We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



185,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Abel and Euler Summation Formulas for $SBV(\mathbb{R})$ Functions

Sergio Venturini

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to show that the natural setting for various Abel and Euler-Maclaurin summation formulas is the class of special function of bounded variation. A function of one real variable is of bounded variation if its distributional derivative is a Radom measure. Such a function decomposes uniquely as sum of three components: the first one is a convergent series of piece-wise constant function, the second one is an absolutely continuous function and the last one is the so-called singular part, that is a continuous function whose derivative vanishes almost everywhere. A function of bounded variation is special if its singular part vanishes identically. We generalize such space of special functions of such spaces admit a Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. Such a result is obtained by deriving in this setting various integration by part formulas which generalizes various classical Abel summation formulas.

Keywords: Euler summation, Abel summation, bounded variation functions, special bounded variation functions, Radon measure

1. Introduction

Abel and the Euler-Maclaurin summation formulas are standard tool in number theory (see e.g. [1, 2]).

The space of *special functions of bounded variation* (*SBV*) is a particular subclass of the classical space of bounded variation functions which is the natural setting for a wide class of problems in the calculus of variations studied by Ennio De Giorgi and his school: see e.g. [3, 4].

The purpose of this paper is to show that this class of functions (and some subclasses introduced here of function of a single real variable) is the natural settings for (an extended version of) the Euler-Maclaurin formula.

Let us describe now what we prove in this paper.

In Section 2 we obtain some "integration by parts"-like formulas for functions of bounded variations which imply the various "Abel summation" techniques (Propositions (0.6), (0.7), and the relative examples) and in Section 3 we give some criterion for the absolute summability of some series obtained by sampling the values of a bounded variations function.

The last section contains the proofs of the main result of this paper (Theorem (0.1)) that we will now describe.

We denote by $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $C^k([a, b])$), $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ respectively the space of continuously differentiable functions (resp. *k*-times differentiables functions on the

closed interval [a, b]), the space of Lebesgue (absolutely) integrable functions and the space of essentially bounded Borel functions on \mathbb{R} .

Given $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$f(x^{+}) = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} f(x+h),$$
(1)

$$f(x^{-}) = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} f(x+h),$$
(2)

$$\delta f(x) = f(x^+) - f(x^-).$$
 (3)

We denote by $BV(\mathbb{R})$ the space of bounded variation complex functions on \mathbb{R} ; we refer to [5, 6] for the main properties of functions in $BV(\mathbb{R})$.

Any real function of bounded variation can be written as a difference of two non decreasing functions. It follows that if $f \in BV(\mathbb{R})$ then $f(x^+)$, $f(x^-)$ and $\delta f(x)$ exist for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} | \delta f(x) \neq 0\}$ is an arbitrary at most countable subset of \mathbb{R} . Moreover, the derivative f'(x) exists for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f'(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $f \in BV(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by df the unique Radon measure on \mathbb{R} such that for each open interval $]a, b[\subset \mathbb{R}$

$$df(]a,b[) = f(a^{-}) - f(b^{+}).$$
(4)

We recall that *f* is *special* if for any bounded Borel function *u*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x)df(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x)f'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x)\delta f(x).$$
(5)

We denote by $SBV(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all special functions of bounded variation. We also say that $f \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $f \in SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$) if for each $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with a < b the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < a \text{ or } x > b, \\ f(x) & \text{if } a \le x \le b, \end{cases}$$
(6)

is in $BV(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $SBV(\mathbb{R})$).

We define $SBV^n(\mathbb{R})$ inductively setting

$$SBV^1(\mathbb{R}) = SBV(\mathbb{R}),$$

and for each integer n > 1

$$SBV^{n}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f \in SBV(\mathbb{R}) | f' \in SBV^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$
(8)

We denote by B_n and $B_n(x)$, n = 1, 2, ... respectively the Bernoulli numbers and the Bernoulli functions. Let us recall that

$$B_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$
(9)

where [x] stands for the greatest integer less than or equal to x and $B_n(x)$, n = 2, 3, ... are the unique continuous functions such that

Abel and Euler Summation Formulas for SBV (\mathbb{R}) Functions DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.100373

$$B_n(x+1) = B_n(x),$$
 (10)

$$B'_n(x) = nB_{n-1}(x),$$
 (11)

$$\int_{0}^{1} B_{n}(x) dx = 0.$$
 (12)

Moreover $B_{2n+1} = 0$ for n > 0 and $B_n = B_n(0)$ for n > 1.

The main results of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 0.1 Let $f \in SBV^m(\mathbb{R})$, $m \ge 1$ and suppose f, ..., $f^{(m)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{f(n^{+}) + f(n^{-})}{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} B_k(x) \delta f^{(k-1)}(x) + \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_m(x) f^{(m)}(x) dx.$$
(13)

Remark. The sum " $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ " in the right hand side of the above "Euler-Maclaurin formula" (13) is actually a sum over the subset of the $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that some of the terms $B_k(x)\delta f^{(k-1)}(x)$ do not vanish. We point out that such a set can be an arbitrary at most countable subset of \mathbb{R} .

Remark. Let p and q, p < q be two integers and let f be a function of class C^m on the interval [p,q]. Set f(x) = 0 when x is outside of the interval [p,q]. Then the classical Euler-Maclaurin formula (see, e.g. Section 9.5 of [7])

$$\sum_{k=p}^{q-1} f(k) = \int_{p}^{q} f(x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{B_{k}}{k!} \left(f^{(k-1)}(q) - f^{(k-1)}(p) \right) + \frac{(-1)^{m-1} B_{m}}{m!} \int_{p}^{q} B_{m}(x) f^{(m)}(x) dx,$$
(14)

follows easily from Theorem 0.1.

Remark. Any $f \in BV(\mathbb{R})$ decomposes uniquely as $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$, where $f_1(x)$ can be written in the form

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_n(x) \tag{15}$$

where each $\varphi_n(x)$ is a piece-wise constant function, $f_2(x)$ is an absolutely continuous function and $f_3(x)$ is a singular function, that is $f_3(x)$ is continuous and $f'_{3(x)} = 0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ is special if, and only if, $f_3 = 0$ and in this case, for each bounded Borel function u(x),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) df_1(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x) \delta f(x),$$
(16)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) df_2(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) f'(x) dx.$$
(17)

In this paper we do not need of the existence of such a decomposition.

2. Integration by parts formulas

Our starting point is the following theorem:

Theorem 0.2 Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ two complex function. Assume that $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x^+) dg(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^-) df(x) = 0, \qquad (18)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x^{-}) dg(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^{+}) df(x) = 0, \qquad (19)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x^{+}) + f(x^{-})}{2} dg(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(x^{+}) + g(x^{-})}{2} df(x) = 0.$$
(20)
Proof: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$. Theorem 7.5.9 of [5] yields

[5] y

$$\int_{]a,b[} f(x^{+})dg(x) + \int_{]a,b[} g(x^{-})df(x) = f(b^{-})g(b^{-}) - f(a^{+})g(a^{+}),$$
(21)

$$\int_{]a,b[} f(x^{-})dg(x) + \int_{]a,b[} g(x^{+})df(x) = f(b^{-})g(b^{-}) - f(a^{+})g(a^{+}).$$
(22)

Since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then necessarily

$$\lim_{b \to +\infty} f(b^{-}) = \lim_{a \to -\infty} f(a^{+}) = 0.$$
(23)

Since $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ then $g(x^+)$ and $g(x^-)$ are bounded and we also have

$$\lim_{b \to +\infty} f(b^{-})g(b^{-}) = \lim_{a \to -\infty} f(a^{+})g(a^{+}) = 0.$$
(24)

and hence one obtains the formulas (18) and (19) taking the limits as $a \rightarrow -\infty$ and $b \rightarrow +\infty$ respectively in (21) and (22).

Formula (20) is obtained summing memberwise (18) and (19) and dividing by two. \Box

Next we prove:

Theorem 0.3 Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ two complex function. Assume that $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that $g' \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^+)\delta g(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^-)df(x) = 0,$$
(25)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^{-})\delta g(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^{+})df(x) = 0,$$
(26)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x^+) + f(x^-)}{2} \delta g(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(x^+) + g(x^-)}{2} df(x) = 0.$$
(27)

where

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}}' \coloneqq \lim_{\substack{a \to -\infty \\ b \to +\infty}} \sum_{a < x < b}.$$
(28)

Moreover, if the function f also is continuous then

Abel and Euler Summation Formulas for SBV (\mathbb{R}) Functions DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.100373

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)df(x) = 0,$$
(29)

Proof: Given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, a < b set

$$g(a,b,x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \le a, \\ g(x) & a < x < b, \\ 0 & x \ge b. \end{cases}$$
(30)

The function h(x) = g(a, b, x) is in $SBV(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, formula (18) yields $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x^+)dh(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x^-)df(x) = 0.$ (31)

Since $h \in SBV(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x^+)dh(x) = \int_a^b f(x^+)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^+)\delta g(a,b,x).$$
(32)

But $f(x^+) = f(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x^+)dh(x) = \int_a^b f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^+)\delta g(a,b,x),$$
(33)

which combined with (31) yields

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^{+})\delta g(a,b,x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(a,b,x^{-})df(x) = 0.$$
(34)

Using the definition of g(a, b, x) we have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^+) \delta g(a, b, x) = f(a^+) g(a^+) + \sum_{a < x < b} f(x^+) \delta g(x),$$
(35)
and hence

and hence

$$\sum_{a < x < b} f(x^{+})\delta g(x) = -f(a^{+})g(a^{+}) - \int_{a}^{b} f(x)g'(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(a, b, x^{-})df(x).$$
(36)

As in the proof of the previous theorem we have

$$\lim_{a \to -\infty} f(a^{+})g(a^{+}) = 0.$$
(37)

Since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g' \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ then $fg' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and hence

$$\lim_{\substack{a \to -\infty \\ b \to +\infty}} \int_{a}^{b} f(x)g'(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx.$$
 (38)

The Radon measure df(x) is bounded and the functions $x \mapsto g(a, b, x^{-})$ are equibounded with respect to *a* and *b*; by the Lebesgue dominated convergence we have

$$\lim_{\substack{a \to -\infty \\ b \to +\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(a, b, x^{-}) df(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^{-}) df(x).$$
(39)

From (36) it follows that

$$\lim_{\substack{a \to -\infty \\ b \to +\infty}} \sum_{a < x < b} f(x^+) \delta g(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^+) \delta g(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) g'(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^-) df(x) \quad (40)$$

which is equivalent to (25).

The proof of (26) is obtained in a similar manner using (19) instead of (18), and (27) is obtained summing memberwise (25) and (26) and dividing by two. If the function g is continuous then $g(x^+) = g(x^-) = g(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^+) \delta g(x) = 0, \tag{41}$$

and (29) follows from, e.g., (25).

Example. This example shows that in the hypoteses of Theorem (0.3) the series

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^+) \delta g(x) \tag{42}$$

 \Box

is not, in general, absolutely convergent. Indeed, set

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le 1/2, \\ 1/x^2 & \text{if } x > 1/2, \end{cases}$$
(43)

and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sqrt{2n-1} < x \le \sqrt{2n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sqrt{2n} < x \le \sqrt{2n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$
(44)

Then the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g(x)dx = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\sqrt{2n-1}}^{\sqrt{2n}} df(x) = -\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2n(2n-1)}$$
(45)

is absolutely convergent, but the series

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^{+}) \delta g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$$
(46)

is convergent but not absolutely convergent.

We also have the following theorem.

Theorem 0.4 Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ two complex function. Assume that $f \in SBV(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that $g' \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \delta f(x)g(x^+) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^-)\delta g(x) = 0, \quad (47)$$

Abel and Euler Summation Formulas for SBV (R) Functions DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.100373

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \delta f(x)g(x^{-}) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^{+})\delta g(x) = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{g(x^{+}) + g(x^{-})}{2}\delta f(x)$$

$$+ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x^{+}) + f(x^{-})}{2}\delta g(x) = 0, \quad (49)$$
where
$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x^{+}) + f(x^{-})}{2}\delta g(x) = 0, \quad (50)$$

If the function g also is continuous then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x)\delta f(x) = 0,$$
 (51)

Proof: Let f and g be as in the theorem. By formula (26) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^{-})\delta g(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^{+})df(x) = 0.$$
 (52)

Since $f \in SBV(\mathbb{R})$, using the fact that $g(x^+) = g(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x^+) df(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) f'(x) dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x^+) \delta f(x).$$
(53)

Then (52) and (53) yield (47). Formulas (48) and (49) are obtained in a similar manner using respectively Formulas (25) and (27) instead of (26).

If the function *g* is continuous then $g(x^+) = g(x^-) = g(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^+) \delta g(x) = 0,$$
(54)
and (51) follows from, e.g., (47).

and (51) follows from, e.g., (47).

Theorem 0.4 generalizes to high order derivatives.

Theorem 0.5 Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ two complex function. Let m > 0 be a positive integer. Assume that $f\in SBV^m(\mathbb{R})$ with $f,\,\ldots,f^{(m)}\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g\in SBV^m_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ with $g, \ldots, g^{(m)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$(-1)^{(m-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(m)}(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g^{(m)}(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \delta f^{(k-1)}(x)g^{(m-k)}(x^{+}) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} f^{(k-1)}(x^{-}) \delta g^{(m-k)}(x) = 0,$$
(55)

$$(-1)^{(m-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(m)}(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g^{(m)}(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \delta f^{(k-1)}(x)g^{(m-k)}(x^{-})$$
(56)
$$+ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} f^{(k-1)}(x^{+}) \delta g^{(m-k)}(x) = 0,$$
(57)
$$(-1)^{(m-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(m)}(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g^{(m)}(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \delta f^{(k-1)}(x) \frac{g^{(m-k)}(x^{-}) + g^{(m-k)}(x^{+})}{2}$$
(57)
$$+ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{f^{(k-1)}(x^{-}) + f^{(k-1)}(x^{+})}{2} \delta g^{(m-k)}(x) = 0,$$

Proof: We prove first the formula (55). The proof is by induction on *m*. When m = 1 (55) reduces to (47). Assume that (55) holds for m - 1, that is

$$(-1)^{(m-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(m-1)}(x) g(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) g^{(m-1)}(x) dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{k-1} \delta f^{(k-1)}(x) g^{(m-k-1)}(x^{+}) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{k-1} f^{(k-1)}(x^{-}) \delta g^{(m-k-1)}(x) = 0.$$
(58)

Replacing f with f', k with k + 1 and changing the sign we obtain

$$(-1)^{(m-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(m)}(x)g(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g^{(m-1)}(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=2}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \delta f^{(k-1)}(x)g^{(m-k)}(x^{+}) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=2}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} f^{(k-1)}(x^{-}) \delta g^{(m-k)}(x) = 0.$$
(59)

Replacing g with $g^{(m-1)}$ in (47) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g^{(m-1)}(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g^{m}(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \delta f(x)g^{(m-1)}(x^{+}) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^{+})\delta g^{(m-1)}(x) = 0.$$
(60)

Summing (59) and (60) we obtain (55). The proofs of (56) and (57) are similar.

We say that a function $f \in SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ is a *step function* if f'(x) = 0 for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Abel and Euler Summation Formulas for SBV (\mathbb{R}) Functions DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.100373

The following propositions are easy consequences of Theorem (0.4).

Proposition 0.6 Let $[u, v] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded closed interval and let f be an absolutely continuous function on the closed interval [u, v]. Let $g \in SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ be a step function. Then

$$\int_{u}^{v} f'(x)g(x)dx = f(v)g(v^{-}) - f(u)g(u^{+}) - \sum_{u < x < v} f(x)\delta g(x).$$
(61)

Proof: First we extend the functions f as zero outside of the interval [u, v]. We may also assume that the function g is zero outside of a bounded open interval containing the closed interval [u, v]. Observe that then $f(u^+) = f(u), f(v^-) = f(v)$ and $f(u^-) = f(v^+) = 0$ and therefore $\delta f(u) = f(u), \delta f(v) = -f(v)$ and $\delta f(x) = 0$ for $x \neq u, v$. By (47), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g'(x)dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^+)\delta g(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x^-)\delta f(x) = 0.$$
(62)

Since g is a step function then g'(x)=0 for almost all $x\in\mathbb{R}$ and hence it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g(x)dx = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f'(x^+)\delta g(x) - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x^-)\delta f(x).$$
(63)

The function f by construction has compact support, and hence, as $f(v^+)=\mathbf{0},$ we have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^{+}) \delta g(x) = f(u^{+})(g(u^{+}) - g(u^{-})) + \sum_{u < x < v} f(x^{+}) \delta g(x)$$

$$= f(u)g(u^{+}) - f(u)g(u^{-}) + \sum_{u < x < v} f(x^{+}) \delta g(x),$$
(64)

and

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x^{-})\delta f(x) = g(u^{-})\delta f(u) + g(v^{-})\delta f(v) = f(u)g(u^{-}) - f(v)g(v^{-}).$$
(65)
Summing memberwise the last two formulas we obtain
$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^{+})\delta g(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x^{-})\delta f(x) = -f(v)g(v^{-}) + f(u)g(u^{+}) + \sum_{u < x < v} f(x^{+})\delta g(x),$$
(66)

as desired.

Proposition 0.7 Let $f, g \in SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ be two step function. Let $[u, v] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded closed interval. Then

$$\sum_{u < x < v} g(x^{+}) \delta f(x) = f(v^{-})g(v^{-}) - f(u^{+})g(u^{+}) - \sum_{u < x < v} f(x^{-}) \delta g(x).$$
(67)

Proof: Set both the functions f and g to zero outside the closed interval [u, v]. Then formula (47) yields

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^+) \delta g(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x^-) \delta f(x) = 0.$$
(68)

But then

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x^{+}) \delta g(x) = f(u^{+}) g(u^{+}) + \sum_{u < x < v} f(x^{+}) \delta g(x),$$
(69)

and

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x^{-}) \delta f(x) = -f(v^{-})g(v^{-}) + \sum_{u < x < v} g(x^{-}) \delta f(x); \quad (70)$$
hence

$$f(u^{+})g(u^{+}) + \sum_{u < x < v} f(x^{+})\delta g(x) - f(v^{-})g(v^{-}) + \sum_{u < x < v} g(x^{-})\delta f(x) = 0,$$
(71)

which is equivalent to (67).

Example 1. (Abel summation I) Let (a_n) , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $a_n = 0$ for n < < 0. Then the function

$$A(x) = \sum_{n < x} a_n \tag{72}$$

is a step function in $SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. If $f \in C^{1}[u, v]$ then Proposition (0.6) yields

$$\int_{u}^{v} f'(x)A(x)dx = f(v)A(v^{-}) - f(u)A(u^{+}) - \sum_{u < n < v} f(n)a_{n}.$$
 (73)

Example 2. (Abel summation II) Let $(a_n), (b_n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be two sequence of complex numbers. Let $f, g \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined respectively setting $f(x) = a_n$ and $g(x) = b_n$ when $n \le x < n + 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $f, g \in SBV_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and they are two step functions. Let be given two integers p and q, p < q. Set u = p and v = q + 1. Then it is easy to show that

and

$$\sum_{u < x < v} g(x^{+}) \delta f(x) = \sum_{n=p+1}^{q} b_n (a_n - a_{n-1})$$
(74)

$$\sum_{u < x < v} f(x^{-}) \delta g(x) = \sum_{n=p+1}^{q} a_{n-1} (b_n - b_{n-1});$$
(75)

hence, Proposition (0.7) yields

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{q} b_n(a_n - a_{n-1}) = a_q b_q - a_p b_p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{q} a_{n-1}(b_n - b_{n-1}).$$
(76)

3. Sampling estimates

In this section we give some conditions which ensures the absolute convergence of series of the form $\sum_{x \in E} (f(x^-) + f(x^+))/2$ where f is a function absolutely integrable of bounded variation and E is a countable subset of \mathbb{R} .

Abel and Euler Summation Formulas for SBV (\mathbb{R}) Functions DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.100373

The basic estimate is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 0.8 Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open subset and let $F \subset A$ be a finite subset of A. Assume that there exist a > 0 such that

$$x_1, x_2 \in F, \quad x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow |x_1 - x_2| \ge a, x \in F, \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A \Rightarrow |x - y| \ge a/2.$$

$$(77)$$

Then, for any complex function $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\left|\sum_{x \in F} \frac{f(x^{-}) + f(x^{+})}{2}\right| \le \frac{1}{a} \int_{A} |f(x)| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{A} |df|(x)$$
(78)
Proof: Let define

Proof: Let define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x < -1/2 \text{ or } x = 0 \text{ or } x \ge 1/2, \\ x + 1/2, & \text{if } -1/2 \le x < 0, \\ x - 1/2, & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1/2, \end{cases}$$
(79)

and set

$$G(x) = \sum_{y \in F} g\left(\frac{x - y}{a}\right).$$
(80)

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\frac{G(x^{-}) + G(x^{+})}{2} = G(x)$$
(81)

By Eq. (27)

$$-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x^+) + f(x^-)}{2} \delta G(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) G'(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x) df(x).$$
(82)

We also have

$$\delta G(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus F, \end{cases}$$
(83)
which implies

1

$$-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x^+) + f(x^-)}{2} \delta G(x) = \sum_{x \in F} \frac{f(x^-) + f(x^+)}{2}.$$
 (84)

Set

$$E = \bigcup_{x \in F}]x - a, x + a[.$$
(85)

Then $F \subset E \subset A$ and

$$G'(x) = \begin{cases} 1/a & \text{if } x \in E, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E, \end{cases}$$
(86)

and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)G'(x)dx = \frac{1}{a} \int_{E} f(x)dx.$$
(87)

Moreover we have G(x) = 0 if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$ and hence

$$\sum_{x \in F} \frac{f(x^{-}) + f(x^{+})}{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) G'(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x) df(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} \int_{E} f(x) dx + \int_{E} G(x) df(x).$$
(88)

Taking modules, and observing that $|G(x)| \le 1/2$ for each $x \in E$, we obtain

$$\left|\sum_{x \in F} \frac{f(x^{-}) + f(x^{+})}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{E} |f(x)| dx + \int_{E} |G(x)| |df|(x).$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{A} |f(x)| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{A} |df|(x),$$
(89)

as required.

Corollary 0.9 Let $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $E \subset R$ be a countable subset. If there exists a real constant a > 0 such that for each pair of distinct $x_1, x_2 \in E$ we have $|x_1 - x_2| \ge a$ then

$$\sum_{x \in E} \left| \frac{f(x^{-}) + f(x^{+})}{2} \right| < +\infty.$$
(90)

Proof: It suffices to choose $A = \mathbb{R}$; lemma (0.8) yields easily the assertion.

4. Proof of Theorem 0.1

Inserting $B_m(x)$ instead of $g_m(x)$ in formula (57) of Theorem 0.5 we easily obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{f(n^{+}) + f(n^{-})}{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} B_k(x) \delta f^{(k-1)}(x) + \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_m(x) f^{(m)}(x) dx.$$
(91)

By Corollary 0.9 it follows that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{f(n^+) + f(n^-)}{2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{f(n^+) + f(n^-)}{2}$$
(92)

is an absolutely convergent series, and hence Theorem 0.1 follows.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen

Author details

Sergio Venturini Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

*Address all correspondence to: sergio.venturini@unibo.it

IntechOpen

© 2021 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

[1] Graham Everest and Thomas Ward. *An Introduction to Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 2005.

[2] Henri Cohen. *Number Theory Volume II: Analytic and Modern Tools*, volume 240 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[3] Ennio De Giorgi and Luigi Ambrosio. New functionals in the calculus of variations. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat.* (8), 82(2):199–210 (1989), 1988.

[4] L. Ambrosio, M. Miranda, Jr., and D. Pallara. Special functions of bounded variation in doubling metric measure spaces. In *Calculus of variations: topics from the mathematical heritage of E. De Giorgi*, volume 14 of *Quad. Mat.*, pages 1–45. Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2004.

[5] Stanislaw Lojasiewicz. *An Introduction to the Theory of Real Functions*. Wiley, 1988.

[6] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Fusco, and Diego Pallara. *Function of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, 2000.

[7] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1989.