

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

185,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Computation of Two-Dimensional Fourier Transforms for Noisy Band-Limited Signals

Weidong Chen

Abstract

The computation of the two-dimensional Fourier transform by the sampling points creates an ill-posed problem. In this chapter, we will cover this problem for the band-limited signals in the noisy case. We will present a regularized algorithm based on the two-dimensional Shannon Sampling Theorem, the two-dimensional Fourier series, and the regularization method. First, we prove the convergence property of the regularized solution according to the maximum norm. Then an error estimation is given according to the L^2 -norm. The convergence property of the regularized Fourier series is given in theory, and some examples are given to compare the numerical results of the regularized Fourier series with the numerical results of the Fourier series.

Keywords: Fourier transform, band-limited signal, ill-posedness, regularization

AMS subject classifications: 65T40, 65R20, 65R30, 65R32

1. Introduction

The two-dimensional Fourier transform is widely applied in many fields [1–9]. In this chapter, the ill-posedness of the problem for computing two-dimensional Fourier transform is analyzed on a pair of spaces by the theory and examples in detail. A two-dimensional regularized Fourier series is presented with the proof of the convergence property and some experimental results.

First, we describe the band-limited signals.

Definition. For two positive $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is said to be band-limited if

$$\hat{f}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 0, \forall (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus [-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2].$$

Here \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of:

$$F(f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \hat{f}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1\omega_1 + it_2\omega_2} dt_1 dt_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1)$$

We will consider the problem of computing $\hat{f}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ from $f(t_1, t_2)$.

For band-limited signals, we have the following sampling theorem [4, 10, 11]. For the two-dimensional band-limited function above, we have

$$f(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) \frac{\sin \Omega_1(t_1 - n_1 H_1)}{\Omega_1(t_1 - n_1 H_1)} \frac{\sin \Omega_2(t_2 - n_2 H_2)}{\Omega_2(t_2 - n_2 H_2)}, \quad (2)$$

where $H_1 := \pi/\Omega_1$ and $H_2 := \pi/\Omega_2$.

Calculating the Fourier transform of $f(t_1, t_2)$ by the formula (2), we have the formula which is same as the Fourier series

$$\hat{f}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2} P_{\Omega}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad (3)$$

where $P_{\Omega}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 1_{[-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2]}$ (ω_1, ω_2) is the characteristic function of $[-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2]$.

In many practical problems, the samples $\{f(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)\}$ are noisy:

$$f(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) = f_T(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) + \eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2), \quad (4)$$

where $\{\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)\}$ is the noise

$$|\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)| \leq \delta, \quad (5)$$

and $f_T \in L^2$ is the exact band-limited signal.

The noise in the two-dimensional case is discussed in [5, 6], and the Tikhonov regularization method is used. However, there is too much computation in the Tikhonov regularization method since the solution of an Euler equation is required.

The ill-posedness in the one-dimensional case is considered in [12, 13]. The regularized Fourier series

$$\hat{f}_{\alpha}(\omega) = H \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(nH) e^{inH\omega}}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2} P_{\Omega}(\omega)$$

in [12] is given based on the regularized Fourier transform

$$F_{\alpha}[f] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t) e^{i\omega t} dt}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t^2}$$

in [14]. The regularized Fourier transform was found by finding the minimizer of the Tikhonov's smoothing functional.

In this chapter, we will find a reliable algorithm for this ill-posed problem using a two-dimensional regularized Fourier series. In Section 2, the ill-posedness is discussed in the two-dimensional case. In Section 3, the regularized Fourier series and the proof of the convergence property are given. The bias and variance of regularized Fourier series are given in Section 4. The algorithm and the experimental results of numerical examples are given in Section 5. Finally, the conclusion is given in Section 6.

2. The ill-posedness

We will first study the ill-posedness of the problem (3) in the noisy case (4). The concept of ill-posed problems was introduced in [15]. Here we borrow the following definition from it.

Definition 2.1 Assume $A: D \rightarrow U$ is an operator in which D and U are metric spaces with distances $\rho_D(*, *)$ and $\rho_U(*, *)$, respectively. The problem

$$Az = u. \quad (6)$$

of determining a solution z in the space D from the “initial data” u in the space U is said to be well-posed on the pair of metric spaces (D, U) in the sense of Hadamard if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- i. For every element $u \in U$, there exists a solution z in the space D ; in other words, the mapping A is surjective.
- ii. The solution is unique; in other words, the mapping A is injective.
- iii. The problem is stable in the spaces (D, U) : $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, such that

$$\rho_U(u_1, u_2) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho_D(z_1, z_2) < \epsilon.$$

In other words, the inverse mapping A^{-1} is uniformly continuous. Problems that violate any of the three conditions are said to be ill-posed.

In this section, we discuss the ill-posedness of $A\hat{f} = f$ on the pair of Banach spaces $(L^2[-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2], l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^2))$, where $\hat{f}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is given by the Fourier series in Eq. (3).

The operator A in Eq. (6) is defined by the following formula:

$$A\hat{f} = f, \quad (7)$$

where $= \{f(n_1H_1, n_2H_2): n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

As usual, l^∞ is the space $\{a(n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$ of bounded sequences. The norm of l^∞ is defined by

$$\|a\|_{l^\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |a(n)|,$$

where

- i. The existence condition is not satisfied.
- ii. The uniqueness condition is satisfied.
- iii. The stability condition is not satisfied. The proof is similar to the proof in [10].

3. The regularized Fourier series

Based on the one-dimensional regularized Fourier series in [12], we construct the two-dimensional regularized Fourier series:

$$\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) = H_1H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad (8)$$

where $f(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)$ is given in (4). We will give the convergence property of the regularized Fourier series in this section.

Lemma 3.1

$$F\left[\frac{1}{1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha t^2}\frac{\sin\Omega(t-nH)}{\Omega(t-nH)}\right] = \frac{H}{1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(nH)^2}e^{inH\omega} - \frac{H}{4\pi\alpha}(-1)^n\left[\frac{e^{a(\omega-\Omega)}}{a-inH} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega+\Omega)}}{a+inH}\right], \quad (9)$$

where $a := \sqrt{\frac{1+2\pi\alpha}{2\pi\alpha}}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} F\left[\frac{1}{1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha t^2}\frac{\sin\Omega(t-nH)}{\Omega(t-nH)}\right] &= \frac{1}{2\pi}F\left[\frac{1}{1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha t^2}\right]*F\left[\frac{\sin\Omega(t-nH)}{\Omega(t-nH)}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{2a\alpha}e^{-a|\omega|}*[He^{i\omega nH}P_\Omega(\omega)] = H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-a|u|}e^{inH(\omega-u)}1_{[\omega-\Omega, \omega+\Omega]}(u)du \\ &= H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}e^{inH\omega}\int_{\omega-\Omega}^{\omega+\Omega}e^{-a|u|-inHu}du = H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}e^{inH\omega}\left(\int_{\omega-\Omega}^0e^{au-inHu}du + \int_0^{\omega+\Omega}e^{-au-inHu}du\right) \\ &= H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}e^{inH\omega}\left[\frac{1}{a-inH}-\frac{e^{(a-inH)(\omega-\Omega)}}{a-inH} + \frac{1}{a+inH}-\frac{e^{-(a+inH)(\omega+\Omega)}}{a+inH}\right] \\ &= H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}e^{inH\omega}\left(\frac{1}{a-inH} + \frac{1}{a+inH}\right) - H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}e^{inH\omega}\left[\frac{e^{(a-inH)(\omega-\Omega)}}{a-inH} + \frac{e^{-(a+inH)(\omega+\Omega)}}{a+inH}\right] \\ &= H\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha a^2 + (nH)^2}e^{inH\omega} - H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}e^{inH\omega}(-1)^n\left[\frac{e^{a(\omega-\Omega)-inH\omega}}{a-inH} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega+\Omega)-inH\omega}}{a+inH}\right] \\ &= H\frac{e^{inH\omega}}{1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(nH)^2} - H\frac{1}{4\pi a\alpha}(-1)^n\left[\frac{e^{a(\omega-\Omega)}}{a-inH} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega+\Omega)}}{a+inH}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 For any band-limited function $g(t_1, t_2)$ and

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in [-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{g(t_1, t_2)e^{it_1\omega_1+it_2\omega_2}dt_1dt_2}{(1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} \\ &= H_1H_2\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}g(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)\frac{e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}}{[1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2][1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2]} \\ &\quad - H_1H_2\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}g(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)\left[\frac{e^{in_1H_1\omega_1}}{1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2}\frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{4\pi a\alpha}\left(\frac{e^{a(\omega_2-\Omega_2)}}{a-in_2H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2+\Omega_2)}}{a+in_2H_2}\right)\right] \\ &\quad - H_1H_2\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}g(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)\left[\frac{e^{in_2H_2\omega_2}}{1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2}\frac{(-1)^{n_1}}{4\pi a\alpha}\left(\frac{e^{a(\omega_1-\Omega_1)}}{a-in_1H_1} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_1+\Omega_1)}}{a+in_1H_1}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + H_1H_2\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}g(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)\frac{(-1)^{n_1+n_2}}{(4\pi a\alpha)^2}\left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_1-\Omega_1)}}{a-in_1H_1} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_1+\Omega_1)}}{a+in_1H_1}\right]\cdot\left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2-\Omega_2)}}{a-in_2H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2+\Omega_2)}}{a+in_2H_2}\right]. \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the sampling theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &:= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1\omega_1 + it_2\omega_2} dt_1 dt_2}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it_1\omega_1 + it_2\omega_2}}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) \frac{\sin \Omega_1(t_1 - n_1 H_1)}{\Omega_1(t_1 - n_1 H_1)} \frac{\sin \Omega_2(t_2 - n_2 H_2)}{\Omega_2(t_2 - n_2 H_2)} dt_1 dt_2 \\
 &= \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2} \frac{\sin \Omega_1(t_1 - n_1 H_1)}{\Omega_1(t_1 - n_1 H_1)} e^{it_1\omega_1} dt_1 \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2} \frac{\sin \Omega_2(t_2 - n_2 H_2)}{\Omega_2(t_2 - n_2 H_2)} e^{it_2\omega_2} dt_2
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the FOIL method, Eq. (10) is true.

Lemma 3.3 For each arbitrarily small $c > 0$ and $\omega \in [-\Omega + c, \Omega - c]$,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{a(\omega - \Omega)}}{a - inH} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega + \Omega)}}{a + inH} \right|^2 = O\left(\frac{e^{-2ac}}{a}\right). \quad (11)$$

Proof. By the inequality $|a + b|^2 \leq 2(|a|^2 + |b|^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{a(\omega - \Omega)}}{a - inH} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega + \Omega)}}{a + inH} \right|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left| \frac{e^{a(\omega - \Omega)}}{a - inH} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{e^{-a(\omega + \Omega)}}{a + inH} \right|^2 \right] \\
 &\leq 4 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2ac}}{a^2 + (nH)^2} \leq \frac{4}{H} e^{-2ac} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{a^2 + x^2} + \frac{4}{a^2} e^{-2ac} = \frac{4\pi e^{-2ac}}{Ha} + \frac{4}{a^2} e^{-2ac}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 For each arbitrarily small $c > 0$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in [-\Omega_1 + c, \Omega_1 - c] \times [-\Omega_2 + c, \Omega_2 - c]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) \frac{(-1)^{n_1+n_2}}{(4\pi\alpha)^2} \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_1 - \Omega_1)}}{a - in_1 H_1} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_1 + \Omega_1)}}{a + in_1 H_1} \right] \\
 \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right], = O(ae^{-2ac}). \quad (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \rightarrow +0$ and g that is Ω -band-limited.

Proof. By the Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_1 - \Omega_1)}}{a - in_1 H_1} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_1 + \Omega_1)}}{a + in_1 H_1} \right] \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2 \\
 \leq \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} |g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)|^2 \\
 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_1 - \Omega_1)}}{a - in_1 H_1} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_1 + \Omega_1)}}{a + in_1 H_1} \right] \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} |g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)|^2$ is bounded by Parseval equality, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_1 - \Omega_1)}}{a - in_1 H_1} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_1 + \Omega_1)}}{a + in_1 H_1} \right] \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_1 - \Omega_1)}}{a - in_1 H_1} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_1 + \Omega_1)}}{a + in_1 H_1} \right] \right|^2 \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, Eq. (12) is true.

Lemma 3.5

Proof.
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(nH)^2} \right|^2 = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right). \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(nH)^2} \right|^2 \leq \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha} \right|^2 + \sum_{n \neq 0} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(nH)^2} \right|^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \neq 0} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(nH)^2} \right|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(nH)^2]^2} \leq \\ &\frac{2}{H} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha x^2)^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(nH)^2} \right|^2 = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right).$$

Lemma 3.6 For each arbitrarily small $c > 0$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in [-\Omega_1 + c, \Omega_1 - c] \times [-\Omega_2 + c, \Omega_2 - c]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) \left[\frac{e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1}}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2} \frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{4\pi\alpha} \left(\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right) \right] \\ = O\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ac}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for $\alpha \rightarrow +0$ and g that is Ω -band-limited.

Proof. By Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) \left[\frac{e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1}}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2} \right] \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} |g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)|^2 \\ &\quad \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \left[\frac{e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1}}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2} \right] \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} |g(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)|^2$ is bounded by the Parseval equality, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \left[\frac{e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1}}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2} \right] \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1}}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2} \right|^2 \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \left[\frac{e^{a(\omega_2 - \Omega_2)}}{a - in_2 H_2} + \frac{e^{-a(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)}}{a + in_2 H_2} \right] \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 Eq. (14) is true.

Lemma 3.7

$$\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} \right| = O\left(\frac{\delta}{\alpha}\right) \quad (15)$$

for $\delta \rightarrow +0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow +0$, where η and δ are given in (4) and (5) in Section 1.
Proof.

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n H_1)^2} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha} \right| + \sum_{n \neq 0} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n H_1)^2} \right|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \neq 0} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n H_1)^2} \right| &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n H_1)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{H_1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha x^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n H_1)^2} \right| = O(1/\sqrt{\alpha}).$$

For the same reason,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n H_2)^2} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right).$$

So Eq. (15) is true.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose $f_T \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is band-limited. For each arbitrarily small $c > 0$, if we choose $\alpha = \alpha(\delta)$ such that $\alpha(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta/\alpha(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, then $\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ uniformly in $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in [-\Omega_1 + c, \Omega_1 - c] \times [-\Omega_2 + c, \Omega_2 - c]$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_T(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1 \omega_1 + it_2 \omega_2} dt_1 dt_2}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} + O\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ac}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) &= H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{[f_T(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) + \eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)] e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2}}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\
 &= H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_T(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2}}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\
 &\quad + H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2} P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\
 &= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1 \omega_1 + it_2 \omega_2} dt_1 dt_2}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1 \omega_1 + it_2 \omega_2} dt_1 dt_2 \right] P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\
 &\quad + H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2}}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) + O\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ac}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2)| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2 + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1 \omega_1 + it_2 \omega_2} dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\
 &\quad + H_1 H_2 \left| \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2} \right| \\
 &\quad + O\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ac}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left| \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2} \right| = O\left(\frac{\delta}{\alpha}\right).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\iint_{|t_1| \geq M \text{ or } |t_2| \geq M} |f_T(t_1, t_2)| dt_1 dt_2 < \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2 + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1 \omega_1 + it_2 \omega_2} dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \iint_{|t_1| \leq M \text{ and } |t_2| \leq M} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2 + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1 \omega_1 + it_2 \omega_2} dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \iint_{|t_1| \geq M \text{ or } |t_2| \geq M} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2 + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1 \omega_1 + it_2 \omega_2} dt_1 dt_2 \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left| \iint_{|t_1| \geq M \text{ or } |t_2| \geq M} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2 + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1\omega_1 + it_2\omega_2} dt_1 dt_2 \right|$$

$$\leq \iint_{|t_1| \geq M \text{ or } |t_2| \geq M} |f_T(t_1, t_2)| dt_1 dt_2 < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left| \iint_{|t_1| \leq M \text{ and } |t_2| \leq M} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2 + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)}{(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_1^2)(1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha t_2^2)} f_T(t_1, t_2) e^{it_1\omega_1 + it_2\omega_2} dt_1 dt_2 \right|$$

$$\rightarrow 0$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

4. Error analysis

In last section we have proved the convergence property of the regularized Fourier series under the condition $f_T \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In this section, we give the error analysis of the regularized Fourier series according to the L^2 -norm for the functions $f_T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The bound of the variance of the regularized Fourier series is presented.

By Lemma 3.5, we have next lemma.

Lemma 4.1

$$\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} \right|^2 = O(\delta^2) + O\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\alpha}\right)$$

for $\delta \rightarrow +0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow +0$, where η and δ are given in Eq. (4) and Eq. (5) in Section 1.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $f_T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is band-limited. If we choose $\alpha = \alpha(\delta)$ such that $\alpha(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta^2/\alpha(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, then $\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ in $L^2[-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2]$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof.

$$\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

$$= H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{[f_T(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2) + \eta(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)] e^{in_1 H_1 \omega_1 + in_2 H_2 \omega_2}}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]} P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

$$= -H_1 H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2)}{[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2][1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2]}$$

$$f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ + H_1H_2 \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\ e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2).$$

Let

$$S(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2 + \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right) \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\ f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2).$$

Then

$$\left\| \hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2H_1^2H_2^2 \|S(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|^2 + 2H_1^2H_2^2 \\ \left\| \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) \right\|^2,$$

where

$$\left\| \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) \right\|^2 \\ = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\eta(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \right|^2 = O\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\alpha}\right)$$

by Lemma 4.1 and

$$\|S(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|^2 = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2 + \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right) \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\ \cdot |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2.$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{|n_1| \geq N \text{ or } |n_2| \geq N} |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2 < \varepsilon,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \\
 & \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2 + \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right)\left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right]\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\
 & \cdot |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2 = \sum_{|n_1| \leq N \text{ and } |n_2| \leq N} \sum \\
 & \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2 + \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right)\left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right]\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\
 & \cdot |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2 + \sum_{|n_1| > N \text{ or } |n_2| > N} \sum \\
 & \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2 + \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right)\left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right]\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\
 & \cdot |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{|n_1| > N \text{ or } |n_2| > N} \sum \\
 & \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2 + \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right)\left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right]\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\
 & \cdot |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2 \\
 & \leq \sum_{|n_1| \geq N \text{ or } |n_2| \geq N} \sum |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2 < \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{|n_1| \leq N \text{ and } |n_2| \leq N} \sum \\
 & \frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2 + \left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right)\left(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right]\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]} \\
 & |f_T(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)|^2 \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore, $\left\| \hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.2 Suppose $f_T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is band-limited. If the noise in Eq. (4) is white noise such that $E[\eta(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)] = 0$ and $\text{Var}[\eta(n_1H_1, n_2H_2)] = \sigma^2$, then the bias $\hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) - E[\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2)] \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2[-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2]$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\text{Var}[\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2)] = O(\sigma^2) + O(\sigma^2/\alpha)$$

if $\alpha(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma^2/\alpha(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) - E[\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2)] \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= H_1^2 H_2^2 \cdot \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \\ &\frac{4\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2 + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2 + (2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2)(2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2)}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2\right] \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2\right]} \\ &\cdot |f_T(n_1 H_1, n_2 H_2)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Var}[\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2)] = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{\left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_1 H_1)^2\right]^2 \left[1 + 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha(n_2 H_2)^2\right]^2}.$$

By the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can see that $\hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) - E[\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2)] \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2[-\Omega_1, \Omega_1] \times [-\Omega_2, \Omega_2]$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\text{Var}[\hat{f}_\alpha([-\omega_1, \omega_1])] = O(\sigma^2) + O(\sigma^2/\alpha)$.

5. The algorithm and experimental results

In this section, we give the algorithm and an example to show that the regularized Fourier series is more effective in controlling noise than the Fourier series.

In practical computation, we choose a large integer N and use the next formula in computation:




Figure 1.
The exact Fourier transform in Example 2.




Figure 2.
 The numerical results by Fourier series in Example 2.




Figure 3.
 The numerical results by the regularized Fourier series in Example 2.

$$\hat{f}_\alpha(\omega_1,\omega_2)=\\
H_1H_2\sum_{n_1=-N}^N\sum_{n_2=-N}^N\frac{f(n_1H_1,n_2H_2)e^{in_1H_1\omega_1+in_2H_2\omega_2}}{\left[1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(n_1H_1)^2\right]\left[1+2\pi\alpha+2\pi\alpha(n_2H_2)^2\right]}P_\Omega(\omega_1,\omega_2).$$

Example 1. Suppose

$$f_T(t_1,t_2)=\frac{1-\cos t_1}{\pi t_1^2}\frac{1-\cos t_2}{\pi t_2^2}.$$

Then

$$\hat{f}_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (1 - |\omega_1|)(1 - |\omega_2|)P_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2),$$

where $\Omega_1 = 1$ and $\Omega_2 = 1$.

We add the white noise that is uniformly distributed in $[-0.0005, 0.0005]$ and choose $N = 20$. The exact Fourier transform is in **Figure 1**. The result of the Fourier series is in **Figure 2**. The result of the regularized Fourier series with $\alpha = 0.001$ is in **Figure 3**.

6. Conclusion

The problem of computing the two-dimensional Fourier transform is highly ill-posed. Noise can give rise to large errors if the Fourier series formula is used. The regularized two-dimensional Fourier series is presented. The convergence property is proved and tested by some examples. The convergence property and numerical results show that the regularized two-dimensional Fourier series is excellent in computation in noisy cases. The algorithm will be useful in image processing and multi-dimensional signal processing. The method will be of interest to: engineers who want higher precision in the gauging and design of function generators and analyzers; the electronic or electrical rectification industry; and also to the mathematics community for computing methods and the improvement of mathematics programs on signals and systems, for example, Simulink; and others since many problems in engineering involve noise.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express appreciation to Sarah Lanand for her help in formatting the document to meet submission guidelines.


Author details

Weidong Chen

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnesota State University, Mankato, United States

*Address all correspondence to: chenw@ksu.edu

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Soon IY, Koh SN. Speech enhancement using 2-D Fourier transform. *IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing*. 2003;**11**(6): 717-724
- [2] Li X, Zhang T, Borca CN, Cundiff ST. Many-body interactions in semiconductors probed by optical two-dimensional Fourier transform spectroscopy. *Physical Review Letters*. 10 February 2006
- [3] Siemens ME, Moody G, Li H, Bristow AD, Cundiff ST. Resonance lineshapes in two-dimensional Fourier transform spectroscopy. *Optics Express*. August 2010;**18**(17)
- [4] Goodman JW. *Introduction to Fourier Optics*. Roberts and Company Publishers; 2005
- [5] Kim H, Yang B, Lee B. Iterative Fourier transform algorithm with regularization for the optimal design of diffractive optical elements. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A*. 2004; **21**(12):2353-2356
- [6] Lyuboshenko IV, Akhmetshin AM. Regularization of the problem of image restoration from its noisy Fourier transform phase. In: *International Conference on Image Processing*, vol. 1. 1996. pp. 793-796
- [7] Stein EM, Weiss G. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces (PMS-32)*. Princeton University Press; 2016
- [8] Mahmood F, Toots M, Öfverstedt L, Skoglund U. Algorithm and architecture optimization for 2D discrete Fourier transforms with simultaneous edge artifact removal. *International Journal of Reconfigurable Computing*. 2018. Article ID 1403181, 17 pages
- [9] Shi S, Yang R, You H. A new two-dimensional Fourier transform algorithm based on image sparsity. In: *2017 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*; New Orleans, LA. 2017. pp. 1373-1377
- [10] Shannon CE. A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*. July 1948;**27**
- [11] Steiner A. Plancherel's theorem and the Shannon series derived simultaneously. *The American Mathematical Monthly*. Mar. 1980; **87**(3):193-197
- [12] Chen W. *Computation of Fourier transforms for noisy bandlimited signals*. *SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis*. 2011;**49**(1):1-14
- [13] Chen W. *Application of the Regularization Method*. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing; 2016
- [14] Chen W. An efficient method for an ill-posed problem—Band-limited extrapolation by regularization. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*. 2006;**54**:4611-4618
- [15] Tikhonov AN, Arsenin VY. *Solution of Ill-Posed Problems*. Winston/Wiley; 1977