

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

186,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Radionuclide Pain Palliation Treatment and Radiosynovectomy

Elgin Özkan

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68623>

Abstract

The main nuclear medicine palliation treatment methods are radionuclide pain palliation treatment in cases of disseminated painful bone metastases and radiosynovectomy in inflammatory arthritis cases. Both methods can be easily administered and do not require long-term hospitalization. They are reliable with high palliation value and low complication rates.

Keywords: radiosynovectomy, radionuclide pain palliation treatment, therapy response

1. Radionuclide pain palliation treatment

1.1. Introduction

Painful bone metastases are one of the most common causes of morbidity in metastatic cancer patients. The vast majority of these patients need multiple medical treatments. The most common tumors, which cause painful bone metastases, are breast, prostate, lung, and renal tumors [1]. If not diagnosed or sufficiently treated, painful bone metastases cause severe pain, spinal cord compression, hypercalcemia, and pathological fractures. In many studies, a direct correlation has been determined between bone metastasis load and survival [2]. The majority of bone metastases are localized in the axial skeleton due to the presence of bone marrow [3]. Generally, bone metastases are classified as osteoblastic, osteolytic, or mixed type. Although some tumors have pure blastic or lytic metastases, the metastases of many tumors are of mixed phenotype [4].

Pain associated with bone metastases is generally seen in two different forms. The nature of the first is related with bone remodeling, and chronic pain due to inflammatory reaction in and around the metastatic focus. The nature of the second type of pain is more severe and is acute pain exacerbated by physical activity or patient position [5, 6]. Non-steroid or narcotic analgesics and external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) are the most commonly used therapy methods for the palliation of metastatic bone pain [7]. Although conventional radiotherapy is an effective method in the palliation of symptoms from bone metastasis, many patients have painful bone metastases in many different regions of the skeletal system [8]. Although wide-field radiations such as hemibody radiotherapy are also effective, they are not preferred due to technical difficulties and radiation toxicity [9, 10]. Systemic therapy management should be preferred for patients with diffuse symptomatic bone involvement. In these patients, intravenous bisphosphonates may have a role in the reduction of the development of complications.

1.2. Treatment

In the last few decades, different radionuclides have been used for radionuclide pain palliation. Radionuclides are usually administered intravenously and are quickly localized in regions of active bone reaction and remodeling. Radionuclide pain palliation treatment is indicated in patients with multiple bone metastases shown on bone scintigraphy and in cases that cannot be treated with non-steroidal or narcotic analgesics or who are resistant to these treatments [11]. In the presence of epidural spinal cord pressure, active pathological fracture, renal failure, pregnancy, and lactation, treatment is not recommended. Patients with uncontrolled non-skeletal metastasis, asymptomatic bone metastases, ≤ 3 bone metastases, purely osteolytic lesions, and patients with a shorter life expectancy (<2 months) are relatively contraindicated. The physical properties of radionuclides used in radionuclide pain palliation are shown in **Table 1**.

Radionuclide	Half life (days)	Decay type	Mean energy (keV)	Mean penetration depth (mm)	Gamma ray
Phosphor-32 [P-32]	14.3	β^-	695	3.0	Yok
Strontium-89 [Sr-89]	50.5	β^-	580	2.4	Yok
Samarium-153 [Sm-153]	1.9	β^-	233	0.5	Var
Renium-186 [Re-186]	3.7	β^-	349	1.1	Var
Renium-188 [Re-188]	0.7	β^-	2120	3.0	Var
Tin-177m [Sn-177m]	13.6	CE	127	<0.1	Yok
Radium-223 [Ra-223]	11.4	α	5850	<0.1	Var

β^- = beta ray, CE = conversion electron, α = alpha ray.

Table 1. The physical properties of the radionuclides used in pain palliation treatment.

The radionuclides used in radionuclide pain palliation act through two main mechanisms. The first group is attracted to calcium and directly localizes to the bone matrix. The other group is applied as chelate with organic phosphates and is added to the bone matrix [11]. Gama-emitting radionuclides provide post-therapy imaging on gamma cameras.

1.3. Follow-up

No special radiation safety precaution is necessary because the emission rates of the radionuclides used for pain palliation are very low. Therefore, hospitalization is not required for treatment. The patient can be quickly mobilized after several hours of treatment. The administration takes approximately 1 min as an intravenous injection followed by a 20–30-ml saline wash through the vein. The patient is then advised to take oral hydration and make frequent toilet trips for a few hours. Following the treatment, a weekly complete blood count for an 8-week period is recommended. Transient myelosuppression can be monitored. Thrombocytopenia is the most common finding, which is characterized by a 40–60% decrease in platelet count compared to the baseline value. Most cases have grade 1 or 2 toxicity. Neutropenia and anemia are rare.

A decrease in the pain of the patient is expected at 1–3 weeks after treatment, although it varies according to the radionuclide used [12]. Positive response to therapy has been reported as 60–92%, although it can vary according to the primary malignancy and the spread of the disease [13–21]. Flare phenomena can be observed as an increase in pain which is severe but usually self-limiting during 24–48 h after treatment. Patients with flare phenomena have been shown to have a better response rate to treatment compared to those where it is not experienced. Palliation times of up to 6 months have been reported following radionuclide treatment. Different pain-scoring systems and patient questionnaires can be used to evaluate treatment response [22]. It is also helpful to evaluate the patient’s narcotic analgesic needs. Pain scoring systems and quality of life questionnaires that can be used for this purpose are presented in **Table 2**.

Serafini et al. compared Sm-153 EDTMP with a placebo in bone metastases of solid tumor in a randomized, prospective study and showed that patients receiving higher doses of Sm-153 responded better at all times (1–4 weeks) than those who had received the placebo. In two-thirds of the patients evaluated, the response to treatment was in the fourth week and palliation continued until the 16th week [23].

Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain)
Numeric Rating Scale for Pain (NRS Pain)
McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ)
Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ)
Chronic Pain Grade Scale (CPGS)
Short Form-36 Bodily Pain Scale (SF-36 BPS)
Physician’s Global Assessment of Pain (PGA)

Table 2. The scoring systems that can be used in the evaluation of pain palliation pretreatment and of the response to treatment.

Sartor et al. reported a significantly better objective response rate in a double-blind randomized study of patients with bone metastasis of prostate cancer where Sm-153 was compared with a placebo. The objective response rates of the Sm-153 group were reported to be better [24]. Several studies have reported that the use of Sm-153 in repeated doses and in combination with different chemotherapy regimes was more reliable [25–28]. During treatment with Sr-89 in prostate cancer cases, a single-dose relationship was shown and doses reaching 10.8 mCi were not determined to affect survival [29]. However, application combined with chemotherapy was determined to remove both the efficacy of pain palliation and survival [30–32]. In two randomized studies, Sr-89 and EBRT were applied alone and similar rates of pain palliation were obtained, but it was shown that after treatment with Sr-89, there was a lower possibility of the development of new painful bone metastasis [33, 34]. Radium-223 has started to be used in recent years, and according to the results of the first studies, it is a radionuclide that extends survival in addition to providing pain palliation. In prostate cancer cases, it has been shown to provide prolonged survival, and reduced levels of PSA and ALP compared to a placebo and no difference has been observed in hematological toxicity [35].

In summary, radionuclide pain palliation treatment is an effective method in patients with osteoblastic, widespread painful bone metastasis. The simple and systemic application provides the significant advantage of allowing treatment of all the painful lesions of the patient. It is a safe method with low rates of side effects even when applied at repeated doses or combined with different chemotherapy regimes.

2. Radiosynovectomy

2.1. Introduction

The use of radionuclides was first described in 1963 with the use of Au-198 in the treatment of persistent knee effusion in arthritis treatment. However, as Au-198 particles are very small, their leakage outside the knee joint caused severe clinical side effects [36]. In subsequent years, Yttrium-90 [Y-90], colloidal P-32, and Re-186 sulfide colloid were radionuclides which came to be often used for radiosynovectomy. In the last 20 years, Erbium-169 citrate [Er-169] has started to be used in small joints [37–39].

Due to proliferation and hyperperfusion in synovial tissue in inflammatory arthritis, there is effusion, macrophage accumulation, and the expression of inflammatory cytokines in the joint space. Consequently, pain, loss of movement, and in long term, arthrosis are observed in the affected joint. Radiosynovectomy is effective in approximately 80% of rheumatoid arthritis patients. In developed countries, there is increasing use of radiosynovectomy because of pain and restricted movement in osteoarthritic joints which occur with increasing life expectancies. The current most common indications for application are rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, osteoarthritis, hemophilic arthritis, and villonodular synovitis. The radionuclides widely used for radiosynovectomy and their physical properties are shown in **Table 3**. Due to the energy and soft-tissue penetration properties, Er-169 is used in small joints, Re-186 and P-32 in medium-sized joints, and Y-90 in large joints [40, 41].

Radionuclide	Half life (days)	Soft-tissue penetration (mm)	Energy (MeV)
Er-169	9.5	0.3–1	0.34
Re-186	13.7	1.2–3.7	0.98
Au-198	2.7	1.2–3.6	0.96 β –0.41 γ

Table 3. The physical properties of the radionuclides used for radiosynovectomy.

2.2. Treatment

In radiosynovectomy, particles of 0.05–2 μm in size are applied directly into the joint space. After application, the particles reaching the synovia are phagocytized by macrophages and other inflammatory cells. The absorption by the synovia of a dose of approximately 100-Gy radiation results in synovectomy similar to surgical synovectomy. As beta particles have tissue penetration up to a maximum of 10 mm, the surrounding soft tissues are protected from radiation damage [42]. Pregnancy, breastfeeding, local infection, massive hemarthrosis, or ruptured Baker cyst are contraindications for radiosynovectomy.

2.3. Follow-up

After treatment, it is recommended that the joint is immobilized for 48 h. If a sufficient response is not observed after the first application, radiosynovectomy can be reapplied three times at a 3-month interval. Repeated doses are more effective than a single, high-dose application. Side effects following radiosynovectomy have been reported to be extremely rarely. These may include infection, thrombosis, and skin necrosis caused by extra-articular application [43]. To prevent thrombosis, the use of heparin is recommended in the immobilization period. The response to treatment is closely related to the degree of synovitis, the level of arthrosis pre-treatment, and in rheumatoid arthritis cases, the level of systemic inflammation. The highest response rates have been reported in cases of hemophilic arthritis [44, 45]. If treatment is applied in the early stages of arthrosis, the success rates are high, with response rates of 73% reported in cases of early stage rheumatoid arthritis [46]. In cases of radiosynovectomy applied to the knee joint because of osteoarthritis, the response rate has been reported as 40–85% [46].

These serious differences in rates in the evaluation of treatment response are due to the fact that objective scoring systems have not been used. In the evaluation of the response to treatment following radiosynovectomy, physical examination, clinical scoring systems, and radiological response criteria can be used. In the physical examination, swelling in the joint, pain, restricted movement, and weakness are evaluated as the response to treatment. In the clinical scoring system, treatment response is classified as excellent, good, fair, and ineffective. In a report of this scoring system applied to 577 patients, excellent and good responses were obtained in the knee joint in 57%, in the shoulder joint in 63%, the elbow in 61%, the wrist in 64%, finger joints in 54%, and metacarpophalangeal joints in 54% [47].

Another parameter used in the evaluation of response following radiosynovectomy is the Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain). The VAS score of rheumatoid arthritis patients

at 6 months after radiosynovectomy has been determined to be improved by three stages compared to the pretreatment score [48]. As a more objective evaluation of response following radiosynovectomy, blood pool phase activity involvement on three-phase Tc-99m MDP bone scintigraphy can be used. Response has been determined in small joints at 81% and in large joints at 69% with Tc-99m MDP bone scintigraphy following radiosynovectomy [49]. Unlike cases of pigmented villonodular synovitis, the application of radiosynovectomy after surgical synovectomy has been shown to be more effective in resistant cases [50].

In conclusion, when the radionuclide is selected appropriate to the size of the joint, radiosynovectomy is a safe option in the treatment of inflammatory arthritis with high success and low complication rates.

Author details

Elgin Özkan

Address all correspondence to: ozkanelgin@yahoo.com

Ankara University Medical School, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

References

- [1] Paes FM, Serafini AN. Systemic metabolic radiopharmaceutical therapy in the treatment of metastatic bone pain. *Seminars in Nuclear Medicine*. 2010;40:89-104
- [2] Soloway MS, Hardeman SW, Hickey D, et al. Stratification of patients with metastatic prostate cancer based on extent of disease on initial bone scan. *Cancer*. 1988;61:195-202
- [3] Cleeland CS. Cancer-related symptoms. *Seminars in Radiation Oncology*. 2000;10:175-190
- [4] Stoll BA. Natural history, prognosis, and staging of bone metastases. In: Szoll BA, Parboo S, editors. *Bone Metastases: Monitoring and Treatment*. New York, NY: Raven; 1983. pp. 1-20
- [5] Horvat AG, Kovač V, Strojan P. Radiotherapy in palliative treatment of painful bone metastases. *Radiological Oncology*. 2009;43:213-224
- [6] Portenoy RK, Payne D, Jacobsen P. Breakthrough pain: Characteristics and impact in patients with cancer pain. *Pain*. 1999;81:129-134
- [7] Lutz S, Berk L, Chang E, et al. Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases: An ASTRO evidence-based guideline. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics*. 2011;79:965-976

- [8] Tong D, Gillick L, Hendrickson FR. The palliation of symptomatic osseous metastases: Final results of the Study by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Cancer*. 1982;50:893-899
- [9] Poulter CA, Cosmatos D, Rubin P, et al. A report of RTOG 8206: A phase III study of whether the addition of single dose hemibody irradiation to standard fractionated local field irradiation is more effective than local field irradiation alone in the treatment of symptomatic osseous metastases. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics*. 1992;23:207-214
- [10] Kuban DA, Delbridge T, el-Mahdi AM, et al. Half-body irradiation for treatment of widely metastatic adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *Journal of Urology*. 1989;141:572-574
- [11] Michael Tomblyn, MD, MS. The role of bone-seeking radionuclides in the palliative treatment of patients with painful osteoblastic skeletal metastases. *Cancer Control*. 2012;19:137-144
- [12] Collins C, Eary JF, Donaldson G, et al. Samarium-153-EDTMP in bone metastases of hormone refractory prostate carcinoma: A phase I/II trial. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 1993;34:1839-1844
- [13] Dolezal J. Systemic radionuclide therapy with samarium-153-EDTMP for painful bone metastases. *Nuclear Medicine Review Central & Eastern Europe*. 2000;3:161-163
- [14] Dolezal J, Vizda J, Odrazka K. Prospective evaluation of samarium-153-EDTMP radionuclide treatment for bone metastases in patients with hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Urologia Internationalis*. 2007;78:50-57
- [15] Tian JH, Zhang JM, Hou QT, et al. Multicentre trial on the efficacy and toxicity of single-dose samarium-153-ethylene diamine tetramethylene phosphonate as a palliative treatment for painful skeletal metastases in China. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 1999;26:2-7
- [16] Etchebehere EC, Pereira Neto CA, Lima MC, et al. Treatment of bone pain secondary to metastases using samarium-153-EDTMP. *Sao Paulo Medical Journal*. 2004;122:208-212
- [17] Sapienza MT, Ono CR, Guimarães MI, et al. Retrospective evaluation of bone pain palliation after samarium-153-EDTMP therapy. *Revista do Hospital das Clinicas Faculdade de Medicina Sao Paulo*. 2004;59:321-328
- [18] Fuster D, Herranz D, Vidal-Sicart S, et al. Usefulness of strontium-89 for bone pain palliation in metastatic breast cancer patients. *Nuclear Medicine Communications*. 2000;21: 623-626
- [19] Kraeber-Bodéré F, Campion L, Rousseau C, et al. Treatment of bone metastases of prostate cancer with strontium-89 chloride: Efficacy in relation to the degree of bone involvement. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2000;27:1487-1493

- [20] Ashayeri E, Omogbehin A, Sridhar R, et al. Strontium 89 in the treatment of pain due to diffuse osseous metastases: A university hospital experience. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2002;94:706-711
- [21] Gunawardana DH, Lichtenstein M, Better N, et al. Results of strontium-89 therapy in patients with prostate cancer resistant to chemotherapy. *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*. 2004;29:81-85
- [22] Liepe K, Kotzerke J. A comparative study of ^{188}Re -HEDP, ^{186}Re -HEDP, ^{153}Sm -EDTMP and ^{89}Sr in the treatment of painful skeletal metastases. *Nuclear Medicine Communications*. 2007;28:623-630
- [23] Serafini AN, Houston SJ, Resche I, et al. Palliation of pain associated with metastatic bone cancer using samarium-153 lexidronam: A double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 1998;16:1574-1581
- [24] Sartor O, Reid RH, Hoskin PJ, et al. Samarium-153-lexidronam complex for treatment of painful bone metastases in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Urology*. 2004;63:940-945
- [25] Menda Y, Bushnell DL, Williams RD, et al. Efficacy and safety of repeated samarium-153 lexidronam treatment in a patient with prostate cancer and metastatic bone pain. *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*. 2000;25:698-700
- [26] Morris MJ, Pandit-Taskar N, Carrasquillo J, et al. Phase I study of samarium-153 lexidronam with docetaxel in castration-resistant metastatic prostate cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2009;27:2436-2442
- [27] Tu SM, Mathew P, Wong FC, et al. Phase I study of concurrent weekly docetaxel and repeated samarium-153 lexidronam in patients with castration-resistant metastatic prostate cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2009;27:3319-3324
- [28] Fizazi K, Beuzeboc P, Lumbroso J, et al. Phase II trial of consolidation docetaxel and samarium-153 in patients with bone metastases from castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2009;27:2429-2435
- [29] Porter AT, McEwan AJ, Powe JE, et al. Results of a randomized phase III trial to evaluate the efficacy of strontium-89 adjuvant to local field external beam irradiation in the management of endocrine resistant metastatic prostate cancer. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics*. 1993;25:805-813
- [30] Sciuto R, Maini CL, Tofani A, et al. Radiosensitization with lowdose carboplatin enhances pain palliation in radioisotope therapy with strontium-89. *Nuclear Medicine Communication*. 1996;17:799-804
- [31] Sciuto R, Festa A, Rea S, et al. Effects of low-dose cisplatin on ^{89}Sr therapy for painful bone metastases from prostate cancer: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2002;43:79-86

- [32] Tu SM, Millikan RE, Mengistu B, et al. Bone-targeted therapy for advanced androgen-independent carcinoma of the prostate: A randomised phase II trial. *Lancet*. 2001;357:336-341
- [33] Oosterhof GO, Roberts JT, de Reijke TM, et al. Strontium [89] chloride versus palliative local field radiotherapy in patients with hormonal escaped prostate cancer: A phase III study of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer, Genitourinary Group. *European Urology*. 2003;44:519-526
- [34] Quilty PM, Kirk D, Bolger JJ, et al. A comparison of the palliative effects of strontium-89 and external beam radiotherapy in metastatic prostate cancer. *Radiotherapy Oncology*. 1994;31:33-40
- [35] Nilsson S, Franzén L, Parker C, et al. Bone-targeted radium-223 in symptomatic, hormone-refractory prostate cancer: A randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled phase II study. *Lancet Oncology*. 2007;8(7):587-594
- [36] Knut L. Radiosynovectomy in the therapeutic management of arthritis. *World Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2015 Jan-Apr;14(1):10-15
- [37] Ansell BM, Crook A, Mallard JR, Bywaters EG. Evaluation of intra-articular colloidal gold au 198 in the treatment of persistent knee effusions. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 1963;22:435-439
- [38] Kerschbaumer F, Bauer R, Falser N, Altmann H. Effects and side effects of radiosynovectomy with Yttrium 90 on rheumatic joint cartilage. *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery*. 1979;93:95-102
- [39] Howson MP, Shepard NL, Mitchell NS. Colloidal chromic phosphate ³²P synovectomy in antigen-induced arthritis in the rabbit. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 1988;229:283-293
- [40] Soroa VE, del Huerto Velázquez Espeche M, Giannone C, Caviglia H, Galatros G, Fernández D, et al. Effects of radiosynovectomy with p-32 colloid therapy in hemophilia and rheumatoid arthritis. *Cancer Biotherapy and Radiopharmaceuticals*. 2005;20:344-348
- [41] Liepe K. Efficacy of radiosynovectomy in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology International*. 2012;32:3219-3224
- [42] Bowring CS, Keeling DH. Absorbed radiation dose in radiation synovectomy. *British Journal of Radiology*. 1978;51:836-837
- [43] Kampen WU, Matis E, Czech N, Soti Z, Gratz S, Henze E. Serious complications after radiosynoviorthesis. Survey on frequency and treatment modalities. *Nuklearmedizin*. 2006;45:262-268
- [44] Kresnik E, Mikosch P, Gallowitsch HJ, Jesenko R, Just H, Kogler D, et al. Clinical outcome of radiosynoviorthesis: A meta-analysis including 2190 treated joints. *Nuclear Medicine Communications*. 2002;23:683-688

- [45] Siegel HJ, Luck JV, Jr, Siegel ME, Quinones C. Phosphate-32 colloid radiosynovectomy in hemophilia: Outcome of 125 procedures. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 2001;392:409-417
- [46] Mathew P, Talbut DC, Frogameni A, Singer D, Chrissos M, Khuder S, et al. Isotopic synovectomy with P-32 in paediatric patients with haemophilia. *Haemophilia*. 2000;6:547-555
- [47] Deutsch E, Brodack JW, Deutsch KF. Radiation synovectomy revisited. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 1993;20:1113-1127
- [48] Zagnun J, Liepe K, Soroa VE, Barrenechea E, Gaudiano J, Solav SV, et al. Management of haemarthrosis applying radiosynovectomy in haemophilia patients with emphasis on developing countries. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2007;34:439
- [49] Zuderman L, Liepe K, Zöphel K, Andreeff M, Kotzerke J, Luboldt W. Radiosynoviorthesis [RSO]: Influencing factors and therapy monitoring. *Annals in Nuclear Medicine*. 2008;22:735-741
- [50] Oztemür Z, Bulut O, Korkmaz M, Gölge UH, Oztürk H, Tezeren G, et al. Surgical synovectomy combined with yttrium 90 in patients with recurrent joint synovitis. *Rheumatology International*. 2013;33:1321-1326