In contrast, doping a boron cluster with metals [7, 9, 24, 41–43] like beryllium-doped boron clusters, exhibit remarkable properties such as fluxionality [16, 29, 32, 44–46], aromaticity [29, 47], and characteristics similar to borophene [1]. Furthermore, previous theoretical studies showed that the boron fullerenes  $B_{60}$  and  $B_{80}$  can be stabilized by surrounding the boron clusters with beryllium atoms [48, 49], which effectively compensates for boron electronic deficiency [49]. These effects make beryllium-doped boron clusters interesting, joined with the fact, nowadays, dynamic structural fluxionality in boron nanoclusters is a topic of interest in nanotechnology [19, 50]. Particularly attractive are the chiral helices  $Be_6B_{11}^-$ , reported by Guo [29], and Buelna-Garcia et al. [39] as one of the low-lying and fluxional isomers. Later, a chemical bonding and mechanism of formation study of the beryllium-doped boron chiral cluster  $Be_6B_{10}^{-2}$  and coaxial triple-layered anionic  $Be_6B_{11}$  sandwich structures were reported [25, 46]. In these structures, the chirality arises due to the formation of a boron helix. Particularly, the chirality of nanoclusters has attracted attention due to their chiroptical properties, potential application in efficient chiral discrimination [51, 52], nonlinear optics [53] and chiral materials with interesting properties [22, 54], and of course, not to mention that chiral structures play a decisive role in biological activity [55]. Previous theoretical studies joint with experimental photoelectron spectroscopy reported the first pure boron chiral  $B_{30}$  structure as the putative global minimum [22] at T = 0. In these pair of planar enantiomers, the chirality arises due to the hexagonal hole and its position. In the past years, the lowest energy structures of the B<sub>39</sub> borospherene were reported as chiral due to their hexagonal and pentagonal holes [26]. Similarly, the  $B_{44}$ cluster was reported as a chiral structure due to its nonagonal holes [28]. That is, in these clusters, holes in the structure cause chirality. So far, the chirality depends on the geometry; In contrast, fluxionality strongly depends on temperature. A boron molecular Wankel motor [56–58] and sub nanoscale tank treads have been reported [59, 60]; however, the temperature have not been considered. Nevertheless, most theoretical density functional studies assume that the temperature is zero and neglect temperature-dependent and entropic contributions; consequently, their finite

temperature properties remain unexplored [61, 62]. Experimental studies are carried out in non-zero temperatures, then it is necessary to understand the effect of the temperature on the cluster properties and the lowest energy structure's determination [61–63]. Herein, we investigate the effect of temperature-entropy term on the Boltzmann population, which needs the elucidation of the putative global minimum and its low-energy isomers [39, 64–68]. The properties observed in a molecule are statistical averages over the ensemble of geometrical conformations that are ruled by the Boltzmann distributions of isomers. So we need an efficiently sampling of the free energy surface to know the distribution of isomers at different temperatures [39, 68-71]. A considerable change in the isomer distribution and the energetic separation among them is the first notable effect of temperature [39]. Useful materials work at finite temperatures; in that conditions, Gibbs free energy is minimized whereas, the entropy of the atomic cluster is maximized [39, 72]. and determines the putative global minimum at a finite temperature [39]. Although in the mid 1960's, Mermin et al. [73] studied the thermal properties of the inhomogeneous electron gas, most DFT calculations are typically performed at zero temperature. Recently, over again, DFT was extended to finite temperature [74–76], but nowadays, as far as we know, it is not implemented in any public software and practical calculations are not possible. Taking temperature into account requires dealing with small systems' thermodynamics; The Gibbs free energy of classical thermodynamics also applies for small systems, known as thermodynamics of small systems [77–79]. The thermodynamics of clusters have been studied by various theoretical and simulation tools [61, 68, 77, 80–86] like molecular-dynamics simulations. Previous reports investigated the behavior of Al<sub>12</sub>C cluster at finite temperature employing Car-Pirinello molecular dynamics [61], and dynamical behavior of Borospherene in the framework of Born-Oppenheimer Molecular Dynamics [5, 10]. Under the harmonic superposition approximation, the temperature-entropy term can be computed with the vibrational frequencies on hand. The entropy and thermal effects have been considered for gold, copper, water, and sodium clusters [71, 87–95]. Franco-Perez et al. [96] reported the thermal corrections to the chemical reactivity at finite temperature, their piece of work validates the usage of reactivity indexes calculated at zero temperature to infer chemical behavior at room temperature. Gazquez et al. [97] presented a unified view of the temperature-dependent approach to the DFT of chemical reactivity. Recently, the effect of temperature was considered by Castillo-Quevedo et al. reported the reaction rate and the lowest energy structure of copper Cu<sub>13</sub> clusters at finite temperature [98, 99]. Dzib et al. reported Eyringpy; A Python code able to compute the rate constants for reactions in the gas phase and in solution [100], Vargas-Caamal et al. computed the temperature-dependent dipole moments for the  $HCl(H_2O)_n$ clusters [101], Shkrebtii et al. computed the temperature-dependent linear optical properties of the Si(100) surface [102], several authors take into account the temperature in gold clusters [87–89], and thermochemical behavior study of the sorghum molecule [103], and more recently, Buelna-Garcia et al. [104] employing density functional theory and nanothermodynamics reported the lowest energy structure of neutral chiral  $Be_4B_8$  at a finite temperature. and reported that the fluxionality of the anionic  $Be_6B_{11}$  clusters depends strongly on temperature [39]. In this work, we employed density functional theory, statistical thermodynamics, and CCSD(T) to compute the Gibbs free energy and the Boltzmann population at absolute temperature T for each neutral chiral  $Be_4B_8$  and anionic  $Be_6B_{11}$  isomers. We think that this provides useful information about which isomers will be dominant at hot temperatures. No work has previously been attempted to investigate entropy-driven isomers in the fluxional  $Be_6B_{11}^{-}$  and chiral  $Be_4B_8$  cluster at CCSDT level of theory to the best of our knowledge. The remainder of the manuscript is organized as follows: Section 2 gives the computational details and a brief overview of the theory and algorithms

used. The results and discussion are presented in Section 3. We discuss the effect of the symmetry in the energetic ordering and clarify the origin of the 0.41 kcal/mol difference in energy between two structures with symmetries  $C_2$  and  $C_1$  appear when we compute the Gibbs free energy. A comparison among the energies computed at a single point CCSD(T) against the DFT levels of theory and the  $T_1$  diagnostic is presented. Conclusions are given in Section 4.

#### 2. Theoretical methods and computational details

#### 2.1 Global minimum search

Despite advances in computing power, the minimum global search in molecular and atomic clusters remains a complicated task due to several factors. The exploration should be systematic and unbiased [68, 105]; a molecule's degrees of freedom increase with the number of atoms [68, 106–109]; a molecule composed of N number of atoms possesses 3 N degrees of freedom (i.e., a linear molecule has three degrees of translation, two of rotation, and [3 N-6] of vibrational modes); and, as a consequence, the potential/free energy surface depends on a large number of variables. The number of local minima increases exponentially as a function of the number of atoms in the molecule. Moreover, the total energy computation requires a quantum mechanical methodology to produce a realistic value for energy. In addition to that, there should be many initial structures. It is essential to sample a large region of the configuration space to ensure that we are not missing structures, making an incomplete sampling of the configurational space and introducing a significant problem to calculating the thermodynamic properties [64]. A complete sampling of the potential/free energy surface is impossible, but a systematic exploration of the potential energy surface is extremely useful. Although searching for a global minimum in molecular systems is challenging, the design and use of algorithms dedicated to the search for global minima, such as simulated annealing, [110–115] kick method [116, 117], genetic algorithms [118–120], Gradient Embedded Genetic Algorithm [121–123] and basin hopping [124, 125], has been accomplished over the years. In the past few years, one of us designed and employed genetic algorithms [12, 13, 29, 39, 98, 99, 104, 126, 127] and kick methodology [101, 127–133] coupled with density functional theory to explore atomic and molecular clusters' potential energy surfaces. They have led us to solve the minimum global search in a targeted way. In this chapter, our computational procedure to elucidate the low-energy structures employs a recently developed natureinspired hybrid strategy that combines a Cuckoo search [134] and genetic algorithms coupled to density functional theory that has been implemented in the GALGOSON code v1.0. Nature-inspired metaheuristic algorithms have been applied in almost all areas of science, engineering, and industry, work remarkably efficiently, and have many advantages over deterministic methods [135]. GALGOSON systematically and efficiently explores potential/free energy surfaces (PES/FES) of the atomic clusters to find the minimum energy structure. The methodology consists of a three-step search strategy where, in the first and second steps, we explore the PES, and in the third step, we explore the FES. First, the code builds a generation of random initial structures with an initial population of two hundred individuals per atom in the Be-B clusters using a kick methodology. The process to make 1D, 2D, and 3D structures is similar to that used in previous work [12] and are restricted by two conditions [12] that can be summarized as follows: (a) All the atoms are confined inside a sphere with a radius determined by adding all atoms' covalent radii and multiplied by a factor established by the user,

typically 0.9. (b) The bond length between any two atoms is the sum of their covalent radii, modulated by a scale factor established by the user, typically close to 1.0; this allows us to compress/expand the bond length. These conditions avoid the high-energy local minima generated by poorly connected structures (too compact/ loose). Then, structures are optimized at the PBE0/3-21G level of theory employing Gaussian 09 code. As the second step, all energy structures lying in the energy range of 20 kcal/mol were re-optimized at the PBE0-GD3/LANL2DZ level of theory and joints with previously reported global minimum structures. Those structures comprised the initial population for the genetic algorithm. The optimization in this stage was at the PBE0-D3/LANL2DZ level of theory. The criterion to stop the generation is if the lowest energy structure persists for 10 generations. In the third step, structures lying in 10 kcal/mol found in the previous step comprised the initial population for the genetic algorithm that uses Gibbs free energy extracted from the local optimizations at the PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP, taking into account the zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections. The criterion to stop is similar to that used in the previous stage. In the final step, the lowest energy structures are evaluated at a single point energy at the CCSD(T)/def2-TZVP// PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory. All the calculations were done employing the Gaussian 09 code [136].

#### 2.2 Thermochemistry properties

All the information about a quantum system is contained in the wave function; similarly, the partition function provides all the information need to compute the thermodynamic properties and it indicates the states accessible to the system at temperature T. Previous theoretical studies used the partition function to compute temperature-dependent entropic contributions [137] on  $[Fe(pmea)(NCS)_2]$  complex, infrared spectroscopy on anionic  $Be_6B_{11}$  cluster [39], and rate constant [100]. In this work, the thermodynamic functions are calculated using the temperature-dependent partition Q shown in Eq. (1).

$$Q(T) = \sum_{i} g_i \, e^{-\Delta E_i/k_B T} \tag{1}$$

In Eq. (1), the  $g_i$  is the degeneracy or multiplicity, using degeneracy numbers is equivalent to take into account all degenerate states and the sum runs overall energy levels, and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature and  $\Delta E_i$  is the total energy of a molecule [100, 138]. At high temperatures, all thermal states are accessible due to the term  $-\Delta E_i/k_BT$  tends to zero, and the partition tends to infinity. An exact calculation of Q could be complicated due to the coupling of the internal modes, a way to decouple the electronic and nuclei modes is through the use of Born-Oppenheimer approximation. (BOA) This approach says that the electron movement is faster than the nuclei and assumes that the molecular wave function is the electronic and nuclear wavefunction product  $\psi = \psi_e \psi_n$ . The vibrations change the momentum of inertia as a consequence, affect the rotations; this fact tightly couple the vibrational and rotational degrees of freedom; The separation of rotational and vibrational modes is called the rigid rotor, harmonic oscillator (RRHO) approximation, under this approximation, the molecule is treated rigidly, this is generally good when vibrations are of small amplitude. Here the vibration will be modeled in terms of harmonic oscillator and rotations in terms of the rigid rotor. Within BOA and RRHO approximations, the partition function is factorized into electronic, translational, vibrational, and rotational energies. Consequently, the partition function, Q, can be given in Eq. (2) as a product of the corresponding

contributions [100, 139], and under the rigid rotor, harmonic oscillator, Born-Oppenheimer, ideal gas, and a particle-in-a-box approximations.

$$Q = q_{trans} q_{rot} q_{vib} q_{elec.}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

**Table 1** shows the contributions of electronic, translational, vibrational, and rotational to the partition function.

We computed all partition functions at temperature T and a standard pressure of 1 atm. The equations are equivalent to those given in the Ref. [100], and any standard text of thermodynamics [138, 139] and they apply for an ideal gas. The implemented translational partition function in the Gaussian code [136] is the partition function,  $q = q_{trans}$ , given in **Table 1**. In this study, the  $q = q_{trans}$  is computed as a function of T and is used to calculate the translational entropy. In addition to using vibrational modes to identify true lowest energy structures from transition states, we also used them to compute the vibrational partition function. In this study is considered vibrational energy consists of the sum of the energies of each vibrational mode. In computing the electronic partition, we considered that the energy gap between the first and higher excited states is more considerable than  $k_BT$ , as a consequence electronic partition function,  $q = q_{elect}$ , is given by  $q_{elect} = \omega_0$ ,  $q_{rot}$ ,  $q_{rot}^{nl}$ ,  $q = q_{trans}$  are used to compute the entropy contributions given in **Table 2**.

The vibrational frequencies are calculated employing the Gaussian code, and all the information needed to compute the total partition function is collected from the output. The Gibbs free energy and the enthalpy are computed employing the Eqs. (3) and (4).

$$H = U + nRT, (3)$$

$$G = H - TS. \tag{4}$$

#### 2.3 Boltzmann population

The properties observed in a molecule are statistical averages over the ensemble of geometrical conformations or isomers accessible to the cluster [140]. So, the molecular properties are ruled by the Boltzmann distributions of isomers that can change due to temperature-entropic term [23, 71, 101], and the soft vibrational modes that clusters possess make primary importance contributions to the entropy [93]. The Boltzmann populations of the low-energy isomers of the cluster  $Be_6B_{11}^{-}$  and  $Be_4B_8$  are computed through the probabilities defined in Eq. (5)

Contribution	Partition function					
Translational	$q_{trans} = \left(rac{2\pi m k_B T}{h^2} ight)^{rac{3}{2}} rac{k_B T}{P}$					
Rotational linear	$q_{rot}^{l}=rac{T}{\sigma \Theta_{rot}}$ , $\Theta_{rot}=rac{\hbar^{2}}{2lk_{B}}$					
Rotational nonlinear	$q_{rot}^{nl} = rac{\pi^{1/2}}{\sigma} \Big[ rac{T^{3/2}}{( heta_{rotB}  heta_{rotC})^{1/2}} \Big],  heta_{rotj} = rac{\hbar^2}{2I_{jkB}}, j = A, B, C$					
Vibrational	$q_{vib}^{pol}=\prod_{i=1}^{n_{v^{[a]}}}rac{e^{- heta_{vib_i}/2T}}{1-e^{- heta_{vib_i}/T}}$ , $artheta_{vib_i}=rac{h u_i}{k_B}$					
Electronic	$q_{elec} = \omega_0$					

### **Table 1.**Contributions to the partition function.

Boltzmann Populations of the Fluxional  $Be_6B_{11}^-$  and Chiral  $Be_4B_8$  Clusters at Finite... DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.100771

Internal energy	Entropy				
$U_{trans} = \frac{3}{2}RT$	$S_{trans} = R\left(\ln q_{trans} + \frac{5}{2}\right)$				
$U_{rot}^l = RT$	$S_{rot}^l = Rig( \ln q_{rot}^l + 1 ig)$				
$U_{rot}^{nl} = \frac{3}{2}RT$	$S_{rot}^{nl} = R \left( \ln q_{rot}^{nl} + rac{3}{2}  ight)$				
$U_{vib}^{pol} = R \sum_{i}^{n_{viel}} \Theta_{vib_i} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{\Theta_{vib_i}/T} - 1} \right)$	$S_{vib}^{pol} = R \sum_{i}^{nv} \left[ \frac{\Theta_{vib_i}/T}{e^{\Theta_{vib_i}/T} - 1} - \ln\left(1 - e^{-\Theta_{vib_i}/T}\right) \right]$				
$artheta_{vib_i}=rac{h u_i}{k_B}$					
$U_{elec} = 0$	$S_{elec} = R \ln q_{elec}$				
	Internal energy $U_{trans} = \frac{3}{2}RT$ $U_{rot}^{l} = RT$ $U_{rot}^{nl} = \frac{3}{2}RT$ $\frac{U_{vib}^{pol} = R \sum_{i}^{n_{vic}} \Theta_{vib_{i}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{\Theta_{vib_{i}}/T} - 1}\right)}{\Theta_{vib_{i}} = \frac{h\nu_{i}}{k_{B}}}$ $U_{elec} = 0$				

$$P(T) = \frac{e^{-\beta \Delta G^{K}}}{\sum e^{-\beta \Delta G^{K}}}$$
(5)

where  $\beta = 1/k_BT$ , and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature in Kelvin,  $\Delta G$  is the Gibbs free energy of the k<sup>th</sup> isomer. Eq. (5) establishes that the distribution of molecules will be among energy levels as a function of the energy and temperature. Eq. (5) is restricted so that the sum of all probabilities of occurrence, at fixed temperature T, Pi (T) is equal to 1 and given by Eq. (6)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(T) = 1$$
 (6)

It is worth mentioning that the energy difference among isomers is determinant in the computation of the solid–solid transition,  $T_{ss}$  point.  $T_{ss}$  occurs when two competing structures are energetically equaled, and there is simultaneous coexistence of isomers at T. in other words, the  $T_{ss}$  point is a function of the energy difference between two isomers and the relative energy  $\Delta G$  that the cluster possesses. Boltzmann distribution finds a lot of applications as like simulated method annealing applied to the search of structures of minimum energy, rate of chemical reaction [100], among others. For the calculation of the Boltzmann populations, we used a homemade Python/Fortran code called BOFA (Boltzmann-Optics-Full-Ader).

#### 2.4 Computational details

The global exploration of the potential and free energy surfaces of the  $Be_6B_{11}^$ and  $Be_4 B_8$  clusters were done with a hybrid Cuckoo-genetic algorithm written in Python. All local geometry optimization and vibrational frequencies were carried out employing the density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Gaussian 09 [136] suite of programs, and no restrictions in the optimizations were imposed. Final equilibrium geometries and relative energies are reported at PBE0 [141] /def2-TZVP [142] level of theory, taking into account the D3 version of Grimme's dispersion corrections [143] and including the zero-point (ZPE) energy corrections. As Pan et al. [144] reported, the computed relative energies with PBE0 functional are very close to the CCSD(T) values in  $B_9^-$  boron cluster. The def2-TZVP basis from the Ahlrichs can improve computations accuracy and describe the Be-B clusters [29]. To gain insight into its energetics, we evaluated the single point energy CCSD (T)/def2TZVP/PBEO-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory for the putative global minima and the low-energy  $Be_6B_{11}^-$  isomers, and employing Orca code at the DLPNO-CCSD(T) theoretical level for the low-energy isomers of  $Be_4B_8$  cluster. Boltzmann-Optics-Full-Ader, (BOFA) is employed in the computation of the Boltzmann populations. The code is available with the corresponding author.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 The lowest energy structures and energetics

Figure 1 shows the lowest energy structure of  $Be_6B_{11}^-$  clusters and seven lowenergy competing isomers computed at the PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP basis set. For the putative global minimum at the PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP, the optimized average B-B bond length is 1.64 Å. In contrast, the optimized B-Be bond length is 2.01 Å. At the PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP and temperature of 298.15 K, the putative global minimum with 54% of the relative population has  $C_1$  symmetry with a singlet electronic state <sup>1</sup>A. It is a distorted, oblate spheroid with three berylliums in one face and two in the other face. Nine-boron and one-beryllium atoms are forming a ring located around the spheroid's principal axes and the remaining two boron atoms are located close to the boron ring in one of its faces. The second higher energy structure, at 298.15 K, lies only 0.61 kcal/mol Gibbs free energy above the putative global minima, and it has  $C_1$  symmetry with a singlet electronic state <sup>1</sup>A. It is a prolate spheroid with 19% of the relative population at a temperature of 298.15 K. The next two higher energy isomers, at 298.15 K, lies at 0.85 and 1.23 kcal/mol Gibbs energy above the putative global minimum. They are prolate, coaxial Triple-Layered structures with C<sub>s</sub>, and  $C_{2v}$  symmetries with singlet electronic states, <sup>1</sup>A, respectively. This clearly, shows that the low-symmetry structure C<sub>1</sub> become more energetically preferred than the  $C_{2v}$  symmetry by Gibbs free energy difference of 0.38 kcal/mol at 298.15 K, due to entropic effects and in agreement with a similar result found in Au<sub>32</sub> [105]. Indeed,



#### Figure 1.

The optimized geometries of  $Be_6B_{11}^{-}$  cluster. The most important energy isomers show in two orientations, front, and rotated 90 degrees up to plane paper. Relative Gibbs free energies in kcal/mol (in round parenthesis) and the relative population [in square parenthesis], at PBE0-D3/Def2-TZVP level of theory. The criterium to plot them is until the probability occupation is zero. The pink- and yellow-colored spheres represent the boron and beryllium atoms, respectively.

and according to our computations, those structures are strongly dominating at temperatures higher than 377 K. The next structure is shown in **Figure 1**(5), is located at 1.48 kcal/mol above the global minimum; it is close to a spherical shape and correspond to a prolate structure with  $C_1$  symmetry, and a singlet electronic state <sup>1</sup>A; this structure only has 4.4% of the relative population at 298.15 K. The next two structures, located at 2.37 kcal/mol Gibbs free energy above the global minimum, are the chiral helix-type structures, reported by Guo [29] as minimum global. They are prolate structures with  $C_{2v}$  symmetries, and their relative population is around only 1%. We must point out that those chiral-helix structures never become the lowest energy structures in all ranges of temperature. The relative population is zero for structures located at higher relative Gibbs free energy than 5.1 kcal/mol, and at 298.15 K, there is no contribution of these isomers to any total molecular property. A full understanding of the molecular properties requires the search of global minimum and all its closest low-energy structures [64]. The separation among isomers by energy-difference is an important and critical characteristic that influences the relative population and, consequently, the total molecular properties. We computed the global minima and the first seven low-energy to gain insight into how the energy-gap among isomers change and how the energy-ordering of the low-energy structures is affected at a single point CCSD(T)/def2-TZVP level of theory corrected with the zero-point energy computed at the PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory. At the CCSD(T) level of theory, the global minima, the seven lowest energy isomers, and the energy order agree with previous work [39], as seen in the first row of Table 3. The second row of Table 3 shows the corrected CCSDT+E<sub>ZPE</sub> energy. Interestingly, the energetic ordering does not change when we take into account the ZPE energy. Nevertheless, the energy difference among isomers was reduced drastically, we can deduce that the ZPE energy inclusion is essential in the isomers' energy ordering and molecular properties. The third row of Table 3 shows the energy-order considering the Gibbs free energy computed at 298.15 K; at this temperature, the isomers energy-ordering is changed, the second isomers take the putative global minima place, and the first isomers take the fifth place. Interestingly, this energy-ordering is at 298.15 K. This energy-ordering is a complete function of the temperature that we will discuss later in the relative population section. The fourth row in **Table 3** shows the electronic energy taking into account the ZPE energy. It follows the same trend in energy-ordering when considering the Gibbs free energy, and it is the same putative global minima. The fifth row in **Table 3** is just electronic energy. It almost follows the CCSD(T) energies trend, except the

Be <sub>6</sub> B <sub>11</sub>	Level	i <sub>1</sub>	<b>i</b> 2	i3	i4	<b>i</b> 5	i <sub>6</sub>	<b>i</b> 7	<b>i</b> 8
	CCSD(T)	0.0	1.75	1.84	1.84	4.10	4.13	2.64	2.42
	$CCSD(T) + \varepsilon_{ZPE}$	0.0	0.58	0.85	0.86	1.19	1.23	1.68	1.81
	$\Delta G$	0.0	-1.48	0.89	0.88	-0.63	-0.25	4.14	-0.87
Be <sub>6</sub> B <sub>11</sub>	$arepsilon_0+arepsilon_{ m ZPE}$	0.0	-0.29	1.51	1.52	2.41	2.42	5.0	-0.08
	$\mathcal{E}_0$	0.0	0.87	2.50	2.50	5.32	5.32	5.96	0.52
	Point Group Symmetry	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	Cs	$C_{2v}$	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>
	Electronic ground state	<sup>1</sup> A	<sup>1</sup> A	<sup>1</sup> A	<sup>1</sup> A	<sup>1</sup> A'	$^{1}A_{1}$	<sup>1</sup> A	<sup>1</sup> A
	Frequencies (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	230	119	102	100	46	43	161	151

#### Table 3.

The relative energies in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>, coupled-cluster single-double and perturbative triple, CCSD(T), CCSD(T) with zero-point energy ( $\varepsilon_{ZPE}$ ), (CCSD(T) +  $\varepsilon_{ZPE}$ ), Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) at 298.15 K, electronic energy with  $\varepsilon_{ZPE}$  (%mcalE $\varepsilon_{0}$ ), electronic energy ( $\varepsilon_{0}$ ), point group symmetry, electronic ground state, and the lowest frequency in cm<sup>-1</sup> for eight low-energy isomers. isomers number eight that take the second place located at 0.52 kcal/mol above the putative global minima. The sixth, seventh and eighth rows on **Table 3** show the point group symmetry, electronic ground state, and the lowest vibrational frequency of each isomer. When we take the Gibbs free energy to energy-ordering structures, the second isomers interchange to the first place, becoming the lowest energy structure; The energy ordering change drastically, whereas the electronic energy almost follows the same trend CCSD(T) energy-ordering. This shows us that the level of theory and the inclusion of entropy and temperature change the energy ordering; therefore, the total molecular properties.

#### 3.2 Boltzmann population of Be<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub><sup>-</sup> cluster

**Figure 2a** shows the most important and strongly dominating  $T_{ss1-g}$  point that is located at 377 K temperature scale with a relative population of 33%. For temperatures ranging from 10 to 377 K, the relative population is strongly dominated by the putative global minima isomer distorted oblate spheroid with C<sub>1</sub> symmetry and this relative population is similar to  $-T^{-3}$  function with one point of inflection located at 180 K. After decreases monotonically up to 377 K. At the T<sub>ss1-g</sub> point, the distorted oblate spheroid with C<sub>1</sub> symmetry co-exist and compete with the coaxial Triple-Layered structures with C<sub>s</sub> symmetry; This implies that the distorted oblate spheroid will be replaced with the coaxial Triple-Layered structures. Above temperature 377 K, the relative population is strongly dominated by the coaxial Triple-Layered structures with C<sub>s</sub> symmetry, located at 0.85 kcal/mol above the global minima at temperature 298.15 K. This relative population depicted in blue-solid line in panel (a) has behavior as a sigmoid function, from temperatures ranging from 377 to 600 K, it grows rapidly and from temperatures ranging from 600 to 1500 K, it almost keeps constant with 60%. The second  $T_{ss2-g}$  point is located at temperature 424 K with a relative population of 22.9%, and this point the global minima distorted oblate spheroid with C<sub>1</sub> symmetry co-exist, and compete with the coaxial Triple-Layered structures with C<sub>2v</sub> symmetry, located at 1.23 kcal/mol above the global minima at 298.15 K. The relative population of the coaxial Triple-Layered  $C_{2v}$ symmetry depicted in green-solid line in panel (a) also has a behavior of a sigmoid function and up to 600 K it keeps constant with 32% of relative population. The  $T_{ss3-g}$ , and  $T_{ss4-g}$  points are located at 316.7 K, and 349 K axis temperature with



#### Figure 2.

Panel (a) shows the Boltzmann population of the  $Be_6B_{11}^-$  (ensemble at thermal equilibrium) for the temperatures ranging from 10 to 1500 K computed at the PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory. Panel (b) shows the Boltzmann population for the temperatures ranging from 10 to 1500 K computed at the CCSDT/ def2-TZVP/PBE0-GD3/def2-TZVP level of theory. At the temperature of 350 K, four structures co-exist with 20% of probability.

relative populations 14% and 17%, respectively. These relative populations correspond to the second isomer located just 0.61 kcal/mol at 298.15 K above the global minima, and co-existing at the temperatures 316.7 K and 349 K with the coaxial Triple-Layered structures with  $C_s$ , and  $C_{2v}$  symmetries, respectively. At low temperatures range, this isomer's relative population depicted in red-solid line of **Figure 2a** is around only 20%, and up to room temperature, it decreases exponentially to zero. At temperatures up to 600 K, the relative population is zero; hence, at high temperatures these isomers do not contribute to the molecular properties. The relative population lower than 10%, depicted in violet-solid line shows in **Figure 2a**, correspond to the isomers located at 1.48 kcal/mol above global minima at 298.15 K. Interesting, this structure is the putative minimum global when the CCSD(T) energy is employed in the ordering energetic, Despite that, this structure's relative population clearly shows that this structure does not contribute to molecular properties in all ranges of temperatures.

#### 3.3 The lowest energy structures of Be<sub>4</sub>B<sub>8</sub> clusters

**Figure 3** shows the low-energy configurations of  $Be_4B_8$  clusters optimized at PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory taking into account ZPE energy correction. The optimized average B-B bond length of the putative chiral global minimum is 1.5867 Å, in good agreement with an experimental bond length of 1.57–1.59 Å [145, 146], and also within agreement with others previous DFT calculations [39]. The most recurring motif within the lower energy isomers of  $B_8Be_4$  is a sandwich structure, (SSh) in which the boron atoms form a hollow distorted ellipsoid ring



#### Figure 3.

Optimized geometries of a neutral  $Be_4B_8$  cluster at the PBE0-D3/def2TZVP level of theory with zero-point correction energy. These are shown in front and side views. The first letter is the isomer label, the relative Gibbs free energies in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> (in round parenthesis) at 298.15 K, the relative population (in square brackets), and the group symmetry point (in red round parenthesis). The structures with labels (a and b), (c and d), (e and f), (i and j), (k and l) and (h) are chiral. The purple- and yellow-colored spheres represent the boron and beryllium atoms, respectively.

with each of the Be-Be dimers capping the top and bottom with C<sub>1</sub> point group symmetry. Isomers 1 and 2 are also listed as  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  in **Table 4**, are enantiomers differing in the orientation of the Be-Be dimers with respect to the boron skeleton. The Be-Be bond length for the six lowest energy enantiomers is 1.9874, 1.9876, and 1.9881 Å for symmetries  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $D_2$ , respectively, in good agreement with the bond length of the Be-Be in  $Be_2B_8$  cluster 1.910 Å [44]. To gain insight into the energy hierarchy of isomers and validate our DFT calculations, relative energies were computed at different levels of theory, and differences between them are shown in Table 4. Energy computed at different methods yield different energies due mainly to the functional and basis-set employed, [39, 147], so the energetic ordering change; consequently, the probability of occurrence and the molecular properties will change. The first line of **Table 4** shows the relative Gibbs free energy computed at PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP and room temperature. The small relative Gibbs free energies (0.41, and 0.81 kcal/mol) differences among the six enantiomer structures  $i_1$  to  $i_6$  in **Table 4** are caused by the rotational entropy being a function of the symmetry number that in turn depends on the point group symmetry. An increase/decrease in the value of rotational entropy changes the Gibbs free energy. The Gibbs free energy computed with and without symmetry will differ by a factor RTln( $\sigma$ ). Here, R is the universal gas constant, T, the temperature, and  $\sigma$  is the symmetry number. The computed factor at room temperature with  $\sigma$  = 2 is RTln  $(\sigma) = 0.41$  kcal/mol, and it is RTln $(\sigma) = 0.81$  kcal/mol with  $\sigma = 4$ , in agreement with the values shown in the first line of Table 4. As the temperature increases, the energy differences between the factors  $RTln(\sigma)$  become larger. These small relative Gibbs free energies are responsible for different values of probability of occurrence at low temperatures for the similar isomers with different point group symmetry. This strongly suggests that there must be atomic clusters with low and high symmetries in the Boltzmann ensemble to compute the molecular properties correctly. The second line in **Table 4** shows single point (SP) relative energies computed at the CCSD(T) [148], the energetic ordering of isomers listed in the first line of **Table 4** follows almost the trend of energetic ordering at SP CCSD(T) level, notice that just the achiral isomers label  $i_7$  to  $i_8$  in **Table 4** are interchanged in energetic ordering. The third line **Table 4** shows single point relative energies computed at

Level	$\mathbf{i_1}$	$\mathbf{i}_2$	i <sub>3</sub>	$i_4$	<b>i</b> 5	i <sub>6</sub>	i <sub>6</sub>	i <sub>8</sub>	i9	i <sub>10</sub>
ΔG	0.0	0.0	0.41	0.41	0.81	0.81	1.79	2.40	4.45	4.45
$\operatorname{CCSD}(T)$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.61	3.38	5.38	5.38
$\mathrm{CCSD}(T) + \varepsilon_{\mathrm{ZPE}}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.71	2.51	4.51	4.51
DLPNO-CCSD(T)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.75	1.37	5.0	5.0
$\text{DLPNO-CCSD}(T) + \epsilon_{\text{ZPE}}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.20	0.50	4.10	4.10
$\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_{\mathrm{ZPE}}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.38	2.80	5.03	5.03
$\varepsilon_0$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.28	3.68	5.90	3.28
Point Group Symmetry	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>
$T_1$	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019

#### Table 4.

Single-point relative energy calculations of the low-energy structures from  $i_1$  to  $i_{10}$  at different levels of theory: coupled cluster single-double and perturbative triple (CCSD(T)), CCSD(T) with zero-point energy (CCSD(T) +  $\varepsilon_{ZPE}$ , CCSD(T)) employing the domain-based local pair natural orbital coupled-cluster theory (DLPNO-CCSD(T)), with TightPNO setting, and with  $\varepsilon_{ZPE}$  (DLPNO-CCSD(T) +  $\varepsilon_{ZPE}$ ), Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) at 298.15 K, electronic energy with  $\varepsilon_{ZPE}$  ( $\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_{ZPE}$ ), electronic energy ( $\varepsilon_0$ ), point group symmetry, and  $T_1$  diagnostic. All relative energies are given in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

the CCSD(T) [148]/def2-TZVP//PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP; the energetic ordering is similar to pure CCSD(T) energy. DLPNO-CCSD(T) relative energies, with and without ZPE correction, are shown in lines four and five of **Table 4**, the first follows the trend of pure CCSD(T) energy, and the second, the ZPE value, interchange the isomers, label  $i_7$  in **Table 4**, to be the putative global minimum. Here we can say that the ZPE energy inclusion is essential in distributing isomers and molecular properties. The sixth and seventh lines of **Table 4** show the electronic energy with and without ZPE correction, and both of them follow the trend of the Gibbs free energy given in line number one. Line number 8 in **Table 4** shows the point group symmetry for each isomer. The  $T_1$  diagnostic for each isomer is shown in line nine of **Table 4**, all of them are lower than the recommended value 0.02 [148] so the systems are appropriately characterized.

#### 3.4 Boltzmann population of Be<sub>4</sub>B<sub>8</sub> clusters

As we mentioned earlier, the determination of the structure is the first step to study any property of a material. Moreover, we have to consider that an observed molecular property in a Boltzmann ensemble is a weighted sum of all individual contributions of each isomer that form the ensemble. At temperature 0 K, the electronic energy plus zero-point energy determine the putative global minimum and all nearby low-energy structures, whereas, at temperatures larger than 0 K, the Gibbs free energy defines the putative global minimum. **Figure 4a** shows the probability of occurrence computed at PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory for each particular chiral and achiral Be<sub>4</sub>B<sub>8</sub> isomers for temperatures ranging from 20 to 1900 K. **Figure 4b** shows the probability of occurrence computed at CCSD(T) level of theory. Notice, there is not a significant difference in the probabilities of occurrence between the two panels, thus the computation of probabilities at DFT level of theory is very similar to those computed at CCSDT level of theory. A closer examination of the panel (b) shown that in the temperature ranging from 20 to 300 K, all molecular properties are dominated by the chiral structure depicted in Figure 3a because its probability of occurrence is almost constant. We point out that in this range of temperature, the C1, C2, and D2 symmetries strongly dominate with different probabilities of occurrence of 28, 14 y 7% respectively. At this point, there is



#### Figure 4.

Panel (a) shows the probability of occurrence for temperatures ranging from 20 to 1900 K at the PBE0-D3/ def2-TZVP level of theory. Panel (b) shows the probability of occurrence for temperatures ranging from 20 to 1900 K at the CCSDT/def2-TZVP level of theory. In panel (a), the transition solid–solid point ( $T_{ss1-g}$ ) is located at 739 K with 16.6% of probability, while in panel (b) the  $T_{ss1-g}$  is located at 780 K with 15% probability.

a co-existence of chiral structures and achiral structures, shown in **Figure 3**, above this point the achiral structure (**Figure 3g**) becomes dominant. The second transformation solid–solid point located at 1017 K and 10% of probability also coexist the chiral putative global minimum with symmetry C<sub>1</sub> and achiral structure (**Figure 3h**) located at 2.51 kcal/mol CCSDT energy at above the putative global minimum. The Boltzmann population computed at PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory follows the trend of the Boltzamnn population computed at CCSD(T) level of theory.

#### 4. Conclusions

We computed the Boltzmann population of anionic  $Be_6B_{11}$  and neutral  $Be_4B_8$ cluster at the SP CCSDT and DFT levels of theory. If one increases the system's temperature, entropic effects start to play an important role, and Gibbs free energy is minimized, and entropy is maximized. The fluxionality of the  $Be_6B_{11}^{-}$  cluster is strongly dependent on temperature that is shown by its Boltzmann population. At the CCSDT level of theory, the Boltzmann population of the Be<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub><sup>-</sup> cluster indicate there are four competing structures, so a mixture of isomers co-exist at a specific temperature, so we expect that around a temperature of 350 K, four structures could be observed. The observed properties in a molecule are statistical averages over the ensemble of isomers. The molecular properties at cold temperatures are due to the lowest energy structure  $Be_6B_{11}^-$  at CCSD(T) level of theory and zero temperature whereas at hot temperatures, the molecular properties are due to the coaxial Triple-Layered structure with C<sub>1</sub> symmetry. At room temperature the molecular properties are due to a mixture of spectra of the three systems that coexist at 350 K. Regarding Be<sub>4</sub>B<sub>8</sub> cluster, all molecular properties at cold and room temperatures are dominated by pair of enantiomers putative global minima. The computed Boltzmann populations at PBE0-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory is similar at the computed Boltzmann populations at CCSDT/def2-TZVP level of theory, so at the DFT level, the Boltzmann populations, hence the molecular properties are well calculated. As future work, the inclusion of anharmonic effects should be taken into account.

#### Acknowledgements

C.E.B.-G. thanks Conacyt for a scholarship (860052). E.P.-S. thanks Conacyt for a scholarship (1008864). We are grateful to Dr. Carmen Heras, and L.C.C. Daniel Mendoza for granting us access to their clusters and computational support. Computational resources for this work were provided through the High-Performance Computing Area of the University of Sonora. We are also grateful to the computational chemistry laboratory for providing computational resources, ELBAKYAN, and PAKAL supercomputers.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# IntechOpen

#### **Author details**

Carlos Emilano Buelna-Garcia<sup>1</sup>, Cesar Castillo-Quevedo<sup>2</sup>, Edgar Paredes-Sotelo<sup>1</sup>, Gerardo Martinez-Guajardo<sup>3</sup> and Jose Luis Cabellos<sup>4\*</sup>

1 Departamento de Investigación en Polímeros y Materiales, Edificio 3G, Universidad de Sonora, Hermosillo, Sonora, Mexico

2 Departamento de Fundamentos del Conocimiento, Centro Universitario del Norte, Universidad de Guadalajara, Colotlán, Jalisco, Mexico

3 Unidad Académica de Ciencias Químicas, Área de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, Zacatecas, Zacatecas, Mexico

4 Departamento de Investigación en Fisica, Edifcio 3M, Universidad de Sonora, Hermosillo, Sonora, Mexico

\*Address all correspondence to: jose.cabellos@unison.mx

#### IntechOpen

© 2021 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### References

[1] Dongliang, K.; Weiguo, S.; Hongxiao,
S.; Cheng, L.; Xiaoyu. Probing the structure and electronic properties of beryllium doped boron clusters: A planar BeB<sub>16</sub> cluster motif for metalloborophene. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 14367– 14387. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-50905-7.

[2] Mannix, A.J.; Zhang, Z.; Guisinger, N.P.; Yakobson, B.I.; Hersam, M.C.
Borophene as a prototype for synthetic
2D materials development. Nature
Nanotechnology 2018, 13, 444–450. doi: 10.1038/s41565-018-0157-4.

[3] Kabay, N.; Bryjak, M.; Hilal, N. Boron Separation Processes; Elsevier Science, 2015.

[4] DeFrancesco, H.; Dudley, J.; Coca, A., Boron Chemistry: An Overview. In Boron Reagents in Synthesis; ACS Publications, 2016; chapter 1, pp. 1–25, doi:10.1021/bk-2016-1236.ch001.

[5] Jalife, S.; Liu, L.; Pan, S.; Cabellos, J. L.; Osorio, E.; Lu, C.; Heine, T.; Donald, K.J.; Merino, G. Dynamical behavior of boron clusters. Nanoscale 2016, 8, 17639– 17644, doi:10.1039/C6NR06383G.

[6] Zhai, H.J.; Zhao, Y.F.; Li, W.L.;
Chen, Q.; Bai, H.; Hu, H.S.; Piazza, Z.A.;
Tian, W.J.; Lu, H.G.; Wu, Y.B.; Mu, Y.
W.; Wei, G.F.; Liu, Z.P.; Li, J.; Li, S.D.;
Wang, L.S. Observation of an all-boron fullerene. Nature Chemistry 2014, pp. 727–731. doi:10.1038/nchem.1999.

[7] Sun, W.; Kang, D.; Chen, B.; Kuang, X.; Ding, K.; Lu, C. Tuning of Structure Evolution and Electronic Properties through Palladium-Doped Boron Clusters: PdB<sub>16</sub> as a Motif for Boron-Based Nanotubes. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2020, 1, 123–134, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.0c05197.

[8] Jian, T.; Chen, X.; Li, S.D.; Boldyrev, A.I.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. Probing the structures and bonding of size-selected boron and doped-boron clusters. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 3550–3591, doi: 10.1039/C9CS00233B.

[9] Chen, T.T.; Li, W.L.; Chen, W.J.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. La<sub>3</sub>  $B_{1-4}$ : an inverse triple-decker lanthanide boron cluster. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 7864–7867. doi:10.1039/C9CC03807H.

[10] Martínez-Guajardo, G.; Cabellos, J. L.; Díaz-Celaya, A.; Pan, S.; Islas, R.; Chattaraj, P.K.; Heine, T.; Merino, G. Dynamical behavior of Borospherene: A Nanobubble. Sci. Report 2015, 22, 11287–11297. doi:https://www.nature.c om/articles/srep11287.

[11] Li, P.; Du, X.; Wang, J.J.; Lu, C.; Chen, H. Probing the Structural Evolution and Stabilities of Medium-Sized MoBn0/– Clusters. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2018, 122, 20000– 20005, doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b05759.

[12] Grande-Aztatzi, R.; Martínez-Alanis, P.R.; Cabellos, J.L.; Osorio, E.; Martínez, A.; Merino, G. Structural evolution of small gold clusters doped by one and two boron atoms. Journal of Computational Chemistry 2014, 35, 2288–2296, doi:10.1002/jcc.23748.

[13] Dong, X.; Jalife, S.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Ravell, E.; Pan, S.; Cabellos,
J.L.; Liang, W.y.; Cui, Z.h.; Merino, G.
Li<sub>2</sub> B<sub>12</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub> B<sub>12</sub>: Prediction of the
Smallest Tubular and Cage-like Boron
Structures. Angewandte Chemie
International Edition 2018, 57, 4627–
4631, doi:10.1002/anie.201800976.

[14] Brothers, P.J. Boron complexes of porphyrins and related polypyrrole ligands: unexpected chemistry for both boron and the porphyrin. Chem. Commun. 2008, pp. 2090–2102. doi: 10.1039/B714894A.

[15] Axtell, J.C.; Saleh, L.M.A.; Qian, E. A.; Wixtrom, A.I.; Spokoyny, A.M.

Synthesis and Applications of Perfunctionalized Boron Clusters. Inorganic Chemistry 2018, 57, 2333– 2350, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem. 7b02912.

[16] Piazza, Z.A.; Hu, H.S.; Li, W.L.; Zhao, Y.F.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. From planar boron clusters to borophenes and metalloborophenes. Nature Reviews Chemistry 2017, 1, 0071, doi:10.1038/ s41570-017-0071.

[17] Kondo, T. Recent progress in boron nanomaterials. Science and technology of advanced materials 2017, 18, 780– 804. doi:10.1080/14686996.2017.
1379856.

[18] Kiran, B.; Bulusu, S.; Zhai, H.J.; Yoo, S.; Zeng, X.C.; Wang, L.S. Planar-totubular structural transition in boron clusters: B20 as the embryo of singlewalled boron nanotubes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2005, 102, 961–964, doi:10.1073/ pnas.0408132102.

[19] Wang, Y.J.; Zhao, Y.F.; Li, W.L.;
Jian, T.; Chen, Q.; You, X.R.; Ou, T.;
Zhao, X.Y.; Zhai, H.J.; Li, S.D.; Li, J.;
Wang, L.S. Observation and
characterization of the smallest
borospherene, B<sub>28</sub> and B<sub>28</sub>. The Journal
of Chemical Physics 2016, 144, 064307,
doi:10.1063/1.4941380.

[20] Lv, J.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Lin, H.; Zhao, J.; Ma, Y. Stabilization of fullerene-like boron cages by transition metal encapsulation. Nanoscale 2015, 7, 10482–10489. doi:10.1039/ C5NR01659B.

[21] Piazza, Z.A.; Hu, H.S.; Li, W.L.; Zhao, Y.F.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. Planar hexagonal B36 as a potential basis for extended single-atom layer boron sheets. Nature Communications 2014, 1, 3113, doi:10.1038/ncomms4113.

[22] Li, W.L.; Zhao, Y.F.; Hu, H.S.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. [B<sub>30</sub>]<sup>-</sup>: A Quasiplanar Chiral Boron Cluster. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2014, 53, 5540– 5545, doi:10.1002/anie.201402488.

[23] An, W.; Bulusu, S.; Gao, Y.; Zeng, X.C. Relative stability of planar versus double-ring tubular isomers of neutral and anionic boron cluster B20 and B20-. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2006, 124, 154310, doi:10.1063/1.2187003.

[24] Dong, X.; Jalife, S.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Barroso, J.; Orozco-Ic, M.; Ravell, E.; Cabellos, J.L.; Liang, W.y.; Cui, Z.h.; Merino, G. Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>24</sub>: the simplest combination for a three-ring boron tube. Nanoscale 2019, 11, 2143–2147. doi:10.1039/C8NR09173K.

[25] Feng, L.Y.; Guo, J.C.; Li, P.F.; Zhai, H.J. Boron-Based Chiral Helix  $Be_6B_{10}$ and  $Be_6B_{11}$  Clusters: Structures, Chemical Bonding, and Formation Mechanism. Chemistry - An Asian Journal 2020, 15, 1094–1104, doi: 10.1002/asia.201901640.

[26] Chen, Q.; Li, W.L.; Zhao, Y.F.; Zhang, S.Y.; Hu, H.S.; Bai, H.; Li, H.R.; Tian, W.J.; Lu, H.G.; Zhai, H.J.; Li, S.D.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. Experimental and Theoretical Evidence of an Axially Chiral Borospherene. ACS Nano 2015, 9, 754–760, doi:10.1021/nn506262c.

[27] Chen, Q.; Chen, T.T.; Li, H.R.; Zhao,
X.Y.; Chen, W.J.; Zhai, H.J.; Li, S.D.;
Wang, L.S. B<sub>31</sub> and B<sub>32</sub>: chiralquasi-planar boron clusters. Nanoscale 2019, 11, 9698–9704. doi:10.1039/C9NR01524H.

[28] Tai, T.B.; Nguyen, M.T. A new chiral boron cluster B<sub>44</sub> containing nonagonal holes. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 1653–1656. doi:10.1039/C5CC09111J.

[29] Guo, J.C.; Feng, L.Y.; Wang, Y.J.; Jalife, S.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Cabellos, J.L.; Pan, S.; Merino, G.; Zhai, H.J. Coaxial Triple-Layered versus Helical  $Be_6B_{11}^{-}$  Clusters: Dual Structural Fluxionality and Multifold Aromaticity. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2017, 56, 10174–10177, doi: 10.1002/anie.201703979.

[30] Wang, Y.J.; Feng, L.Y.; Zhai, H.J.
Starting a subnanoscale tank tread: dynamic fluxionality of boron-based
B<sub>10</sub>Ca alloy cluster. Nanoscale Adv.
2019, 1, 735–745. doi:10.1039/
C8NA00256H.

[31] Gu, F.L.; Yang, X.; Tang, A.C.; Jiao, H.; von R. Schleyer, P. Structure and stability of B+13 clusters. Journal of Computational Chemistry 1998, 19, 203–214, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ (SICI)1096-987X(19980130)19:2 < 203::AID-JCC13>3.0.CO;2-I.

[32] Yu, X.; Xu, C.; Cheng, L. Theoretical investigation on anti-sandwich berylliumboron clusters  $Be_{2m}B_n$  (m=1-3): Fluxionality and multi-aromaticity. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry 2020, 1188, 112949. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2020.112949.

[33] Oña, O.B.; Torres-Vega, J.J.; Torre, A.; Lain, L.; Alcoba, D.R.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Tiznado, W. Chemical bonding analysis in boron clusters by means of localized orbitals according to the electron localization function topology. Theoretical Chemistry Accounts 2015, 134, 28–37, doi:10.1007/ s00214-015-1627-5.

[34] Alexandrova, A.N.; Boldyrev, A.I.; Zhai, H.J.; Wang, L.S. All-boron aromatic clusters as potential new inorganic ligands and building blocks in chemistry. Coordination Chemistry Reviews 2006, 250, 2811 – 2866. 18th Main Group Chemistry, doi:https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ccr.2006.03.032.

[35] Zubarev, D.Y.; Boldyrev, A.I. Comprehensive analysis of chemical bonding in boron clusters. Journal of Computational Chemistry 2007, 28, 251–268, doi:10.1002/jcc.20518.

[36] Poater, J.; Duran, M.; Solà, M.; Silvi, B. Theoretical Evaluation of Electron Delocalization in Aromatic Molecules by Means of Atoms in Molecules (AIM) and Electron Localization Function (ELF) Topological. Chemical Reviews 2005, 105, 3911–3947, doi:10.1021/cr030085x.

[37] Mandado, M.; González-Moa, M.J.; Mosquera, R.A. QTAIM n-center delocalization indices as descriptors of aromaticity in mono and poly heterocycles. Journal of Computational Chemistry 2007, 28, 127–136, doi: 10.1002/jcc.20468.

[38] Zhai, H.; Alexandrova, A.N. Fluxionality of Catalytic Clusters: When It Matters and How to Address It. ACS Catalysis 2017, 7, 1905–1911, doi: 10.1021/acscatal.6b03243.

[39] Buelna-Garcia, C.E.; Cabellos, J.L.;
Quiroz-Castillo, J.M.; MartinezGuajardo, G.; Castillo-Quevedo, C.; de
Leon-Flores, A.; Anzueto-Sanchez, G.;
Martin-del Campo-Solis, M.F.
Exploration of Free Energy Surface and
Thermal Effects on Relative Population
and Infrared Spectrum of the
Be<sub>6</sub>B<sub>11</sub>Fluxional Cluster. Materials 2021,
14. doi:10.3390/ma14010112.

[40] Van Duong, L.; Tho Nguyen, M. Silicon doped boron clusters: how to make stable ribbons? Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 14913–14918. doi: 10.1039/C7CP01740E.

[41] Romanescu, C.; Galeev, T.R.; Li, W. L.; Boldyrev, A.I.; Wang, L.S. Transition-Metal-Centered Monocyclic Boron Wheel Clusters (M c Bn): A New Class of Aromatic Borometallic Compounds. Accounts of Chemical Research 2013, 46, 350–358, doi: 10.1021/ar300149a.

[42] Chen, T.T.; Li, W.L.; Bai, H.; Chen, W.J.; Dong, X.R.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. ReB8 and ReB9– : New Members of the Transition-Metal-Centered Borometallic Molecular Wheel Family. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2019, 123, 5317– 5324, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.9b03942.

[43] Popov, I.A.; Jian, T.; Lopez, G.V.; Boldyrev, A.I.; Wang, L.S. Cobaltcentred boron molecular drums with the highest coordination number in the CoB<sub>16</sub> cluster. Nature Communications 2015, 6, 8654. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9654.

[44] Cui, Z.h.; Yang, W.s.; Zhao, L.; Ding, Y.h.; Frenking, G. Unusually Short Be-Be Distances with and without a Bond in Be<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and in the Molecular Discuses Be<sub>2</sub>B<sub>8</sub> and Be<sub>2</sub>B<sub>7</sub>. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2016, 55, 7841–7846, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ anie.201601890.

[45] Hermann, A.; Ashcroft, N.W.;
Hoffmann, R. Binary Compounds of Boron and Beryllium: A Rich Structural Arena with Space for Predictions.
Chemistry – A European Journal 2013, 19, 4184–4197, doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1002/chem.201203890.

[46] Feng, L.Y.; Guo, J.C.; Li, P.F.; Zhai,
H.J. Boron-based binary Be<sub>6</sub>B<sub>210</sub>–
cluster: three-layered aromatic
sandwich, electronic transmutation, and
dynamic structural fluxionality. Phys.
Chem. Chem. Phys. 2018, 20, 22719–
22729. doi:10.1039/C8CP04332A.

[47] Han, L.H.; Wang, Y.J.; Zhai, H.J. Boron-based Be<sub>2</sub>B<sub>5</sub> +0- alloy clusters: inverse sandwiches with pentagonal boron ring and reduction-induced structural transformation to molecular wheel structure. New J. Chem. 2021, 45, 4675–4682. doi:10.1039/D0NJ05961G.

[48] Gribanova, T.N.; Minyaev, R.M.; Minkin, V.I. Stabilization of non-typical forms of boron clusters by beryllium doping. Chemical Physics 2019, 522, 44– 54. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemphys.2019.02.008.

[49] Gribanova, T.N.; Minyaev, R.M.; Minkin, V.I.; Boldyrev, A.I. Novel architectures of boron. Structural Chemistry 2020, 31, 2105–2128. doi: 10.1007/s11224-020-01606-9. [50] Wang, Y.J.; Feng, L.Y.; Guo, J.C.; Zhai, H.J. Dynamic Mg2 B8 Cluster: A Nanoscale Compass. Chemistry An Asian Journal 2017, 12, 2899–2903, doi: 10.1002/asia.201701310.

[51] Ayuso, D.; Neufeld, O.; Ordonez, A.
F.; Decleva, P.; Lerner, G.; Cohen, O.; Ivanov, M.; Smirnova, O. Synthetic chiral light for efficient control of chiral light-matter interaction. Nature Photonics 2019, 13, 866–871. doi: 10.1038/s41566-019-0531-2.

[52] Ayuso, D.; Ordonez, A.; Decleva, P.; Ivanov, M.; Smirnova, O. Polarization of chirality, 2020, [arXiv:physics.optics/ 2004.05191].

[53] Guo, P.; Yang, B.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, L. Temperature dependent chiroptical response of sigmoidalgold clusters: probing the stability of chiral metal clusters. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 5614–5622. doi:10.1039/C8SC00344K.

[54] Barroso, J.; Cabellos, J.L.; Pan, S.; Murillo, F.; Zarate, X.; Fernandez-Herrera, M.A.; Merino, G. Revisiting racemization mechanism of helicenes. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 188–191. doi: 10.1039/C7CC08191J.

[55] Ebeling, D.; Šekutor, M.;
Stiefermann, M.; Tschakert, J.; Dah, J.E.
P.; Carlson, R.M.K.; Schirmeisen, A.;
Schreiner, P.R. Assigning the absolute configuration of single aliphatic molecules by visual inspection. Nature Communications 2018, 9, 2420. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04843-z.

[56] Tai, T.B.; Ceulemans, A.; Nguyen,
M.T. Disk Aromaticity of the Planar and
Fluxional Anionic Boron Clusters B<sub>20</sub>-/
2-. Chemistry - A European
Journal 2012, 18, 4510–4512, doi:
10.1002/chem.201104064.

[57] Fagiani, M.R.; Song, X.; Petkov, P.;Debnath, S.; Gewinner, S.; Schöllkopf,W.; Heine, T.; Fielicke, A.; Asmis, K.R.Structure and Fluxionality of B13+

Probed by Infrared Photodissociation Spectroscopy. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2017, 56, 501–504, doi:10.1002/anie.201609766.

[58] Yang, Y.; Jia, D.; Wang, Y.J.; Zhai,
H.J.; Man, Y.; Li, S.D. A universal mechanism of the planar boron rotors,
B<sub>13+</sub>, B<sub>15+</sub>, and B<sub>19-</sub>: inner wheels rotating in pseudo-rotating outer bearings. Nanoscale 2017, 9, 1443–1448. doi:10.1039/C6NR09074E.

[59] Wang, Y.J.; Zhao, X.Y.; Chen, Q.; Zhai, H.J.; Li, S.D. B11-: a moving subnanoscale tank tread. Nanoscale 2015, 7, 16054–16060. doi: 10.1039/C5NR03732H.

[60] Wang, Y.J.; Guo, J.C.; Zhai, H.J. Why nanoscale tank treads move? Structures, chemical bonding, and molecular dynamics of a doped boron cluster B10C. Nanoscale 2017, 9, 9310– 9316. doi:10.1039/C7NR03193A.

[61] Seitsonen, A.P.; Laasonen, K.; Nieminen, R.M.; Klein, M.L. Structure of CAl12. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1995, 103, 8075–8080, doi: 10.1063/1.470172.

[62] Chandrachud, P.; Joshi, K.; Kanhere, D.G. Thermodynamics of carbon-doped Al and Ga clusters: Ab initio molecular dynamics simulations. Phys. Rev. B 2007, 76, 235423. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.76.235423.

[63] Doye, J.P.K.; Calvo, F. Entropic effects on the structure of Lennard-Jones clusters. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2002, 116, 8307–8317, doi: 10.1063/1.1469616.

[64] Li, Z.H.; Jasper, A.W.; Truhlar, D.G.
Structures, Rugged Energetic
Landscapes, and Nanothermodynamics of Aln (2, <n< 65) Particles. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2007, 129, 14899–14910, doi:10.1021/ja073129i.</li>

[65] Darby, S.; Mortimer-Jones, T.V.; Johnston, R.L.; Roberts, C. Theoretical study of Cu–Au nanoalloy clusters using a genetic algorithm. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2002, 116, 1536–1550, doi:10.1063/1.1429658.

[66] P. K. Doye, J.; J. Wales, D. Global minima for transition metal clusters described by Sutton-Chen potentials. New J. Chem. 1998, 22, 733–744. doi: 10.1039/A709249K.

[67] Ohno, K.; Maeda, S. Global Reaction Route Mapping on Potential Energy Surfaces of Formaldehyde, Formic, and Their Metal-Substituted Analogues. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2006, 110, 8933–8941, doi:10.1021/ jp0611491.

[68] Baletto, F.; Ferrando, R. Structural properties of nanoclusters: Energetic, thermodynamic, and kinetic effects. Rev. Mod. Phys. 2005, 77, 371–423. doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.77.371.

[69] Li, Z.H.; Truhlar, D.G. Nanothermodynamics of metal nanoparticles. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 2605– 2624. doi:10.1039/C4SC00052H.

[70] Li, Z.H.; Jasper, A.W.; Truhlar, D.G. Structures, Rugged Energetic Landscapes, and Nanothermodynamics of  $Al_n$  (2<n<) Particles. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2007, 129, 14899–14910, doi:10.1021/ja073129i.

[71] Grigoryan, V.G.; Springborg, M. Temperature and isomeric effects in nanoclusters. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2019, 21, 5646–5654. doi:10.1039/ C9CP00123A.

[72] Sutton, C.; Levchenko, S.V. First-Principles Atomistic Thermodynamics and Configurational Entropy. Frontiers in Chemistry 2020, 8, 757. doi:10.3389/ fchem.2020.00757.

[73] Mermin, N.D. Thermal Properties of the Inhomogeneous Electron Gas. Phys. Rev. 1965, 137, A1441–A1443. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.137.A1441.

[74] Pittalis, S.; Proetto, C.R.; Floris, A.;
Sanna, A.; Bersier, C.; Burke, K.; Gross,
E.K.U. Exact Conditions in FiniteTemperature Density-Functional
Theory. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2011, 107,
163001. doi:10.1103/
PhysRevLett.107.163001.

[75] Gonis, A.; Däne, M. Extension of the Kohn-Sham formulation of density functional theory to finite temperature. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 2018, 116, 86–99. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2017.12.021.

[76] Eschrig, H. T> 0 ensemble-state density functional theory via Legendre transform. Phys. Rev. B 2010, 82, 205120. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevB.82.205120.

[77] Strøm, B.A.; Simon, J.M.; Schnell, S. K.; Kjelstrup, S.; He, J.; Bedeaux, D. Size and shape effects on the thermodynamic properties of nanoscale volumes of water. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 9016–9027. doi:10.1039/ C7CP00874K.

[78] Hill, T.L. Extension of Nanothermodynamics to Include a One-Dimensional Surface Excess. Nano Letters 2001, 1, 159–160, [https://doi. org/10.1021/nl010009e]. doi:10.1021/ nl010009e.

[79] Gibbs, J. Thermodynamics; Scientific Papers, Dover Publications, 1961.

[80] Hill, T.L. Thermodynamics of Small Systems. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1962, 36, 3182–3197, doi: 10.1063/1.1732447.

[81] Calvo, F. Thermodynamics of nanoalloys. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.
2015, 17, 27922–27939. doi:10.1039/ C5CP00274E.

[82] Bixon, M.; Jortner, J. Energetic and thermodynamic size effects in molecular clusters. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1989, 91, 1631–1642, doi:10.1063/1.457123.

[83] Kristensen, W.D.; Jensen, E.J.; Cotterill, R.M.J. Thermodynamics of small clusters of atoms: A molecular dynamics simulation. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1974, 60, 4161–4169, doi:10.1063/1.1680883.

[84] Wales, D.J. Structure, Dynamics, and Thermodynamics of Clusters: Tales from Topographic Potential Surfaces. Science 1996, 271, 925–929, doi:10.1126/ science.271.5251.925.

[85] Jena, P.; Khanna, S.; Rao, B. Physics and Chemistry of Finite Systems: From Clusters to Crystals; Number v.2 in NATO ASI Series : advanced science institutes series: Series C, Mathematical and physical sciences, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992.

[86] Fox, H.; Horsfield, A.P.; Gillan, M.J. Density functional calculations of surface free energies. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2006, 124, 134709, doi:10.1063/1.2184313.

[87] Ghiringhelli, L.M.; Gruene, P.;
Lyon, J.T.; Rayner, D.M.; Meijer, G.;
Fielicke, A.; Scheffler, M. Not so loosely bound rare gas atoms: finite-temperature vibrational fingerprints of neutral gold-cluster complexes. New Journal of Physics 2013, 15, 083003. doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/15/8/083003.

[88] Schebarchov, D.; Baletto, F.; Wales, D.J. Structure, thermodynamics, and rearrangement mechanisms in gold clusters—insights from the energy landscapes framework. Nanoscale 2018, 10, 2004–2016. doi:10.1039/ C7NR07123J.

[89] Goldsmith, B.R.; Florian, J.; Liu, J.X.; Gruene, P.; Lyon, J.T.; Rayner, D.M.;Fielicke, A.; Scheffler, M.; Ghiringhelli,L.M. Two-to-three dimensionaltransition in neutral gold clusters: Thecrucial role of van der Waals

interactions and temperature. Phys. Rev. Materials 2019, 3, 016002. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.016002.

[90] Beret, E.C.; Ghiringhelli, L.M.; Scheffler, M. Free gold clusters: beyond the static, monostructure description. Faraday Discuss. 2011, 152, 153–167. doi: 10.1039/C1FD00027F.

[91] Lv, Z.L.; Xu, K.; Cheng, Y.; Chen, X. R.; Cai, L.C. Ab initio investigation of the lower energy candidate structures for  $(H_2O)_{5^+}$  water cluster. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2014, 141, 054309, doi:10.1063/1.4891721.

[92] Malloum, A.; Fifen, J.J.; Dhaouadi, Z.; Engo, S.G.N.; Jaidane, N.E. Structures and relative stabilities of ammonia clusters at different temperatures: DFT vs. ab initio. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 29226– 29242. doi:10.1039/C5CP03374H.

[93] Malloum, A.; Fifen, J.J.; Dhaouadi, Z.; Nana Engo, S.G.; Jaidane, N.E. Structures and spectroscopy of medium size protonated ammonia clusters at different temperatures,  $H^+(NH_3)_{10-16}$ . The Journal of Chemical Physics 2017, 146, 044305, doi:10.1063/1.4974179.

[94] Malloum, A.; Fifen, J.J.; Conradie, J. Structures and infrared spectroscopy of large sized protonated ammonia clusters. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2018, 149, 244301, doi:10.1063/1.5053172.

[95] Fifen, J.J.; Agmon, N. Structure and Spectroscopy of Hydrated Sodium Ions at Different Temperatures the Cluster Stability Rules. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 2016, 12, 1656–1673, doi:10.1021/acs. jctc.6b00038.

[96] Franco-Perez, M.; Gazquez, J.L.; Vela, A. Electronic chemical response indexes at finite temperature in the canonical ensemble. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2015, 143, 024112, doi: 10.1063/1.4923260. [97] Gazquez, J.L.; Franco-Perez, M.; Ayers, P.W.; Vela, A. Temperaturedependent approach to chemical reactivity concepts in density functional theory. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 2019, 119, e25797, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.25797.

[98] Castillo-Quevedo, C.; Buelna-Garcia, C.E.; Paredes-Sotelo, E.; Robles-Chaparro, E.; Martinez-Guajardo, G.; Quiroz-Castillo, J.M.; de Leon-Flores, A.; Gaxiola, T.; Castillo, S.J.; Vasquez-Espinal, A.; Cabellos, J.L. Relative abundances and enantiomerization energy of the chiral Cu13 cluster at finite temperature, 2021, [arXiv:condmat.mtrl-sci/2109.03981].

[99] Castillo-Quevedo, C.; Buelna-Garcia, C.E.; Paredes-Sotelo, E.; Robles-Chaparro, E.; Zamora-Gonzalez, E.; Martin-del Campo-Solis, M.F.; Quiroz-Castillo, J.M.; del Castillo-Castro, T.; Martínez-Guajardo, G.; de Leon-Flores, A.; Cortez-Valadez, M.; Ortiz-Chi, F.; Gaxiola, T.; Castillo, S.J.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Pan, S.; Cabellos, J.L. Effects of Temperature on Enantiomerization Energy and Distribution of Isomers in the Chiral Cu13 Cluster. Molecules 2021, 26. doi:10.3390/molecules26185710.

[100] Dzib, E.; Cabellos, J.L.; Ortíz-Chi, F.; Pan, S.; Galano, A.; Merino, G. Eyringpy: A program for computing rate constants in the gas phase and in solution. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 2019, 119, e25686, doi:10.1002/qua.25686.

[101] Vargas-Caamal, A.; Cabellos, J.L.; Ortiz-Chi, F.; Rzepa, H.S.; Restrepo, A.; Merino, G. How Many Water Molecules Does it Take to Dissociate HCl? Chemistry – A European Journal 2016, 22, 2812–2818, doi:10.1002/ chem.201504016.

[102] Shkrebtii, A.; Heron, J.; Cabellos,J.; Witkowski, N.; Pluchery, O.;Mendoza, B.; Borensztein, Y.Temperature Dependent Optical

Response of Si (100): Theory vs. Experiment. MRS Proceedings 2011, 1370, mrss11–1370–yy05–10. doi: 10.1557/opl.2011.1039.

[103] Mendoza-Wilson, A.M.; Balandrán-Quintana, R.R.; Cabellos, J.L. Thermochemical behavior of sorghum procyanidin trimers with  $C_4$ - $C_8$  and  $C_4$ - $C_6$  interflavan bonds in the reaction with superoxide anion radical and  $H_2O_2$ forming NADH-oxidase flavoenzyme. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry 2020, 1186, 112912. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2020.112912.

[104] Buelna-Garcia, C.E.; Robles-Chaparro, E.; Parra-Arellano, T.; Quiroz-Castillo, J.M.; del Castillo-Castro, T.; Martínez-Guajardo, G.; Castillo-Quevedo, C.; de León-Flores, A.; Anzueto-Sánchez, G.; Martin-del Campo-Solis, M.F.; Mendoza-Wilson, A.M.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Cabellos, J.L. Theoretical Prediction of Structures, Vibrational Circular Dichroism, and Infrared Spectra of Chiral  $Be_4B_8$  Cluster at Different Temperatures. Molecules 2021, 26. doi: 10.3390/molecules26133953.

[105] Ji, M.; Gu, X.; Li, X.; Gong, X.; Li,
J.; Wang, L.S. Experimental and
Theoretical Investigation of the
Electronic and Geometrical Structures
of the Au<sub>32</sub> Cluster. Angewandte
Chemie International Edition 2005, 44,
7119–7123, doi:10.1002/anie.200502795.

[106] Wille, L.T.; Vennik, J. Computational complexity of the ground-state determination of atomic clusters. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 1985, 18, L419–L422. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/18/ 8/003.

[107] Xu, S.G.; Zhao, Y.J.; Liao, J.H.; Yang, X.B. Understanding the stable boron clusters: A bond model and firstprinciples calculations based on highthroughput screening. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2015, 142, 214307, doi: 10.1063/1.4922059. [108] Rossi, G.; Ferrando, R. Searching for low-energy structures of nanoparticles: a comparison of different methods and algorithms. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 2009, 21, 084208. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/21/8/ 084208.

[109] Cheng, L.; Feng, Y.; Yang, J.; Yang, J. Funnel hopping: Searching the cluster potential energy surface over the funnels. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2009, 130, 214112, doi:10.1063/1.3152121.

[110] Kirkpatrick, S.; Gelatt, C.D.; Vecchi, M.P. Optimization by Simulated Annealing. Science 1983, 220, 671–680. doi:10.1126/science.220.4598.671.

[111] Metropolis, N.; Rosenbluth, A.W.; Rosenbluth, M.N.; Teller, A.H.; Teller,
E. Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines. J. Chem. Phys.
1953, 21, 1087–1092. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1063/1.1699114.

[112] Xiang, Y.; Gong, X.G. Efficiency of generalized simulated annealing. Phys. Rev. E 2000, 62, 4473–4476. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.62.4473.

[113] Xiang, Y.; Gubian, S.; Suomela, B.; Hoeng, J. Generalized Simulated Annealing for Global Optimization: the GenSA Package for R. The R Journal 2013, 5, 13–29.

[114] Vlachos, D.; Schmidt, L.; Aris, R. Comparison of small metal clusters: Ni, Pd, Pt, Cu, Ag, Au. Z. Phys. D Atom Mol. Cl. 1993, 26, 156–158. doi:10.1007/ BF01425649.

[115] Granville, V.; Krivanek, M.; Rasson, J.P. Simulated annealing: a proof of convergence. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 1994, 16, 652–656. doi:10.1109/34.295910.

[116] Saunders, M. Stochastic search for isomers on a quantum mechanical surface. Journal of Computational Chemistry 2004, 25, 621–626, doi: 10.1002/jcc.10407.

[117] Saunders, M. Stochastic exploration of molecular mechanics energy surfaces. Hunting for the global minimum. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1987, 109, 3150–3152, doi: 10.1021/ja00244a051.

[118] Hsu, P.J.; Lai, S.K. Structures of bimetallic clusters. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 124, 044711–0. doi: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.2147159.

[119] Qin, W.; Lu, W.C.; Zhao, L.Z.; Zang, Q.J.; Wang, C.Z.; Ho, K.M. Stabilities and fragmentation energies of Si<sub>n</sub> clusters (n = 2-33). J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2009, 21, 455501.

[120] Goldberg, D.E. Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning, 1st ed.; Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc.: Boston, MA, USA, 1989.

[121] Alexandrova, A.N.; Boldyrev, A.I. Search for the  $Li_n(0/+1/-1)$  (n = 5-7) Lowest-Energy Structures Using the ab Initio Gradient Embedded Genetic Algorithm (GEGA). Elucidation of the Chemical Bonding in the Lithium Clusters. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2005, 1, 566–580, doi:10.1021/ct050093g.

[122] Alexandrova, A.N.; Boldyrev, A.I.; Fu, Y.J.; Yang, X.; Wang, X.B.; Wang, L. S. Structure of the Na<sub>x</sub>Cl<sub>x</sub> (x=1,4) clusters via ab-initio genetic algorithm and photoelectron spectroscopy. J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 121, 5709–5719. doi: 10.1063/1.1783276.

[123] Alexandrova, A.N.  $H \cdot (H_2O)n$ Clusters: Microsolvation of the Hydrogen Atom via Molecular ab Initio Gradient Embedded Genetic Algorithm (GEGA). J. Phys. Chem. A 2010, 114, 12591–12599, doi:10.1021/jp1092543.

[124] Harding, D.; Mackenzie, S.R.; Walsh, T.R. Structural Isomers and Reactivity for Rh<sub>6</sub> and Rh<sub>6+</sub>. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 18272–18277, doi: 10.1021/jp0626030.

[125] Wales, D.J.; Doye, J.P.K. Global Optimization by Basin-Hopping and the Lowest Energy Structures of Lennard-Jones Clusters Containing up to 110 Atoms. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 5111–5116, doi:10.1021/jp970984n.

[126] Mondal, S.; Cabellos, J.L.; Pan, S.; Osorio, E.; Torres-Vega, J.J.; Tiznado, W.; Restrepo, A.; Merino, G. 10- $\pi$ -Electron arenes a la carte: structure and bonding of the  $[E(C_nH_n)-E]_{n-6}$  (E = Ca, Sr, Ba; n = 6-8) complexes. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2016, 18, 11909–11918. doi: 10.1039/C6CP00671J.

[127] Ravell, E.; Jalife, S.; Barroso, J.; Orozco-Ic, M.; Hernandez-Juarez, G.; Ortiz-Chi, F.; Pan, S.; Cabellos, J.L.; Merino, G. Structure and Bonding in  $CE_5$  - (E=Al-Tl) Clusters: Planar Tetracoordinate Carbon versus Pentacoordinate Carbon. Chemistry -An Asian Journal 2018, 13, 1467–1473, doi:10.1002/asia.201800261.

[128] Pan, S.; Moreno, D.; Cabellos, J.L.;
Romero, J.; Reyes, A.; Merino, G.;
Chattaraj, P.K. In Quest of Strong Be-Ng
Bonds among the Neutral Ng-Be
Complexes. The Journal of Physical
Chemistry A 2014, 118, 487–494, doi:
10.1021/jp409941v.

[129] Cui, Z.h.; Ding, Y.h.; Cabellos, J.L.;
Osorio, E.; Islas, R.; Restrepo, A.;
Merino, G. Planar tetracoordinate carbons with a double bond in CAl3E clusters. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 8769–8775. doi:10.1039/ C4CP05707D.

[130] Vargas-Caamal, A.; Pan, S.; Ortiz-Chi, F.; Cabellos, J.L.; Boto, R.A.; Contreras-Garcia, J.; Restrepo, A.; Chattaraj, P.K.; Merino, G. How strong are the metallocene metallocene interactions? Cases of ferrocene, ruthenocene, and osmocene. Phys.

Chem. Chem. Phys. 2016, 18, 550–556. doi:10.1039/C5CP05956A.

[131] Cui, Z.h.; Vassilev-Galindo, V.; Luis Cabellos, J.; Osorio, E.; Orozco, M.; Pan, S.; Ding, Y.h.; Merino, G. Planar pentacoordinate carbon atoms embedded in a metallocene framework. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 138–141. doi: 10.1039/C6CC08273D.

[132] Vargas-Caamal, A.; Ortiz-Chi, F.; Moreno, D.; Restrepo, A.; Merino, G.; Cabellos, J.L. The rich and complex potential energy surface of the ethanol dimer. Theoretical Chemistry Accounts 2015, 134, 16, doi:10.1007/ s00214-015-1615-9.

[133] Flórez, E.; Acelas, N.; Ibargüen, C.; Mondal, S.; Cabellos, J.L.; Merino, G.; Restrepo, A. Microsolvation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>: structural exploration and bonding analysis. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 71913–71923. doi:10.1039/C6RA15059D.

[134] Joshi, A.; Kulkarni, O.; Kakandikar, G.; Nandedkar, V. Cuckoo Search
Optimization- A Review. Materials
Today: Proceedings 2017, 4, 7262–7269.
International Conference on
Advancements in Aeromechanical
Materials for Manufacturing
(ICAAMM-2016): Organized by MLR
Institute of Technology, Hyderabad,
Telangana, India, doi:https://doi.org/
10.1016/j.matpr.2017.07.055.

[135] Bharti, A.; Prerna.; Banerjee, T. Applicability of Cuckoo Search Algorithm for the Prediction of Multicomponent Liquid–Liquid Equilibria for Imidazolium and Phosphonium Based Ionic Liquids. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 2015, 54, 12393–12407, doi: 10.1021/acs.iecr.5b03449.

[136] Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel,
H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.;
Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.;
Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.A.; Nakatsuji,
H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H.P.;

Izmaylov, A.F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J.L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A.; Peralta, J.E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J.J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K.N.; Staroverov, V.N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J.C.; Iyengar, S.S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J.M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J.E.; Cross, J.B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R.E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A.J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J.W.; Martin, R.L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V.G.; Voth, G.A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J.J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas.; Foresman, J.B.; Ortiz, J.V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D.J. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, 2009.

[137] Brehm, G.; Reiher, M.; Le Guennic,
B.; Leibold, M.; Schindler, S.;
Heinemann, F.W.; Schneider, S.
Investigation of the low-spin to highspin transition in a novel [Fe(pmea) (NCS)<sub>2</sub>] complex by IR and Raman spectroscopy and DFT calculations.
Journal of Raman Spectroscopy, 2006, 37, 108–122, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.1437.

[138] McQuarrie, D.; A, M. Statistical Mechanics; Chemistry Series, Harper & Row, 1975.

[139] Hill, T. An Introduction to Statistical Thermodynamics; Addison-Wesley series in chemistry, Dover Publications, 1986.

[140] Teague, S.J. Implications of protein flexibility for drug discovery. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 2003, 2, 527– 541. doi:10.1038/nrd1129.

[141] Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward reliable density functional methods without adjustable parameters: The PBE0 model. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1999, 110, 6158–6170, doi: 10.1063/1.478522. [142] Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297–3305. doi: 10.1039/B508541A.

[143] Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2010, 132, 154104, doi:10.1063/1.3382344.

[144] Pan, L.L.; Li, J.; Wang, L.S. Lowlying isomers of the  $B_9^-$  boron cluster: The planar molecular wheel versus three-dimensional structures. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2008, 129, 024302, doi:10.1063/1.2948405.

[145] Moezzi, A.; Olmstead, M.M.; Power, P.P. Boron-boron double bonding in the species  $[B_2R_4]_2^-$ : synthesis and structure of  $[(Et_{2O})$ Li<sub>2</sub>Mes<sub>2</sub>BB(Mes)Ph], a diborane(4) dianion analog of a substituted ethylene. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1992, 114, 2715–2717, doi: 10.1021/ja00033a054.

[146] Zhou, M.; Tsumori, N.; Li, Z.; Fan,
K.; Andrews, L.; Xu, Q. OCBBCO: A
Neutral Molecule with Some BoronBoron Triple Bond Character. Journal of
the American Chemical Society 2002,
124, 12936–12937, doi:10.1021/ja026257+.

[147] de la Puente, E.; Aguado, A.; Ayuela, A.; López, J.M. Structural and electronic properties of small neutral (MgO)n clusters. Phys. Rev. B 1997, 56, 7607– 7614. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7607.

[148] Pople, J.A.; Head-Gordon, M.; Raghavachari, K. Quadratic configuration interaction. A general technique for determining electron correlation energies. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1987, 87, 5968–5975, doi:10.1063/1.453520.



