We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

Open access books available

172,000

International authors and editors

190M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Cell Adhesion and Spreading on an Intrinsically Anti-Adhesive PEG Biomaterial

Marga C. Lensen^{1,2}, Vera A. Schulte¹ and Mar Diez¹

¹DWI e.V. and Institute of Technical and Macromolecular Chemistry, RWTH Aachen

²Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Chemie, Nanostrukturierte Biomaterialien
Germany

1. Introduction

This Chapter deals with bulk hydrogels consisting of a widely used biomaterial: poly(ethylene) glycol (PEG). PEG is renown for its bio-inertness; it is very effective in suppressing non-specific protein adsorption (NSPA) and thereby preventing cell adhesion. However, we have observed unexpected adhesion of fibroblast cells to the surface of bulk PEG hydrogels when the surface was decorated with micrometer-sized, topographic patterns. This Chapter describes the aim of our investigations to unravel the biophysical, biochemical and biomechanical reasons why these cells do adhere to the intrinsically anti-adhesive PEG material when it is topographically patterned.

1.1 Application of hydrogels in biomaterial science

Amongst the different classes of materials which find use in the field of medicine and biology, hydrophilic polymers have demonstrated great potential. Networks formed from hydrophilic polymer often exhibit a high affinity for water, yet they do not dissolve due to their chemically or physically crosslinked network. Water can penetrate in between the chains of the polymer network, leading to swelling and the formation of a hydrogel (Langer & Peppas, 2003; Peppas et al., 2000; Wichterle & Lim, 1960). Generally such polymer networks can be formed via chemical bonds, ionic interactions, hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic interactions, or physical bonds (Hoffman, 2002; Peppas, 1986). Hydrogels have found numerous applications in drug delivery as well as in tissue engineering where they are used as scaffolds for the cultivation of cells to enable the formation of new tissues (Jen et al. 1996; Krsko & Libera, 2005; Langer & Tirrell, 2004; Peppas et al., 2006). Hydrogels are especially attractive for this purpose as they meet numerous characteristics of the architecture and mechanics of most soft tissues and many are considered biocompatible (Jhon & Andrade, 1973; Saha et al., 2007). Furthermore, concerning the intended purpose of cell encapsulation and delivery, hydrogels support sufficient transport of oxygen, nutrients and wastes (Fedorovich et al., 2007; Lee & Mooney, 2001; Nguyen & West, 2002).

In general, hydrogel matrices can be prepared from a variety of naturally derived proteins and polysaccharides, as well as from synthetic polymers (Peppas et al., 2006). Depending on their origin and composition, natural polymers have specific utilities and properties. Hydrogels from natural sources have for example been fabricated from collagen, hyaluronic acid (HA), fibrin, alginate and agarose (Hoffman, 2002). Collagen, HA and fibrin are

components which are in vivo present in the extracellular matrix (ECM) of mammalian cells. Since they are derived from natural sources, hydrogels formed from these polymers are inherently cytocompatible and bioactive. They can promote many cellular functions due to a diversity of endogenous factors present. However, scaffolds fabricated from natural sources are rather complex and often ill-defined, making it difficult to determine exactly which signals are promoting the cellular outcome (Cushing & Anseth, 2007). Furthermore they can possess an inherent batch-to-batch variability which can affect sensitive cells in their viability, proliferation, and development (Cushing & Anseth, 2007). Due to these limitations of gels formed from natural polymers, a wide range of synthetic polymers has been found suitable regarding their chemical and physical properties (Hoffman, 2002). The advantages of synthetic gels include their consistent composition and predictable manipulation of properties.

A few examples of synthetic hydrogel building blocks are given in **Figure 1**, including neutral (upper row) and ionic (bottom row) monomers (Peppas et al., 2006).

Fig. 1. Some examples of synthetic hydrogels that are used in biomedical applications. Reproduced with permission from Peppas et al., *Adv. Mater.*, 18, 1345-60. Copyright 2006 John Wiley and Sons.

Proteins are molecules, which often adsorb unspecifically from solution at biomaterial interfaces, a phenomenon that has been documented in a wealth of publications, e.g. references: (Andrade & Hlady, 1986; Andrade et al., 1992; Wahlgren & Arnebrant, 1991). Almost any material, when exposed to a physiological, protein-containing solution, becomes coated with proteins within seconds. As widely recognized, this adsorption of proteins to synthetic material surfaces is of great importance in the field of biomaterials as it plays a determining role for the subsequent cellular responses. Failure of most implant materials stems from an inability to predict and control the process of protein adsorption and cell interaction, resulting in an inappropriate host response to the material (Castner & Ratner, 2002; Hlady & Buijs, 1996; Tsai et al., 2002). Biomaterial surface-induced thrombosis, for example, one of the major problems in clinical applications of materials in contact with

circulating blood, begins with the unspecific adsorption of plasma proteins (Andrade & Hlady, 1986; Harris, 1992; Horbett, 1993).

Not only with regards to tissue engineering and implant design unspecific protein adsorption is a highly critical process, also different devices in diagnostics (e.g. protein arrays) and biosensors are based on specific receptor-ligand binding, demanding a non-interacting background. Therefore, much effort has been focused on the development of inert, protein resistant materials and coatings (Chapman et al., 2000; Elbert & Hubbell, 1996). Many synthetic hydrophilic polymers, including PAA, PHEMA, PVA, PEG and poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) have been applied for this purpose (see Figure 1) (Castillo et al., 1985).

1.2 Biomedical applications of PEG- or PEO-based hydrogels

Some of the earliest work on the use of PEG and PEO as hydrophilic biomaterials showed that PEO adsorption onto glass surfaces prevented protein adsorption (Merrill et al., 1982). Several subsequent studies confirmed that PEO, or its low molecular weight ($M_w < 10$ kDa) equivalent, PEG, were showing the most effective protein-repellent properties (Harris, 1992). PEG-modified surfaces are non-permissive to protein adsorption, bacterial adhesion and eukaryotic cell adhesion (Zhang et al., 1998; Desai et al., 1992; Drumheller et al., 1995; Krsko et al., 2009).

Based on these properties, PEG hydrogels are one of the most widely studied and used materials for a variety of biomedical applications such as tissue engineering, coating of implants, biosensors, and drug delivery systems (Langer & Peppas, 2003; Langer & Tirell, 2004; Krsko & Libera, 2005; Tessmar & Gopferich, 2007; Veronese & Mero, 2008; Harris & Zalipsky, 1997). PEG substrates have also been used to generate patterns of proteins or cells using for example the technique of microcontact printing (Whitesides et al., 2001; Mrksich & Whitesides, 1996; Mrksich et al., 1997). PEG hydrogels are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for oral and topical application; they are little immunogenic and non-toxic at molecular weights above 400 Da. Since PEG itself is not degradable by simple hydrolysis and undergoes only limited metabolism in the body, the whole polymer chains are eliminated through the kidneys or eventually through the liver ($M_w < 30$ kDa) (Harris, 1992; Knauf et al., 1988).

Many groups have investigated surface coverings of PEG or PEO in order to try to elucidate why PEG has such remarkably effective properties and different theories have been proposed (Jeon et al., 1991; Prime & Whitesides, 1993). First, there are generally only weak attractive interactions between the PEG-coatings and a wide range of proteins, as protein adsorption is generally known to be more pronounced on hydrophobic surfaces in comparison to hydrophilic ones (Morra, 2000). Furthermore, as the interaction between water and PEG via hydrogen bonds is more favorable and surpasses possible attractive interactions of proteins with the surfaces, a repulsion force is created. Therefore the hydration of the layer, i.e. the binding of interfacial water is of high relevance for the exclusion of other molecules coming near the polymer surface (Harris, 1992; Harder et al., 1998). Additionally, molecules approaching the rather flexible, loosely crosslinked PEG hydrogel from the surrounding medium initiate the compression of the extended PEG molecules inducing a steric repulsion effect (Jeon et al., 1991; Morra, 2000). More specifically, a loosely crosslinked gel has relatively long segments between the crosslinks, which can take a relatively large number of conformations. The number of segment conformations would be substantially restricted by the binding of a protein molecule to the gel surface. This

would lead to a relatively large unfavorable entropic change, making the process of protein adsorption very unfavorable for thermodynamic reasons. Additionally, the high mobility of PEG chains allows little time for proteins to form durable attachments.

Many techniques have been developed to create PEG or PEO-bearing surfaces, e.g. exploiting physical adsorption, chemical coupling, and graft polymerization (Harris, 1992; Harris & Zalipsky, 1997; Prime & Whitesides, 1993; Fujimoto et al., 1993; Prime & Whitesides, 1991). Whitesides and co-workers have studied covalent coatings of oligo(ethylene glycol)s, so-called self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) and found that the resistance to protein adsorption increased with the chain length of the oligomers (Prime & Whitesides, 1991 and 1993). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the adhesion resistance of PEG increases with chain packing density (Sofia et al., 1998; Malmsten et al., 1998).

In recent years the versatility of star-shaped PEG molecules has been recognized, as they present a high number of end-groups per molecule allowing interconnectivity and functionalization (Groll et al., 2005a & 2005b; Lutolf et al., 2003). Some star polymers have been shown to achieve a high surface coverage and localization of the end-groups near the top of the star polymer (Irvine et al., 1996). Therefore, star-shaped PEG molecules are an interesting and promising alternative to linear PEG.

1.3 PEG-based hydrogels formed by UV-curing: patternable biomaterials

We have been using PEG hydrogels that are prepared by UV-based radical crosslinking of six-armed star-shaped macromonomers via acrylate (Acr) end-groups. The polymer backbone consists of a statistical copolymer of 85 % ethylene oxide and 15 % propylene oxide (P(EO-stat-PO)) and each star molecule bears 6 reactive Acr end-groups. The formal notation of the precursor polymer would thus be Acr-sP(EO stat PO). Nevertheless, in the following the resulting, crosslinked network will be denoted PEG-based (hydro)gel, even though the arms of the precursors do not consist of pure PEG, but contain a fraction (15%) of propylene glycol units in the copolymer. These PO-units give the prepolymer its unique and very useful property of being a liquid at room temperature, before crosslinking. The crosslinking reaction was initiated by a UV-based radical reaction with benzoin methyl ether as photoinitiator (PI) and an additional crosslinker (CL) (pentaerythritol triacrylate). Further experimental details concerning the synthesis and the curing conditions can be found in our recent publications (Lensen et al., 2007; Diez et al., 2009).

The hydrogel substrates were applied as free-standing bulk gels for 2D cell culture studies. Due to the fact that the prepolymer Acr-sP(EO-stat-PO) is liquid before crosslinking, the precursor mixture can be molded in any shape, which has enabled us to imprint desired micro- and nanometer topographic patterns into the hydrogel surface (Lensen et al., 2007; Diez et al., 2009). In the following, the properties of this hydrogel system in view of its use in biomedical applications will be evaluated, e.g. the cytotoxicity and cytocompatibility will be assessed, and the cell behavior on the surface of the hydrogels will be demonstrated. Finally, the remarkable effect of surface topography and substrate elasticity on protein adsorption, cell adhesion and cell spreading will be discussed.

2. Fabrication and properties of PEG-based substrates

2.1 Synthesis of PEG-based hydrogels from Acr-sP(EO-stat-PO) macromonomers

Hydrogels fabricated for the application in cell culture studies were crosslinked from Acr-sP(EO-stat-PO) prepolymers. UV-irradiation was used to initiate radical polymerization of the macromonomer mixture with added photoinitiator (PI) and crosslinking agent (CL) (**Figure 2**).

Fig. 2. Fabrication of bulk PEG-based hydrogels by means of UV-curing.

To ensure a complete reaction of the acrylate end-groups which could otherwise undergo undesired reactions with the biological system, the curing kinetics of the system were monitored. It was confirmed that after 10 min more than 90 % of the C-C double bonds of the acrylate end-groups had been consumed. After 60 min only 2.3 % of unreacted end-groups were left. Based on these observations it was decided to apply 60 min of UV-irradiation to the samples in order to achieve virtually complete crosslinking. Bulk PEG-based substrates were fabricated by casting the prepolymer mixture against a smooth silicon surface.

Fig. 3. Young's modulus (MPa) of bulk PEG-based hydrogel samples in dry and swollen state; gels were fabricated from three precursor mixtures with different percentages (w/v) of photoinitiator (PI) and crosslinking agent (CL). Reprinted with permission from: Schulte et al. *Biomacromolecules*, 11, 3375-83. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.

The UV-photopolymerization via the acrylate end-groups on the sP(EO-stat-PO) arms does not only allow topographic patterning of the hydrogel's surface, but also enables tuning of the crosslinking density, hence stiffness. Thus, varying the amount of added photoinitiator (PI) and crosslinker (CL) represents a practical approach to controlling the mechanical properties of PEG-based hydrogels (**Figure 3**). This is of high relevance for biomedical applications, as it is well known that cells feel and respond to the stiffness of the underlying substrate (Discher et al., 2005; Engler et al., 2006; Yeung et al., 2005). PEG-based hydrogels with distinctly different mechanical properties were fabricated; the resulting hydrogels from 3 different formulations are denoted as soft, intermediate and stiff (Schulte et al., 2010; Diez et al., 2011). The stiffness in the swollen state, which is obviously the most relevant for cell culture, was shown to be approximately half of that measured in the dry state, ranging from ~100 kPa for the softest to 1 MPa for the stiffest, thus covering one order of magnitude in elastic modulus (Figure 3).

2.2 Cytotoxicity assessment of PEG-based substrates

As the material has not been used in this exact composition before, cytotoxicity tests were conducted to prove that possible traces of unreacted acrylate end-groups, photoinitiator or crosslinker did not interfere with cell viability. The impact of the material on the viability of L929 fibroblasts was tested with an indirect cell test. Cell membrane integrity as an important indicator for cell viability was tested with a commercially available enzymatic assay. Values shown in **Figure 4** were derived by comparison with a control sample of mortalized cells (incubation with DMSO), which was set to 100 % cytotoxicity. It should be noted that no direct test was possible as PEG is known to be anti-adhesive and the majority of the seeded cells would not stay attached to the PEG-substrate and could therefore not be used for quantitative studies.

Fig. 4. Cytotoxicity of bulk PEG samples; shown are the results of an indirect cytotoxicity test with L929 cultured for 24 h in PEG conditioned (72 h) medium. The PEG samples had before been extracted in water, acetone or methanol for 24 h. The test was performed in triplicate with substrates of three different crosslinking densities. Statistical significance indicated by **: $p < 0.01$; *: $p < 0.05$.

The percentage of dead cells after 24 h in the indirect cell test with medium that had been conditioned with PEG samples gave values between 7 % and 16 % depending on the sample composition (variations in the amount of PI and CL) and the solvent used for previous extraction of the samples. No significant difference in cytotoxicity could be observed comparing soft, intermediate and stiff samples. Looking at the impact of sample extraction medium, substrates which had been incubated in water for 24 h prior to the test showed the lowest cytotoxic effect. Compared with results gained by extraction with organic solvents (acetone) or without any treatment, the cytotoxicity level detected for the water washed samples was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively). As a consequence of these test results PEG samples were stored in sterile water for at least 24 h after the crosslinking process prior to in vitro application.

2.3 Fibroblast culture on smooth PEG hydrogel substrates

In order to assess the cytocompatibility of the PEG-based hydrogels in direct contact with cells, L929 cells were cultured directly on the surface of bulk free-standing substrates. Samples of the three introduced elasticities were applied and cell morphology was documented by live imaging after 24 h and 48 h in culture (**Figure 5**). Subsequently, fixed and dried cells were further evaluated by means of electron microscopy (FESEM).

As can be seen in Figure 5, the cell morphology on the three different PEG substrates did not vary significantly, all cells displayed a round shape and only little or no stable cell adhesion was evident. This clearly confirms the cell-repulsive properties of the PEG-based material. Electron microscopy further showed that the rinsing and fixation of the samples led to the removal of a large number of cells. This observation underlines that only a negligible amount of cells was able to establish a contact to the surface. The majority of the cells tended to form aggregates after longer cultivation times. The number of cells visible on the images of the different samples cannot be compared directly as a slight shaking of the medium led to an immediate re-distribution of the cells above the surface.

Based on the observation that the cells were not able to build stable contacts to the surface the consequences for intracellular processes were studied as well. Many anchorage-dependent cell types stop to proliferate or can undergo a programmed cell death (apoptosis) without the presence of integrin-mediated cell-surface contacts (Frisch & Francis, 1994; Gilmore, 2005). The amount of the apoptotic markers caspase-3 and caspase-7 after 48 h of cultivation time on the three different PEG substrates and polystyrene (PS) was assessed with a commercially available assay and compared to a culture where apoptosis was specifically induced by staurosporin addition (values set to 100 %). The results are depicted in **Figure 6**.

As seen in Figure 6, cells cultured on the three different sP(EO-stat-PO) hydrogels did not show an enhanced level of apoptotic activity compared to those seeded on the control substrate PS. This observation is in accordance with results from other groups showing that fibroblasts are not very sensitive to lack of adhesions to a solid substrate if serum is present in the medium (Ishizaki et al., 1995; McGill et al., 1997). There was also no significant difference between the samples with the three different crosslinking degrees. Cell adhesion to PS after 48 h was confirmed by light microscopy.

Fig. 5. Microscopic investigation of cytocompatibility of PEG-based hydrogels. L929 fibroblasts were cultured on smooth, bulk PEG samples that were fabricated with different percentages (w/v) of photoinitiator (PI) and crosslinking agent (CL); resulting in different mechanical properties. Cell morphology was monitored by light microscopy at different time points (24 h and 48 h) after initial cell seeding. Electron microscopy images (FESEM) of cells which were fixed and dried after 48 h are shown in the bottom row.

Fig. 6. Apoptotic level of fibroblasts cultured on PEG-based samples. The induction of apoptosis in L929 cells after 48 h cultivation time on smooth PEG samples was quantified with a caspase-3/-7 assay. The samples had before been extracted in water for 24 h. The test was performed in triplicate with substrates of three different crosslinking densities.

2.4 Fibroblast culture on micropatterned PEG hydrogel substrates

While no cell adhesion was observed on the smooth surface of the PEG-based hydrogels, we have discovered that cells do adhere to bulk PEG hydrogels when they are topographically patterned (Lensen et al., 2008; Schulte et al., 2009). **Figure 7** depicts some representative images of fibroblasts adhering to the micropatterned surface of the PEG hydrogels.

In order to explain this observation we have considered that proteins may adsorb to the physically patterned gels and/or that the cells themselves ‘feel’ the physical pattern and respond on the level of cytoskeletal adaptations. In two follow-up studies we investigated those two (biochemical and biophysical) arguments in more detail. Thus, we examined the possibility of protein adsorption to the gels and we explored the effect of systematic variations of geometry and stiffness of the hydrogels on the enabled cell adhesion.

The first investigation concerned the systematic variation of the deformability of the topographic structures that the cells are assumed to perceive. Although it is unlikely that the cells are able to really deform the micrometer-sized bars, we did envisage that the deformability of the surface structures to depend on the groove width, on the aspect ratio of the bars, and on the inherent mechanical properties of the gels. Thus we prepared line patterns with different groove width (5, 10, 25 and 50 μm) and depth (5, 10 and 15 μm). Also we prepared hydrogel formulations resulting in gels with three different stiffnesses, denoted soft (~ 90 kPa), intermediate (~ 350 kPa) and stiff (~ 1 MPa).

Fig. 7. Reprinted with permission from: Schulte et al., *Biomacromolecules*, 10, 2795–2801. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

We have examined the effect of geometric parameters and of mechanical properties of the hydrogels and found that cells prefer to bind inside of grooves that are of comparable size as their cell body, i.e. 10 μm wide and notably when those 10 μm wide grooves were shallow (5 μm deep). The effect of stiffness variations was only evident in combination with topography, and increased cell adhesion and spreading was observed on the softer gels (Figure 8; Schulte et al., 2010).

Fig. 8. Cell adhesion (a) and spreading (b) on topographically patterned PEG hydrogels with varied pattern geometries and stiffness. Reprinted with permission from: Schulte et al. *Biomacromolecules*, 11, 3375-83. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.

The cells were found to adhere inside the grooves and form adhesion contacts with the side walls as well as to the bottom of the shallower grooves. They were able to adhere to the narrower (5 μm wide) grooves as well, but had to undergo tremendous shape adaptations, including deformation of the cell nucleus, as observed from fluorescence microscopy using selective staining agents for the actin cytoskeleton and for the nucleus (**Figure 9**, right image). The cells apparently like to snug into well-fitting grooves in a more compliant hydrogel material. No visual deformation of the hydrogels was found; the cells rather adapted their shape to fit into too narrow grooves (i.e. 5 μm wide; Schulte et al., 2010).

Second, we investigated whether proteins could adsorb non-specifically to the gels and if so, if they would adsorb differently on the topographic structures, e.g. preferentially on the walls of the grooves, or on the convex (outer) or concave (inner) corners, since the eventually adherent cells were found to be located inside of the grooves and aligned along the ridges. We incubated the patterned hydrogel samples in protein solutions of three selected extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, i.e. Fibronectin (FN), Vitronectin (VN) and bovine serum albumin (BSA). The former two are cell adhesion mediating proteins, while BSA does not facilitate cell adhesion. BSA is the most abundant protein in serum, and besides its abundance it is also a small protein, which diffuses fast and reaches the surface faster than the larger proteins FN and VN and finally the cells.

With help of immunological staining using fluorescently labeled antibodies we could demonstrate that from pure protein solutions all three proteins were able to adsorb to the PEG surfaces to a certain extent (Schulte et al., 2011). The fluorescence was homogeneously distributed over the surface; there was no detectable difference between for example the vertical walls or the horizontal planes between the grooves. Finally, it seemed that BSA was able to diffuse into the PEG hydrogels, since the fluorescence was not restricted to the surface (Schulte et al., 2011).

Fig. 9. Elongated cell morphology on topographically patterned PEG hydrogels with 10 or 5 μm wide grooves. Reprinted with permission from: Schulte et al. *Biomacromolecules*, 11, 3375-83. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.

Notwithstanding the ability of all three proteins to adsorb to the PEG hydrogel, only VN was observed to adsorb in a detectable amount under competitive conditions, i.e. from a mixture of VN and FN and when serum, a complex mixture of proteins, was supplemented (**Table 1**; Schulte et al., 2011). This result was rather unexpected, since BSA is usually

observed to adsorb to virtually any surface, and because FN is generally considered to be the most important cell adhesion mediating protein in serum and consequently has been much more studied than VN.

Solution for Incubation		Protein detected by antibody staining	
		VN	FN
FBS	10 % in PBS	+	+
FBS	100 %	+	+
VN	5 µg/ml in PBS	+	+
FN	50 µg/ml in PBS	+	+
VN	5 µg/ml in PBS	+	+
FN	20 µg/ml in PBS	+	+
VN	10 µg/ml in PBS	+	+
FN	20 µg/ml in PBS	+	+
VN	10 µg/ml in PBS	+	+
FN	50 µg/ml in PBS	+	+

Table 1. Protein adsorption to the surface of the (topographically patterned) PEG-based hydrogel; qualitative results are given for samples that were incubated with serum (100% or 10% in buffer solution) or with buffer solutions containing a mixture of the pure proteins Vitronectin (VN) and Fibronectin (FN) in various ratios. Reproduced from: Schulte et al., (2011) *Macromol. Biosci.* (in press). Copyright 2011 John Wiley and Sons.

Fig. 10. Cell adhesion on PEG hydrogels enabled by surface topography and/or pre-incubation with the cell adhesion-mediating protein VN. Reproduced from: Schulte et al., *Macromol. Biosci.* (in press). Copyright 2011 John Wiley and Sons.

In order to verify whether this small but significant amount of adsorption of VN to the PEG surface is responsible for the observed cell adhesion on topographically patterned hydrogels we investigated the pre-incubated hydrogels (both smooth and patterned) in cell culture.

We analyzed the number of adherent cells and found an increased number of cells on the VN-incubated hydrogels. This effect was also found for the unpatterned, smooth hydrogels; after VN-incubation a very small but significant number of cells were able to adhere to the PEG surface. In **Figure 10** these results are depicted; comparing smooth and patterned hydrogels with and without VN-incubation.

It can be seen that compared to the effect of topography alone, the VN-incubation alone was less effective in enabling cell adhesion. Remarkably, the effect of VN on cell adhesion was only evident at early time points; after 24 hours the enabling effect was completely lost. Finally, a striking synergistic effect was observed from the combination of VN-incubation and topography; the number of adherent and spread cells was larger than the sum of the individual contributions (Schulte et al., 2011). Taking into account the apparent difference in the effect of topography and VN with time, we tentatively conclude that the cell adhesion protein VN facilitates the initial cell adhesion, while the adhesion-enabling effect of surface topography becomes dominant at longer times and is necessary for the development of durable and stable adhesion complexes.

3. Conclusion

Hydrogels are of high relevance for several biomedical applications. We have described the fabrication of a hydrogel system based on poly(ethylene glycol) and evaluated the potential of this PEG-based gel as a patternable biomaterial. PEG-based polymers are of great importance as biomaterials for applications in cell and tissue engineering, as coating of implants or biosensors, and as drug delivery systems. In particular, PEG coatings have been used to minimize surface biofouling by plasma proteins to create surfaces that are “invisible” to cells. Cell biological studies with murine fibroblasts (NIH L929) confirmed the expected non-adhesive nature of the smooth hydrogel surfaces and furthermore ruled out any toxic effect of the material. Alterations of the mechanical properties could easily be achieved by varying the crosslinking density.

The most striking result from our studies is that the very popular and versatile PEG biomaterial is not cell-repellent per se. Only when the surface of the bulk PEG hydrogels is smooth it is anti-adhesive to cells, and this applies to all hydrogels we have investigated with a stiffness ranging from 0.1 to 1 MPa. However, we have discovered that on the same PEG hydrogels when decorated with micropatterns of topography, cells are able to adhere and spread. We have explored several underlying biochemical, biophysical and biomechanical factors that could attribute to this phenomenon and found that these factors do have an effect indeed, and notably the combination of these parameters, e.g. protein adsorption, surface topography and substrate compliance, work together to enable cell adhesion to the intrinsically anti-adhesive PEG biomaterial.

More specifically, three investigated PEG-based hydrogels with different stiffness were all cell anti-adhesive when smooth. However, in combination with topography, the softer gels were clearly more attractive for the cells; on softer gels with the same pattern geometry, significantly more cells adhered and spread than on the intermediate or stiffer gels. It seems that the compliance of the softer gels enables the cells to ‘squeeze’ into the grooves, although the cells apparently deform their own cytoskeleton rather than the topographic features.

We also discovered that a slight but significant amount of the ECM-protein Vitronectin is able to adsorb to the PEG surface and that this leads to an increase in initial cell adhesion during the first 4 hours of cell culture. However, this effect rapidly falls off. The effect of

topography is a more durable effect that dominates at longer time scales, suggesting its role in the enabling of stable adhesion complexes, which is a process that occurs during more than several hours. We consider that the topographic features may provide shelter to the cells and prolong their residence time inside of the grooves. Added to this, the 'pulling' of the cells on the weakly bound cell adhesion proteins may be less effective when they are confined between the vertical walls than when the surface is smooth; as a consequence focal adhesion contacts can develop into stable focal adhesion complexes.

Thus, the combination of different (bio)chemical, physical and mechanical properties of the PEG hydrogels results in the observed cell adhesion on this intrinsically anti-adhesive biomaterial. The effects are difficult to disentangle, and marked synergistic effects were observed for example when using topographically patterned hydrogels that were incubated with Vitronectin prior to cell culture.

As PEG is generally well known for its anti-adhesive properties and is widely applied in biomedical applications, it is important to take into consideration what our study has shown: physical and mechanical surface properties can impede the anti-adhesive characteristics of PEG. On the other hand it also opens new opportunities for biomimetic material design which does not rely on complicated and expensive biochemical surface functionalization for manipulating cellular responses.

4. Acknowledgment

The author gratefully acknowledge funding from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) in the form of a Sofja Kovalevskaja Award (M. C. L.) and from the DFG Graduate School "Biointerface" (GRK 1035).

5. References

- Andrade, J. D.& Hlady, V. (1986) Protein adsorption and materials biocompatibility - a tutorial review and suggested hypotheses. *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 79, 1-63.
- Andrade, J. D.; Hlady, V.; Wei, A. P.; Ho, C. H.; Lea, A. S.; Jeon, S. I.; Lin, Y. S.& Stroup, E. (1992) Proteins at interfaces principles multivariate aspects protein resistant surfaces and direct imaging and manipulation of adsorbed proteins. *Clin. Mater.*, 11, 67-84.
- Baroli, B. (2006) Photopolymerization of biomaterials: Issues and potentialities in drug delivery, tissue engineering, and cell encapsulation applications. *J. Chem. Technol. Biot.*, 81, 491-9.
- Castner, D. G.& Ratner, B. D. (2002) Biomedical surface science: Foundations to frontiers. *Surf. Sci.*, 500, 28-60.
- Castillo, E. J.; Koenig, J. L.; Anderson, J. M.& Lo, J. (1985) Protein adsorption on hydrogels. 2. Reversible and irreversible interactions between lysozyme and soft contact-lens surfaces. *Biomaterials*, 6, 338-45.
- Chapman, R. G.; Ostuni, E.; Takayama, S.; Holmlin, R. E.; Yan, L.& Whitesides, G. M. (2000) Surveying for surfaces that resist the adsorption of proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 122, 8303-4.
- Cushing, M. C.& Anseth, K. S. (2007) Hydrogel cell cultures. *Science*, 316, 1133-4.

- Desai, N. P.; Hossainy, S. F. A. & Hubbell, J. A. (1992) Surface-immobilized polyethylene oxide for bacterial repellence. *Biomaterials*, 13, 417-20.
- Diez, M.; Mela, P.; Seshan, V.; Möller, M.; Lensen, M. C. Nanomolding of PEG-based hydrogels with sub-10-nm resolution. *Small* 2009, 5, 2756-60.
- Diez, M.; Schulte, V. A.; Stefanoni, F.; Natale, C. F.; Mollica, F.; Cesa, C. M.; Chen, Y.; Möller, M.; Netti, P. A.; Ventre, M. & Lensen, M. C. (2011) Molding Micropatterns of Elasticity on PEG-based Hydrogels to Control Cell Adhesion and Migration *Adv. Eng. Mater. (Adv. Biomater.)*, 13 (4): n/a. doi: 10.1002/adem.201080122, in press.
- Discher, D. E.; Janmey, P. & Wang, Y. L. (2005) Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate. *Science*, 310, 1139-43.
- Drumheller, P. D. & Hubbell, J. A. (1995) Densely cross-linked polymer networks of poly(ethylene glycol) in trimethylolpropane triacrylate for cell-adhesion-resistant surfaces. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, 29, 207-15.
- Elbert, D. L. & Hubbell, J. A. (1996) Surface treatments of polymers for biocompatibility. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci.*, 26, 365-94.
- Engler, A. J.; Sen, S.; Sweeney, H. L. & Discher, D. E. (2006) Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. *Cell*, 126, 677-89.
- Fedorovich, N. E.; Alblas, J.; de Wijn, J. R.; Hennink, W. E.; Verbout, A. J. & Dhert, W. J. A. (2007) Hydrogels as extracellular matrices for skeletal tissue engineering: State-of-the-art and novel application in organ printing. *Tissue Eng.*, 13, 1905-25.
- Fisher, J. P.; Dean, D.; Engel, P. S. & Mikos, A. G. (2001) Photoinitiated polymerization of biomaterials. *Ann. Rev. Mater. Res.*, 31, 171-81.
- Frisch, S. M. & Francis, H. (1994) Disruption of epithelial cell-matrix interactions induces apoptosis. *J. Cell Biol.* 124, 619-26.
- Fujimoto, K.; Inoue, H. & Ikada, Y. (1993) Protein adsorption and platelet-adhesion onto polyurethane grafted with methoxy-poly(ethylene glycol) methacrylate by plasma technique. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, 27, 1559-67.
- Gilmore, A. P. (2005) Anoikis. *Cell Death Differ.* 12, 1473-7.
- Groll, J.; Ameringer, T.; Spatz, J. P. & Möller, M. (2005a) Ultrathin coatings from isocyanate-terminated star PEG prepolymers: Layer formation and characterization. *Langmuir*, 21, 1991-9.
- Groll, J.; Fiedler, J.; Engelhard, E.; Ameringer, T.; Tugulu, S.; Klok, H. A.; Brenner, R. E. & Möller, M. (2005b) A novel star PEG-derived surface coating for specific cell adhesion. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A*, 74A, 607-17.
- Harder, P.; Grunze, M.; Dahint, R.; Whitesides, G. M. & Laibinis, P. E. (1998) Molecular conformation in oligo(ethylene glycol)-terminated self-assembled monolayers on gold and silver surfaces determines their ability to resist protein adsorption. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 102, 426-36.
- Harris, J. H. (1992) *Poly(ethylene glycol) chemistry: Biotechnical and biomedical applications*. Plenum Press: New York.
- Harris, J. H. & Zalipsky, S. (1997) *Poly(ethylene glycol) chemistry: Chemistry and biomedical applications*. Am. Chem. Soc.: Washington D.C.
- Hlady, V. & Buijs, J. (1996) Protein adsorption on solid surfaces. *Curr. Opin. Biotech.*, 7, 72-7.
- Hoffman, A. S. (2002) Hydrogels for biomedical applications. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*, 54, 3-12.

- Horbett, T. A. (1993) Principles underlying the role of adsorbed plasma-proteins in blood interactions with foreign materials. *Cardiovasc. Pathol.*, 2, S137-S48.
- Irvine, D. J.; Mayes, A. M. & Griffiths, L. (1996) Self-consistent field analysis of grafted star polymers. *Macromolecules*, 29, 6037-43.
- Ishizaki, Y.; Cheng, L.; Mudge, A. W. & Raff, M. C. (1995) Programmed cell-death by default in embryonic-cells, fibroblasts, and cancer-cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 6, 1443-58.
- Jen, A. C.; Wake, M. C. & Mikos, A. G. (1996) Review: Hydrogels for cell immobilization. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 50, 357-64.
- Jeon, S. I.; Lee, J. H.; Andrade, J. D. & Degennes, P. G. (1991) Protein surface interactions in the presence of polyethylene oxide .1. Simplified theory. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 142, 149-58.
- Jhon, M. S. & Andrade, J. D. (1973) Water and hydrogels. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, 7, 509-22.
- Knauf, M. J.; Bell, D. P.; Hirtzer, P.; Luo, Z. P.; Young, J. D. & Katre, N. V. (1988) Relationship of effective molecular-size to systemic clearance in rats of recombinant interleukin-2 chemically modified with water-soluble polymers. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 263, 15064-70.
- Krsko, P. & Libera, M. (2005) Biointeractive hydrogels. *Materials today*, 8, 36-44.
- Krsko, P.; Kaplan, J. B. & Libera, M. (2009) Spatially controlled bacterial adhesion using surface-patterned poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels. *Acta Biomater.*, 5, 589-96.
- Langer, R. & Peppas, N. A. (2003) Advances in biomaterials, drug delivery, and bionanotechnology. *AIChE J.*, 49, 2990-3006.
- Langer, R. & Tirrell, D. A. (2004) Designing materials for biology and medicine. *Nature*, 428, 487-92.
- Lee, K. Y. & Mooney, D. J. (2001) Hydrogels for tissue engineering. *Chem. Rev.*, 101, 1869-79.
- Lensen, M. C.; Mela, P.; Mourran, A.; Groll, J.; Heuts, J.; Rong, H. T. & Möller, M. (2007) Micro- and nanopatterned star poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) materials prepared by UV-based imprint lithography. *Langmuir*, 23, 7841-6.
- Lensen, M. C.; Schulte, V. A.; Salber, J.; Díez, M.; Menges, F. R. & Möller, M. (2008) Cellular responses to novel, micro-patterned biomaterials *Pure Appl. Chem.* 11, 2479-2487.
- Lutolf, M. P.; Raeber, G. P.; Zisch, A. H.; Tirelli, N. & Hubbell, J. A. (2003) Cell-responsive synthetic hydrogels. *Adv. Mater.*, 15, 888-92.
- Malmsten, M.; Emoto, K. & Van Alstine, J. M. (1998) Effect of chain density on inhibition of protein adsorption by poly(ethylene glycol) based coatings. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 202, 507-17.
- McGill, G.; Shimamura, A.; Bates, R. C.; Savage, R. E. & Fisher, D. E. (1997) Loss of matrix adhesion triggers rapid transformation-selective apoptosis in fibroblasts. *J. Cell Biol.*, 138, 901-11.
- Merrill, E. W.; Salzman, E. W.; Wan, S.; Mahmud, N.; Kushner, L.; Lindon, J. N. & Curme, J. (1982) Platelet-compatible hydrophilic segmented polyurethanes from polyethylene glycols and cyclohexane diisocyanate. *Trans. Am. Soc. Artif. Intern. Organs.*, 28, 482-7.
- Morra, M. (2000) On the molecular basis of fouling resistance. *J. Biomater. Sci.-Polym. E.*, 11, 547-69.

- Mrksich, M. & Whitesides, G. M. (1996) Using self-assembled monolayers to understand the interactions of man-made surfaces with proteins and cells. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomolec. Struct.*, 25, 55-78.
- Mrksich, M.; Dike, L. E.; Tien, J.; Ingber, D. E. & Whitesides, G. M. (1997) Using microcontact printing to pattern the attachment of mammalian cells to self-assembled monolayers of alkanethiolates on transparent films of gold and silver. *Exp. Cell Res.*, 235, 305-13.
- Nguyen, K. T. & West, J. L. (2002) Photopolymerizable hydrogels for tissue engineering applications. *Biomaterials*, 23, 4307-14.
- Peppas, N. A. (1986) *Hydrogels in medicine and pharmacy: Fundamentals*. CRC Press: Boca Raton.
- Peppas, N. A.; Bures, P.; Leobandung, W. & Ichikawa, H. (2000) Hydrogels in pharmaceutical formulations. *Euro. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 50, 27-46.
- Peppas, N. A.; Hilt, J. Z.; Khademhosseini, A. & Langer, R. (2006) Hydrogels in biology and medicine: From molecular principles to bionanotechnology. *Adv. Mater.*, 18, 1345-60.
- Prime, K. L. & Whitesides, G. M. (1991) Self-assembled organic monolayers - model systems for studying adsorption of proteins at surfaces. *Science*, 252, 1164-7.
- Prime, K. L. & Whitesides, G. M. (1993) Adsorption of proteins onto surfaces containing end-attached oligo(ethylene oxide) - a model system using self-assembled monolayers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 115, 10714-21.
- Saha, K.; Pollock, J. F.; Schaffer, D. V. & Healy, K. E. (2007) Designing synthetic materials to control stem cell phenotype. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 11, 381-7.
- Schulte, V. A.; Diez, M.; Möller, M. & Lensen, M. C. (2009) Surface Topography induces Fibroblast Adhesion on intrinsically non-adhesive Poly(ethylene Glycol) Substrates *Biomacromolecules*, 10, 2795-2801.
- Schulte, V. A.; Diez, M.; Hu, Y.; Möller, M. & Lensen, M. C. (2010) The combined influence of substrate elasticity and surface topography on the anti-adhesive properties of poly(ethylene glycol). *Biomacromolecules*, 11, 3375-83.
- Schulte, V. A.; Diez, M.; Hu, Y.; Möller, M. & Lensen, M. C. (2011) Topography induced cell adhesion to Acr-sP(EO-stat-PO) hydrogels: the role of protein adsorption *Macromolecular Bioscience* in press
- Sofia, S. J.; Premnath, V. & Merrill, E. W. (1998) Poly(ethylene oxide) grafted to silicon surfaces: Grafting density and protein adsorption. *Macromolecules*, 31, 5059-70.
- Tessmar, J. K. & Gopferich, A. M. (2007) Customized PEG-derived copolymers for tissue-engineering applications. *Macromol. Biosci.*, 7, 23-39.
- Tsai, W.-B.; Grunkemeier, J. M.; McFarland, C. D. & Horbett, T. A. (2002) Platelet adhesion to polystyrene-based surfaces pre-adsorbed with plasmas selectively depleted in fibrinogen, fibronectin, vitronectin, or von willebrand's factor. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, 60, 348-59.
- Wahlgren, M. & Arnebrant, T. (1991) Protein adsorption to solid-surfaces. *Trends Biotechnol.*, 9, 201-8.
- Veronese, F. M. & Mero, A. (2008) The impact of pegylation on biological therapies. *Biodrugs*, 22, 315-29.

- Whitesides, G. M.; Ostuni, E.; Takayama, S.; Jiang, X. Y. & Ingber, D. E. (2001) Soft lithography in biology and biochemistry. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.*, 3, 335-73.
- Wichterle, O. & Lim, D. (1960) Hydrophilic gels for biological use. *Nature*, 185, 117-8.
- Yeung, T.; Georges, P. C.; Flanagan, L. A.; Marg, B.; Ortiz, M.; Funaki, M.; Zahir, N.; Ming, W. Y.; Weaver, V. & Janmey, P. A. (2005) Effects of substrate stiffness on cell morphology, cytoskeletal structure, and adhesion. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton*, 60, 24-34.
- Zhang, M. Q.; Desai, T. & Ferrari, M. (1998) Proteins and cells on PEG immobilized silicon surfaces. *Biomaterials*, 19, 953-60.

Biomaterials - Physics and Chemistry

Edited by Prof. Rosario Pignatello

ISBN 978-953-307-418-4

Hard cover, 490 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 14, November, 2011

Published in print edition November, 2011

These contribution books collect reviews and original articles from eminent experts working in the interdisciplinary arena of biomaterial development and use. From their direct and recent experience, the readers can achieve a wide vision on the new and ongoing potentialities of different synthetic and engineered biomaterials. Contributions were selected not based on a direct market or clinical interest, but based on results coming from very fundamental studies. This too will allow to gain a more general view of what and how the various biomaterials can do and work for, along with the methodologies necessary to design, develop and characterize them, without the restrictions necessarily imposed by industrial or profit concerns. The chapters have been arranged to give readers an organized view of this research area. In particular, this book contains 25 chapters related to recent researches on new and known materials, with a particular attention to their physical, mechanical and chemical characterization, along with biocompatibility and histopathological studies. Readers will be guided inside the range of disciplines and design methodologies used to develop biomaterials possessing the physical and biological properties needed for specific medical and clinical applications.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Marga C. Lensen, Vera A. Schulte and Mar Diez (2011). Cell Adhesion and Spreading on an Intrinsically Anti-Adhesive PEG Biomaterial, Biomaterials - Physics and Chemistry, Prof. Rosario Pignatello (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-418-4, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/biomaterials-physics-and-chemistry/cell-adhesion-and-spreading-on-an-intrinsically-anti-adhesive-peg-biomaterial>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2011 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen