We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Interspecific and Intraspecific Genetic Diversity of *Thunnus* Species

Mei-Chen Tseng¹, Chuen-Tan Jean², Peter J. Smith³ and Yin-Huei Hung¹

¹Department of Aquaculture
National Pingtung University of Science & Technology, Pingtung

²Department of Physical Therapy
Shu Zen College of Medicine and Management, Kaohsiung

³Museum Victoria, Melbourne Victoria

^{1,2}Taiwan, Republic of China

³Australia

1. Introduction

The genus *Thunnus* contains eight valid species with a fossil record extending back to the Middle Eocene, about 40 million years ago (Carrol, 1988, Benton, 1993). Collette (1978) distinguished tunas into the temperate subgenus *Thunnus* (bluefin tuna group) and the tropical subgenus *Neothunnus* (yellowfin group) by the presence or absence of a central heat exchanger. The yellowfin group contains blackfin (*T. atlanticus*), longtail (*T. tonggol*), and yellowfin (*T. albacares*) tunas. Albacore (*T. alalunga*), bigeye (*T. obesus*), northern bluefin (*T. thynnus* & *T. orientalis*), and southern bluefin (*T. maccoyii*) tunas are members of the bluefin group. *T. obesus* shares approximately the same number of characters with each group; however, it is classified as a member of the subgenus *Thunnus* since the character states consist of adaptations to life in colder environments (Collette, 1978).

Finnerty & Block (1995) explored *Thunnus* systematics using a portion of the cytochrome (Cyt) *b* gene. However, only five of eight tuna species were analyzed, and results were insufficient to draw conclusions about relationships within the genus *Thunnus*. Alvarado-Bremer et al. (1997) constructed phylogenetic relationships among tunas from a portion of the mitochondrial (mt) DNA control region. A Neighbor-joining tree supported monophyletic origins for the temperate subgenus *Thunnus* and of the tropical subgenus *Neothunnus*, except for bigeye tuna because it was difficult to place in either subgenus. This result is consistent with allozyme data suggesting that the bigeye tuna has a greater similarity to yellowfin and blackfin tunas than to temperate tunas (Sharp & Pirages, 1978; Elliott & Ward, 1995). Alvarado-Bremer et al. (1997), Ward (1995), and Chow & Kishino (1995) suggested that mtDNAs of albacore and Pacific bluefin tunas share a common ancestry. Nevertheless, Sharp & Pirages (1978) and Chow & Kishino (1995) indicated that albacore tunas are highly divergent from all other tunas, suggesting that the *Thunnus* subgenus is not a monophyletic group. Elliott and Ward (1995) reported that bluefin tunas were much closer to the yellow tuna than to albacore and bigeye tunas, and albacore was the most divergent species in the

genus. Alvarado-Bremer et al. (1997) inferred that introgression of albacore mtDNA into the Pacific bluefin tuna occurred a long time ago.

Chow et al. (2006) examined intra- and interspecific nucleotide sequence variations of the first internal transcribed spacer (*ITS1*) of ribosomal (r)DNA among all *Thunnus* species. Their report supported mitochondrial introgression between species and contradicted the morphological subdivision of the genus into the two subgenera, *Neothunnus* and *Thunnus*. The cladogram constructed from the *ITS1* indistinctly resolved phylogenetic relationships among three tropical tunas (*T. albacore*, *T. tonggol*, and *T. atlanticus*). The *ITS1* and mtDNA ATCO sequence data both supported the monophyletic status of the yellowfin tuna group and indicated that these tropical tunas are recently derived taxa; nevertheless, *T. thynnus* and *T. orientalis* shared almost identical *ITS1* sequences, while having distinct mtDNA. These molecular data suggested that intermittent speciation events occurred in species of the genus *Thunnus*. Consequently, relationships among closely related *Thunnus* taxa remain unresolved.

The Pacific bluefin tuna *T. orientalis* is a migratory pelagic fish of high commercial value in world fisheries (Ward et al., 1995). This species is primarily distributed in the North Pacific Ocean, from the Gulf of Alaska to southern California and Baja California and from Sakhalin Island in the southern Sea of Okhotsk to the northern Philippines; the tuna is also found in the South Pacific Ocean around Australia, the Galapagos Islands, the Gulf of Papua, and New Zealand (Bayliff, 1994, Collette & Nauen, 1983, Collette & Smith, 1981, Smith et al., 2001; Ward et al., 1995). Pacific bluefin tuna spawn between Japan and the Philippines, in the Sea of Japan south of Honshu (Chen et al., 2006; Itoh, 2009, Tanaka et al., 2006, Tanaka et al., 2007); larvae are transported northwards towards Japan by the Kuroshio Current, and juveniles are found in waters near Japan. Some young fish migrate east as far as the western coast of North America, and are presumed to return to the western Pacific to breed (Bayliff, 1991, 2001). The Pacific bluefin tuna is believed to become sexually mature at about 5 years of age and to have a maximum lifespan of 25 years (Bayliff, 1994; Ueyanagi, 1975). Surprisingly little is known about the stock structure and population biology of *T. orientalis*. Currently there are no data on the population genetics of *T. orientalis* stocks exploited in the northern and southern Pacific Ocean.

In the present study, we collected complete *Cyt b* sequences from all eight *Thunnus* species, described interspecies genetic variations of the *Cyt b* gene, and explored their phylogeny. Results indicated that *Cyt b* is a good tool for tuna identification. The *Thunnus* phylogeny did not conform to the two-subgenera classification pattern. Another aim of this research was to investigate whether genetic differentiation appears between *T. orientalis* samples from Taiwan and New Zealand waters. Results suggested that these two samples shared high genetic homogeneity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Tuna sampling

2.1.1 Eight *Thunnus* tuna collection

All five *Thunnus* species *T. obesus*, *T. tonggol*, *T. alalunga*, *T. albacares*, and *T. orientalis* and an outgroup specimen *Katsuwonus pelamis* were collected from Taiwan waters. *Thunnus*

maccoyii was obtained from the Indian Ocean by Taiwanese fishery observers in 2006 as described by Shiao et al. (2008). *Thunnus thynnus* was caught from Martinique waters of the eastern Caribbean Sea in 2008. Tissue specimens of *T. atlanticus* were supplied by the Florida Museum of Natural History, Gainesville, FL, USA.

2.1.2 *Thunnus orientalis* sampling

Two sets of muscle tissue samples of *T. orientalis* were collected from the North and South Pacific Oceans. Forty specimens (T1~T40) were sampled from southeastern Taiwan waters (T) during 2006~2007 and 40 specimens (Z1~Z40) from New Zealand waters (Z) in 2001 by scientific observers on commercial fishing vessels (Fig. 1). Muscle tissue samples were preserved in 95% ethanol for DNA extraction.

Fig. 1. Sampling locations of *Thunnus orientalis* in Taiwan and New Zealand waters.

2.2 DNA Cloning

2.2.1 DNA isolation and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification

DNA was extracted as previously described (Kocher et al., 1989). Two sets of primers and amplification conditions were used to amplify the complete *Cyt b* gene and control region of mtDNA (Tseng et al., 2009, 2011). Amplification was performed in a BIO-RAD MJ Mini Gradient Thermal Cycler (Conmali Biotechnology, Singapore). Subsamples (at 10 µL) of amplified products were checked on 0.8% agarose gels to confirm the product sizes.

2.2.2 DNA purification, transformation, and sequencing

The remaining successful PCR products were purified from agarose gels using a DNA Clean/Extraction kit (GeneMark, Taichung, Taiwan). Isolated DNA fragments were subcloned into the pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and transformed into the *Escherichia coli* JM109 strain. Plasmid DNA was isolated using a mini plasmid kit (Geneaid, Taichung, Taiwan). Twenty individuals from each of the Taiwanese and New Zealand samples of *T. orientalis* were randomly chosen for cloning followed by sequencing in an Applied Biosystems (ABI, Foster City, CA, USA) automated DNA sequencer 377 (vers. 3.3) with a Bigdye sequencing kit (Perkin-Elmer, Wellesley, MA, USA). The T7 and SP6 primers were used in the sequencing reaction, and PCR cycle parameters for sequencing were 35 cycles of 95°C for 30s, 50°C for 30s, and 72°C for 1 min.

2.3 Sequence analyses

2.3.1 Cyt b gene analysis

Interspecific genetic diversities of nucleotides were calculated using MEGA software (Tamura et al., 2007). GTR+I+G was the best-fitting model for DNA substitutions as determined by the Modeltest 3.7 program (Posada & Crandall, 1998) using the Akaike information criterion (AIC = 5091.39, gamma = 0.5623). The phylogenetic tree of nucleotide sequences was constructed using the Neighbor-joining (NJ) method (Nei & Kumar, 2000). The confidence of the clusters was assessed using a bootstrap analysis with 1000 replications. Genetic distances between amino acid sequences were estimated using Poisson correction methods (Nei & Kumar, 2000) in MEGA (Tamura et al., 2007). The phylogenetic tree of amino acid sequences was constructed by the NJ method with an anterior-branch test, and the confidence of the clusters was assessed by 1000 bootstrap replications.

2.3.2 Control region analysis

In total, 40 nucleotide sequences of the mtDNA control region from the two sets of samples (T and Z) were aligned with the program, CLUSTAL W (Thompson et al., 1994), and verified by eye. All 40 sequences were deposited in NCBI GenBank (with accession nos. JN631171~1190 and JN631211~1230). The number of polymorphic sites including substitutions and indels was estimated using Arlequin vers. 3.1 (Excoffier et al., 2010). Levels of inter- and intra-sample genetic diversity were quantified by indices of h_d and pairwise estimates of nucleotide divergence (d_{ij}), both among and within samples. The average number of nucleotide substitutions per site (π) and pairwise differences between samples were determined using the DnaSP program (Librado & Rozas, 2009). Intraspecific genetic distances were analyzed by Kimura's 2-parameter (K2P) model (Kimura, 1980). The best-fitting models of substitution were determined using MODELTEST 3.7 (Posada & Crandall, 1998) with the AIC. The TVM+I+G model with gamma = 0.724 and AIC = 5370.36 was chosen as the best-fitting model. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the NJ method (Nei & Kumar, 2000), and the confidence of each node of the tree was tested by bootstrapping (Felsenstein, 1985) with 1000 replicates. Nodes with bootstrap values of >70 were significantly supported by a ≥95% probability (Hillis & Bull, 1993). A minimum spanning tree (MST) was computed from the matrix of pairwise distances between all pairs of 40 haplotypes using a modification of the algorithm described by Rohlf (1973). An exact test of genetic

differentiation between samples was also estimated with F_{ST} (Raymond & Rousset, 1995) with 10^5 steps of a Markov chain.

2.4 Genotyping of microsatellites

Genetic variation in *T. orientalis* samples was estimated with six microsatellite loci: Tth14, -31, -185, -217, -226, and Ttho-4. The primers for these loci were developed by Clark et al. (2004) and Takagi et al. (1999). PCR cocktails consisted of ~1 ng genomic DNA, 10 pmol reverse primer, 10 pmol labeled forward primer, 25 mM dNTP, 0.05~0.1 mM $MgCl_2$, 10× buffer, and 0.5 U *Taq* polymerase (Takara Shuzo, Tokyo, Japan) made up to a 25-μL volume with Milli-Q water. Forward primers were labeled with the FAM, TAMRA, or HEX fluorescence markers. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were carried out in a BIO-RAD MJ Mini Gradient Thermal Cycler (Conmall Biotechnology, Singapore) programmed with the following schedule: 1 cycle of 95°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30s, annealing at 52~60°C for 30s, and 72°C for 30s. Each 5 μL of PCR production from three loci labeled with different fluorescence tags, was mixed and precipitated with 95% alcohol. Semiautomated genotyping was performed using a capillary MegaBACE-500 DNA analysis system (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Genotypes were scored with Genetic Profiler 1.5 (Amersham Biosciences). The overall genotype success rate was 100%. The size of each allele was checked by eye, and the Micro-checker software package (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004) was used to correct genotyping errors, such as non-amplified alleles, short allele dominance, and scoring of stutter peaks.

2.5 Microsatellite genotypic analyses

The total number of alleles (n_a), allelic frequencies, and Shannon index were estimated for each locus using the program POPGENE (Yang & Yeh, 1993). Observed (H_O) and expected (H_E) heterozygosities were calculated for each locus (Raymond & Rousset, 1995). Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were examined by an exact test using GENEPOP (Raymond & Rousset, 1995). Genetic differentiation between samples was characterized using F_{ST} and R_{ST} values as implemented in ARLEQUIN 3.1 (Schneider et al., 2000). A factorial correspondence analysis was performed with GENETIX (Belkhir et al., 1996-2004) to plot multilocus genotypes of the two samples in two dimensions.

3. Results

3.1 Genetic variations and genealogy of the *Cyt b* gene

The mitochondrial *Cyt b* gene was completely sequenced for all eight tuna individuals examined. Of the 1141 aligned base pairs, 20 sites were singleton variable and 45 sites were parsimoniously informative. The *Cyt b* gene cloned from *T. tonggol* and homological sequence EF141181.1 shared an identical sequence. Some individual nucleotide compositions only appeared in specific species except *T. thynnus* (Fig. 2). For example *T. tonggol* could be individually distinguished from each other *Thunnus* tuna by the 45th, 81st, 321st, 360th, and 762nd sites of the *Cyt b* sequences. *T. albacares* could be particularly distinguished from each other *Thunnus* tuna by the 330th and 783rd sites.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1. <i>T. thunnus</i>	*	7	17	16	14	10	7	31	30	36	34	8	7	9	122
2. NC_004901.2	0.006	*	20	19	18	9	8	34	33	39	37	15	14	16	128
3. <i>T. tonggol</i>	0.015	0.018	*	11	19	21	22	38	35	43	41	16	15	16	126
4. <i>T. maccoyii</i>	0.014	0.017	0.01	*	18	22	21	35	32	40	38	15	14	15	127
5. NC_014101.1	0.012	0.016	0.017	0.016	*	22	21	35	34	40	38	13	12	11	122
6. <i>T. alalunga</i>	0.009	0.008	0.019	0.02	0.02	*	3	32	31	37	35	18	17	19	127
7. NC_005317.1	0.006	0.007	0.02	0.019	0.019	0.003	*	35	34	40	38	15	14	16	127
8. <i>T. orientalis</i>	0.028	0.031	0.034	0.032	0.032	0.029	0.032	*	3	7	5	31	30	32	122
9. GU256524	0.027	0.030	0.032	0.029	0.031	0.028	0.031	0.003	*	8	6	30	29	31	123
10. <i>T. albacares</i>	0.033	0.035	0.039	0.036	0.036	0.033	0.036	0.006	0.007	*	2	36	35	37	128
11. NC_014061.1	0.031	0.033	0.037	0.034	0.034	0.032	0.034	0.004	0.005	0.002	*	34	33	35	126
12. <i>T. obesus</i>	0.007	0.013	0.014	0.013	0.012	0.016	0.013	0.028	0.027	0.032	0.031	*	1	6	123
13. NC_014059.1	0.06	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.011	0.015	0.012	0.027	0.026	0.032	0.030	0.001	*	5	122
14. <i>T. atlanticus</i>	0.008	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.010	0.017	0.014	0.029	0.028	0.033	0.032	0.005	0.004	*	123
15. <i>K. pelamis</i>	0.117	0.123	0.121	0.122	0.117	0.122	0.122	0.117	0.118	0.123	0.121	0.118	0.117	0.118	*

Table 1. Numbers of different nucleotides between tuna species (upper diagonal) and K2P genetic distances of nucleotide sequences (below the diagonal) from *cytochrome b* gene sequences of eight *Thunnus* species and the outgroup *Katsuwonus pelamis*.

nt was observed in six individuals of *T. orientalis*. In total, 133 mutant sites containing 128 transitions, 14 transversions, and 14 indels were observed among the 40 haplotypes.

Long fragments, 865~876 base pairs (bp), containing the entire mtDNA control region were successfully amplified. Following alignment, the consensus nucleotide sequence for all specimens of *T. orientalis* was 894 bp long. Forty different sequences were found in the 40 individuals from T and Z samples with a very high haplotype diversity (100%). The number of different nucleotides ranged 2 (T5 vs. T6) to 31 (T1 vs. Z28) (Fig. 4). The nucleotide diversity (d_{ij}) among sequences ranged 0.002 (T9 vs. Z19) to 0.040 (T1 vs. Z29) with an average of 0.023 ± 0.008 (Table 2).

K2P genetic distances among different haplotypes ranged 0.002~0.042 with an overall average of 0.023 ± 0.003 . The average number of nucleotide differences among all specimens was 16.879 ± 2.068 . The d_{ij} between these two samples was 0.023 ± 0.002 . Genetic distances ranged 0.002 (T5 vs. T6) to 0.035 (T1 vs. T19), with an average of 0.021 in sample T, and ranged 0.003 (Z19 vs. Z33) to 0.035 (Z13 vs. Z29) with an average of 0.02 in sample Z. The topology of the NJ tree was estimated using the entire dataset with a non-significant

geographical group (Fig. 5). Specimens from both sets of samples were scattered throughout the NJ tree. The pairwise F_{ST} value between these two samples was 0.009 ($p = 0.244$). These results indicated that no specimens of *T. orientalis* exhibited genetic differentiation. The MST was computed from a matrix of pairwise distances between all pairs of haplotypes of *T. orientalis* (Fig. 6). Two central haplotypes were found in the MST (T15 and T11), and most of haplotypes were located at the tip of the MST.

Fig. 3. Neighbor-joining tree constructed by 16 *Cyt b* gene sequences from eight *Thunnus* species and the outgroup *Katsuwonus pelamis*.

3.3 Microsatellite diversity

All six microsatellite loci were scored in these two sets of samples of *T. orientalis*, which had high levels of polymorphism (Fig. 7). The number of alleles per locus ranged 4 (Tth 185) to 19 (Tth 217) with an average of 10.50 ± 5.32 ($n = 80$). Shannon's information index (I) estimated for different loci ranged 0.906~2.165 (mean, 1.501 ± 0.410) in sample T, and 0.693~2.544 (mean, 1.632 ± 0.624) in sample Z. Mean numbers of alleles (na) per locus were 7.833 ± 3.833 for sample T and 9.833 ± 5.565 for sample Z (Table 3).

Fig. 4. Variable sites within 16 selected D-loop sequences from 14 Pacific bluefin tuna specimens and two outgroups.

	T1	T5	T9	T11	T17	T22	T25	Z8	Z13	Z24	Z28	Z34	Z36	Z39	<i>T. alalunga</i>	<i>T. thynnus</i>
T1	*	5	24	18	27	21	25	31	11	20	33	25	30	30	41	43
T5	0.006	*	23	15	24	18	22	28	8	17	30	22	27	16	38	40
T9	0.028	0.027	*	16	21	19	20	20	21	17	26	20	10	25	42	44
T11	0.021	0.018	0.019	*	19	13	19	21	15	10	23	17	16	17	38	42
T17	0.032	0.029	0.025	0.022	*	22	15	21	22	14	25	7	23	24	43	45
T22	0.025	0.021	0.022	0.015	0.026	*	16	18	18	15	28	20	21	20	39	43
T25	0.030	0.026	0.024	0.022	0.018	0.019	*	18	22	16	24	12	22	24	40	44
Z8	0.037	0.033	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.021	0.021	*	26	18	18	18	22	24	40	42
Z13	0.013	0.009	0.025	0.018	0.026	0.021	0.026	0.031	*	17	26	22	25	16	41	43
Z24	0.024	0.020	0.020	0.012	0.016	0.018	0.019	0.021	0.020	*	22	12	17	17	43	47
Z28	0.040	0.036	0.031	0.027	0.030	0.033	0.029	0.021	0.031	0.026	*	22	24	28	42	44
Z34	0.030	0.026	0.024	0.020	0.008	0.024	0.014	0.021	0.026	0.014	0.026	*	22	22	42	44
Z36	0.036	0.032	0.012	0.019	0.027	0.025	0.026	0.026	0.030	0.020	0.028	0.026	*	27	42	46
Z39	0.022	0.019	0.030	0.020	0.029	0.024	0.029	0.028	0.019	0.020	0.033	0.026	0.032	*	45	45
<i>T. alalunga</i>	0.050	0.046	0.051	0.046	0.053	0.047	0.049	0.049	0.050	0.052	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.055	*	17
<i>T. thynnus</i>	0.052	0.048	0.053	0.051	0.055	0.052	0.053	0.051	0.052	0.057	0.053	0.053	0.056	0.055	0.020	*

Table 2. Numbers of different nucleotides of the mtDNA D-loop region (above the diagonal) and K2P genetic distances of nucleotide sequences (below the diagonal) among tuna specimens.

Fig. 5. Neighbor-joining tree constructed with 40 D-loop sequences from 20 individuals each from Taiwan and New Zealand waters. *Thunnus alalunga* and *T. thynnus* were selected as outgroups in the tree.

Four private alleles were found in sample T and 16 private alleles in sample Z. At the Tth-217 locus, there was a greater number of private alleles in sample T than in the other loci. The value of H_O over six loci ranged 0.425 (Tth-31) to 0.725 (Tth-217), with an average of 0.633 ± 0.127 in sample T and ranged 0.2 (Tth-185) to 0.75 (Ttho-4, Tth-27, and Tth-226), with an average of 0.613 ± 0.217 in sample Z. Values of H_E over six loci ranged 0.531 (Tth-185) to 0.872 (Tth-217), with an average of 0.712 ± 0.109 in sample T and ranged 0.461 (Tth-185) to 0.911 (Tth-217), with an average of 0.730 ± 0.154 in sample Z. Overall mean H_O and H_E values for the six loci were 0.623 ± 0.167 and 0.722 ± 0.130 (Table 3).

Fig. 6. Minimum spanning tree constructed from D-loop data.

Nei's genetic identity and genetic distance between the two samples were 0.978 and 0.222. Shannon's information index ranged 0.818 (Tth-185) to 2.435 (Tth-217) with an average of 1.609 ± 0.528 . Permutation tests for linkage disequilibrium among the six loci for the two samples revealed only very slight disequilibrium for the entire dataset ($D_{IT}^2 = 0.009$). The genetic differentiation index, F_{ST} , ranged 0.001 (locus Tth-14) to 0.016 (locus Tth-31) within loci and averaged 0.076. F_{ST} and R_{ST} values between these two samples were 0.003 ($p = 0.243$) and 0.019 ($p = 0.099$), respectively. A factorial correspondence analysis showed that these two samples had distributions that almost completely overlapped to a great extent (Fig. 8).

Fig. 7. Allelic sizes and frequencies from six microsatellite loci. White, Taiwanese samples; black, New Zealand samples; gray, overall sample.

4. Discussion

4.1 Interspecific divergence

In the past, tuna species identification was attempted using several different nuclear and mitochondrial markers. However, those results produced various conclusions, until Viñas & Tudela (2009) used a validated methodology for genetic identification of *Thunnus* species. They indicated that the combination of two genetic markers, one mitochondrial CR and another nuclear *ITS 1*, allowed full discrimination among all eight tuna species. In this study, we found that the *Cyt b* gene is also a well-established marker to distinguish *Thunnus* species, and it has been widely used in taxonomic studies of marine fishes in general (Johns & Avise, 1998). In a previous report, Block et al. (1993) constructed a phylogeny of tunas, bonitos, mackerels, and billfish based on a partial *Cyt b* gene sequence (about 515 bp in

Samples Loci	Taiwan sample N = 40	New Zealand sample N = 40	Overall N = 80
<i>Ttho-4</i>			
<i>HWE test</i>	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
<i>na/ne</i>	6/3.517	8/3.426	8/3.566
<i>I</i>	1.395	1.481	1.495
<i>H_O</i>	0.6	0.750	0.675
<i>H_E</i>	0.725	0.717	0.724
<i>Tth14</i>			
<i>HWE test</i>	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
<i>na/ne</i>	7/3.415	6/3.272	7/3.35
<i>I</i>	1.436	1.38	1.419
<i>H_O</i>	0.7	0.675	0.688
<i>H_E</i>	0.716	0.703	0.706
<i>Tth31</i>			
<i>HWE test</i>	n.s.	*	*
<i>na/ne</i>	7/3.140	12/5.745	12/4.27
<i>I</i>	1.441	2.012	1.785
<i>H_O</i>	0.575	0.55	0.563
<i>H_E</i>	0.690	0.836	0.771
<i>Tth185</i>			
<i>HWE test</i>	n.s.	*	*
<i>na/ne</i>	4/2.101	3/1.836	4/1.965
<i>I</i>	0.906	0.693	0.818
<i>H_O</i>	0.425	0.200	0.313
<i>H_E</i>	0.531	0.461	0.494
<i>Tth217</i>			
<i>HWE test</i>	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
<i>na/ne</i>	12/7.191	19/10	19/8.945
<i>I</i>	2.165	2.544	2.435
<i>H_O</i>	0.725	0.75	0.738
<i>H_E</i>	0.872	0.911	0.894
<i>Tth226</i>			
<i>HWE test</i>	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
<i>na/ne</i>	11/3.675	11/3.888	13/3.824
<i>I</i>	1.663	1.682	1.705
<i>H_O</i>	0.775	0.75	0.763
<i>H_E</i>	0.737	0.752	0.743
<i>Total no. of alleles</i>	47	59	63
<i>Mean I per locus</i>	1.501 ± 0.410	1.632 ± 0.624	1.609 ± 0.529
<i>Mean na per locus</i>	7.833 ± 3.833	9.833 ± 5.565	10.50 ± 5.32
<i>Mean ne per locus</i>	3.840 ± 1.735	4.695 ± 2.888	4.32 ± 2.396
<i>Mean H_O per locus</i>	0.633 ± 0.127	0.613 ± 0.217	0.623 ± 0.167
<i>Mean H_E per locus</i>	0.712 ± 0.109	0.730 ± 0.154	0.722 ± 0.130

* Significant at the 5% level; n.s., not significant.

Table 3. All information of *I* (Shannon index), *na* (observed allelic numbers), *ne* (effective allelic numbers), *H_O*, *H_E*, and test of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). * Indicates a significant deviation from HWE.

Fig. 8. Distribution of the factorial correspondence analysis between two Pacific bluefin tuna specimens from Taiwan and New Zealand waters. Gray squares, specimens from Taiwan; white squares, specimens from New Zealand waters.

length). The marker supplied important evolutionary information among these Scombridae fishes. Tseng et al. (2011) successfully distinguished the three bluefin tunas *T. orientalis*, *T. maccoyii*, and *T. thynnus* using the full-length *Cyt b* gene (1141 bp). Consequently, for this study, the entire *Cyt b* gene sequence could identify all eight *Thunnus* species.

Some specific nucleotide sites are valid for species identification of *Thunnus* tunas. Moreover, all eight subcloned and seven reference sequences had significant clusters among species, suggesting that the *Cyt b* gene is a useful marker for distinguishing *Thunnus* species. However, the identification of hybrid individuals is still difficult when a single genetic marker, such as *Cyt b*, is used. This implies that when one needs to verify equivocal tuna specimens, both nuclear and mitochondrial DNA should simultaneously be applied for identification.

Thunnus phylogeny was clarified on the NJ tree of the *Cyt b* gene. Two significant groups were present on the NJ tree with high bootstrapping values. Results indicated that *T. alalunga* and *T. orientalis* are sister species and share a recent common maternal ancestor, which is consistent with previous reports from Alvarado-Bermer et al. (1997), Ward (1995), and Chow & Kishino (1995). *Thunnus thynnus* and *T. maccoyii* have a closer relationship as do *T. atlanticus* and *T. albacares* with high bootstrapping value support in the NJ tree. Moreover, the NJ tree supports monophyly for the tropical yellowfin group, but not for the temperate bluefin group. This is similar to results from Elliott & Ward's (1995) report which indicated that some bluefin tunas were much closer to the yellow tuna than to albacore and bigeye tunas. In summary, these data suggest that the phylogeny of *Thunnus* species does not fit into the current two-subgenera *Thunnus* and *Neothunnus* classification pattern.

Block et al. (1993) used a partial sequence of the *Cyt b* gene to draw an NJ tree and suggested that *T. alalunga* is the presumed most primitive species. In our study, two groups in the *Cyt b* genealogy seemed to have evolved in parallel. It is difficult to infer which species is the most ancestral species in *Thunnus* according to our NJ tree. The difference between a previous report by Block et al. (1993) and our results may have resulted from various sampling and sequence completeness. However, in this study we sequenced the entire *Cyt b* gene from all *Thunnus* species. Chow et al. (2003) used the mtDNA ATCO sequence to analyze *Thunnus* phylogeny and suggested that *T. alalunga* and *T. orientalis* should be sister species which was consistent with our results. According to these results, we suggest that bluefin tunas had a more-divergent origin.

4.2 Intraspecific divergence

The mtDNA CR of *T. orientalis* was AT-rich and similar to those of many other fishes. Short tandem repeat sequences were reported in the CR of some fishes (Ishikawa et al. 2001), but not in *Thunnus* species, except in *T. orientalis* (Alvarado-Bremer et al. 1997). In *T. orientalis*, double repeated sequences were present in 6/40 specimens and indicated that tandem repeat sequences may be a derived character in *Thunnus* species. Most of the central haplotypes in the MST were from sample T, while most individuals from sample Z were located at the terminus. Most of the haplotypes were located at the apex of the tree which is considered to be the result of adaptive radiation.

There is plenty of evidence to show a lack of differentiation in *T. orientalis* between Taiwanese and New Zealand waters. For one thing, the genetic distance of CR between the T and Z samples was equal to the mean genetic distances within samples. What is more, the CR had a lower *Fst* between the two samples with an insignificant probability. One final point, the NJ topology for the CR did not show a clear geographical grouping, and all haplotypes from samples T and Z were scattered within the NJ tree and MST. It should be concluded, from what was said above, that the null hypothesis of specimens from Taiwanese and New Zealand waters being taken from a single population cannot be rejected.

The mean H_O and H_E values were very similar between the T and Z samples. Low and non-significant genetic differentiation indices of *Fst* and *Rst* between these two samples indicated that individuals of *T. orientalis* belong to the same population, and no individuals could be assigned to a specific group according to the factorial correspondence analysis. Both mtDNA and microsatellite results led to the conclusion that the lack of genetic differentiation between the T and Z samples of *T. orientalis* is consistent with a “one-stock” hypothesis. In other tuna species DNA studies have revealed little intra-specific divergence within, but significant divergence between ocean basins. In bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) DNA markers showed no divergence among population samples from the western Pacific Ocean (Chiang et al., 2006), but high divergence among Atlantic and Indo-Pacific populations (Chow et al., 2000; Chiang et al., 2006; 2008; Martinez et al., 2006). Similarly, in albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) no genetic differences were detected among samples from the Northwest Pacific Ocean (Wu et al., 2009), but significant differences were reported among Atlantic and Indo-Pacific populations (Vinas et al., 2004). In the other bluefin tuna no spatial genetic structure was detected among samples of southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) from the Indian

and Pacific Oceans (Grewe et al., 1997), as expected for a species with a single spawning ground in the Java Sea. In contrast, in the Atlantic bluefin tuna (*T. thynnus*) no spatial genetic heterogeneity was detected among samples in the eastern Atlantic Ocean (Pujolar et al., 2003), but populations from the Gulf of Mexico and the Mediterranean were genetically distinct (Boustany et al., 2008). In recent decades, many wild organisms have experienced many environmental and harvesting effects that have led to population declines. For example, the abundance of juveniles of the freshwater eel *Anguilla japonica* Temminck & Schlegel, 1846 has decreased since 1970 due to climate change and overfishing (Dekker, 2003). The spawning stock of Atlantic bluefin tuna in the western Atlantic declined by ~50% between 1970 and 2000 (Porch, 2005). Southern bluefin tuna *T. maccoyii* is now in a depleted state. Historically, the stock was exploited for more than 50 years, with total catches peaking at 81,750 tons in 1961. However, the spawning stock was estimated to be around 10,000~20,000 tons in the previous 10~20-year period with a historically and critically low level (CCSBT, 2010). Currently Pacific bluefin tuna exhibits a high level of genetic polymorphism and population states under mutation-drift equilibrium, but significant catch decreases have occurred in the last decade due to overexploitation. Therefore, it is necessary to monitor the genetic diversity of the Pacific bluefin tuna population over a long period of time in the future.

5. Conclusions

The *Cyt b* gene is a well-established marker to distinguish *Thunnus* species. The genealogy of *Cyt b* suggested that *T. orientalis* and *T. alalunga* are sister species with a high bootstrapping value and supported monophyly of the tropical yellowfin group, but not of the temperate bluefin group. The phylogeny of *Thunnus* species does not fit into the current two-subgenera *Thunnus* and *Neothunnus* classification pattern. The mtDNA CR of *T. orientalis* shows that a lack differentiation in *T. orientalis* from Taiwanese and New Zealand waters is consistent with a “one-stock” hypothesis.

6. Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to Dr. J. C. Shiao for supplying specimens from the North Pacific Ocean. We also deeply appreciate S. L. Lin, J. Q. Ling, and D. S. Hsiung for their help with laboratory work. We also thank the Florida Museum of Natural History-Genetic Resources Repository and Dr. Rob Robins for supplying muscle tissues of *Thunnus atlanticus* specimens.

7. References

- Alvarado-Bremer, J. R., Naseri, I., & Ely, B. (1997). Orthodox and unorthodox phylogenetic relationships among tunas revealed by the nucleotide sequence analysis of the mitochondrial control region. *Journal of Fish Biology* 50, 540-554.
- Bayliff, W. H. (1991). Status of northern bluefin tuna in the Pacific Ocean. In IATTC, world meeting on stock Assessment of Bluefin tunas: strengths and weaknesses. *Inter-American tropical Tuna Commission Special Report* 7, 29-88.

- Bayliff, W. H. (1994). A review of the biology and fisheries for northern bluefin tuna, *Thunnus thynnus*, in the Pacific Ocean, *Interactions of Pacific Tuna Fisheries*, (Eds R. S. Shomura, J. Majkowski, and S. Langi.) Vol. 2. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 336/2, pp. 244-295.
- Bayliff, W. H. (2001). Status of bluefin tuna in the Pacific Ocean. *Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission Stock Assessment Report* 1, 211-254.
- Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N. & Bonhomme, F. (1996-2004). GENETIX 4.05: logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome, Populations, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5000, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier (France).
- Benton, M. J., ed. (1993) *The Fossil Record* 2. Chapman and Hall, London
- Block, B. A., Finnerty, J. R., Stewart, A. F. & Kidd, J. (1993). Evolution of endothermy in fish: mapping physiological traits on a molecular phylogeny. *Science* 260, 210-214.
- Boustany, A. M., Reeb, C. A. & Block, B. A. (2008) Mitochondrial DNA and electronic tracking reveal population structure of Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*). *Marine Biology* 156, 13-24.
- Carroll, R. L. (1988). *Vertebrate Paleontology and Evolution*. New York: W. H. Freeman and Co.
- CCSBT (2010). Report on biology, stock status and Management of Southern bluefin tuna. *Attachment 12 of the Report of the Fifteenth Meeting of the Scientific Committee*.
- Chen, K. S., Crone, P. & Hsu, C. C. (2006). Reproductive biology of female Pacific bluefin tuna *Thunnus orientalis* from south-western North Pacific Ocean. *Fisheries Science* 72, 985-994.
- Chiang, H. C., Hsu, C. C., Lin, H. D., Ma, G. C., Chiang, T. Y. & Yang, H. Y. (2006) Population structure of bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) in the South China Sea, Philippine Sea and western Pacific Ocean inferred from mitochondrial DNA. *Fisheries Research* 79, 219-225.
- Chiang, H. C., Hsu, C. C., Wu, G. C. C., Chank, S. K. & Yang, H. Y. (2008) Population structure of bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) in the Indian Ocean inferred from mitochondrial DNA. *Fisheries Research* 90, 305-312.
- Chow, S., Nohara, K., Tanabe, T., Itoh, T., Tsuji, S., Nishikawa, Y., Ueyanagi, S. & Uchikawa, K. (2003) Genetic and morphological identification of larval and small juvenile tunas (Pisces, Scombridae) caught by a mid-water trawl in the western Pacific. *Bulletin of the Fishery Research Agency* 8, 1-14.
- Chow, S., Nakagawa, T., Suzuki, N., Takeyama, H. & Matsunaga, T. (2006) Phylogenetic relationships among *Thunnus* species inferred from rDNA ITS1 sequence. *Journal of Fish Biology* 68, 24-35.
- Chow, S. & Kishino, H. (1995) Phylogenetic relationships between tuna species of the genus *Thunnus* (Scombridae: Teleostei): Inconsistent implications from morphology, nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 41, 741-748.

- Chow, S., Okamoto, H., Miyabe, N., Hiramatsu, K. & Barut, N. (2000) Genetic divergence between Atlantic and Indo-Pacific stocks of bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) and admixture around South Africa. *Molecular Ecology* 9, 221-227.
- Clark, T. B., Ma, L., Saillant, E. & Gold, J. R. (2004). Microsatellite DNA markers for population-genetic studies of Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) and other species of genus *Thunnus*. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 70.
- Collette, B. B. (1978) Adaptations and systematics of the mackerels and tunas. In G. D. Sharp and A. E. Dizon (eds.), *The physiological ecology of tunas*, pp. 7-39, Academic Press, New York.
- Collette, B. B. & Nauen, C. E. (1983). FAO species catalogue. Vol 2 Scombrids of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of tunas, mackerels, bonitos and related species known to date. *FAO Fisheries Synopsis* 2, pp. 137.
- Collette, B. B. & Smith, B. R. (1981). Bluefin tuna, *Thunnus thynnus orientalis*, from the Gulf of Papua. *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 28, 166-168.
- Dekker, W. (2003). Worldwide decline of eel resources necessitates immediate action: Quebec Declaration of Concern. *Fisheries* 28, 28-30.
- Elliott, N. G. & Ward, R. D. (1995) Genetic relationships of eight species of Pacific tuna (Teleostei, Scombridae) inferred from allozyme analysis. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 46, 1021-1032.
- Excoffier, L. & Lischer, H. E. L. (2010). Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resource* 10, 564-567.
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39, 783-791.
- Finnerty, J. R. & Block, B. A. (1995) Evolution of *cytochrome b* in the Scombroidei (Teleostei): molecular insights into billfish (Istiophoridae and Xiphiidae) relationships. *Fishery Bulletin* 93, 78-96.
- Grewe, P. M., Elliott, N. G., Innes, B. H. & Ward, R. D. (1997) Genetic population structure of southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*). *Marine Biology* 127, 555-561.
- Hillis, D. M. & Bull, J. J. (1993). An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. *Systematic Biology* 42, 182-192.
- Ishikawa, S., Aoyama, J., Tsukamoto, K., and Nishida, M. (2001). Population structure of the Japanese eel *Anguilla japonica* as examined by mitochondrial DNA sequencing. *Fisheries Science* 67, 246-253.
- Itoh, T. (2009). Contributions of different spawning seasons to the stock of Pacific bluefin tuna *Thunnus orientalis* estimated from otolith daily increments and catch-at-length data of age-0 fish. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 75, 412-418.
- Johns, G. C. & Avise, J. C. (1998). A comparative summary of genetic distances in the vertebrates from the mitochondrial *cytochrome b* gene. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 15, 1481-1490.
- Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 16, 111-120.
- Kocher, T. D., Thomas, W. K., Meyer, A., Edwards, S. V., Pabo, S., Villablanca, F.X. & Wilson, A. C. (1989). Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals:

- amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 86, 6196-6200.
- Librado, P. & Rozas, J. (2009). DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1451-1452 | doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187.
- Martinez, P., Gonzalez, E. G., Castilho, R. & Zardoya, R. (2006) Genetic diversity and historical demography of Atlantic bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 39, 404-416.
- Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2000). 'Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics'. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Porch, C. E. (2005). "The sustainability of western Atlantic bluefin tuna: a warm-blooded fish in a hot-blooded fishery". *Bulletin of Marine Science* 76.2, 363-84.
- Posada, D. & Crandall, K. A. (1998). Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14, 817-818.
- Pujolar, J. M., Roldán, M. I. & Pla, C. (2003) Genetic analysis of tuna populations *Thunnus thynnus thynnus* and *T. alalunga*. *Marine Biology* 143, 613-621.
- Raymond, M. & Rousset, F. (1995). An exact test for population differentiation. *Evolution* 49, 1280-1283.
- Rohlf, F. J. (1973). Algorithm 76. Hierarchical clustering using the minimum spanning tree. *Computer Journal* 16, 93-95.
- Sharp, G. D. & Pirages, S. (1978) The distribution of red and white swimming muscles, their biochemistry, and the biochemical phylogeny of selected scombrid fishes. In: Sharp GD, Dizon AE (eds) *The physiological ecology of tunas*, pp. 41-78, Academic Press, New York.
- Shiao J. C., Chang, S. K., Lin, Y. T. & Tzeng, W. N. (2008). Size and age composition of southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) in the central Indian Ocean inferred from fisheries and otolith data. *Zoological Studies* 47, 158-171.
- Smith, P. J., Griggs, L. & Chow, S. (2001). DNA identification of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) in the New Zealand fishery. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 35, 843-850.
- Takagi, M., Okamura, T., Chow, S. & Taniguchi, N. (1999). PCR primers for microsatellite loci in tuna species of the genus *Thunnus* and its application for population genetic study. *Fisheries Science* 65, 571-576.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2007). MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24, 1596-1599.
- Tanaka, Y., Mohri, M. & Yamada, H. (2007). Distribution, growth and hatch date of juvenile Pacific bluefin tuna *Thunnus orientalis* in the coastal area of the Sea of Japan. *Fisheries Science* 73, 534-542.
- Tanaka, Y., Satoh, K., Iwahashi, M. & Yamada, H. (2006). Growth-dependent recruitment of Pacific bluefin tuna *Thunnus orientalis* in the northwestern Pacific Ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 319, 225-235.
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. & Gibson, T. J. (1994). Clustal W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22, 4673-4680.

- Tseng, M. C., Jean, C. T., Tsai, W. L. & Chen, N. C. (2009). Distinguishing between two sympatric *Acanthopagrus* species from Dapeng Bay, Taiwan, using morphometric and genetic characters. *Journal of Fish Biology* 74, 357-376.
- Tseng, M. C., Shiao, J. C. & Hung, Y. H. (2011). Genetic identification of *Thunnus orientalis*, *T. thynnus*, and *T. maccoyii* by a cytochrome *b* gene analysis. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 91, 103-115.
- Ueyanagi, S. (1975). *Thunnus* commentary. In Fisheries in Japan: Tuna. Uichi Noda Publishers; Japan Marine Products Photo Materials Association. Tokyo.
- Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W. F., Wills, D. P. M. & Shipley, P. (2004). MICRO-CHECKER software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 535-538.
- Viñas, J., Alvarado-Bremer, J. R. & Pla, C. (2004) Inter-oceanic genetic differentiation among albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*) populations. *Marine Biology* 145, 225-232.
- Viñas, J. & Tudela, S. (2009). A validated methodology for genetic identification of tuna species (Genus *Thunnus*). *PLoS ONE* 4: e7606. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007606
- Ward, R. D., Elliott, N. G. & Grewe, P. M. (1995). Allozyme and mitochondrial DNA separation of Pacific Northern bluefin tuna, *Thunnus thynnus orientalis* (Temminck and Schlegel), from Southern bluefin tuna, *Thunnus maccoyii* (Castelnau). *Marine and Freshwater Research* 46, 921-930.
- Ward, R. D. (1995). Population genetics of tunas. *Journal of Fish Biology* 47 (supplement A): 259-280.
- Wu, G. C. C., Chiang, H. C., Chen, K. S., Hsu, C. C. & Yang, H. Y. (2009). Population structure of albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*) in the Northwestern Pacific Ocean inferred from mitochondrial DNA. *Fisheries Research* 95, 125-131.
- Yang, R. C. & Yeh, F. C. (1993). Multilocus structure in *Pinus contoria* Dougl. *Theoretical and applied genetics* 87, 568-576.

IntechOpen

Analysis of Genetic Variation in Animals

Edited by Prof. Mahmut Caliskan

ISBN 978-953-51-0093-5

Hard cover, 360 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 29, February, 2012

Published in print edition February, 2012

Analysis of Genetic Variation in Animals includes chapters revealing the magnitude of genetic variation existing in animal populations. The genetic diversity between and within populations displayed by molecular markers receive extensive interest due to the usefulness of this information in breeding and conservation programs. In this concept molecular markers give valuable information. The increasing availability of PCR-based molecular markers allows the detailed analyses and evaluation of genetic diversity in animals and also, the detection of genes influencing economically important traits. The purpose of the book is to provide a glimpse into the dynamic process of genetic variation in animals by presenting the thoughts of scientists who are engaged in the generation of new idea and techniques employed for the assessment of genetic diversity, often from very different perspectives. The book should prove useful to students, researchers, and experts in the area of conservation biology, genetic diversity, and molecular biology.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Mei-Chen Tseng, Chuen-Tan Jean, Peter J. Smith and Yin-Huei Hung (2012). Interspecific and Intraspecific Genetic Diversity of Thunnus Species, Analysis of Genetic Variation in Animals, Prof. Mahmut Caliskan (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0093-5, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/analysis-of-genetic-variation-in-animals/interspecific-and-intraspecific-genetic-diversity-of-thunnus-species>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen