

# We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

186,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index  
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?  
Contact [book.department@intechopen.com](mailto:book.department@intechopen.com)

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.  
For more information visit [www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)



# Molecular Markers to Access Genetic Diversity of Castor Bean: Current Status and Prospects for Breeding Purposes

Santelmo Vasconcelos<sup>1</sup>, Alberto V. C. Onofre<sup>1</sup>, Máira Milani<sup>2</sup>,  
Ana Maria Benko-Iseppon<sup>1</sup> and Ana Christina Brasileiro-Vidal<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Plant Genetics and Biotechnology, Federal University of Pernambuco,

<sup>2</sup>Embrapa Algodão, Campina Grande  
Brazil

## 1. Introduction

The spurge family (Euphorbiaceae) is one of the most diverse and numerous clades of the angiosperms, including several species of great economic importance as rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), and some oil seed crops, as candlenut (*Aleurites moluccana*), physic nut (*Jatropha curcas*) and castor bean (*Ricinus communis*). Castor bean, the single member of the African genus *Ricinus* (subfamily Acalyphoideae), presents a wide variation regarding vegetative traits such as leaf and stem colors, number and size of leaf lobes and presence of wax covering the stem (Popova & Moshkin, 1986; Savy-Filho, 2005; Webster, 1994; see Fig. 1). Depending on the environmental conditions, even the vegetative habit may vary, although it is more likely in a shrubby form (Webster, 1994). However, the most conspicuous variability is related to reproductive characters, as color shape and size of seeds, number of flowers per raceme, peduncle length and fruit dehiscence (Figs. 1 and 2) as described by Popova & Moshkin (1986).

Castor oil, which has a long history of use for medicinal purposes (see Gaginella et al., 1998), has been considered a promising raw material for the production of renewable energy in tropical countries. Besides, castor bean has been traditionally cultivated for the production of lubricants and paints (see Berman et al., 2011; Ogunniyi, 2006; Scholz & Silva, 2008). Mainly in the semi-arid regions, a xerophytic-like as castor bean can be grown in areas with higher farming limitations, not intended for other crops (Ogunniyi, 2006). Furthermore, the biodiesel derived from castor oil has several advantages over other vegetable oils due to the presence of 5% more oxygen, low levels of residual phosphorus and carbon, high cetan number, solubility in alcohol and absence of aromatic hydrocarbons (Ogunniyi, 2006; Scholz & Silva, 2008). The high viscosity of the castor oil is due to the high percentage of ricinoleic acid (a hydroxycarboxylic acid), which is a limiting factor for the use of pure castor bean diesel in the engines (Pinzi et al., 2009). However, the employment of this biodiesel blended with petrodiesel can be exploited in regions with severe winter. This is a highly

recommended procedure because of its low freezing point and the lubricant power afforded by castor oil, as well as all other advantages associated to the utilization of renewable energy resources (see Berman et al., 2011; Demirbas, 2007; Ogunniyi, 2006; Pinzi et al., 2009; Singh, 2011).




Fig. 1. Different raceme types observed in castor bean accessions held by Embrapa Algodão (Brazil). Inflorescences of a cultivar ('BRS Nordestina') and a dwarf lineage ('CSR D-2') are shown in (a) and (b), respectively. Observe in (b) a pistillate flower with red stigmas in the left-superior corner and a multi-staminate flower in the right-inferior corner. A large raceme, typical of the cultivar 'BRS Energia', is shown in (c). Racemes with long peduncles of the accessions 'CNPAM 93-168' and 'BRS Nordestina' are shown in (d) and (e), respectively. Compact raceme characteristic of a castor bean subspontaneous population from northeastern Brazil, (Buíque - PE) (f), and of the cultivar 'BRS Paraguaçu' (g). Spineless fruits of the lineage 'BRA 10740' in (h).

The development of new cultivars with traits of interest and adapted to specific microclimates is only possible when there is available knowledge about the extant genetic diversity of the species (Gepts, 2004). Despite the recent publication of the castor bean genome (Chan et al., 2010), little is known about the actual genetic diversity of this species. Genetic diversity analyses of castor bean germplasm collections worldwide have showed low levels of variability and lack of geographically structured genetic populations, regardless of a marker system used (e.g. Allan et al., 2008; Foster et al., 2010; Qiu et al., 2010). Thus, the remarkable phenotypic variation observed in castor bean do not seem to reflect a high genetic diversity, similarly to the reported for physic nut, in which variations in epigenetic mechanisms may have a more important role in the diversity of the species than genetic variability per se (Yi et al., 2010).



Fig. 2. Representation of the variability in color and size observed among seeds of castor bean.

In this work, we provide a review on the current status of genetic diversity analysis in castor bean. Moreover, we present the results of our data mining efforts on screening for genomic simple sequence repeat (SSR) primers in addition to the previously reported expressed sequence tag-SSR (EST-SSR) sequences. We performed genotyping among castor bean accessions with inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) primers from the University of British Columbia (UBC) set and primer combinations from amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) Starter Primer Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) for amplicon generation. Furthermore, we have tested the characterization of distribution of large microsatellite clusters along the castor bean chromosomes by means of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH). Our results in addition to compiled data from literature will be highly useful for breeding programs, providing information about genetic diversity and tools for genetic mapping in this important crop.

## 2. Diversity analyses with molecular markers in castor bean

Several molecular markers are available for germplasm characterization and identification of cultivated plant varieties. The profile analysis of multilocus DNA markers, also called DNA fingerprinting, is a potential source of informative marker bands, which allows a reliable differentiation among cultivars (Tanya et al., 2011), wild populations (Andrade et al., 2009), species and even related genera (Simon et al., 2007). Additionally, molecular markers are very stable, in contrast to morphological characters, which may be influenced by environmental factors and having continuous variation and high plasticity (Weising et al., 2005).

Unlike other important oilseed crops, as oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*), soybean (*Glycine max*), sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*), and some Euphorbiaceae species, as cassava and rubber tree, castor bean diversity is still poorly characterized by means of molecular marker systems (see Billotte et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2009; Sayama et al., 2011; Sraphet et al., 2011; Talia et al., 2010). In fact, the species had been overlooked until the late 2000s, when analyses regarding genetic diversity of germplasm collections were first published (see Allan et al., 2008). However, castor bean was the first member of the Euphorbiaceae family with the whole



genome published (Chan et al., 2010), a fact that will be of great importance for characterizing the genetic base of the species.

## 2.1 Genetic diversity characterization with dominant markers

AFLP, ISSR and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) are among the most widely used marker systems in DNA fingerprinting. Although the differentiation between allelic types is hampered in the output data from these molecular markers, many features have made them quite widespread, such as low costs and the possibility of generating a large amount of informative marker bands in a short time. Besides, there is no need for prior knowledge about DNA sequences of the studied organism when this kind of molecular markers is used (Weising et al., 2005).

As mentioned above, just a few analyses were carried out using dominant markers to access polymorphisms among castor bean accessions. Despite the great potential of ISSR and AFLP in characterizing the genetic diversity of several crops (Kumar et al., 2009; Weising et al., 2005), it is noteworthy that these powerful marker systems have been underused in genetic diversity analyses with this species. To the best of our knowledge, the only study in which AFLP markers were used to describe the genetic diversity of the species was performed by Allan et al. (2008). In a preliminary application of 16 AFLP primer combinations, these authors reported low levels of variability among 14 castor bean genotypes from different regions of the world. Thereafter, the authors selected the three most polymorphic primer combinations and applied them to a wider number of accessions (41 in total) that indicated weak geographically structured populations among germplasm collections of the five continents. These results were quite similar to those obtained with genomic SSR markers in the same work (discussed below), and this was the first indicative of a narrower genetic base than first thought for the species. However, due to the small number of generated marker bands (only 119), the low polymorphism sampled by Allan et al. (2008) could be an underestimation of the factual levels of information that this marker system might reach within the species.

Differences regarding polymorphism levels of AFLP markers have been reported for many other crops (Weising et al., 2005). The average percentage of polymorphic markers obtained by Tatikonda et al. (2009) for physic nut, for instance, was higher than that found by Pamidimarri et al. (2010) (88.2% and 61.2%, respectively). Additionally, even when the same primer combination (E-ACA + M-CAT) was used, different polymorphism levels were obtained [82.8% by Tatikonda et al. (2009) and 68.1% by Pamidimarri et al. (2010)]. This fact may be occurred due to differences in genetic diversity levels between the two sampled germplasm collections.

Results concerning lack of genetic structure through geographic distribution, as first indicated with AFLP markers, have been obtained by other research groups. Analyzing 32 castor bean lines from different countries using an association between RAPD markers and quantitative phenotypic traits (volume and weight of seeds, root length, time of germination and first flowering), Milani et al. (2009) obtained a certain degree of convergence between the resultant clusters regarding data from these two different approaches. Once again, accessions from different origins were put together, confirming the previously reported lack of geographically structured clusters among castor bean genotypes.

Afterwards, Gajera et al. (2010) have published a wider analysis with these low cost dominant markers, in which 200 RAPD primers and 21 ISSR primers were tested for generation of informative characters among Indian castor bean lines, and thus 30 and five, respectively, were selected for further polymorphism screening using 22 genotypes. Like in the previous RAPD analysis, the authors have found a remarkable level of polymorphism with both marker systems, in particular with RAPD analysis, in which 80.1% of the 256 marker bands were polymorphic. However, the lower variability obtained with ISSR markers by Gajera et al. (2010) may be occurred due to the smaller number of used primers (only five) compared to the RAPD approach. In general, ISSR markers tend to be more polymorphic because of its target site in the genome. Microsatellite sequences are known as one of the most variable and widespread types of repetitive DNA (Edwards et al., 1991; Weising et al., 2005). Thus, these results obtained by amplifying ISSR markers, which is a powerful tool that has been widely used to detect polymorphism either among crop cultivars or among wild populations of plants (Reddy et al. 2002), may not reveal the real polymorphism level in castor bean. For walnut (*Juglans regia*), for instance, Christopoulos et al. (2010) found a higher level of polymorphism with ISSR markers (82.8%) than the reported values by Nicese et al. (1998) for RAPD markers (25%).

Therefore, in order to increase the repertory of available ISSR markers for diversity analysis of castor bean, we have tested 60 primers from the UBC set (Table 1) for amplification among three genotypes of the species ('BRS Nordestina', 'BRS Paraguaçu' and 'Epaba 81'), using the protocol described by Borner & Branchard (2001). PCR conditions of cycle intervals and annealing temperatures were used as described by Amorim (2009). Extraction and purification of genomic DNA were according to the methodologies described by Weising et al. (2005; CTAB protocol I) and Michaels et al. (1994), with minor modifications. Our results have revealed a preference for amplification of regions with AG/CT repeats in sampled primers and annealing temperatures used (Table 1). Most primers directed to AT microsatellite repeats have not amplified any DNA fragment with PCR conditions herein referred, although there is a high density of these regions in castor bean genome (as presented below). Possibly, the relatively high annealing temperatures [see, Gajera et al. (2010) and Tanya et al. (2011)], which were used to increase the PCR stringency, may have affected the amplification capability of the primers. However, the higher specificity of the DNA amplification, which was propitiated by this measure, ensures the validity of generated markers and the reproducibility of results.

Additionally, we carried out amplification tests with all 64 primer combinations from the previously cited AFLP kit (Table 2) with genomic DNA from the same used castor bean genotypes in the ISSR assay, following the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. All combinations of primers MseI-CAA, MseI-CAG, MseI-CAT and MseI-CTA successfully amplified fragments among used accessions and can be used for further analyses regarding genotyping and characterization of castor bean germplasm collections, complementing the possibilities of markers to be used in genetic diversity studies in the species. On the other hand, the primer MseI-CTG only worked when used with the primer EcoRI-AAC. These novel AFLP markers that can be used to access polymorphisms among and within castor bean germplasm collections will certainly be of great help during the process of genetic improvement of the species.

Primer	SSR motif	Ta (°C)	Amp.	Primer	SSR motif	Ta (°C)	Amp.
UBC-801	(AT) <sub>8</sub> T	52.0	–	UBC-845	(CT) <sub>8</sub> RG	54.0	–
UBC-803	(AT) <sub>8</sub> C	52.0	–	UBC-846	(CA) <sub>8</sub> RT	54.0	+
UBC-804	(AT) <sub>8</sub> A	52.0	–	UBC-847	(CA) <sub>8</sub> RC	54.0	–
UBC-805	(TA) <sub>8</sub> C	52.0	–	UBC-848	(CA) <sub>8</sub> RG	54.0	+
UBC-806	(TA) <sub>8</sub> G	52.0	–	UBC-849	(GT) <sub>8</sub> YA	52.0	+
UBC-807	(AG) <sub>8</sub> T	50.4	+	UBC-850	(GT) <sub>8</sub> YC	54.0	+
UBC-808	(AG) <sub>8</sub> C	52.0	+	UBC-851	(GT) <sub>8</sub> YG	54.0	–
UBC-809	(AG) <sub>8</sub> G	54.0	–	UBC-852	(TC) <sub>8</sub> RA	52.0	–
UBC-810	(GA) <sub>8</sub> T	50.4	+	UBC-853	(TC) <sub>8</sub> RT	52.0	+
UBC-811	(GA) <sub>8</sub> C	54.0	+	UBC-855	(AC) <sub>8</sub> YT	52.0	+
UBC-812	(GA) <sub>8</sub> A	50.4	+	UBC-856	(AC) <sub>8</sub> YA	52.0	+
UBC-816	(CA) <sub>8</sub> T	50.0	+	UBC-857	(AC) <sub>8</sub> YG	54.0	+
UBC-817	(CA) <sub>8</sub> A	50.0	+	UBC-858	(TG) <sub>8</sub> RT	52.0	+
UBC-818	(CA) <sub>8</sub> G	54.0	+	UBC-859	(TG) <sub>8</sub> RC	54.0	–
UBC-819	(GT) <sub>8</sub> A	50.0	–	UBC-860	(TG) <sub>8</sub> RA	52.0	+
UBC-824	(TC) <sub>8</sub> G	52.0	+	UBC-864	(ATG) <sub>6</sub>	52.0	+
UBC-825	(AC) <sub>8</sub> T	50.4	+	UBC-868	(GAA) <sub>6</sub>	50.0	+
UBC-826	(AC) <sub>8</sub> C	52.8	+	UBC-869	(GTT) <sub>6</sub>	50.0	–
UBC-827	(AC) <sub>8</sub> G	52.8	+	UBC-873	(GACA) <sub>4</sub>	52.0	+
UBC-828	(TG) <sub>8</sub> A	52.0	–	UBC-876	(GATA) <sub>4</sub>	50.0	–
UBC-830	(TG) <sub>8</sub> G	52.0	–	UBC-878	(GGAT) <sub>4</sub>	52.0	+
UBC-831	(AT) <sub>8</sub> YA	54.0	+	UBC-879	(CTTCA) <sub>5</sub>	50.4	+
UBC-834	(AG) <sub>8</sub> YT	52.0	+	UBC-880	(GGAGA) <sub>5</sub>	50.0	+
UBC-835	(AG) <sub>8</sub> YC	54.0	+	UBC-884	HBH(AG) <sub>7</sub>	54.0	+
UBC-836	(AG) <sub>8</sub> YA	54.0	+	UBC-886	VDV(CT) <sub>7</sub>	54.0	+
UBC-840	(GA) <sub>8</sub> YT	52.0	+	UBC-887	DVD(TC) <sub>7</sub>	52.0	+
UBC-841	(GA) <sub>8</sub> YC	52.0	+	UBC-888	BDB(CA) <sub>7</sub>	52.0	+
UBC-842	(GA) <sub>8</sub> YG	54.0	+	UBC-889	DBD(AC) <sub>7</sub>	52.0	+
UBC-843	(CT) <sub>8</sub> RA	54.0	–	UBC-890	VHV(GT) <sub>7</sub>	54.0	+
UBC-844	(CT) <sub>8</sub> RC	54.0	–	UBC-891	HVH(GT) <sub>7</sub>	52.0	+

Table 1. ISSR primers from the University of British Columbia set tested for amplification with three castor bean accessions (‘BRS Nordestina’, ‘BRS Paraguaçu’ and ‘Epaba 81’). Primers that were either successfully amplified (+) or not (–) using the annealing temperatures (Ta) indicated by Amorim (2009) for cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) are indicated.

2.2 Genome sequencing and the use of co-dominant markers

As mentioned above, sequencing castor bean genome (Chan et al., 2010) has just opened a wide range of possibilities in analyzing the genetic diversity of this economically important species. Thus, several approaches that demand previous information about DNA sequences can be readily used in large scale analyses regarding the genetic diversity characterization of germplasm collections. In general, co-dominant marker systems are the most reliable

		MseI primer							
		CAA	CAC	CAG	CAT	CTA	CTC	CTG	CTT
EcoRI primer	AAC	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	AAG	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
	ACA	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
	ACC	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
	ACG	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
	ACT	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
	AGC	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
	AGG	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-

Table 2. Amplification panel for primer combinations from AFLP Starter Primer Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) tested with three castor bean accessions (‘BRS Nordestina’, ‘BRS Paraguaçu’ and ‘Epaba 81’). Primer combinations that were either successfully amplified (+) or not (-) are indicated.

markers for characterizing the genetic variability because of their capability to distinguish allelic types providing valuable information about the heterozygosity state of a given species (Kumar et al., 2009). However, there are factors that may restrict the use of these markers, as the high cost and the demanded time to make the DNA sequences available (Weising et al., 2005).

Among the most used co-dominant marker systems in evaluating plant diversity are microsatellite markers (or SSR) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) (Kumar et al., 2009). While the former have been widely employed since its publication in the early 1990s (see Morgante & Olivieri, 1993), SNP markers are becoming more popular as information about the genomes of plant species are increasing (e.g. Amar et al., 2011; Dong et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010).

In a worldwide-range germplasm characterization, Foster et al. (2010) evaluated the genetic diversity among 488 castor bean accessions from 45 countries using 48 SNPs, observing a molecular variance far higher within populations (74%) than among populations (22%) and countries (4%). These results also confirmed a very weak geographic structuration among castor bean populations, confirming previous results obtained with dominant markers (Allan et al., 2008; Milani et al., 2009).

Even within a minor geographic range, among 188 castor bean accessions from 13 wild populations from Florida (USA), distribution patterns of SNP alleles were not clear and indicated extensive homogenization either due to a high gene flow or because of multiple introductions (see Foster et al., 2010). Despite the great number and the wide distribution of sampled germplasm collections and better marker coverage compared to the previous report (Allan et al., 2008), the genomic coverage of the 48 SNPs described by Foster et al. (2010) was quite lower than the coverage of the soybean genome achieved by Li et al. (2010) who used 554 SNPs and 303 accessions.

Chan et al. (2010) estimated that more than half of the castor bean DNA consists of repetitive sequences, and SSR motifs are supposed to be widely spread through the species genome. In the last years, microsatellite markers have been increasingly employed to characterize genetic diversity within castor bean germplasm collections (Allan et al., 2008; Bajay et al.,



2009, 2011; Qiu et al., 2010) although still there is not an estimate of the extent of SSRs in the whole genome of the species. Qiu et al. (2010), analyzing microsatellite repeats associated to expressed sequence tags (ESTs), have reported a higher density of SSRs (excluding mono-repeats) in castor bean genic sequences (1/5.0 kbp) than the average described for other crops, such as maize (*Zea mays*) with 1/8.1 kbp, tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) with 1/11.1 kbp and cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) with 1/20.0 kbp (Cardle et al., 2000), for instance. Qiu et al. (2010) suggested that such a high SSR density in ESTs may be associated to the small genome size of castor bean (~350 Mbp; Chan et al., 2010).

In order to search for occurrence and distribution of microsatellite repeats across the whole genome of castor bean, we have run the SciRoKo (Kofler et al., 2007) software, using the genome assembly available at <http://castorbean.jcvi.org/downloads.php>. Excluding 18,718 mononucleotide repeats (ca. 97% comprising poli-A SSRs), more than 95,000 SSR sequences were revealed in the analysis (Table 3), with one microsatellite occurring each 18.4 kbp, a density far below the described for EST-SSRs by Qiu et al. (2010), just as have been reported for plants in general (see Morgante et al., 2002).

SSR motif	Counts	Counts/Mbp	AL <sup>a</sup>	AM <sup>b</sup>	AD <sup>c</sup>	PWG <sup>d</sup>
AT	19286	55	29.32	0.48	18180.6	20.23%
AAAT	12890	36.76	19.25	0.51	27201.79	13.52%
AAT	12763	36.4	25.05	0.93	27472.46	13.39%
AAAAT	7104	20.26	20.15	0.56	49356.84	7.45%
AG	6748	19.25	24.72	0.46	51960.73	7.08%
AAG	5820	16.6	22.27	0.74	60245.88	6.11%
AAAG	3608	10.29	19.69	0.69	97181.54	3.79%
AAAAG	3106	8.86	22.91	0.93	112888.29	3.26%
AATT	2126	6.06	19.91	0.75	164925.22	2.23%
AAATT	1979	5.64	18.99	0.5	177175.85	2.08%
AAAAAT	1760	5.02	22.62	0.47	199222.17	1.85%
AAAAAG	1426	4.07	27.38	0.94	245884.3	1.50%
ATC	1426	4.07	19.53	0.5	245884.3	1.50%
AC	1106	3.15	22.33	0.27	317026.23	1.16%
AGC	855	2.44	18.07	0.32	410094.75	0.90%
ACC	830	2.37	20.41	0.6	422447	0.87%
AATAT	818	2.33	21.51	0.82	428644.27	0.86%
AAC	626	1.79	18.89	0.44	560113.44	0.66%
AAAC	614	1.75	17.53	0.29	571060.28	0.64%
AGG	551	1.57	19.54	0.46	636353.93	0.58%
Others	9871	0.09	21.75	0.36	43916350.09	10.36%
Average	-	61.39	23.22	0.59	18393.66	-
Total	95313	-	-	-	-	100%

Table 3. Composition, distribution and frequency of microsatellite motifs across the castor bean genome. Microsatellite statistics was performed with the software SciRoKo (Kofler et al., 2007), using default settings. Absolute SSR counts and weighted average counts per Mbp (10<sup>5</sup> base pairs) are presented in this panel. <sup>a</sup>AL weighted average length of the SSRs (bp); <sup>b</sup>AM weighted average number of mismatches; <sup>c</sup>AD weighted average distance between SSR repeats (bp); <sup>d</sup>PWG percentage of occurrence of the SSR through the whole genome.

Thus, we have found that the genomic SSRs consisted of 27,151 dinucleotide repeats (28.49%), 23,485 trinucleotide repeats (24.64%), 21,266 tetranucleotide repeats (22.31%), 15,988 pentanucleotide repeats (16.77%) and 7,423 hexanucleotide repeats (7.79%). On the other hand, the overall proportions of the microsatellite classes in EST-SSRs (Qiu et al., 2010) were quite different, in which the major proportion of the repeats were constituted by trinucleotide motifs (61.06%), followed by di- repeats (32.02%), tetra- repeats (3.63%), penta-repeats (1.01%) and hexa- repeats (2.28%). This higher percentage of trinucleotide repeats (as well as the hexa- repeats with a higher proportion than the penta- repeats) in EST-SSRs might be related to the function of this type repetitive DNA within transcribed regions. It is in agreement with results reported for other plants (Morgante et al., 2002). Due to the structure of tri- repeats and hexa- repeats, these types of SSR may change in the number of repetitions without affecting the reading frame of the gene (Metzgar et al., 2000). The general occurrence pattern of specific microsatellite motifs has diverged between EST-SSRs (Qiu et al., 2010) and genomic SSRs (Table 3). While Qiu et al. (2010) observed the AG-based dinucleotide repeats as the most frequent in the EST-SSRs (22.29%), our results showed that the AT motif was the most abundant in genomic SSR sequences of castor bean (20.23%), as described by Morgante et al. (2002) for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Likewise, we have found that AAT was the most prominent tri- repeat motif within genomic microsatellites (13.39%), as the AAG motif was more frequent in transcribed regions (14.35%; Qiu et al., 2010).

SSR motifs are also abundant in heterochromatic regions, which are quite difficult to sequence because of the extremely repetitive nature of this class of chromatin. In this case, cytogenetic tools as fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) with SSR-like probes in mitotic chromosomes may be helpful in characterizing distribution and polymorphisms of large microsatellite repeats along the chromosome set (Cuadrado & Jouve, 2010).

Cuadrado & Jouve (2007), for instance, analyzing the distribution pattern of trinucleotide repeats in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) chromosomes by means of FISH, observed a preference of these large microsatellite clusters for heterochromatic regions, except for the ACT-based probe. In relation to the distribution of heterochromatin through castor bean chromosomes, large heterochromatic blocks have been related, including all pericentromeric regions (Jelenkovic & Harrington, 1973; Paris et al., 1978; Vasconcelos et al., 2010), in which the SSR sequences may be important constituents. Thus, in the present work an *in situ* hybridization was performed with the synthetic oligonucleotide (TGA)<sub>6</sub> as probe, aiming to test the potentiality of using large microsatellite clusters to characterize castor bean accessions. Cell preparations, image documentation and FISH conditions followed Vasconcelos et al. (2010); probe preparation was done according to Cuadrado & Jouve (2007).

In contrast to the observed for barley chromosomes, hybridization signals of the TGA-based probe, which is directed to the same target of the (CAT)<sub>5</sub> oligonucleotide used by Cuadrado & Jouve (2007), were observed in all chromosomes, mostly associated to GC-rich heterochromatin [evidenced by cromomycin A<sub>3</sub> (CMA)] (Fig. 3). While the chromosome E presented a non-heterochromatic site of the sampled repeat, the chromosomes B and D were the only without a pericentromeric site (Fig. 3). Taking into account the successful hybridization of the oligonucleotide in castor bean chromosomes, it is clear that the use of FISH to analyze microsatellite distribution through the species genome may be a very useful approach.

In characterization of castor bean germplasm collections through SSR markers, all studies conducted so far indicated congruent results with other marker systems (Allan et al., 2008; Bajay et al., 2009, 2011; Qiu et al., 2010). As mentioned above, sampling 41 genotypes from 35 countries, Allan et al. (2008) first indicated a relatively narrow genetic diversity in the species by using only nine genomic SSR markers and three AFLP primer combinations. Although SSR markers have yielded more polymorphism than AFLPs in the same analysis, both marker systems led to similar results of molecular variance indexes (Allan et al., 2008). Subsequently, Bajay et al. (2009, 2011) developed and tested a total of 23 SSR markers from a microsatellite-enriched library in two subsequent analyses of genetic diversity within two Brazilian castor bean germplasm collections. Similarly to previous results, these two studies have revealed relatively low heterozygosity levels among castor bean genotypes.




Fig. 3. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization with the synthetic oligonucleotide (TGA)<sub>6</sub> in mitotic chromosomes of the castor bean cultivar 'BRS Energia'. In (a) a mitotic metaphase is showed evidencing the chromosomes bearing the main rDNA sites (A, B and D) and the only chromosome (E) with a terminal signal of hybridization (arrowheads). (b) Representative idiogram for castor bean showing the location of the (TGA)<sub>6</sub> sites in relation to the marks described by Vasconcelos et al. (2010).

After searching for SSR markers derived from the ESTs of castor bean, Qiu et al. (2010) selected 118 primer pairs (out of 379) that were used to estimate relationships among 24 accessions. The proportion of polymorphic amplicons (41.1%) generated in the analysis can be considered as satisfactory, taking into account that Raji et al. (2009) observed 50.6% of polymorphism using EST-SSR markers to analyze genetic diversity among cassava, a crop with a more recent history of cultivation, in comparison to castor bean. Likewise, PIC and heterozygosity values observed for castor bean EST-SSRs were relatively high and quite similar to those in cassava (Raji et al., 2009). In contrast to the results observed by Allan et al. (2008) and Foster et al. (2010), some degree of geographically structured clusters was observed among the accessions used by Qiu et al. (2010), although the authors recognized the small number of sampled genotypes, which may have still hindered the results.

It is clear that there is a great difference in the availability between EST-SSRs and genomic SSR to evaluate the extant genetic diversity in castor bean accessions. Albeit less frequent, the genomic microsatellites are less likely to suffer mutations with deleterious effects than the EST-SSRs, a fact that makes genomic SSRs more prone to polymorphisms (see Kalia et al., 2011;

Varshney et al., 2005; Weising et al., 2005). Thus, in order to provide a wider range of genomic microsatellite markers for castor bean genotyping, we have performed a data mining through the whole genome of the species by running the online software WebSat (Martins et al., 2009) to locate SSR motifs (minimum size of 30 nucleotides, excluding all microsatellites composed by mono- repeats, either simple or compound) and design primers using default settings.

Covering more than 11 Mbp of the castor bean genome (approximately 3%), a total of 134 primer pairs were herein designed (Table 4). Despite the low genomic coverage, especially if compared to the work carried out by Cavagnaro et al. (2010), in which the whole genome of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) was scanned, the analyzed fraction of the genome was close to

Primer	Sequence 5' – 3'	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	SSR motif	EAS
RC9-V28828.2	F: ATTACTTGGGTTCTGTGCCTGT R: TTACGAGCTAAGAAAGTCGCTAAAG	59.9	(TA) <sub>17</sub>	385
RC19-V28828.6	F: TCGAGCATAGCAAACATGAA R: CGGCAGAACTGTGAGATAAAAGT	58.3	(TAA) <sub>16</sub>	305
RC31-V29842.10	F: AGCAGATTGTGAAGGGATTGTT R: ACCCCTGAACTTTTGTGATTTG	60.1	(AT) <sub>24</sub>	384
RC35-V29842.14	F: GGGATGACTCCAACACCAAT R: TTTCTCCACTCAAAGCAAAC	59.5	(ATT) <sub>10</sub> (TAG) <sub>10</sub>	391
RC41-V29842.20	F: AATTGTCCTCTCGCATGGTC R: GGGGATTAGGGTTTGTGAGG	60.3	(AAAAGG) <sub>4</sub> (GT) <sub>9</sub>	365
RC42-V29842.21	F: TCCGACGCAATAGTACCTTGT R: TGTCTCTTCTCCATTGTCTCCC	60.1	(AT) <sub>18</sub>	182
RC54-V29842.33	F: ACATTACACGAACTCGAACCG R: TGCCGGTAGGGCTATAAACA	60.3	(TA) <sub>37</sub> (GA) <sub>8</sub>	557
RC55-V29842.34	F: TTTCCCTCTGTGTCTTCGCT R: TAGCGCGAGAAAGTCGAGAT	60.1	(CTT) <sub>12</sub>	129
RC58-V29842.37	F: CGGAAGTTGATGACAAACGA R: ATGGTTTIGCTGCTGTTGC	59.8	(AT) <sub>21</sub>	303
RC65-V29842.44	F: AGCTCCTTGTCTGTGCCTA R: CTGGTGAAGGGTCTGGTCAT	60.0	(CCACCT) <sub>5</sub>	389
RC67-V29842.46	F: ATACCCTCCACCAATCCCTC R: AGTTGCGGAAGTTTCTTTGC	59.8	(CCA) <sub>7</sub> (CCA) <sub>9</sub> (TCCACC) <sub>4</sub>	390
RC71-V29842.50	F: CAGCTTATGGGAAGATGCTAAA R: ATGCAGGATTCACAACAGGA	58.8	(GT) <sub>25</sub>	385
RC86-V29842.65	F: ATATCCCAAAGCACCCACA R: CCAAATCATCAGCTCGCTT	60.3	(AT) <sub>17</sub>	494
RC89-V29842.68	F: AGCTATCCATTTCATGCGGAG R: AGCTCCTGTCAACATCCCTG	60.2	(CAT) <sub>13</sub>	250
RC94-V29842.73	F: AGTAAGTTGCGTCAGTCCGC R: GTGCTCGCCTTTGTTTGA	60.5	(AG) <sub>20</sub>	265
RC98-V29842.77	F: TGGTTGGAGAGAGGTTTGT R: GAGCTGTCTTTTGTAGCCAGT	60.0	(TA) <sub>15</sub>	228
RC108-V29842.87	F: GAATCTCACCTGCTATTATGCCT R: CCAAAGCCAAAGAGGGTACTT	59.2	(AT) <sub>20</sub>	210
RC110-V29842.89	F: CCCATCCAATGAAATAGGGA R: TGTCTGCATCAACTGGTTT	59.4	(TA) <sub>16</sub>	287



Primer	Sequence 5' – 3'	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	SSR motif	EAS
RC128-V29842.107	F: AGAGAGTGAAAGGCGAGTCAGT R: AATCAGTTTGGTCGCGTAGC	60.0	(TA) <sub>20</sub>	260
RC134-V29842.113	F: GGTCCAGTTGCCTCTAACCA R: AGATCAGCATACAAGGCGCT	60.1	(TC) <sub>17</sub>	289
RC136-V29842.115	F: ATGCCCCGAACCTATAACCCT R: AACCCCTATTTCTTCGTCATTGC	59.6	(AT) <sub>15</sub>	208
RC138-V29842.117	F: TTGAGGCAAACACTTGCCTA R: ATTTAACTTTGGGCACGCT	59.1	(AT) <sub>28</sub>	275
RC140-V29842.119	F: GGCTGTCTAACGCCTAGCAA R: AAGGAAATGGTGTGGCAAAA	60.0	(TA) <sub>21</sub>	315
RC141-V29842.120	F: CAATGGTGAATGATGAACGG R: AGTTGGTGCCAGGAGAAGAA	59.8	(AT) <sub>22</sub>	185
RC146-V29842.125	F: TTGGCAGTCATTGTTCTTCTG R: GTTTTGGAGTGGCAGAGCT	59.2	(CT) <sub>11</sub> (TA) <sub>11</sub>	393
RC151-V29842.130	F: TTGTGTCCATACCAACATCG R: GGATAGGAGCATCAAGAAGGTT	58.3	(AT) <sub>31</sub>	389
RC158-V29842.137	F: AATTGGAGTGGGTAGAGAGGG R: CAGGTCTCAAGTTTTCCACCA	59.8	(AAT) <sub>10</sub>	212
RC161-V29842.140	F: CGCAAATAAACGGAGCATTA R: ACAAGCCCACACCCATAAGT	59.1	(GAAAAA) <sub>7</sub>	318
RC165-V29842.144	F: TCTGCAACAGGAACGCATAG R: TGCAAGGATGTTTGTACTTTGG	60.0	(CA) <sub>10</sub> (TA) <sub>5</sub> (AT) <sub>12</sub>	280
RC176-V29842.155	F: CAGAGAAAGAACCCTCCGAA R: CCGGTCTGAAACTCTTCTGC	59.7	(AT) <sub>7</sub> (TA) <sub>9</sub>	385
RC185-V29381.1	F: AGCTGGTAATGGCTCCAAGA R: AGAGTGGTTGCCTGATTTGC	60.0	(TA) <sub>32</sub>	359
RC186-V29381.2	F: CCTTTGTCTCTCTGGCTCGT R: GAAAATGGTCCCTCGTTTCA	59.8	(TA) <sub>21</sub>	323
RC196-V29849.7	F: AGGAAATGAAATGGTGATGG R: TGAAGATTGTATGGGGAGGA	57.6	(TAT) <sub>14</sub> (TTA) <sub>6</sub>	173
RC205-V29849.16	F: TCGTGAGGGGTAAATTGAT R: TGGTGATGGTAGAGATTCCCC	60.7	(AAT) <sub>12</sub>	188
RC207-V29849.18	F: AGCCCCTCACTCCATTATCA R: TGTCATATAGGCCCAAGTCG	59.1	(TG) <sub>7</sub> (TA) <sub>10</sub>	294
RC208-V29849.19	F: AAGGAAGCTCTCTCCAAGGG R: ATCGGGGAAGAAGAAAGGAA	60.0	(CT) <sub>6</sub> (CT) <sub>9</sub> (CT) <sub>9</sub>	308
RC219-V28829.3	F: TCCTCAAAGCTGTCCAAACA R: GCGAGTGCTGTCTAAAGCCTA	59.6	(TA) <sub>33</sub>	267
RC235-V27471.12	F: AAAGGGGTACAACCGGAAAG R: CTTACGCAGCACTGGAAACA	60.1	(TC) <sub>6</sub> (TA) <sub>16</sub>	315
RC244-V27471.21	F: TGAACCAAGCTCGCATGTAG R: GCCAAGAAGATGATTACCCG	59.8	(AAT) <sub>9</sub> (GAAGGA) <sub>4</sub>	385
RC252-V27471.29	F: AGATAAACATGACAGCAGGGC R: TTGACATAACTGGCTTTGGATG	59.6	(AT) <sub>23</sub>	387
RC253-V27471.30	F: ATGCTGCTTTGTCTGTTTCCT R: TTCTAACTCCCCATTCGGTG	59.9	(AT) <sub>12</sub> (AT) <sub>10</sub>	569
RC267-V29697.9	F: ACCCTGTGAGGCGTACATTT	59.2	(TA) <sub>28</sub>	261

Primer	Sequence 5' – 3'	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	SSR motif	EAS
RC277-V28725.9	R: CCACTCTTTTGGGGTTGTTT	59.9	(TC) <sub>15</sub>	165
	F: ATGAAGGGTGATGGCAGAAG			
	R: ACGTCAGCCGAGTTCAAAAT			
RC279-V28725.11	F: TAGGAAGAGGGGTGGTTTCC	60.2	(AG) <sub>11</sub> (GA) <sub>6</sub>	338
	R: TGGTTAAAGATCAGGCGGTC			
RC280-V28725.12	F: TCGAGAAGTGGGAACAGTGA	59.5	(TC) <sub>7</sub> (CT) <sub>14</sub>	331
	R: TTGGGAGAGATTTGAAGGAAAG			
RC283-V28725.15	F: TTCAAGTATTGGGAATGGCAC	59.9	(CT) <sub>19</sub>	188
	R: GAGTGGGTTTGAGCAGAAGC			
RC291-V28725.23	F: TGAGGGAGGAGTTGAAAGAAA	59.1	(ATA) <sub>12</sub>	336
	R: TCAAGTGTGGAGCACGTAAAA			
RC292-V30197.1	F: CATACGCACACAGCCTTGC	61.1	(TA) <sub>19</sub>	408
	R: GGCCAATCTGGATCATACTTTCT			
RC302-V30197.11	F: GTTTTGATTTGGATGCTGGG	60.0	(AAT) <sub>11</sub>	349
	R: ACCCATAAGGTGGCATGTTC			
RC308-V30197.17	F: GAAATTGCTACAGAAACCGC	57.9	(TA) <sub>17</sub>	313
	R: TCGATTCTTGGTGAAAGTTAGG			
RC326-V28359.17	F: GACATGATTTTGACAGGTCGG	60.3	(TA) <sub>15</sub>	353
	R: GCGGTGGGTGTTTAATTTTG			
RC328-V28359.19	F: CTAAACCCAGAGAGCGATGC	60.0	(AT) <sub>23</sub>	375
	R: AGGTCGCAAAAGCTGTGAGT			
RC330-V28359.21	F: GTCACAATTCAACGCTGCTG	60.1	(TA) <sub>21</sub>	391
	R: GCTCTACTGATTGATCCGGC			
RC331-V29476.1	F: ATTGCTTGTTATCGCCGTTT	59.8	(TCT) <sub>18</sub>	276
	R: TTGAGGGACTAAGGTGAAGAAGA			
RC332-V29476.2	F: TGGAAGTCGCTGTCCTACG	60.0	(CT) <sub>9</sub> (CT) <sub>7</sub>	285
	R: TTAAGGAAGTTGAGGGACCAAA			
RC338-V29828.6	F: GCCCTACTTCTAACCATGTGC	59.2	(AT) <sub>29</sub>	478
	R: GTGGTCCTTATGCAACCCAT			
RC344-V29828.12	F: CCCAACAAGCTCACACCTTT	60.1	(GA) <sub>6</sub> (CTCTCA) <sub>5</sub>	264
	R: CCTGCTAGGTTTTGCCAGAG			
RC354-V28212.4	F: TGAGGGACTAAATGGTGAAGAA	59.2	(GAA) <sub>9</sub> (GA) <sub>6</sub>	277
	R: ACCAGACAAGCCATGAACG			
RC357-V29605.2	F: AGCTCACTGGAAAAGCCAAA	60.2	(CT) <sub>6</sub> (TTC) <sub>8</sub>	387
	R: GGACCAAATGTCGATAGGAAGA			
RC379-V29690.8	F: TTCACTCCCTTCTAGTCGCC	59.5	(TAT) <sub>12</sub>	514
	R: AAAGGCACCAAAGATCCAAA			
RC381-V29690.10	F: AAGCACAGAAAAGAGCAAAGC	59.2	(AT) <sub>22</sub>	384
	R: AGTCCGCCCTTACATATCCA			
RC387-V29690.16	F: GCTCGTGAAGCTCATAGGC	58.6	(TA) <sub>20</sub>	400
	R: GGACCATTTTATTGTGCTGAGG			
RC401-V27770.5	F: TTTGCTTTTGCATTGTGGAC	59.2	(TA) <sub>26</sub>	177
	R: TGCTTCATAAATTGGCTTGG			
RC403-V27770.7	F: TTCATGCAAGTGAGGAATGC	59.6	(TA) <sub>23</sub>	165
	R: AGGCTGGAAAATGCAAATC			
RC410-V29745.2	F: TCTCTATCGCCACATCACCA	60.0	(TA) <sub>40</sub>	562
	R: ATTTGATACCACCACCGCTC			

Primer	Sequence 5' – 3'	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	SSR motif	EAS
RC413-V29745.5	F: CCGAAAGCTACCAGATCGAG R: TCCTCCCATCTTCTTCTTCTTCT	59.9	(GGAA) <sub>6</sub> (GAA) <sub>10</sub>	341
RC414-V29745.6	F: GGGAGGGAAGTGGAATAGC R: AGCCATCAGAATTGGGTTCA	60.2	(CT) <sub>12</sub> (TA) <sub>11</sub>	385
RC417-V29745.9	F: CGAAACAAATCGCTGGAAT R: GAGATTGAACGGGAAGGATG	59.1	(TA) <sub>30</sub>	600
RC420-V29745.12	F: CAACCCAGAAGGGCAGAAG R: TGGATTCTGGATTGAGAGAAG	60.4	(GAA) <sub>11</sub>	190
RC423-V29745.15	F: ACAAGGACGACGAAGACGAC R: CAACAGCAGAACAGTAGCCG	60.0	(AG) <sub>8</sub> (GA) <sub>12</sub>	356
RC426-V29745.18	F: GAGAAGCTAAAGCCCACAGG R: TTTGAAGCCAACTCAACTCG	59.1	(TA) <sub>17</sub>	321
RC430-V29763.1	F: GCATTGTCCAACTGATGAGC R: TGTGAGAAGCTGCGGTTAAAT	59.6	(TA) <sub>20</sub>	134
RC431-V29763.2	F: CTCTTGGCTTGGTGTCCACT R: CGACTGCAATTCTTCCCTGT	60.3	(TC) <sub>15</sub> (AT) <sub>6</sub>	395
RC434-V29763.5	F: GAAAAGAGAAGCCCAGATGGT R: TTGAAAGGGACACACCAAAA	59.4	(AAT) <sub>11</sub>	366
RC436-V29763.7	F: AGTGTTTGCTTGATGGGTTGA R: TGCAGGCTTTCCAAATCG	60.7	(AT) <sub>26</sub>	385
RC438-V29763.9	F: GTCGTGAGGCTGTGGAAAAT R: AAGTCTAAGCTAATGCTCGCTGA	60.0	(TA) <sub>19</sub>	275
RC441-V29763.12	F: GAGGTGGCAGACTATTTCTTGA R: CTCCACTCCTTCATGCTTTTAG	58.3	(ATA) <sub>10</sub>	325
RC446-V28448.1	F: GCCAAGGCTCTTTCTCTTTT R: ACTGTGGTGATCGGAGAGG	58.2	(ATA) <sub>28</sub>	378
RC447-V28448.2	F: AGCTGACCACCTGAGTACAACA R: GTATGTGACGCCAACCATCA	60.1	(TA) <sub>23</sub>	369
RC452-V28448.7	F: GCTTTTGGGTGAGGTTTCAG R: GAGGAGACCAAGAAAATAAAGGA	59.5	(TC) <sub>19</sub>	161
RC453-V28448.8	F: TTGCATTTGTGTGTGTGTCG R: ATTTTAGTTGGCTCCCCACC	60.2	(GA) <sub>14</sub> (AG) <sub>6</sub>	385
RC457-V28448.12	F: CCGGATTATGTGGTTAGTAGTGG R: ATCGTCTCATCGGTGGTTTT	59.5	(TA) <sub>34</sub>	296
RC461-V28448.16	F: GCTGGTATTGGGGTTCTGTG R: TCATGGGTGTTCTGCTTCA	60.3	(GAA) <sub>10</sub>	376
RC462-V28448.17	F: ATAATGGTAGGCTCGGGTGA R: TGGAGGATAAAGTGATGTGAGG	59.2	(CT) <sub>19</sub>	140
RC463-V28448.18	F: AACAGGCCAAACAGACAATCTT R: CATTAGGCAATAGTAGCTCCCC	59.6	(TA) <sub>11</sub> (AG) <sub>9</sub>	348
RC465-V37395.1	F: ATACTTGTACCGGGCTTTGG R: AGCGGGAGACGATTGTTATG	60.0	(TCTTT) <sub>6</sub>	395
RC472-V29984.7	F: TGGCATCCTACATTACACG R: CCTCACATTGGGTGGACAT	60.6	(TA) <sub>20</sub>	504
RC479-V30056.4	F: AACCGAGCAGGAAATGAGAA R: GTCGTGCAGAAAGGAAGGAG	59.9	(AT) <sub>7</sub> (AAT) <sub>7</sub>	355
RC480-V30056.5	F: GCTCTGCCTCATCTCACAT	60.7	(TA) <sub>17</sub>	279

Primer	Sequence 5' – 3'	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	SSR motif	EAS
	R: AATCAAATGTTCCGCGAGTG			
RC504-V30056.29	F: GCAAGCTCGTTTATATGCTCAA R: ATCCAACACCGACACTCCA	59.7	(AAT) <sub>19</sub>	586
RC511-V30056.36	F: TTCTTGCCTCCCTACTGATAATG R: AGGTTCTGATGCTTGTTGTCC	59.4	(AAT) <sub>10</sub>	201
RC515-V30056.40	F: ATCAAAGGGTCTTGAGCCAG R: ATCCTGCATTTTGTGTGTTGC	59.0	(AT) <sub>15</sub>	252
RC537-V29764.12	F: TCGATTCCACCTCCTCATCA R: CCAGAAGCCAATTCACATGC	61.6	(AT) <sub>13</sub> (TG) <sub>12</sub>	598
RC539-V29764.14	F: TGCTCTGCCTCATCATCATC R: CACGAATGGTTGTGTTTGCT	59.8	(AT) <sub>23</sub>	313
RC545-V29764.20	F: AGCTCTTTTGCCTTCCCACT R: AGGGTTAGAGGTAGGGGTTTTG	60.1	(AT) <sub>24</sub>	355
RC556-V30156.3	F: GCCATTGATGAGTTTGCTGA R: GATACACTTGCCGGAACGAC	60.2	(AG) <sub>17</sub>	326
RC558-V30156.5	F: GTAGCGACTCCCATCTTTGC R: AAAACTCTCACCTTTTCTTCCG	59.4	(ATT) <sub>15</sub>	561
RC559-V30156.6	F: ACACGGGTGATTTGGAATA R: AAAGGGGCTTCGAGAGAAAA	60.2	(AC) <sub>14</sub> (AT) <sub>9</sub>	214
RC565-V30156.12	F: CATTTGAGCCTAAGAACGC R: AAGTTCAGGGACCAAAAAGTCAA	60.0	(TCT) <sub>13</sub>	314
RC567-V30156.14	F: TGGTGACTCGAACGAAGATG R: CCACGTCTTTTGGATGCTTT	60.0	(TA) <sub>27</sub>	337
RC568-V30156.15	F: ACGAAAGGACTGATTGGCAT R: ATTATGGGAGGTTCAACCAGC	59.2	(TA) <sub>21</sub>	589
RC571-V30156.18	F: CTAAGGATGGTAGCCGAGTG R: AATGAGTAGCGAACACACTATCTG	57.6	(AT) <sub>22</sub>	475
RC572-V30156.19	F: CAGATAGTGTGTTGCTACTCATT R: CGTACAATAACCCGAATCCAA	58.0	(AT) <sub>15</sub>	263
RC574-V30156.21	F: AGCTCTCTCTCCAAGGGGAC R: AATTCTGCGGGATGAAATTG	59.9	(CT) <sub>6</sub> (CT) <sub>12</sub>	348
RC575-V30156.22	F: GTGAGAAAAGAGAGTGTGAAAGTG R: TCATTACCAAACCCGCTAAA	57.8	(AT) <sub>15</sub>	380
RC579-V30156.26	F: TGCCATGACCCAATAACA R: ATTGCTTCTTGCGCTCTTTC	59.9	(GA) <sub>8</sub> (TA) <sub>13</sub>	370
RC580-V30156.27	F: TTGGATGCTACCCTCTTAACCTCT R: CTAAATGACCCGATTGTC	59.0	(TA) <sub>18</sub>	345
RC582-V30156.29	F: GTTAGCGGAACTCGGCA R: CATCCCGAACCACATTTCA	60.1	(TAT) <sub>23</sub>	400
RC583-V30156.30	F: TGGTTAAGGGGTTATGGCAG R: CGAATTTTAGAAGGAACAAGGC	59.6	(AT) <sub>25</sub>	577
RC584-V30156.31	F: GCCTTGTTCTTCTAAAATTCG R: GAGGGAGAGCTGTTGTTGGT	59.3	(AT) <sub>27</sub> (GT) <sub>10</sub>	568
RC594-V30156.41	F: TGTGAAAAGGGAGTTCGGAG R: ATTGCGGGTAAAACCTGAAGC	59.7	(AT) <sub>32</sub> (TA) <sub>12</sub>	357
RC596-V30156.43	F: TTGAAGGGTGGAGGATTCTTT R: CTGCTTGATTGATTCCCACT	59.6	(TA) <sub>24</sub>	397



Primer	Sequence 5' – 3'	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	SSR motif	EAS
RC598-V30156.45	F: AACAGCAGCGACAACAACAC R: CAGCAGAACTCAAACCGACA	60.0	(CAC) <sub>6</sub> (AAC) <sub>9</sub>	395
RC603-V30156.50	F: CACCTTGCAGAGCTTACGAA R: CCCCAGTTCACACCAATACC	59.7	(TA) <sub>6</sub> (CT) <sub>9</sub>	341
RC604-V30156.51	F: TCCTACTCTTGTGAGAACGGACT R: GGGCATACTTCAACCGAAGA	59.8	(TA) <sub>27</sub>	300
RC612-V30156.59	F: CAGGCCGTATTAGCGATGTT R: GGTATGTCTTAGTTAGGATTGGGC	59.7	(TA) <sub>29</sub>	229
RC614-V30156.61	F: GCGATCACTTACACGATATGC R: TCACTCATCACACACATCACCT	58.7	(AT) <sub>22</sub>	494
RC621-V30156.68	F: TCGCATGAGTGGAACCTGTA R: AAGAAGAAGGAGACACGGCA	60.1	(AAT) <sub>12</sub>	319
RC630-V30156.77	F: CCAATAGGTTCAGTGTTTTGCC R: ACAAGCTGGGCATGATTGA	60.5	(AT) <sub>29</sub>	363
RC638-V30156.85	F: TGTTGATGGTGCCAGACTA R: TATCTGAAAATGCGCTGCTG	60.1	(TC) <sub>15</sub> (CT) <sub>8</sub>	305
RC640-V30156.87	F: CGACGTAGGAGCAACTAAAGG R: ATCGGACATGGTGCTTAAAA	58.6	(TTA) <sub>10</sub>	272
RC644-V30156.91	F: TGCATCCTTGTTCATGTC R: TTGCCGATCCTCAGTCTCT	59.7	(AT) <sub>24</sub>	569
RC645-V30156.92	F: CTTGAGGGTCGTAGGAGCAG R: GGTAGCAACTCTCTTCTTCGC	59.8	(AT) <sub>41</sub>	327
RC647-V30156.94	F: GGCAAGCACAGAGCATACG R: GCGCCATAGAATTTGCACTT	60.4	(TA) <sub>19</sub>	386
RC650-V30156.97	F: ATAATTCCAGGGGCAAAATC R: CAAATGGCACCCAATAAGAA	58.2	(AT) <sub>36</sub>	310
RC654-V29781.1	F: ATAGAGAGAGAGGGAGGGAGAC R: TGCCAATATGCAGTCACATC	57.6	(AG) <sub>15</sub>	327
RC655-V29781.2	F: GGCTGGAAGAGGAAGAAACA R: GTGCTGATCCAACTTGATAGG	59.1	(AG) <sub>15</sub>	244
RC658-V47284.1	F: GAGATGTCTAATCACACGCCAA R: AATCGGGGCCATTTACTTTT	59.9	(ATT) <sub>11</sub>	323
RC661-V29346.2	F: TGTTTCCTTCTTGTGTGAGC R: ATTTGCCTCCCCTAGTTTCC	59.1	(CCGCA) <sub>6</sub>	206
RC662-V29346.3	F: TGCTGCCCTTGATTTTCTTT R: CCTTGTGCCCTTTGTATGCT	60.0	(TA) <sub>18</sub> (AG) <sub>14</sub>	252
RC687-V30006.20	F: GCTTATGGGTGGTTGTTTCC R: GTTTATCATCGAGCAAGCCA	59.1	(CT) <sub>30</sub>	213
RC690-V30006.23	F: TAGAACGCCTGGTGATTGTG R: AAACCATTGCACCCTTGAAA	60.0	(TC) <sub>7</sub> (AC) <sub>14</sub>	226
RC691-V30006.24	F: TGATTGATTACAACCTCCAGC R: CACTAAATGGTGGAATGACTGA	57.7	(AT) <sub>11</sub> (AAT) <sub>9</sub>	368
RC693-V30006.26	F: TCCCAACCCCAACAATAGAA R: CACATGGGTGGCAAAGAAA	60.3	(TA) <sub>15</sub>	365

Table 4. SSR primer pairs obtained through data mining in a fragment of the castor bean genome sequence. Sequences, melting temperature and estimated allele sizes (EAS) of primer pairs (F: forward; R: reverse) are indicated in the panel.

the value obtained by Qiu et al. (2010) for genic sequences (13.68 Mbp – approximately 4% of the genome). Moreover, our stringent criteria for selection of microsatellites to be used sharply reduced the final number of annotated primer pairs. Without the adopted restriction of 30 nucleotides and using default parameters of the software, the total number of scored microsatellites increased from 134 to 696 (data not shown). Therefore, due to the higher number of repetitions of the targeted microsatellites, these molecular markers may be more liable to polymorphisms than the smaller SSRs.

### 3. Conclusion

Despite the recent efforts to characterize castor bean germplasm collections, there are relatively few molecular markers available. Curiously, the use of widely spread and low-cost anonymous markers, as RAPD and ISSR, in genetic diversity analyses is still problematic and insufficient. Even the powerful and reliable AFLP marker system was poorly used to describe the extant polymorphism in castor bean germplasm collections. However, still there is a need for selection of robust molecular markers able to distinguish accessions and/or for association with phenotypic traits of interest such as oil production, resistance to abiotic stress and pathogens. Thus, our results, in addition to compiled data from literature, will be very useful for breeding programs by providing important information about genetic diversity of this important crop. Furthermore, our efforts in describing novel molecular markers certainly should help the development of the first genetic map for castor bean.

### 4. Acknowledgment

The authors thank the following Brazilian agencies for financial support: Banco do Nordeste do Brasil S/A (BNB), Escritório Técnico de Estudos Econômicos do Nordeste (ETENE), Fundo de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FUNDECI); Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq); Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES); and Fundação de Amparo à Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Pernambuco (FACEPE).

### 5. References

- Allan, G.; Williams, A.; Rabinowicz, P.D.; Chan, A.P.; Ravel, J. & Keim, P. (2008). Worldwide genotyping of castor bean germplasm (*Ricinus communis* L.) using AFLPs and SSRs. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, Vol.55, No. 3, (July 2007), pp. 365-378, ISSN 0925-9864
- Amar, M.H.; Biswas, M.K.; Zhang, Z. & Guo, W.W. (2011) Exploitation of SSR, SRAP and CAPS-SNP markers for genetic diversity of Citrus germplasm collection. *Scientia Horticulturae*, Vol.128, No.3, (April 2011) pp. 220-227, ISSN 0304-4238
- Amorim L.L.B. (2009). *Construção de um mapa genético para feijão-caupi com marcadores moleculares ISSR, DAF e CAPS*, Master Dissertation (Biological Sciences), Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil
- Andrade, I.M.; Mayo, S.J.; Van Den Berg, C.; Fay, M.F.; Chester, M.; Lexer, C. & Kirkup, D. (2009). Genetic variation in natural populations of *Anthurium sinuatum* and *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* (Araceae) from north-east Brazil using AFLP

- molecular markers. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, Vol.159, No.1, (January 2009), pp. 88-105, ISSN 1095-8339
- Bajay, M.M.; Pinheiro, J.B.; Batista, C.E.A.; Nobrega, M.B.D. & Zucchi, M.I. (2009). Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for castor (*Ricinus communis* L.), an important oleaginous species for biodiesel production. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, Vol.1, No.1, (July 2009), pp. 237-239, ISSN 1877-7252
- Bajay, M.M.; Zucchi, M.I.; Kiihl, T.A.M.; Batista, C.E.A.; Monteiro, M. & Pinheiro, J.B. (2011). Development of a novel set of microsatellite markers for Castor bean, *Ricinus communis* (Euphorbiaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, Vol.98, No.4, (April 2011), pp. E87-E89, ISSN 1537-2197
- Berman, P.; Nizri, S. & Wiesman, Z. (2011). Castor oil biodiesel and its blends as alternative fuel. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, In Press (Online First), pp. 6, ISSN 0961-9534
- Billotte, N.; Jourjon, M.; Marseillac, N.; Berger, A.; Flori, A.; Asmady, H.; Adon, B.; Singh, R.; Nouy, B.; Potier, F.; Cheah, S.; Rohde, W.; Ritter, E.; Courtois, B.; Charrier, A. & Mangin, B. (2010). QTL detection by multi-parent linkage mapping in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, Vol.120, No.8, (February 2010), pp. 1673-1687, ISSN 0040-5752
- Cardle, L.; Ramsay, L.; Milbourne, D.; Macaulay, M.; Marshall, D. & Waugh, R. (2000). Computational and experimental characterization of physically clustered simple sequence repeats in plants. *Genetics*, Vol.156, No.2, (October 2000), pp. 847-854, ISSN 0016-6731
- Cavagnaro, P.F.; Senalik, D.A.; Yang, L.; Simon, P.W.; Harkins, T.T.; Kodira, C. D.; Huang, S. & Weng, Y. (2010). Genome-wide characterization of simple sequence repeats in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *BMC Genomics*, Vol.11, No. 569, (October 2010), pp. 1-18, ISSN 1471-2164
- Chan, A.P.; Crabtree, J.; Zhao, Q.; Lorenzi, H.; Orvis, J.; Puiu, D.; Melake-Berhan, A.; Jones, K.M.; Redman, J.; Chen, G.; Cahoon, E.B.; Gedil, M.; Stanke, M.; Haas, B.J.; Wortman, J.R.; Fraser-Liggett, C.M.; Ravel, J. & Rabinowicz, P.D. (2010). Draft genome sequence of the oilseed species *Ricinus communis*. *Nature Biotechnology*, Vol.28, No.9, (August 2010), pp. 951-956, ISSN 1087-0156
- Christopoulos, M.V.; Rouskas, D.; Tsantili, E. & Bebeli, P. J. (2010). Germplasm diversity and genetic relationships among walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) cultivars and Greek local selections revealed by Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) markers. *Scientia Horticulturae*, Vol.125, No.4, (July 2010), pp. 584-592, ISSN 0304-4238
- Cuadrado, A. & Jouve, N. (2007). The nonrandom distribution of long clusters of all possible classes of trinucleotide repeats in barley chromosomes. *Chromosome Research*, Vol.15, No.6, (August 2007), pp. 711-720, ISSN 0967-3849
- Cuadrado, A. & Jouve, N. (2010). Chromosomal detection of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) using nondenaturing FISH (ND-FISH). *Chromosoma*, Vol.119, No.5, (April 2010), pp. 495-503, ISSN 0009-5915
- Demirbas, A. (2007). Importance of biodiesel as transportation fuel. *Energy Policy*, Vol.35, No.9, (September 2007), pp. 4661-4670, ISSN 0301-4215
- Dong, Q.H.; Cao, X.; Yang, G.; Yu, H.P.; Nicholas, K.K.; Wang, C. & Fang, J.G. (2010). Discovery and characterization of SNPs in *Vitis vinifera* and genetic assessment of some grapevine cultivars. *Scientia Horticulturae*, Vol.125, No.3, (June 2010), pp. 233-238, ISSN 0304-4238

- Dyer, J.M.; Stymne, S.; Green, A.G. & Carlsson, A.S. (2008). High-value oils from plants. *Plant Journal*, Vol.54, No.4, (May 2008), pp. 640-655, ISSN 1365-313X
- Edwards, A.; Civitello, A.; Hammond, H.A. & Caskey C.T. (1991). DNA typing and genetic mapping with trimeric and tetrameric tandem repeats. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, Vol.49, No.4, (October 1991), pp. 746-756, ISSN 0002-9297
- Feng, S.; Li, W.; Huang, H.; Wang, J. & Wu, Y. (2009). Development, characterization and cross-species/genera transferability of EST-SSR markers for rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*). *Molecular Breeding*, Vol.23, No.1, (August 2008), pp. 85-97, ISSN 1380-3743
- Foster, J.T.; Allan, G.J.; Chan, A.P.; Rabinowicz, P.D.; Ravel, J.; Jackson, P.J. & Keim, P. (2010). Single nucleotide polymorphisms for assessing genetic diversity in castor bean (*Ricinus communis*). *BMC Plant Biology*, Vol.10, No.13, (January 2010), pp. 1-11, ISSN 1471-2229
- Gaginella, T.S.; Capasso, F.; Mascolo, N. & Perilli, S. (1998). Castor oil: new lessons from an ancient oil. *Phytotherapy Research*, Vol.12, No.S1, (December 2008), pp. S128-S130, ISSN 0951-418X
- Gajera, B.B.; Kumar, N.; Singh, A.S.; Punvar, B.S.; Ravikiran, R.; Subhash, N. & Jadeja, G.C. (2010). Assessment of genetic diversity in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) using RAPD and ISSR markers. *Industrial Crops and Products*, Vol.32, No.3, (November 2010), pp. 491-498, ISSN 0926-6690
- Gepts, P. (2004). Crop domestication as a long-term selection experiment, In: *Plant Breeding Reviews*, J. Janick, (Ed.), Vol. 24, Part 2, 1-44, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., ISBN 0-471-46892-4, Oxford, United Kingdom
- Jelenkovic, G. & Harrington, E. (1973). Chromosome complement of *Ricinus communis* at pachytene and early diplotene. *Journal of Heredity*, Vol.64, No.3, (May 1973), pp. 137-142, ISSN 0022-1503
- Kalia, R.K.; Rai, M.K.; Kalia, S.; Singh, R. & Dhawan, A.K. (2011). Microsatellite markers: an overview of the recent progress in plants. *Euphytica*, Vol.177, No.3, (November 2010), pp. 309-334, ISSN 0014-2336
- Kofler, R.; Schlotterer, C. & Lelley, T. (2007). SciRoKo: a new tool for whole genome microsatellite search and investigation. *Bioinformatics*, Vol.23, No.13, (July 2007), pp. 1683-1685, ISSN 1460-2059
- Kumar, P.; Gupta, V.K.; Misra, A.K.; Modi, D.R. & Pandey, B. K. (2009). Potential of molecular markers in plant biotechnology. *Plant Omics*, Vol.2, No.4, (July 2009), pp. 141-162, ISSN 1836-0661
- Kumar, R.S.; Parthiban, K.T. & Rao, M.G. (2009). Molecular characterization of *Jatropha* genetic resources through inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. *Molecular Biology Reports*, Vol.36, No.7, (November 2008), pp. 1951-1956, ISSN 0301-4851
- Li, Y.H.; Li, W.; Zhang, C.; Yang, L.A.; Chang, R.Z.; Gaut, B.S. & Qiu, L.J. (2010). Genetic diversity in domesticated soybean (*Glycine max*) and its wild progenitor (*Glycine soja*) for simple sequence repeat and single-nucleotide polymorphism loci. *New Phytologist*, Vol.188, No.1, (October 2010), pp. 242-253, ISSN 1469-8137
- Martins, W.S.; Lucas, D.C.; Neves, K.F. & Bertioli, D.J. (2009). WebSat - a web software for microsatellite marker development. *Bioinformation*, Vol.3, No.6, (January 2009), pp. 282-283, ISSN 0973-2063




- Metzgar, D.; Bytof, J. & Wills, C. (2000). Selection against frameshift mutations limits microsatellite expansion in coding DNA. *Genome Research*, Vol.10, No.1, (January 2000), pp. 72-80, ISSN 1088-9051
- Michaels S.D.; John, M.C. & Amasino R.M. (1994). Removal of polysaccharides from plant DNA by ethanol precipitation. *Biotechniques*, Vol.17, No.2, (August 1994), pp. 274-276, ISSN 0736-6205
- Milani, M.; Dantas, F.V. & Martins, W.F.S. (2009). Genetic divergence among castor bean genotypes by morphologic and molecular characters. *Revista Brasileira de Oleaginosas e Fibrosas*, Vol.13, No.2, (May 2009), pp. 61-71, ISSN 1980-4830
- Morgante, M. & Olivieri, A.M. (1993). PCR-amplified microsatellites as markers in plant genetics. *The Plant journal*, Vol.3, No.1, (January 1993), pp. 175-182, ISSN 0960-7412
- Morgante, M.; Hanafey, M. & Powell, W. (2002). Microsatellites are preferentially associated with nonrepetitive DNA in plant genomes. *Nature Genetics*, Vol.30, No.2, (February 2002), pp. 194-200, ISSN 1061-4036
- Nicese, F.P.; Hormaza, J.I. & McGranahan, G.H. (1998). Molecular characterization and genetic relatedness among walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) genotypes based on RAPD markers. *Euphytica*, Vol.101, No.2, (November 2004), pp. 199-206, ISSN 0014-2336
- Ogunniyi, D.S. (2006). Castor oil: a vital industrial raw material. *Bioresource Technology*, Vol.97, No.9, (June 2006), pp. 1086-1091, ISSN 0960-8524
- Pamidimarri, D.V.N.S.; Mastan, S.G.; Rahman, H. & Reddy, M. (2010). Molecular characterization and genetic diversity analysis of *Jatropha curcas* L. in India using RAPD and AFLP analysis. *Molecular Biology Reports*, Vol.37, No.5, (August 2009), pp. 2249-2257, ISSN 0301-4851
- Paris, H.S.; Shiffriss, O. & Jelenkovic, G. (1978). Idiogram of *Ricinus communis* L. *Journal of Heredity*, Vol.69, No.3, (May 1978), pp. 191-196, ISSN 0022-1503
- Pinzi, S.; Garcia, I.L.; Lopez-Gimenez, F.J.; Castro, M.D.L.; Dorado, G. & Dorado, M.P. (2009). The ideal vegetable oil-based biodiesel composition: a review of social, economical and technical implications. *Energy & Fuels*, Vol.23, No.5, (April 2009), pp. 2325-2341, ISSN 0887-0624
- Popova, M.G. & Moshkin, V.A. (1986). Botanical classification, In: *Castor*, V.A. Moshkin, (Ed.), 11-27, Amerind Publishing, ISBN 90-6191-466-3, New Delhi, India
- Qiu, L.; Yang, C.; Tian, B.; Yang, J.B. & Liu, A. (2010). Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of EST-SSR markers in castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). *BMC Plant Biology*, Vol.10, No.278, (December 2010), pp. 1-10, ISSN 1471-2229
- Raji, A.A.J.; Anderson, J.V.; Kolade, O.A.; Ugwu, C.D.; Dixon, A.G.O. & Ingelbrecht, I.L. (2009). Gene-based microsatellites for cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz): prevalence, polymorphisms, and cross-taxa utility. *BMC Plant Biology*, Vol.9, No.118, (September 2009), pp. 1-11, ISSN 1471-2229
- Reddy, M.P.; Sarla, N. & Siddiq, E.A. (2002). Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) polymorphism and its application in plant breeding. *Euphytica*, Vol.128, No.1, (November 2002), pp. 9-17, ISSN 0014-2336
- Savy-Filho, A. (2005). Melhoramento da mamona, In: *Melhoramento de espécies cultivadas*, A. Borém, (Ed), Second Edition, 429-452, Editora UFV, ISBN 85-7269-206-1, Viçosa, Brazil

- Sayama, T.; Hwang, T.Y.; Komatsu, K.; Takada, Y.; Takahashi, M.; Kato, S.; Sasama, H.; Higashi, A.; Nakamoto, Y.; Funatsuki, H. & Ishimoto, M. (2011). Development and application of a whole-genome simple sequence repeat panel for high-throughput genotyping in soybean. *DNA Research*, Vol.18, No.2, (April 2011), pp. 107-115, ISSN 1756-1663
- Scholz, V. & Silva, J.N. (2008). Prospects and risks of the use of castor oil as a fuel. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, Vol.32, No.2, (February 2008), pp. 95-100, ISSN 0961-9534
- Simon, M.V.; Benko-Iseppon, A.M.; Resende, L.V.; Winter, P. & Kahl, G. (2007). Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships in *Vigna* Savi germplasm revealed by DNA amplification fingerprinting. *Genome*, Vol.50, No.6, (June 2007), pp. 538-547, ISSN 0831-2796
- Singh, A.K. (2011). Castor oil-based lubricant reduces smoke emission in two-stroke engines. *Industrial Crops and Products*, Vol.33, No.2, (March 2011), pp. 287-295, ISSN 0926-6690
- Sraphet, S.; Boonchanawiwat, A.; Thanyasiriwat, T.; Boonseng, O.; Tabata, S.; Sasamoto, S.; Shirasawa, K.; Isobe, S.; Lightfoot, D.A.; Tangphatsornruang, S. & Triwitayakorn, K. (2011). SSR and EST-SSR-based genetic linkage map of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, Vol.122, No.6, (April 2011), pp. 1161-1170, ISSN 1161-1170
- Sujatha, M.; Reddy, T.P. & Mahasi, M.J. (2008). Role of biotechnological interventions in the improvement of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) and *Jatropha curcas* L. *Biotechnology Advances*, Vol.26, No.5, (May 2008), pp. 424-435, ISSN 0734-9750
- Talia, P.; Nishinakamasu, V.; Hopp, H.E.; Heinz, R. A. & Paniago, N. (2010). Genetic mapping of EST-SSRs, SSR and InDels to improve saturation of genomic regions in a previously developed sunflower map. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol.13, No.6, (November), pp. 6, ISSN 0717-3458
- Tanya, P.; Taeprayoon, P.; Hadkam, Y. & Srinives, P. (2011). Genetic diversity among *Jatropha* and *Jatropha*-related species based on ISSR markers. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, Vol.29, No.1, (July 2010), pp. 252-264, ISSN 0735-9640
- Tatikonda, L.; Wani, S.P.; Kannan, S.; Beerelli, N.; Sreedevi, T.K.; Hoisington, D.A.; Devi, P. & Varshney, R.K. (2009). AFLP-based molecular characterization of an elite germplasm collection of *Jatropha curcas* L., a biofuel plant. *Plant Science*, Vol.176, No.4, (April 2009), pp. 505-513, ISSN 0168-9452
- Varshney, R.K.; Graner, A. & Sorrells, M.E. (2005). Genic microsatellite markers in plants: features and applications. *Trends in Biotechnology*, Vol.23, No.1, (January 2005), pp. 48-55, ISSN 0167-7799
- Vasconcelos, S.; Souza, A.A.; Gusmao, C.L.S.; Milani, M.; Benko-Iseppon, A.M. & Brasileiro-Vidal, A.C. (2010). Heterochromatin and rDNA 5S and 45S sites as reliable cytogenetic markers for castor bean (*Ricinus communis*, Euphorbiaceae). *Micron*, Vol.41, No.7, (October 2010), pp. 746-753, ISSN 0968-4328
- Vos, P.; Hogers, R.; Bleeker, M.; Reijans, M.; Van De Lee, T.; Hornes, M.; Friters, A.; Pot, J.; Paleman, J.; Kuiper, M. & Zabeau, M. (1995). AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucleic Acids Research*, Vol.23, No.21, (November 1995), pp. 4407-4414, ISSN 0305-1048
- Webster, G.L. (1994). Classification of the Euphorbiaceae. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, Vol.81, No.1, (January 1994), pp. 3-32, ISSN 0026-6493

- Weising K.; Nybom, H.; Wolff, K. & Kahl, G. (2005). *DNA Fingerprinting in plants: principles, methods, and applications*, Second Edition, CRC Press, ISBN 978-0-8493-1488-9, Boca Raton, USA
- Yi, C.; Zhang, S.; Liu, X.; Bui, H. & Hong, Y. (2010). Does epigenetic polymorphism contribute to phenotypic variances in *Jatropha curcas* L.? *BMC Plant Biology*, Vol.10, No.259, (November 2010), pp. 1-9, ISSN 1471-2229

IntechOpen

IntechOpen



## **Plant Breeding**

Edited by Dr. Ibrokhim Abdurakhmonov

ISBN 978-953-307-932-5

Hard cover, 352 pages

**Publisher** InTech

**Published online** 11, January, 2012

**Published in print edition** January, 2012

Modern plant breeding is considered a discipline originating from the science of genetics. It is a complex subject, involving the use of many interdisciplinary modern sciences and technologies that became art, science and business. Revolutionary developments in plant genetics and genomics and coupling plant "omics" achievements with advances on computer science and informatics, as well as laboratory robotics further resulted in unprecedented developments in modern plant breeding, enriching the traditional breeding practices with precise, fast, efficient and cost-effective breeding tools and approaches. The objective of this Plant Breeding book is to present some of the recent advances of 21st century plant breeding, exemplifying novel views, approaches, research efforts, achievements, challenges and perspectives in breeding of some crop species. The book chapters have presented the latest advances and comprehensive information on selected topics that will enhance the reader's knowledge of contemporary plant breeding.

### **How to reference**

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Santelmo Vasconcelos, Alberto V. C. Onofre, Máira Milani, Ana Maria Benko-Iseppon and Ana Christina Brasileiro-Vidal (2012). Molecular Markers to Access Genetic Diversity of Castor Bean: Current Status and Prospects for Breeding Purposes, Plant Breeding, Dr. Ibrokhim Abdurakhmonov (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-932-5, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/plant-breeding/molecular-markers-to-access-genetic-diversity-of-castor-bean-current-status-and-prospects-for-breedi>

**INTECH**  
open science | open minds

### **InTech Europe**

University Campus STeP Ri  
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A  
51000 Rijeka, Croatia  
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447  
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166  
[www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)

### **InTech China**

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai  
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China  
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元  
Phone: +86-21-62489820  
Fax: +86-21-62489821



© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen