We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



CO₂ Conversion to Chemicals and Fuel for Carbon Utilization

Wonjun Cho, Hyejin Yu and Yonggi Mo

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/67316

Abstract

Recent direction dealing with climate change has changed more to focus on carbon utilization rather than the direct carbon capture and storage. Conceptually converting CO_2 to sellable chemicals or fuels should be more benign to environment by substituting the fossil raw materials like oil, natural gas, or coal. Instead of converting CO_2 fully to valuable chemicals or fuels, it is much easier to employ a portion of CO_2 with existing raw materials in many natural gas conversion processes. Dimethyl ether (DME) and gas-to-liquids (GTL) are most prominent processes that can be modified to accommodate CO_2 as a reacting raw material. There are already several successful technology developments in using CO_2 -rich natural gas for DME and liquid fuels, although they are not yet fully reached the commercialized level. This chapter highlights recent developments in utilizing CO_2 -containing natural gas and landfill gas to yield valuable chemicals and fuels like diesel or DME.

Keywords: CO₂, chemicals, fuel, DME, GTL

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is widely used in process industries as its own form or feedstock for the production of bulk chemicals including methanol, dimethyl ether (DME), synthetic fuel, etc. Although chemical activity of CO₂ is low, CO₂ can be activated enough for chemical reactions with the presence of suitable catalysts and the appropriate operating conditions. The most of reactions involving CO₂ as reactants, except CO₂ insertion reactions, are based on reductions in which hydrogen or electrons are added. Cost-effectiveness of industrial manufacturing using CO₂ is heavily dependent on energy consumption required for the reaction, and hence it is very important to provide energy required for CO₂ conversion in a most economic and



© 2017 The Author(s). Licensee InTech. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. CO BY effective manner. Various options for improving economics of reactions using CO_2 are possible, for example, reducing inherent energy requirement for the reaction from the development of new catalysts, saving energy cost through strategic utilization of renewable energy sources, etc. Another important aspect in the utilization of CO_2 from the viewpoint of carbon capture sequestration and utilization (CCSU) is provision of co-reactants for CO_2 utilization because of huge quantity of CO_2 available.

Methanol is the key feedstock for C1 chemistry as it is used for producing formaldehyde, acetic acid, chloromethane, and other chemicals for chemical industries. Attention has been paid recently to methanol as a clean synthetic fuel because it can be converted to hydrogen-rich gas via the steam reforming which can be utilized in the fuel cell systems for generating electricity.

A breakthrough in the synthesis of methanol was made from the observation as methanol can be favorably synthesized at the presence of CO_2 . The main reactions during hydrogenation of CO_2 are as follows:

$$CO_2 + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O$$
(1)

$$CO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow CO + H_2O$$
 (2)

The first reaction is a direct synthesis of methanol from $CO_{2'}$ while the second reaction is reverse water gas shift one. These two reversible reactions are both exothermic. It should be noted that the cost of such CO_2 hydrogenation reaction is higher than that of reaction based on CO and CO_2 mixture. However, the CO_2 hydrogenation for methanol synthesis provides opportunity for utilizing CO_2 gases, which are often wasted in process industries.

Industrial catalysts for methanol synthesis are available for gases containing H_2 and CO, which normally come with small quantity of CO₂ presented. Therefore, it is required to develop a new catalyst for methanol synthesis that can deal with CO₂-rich feed.

A colorless, nontoxic, and environmentally benign DME is widely used as a solvent and propellant in aerosol products, of which physical properties are close to those of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG). As considerably fewer pollutants are generated from the combustion of DME compared to that of conventional diesel fuel, DME is regarded as a sustainable substitute for diesel fuel. DME has a structure of CH₃-O-CH₃ including oxygen between two CH₃s and thus can work as a clean fuel. DME can be produced from a wide range of feedstocks, including natural gas, coal, biomass, and waste plastics. Another benefit for DME is that DME can be the alternative to conventional diesel fuels and LPG because high cetane number can be achieved from DME and physical properties of DME is close to that of LPG. Furthermore, existing infrastructure for transportation and storage of conventional fuels can be readily adopted for DME once it is introduced to the market.

Ammonia (NH_3) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) produced from ammonia plant react as below and the urea and water are produced.

$$2 \operatorname{NH}_3 + \operatorname{CO}_2 \to \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{NCONH}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O}$$
(3)

The intermediate products such as carbamate, non-reacted ammonia and CO₂ are separated and recycled as raw materials. The water generated as byproduct is removed during the process of condensing urea.

2. DME manufacturing using CO₂

DME is an ultra-clean burning alternative to LPG and diesel. It is easy to liquefy, making it a convenient fuel to transport and store. These properties make DME a versatile and promising solution in the worldwide consideration of clean and low-carbon fuels. And DME can be produced from various feedstocks like natural gas, Coal Bed Methane (CBM), shale gas, biomass, coal, and CO₂.

In 2000, Korea Gas Co. (KOGAS) embarked the development of proprietary catalyst and process development with the ultimate goal of producing DME on a commercial scale. Central to the KOGAS DME technology is the one-step DME synthesis from synthesis gas compared to the conventional two-step process including methanol synthesis. Conceptually, the one-step technology offers a possibility to produce DME with a lower capital and production cost.

The KOGAS technology has progressed considerably toward commercialization. The technology has been undergoing extensive testing since 2008 in the 3000 metric tons/year demonstration plant at the KOGAS R&D Center in Incheon, Korea [1]. In 2011, KOGAS completed the Basic Engineering Package (BEP) of 300,000 metric tons/year. In parallel, the Korean Government had initiated market test studies in distributing DME to local end-users.

2.1. DME market (case study in Korea)

The demand and supply dynamics for DME in Korea are analyzed based on potential usage of DME in Korea. There are three market sectors in Korea where DME can be potentially used [2]:

- LPG replacement for domestic and transportation usage by diluting LPG with DME.
- Bunker-C oil replacement for district heating with industrial boilers.
- Diesel fuel replacement for diesel-fueled vehicles.

DME can be blended up to 20–30% with LPG [3]. The LPG replacement for domestic usage is estimated with the assumption that DME will replace 20% of LPG usage. Based on this analysis, it is expected that DME demand will decrease from 254 kilotons in 2013 to 163 kilotons in 2021. This is because LPG demand for domestic usage has been decreasing due to the introduction of more economical LNG for domestic use. It is projected that this trend will continue.

On the other hand, the demand of DME for transportation for LPG fueled vehicles is expected to increase from 265 kilotons in 2013 to 360 kilotons in 2021, when LPG is replaced with a 5-mol% DME/LPG mixture. When LPG-DME blended fuel is used, additional distribution and end-use infrastructures are not required. Thus, it is possible to establish a market for DME in a very short time. KOGAS also successfully carried out field tests for DME-LPG blends.

The potential demand for DME in replacing diesel for diesel vehicles is expected to increase from 105 to 1046 kilotons in 2021. This is because the diesel engine–based vehicles have been steadily increasing, and DME can provide advantages over diesel in terms of air pollution. Particulate matter and NO*x* have been two of the major problems of diesel engines despite their higher energy efficiency.

DME may also command a price premium with respect to diesel due to its cleaner burning properties. The emission requirements for diesel vehicles are getting tighter in many countries, and the use of DME will help vehicle manufactures and end-users comply with the tighter regulations.

Diesel substitute and fuel for power generation could be a big market for DME in the future. Natural gas is a good fuel for power generation, but DME is comparable to natural gas in performance as a fuel for power generation. This has been approved by gas turbine manufacturers, and DME can be an efficient alternative fuel for medium-sized power plants, especially for remote or isolated locations where it is difficult to transport natural gas.

2.2. DME production technology

The KOGAS process represents the newest generation of DME production technology. At the most conceptual level, its distinguishing feature is that DME is synthesized directly from synthesis gas and hence called a "direct" or "one-step" process. By contrast, the conventional process is called the "indirect" or "two-step" process because DME is produced from an intermediate product, methanol. The Toyo process was used as a representative indirect process to compare to KOGAS DME, as Toyo's process is the most established conventional two-step DME technology.

Key technical comparisons between the KOGAS DME and Toyo processes are summarized in **Table 1**. KOGAS DME represents the first commercial-scale (demonstration) plant for KOGAS' process, whereas Toyo's process has several commercial-scale plants in operation. Based on demonstration plant data, KOGAS DME process was shown to be competitive to Toyo in terms of catalyst longevity, operations reliability, and similar number of equipment, which is an indicator of fixed capital costs. A fundamental advantage of the KOGAS DME process is that it needs just one reactor section to convert syngas to DME, whereas Toyo requires two sections. Another advantage is KOGAS' proprietary catalyst, which can utilize high CO₂ content in the reformer feed, allowing it to handle a more diverse and economic source of feed gas. The estimation of energy efficiency for KOGAS process is comparable to that of the Toyo.

	KOGAS DME process	Toyo process	
Process development stage	10 metric tons/day DME production plant	30 metric tons/day DME production plant	
	Demonstration plant	340 metric tons/day commercial scale	
Catalyst life expectancy	Tri-reformer: 1 year	ISOP reforming: 3 years	
	DME reactor: 1 year	Methanol conversion: N/A	
		Methanol dehydration: N/A	
Number of reaction steps	2	3	
Tolerance for high CO_2 in NG feed	Can use natural gas with as much as 30-mol% CO ₂	Not known	
Total number of major equipment	80 plus ASU	90 plus ASU	
Process energy efficiency	60% 55%		

 Table 1. Comparison of KOGAS DME process and Toyo process.

2.3. Description of KOGAS DME process



A schematic process flow diagram (PFD) of KOGAS' commercial scale DME plant is shown in **Figure 1**.

Figure 1. PFD of KOGAS DME plant.

The four major sections of the KOGAS DME process and their functions are as follows:

(1) Reforming section

Synthesis gas, a mixture of H_2 and CO, is produced from natural gas, steam, O_2 , and CO_2 using tri-reformer, an adiabatic auto-thermal reformer based on KOGAS' proprietary catalyst, KDN-1. This KOGAS proprietary catalyst involves pre-coating Ce-ZrO₂ onto a commercially available Al_2O_3 substrate before impregnating with Ni.

The tri-reformer consists of a homogeneous section and a fixed-bed catalyst section, in which the pre-reformed natural gas (mainly methane) is reacted with steam, oxygen and carbon dioxide for producing synthesis gas. Maintaining right amounts and ratio of carbon monoxide and hydrogen for the reaction is important. Auto-thermal nature in the reaction compensates heating requirement for reforming reactions with exothermic combustion reactions. The resulting temperature for the exit stream from the tri-reformer is around 1080°C, and the pressure is 3.1 MPa.

The global reactions taking place in the tri-reformer can be summarized as

$$CH_4 + O_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow 3H_2 + 3CO + H_2O + Heat$$
 (4)

$$2 CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H_2O \rightarrow 5 H_2 + 2CO$$
 (5)

The composition of the product syngas (in particular the H_2 :CO ratio) is a function of the three key molar feed ratios: steam, oxygen, and CO₂.

Figure 2 shows the tri-reformer reforming reactor and KDN-1 catalyst for reforming process. Compared to other traditional reforming catalysts, the KDN-1 catalyst provides better conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ both initially and over time. The KDN-1 enables the production of syngas with the desired H_2 to CO ratio for optimum performance in the DME synthesis for a wide range of compositions in the natural gas feedstock, including high CO₂ content.



Figure 2. Tri-reformer reforming reactor and KDN-1 catalyst for tri-reformer reactor.

(2) Syngas treatment section

The raw syngas produced by KOGAS' tri-reformer has a carbon dioxide content of around 15 mol%. This CO_2 content must be reduced to around 1.3 mol% to meet the 4 mol% requirements of KOGAS' DME reactor feed. KOGAS BEP design uses a UOP SELEXOL absorption column to remove CO_2 from the raw syngas down to the desired level.

(3) DME synthesis section

The H_2 and CO in the syngas are catalytically reacted to produce DME, and a small amount of methanol and water in a single-step DME reactor goes through the synthesis according to the following set of global reactions:

$$CO_2 + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O$$
(6)

$$CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 (7)

$$2 \operatorname{CH}_{3} \operatorname{OH} \rightarrow \operatorname{CH}_{3} \operatorname{OCH}_{3} + \operatorname{H}_{2} \operatorname{O}$$
 (8)

Figure 3 shows the DME synthesis reactor and KD-540-27 catalyst for DME synthesis. This proprietary reactor consists of a multiple tubular reactor configuration filled with KD-540-27, a hybrid bifunctional catalyst consisting of Cu/ZnO, which is catalyzing methanol synthesis and γ -Al₂O₃ catalyzing methanol dehydrogenation to DME.

In overall, heat removal is made via a cooling jacket for the vertical tubes containing the catalyst with which the temperature of reacting gas is maintained at 260°C.

(4) DME separation & purification section

The stream leaving the DME reactors will contain unreacted syngas, which needs to be separated from the condensable DME, methanol, and water. There is also a significant amount of

 CO_2 present. The unreacted syngas needs to be recompressed and recycled to the DME reactor feed, and most of the CO_2 needs to be removed.



Figure 3. DME synthesis reactor and KD-540-27 catalyst for DME synthesis.

Figure 4 shows the KOGAS's 3000-metric tons/year demonstration plant. The KOGAS DME commercial design calls for the exit stream from the DME reactor to be cooled in stages: first with heat recovery against boiler feed water, process condensate, cooling water, chilled condensate, and finally refrigeration to -68° C. The condensing methanol is expected to be sufficient to remove most of the CO₂ in a single step. Therefore, no CO₂ absorption column is required. The DME/methanol/water/CO₂ condensate is sent to a CO₂ stripping column with three sections of packing equivalent to 30 theoretical stages that operates at 4.68 MPa. The stripped CO₂ from the DME exit stream is combined with the CO₂ removed from the raw syngas and sent back to the tri-reformer inlet.



Figure 4. KOGAS' 3000 metric tons/year demonstration plant.

3. Fertilizer (ammonia/urea) manufacturing using CO₂

Manufacturing fertilizers (ammonia/urea) is one of the ways of increasing added value of the natural gas and CO₂. The fertilizers in modern society are inevitable for enhancing agricultural productivity. The main elements used for plant growth are nitrogen, phosphorus, potash, and sulfur. Among them, the nitrogen is the most consumed fertilizer and is easily produced by using nitrogenous in air and natural gas. Phosphorus and potash are provided from minerals, and sulfur is produced as byproducts in refineries and gas plant. Ammonia containing nitrogen is a toxic gas at room temperature and cannot be used directly as fertilizer. Therefore, ammonia should be converted into other chemicals such as urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP) and ammonium nitrate, which are suitable for plant applications. Urea is produced by combining ammonia and carbon dioxide generated from production of ammonia. It is a solid, and it can be granule or prill form. The 80% of ammonia used worldwide as fertilizer is converted into urea. The present chapter deals with manufacturing and selling the urea in granule form by producing ammonia with natural gas.

3.1. Production process and characteristics of facilities

The production process consists of following facilities, and its overall block diagram is represented in **Figure 5**.

- Ammonia plant
- Urea plant
- Granulation unit
- Warehouse
- Utilities & offsite



Figure 5. Block diagram of ammonia/urea plant.

3.2. Ammonia plant

Figure 5 shows the block diagram of ammonia/urea plant. This plant manufactures ammonia by use of natural gas and air. The ammonia and carbon dioxide (CO_2) generated as byproduct are used as raw materials for manufacturing urea. For enhancing operational flexibility and the possibility of direct sale of ammonia to customers, the ammonia storage tank is required. Block diagram of ammonia plant is shown in **Figure 6**.



Figure 6. Block diagram of ammonia plant.

The existence of sulfur substances in gas reduces the activity of catalyst. For this prevention, the sulfur substances shall be removed from the gas. And then the produced gas is supplied to reformer. The synthetic gas is generated in passing through various reaction steps of the reformer. In reaction steps, the air is supplied for providing nitrogen needed in producing ammonia. After these reactions, the main substances of hydrogen (H₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), and nitrogen (N₂) are produced.

The produced CO_2 is separated by using amine in CO_2 removal unit and then sent to urea plant. The CO_2 and CO that are not eliminated in the CO_2 removal unit are removed in methanation unit. N₂ and H₂ are reacted in ammonia reactor, and then the ammonia (NH₃) is finally produced.

3.3. Urea plant

Ammonia (NH_3) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) produced from ammonia plant reacts as below, and the urea and water are produced.

$$2 \text{ NH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 = \text{H}_2 \text{ NCONH}_2 + \text{H}_2 \text{O}$$

(9)

The intermediate products such as carbamate, nonreacted ammonia, and CO_2 are separated and recycled as raw materials. The water generated as byproduct is removed during the step of condensing urea. **Figure 7** shows the block diagram of urea plant.

The produced urea liquid in high temperature is solidified by cooling. The urea is solid state in atmospheric conditions, and it can be a form of prill or granule. The size of prill is smaller than granule. The investment cost for granulation processing is higher than that of prilling, but the granulated urea is more suitable as fertilizer. The granulated urea is packed in various sizes of bags for storage in warehouse.

For the operation of above plants, the following utility & offsite facilities are required:

- Water treatment including demineralization unit

- Cooling water system
- Boiler system
- Wastewater treatment system
- IA/PA
- Nitrogen
- Flare system
- Warehouse for Urea
- Ammonia storage tank



Figure 7. Block diagram of urea plant.

4. Gas-to-liquid using CO,

4.1. What is GTL?

Gas-to-liquid (GTL) is the processing of converting natural gas to synthetic oil. This synthetic oil will be the fuel or the product based on hydrocarbon. Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG: for city gas and bunkering fuel), Pipeline Natural Gas (PNG: for city gas), and Compressed Natural Gas (CNG: for vehicles) are classified by their respective transportation technology, but GTL in liquid state at room temperature is the long-chain hydrocarbon products identified by transformation technology of chemical conversion. **Figure 8** shows the transformation technology of GTL production.

Coal, natural gas, and biomass are used as raw materials in Fischer-Tropsch (FT) process while the meaning of GTL is based on conversion of natural gas to pure synthetic oil in removing

impurities such as sulfur, aromatic compounds, and metal substances. By refining this synthetic oil, it can produce diesel, naphtha, wax, and other liquid compounds based oil or other special products. This transformation technology is based on FT process, which was developed *ca*. 100 years ago. Technology of pre-treatment of gas, reforming, and upgrading process is in the mature stage, but FT process has been in the stage of commercialization. New technology is continuously developed, and the already developed technology is applied to conversion process for enhancing efficiency. Also mini GTL technology to be applied to small-scaled gas fields is being developed. The factors influencing on competitiveness are enumerated as investment cost, operation cost, materials price, plant dimension, and technology enhancing usability of products.



Figure 8. Transformation technology of GTL.

In comparing with history of coal-to-liquid (CTL) process, GTL is relatively a new technology and globally commercialized facilities are actually very rare. **Table 2** shows the global GTL project status (see **Figure 9**).

Even when a plant in Nigeria is completed, total production capacity of GTL is only 260,000 barrels per day. On the contrary, daily consumed oil in the world is 87 million barrels. Thus, GTL production is not subject to restriction of consumption

Project	Country	Scale (bbl/day)	Start-up
Shell Bintulu GTL	Malaysia	14,700	1993
PetroSA Mossgas GTL	South Africa	36,000	1993
Sasol/QP ORYX GTL	Qatar	34,000	2007
Shell Pearl GTL	Qatar	140,000	2011
Chevron Escravos GTL	Nigeria	34,000	2013
Total		258,700	

 Table 2. Worldwide GTL project status.



Figure 9. GTL and CTL status and project plans.

4.2. Production processing and facility features

GTL Process is composed of next four main steps.

4.2.1. Step 1: gas pre-treatment (gas clean-up)

The gas pre-treatment in GTL process is generally to dehydrate and remove sulfur compounds, mercury, and hydrocarbon C3+. It is similar to the requirements of LNG process, but it needs no stage of removal of CO_2 .

4.2.2. Step 2: synthesis gas generation

The production of synthetic gas covers the conversion into CO and H_2 mixture by autothermal reforming (ATR) and steam carbon dioxide reforming (SCR). The oxygen needed in ATR is supplied by air or pure oxygen. When using the air, there are some advantages by eliminating the cost of air separation unit (ASU) and electricity cost but finally become obstacles to process due to large volume of nitrogen. By using reformed steam methane, we supply necessary oxygen. However, this method has disadvantage of producing synthetic gas of H_2 to CO ratio by 4:1 against the required H_2 to CO ratio by 2:1.

SCR reformer has a homogeneous section and a fixed-bed catalyst section and reacts the prereformed natural gas (primarily methane), steam, and carbon dioxide to produce synthesis gas containing the correct amount and ratio of carbon monoxide and hydrogen. The SCR reformer uses exothermic combustion reactions to off-set the endothermic reforming reactions. The resulting exit temperature is around 870°C, and the pressure is 2.5 MPa. The composition of the product syngas (in particular the H₂:CO ratio) is a function of the three key molar feed ratios previously described (steam, oxygen and CO₂). The ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide in the SCR outlet is currently targeted to be 2.0 to provide the correct H₂:CO ratio in the mixed feed into the FT synthesis reactor. The advantage of SCR process is to enable the development of low-quality natural gas fields containing CO₂ or reuses efficiently CO₂ emitted from various processes (CO₂ removal and FT process, etc.). **Figure 10** shows the simplistic integrated GT schematic process. CO₂ Conversion to Chemicals and Fuel for Carbon Utilization 205 http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/67316



Figure 10. Simplistic integrated GTL schematic.

4.2.3. Step 3: FT synthesis and refining

FT synthesis is to convert synthetic gas into long-chain hydrocarbons. This conversion is made by catalyst. As for catalyst, cobalt is generally applied. For extracting substances for shipping as final products, fractional distillation is required.

4.3. Mini GTL

For successful mini GTL technology, it is required to develop compact and high-efficient GTL process and modularization techniques for being competitive even as small scaled. It will be efficient technology to be applied to small and medium gas field, associated gas of oilfield, and landfill gas on land and at sea. **Figure 11** shows the example of roadmap toward modular GTL plant.

GTL technology for developing small and medium gas field and associated gas requires following conditions: (1) minimization of plant construction cost for economic feasibility as small-scaled hundreds to thousands barrels/day, (2) compact and mobility for installation in places without infra such as frozen zone of Siberia, (3) easy installation in limited space for application to offshore, and (4) compactification and modularization of compressor and related equipments for simple process and high efficiency.

Further study is to be progressed for small and medium gas fields that are expected to have potential application by mini GTL technology. From long-term point of view, the development of gas-to-liquids-floating production storage and offloading (GTL-FPSO) linked to shipbuilding technology will be applied to small and medium-scaled offshore gas fields as well as the strategy of launching high value-added shipbuilding market is to be established.



Figure 11. Example of roadmap toward modular GTL plant (source: S.A. Petrobras).

The micro reactor (synthetic gas + FT synthesis) was developed by CompactGTL Ltd., a manufacturer leading compact GTL technology, and its pilot operation was completed by applying to 20-barrels/day plant with Petrobras in 2011 [4–6]. **Figure 12** shows the example of compact GTL roadmap toward modular GTL plant.



Figure 12. Example of compact GTL roadmap toward modular GTL plant (source: Compact GTL Limited).

Also by adopting micro channel technology, Velocys is developing mini GTL plants and reported that a pilot plant of 2.5 gallon per day has been developed. They constructed 6 BPD plant in Brazil under cooperation with Petrobras, MODEC and Toyo Engineering and plan the pilot operation in 2012.

Since micro reaction technology had advantages in its small volume, high heat transmission, and large reactive surface and control of exact reaction time, it will enhance high integration of chemical process, response selectivity, and stability.

5. Summary

The conversion of CO_2 to chemicals and energy products that is currently produced from fossil fuels is also promising due to the high potential market and promising benefits. Methanol is the key feedstock for C1 chemistry, as it is used for producing formaldehyde, acetic acid, chloromethane, and other chemicals for chemical industries. Also, industrial catalysts for methanol synthesis are available for gas containing H₂ and CO, which is applied with small quantity of CO₂ presented.

The utilization of CO_2 to produce chemicals like urea and cyclic carbonates is promising and can be a solution to reduce CO_2 emission. However, CO_2 still has certain disadvantages as a chemical reactant due to its inert, non-reactive, and low Gibbs free energy properties. DME is versatile and promising solution in the worldwide consideration of clean and low-carbon fuels. It has potential to solve forward problem of certain disadvantages as chemical reactant. Similar application of reaction schemes using CO_2 as applied to DME manufacturing is also possible in GTL fields, especially for low-value gas fields involving high CO_2 contents and for landfill gas fields.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by "Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy" and "Korea Evaluation Institute of Industrial Technology".

Author details

Wonjun Cho^{1,*}, Hyejin Yu¹ and Yonggi Mo²

*Address all correspondence to: williamcho86@gmail.com

1 R&D Division, Unisys International (& BF International), Daejeon City, Republic of Korea

2 Department of Chemical Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

References

- [1] Wonjun Cho. Current Status of DME Technology Development in Korea. 2013. Available from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mktzKbji58A.
- [2] Wonjun Cho. KOGAS DME activities for commercialization. Asian DME Conference;
 16 November 2011. Available from: http://aboutdme.org/aboutdme/files/cclibraryfiles/
 filename/000000001976/7asiandme_kogas_cho.pdf.
- [3] Jumin Youn. The status of DME fuel in Korea. The 6th Korea-China-Japan Petroleum Technology Congress; 4–6 September 2013.
- [4] Fabio Passarelli. Offshore GTL: modular solution for associated gas with variable CO₂ content. 25th World Gas Conference; 7 June 2012.
- [5] Ana Paula Fonseca. Compact and other advanced GTL technologies. IGU Committee Meeting (WOC1 and PGC A); 18–21 February 2013.
- [6] Available from: http://www.compactgtl.com/about/resources.

