

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,900

Open access books available

186,000

International authors and editors

200M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Engineered Drug Resistant Cell-Mediated Immunotherapy

Anindya Dasgupta and H. Trent Spencer
Emory University
U.S.A

1. Introduction

In principle, gene therapy is the introduction of a nucleic acid sequence(s) into target cells to prevent or cure a wide range of diseases. Such a therapeutic approach was initially directed toward the correction of inherited disorders. For example, one strategy involves introduction of a corrected gene into cells followed by transplantation of the genetically modified cells into patients. But technological hurdles such as inadequate transfer of genetic materials into cells, thus leading to low transgene integration, and safety concerns with gene transfer methodologies have limited the use of gene therapy. However, significant improvements in gene delivery systems that are both efficient and safe have now directed the growth of gene therapy, as evidenced by several hundred gene therapy-based clinical trials being conducted worldwide. Advancements in all areas of gene therapy now allow for the development of genetically engineered immunocompetent cell-based therapies for cancer.

The therapeutic role of immune cells to control malignancies has been well established. For example, immunocompetent hosts frequently reject transplanted tumors while tumors can easily be established in immunosuppressant hosts. In vitro studies have demonstrated that immunocompetent cells exhibit powerful cytotoxicity toward a broad range of cancer cells and tumorigenic animal models have shown that these cells can infiltrate tumors resulting in tumor regression. Tumor infiltration by immunocompetent cells indicates favorable prognosis in various cancers, such as melanoma, colon, ovarian cancer, basal cell carcinoma, and lung cancer (Haanen et al., 2006; Halliday et al., 1995; Inoue et al., 2000; Kerr et al., 1998; Pages et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2003). These observations have led to the development of cell based immunotherapy strategies to target cancer.

However, intensive chemotherapy regimens, the frontline therapy to treat patients with advanced cancer, frequently lead to non-specific cellular toxicity to adoptively transferred immunocompetent cells and to hematopoietic stem cells. One strategy to combat drug-induced toxicity is to genetically engineer immune cells to make them drug resistant. Several genes, such as methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT), dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), cytidine deaminase (CD), and multidrug resistant protein (MDR-1) have been identified that can confer drug resistance to anti-cancer immune cells, and advances in gene therapy techniques have made it possible to test the feasibility of using the cDNAs encoding these sequences in drug resistant gene therapy studies. The ability to generate chemo-resistant immune cells can be exploited to test novel anti-cancer therapies, such as "Drug

Resistant Immunotherapy" (or DRI) whereby drug resistant immunocompetent cells can be administered in conjunction with chemotherapy. Such a treatment modality can significantly enhance the generation of anti-tumor immunity that is quantitatively and qualitatively superior to that achieved by either cellular immunotherapy or chemotherapy alone. DRI can also benefit from the ability to selectively expanding the modified cells in vivo by administering specific chemotherapeutic agents thereby mitigating the problem of inefficient gene transfer to these cells. The anti-cancer effectiveness of DRI based therapy has been successfully demonstrated using either drug resistant bone marrow or immunocompetent cells with intrinsic cytotoxic capabilities. The therapeutic benefits afforded by a DRI based strategy can potentially be enhanced by the synergistic effects between chemotherapy and immunotherapy. For example, chemotherapy mediated lymphodepletion prior to adoptive transfer of drug resistant immunocompetent cells can mitigate immunosuppressive mechanisms that are advantageously exploited by progressive tumor cells to evade immune recognition. With the recent advances in *ex vivo* gene transfer technology, drug resistant variants of i) tumor specific lymphocytes, and their genetically engineered counterparts such as those harboring transgenic $\alpha\beta$ T cell receptor (TCR)/cancer antigen receptor (CAR) modifications, and ii) lymphocytes with non-specific cytotoxic potentials, can be bioengineered to treat various malignancies.

However such a gene therapy based approach can be limited by inadequate transfer of cDNA that encodes drug resistant genes. The ability to genetically engineer immune cells, specifically hematopoietic cells, has greatly advanced in recent years. It is generally accepted that recombinant retroviral vectors, specifically those based on HIV-1) are the most efficient gene transfer systems for the *ex vivo* modification of hematopoietic cells. Retroviral systems have the advantage that i) recombinant viruses are now relatively easy to generate and characterize, ii) most components for retroviral transfer systems are commercially available, iii) retroviral gene transfer results in stable integration of the transferred nucleic acid sequence into the genome of the target cell, and iv) depending on the target cells, the efficiency of gene transfer can approach 100%.

This chapter will discuss cancer immunotherapy with genetically engineered immune cells and the feasibility of employing drug resistant variants of these cells during chemotherapy that can potentially augment such a cell therapy based approach.

2. Combining drug resistant immune cell therapy with chemotherapy: A new paradigm in cancer treatment

Despite relentless efforts worldwide to improve upon conventional treatment modalities for cancer, chemotherapy remains a much needed frontline therapy. Several cytotoxic agents, such as anti-metabolites, alkylating agents, anthracyclines, DNA methyltransferase inhibitors, platinum compounds and spindle poisons have been developed to kill cancer cells. However, they are not uniformly effective, and the introduction of these agents with novel state-of-the-art therapies, such as immunotherapies, is problematic. For example, these agents can be detrimental to the establishment of robust anti-tumor immunocompetent cells due to the non-specific cellular toxicity of many anti-cancer agents. Intensive chemotherapy frequently administered to treat patients with advanced cancer can result in lymphopenia, which decrease the numbers and function of potential anti-cancer T cells in the blood thereby blunting the anti-tumor immune responses (Liseth et al., 2010). Tumor drug resistance can also develop, resulting in ineffective chemotherapy treatment

(Michael & Doherty, 2005). In addition, induced secondary cancers and long-term survivorship issues limit the effectiveness of some cytotoxic chemotherapy agents (Perry et al., 1998). If the chemotherapy regimens that are transiently effective can be combined with immunocompetent cell therapies, then it is predicted that a significant improvement of anti-neoplastic therapy can be achieved. However, because chemotherapy regimens are toxic to immunocompetent cells, the co-administration of these treatments reduces or eliminates the effectiveness of the immunocompetent cells.

One strategy to combat drug-induced toxicity is to genetically engineer immunocompetent cells to make them drug resistant. This strategy facilitated the development of a DRI-based anti-cancer technology that combines the therapeutic effectiveness afforded by drug resistant immunocompetent cells with conventional chemotherapy. This strategy involves 1) the use of lentivirus mediated applications to introduce cDNAs that encode for drug resistant genes into immunocompetent cells, and 2) administration of the genetically-modified immunocompetent cells in conjunction with chemotherapy to enhance tumor cell clearance. The novel features of such a strategy are 1) it can be more potent in tumor elimination than the individual administration of chemotherapy or immunotherapy, 2) it can easily be integrated with other conventional treatment modalities, such as surgery and antibody/vaccine based immunotherapy, 3) it is applicable to patients with any disease stages, and, 4) less aggressive chemotherapy can be applied during DRI therapy applications, thereby reducing the development of tumor drug resistance and induction of secondary cancers. Several strategies have been identified that can be used to confer drug resistance to targeted cells, and advances in gene therapy techniques have made it possible to test the feasibility of using the cDNA encoding proteins that confer resistance in drug resistance gene therapy studies. A number of proteins have been identified that confer drug resistance, but this chapter will focus on four that have already been used to confer resistance specifically to hematopoietic cells.

2.1 Drug resistant genes

DHFR regulates folate homeostasis by controlling the synthesis of purines and pyrimidines. Anti-folate drugs such methotrexate and trimetrexate, are inhibitors of DHFR. Gene therapy strategies have exploited the use of DHFR mutants that can confer resistance to antifolates. For example, bioengineered hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) harboring a mutated DHFR transgene protects mice from an antifolate dose that is lethal to non-modified HSCs. (Allay et al., 1997, 1998a; Spencer et al., 1996.). However, HSCs bypass drug induced toxicity by increased nucleoside transport. To circumvent such effects, nucleoside transport inhibitors have been co-administered with antifolate drugs to significantly increase the population of cells modified with DHFR mutants, specifically L22Y (Allay et al., 1998b; Warlick et al., 2002).

The therapeutic efficiency of a single drug resistant based gene therapy can be significantly enhanced by genetically engineering dual drug resistant anti-cancer cells. Such a strategy can be particularly effective in treating tumors that respond to drug combinations that exhibit synergistic (or additive) effects. The combination of methotrexate and a cytosine nucleotide analog, cytosine arabinoside (Ara-C) has been successfully used in patients with Non-Hodgkins lymphoma (Fisher et al., 1993; Khouri et al., 1998). Ara-C is inactivated by CD which is involved in the salvage of pyrimidine compounds and in pyrimidine metabolism. The feasibility of bioengineering cells resistant to both an antifolate and Ara-C have led to the development of gene therapy strategies based on the generation of dual

drug resistant cells (Sauerbrey et al., 1999). Transplantation of dual drug resistant bone marrow cells generated by the retroviral transfer of a fusion construct: mutDHFR-CD encoding for mutant DHFR and CD genes, into myoablated mice significantly reduced the growth of established leukemia, while protecting hematopoietic cells (Budak-Alpdogan et al., 2004).

During cancer progression, the tumor acquires resistance to multiple natural products by the expression of the MDR-1 gene. This gene encodes a membrane glycoprotein, known as P-glycoprotein (P-GP) involved in the transport of metabolic byproducts across the cell membrane. The P-GP protein displays broad specificity towards several structurally unrelated chemotherapy agents. Thus, pleiotropic drug resistance can be conferred to cells by the transfer of nucleic acid sequence that encodes for MDR-1. MDR-1 gene was one of the first candidate genes to be exploited in the context of drug resistant gene therapy to confer protection to bone marrow cells. (Abonour et al., 2000; Bunting et al, 2000; Cowan et al, 1999; Moscow et al., 1999; Sellers et al., 2001; Sorrentino et al, 1992). These initial studies, and others, led to the development of the field of drug resistance gene therapy for cancer. One strategy is to harvest HSCs from cancer patients and genetically modify them to express the MDR-1 gene followed by transplantation into patients. During engraftment, chemotherapy is administered to selectively enrich for modified cells *in vivo*, which leads to lower cytotoxicity upon repeated chemotherapy treatments.

Among the drug resistant genes studied, MGMT is among the most promising. This gene encodes for human alkyl guanine transferase (hAGT), a DNA repair protein that confers resistance to the cytotoxic effects of alkylating agents, such as BCNU and temozolomide (Davis et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2002; Maze et al., 1996). Tumor cells have been shown to express high levels of AGT, which can be an effective mechanism of tumor cell drug resistance. To circumvent AGT-mediated resistance, alkylating agents have been administered in combination with inhibitors of AGT, namely 6-Benzyl Guanine (6-BG) (Dolan et al., 1989). Although 6-BG sensitizes tumor cells to alkylating agents, drug induced toxicities such as myelosuppression severely limit the use of these combined agents. To overcome this limitation, several BG-resistant variants of AGT have been generated and used in gene transfer studies. Among them, the P140KMGMT variant has been well characterized with respect to drug resistant gene therapy. (Gerull et al., 2007; Larochelle et al., 2009; Neff, et al., 2005; Pollok et al., 2003; Sawai et al., 2001; Zielske et al., 2003).

2.2 Evaluation of drug resistant immunotherapy (DRI)

The development of drug resistant genes along with the advancements in gene delivery systems has allowed for the chemoprotection of immunocompetent cells. Genetic engineering of drug resistant hematopoietic cells has been well documented (Allay et al, 1998a, 1998b; Davis et al, 1997; Maze et al, 1996; Zhao et al, 2008). Transplantation of modified HSCs has been shown to protect the hematopoietic system from chemotherapy-induced toxicity, and this strategy has been used to enrich the percentage of circulating gene-modified cells. The advantages to the establishment of such chemo-resistant bone marrow cells are two-fold. First, chemotherapy can be administered, possibly at a higher frequency and at higher doses. For example, transplantation of the DHFR mutant L22Y-modified HSCs allowed for the administration of the antifolate drug, trimetrexate (TMTX) at concentrations that are lethal to animals not receiving the genetically altered bone marrow cells (Allay et al., 1997, 1998; May et al., 1995; Spencer et al., 1996). Secondly, tumor targeting T lymphocytes can be expanded during chemotherapy challenges, leading to increased tumor infiltration and potentially increased tumor clearance

The anti-cancer effectiveness of combining drug resistant HSCs, immune-modulating agents, and chemotherapy was evaluated by combining the administration of an antifolate based chemotherapy, trimetrexate (TMTX), along with anti-CD137-based immunotherapy in mice transplanted with anti-folate resistant HSCs (McMillin et al., 2006). Mice were initially transplanted with L22Y-DHFR-modified bone marrow and were allowed to reconstitute with drug resistant hematopoietic cells. The reconstituted mice were implanted with sarcoma cells, i.e. the AG104 sarcoma cell line. The tumor bearing animals were exposed to treatments comprising of either anti-CD137, TMTX, or the combination of anti-CD137 and TMTX. Chemotherapy alone mediated tumor regressions only during the treatment phase. However, once this treatment ended all animals in this treatment group experienced rapid growth of their tumor. Similarly, administration of immunotherapy alone regressed tumors in the majority of animals, but only 40% of the animals achieve long-term tumor clearance. However, all animals treated with chemotherapy along with immunotherapy resulted in complete tumor regressions. Such an observation confirmed the existence of possible synergism between immunotherapy and chemotherapy in the context of a drug resistant immunotherapy strategy. Importantly, application of the DRI based strategy by combining chemo-and immunotherapies can lead to the induction of immunological memory. Such an effect was demonstrated by infusing splenocytes isolated from mice in the combined treatment group into untreated tumor bearing animals. The adoptive transfer of potential immunocompetent cell populations led to reductions in tumor burdens and extension of survival of the recipient mice. These studies have important implications in designing treatment modalities to generate a robust antitumor response that may lead to eradicate residual tumor. Such a strategy will involve the co-administration of chemo-protected immunocompetent cells with chemotherapy that mediate a fast antitumor response to reduce tumor burden followed by the induction of immune memory cells that are sustained over a long time to eliminate any persistent cancer cells.

Although initial studies focused on immunotherapy driven by HSCs, which is the source of all immune cells, other proof-of-concept studies were directed toward the evaluation of specific immunocompetent cells as mediators of DRI. For example, one study exploited the use of genetically engineered drug resistant variants of the anti-cancer immune cells, NK92 and T-ALL104 cells, in combination with temozolomide (Dasgupta, et al., 2010). These cells were selected based on their direct immunotherapeutic properties to mediate robust anti-tumor properties without the requirement of MHC presentation (Gong et al., 1994; Tam et al., 1999; Tonn et al., 2001). TALL-104 cells represent a leukemic T cell line that has surface markers typical of both cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer cells and adoptive immunotherapy with TALL-104 cells has induced long-term complete or partial remissions in tumor bearing animals (Cesano et al., 1998; Georger et al., 2000). In this study, a SIV-based lentiviral system was employed to deliver the drug resistant variant P140KMGMT into the immunocompetent cell lines NK-92 and TALL-104, and in the myelogenous leukemia cell line, K562, which is a target for both NK-92 and TALL-104 cells. Using in vitro survival and cytotoxicity assays it was demonstrated that 1) the genetically-modified cells developed significant resistance to the alkylating drug temozolomide when compared to the untransfected wild type cells, 2) genetic modification of the immune effector cells did not alter their ability to kill target cells, and 3) genetically altered cells were active in killing target cells after drug treatments, while the killing effectiveness of the unmodified effector cells is significantly diminished after a chemotherapy challenge. However, genetically modified drug resistant cells killed virtually all of un-modified K562 target cells in the

presence of drug, which was significantly higher compared to the killing effectiveness of the non-modified effector cells.

These *in vivo* and *in vitro* proof-of-concept studies demonstrate that drug resistant immunocompetent effector cells are superior cytotoxic effectors during a chemotherapy challenge. This is a significant finding which can potentially be combined with current cell-based and adoptive immunotherapies. Regression of large, vascularized tumors has been shown in patients with refractory metastatic melanoma. However, for maximum effectiveness a lympho-depleting regimen is necessary prior to autologous lymphocyte cell transfer (Rosenberg & Dudley, 2004). Generation and expansion of drug-resistant lymphocytes *ex vivo* can allow, in this setting, for the administration of immunocompetent cell-based therapy concurrently with chemotherapy, potentially improving tumor clearance while anti-tumor immunity is established and maintained. In this scenario, non-transduced lymphocytes can continually be depleted using a selective chemotherapy treatment, which could be repeatedly applied during the administration of adoptive immunotherapy. The co-administration of chemo- and immunotherapies could then lead to long-term tumor clearance. Thus, it is anticipated that the T lymphocytes with memory phenotypes are suitable candidates to be incorporated into future DRI studies to target advanced cancer.

3. Synergism between chemotherapy and immunotherapy can benefit drug resistant immunotherapy

Combining chemotherapy with immunotherapy is an attractive strategy to enhance the effectiveness of both treatments, but initial combination strategies consisting of high-dose chemotherapy combined with interleukin-2 (IL-2) were no better than chemotherapy alone (Pollera et al., 1994; Rinehart et al., 1992). However, studies in the last decade have demonstrated potential synergistic effects between chemotherapy and immunotherapy (Fridlender et al., 2010; Lake et al., 2005; Ramakrishnan et al., 2011). Conventional chemotherapy can augment immunotherapy in several ways: 1) induction of chemotherapy mediated-lymphodepletion leading to i) enhanced persistence of the tumor reactive T lymphocytes, ii) increase in tumor trafficking by the tumor responsive T cells (Dudley et al., 2002a, 2005), iii) modulation of immunosuppressive factors (Cui et al., 2009), and iv) promotion of differentiation of central memory effector cells (to augment vaccine based strategies) (Badovinac et al., 2005; Wrzesinski et al., 2007), and 2) chemotherapy induced sensitization of tumor to the immunocompetent cells by i) the induction of stress responsive molecules on tumor surface and ii) increasing the availability of tumor antigens to “boost” the T cell response (Fridlender et al., 2010). Thus a DRI therapy approach that integrates both chemo- and drug resistant immune cell therapies can significantly benefit from such partnerships.

3.1 Chemotherapy induced lymphopenia

Several clinical trials with melanoma patients support the concept that adoptive transfer of genetically engineered T lymphocytes may not be sufficient to improve treatment outcomes without lymphodepletion. For example, in the treatment of patients with advanced melanoma, anti-tumor response rate was observed only after adoptive transfer of T lymphocytes (with or without engineered specificity towards melanoma antigens) with lymphodepleting regimens (Dudley, et al., 2001, 2002b, 2005; Hughes et al, 2005). Lymphodepletion is thought to provide space in the lymphoid compartment thereby

allowing robust establishment of the transferred lymphocytes (Klebanoff et al., 2005) which resulted in the induction of faster and more efficient immune response with enhanced anti-tumor properties (Dudley et al., 2002; Rosenberg & Dudley, 2004; Wang et al., 2005a, 2005b). Lymphopenia also induces the rescue of memory T cells as shown in the treatment of mice with established melanoma. For example, the anti-tumor efficacy of an immunotherapy comprising an oncolytic vaccinia virus expressing CD137 T-cell costimulatory molecule is significantly enhanced when animals were lymphodepleted prior to vaccination (Kim et al., 2009). In addition, T regulatory cells (Tregs) have been implicated as having potent immune suppressive functions, and clinical trials have indicated that depleting or inhibiting such cells can increase anticancer efficacy (Phan et al., 2003). The number of Tregs can be reduced by chemotherapy and also by a combination of an adenoviral based immunogene therapy (Fridlender et al., 2010).

3.2 Chemotherapy induced upregulation of stress antigens on tumor surface

Chemotherapy can sensitize tumors to augment immunotherapy by the up-regulation of tumor specific antigens that are recognized by the activating receptors expressed by NK and $\gamma\delta$ T cells, thereby leading to an increase in tumor clearance (Nausch & Cerwenka, 2008). It has been reported that various cancer cells exposed to drugs upregulate stress-associated molecules MIC-A, MIC-B, and UL-16 binding proteins which are recognized by immunocompetent NK and $\gamma\delta$ T cells through their MHC-independent NKG2D/TCR pathways. Such innate HLA-independent interactions lead to the activation of anti-tumor properties of these cells, as has been demonstrated by $\gamma\delta$ T cell mediated destruction of glioblastoma cells exposed to temozolomide, the frontline chemotherapy agent in the treatment of patients afflicted with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) (Lamb, 2009). This mode of immune cell-activation opens the possibility of testing a treatment modality that can combine immunotherapy based on drug resistant variants of NK or $\gamma\delta$ T cells and chemotherapy to target cancer types that express these activators during drug treatments. It was also demonstrated that in mouse models of colon and mammary cancer, applications of chemotherapeutic drugs upregulated the expression of a tumor cell surface receptor, mannose 6-phosphate receptor (Motyka et al, 2000). This receptor is implicated in the uptake of granzyme B released by CTLs upon contact with tumor cells, thereby establishing a synergy between chemotherapy and immunotherapy (Ramakrishnan et al, 2010).

3.3 Enhancement of tumor antigen presentation

Chemotherapy induced tumor cell apoptosis can liberate massive amounts of tumor specific antigens that are duly processed by antigen presenting cells and the processed antigens are presented, in association with MHC class I molecules, to CTLs leading to an increase in antigen presentation (Lake et al, 2005). Thus chemotherapy can augment immunotherapy by increasing antigen presentation, which can 1) lead to T lymphocyte expansion and increased lymphocyte infiltration of solid tumors and 2) mediate cancer vaccination effects. For example, it has been shown that chemotherapy can prime the host's immunity and enhance antitumor responses (Nowak et al., 2003a). Antitumor cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) showed increased proliferation because of increased tumor apoptosis when chemotherapy was administered, and incorporating immunotherapy with chemotherapy extended animal survival (Nowak et al., 2003b). Importantly, this study showed that the delivery of chemotherapy before immunotherapy is more effective than after immunotherapy (Nowak et al., 2003a).

4. Potential immunocompetent cell sources for implementing DRI

Our knowledge about the host immune response to cancer has led to the development of immunocompetent cell-based therapeutics. Two distinct classes of cells that are defined by their mechanism to invoke anti-tumor immunity have been exploited: i) the widely used tumor specific T lymphocytes, which must be primed prior to tumor cell killing, and ii) non-specific MHC-unrestricted effector cells with intrinsic tumor killing properties.

4.1 Tumor-directed T lymphocytes

T cells mediate their potent anti-tumor effectiveness by their ability to recognize a wide spectrum of antigens expressed on the tumor surface. These tumor associated antigens are processed by the antigen presenting cells, such as dendritic cells into smaller peptides which are presented to T cells in combination with MHC complexes. T cell activation occurs after the recognition of the peptide-MHC complex via their antigen specific receptor, i.e. TCR-CD3 complex. The T cell receptor is a heterodimer composed of either α and β or γ and δ polypeptide chains. Each chain of the TCR is composed of a variable region (V) and a constant region (C). The V region determines the antigen binding specificity of the TCR. The vast majority of peripheral blood T lymphocytes and TCR⁺ thymocytes have $\alpha\beta$ TCR while epithelial T cells contain the $\gamma\delta$ TCR. The TCR heterodimer is associated with the CD3 complex. The CD3 complex is necessary for i) the expression of TCR on the T cell surface and ii) activation of T cells by signal transduction when the TCR binds to its specific polypeptide primed MHC complex. Following the recognition of peptide-MHC, the CD3 complex initiates signal transduction pathways to mediate cell proliferation, cytokine secretion and activation of T cell anti-tumor properties (Chan et al.; 1992; Punt et al., 1994). Several tumor antigens such as melanoma/melanocyte differentiation antigens (MART-1 and gp100) and NY-ESO-1 cancer-testis antigen have been identified (Cormier et al., 1998; Morgan, et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2005). The tumor antigens can activate a large number of T lymphocytes that can infiltrate the tumor (TIL). These immune cells have been widely employed during the administration of ACT to treat cancer. ACT involves i) the isolation of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes, either from a surgically removed tumor or from the peripheral blood, ii) ex vivo expansion of the selected cells in the presence of cytokines, and iii) infusion of the expanded cells back into the patient, typically after 'conditioning' of the patient with lymphodepleting regimens comprised of either chemotherapy or total body irradiation (TBI). It is now well established that ACT can establish or augment immunity and eradicate malignant cells.

Following the discovery of a large number of tumor antigens, TILs directed against such antigens have been successfully generated, mainly from melanoma but also from renal cell carcinoma and glioma (Dillman et al., 1991; Figlin et al., 1997; Kradin et al., 1989; Quattrocchi et al., 1999). TILs mediated tumor regression when transferred into tumor-bearing mice. ACT with TILs directed against melanoma antigens have proven successful since patients with melanoma are immunized against antigens expressed by their own tumors and melanoma tumors generate relatively higher quantities of melanoma antigen specific T lymphocytes. However, the use of TILs in the treatment of patients with cancer other than melanoma has met with limited success, possibly due to the presence of low number of cytotoxic T lymphocytes within TILs (Finke et al., 1994; Hom et al., 1993; Schwartzentruber et al., 1992). Therefore, improvements in the usefulness of TILs are needed, and DRI studies are in progress to determine if such modifications can improve the therapeutic potential of these cells.

4.1.1 Chimeric $\alpha\beta$ TCR modified T cells

In general, $\alpha\beta$ T lymphocytes display low affinity TCRs. Therefore, success with ACT with tumor directing $\alpha\beta$ T lymphocytes is limited by the difficulty in isolating high affinity $\alpha\beta$ T lymphocytes that exist in low numbers in vivo and in ex vivo expansion of these cells to generate adequate quantities for in vivo anti-tumor efficacy. Furthermore, tumor derived immunosuppression mechanisms reduce the number of tumor specific $\alpha\beta$ T cells in circulation. As a means of enhancing the anti-cancer efficacy of $\alpha\beta$ T lymphocytes, these cells can be genetically modified to express transgenic α and β TCR chains, which can be derived from T cell clones specific for tumor-associated antigens. These genetically engineered CTLs harboring a transgenic $\alpha\beta$ TCR, in addition to their native $\alpha\beta$ TCR, acquire the same antigen specificity as the high affinity T cells from which the TCR was cloned. Several CTLs harboring transgenic TCRs have now been developed that are directed specifically to tumor antigens, such as MART-1, gp100, NY-ESO-1 and CEA, resulting in tumor elimination in animal models (Abad, et al., 2008; Morgan, et al., 2003; 2006; Kessels et al., 2001; Stanislawski et al., 2001; Wargo et al., 2009; Xue et al., 2005). Bicistronic viral vectors encoding cDNA sequences for both α and β chains have been successfully incorporated into retroviral based strategies to transfer $\alpha\beta$ transgenic TCR into T lymphocytes (Yang et al., 2008). The functional efficacies of the engineered CTLs have also been improved by codon optimizing α and β sequences that result in increased surface expression of the transgenic TCRs (Jorritsma et al., 2007; Scholten et al., 2006). The chimeric $\alpha\beta$ T cells can be rapidly expanded ex vivo to produce sufficient quantities of tumor reactive cells and after adoptive transfer to patients display potent MHC-restricted cytotoxic activity against tumor cells expressing the specific epitope. ACT with tumor directed chimeric $\alpha\beta$ T lymphocytes have been widely used to treat various malignancies, particularly melanoma, because of the ease of isolating and expanding melanoma reactive CTLs ex vivo.

However, the genetic engineering of CTLs that express the transgenic $\alpha\beta$ TCR along with their endogenous TCR has inherent disadvantages. The endogenous TCR can compete with the transgenic $\alpha\beta$ TCR to bind to the initiator CD3 molecule. Consequently, the chimeric $\alpha\beta$ TCR-modified CTLs may suffer from reduced activation leading to a decrease in affinity of the transgenic $\alpha\beta$ TCR towards specific tumor antigens. Furthermore rearrangements between the chimeric and the naïve TCR chains can induce new and unwanted reactivities. To combat such undesired consequences TCR negative lymphocytes have been modified to harbor $\alpha\beta$ transgenic TCR while the sequences of such chimeric $\alpha\beta$ TCRs have been redesigned to reduce cross competition within T lymphocytes harboring naïve TCR (Kuball et al., 2007; Robbins et al., 2008).

4.1.2 Cancer Antigen Receptor (CAR)-modified T cells

Adoptive immunotherapy for cancer utilizes additional bioengineering strategies adaptable to drug resistant immunotherapy whereby T lymphocytes are genetically modified to express chimeric antigen receptors. In contrast to chimeric $\alpha\beta$ TCR, CAR combines antigen specificity derived from a tumor antigen specific monoclonal antibody fragment and T cell proliferation signal moieties. Upon infusion into patients, immunocompetent T cells genetically engineered to express CAR can specifically recognize and respond to soluble, immobilized and/or tumor antigens and, to date, a range of CARs targeting a variety of surface molecules expressed by many solid tumors and hematological malignancies, such as B cell malignancies and melanoma, have been developed (Kohn et al., 2011).

The potency of CAR modified CTLs have evolved through several generations of design changes (Cartellieri et al., 2010). First generation CARs are constructed by the fusion of the single-chain Fv (scFv) moiety, derived from the light and variable chains of a monoclonal antibody, directed against tumor associated antigens with the transmembrane and cytoplasmic signaling domains derived from the CD3 ζ chain. The CD3 domain provides activation signal to the CAR for the induction of cytotoxicity towards the tumor expressing the protein that is recognized by the scFV. Thus CAR integrates the antigen specificity of an antibody and anti-tumor properties of CTLs. However, bioengineered CTLs were poorly activated by the first generation CARs, possibly due to insufficient co-stimulatory signaling as evident by low response rates in clinical trials in subjects with various malignancies, such as lymphoma, and ovarian cancer (Kershaw et al., 2006; Lamers et al., 2006). To circumvent this issue, various signaling domains from costimulatory molecules such as CD28, OX40, and CD137 (4-1BB) were fused to the cytoplasmic tail of the CAR which improved the anti-tumor efficacies of the CTLs modified with the second generation CAR in preclinical models (Kowolik et al., 2006). To further enhance the potency of the engineered CTLs, recent third generation CARs are designed to incorporate tripartite signaling domains, such as CD3 ζ -CD28-41BB or CD3 ζ -CD28-OX40. Several vector systems have been designed to introduce the chimeric receptors into T cells. Such systems include γ -retroviral vectors, lentiviral vectors and transposon based (sleeping beauty) constructs (Hackett et al., 2010; Westwood & Kershaw, 2010).

CAR based anti-neoplastic cellular therapy can be applicable to patients with any HLA type since CARs use antibodies as the component that recognize the target antigen and thereby the CAR-modified cells act in "HLA non-restricted" fashion to destroy their target. Thus CAR mediated cellular immunotherapy is refractory to the immune evasion strategies by tumors, such as downregulation of HLA class I molecules or failure to process or present proteins. However, CAR can be targeted only against extracellular (surface) antigens, which represent only a subset of potential tumor-associated antigens. To circumvent limitation, CARs have also been designed to recognize carbohydrates and glycolipids (Dotti et al., 2005; Sadelain et al., 2009). It should also be noted that currently, murine derived antibodies are employed to design the antibody components of most CARs, which raises the possibility of evoking immune responses against the CAR-engineered cells after infusion.

4.2 MHC-unrestricted immune cells

Conventional cell based immunotherapeutic strategies that are based on the activation of HLA-restricted lymphocytes have limited anti-tumor response. This is due to i) frequent down regulation of HLA on the tumor cell surface thereby mitigating the activity of adaptive immune responsive cells, ii) secretion of immunosuppressive factors by the tumors and iii) limited expression of tumor antigens in small subset of the tumor cells. Thus novel strategies that harness the anti-cancer responsive-innate immune cells, such as NK92 cells and a minor subclass in the T lymphocyte repertoire, $\gamma\delta$ T cells present a promising alternative to conventional adaptive cell based therapy approaches to treat cancer.

4.2.1 Natural killer (NK) cells

NK cells comprise a unique subset of lymphocytes, distinct from T and B cells, and are members of the innate immune response cells with potent immunosurveillance properties. These cells do not require any prior immune sensitization by the host to lyse tumor cells

(Herberman et al., 1975; Kiessling et al., 1975; Klingemann, 2005). Early pioneering work demonstrated the therapeutic benefits of adopting innate immune responsive killer cell based strategies, specifically with LAK cells along with IL2, to target advanced metastatic renal cell carcinoma and melanoma (Rosenberg, et al., 1985). However, later studies found similar benefits with administrations of IL2 alone (Law et al., 1995). It was initially thought that NK cells exhibit potent cytotoxicity towards transformed cells that express altered MHC molecules (missing self recognition) while sparing normal cells that express unaltered MHC molecules (self recognition) via the activation of the inhibitory receptors. However, NK cells are able to efficiently attack some target cells that express normal levels of class I MHC molecules, while some other cells are not sensitive to NK cell-lysis despite low or absent class I MHC expression. It is now established that NK cells express NKG2D (natural killer group D) receptors that are activated by the recognition of ligands that are strongly upregulated in stressed tumor cells (Bauer et al., 1999; Cosman et al., 2001). Surprisingly, normal non-stressed cells of bone marrow activated peripheral blood T lymphocytes and even normal non-hematopoietic cells express NKG2D ligands. The specific roles of NK cells towards each of these cell types are under investigation (Eagle et al, 2009). The use of autologous NK cells has met with limited success (Burns et al, 2003; Law et al, 1995; Rosenberg et al, 1985). Consequently, focus has shifted to the use of allogeneic NK cells to treat cancer (Miller, et al., 2005; Ljunggren & Malmberg, 2007).

Several NK cell lines have been developed that share functional and phenotypic characteristics of activated NK cells. Among these, the most promising is the NK92 cell line, an allogeneic cell line derived from a patient with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (Gong et al., 1994; Tam et al., 1999; Tonn et al., 2001). There are several advantages to employing NK92 cells, or similar cell lines, in adoptive immunotherapy: i) they represent a well characterized immunophenotype with powerful anti-tumor properties that are independent of MHC restrictions, ii) these cells express activating receptors and lack most of the inhibitory killer immunoglobulin-like receptors, KIRs (Middleton et al., 2002), thus retaining their cytotoxicity against cancer cells that up-regulate MHC class I molecules and iii) the ease of culturing these cells to generate adequate quantities for clinical use. Currently, there are several clinical trials underway to evaluate the efficacy of NK cell mediated cancer immunotherapy.

4.2.2 $\gamma\delta$ T cells

$\gamma\delta$ T cells are defined by their expression of T cell receptors (TCR) encoded by γ and δ loci. $\gamma\delta$ T cells combine features of both innate and adaptive immune systems. These cells exhibit direct anti-tumor properties via MHC-independent NKG2D and TCR pathways. $\gamma\delta$ T cell based immunotherapy strategies have been extensively tested to target GBM (Bryant et al., 2011; Lamb, 2009). Thus, $\gamma\delta$ T cells that require no priming and mediate their cytotoxicity by direct recognition of chemotherapy induced antigens on the surface of GBM cells represent an attractive cellular immunotherapeutic candidate for GBM therapy. In this context, genetic engineering of $\gamma\delta$ T cells that are resistant to temozolomide, the frontline chemotherapy agent to treat GBM, presents an attractive scenario whereby drug resistant $\gamma\delta$ T cell based immunotherapy can be administered in combination with a traditional chemotherapeutic agent.

Freshly isolated and expanded $\gamma\delta$ T cells from the peripheral blood of healthy donors can destroy neuroblastoma cells while adoptive transfer of $\gamma\delta$ T cells, expanded under clinical

grade conditions, in combination with immunocytokines are effective against disseminated neuroblastoma established in mice (Otto et al., 2005; Schilbach et al., 2000). Recently, a clinical study of 25 patients with advanced stages of various solid tumors demonstrated that $\gamma\delta$ T cell based immunotherapy is beneficial and importantly, such a therapy did not induce any serious treatment related side effects (Noguchi et al., 2011). However, these cells comprise a minor fraction (1-5 %) of the peripheral blood lymphocytes and consequently $\gamma\delta$ T cell based immunotherapy requires prior expansion *ex vivo*. Protocols to expand $\gamma\delta$ T cells using therapeutic grade materials have been developed to facilitate the initiation of clinical trials to treat patients with cancer (Noguchi et al., 2011).

5. Conclusion

Although the combination of surgery and chemotherapy is effective for some types of cancer, there are obvious limitations to our current state-of-the-art treatment of cancer. Among the various potential therapeutic modalities being used to treat cancer, immunocompetent cell-based therapy is becoming an effective alternative, which is possible because of the advancements in technologies used to genetically engineer these cells. As described in this chapter, various engineering strategies have potentiated the acquired or intrinsic anti-tumor response of immunocompetent cells. It is now anticipated that bioengineered cell-based therapies, when partnered with conventional chemotherapies, can invoke an anti-tumor response that is superior to results achieved by the individual therapies. Both the chemotherapy and cellular therapy fields are focused on determining optimal strategies for combining these therapies, and many types of combination approaches are being evaluated. Several successes using combination strategies have already been reported. For example, administration of chemotherapy following vaccine based immunotherapy have shown therapeutic efficacies in patients with several types of cancer, such as small-cell lung cancer, prostate cancer and advanced stages of ovarian, breast, colorectal, renal and prostate cancers (Antonia et al., 2006; Arlen et al., 2006; Gribben et al. 2005). Strikingly, when chemotherapy was added to tumor bearing mice previously administered with immunotherapy, both the percentage and potency of tumor specific immunocompetent cells were increased (Fridlender, et al., 2010), indicating that immunotherapy and chemotherapy can be combined, but combining the two treatment modalities is not straightforward. Although potential synergism exists between chemotherapy and immunotherapy, drug mediated myelosuppressive effects limits the employment of immune-effector cells during chemotherapy applications. DRI can allow for the administration of a dual therapy regimen, which combines genetically engineered drug resistant cell-based therapy with chemotherapy. Proof-of-concept studies evaluating DRI have yielded promising results, which show robust anti-tumor responses can be maintained during chemotherapy challenges. However, challenges remain as to i) the manipulation of the immune effector cells, ii) the timing of infusion of the bioengineered cells with chemotherapy, and iii) the long term safety profiles of such treatments. But the potential benefits afforded that can be accomplished by employing engineered immune cells, and specifically modified cells that have been engineered as drug resistant cells, warrants the continued development of such therapeutic approaches. The use of cDNA sequences that confer drug resistance to immunocompetent cells can eventually be directed toward a broad range of human malignant diseases that continue to have unmet medical needs.

6. References

- Abad, J. D.; Wrzensinski, C.; Overwijk, W.; De Witte, M. A.; Jorritsma, A.; et al. (2008). T-cell receptor gene therapy of established tumors in a murine melanoma model. *J Immunother*, Vol. 31, No.1, (January), pp 1-6, ISSN 1524-9557
- Abonour, R.; Williams, D.A.; Einhorn, L.; Hall, K.M.; Chen, J.; et al. (2000). Efficient retrovirus-mediated transfer of the multidrug resistance 1 gene into autologous human long-term repopulating hematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Med*, Vol. 6, No.6, (June) pp. 652-8, ISSN 1078-8956
- Allay, J.A.; Spencer, H.T.; Wilkinson, S.L.; Belt, J.A.; Blakley, R.L.; et al. (1997). Sensitization of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells to trimetrexate using nucleoside transport inhibitors. *Blood*, Vol.90, No.9, (November), pp. 3546-54, ISSN 0006-4971, ISSN 0006-4971
- Allay, J.A.; Galipeau, J.; Blakley, R.L. & Sorrentino, B.P. (1998a). Retroviral vectors containing a variant dihydrofolate reductase gene for drug protection and *in vivo* selection of hematopoietic cells. *Stem Cells*, Vol.16 (Suppl. 1), pp. 223-233, ISSN 1066-5099
- Allay, J.A.; Persons, D.A.; Galipeau, J.; Riberdy, J.M.; Ashmun, R.A.; et al. (1998b). In vivo selection of retrovirally transduced hematopoietic stem cells *Nat Med*, Vol.4, No.10, (October), pp. 1136-43, ISSN 1078-8956
- Antonia, S.J.; Mirza, N.; Fricke, I.; Chiappori, A.; Thompson, P.; et al. (2006). Combination of p53 cancer vaccine with chemotherapy in patients with extensive stage small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* Vol.12 (3 Pt 1), (February), pp. 878-887, ISSN 1078-0432
- Arlen, P.M.; Gulley, J.L.; Parker, C.; Skarupa, L.; Pazdur, M.; Panicali, D. et al. (2006). A randomized phase II study of concurrent docetaxel plus vaccine versus vaccine alone in metastatic androgen-independent prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*, Vol.12 No.4, (February), pp. 1260-1269, ISSN 1078-0432
- Badovinac, V. P.; Messingham, K. A.; Jabbari, A.; Haring, J. S. & Harty, J. T. (2005). Accelerated CD8 T-cell memory and prime-boost response after dendritic-cell vaccination. *Nat Med*, Vol.11, No.7, (July 2005), pp. 748-756, ISSN 1078-8956
- Bauer, S.; Groh V.; Wu J.; Steinle, A.; Phillips J.H.; Lanier L.L. & Spies, T. (1999). Activation of NK cells and T cells by NKG2D, a receptor for stress-inducible MICA. *Science*, Vol.285, No.5428, (July), pp. 727-729, ISSN 0036-8075
- Bryant, N.L.; Gillespie, G.Y.; Lopez, R.D.; Markert, J.M.; Cloud, G.A.; et al. (2011) Preclinical evaluation of ex vivo expanded/activated $\gamma\delta$ T cells for immunotherapy of glioblastoma multiforme. *J Neurooncol*, Vol.101, No.2, (January), pp. 179-88, ISSN 0167-594X
- Budak-Alpdogan, T.; Alpdogan, O.; Banerjee, D.; Wang, E.; Moore, M.A. et al. (2004). Methotrexate and cytarabine inhibit progression of human lymphoma in NOD/SCID mice carrying a mutant dihydrofolate reductase and cytidine deaminase fusion gene. *Mol Ther*, Vol. 10, No.3, (September), pp. 574-84, ISSN 1525-0016
- Bunting, K.D.; Zhou, S.; Lu, T. & Sorrentino, B.P. (2000). Enforced P-glycoprotein pump function in murine bone marrow cells results in expansion of side population stem cells in vitro and repopulating cells in vivo. *Blood*, Vol.96, No.3, (August), pp. 902-9, ISSN 0006-4971

- Burns, L.J.; Weisdorf, D.J.; DeFor, T.E.; Vesole D.H.; Repka T.L.; et al. (2003). IL-2-based immunotherapy after autologous transplantation for lymphoma and breast cancer induces immune activation and cytokine release: a phase I/II trial. *Bone Marrow Transplant*, Vol.32, No.2, (July), pp. 177-86, ISSN 0268-3369
- Cartellieri, M.; Bachmann, M.; Feldmann, A.; Bippes, C.; Stamova, S.; et al. (2010). Chimeric antigen receptor-engineered T cells for immunotherapy of cancer. *J Biomed Biotechnol*. Vol.2010, Article ID.956304, ISSN 1110-7243
- Cesano, A.; Visonneau, S. & Santoli D. (1998). TALL-104 cell therapy of human solid tumors implanted in immunodeficient (SCID) mice. *Anticancer Res*, Vol.18, No.4A, (July-August), pp 2289-95, ISSN 0250-7005
- Chan, A.C.; Irving, B.A. & Weiss, A. (1992). New insights into T-cell antigen receptor structure and signal transduction. *Curr Opin Immunol*, Vol.4, No.3, (June), pp. 246-251, ISSN 0952-7915
- Cormier, J.N.; Abati, A.; Fetsch, P.; Hijazi, Y.M.; Rosenberg, S.A.; et al. (1998). Comparative analysis of the in vivo expression of tyrosinase, MART-1/Melan-A, and gp100 in metastatic melanoma lesions: implications for immunotherapy. *J Immunother*, Vol.21, No.1, (January), pp. 27-31, ISSN 1524-9557
- Cosman, D.; Müllberg, J.; Sutherland, C.L.; Chin, W.; Armitage, R.; et al. (2001). ULBPs, novel MHC class I-related molecules, bind to CMV glycoprotein UL16 and stimulate NK cytotoxicity through the NKG2D receptor. *Immunity*, Vol.14, No.2, (February), pp. 123-33, ISSN 1074-7613
- Cowan, K.H.; Moscow, J.A.; Huang, H.; Zujewski, J.A.; O'Shaughnessy, J.; et al. (1999). Paclitaxel chemotherapy after autologous stem-cell transplantation and engraftment of hematopoietic cells transduced with a retrovirus containing the multidrug resistance complementary DNA (MDR1) in metastatic breast cancer patients, *Clin Cancer Res*, Vol.5, No.7, (July), pp. 1619-1628, ISSN 1078-0432
- Cui, Y.; Zhang, H.; Meadors, J.; Poon, R.; Guimond, M.; et al. (2009). Harnessing the physiology of lymphopenia to support adoptive immunotherapy in lymphodeplete hosts. *Blood*, Vol.114, No.18, (October 2009), pp. 3831-40, ISSN 0006-4971
- Dasgupta, A.; McCarty, D. & Spencer H.T. (2010). Engineered drug-resistant immunocompetent cells enhance tumor cell killing during a chemotherapy challenge. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, Vol.391, No.1, (January), pp. 170-17, ISSN 0006-291X
- Davis, B.M.; Reese, J.S.; Koç, O.N.; Lee, K.; Schupp, J.E.; et al. (1997). Selection for G156A O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase gene-transduced hematopoietic progenitors and protection from lethality in mice treated with O6-benzylguanine and 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea. *Cancer Res*, Vol.57, No.22, (November), pp. 5093-9, ISSN 0008-5472
- Dillman, R.O.; Oldham, R.K.; Barth, N.M.; Cohen, R.J.; Minor, D.R.; et al. (1991). Continuous interleukin-2 and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes as treatment of advanced melanoma. A national biotherapy study group trial. *Cancer*, Vol.68, No.1, (July), pp. 1-8
- Dolan, M.E.; Larkin, G.L.; English, H.F. & Pegg, A.E. (1989) Depletion of O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase activity in mammalian tissues and human tumor xenografts in nude mice by treatment with O6-methylguanine. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*, Vol.25, No.2, pp. 103-108, ISSN 0344-5704

- Dotti G & Heslop H.E. (2005). Current status of genetic modification of T cells for cancer treatment. *Cytotherapy*, Vol.7, No.3, pp. 262–272, ISSN 1465-3249
- Dudley, M. E.; Wunderlich, J.; Nishimura, M. I.; Yu, D.; Yang, J. C.; et al (2001). Adoptive transfer of cloned melanoma-reactive T lymphocytes for the treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Immunother*, Vol.24, No.4, (July-August), pp. 363-373, ISSN 1524-9557
- Dudley, M.E.; Wunderlich, J.R.; Robbins, P.F.; Yang, J.C.; Hwu, P.; et al. (2002a). Cancer regression and autoimmunity in patients after clonal repopulation with antitumor lymphocytes. *Science*, Vol.298, No.5594, (October), pp. 850-854, ISSN 0036-8075
- Dudley, M. E.; Wunderlich, J. R.; Yang, J. C.; Hwu, P.; Schwartzentruber, D. J.; et al. (2002b). A phase I study of nonmyeloablative chemotherapy and adoptive transfer of autologous tumor antigen-specific T lymphocytes in patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Immunother*, Vol. 25, No.3, (May-June), pp. 243–251, ISSN 1524-9557
- Dudley, M.E.; Wunderlich, J.R.; Yang, J.C.; Sherry, R.M.; Topalian, S.L.; et al (2005). Adoptive cell transfer therapy following non-myeloablative but lymphodepleting chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with refractory metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol*, Vol.23, No.10, (April), pp. 2346–2357, ISSN 0732-183X
- Eagle, R.A.; Jafferji, I. & Barrow, A.D. (2009). Beyond Stressed Self: Evidence for NKG2D Ligand Expression on Healthy Cells. *Curr Immunol Rev*, Vol.5, No.1, (February), pp. 22-34, ISSN 1573-3955
- Figlin, R.A.; Pierce, W.C.; Kaboo, R.; Tso, C.L.; Moldawer, N.; et al.; (1997). Treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma with nephrectomy, interleukin-2 and cytokine-primed or CD8(+) selected tumor infiltrating lymphocytes from primary tumor. *J Urol*, Vol.158, No.3, (September), pp. 740–745, ISSN 0022-5347
- Finke, J.H.; Rayman, P.; Hart, L.; Alexander, J.P.; Edinger, M.G.; et al. (1994). Characterization of tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte subsets from human renal cell carcinoma: specific reactivity defined by cytotoxicity, interferon-gamma secretion, and proliferation. *J Immunother Emphasis Tumor Immunol*, Vol.15, No.2, (February), pp. 91–104, ISSN 1067-5582
- Fisher, R.I.; Gaynor E.R.; Dahlberg S.; Oken M.M.; Grogan, T.M.; et al. (1993). Comparison of a standard regimen (CHOP) with three intensive chemotherapy regimens for advanced non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*, Vol.328, No.14, (April), pp. 1002–1006, ISSN 0028-4793
- Fridlender, Z. G.; Sun, J.; Singhal, S.; Kapoor, V.; Cheng, G.; et al. (2010). Chemotherapy Delivered After Viral Immunogene Therapy Augments Antitumor Efficacy Via Multiple Immune-mediated Mechanisms. *Mol Ther*, Vol.18, No.11, (November), pp. 1947-1959, ISSN 1525-0016
- Georger, B.; Tang, C.B.; Cesano, A.; Visonneau, S.; Marwaha, S.; et al. (2000). Antitumor activity of a human cytotoxic T-cell line (TALL-104) in brain tumor xenografts. *Neuro Oncol*, Vol.2, No.2, (April 2000), pp. 103-113
- Gerull, S.; Beard, B.C.; Peterson, L.J.; Neff, T. & Kiem, H.P. (2007). In vivo selection and chemoprotection after drug resistance gene therapy in a nonmyeloablative allogeneic transplantation setting in dogs, *Hum Gene Ther*, Vol.18, No.5, (May), pp. 451-456, ISSN 1043-0342

- Gong, J.; Maki, G. & Klingemann H.G. (1994). Characterization of a human cell line (NK-92) with phenotypical and functional characteristics of activated natural killer cells. *Leukemia*, Vol.8, No.4, (April), pp. 652– 8, ISSN 0887-6924
- Gribben, J.G.; Ryan, D.P.; Boyajian, R.; Urban, R.G.; Hedley, M.L.; et al. (2005). Unexpected association between induction of immunity to the universal tumor antigen CYP1B1 and response to next therapy. *Clin Cancer Res*, Vol.11, No.12, (June), pp. 4430–4436, ISSN 1078-0432
- Haanen, J.B.; Baars, A.; Gomez, R.; Weder, P.; Smits, M.; et al. (2006). Melanoma-specific tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes but not circulating melanoma-specific T cells may predict survival in resected advanced-stage melanoma patients. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, Vol.55, No.4, (April), pp. 451–458, ISSN 0340-7004
- Hackett, P.B.; Largaespada D.A. & Cooper L.J. (2010). A transposon and transposase system for human application. *Mol Ther*, Vol.18, No.4, (April), pp. 674-83, ISSN 1525-0016
- Halliday, G.M., Patel, A., Hunt, M.J., Tefany, F.J. & Barnetson, R.S. (1995). Spontaneous regression of human melanoma/nonmelanoma skin cancer: association with infiltrating CD4+ T cells. *World J Surg*, Vol.19, Vol.3, (May-June), pp. 352–358, ISSN 0364-2313
- Herberman, R.B.; Nunn M.E. & Lavrin, D.H. (1975). Natural cytotoxic reactivity of mouse lymphoid cells against syngeneic acid allogeneic tumors. I. Distribution of reactivity and specificity. *Int J Cancer*, Vol.16, No.2, (August), pp. 216-29, ISSN 0020-7136
- Hom, S.S.; Rosenberg, S.A. & Topalian, S.L. (1993). Specific immune recognition of autologous tumor by lymphocytes infiltrating colon carcinomas: analysis by cytokine secretion. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, Vol.36, No 1, pp. 1-8, ISSN 0340-7004
- Hughes, M.S.; Yu, Y.Y.; Dudley, M.E; Zheng, Z.; Robbins, P.F.; et al. (2005). Transfer of a TCR gene derived from a patient with a marked antitumor response conveys highly active T-cell effector functions. *Hum Gene Ther*, Vol.16, No.4, (April), pp. 457–472, ISSN 1043-0342
- Inoue, T.; Yoneda, K.; Manabe, M. & Demitsu, T. (2000). Spontaneous regression of merkel cell carcinoma: a comparative study of TUNEL index and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes between spontaneous regression and non-regression group. *J Dermatol Sci*, Vol.24, No.3, (December), pp. 203–211, ISSN 0923-1811
- Jorritsma, A.; Gomez-Eerland, R.; Dokter, M.; van de Kastele, W.; Zoet, Y.M.; et al. (2007). Selecting highly affinity and well-expressed TCRs for gene therapy of melanoma. *Blood*, Vol.110, No.10, (November), pp. 3564 –3572, ISSN 0006-4971
- Kerr, K.M.; Johnson, S.K.; King, G.; Kennedy, M.M.; Weir, J. & Jeffrey, R. (1998). Partial regression in primary carcinoma of the lung: does it occur? *Histopathology*, Vol.33 No.1, (July), pp. 55–63, ISSN 0309-0167
- Kershaw, M.H.; Westwood, J.A.; Parker, L.L.; et al. (2006). A phase I study on adoptive immunotherapy using gene-modified T cells for ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*, Vol.12 (20 Pt 1), (October), pp. 6106–6115, ISSN 1078-0432
- Kessels, H.W., Wolkers, M.C., van den Boom, M.D., van der Valk, M.A. & Schumacher, T.N. (2001). Immunotherapy through TCR gene transfer. *Nat Immunol*, Vol.2, No. 10, (October) pp. 957–961, ISSN 1529-2908

- Khoury, I.F.; Romaguera, J.; Kantarjian, H.; Palmer, J.L., Pugh, W.C.; et al. (1998). HyperCVAD and high-dose methotrexate/ cytarabine followed by stem-cell transplantation: an active regimen for aggressive mantle-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*, Vol.16, Vol.12, (December), pp. 3803-3809, ISSN 0732-183X
- Kiessling, R.; Klein, E. & Wigzell, H. (1975). "Natural" killer cells in the mouse. I. Cytotoxic cells with specificity for mouse Moloney leukemia cells. Specificity and distribution according to genotype. *Eur J Immunol*, Vol.5, No.2, (February), pp. 112-7, ISSN 0014-2980
- Kim, H.S.; Kim-Schulze, S.; Kim, D.W.; Kaufman, H.L. (2009). Host lymphodepletion enhances the therapeutic activity of an oncolytic vaccinia virus expressing 4-1BB ligand. *Cancer Res*, Vol. 69, No.21, (November), pp. 8516-8525, ISSN 0008-5472
- Klebanoff, C.A., Khong, H.T.; Antony, P.A.; Palmer, D.C. & Restifo, N.P. (2005). Sinks, suppressors and antigen presenters: how lymphodepletion enhances T cell-mediated tumor immunotherapy. *Trends Immunol*, Vol.26, No.2, (February), pp. 111-117, ISSN 1471-4906
- Klingemann, H.G. (2005). Natural killer cell-based immunotherapeutic strategies. *Cytotherapy*, Vol. 7, No.1, pp. 16-22, ISSN 1465-3249
- Kohn, D.B.; Dotti, G.; Brentjens, R.; Savoldo, B.; Jensen, M.; et al. (2011). CARs on Track in the Clinic. *Mol Ther*, Vol.19, No.3, (March), pp. 432-8, ISSN 1525-0016
- Kowolik, C.M.; Topp, M.S.; Gonzalez, S.; Pfeiffer, T.; Olivares, S.; et al. (2006). CD28 costimulation provided through a CD19-specific chimeric antigen receptor enhances in vivo persistence and antitumor efficacy of adoptively transferred T cells. *Cancer Res*, Vol.66, No.22, (November), pp. 10995-1004, ISSN 0008-5472
- Kradin, R.L.; Kurnick, J.T.; Lazarus, D.S.; Preffer, F.I.; Dubinett, S.M.; et al. (1989). Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes and interleukin-2 in treatment of advanced cancer. *Lancet*, Vol.1, No.8638, (March), pp. 577-80, ISSN 0140-6736
- Kuball, J.; Dossett M.L.; Wolfl M.; Ho, W.Y.; Voss, R.H.; et al. (2007). Facilitating matched pairing and expression of TCR chains introduced into human T cells. *Blood*, Vol.109 No.6, (March), pp. 2331-2338, ISSN 0006-4971
- Lake, R.A. & Robinson, B.W. (2005) Immunotherapy and chemotherapy—a practical partnership. *Nat Rev Cancer*, Vol.5, No.5, (May), pp. 397-405, ISSN 1474-175X
- Lamb LS Jr. (2009). Gammadelta T cells as immune effectors against high-grade gliomas. *Immunol Res*, Vol.45, No.1, pp. 85-95, ISSN 0257-277X
- Lamers, C.H.; Sleijfer, S.; Vulto, A.G, Kruit, W.H.; Kliffen, M.; et al. (2006). Treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma with autologous T-lymphocytes genetically retargeted against carbonic anhydrase IX: first clinical experience. *J Clin Oncol*, Vol.24, No.13, (May), pp. e20-2, ISSN 0732-183X
- Larochelle, A.; Choi, U.; Shou, Y.; Naumann, N.; Loktionova, N.A.; et al. (2009). In vivo selection of hematopoietic progenitor cells and temozolomide dose intensification in rhesus macaques through lentiviral transduction with a drug resistance gene, *J Clin Invest*, Vol.119, No.7, (July), pp. 1952-63, ISSN 0021-9738
- Law, T.M.; Motzer, R.J.; Mazumdar, M.; Sell, K.W.; Walther, P.J.; et al. (1995). Phase III randomized trial of interleukin-2 with or without lymphokine-activated killer cells in the treatment of patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer*, Vol.76, No.5, (September), pp. 824-32

- Liseth, K.; Ersvaer, E.; Hervig, T. & Bruserud O. (2010) Combination of intensive chemotherapy and anticancer vaccines in the treatment of human malignancies: the hematological experience. *J Biomed Biotechnol*, 2010:692097, ISSN 1110-7243
- Liu, L.; Schwartz, S.; Davis, B.M. & Gerson, S.L. (2002). Chemotherapy-induced O(6)-benzylguanine-resistant alkyltransferase mutations in mismatch-deficient colon cancer. *Cancer Res*, Vol.62, No.11, (June), pp. 3070-6, ISSN 0008-5472
- Ljunggren, H.G. & Malmberg, KJ. (2007). Prospects for the use of NK cells in immunotherapy of human cancer. *Nat Rev Immunol*, Vol.7, No.5, (May), pp .329-39, ISSN 1474-1733
- May, C.; Gunther, R. & Mc Ivor, R.S. (1995). Protection of mice from lethal dose methotrexate by transplantation with transgenic marrow expressing drug-resistant dihydrofolate reductase activity. *Blood*, Vol.86, No.6, (September), pp. 2439-2448, ISSN 0006-4971
- Maze, R.; Carney, J.P.; Kelley, M.R.; Glassner, B.J.; Williams, D.A. & Samson L. (1996). Increasing DNA repair methyltransferase levels via bone marrow stem cell transduction rescues mice from the toxic effects of 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea, a chemotherapeutic alkylating agent. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, Vol.93, No.1, (January), pp. 206-10, ISSN 0027-8424
- McMillin, D.W.; Hewes, B.; Gangadharan, B.; Archer, D.R.; Mittler RS, Spencer HT. (2006). Complete regression of large solid tumors using engineered drug-resistant hematopoietic cells and anti-CD137 immunotherapy. *Hum Gene Ther*, Vol.17, No.8 (August), pp. 798-806, ISSN 1043-0342
- Michael, M. & Doherty, M.M. (2005). Tumoral drug metabolism: Overview and its implications for cancer therapy. *J Clin Oncol*, Vol.23, No. 1, (January), pp. 205-229, ISSN 0732-183X
- Middleton, D.; Curran, M. & Maxwell, L. (2002). Natural killer cells and their receptors. *Transpl Immunol*, Vol.10, No.2-3, (August), pp. 147-64, ISSN 0966-3274
- Miller, J.S.; Soignier Y.; Panoskaltsis-Mortari A.; McNearney S.A.; Yun G.H.; et al. (2005). Successful adoptive transfer and in vivo expansion of human haploidentical NK cells in patients with cancer. *Blood*, Vol.105, No.8, (April), pp. 3051-7, ISSN 0006-4971
- Morgan, R.A.; Dudley, M.E.; Yu, Y.Y.; Zheng, Z.; Robbins, P.F.; et al. (2003). High efficiency TCR gene transfer into primary human lymphocytes affords avid recognition of melanoma tumor antigen glycoprotein 100 and does not alter the recognition of autologous melanoma antigens. *J Immunol*, Vol.171, No.6, (September), pp. 3287-95, ISSN 0022-1767
- Morgan, R. A.; Dudley, M. E.; Wunderlich, J. R.; Hughes, M. S.; Yang, J. C.; et al. (2006). Cancer regression in patients after transfer of genetically engineered lymphocytes. *Science*, Vol.314, No.5796, (October), pp. 126 -129, ISSN 0036-8075
- Moscow, J.A.; Huang, H.; Carter, C.; Hines, K.; Zujewski, J.; et al. (1999). Engraftment of MDR1 and NeoR gene-transduced hematopoietic cells after breast cancer chemotherapy. *Blood*, Vol.94, No.1, (July), pp. 52-61, ISSN 0006-4971
- Motyka, B.; Korbitt G.; Pinkoski M.J.; Heibein J.A.; Caputo A.; et al (2000). Mannose 6-phosphate/insulin-like growth factor II receptor is a death receptor for granzyme B during cytotoxic T cell-induced apoptosis. *Cell*, Vol.103, No.3, (October), pp. 491-500, ISSN 0092-8674

- Nausch, N. & Cerwenka, A. (2008). NKG2D ligands in tumor immunity. *Oncogene*, Vol.27, No.45, (October), pp. 5944 – 5958, ISSN 0950-9232
- Neff, T.; Beard, B.C.; Peterson, L.J.; Anandakumar, P.; Thompson, J. & Kiem, H.P. (2005). Polyclonal chemoprotection against temozolomide in a large-animal model of drug resistance gene therapy, *Blood*, Vol.105, No.3, (February), pp. 997-1002, ISSN 0006-4971
- Noguchi, A.; Kaneko T.; Kamigaki T.; Fujimoto, K.; Ozawa, M.; et al. (2011). Zoledronate-activated Vgamma9gammadelta T cell-based immunotherapy is feasible and restores the impairment of gamma delta T cells in patients with solid tumors. *Cytotherapy*, Vol.13, No.1, (January), pp. 92–97, ISSN 1465-3249
- Nowak, A.K.; Lake, R.A.; Marzo, A.L.; Scott, B.; Heath, W.R.; et al. (2003a). Induction of tumor cell apoptosis in vivo increases tumor antigen cross-presentation, cross-priming rather than cross-tolerizing host tumor-specific CD8 T cells. *J Immunol*, Vol.170, No.10, (May), pp. 4905–4913, ISSN 0022-1767
- Nowak, A.K.; Robinson, B.W. & Lake, R.A. (2003b). Synergy between chemotherapy and immunotherapy in the treatment of established murine solid tumors. *Cancer Res*, Vol.63, No.15, (August), pp. 4490–4496, ISSN 0008-5472
- Otto, M.; Barfield, R.C.; Chaleff, S.; Gillies, S.D.; Martin, W.J.; et al. (2005). Combination Immunotherapy with Clinical-Scale Enriched Human gammadelta T cells, hu14.18 Antibody, and the Immunocytokine Fc-IL7 in Disseminated Neuroblastoma. *Clin Cancer Res*, Vol.11, No.23, (December), pp. 8486-8491, ISSN 1078-0432
- Pages, F.; Berger, A.; Camus, M.; Sanchez-Cabo, F.; Costes, A.; et al. (2005). Effector memory T cells, early metastasis, and survival in colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med*, Vol.353, No.25, (December), pp. 2654–2666, ISSN 0028-4793
- Perry, J.R.; Brown, M.T. & Gockerman, J.P. (1998). Acute leukemia following treatment of malignant glioma. *J Neurooncol*, Vol. 40, No.1, (October), pp. 39–46, ISSN 0167-594X
- Phan, G.Q.; Yang J.C.; Sherry, R.M.; Hwu, P; Topalian S.L.; et al. (2003). Cancer regression and autoimmunity induced by cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 blockade in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* Vol.100, No.14, (July), pp. 8372–8377, ISSN 0027-8424
- Pollera, C.F.; Calabresi, F.; Moreschi, M.; Ruggeri, E.M.; Giannarelli, D.; et al. (1994). High dose-intense chemotherapy alone or in combination with interleukin-2 for small cell lung cancer: A pilot study. *Cancer Invest*, Vol.12, No.6, pp. 574–587, ISSN 0735-7907
- Pollok, K.E.; Hartwell J.R.; Braber, A.; Cooper R.J.; Jansen M.; et al. (2003). In vivo selection of human hematopoietic cells in a xenograft model using combined pharmacologic and genetic manipulations, *Hum Gene Ther*, Vol.14, No.18, (December), pp. 1703-14, ISSN 1043-0342
- Punt, J.A.; Roberts J.L.; Kearse K.P. & Singer A. (1994) Stoichiometry of the T cell antigen receptor (TCR) complex: each TCR/CD3 complex contains one TCR alpha, one TCR beta, and two CD3 epsilon chains. *J Exp Med*, Vol.180, No.2, (August), pp. 587–593, ISSN 0022-1007
- Quattrocchi, K.B.; Miller, C.H., Cush, S., Bernard, S.A., Dull, S.T. et al. (1999). Pilot study of local autologous tumor infiltrating lymphocytes for the treatment of recurrent malignant gliomas. *J Neurooncol*, Vol.45, No.2, pp. 141–157, ISSN 0167-594X

- Ramakrishnan, R.; Assudani, D.; Nagaraj, S.; Hunter, T.; Cho, H.I.; et al. (2010) Chemotherapy enhances tumor cell susceptibility to CTL-mediated killing during cancer immunotherapy in mice. *J Clin Invest*, Vol.120, No.4, (April), pp. 1111–1124, ISSN 0021-9738
- Ramakrishnan, R.; Dmitry I. & Gabrilovich DI. (2011). Mechanism of synergistic effect of chemotherapy and immunotherapy of cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, Vol.60, No.3, (March), pp. 419-23, ISSN 0340-7004
- Rinehart, J.J.; Triozzi, P.L.; Lee, M.H.; Aldrich, W. & Young, D. (1992). Modulation of hematologic and immunologic effects of high dose chemotherapy by interleukin-2 in a murine tumor model. *Mol Biother*, Vol.4, No.2, (June), pp. 77–82, ISSN 0952-8172
- Robbins, P.F.; Li, Y.F.; El-Gamil, M.; Zhao, Y.; Wargo, J.A.; et al. (2008). Single and dual amino acid substitutions in TCR CDRs can enhance antigen-specific T cell functions. *J Immunol*, Vol.180, No. 9, (May), pp. 6116–6131, ISSN 0022-1767
- Rosenberg, S.A.; Lotze, M.T.; Muul, L.M.; Leitman S.; Chang A.E.; et al. (1985). Observations on the systemic administration of autologous lymphokine-activated killer cells and recombinant interleukin-2 to patients with metastatic cancer. *N Engl J Med*, Vol.313, No.23, (December), pp. 1485-92, ISSN 0028-4793
- Rosenberg, S.A. & Dudley, M.E. (2004). Cancer regression in patients with metastatic melanoma after the transfer of autologous antitumor lymphocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, Vol.101 (Suppl. 2), (October), pp. 14639-14645
- Sadelain, M.; Brentjens, R. & Riviere, I. (2009). The promise and potential pitfalls of chimeric antigen receptors. *Curr Opin Immunol*, Vol.21, No. 2, (April), pp. 215–223, ISSN 0952-7915
- Sauerbrey, A.; McPherson, J.P.; Zhao, S-C.; Banerjee, D. & Bertino, J.R. (1999). Expression of a novel double-mutant dihydrofolate reductase-cytidine deaminase fusion gene confers resistance to both methotrexate and cytosine arabinoside. *Hum Gene Ther*, Vol.10, No.15, (October), pp. 2495-504, ISSN 1043-0342
- Sawai, N.; Zhou, S.; Vanin, E.F.; Houghton, P.; Brent, T.P.; et al. (2001). Protection and in vivo selection of hematopoietic stem cells using temozolomide, O(6)-benzylguanine and an alkyltransferase expressing retroviral vector, *Mol Ther*, Vol.3, No.1, (January), pp. 78-87, ISSN 1525-0016
- Schilbach, K.E.; Geiselhart, A.; Wessels, J.T.; Niethammer, D. & Handgretinger, R. (2000). Human gammadelta gamma delta T Lymphocytes Exert Natural and IL-2-Induced Cytotoxicity to Neuroblastoma Cells. *J Immunother*, Vol.23, No.5, (September-October), pp. 536–548, ISSN 1524-9557
- Scholten, K.B.; Kramer, D.; Kueter, E.W.; Graf, M.; Schoedl, T.; et al. (2006). Codon modification of T cell receptors allows enhanced functional expression in transgenic human T cells. *Clin Immunol*, Vol.119, No.2, (May), pp. 135–145, ISSN 1521-6616
- Schwartzentruber, D.J.; Solomon, D.; Rosenberg, S.A. & Topalian, S.L. (1992) Characterization of lymphocytes infiltrating human breast cancer: specific immune reactivity detected by measuring cytokine secretion. *J Immunother*, Vol.12, No.1, (July), pp. 1–12, ISSN 1524-9557
- Sellers, S.E.; Tisdale, J.F.; Agricola, B.A.; Metzger, M.E.; Donahue, R.E.; Dunbar, C.E. & Sorrentino, B.P. (2001). The effect of multidrug-resistance 1 gene versus neo

- transduction on ex vivo and in vivo expansion of rhesus macaque hematopoietic repopulating cells. *Blood*, Vol.97, No.6, (March), pp. 1888-91, ISSN 0006-4971
- Sorrentino, B.P.; Brandt, S.J.; Bodine, D.; Gottesman M.; Pastan I.; et al. (1992). Selection of drug-resistant bone marrow cells in vivo after retroviral transfer of human MDR1. *Science*, Vol.257, No.5066, (July), pp. 99-103, ISSN 0036-8075
- Spencer, H.T.; Sleep, S.E.; Rehg, J.E.; Blakley, R.L. & Sorrentino, B.P. (1996). A gene transfer strategy for making bone marrow cells resistant to trimetrexate. *Blood*, Vol.87, No. 6, (March), pp. 2579-2587, ISSN 0006-4971
- Stanislowski, T.; Voss, R.H.; Lotz, C.; Sadovnikova, E.; Willemsen, R.A.; et al. (2001). Circumventing tolerance to a human MDM2-derived tumor antigen by TCR gene transfer. *Nat Immunol*, Vol.2, No.10, (October), pp. 962-970, ISSN 1529-2908
- Tam Y.K.; Miyagawa, B.; Ho, V.C. & Klingemann, H.G. (1999). Immunotherapy of malignant melanoma in a SCID mouse model using the highly cytotoxic natural killer cell line NK-92. *J Hematother*, Vol.8, No.3, (June), pp. 281-290, ISSN 1061-6128
- Tonn, T.; Becker, S.; Esser, R.; Schwabe, D. & Seifried, E. (2001). Cellular immunotherapy of malignancies using the clonal natural killer cell line NK-92. *J Hematother Stem Cell Res*, Vol.10, No.4, (August), pp. 535-44, ISSN 1525-8165
- Wang, L. X.; Shu, S. & Plautz, G. E. (2005a). Host lymphodepletion augments T cell adoptive immunotherapy through enhanced intratumoral proliferation of effector cells. *Cancer Res*, Vol.65, No.20, (October), pp. 9547-9554, ISSN 0008-5472
- Wang L.X.; Li R.; Yang G.; Lim M.; O'Hara A.; et al. (2005b). Interleukin-7-dependent expansion and persistence of melanoma-specific T cells in lymphodepleted mice lead to tumor regression and editing. *Cancer Res*, Vol.65, No.22, (November), pp. 10569-10577, ISSN 0008-5472
- Wargo, J. A.; Robbins, P. F.; Li, Y.; Zhao, Y.; El-Gamil, M.; et al. (2009) Recognition of NY-ESO-1 tumor cells by engineered lymphocytes is enhanced by improved vector design and epigenetic modulation of tumor antigen expression. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, Vol.58, No.3, (March), pp. 383-394, ISSN 0340-7004
- Warlick, C.A.; Diers, M.D.; Wagner, J.E.; & McIvor, R.S. (2002). In vivo selection of antifolate-resistant transgenic hematopoietic stem cells in a murine bone marrow transplant model. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*, Vol.300, No.1, (January), pp. 50-6
- Westwood, J.A. & Kershaw, M.H. (2010). Genetic redirection of T cells for cancer therapy. *J Leukoc Biol*, Vol.87, No.5, (May), pp. 791-803, ISSN 0741-5400
- Wrzesinski, C.; Paulos, C.M.; Gattinoni, L.; Palmer, D.C., Kaiser A.; et al. (2007). Hematopoietic stem cells promote the expansion and function of adoptively transferred antitumor CD8 T cells. *J Clin Invest*, Vol.117, No.2, (February), pp. 492-501, ISSN 0021-9738
- Xue, S.A.; Gao, L.; Hart, D.; Gillmore, R.; Qasim, W.; et al. (2005). Elimination of human leukemia cells in NOD/SCID mice by WT1TCR gene-transduced human T cells. *Blood*, Vol.106, No.9, (November), pp. 3062-3067, ISSN 0006-4971
- Yang, S.; Cohen C.J.; Peng P.D.; Zhao, Y.; Cassard, L.; et al. (2008). Development of optimal bicistronic lentiviral vectors facilitates high-level TCR gene expression and robust tumor cell recognition. *Gene Ther*, Vol.15, No.21, (November), pp. 1411-1423, ISSN 0969-7128

- Zhang, L.; Conejo-Garcia, J.R.; Katsaros D.; Gimotty, P.A.; Massobrio, M.; et al. (2003). Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med*, Vol.348, No.3 (January), pp. 203–213, ISSN 0028-4793
- Zhao, S.C.; Banerjee, D.; Mineishi, S. & Bertino, J.R. (1997). Post-transplant methotrexate administration leads to improved curability of mice bearing a mammary tumor transplanted with marrow transduced with a mutant human dihydrofolate reductase cDNA. *Hum Gene Ther*, Vol.8, No.8, (May), pp. 903–909, ISSN 1043-0342
- Zhao, Y.; Zheng, Z.; Robbins, P.F.; Khong, H.T.; Rosenberg, S.A.; et al. (2005). Primary human lymphocytes transduced with NY-ESO-1 antigen-specific TCR genes recognize and kill diverse human tumor cell lines. *J Immunol*, Vol.174, No.7, (April), pp. 4415-23, ISSN 0022-1767
- Zielske, S.P.; Reese, J.S.; Lingas, K.T.; Donze, J.R. & Gerson, S.L. (2003). In vivo selection of MGMT(P140K) lentivirus-transduced human NOD/SCID repopulating cells without pretransplant irradiation conditioning, *J Clin Invest*, Vol.112, No.10, (November), pp. 1561-70, ISSN 0021-9738

IntechOpen



Gene Therapy - Developments and Future Perspectives

Edited by Prof. Chunsheng Kang

ISBN 978-953-307-617-1

Hard cover, 356 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 22, June, 2011

Published in print edition June, 2011

The aim of this book is to cover key aspects of existing problems in the field of development and future perspectives in gene therapy. Contributions consist of basic and translational research, as well as clinical experiences, and they outline functional mechanisms, predictive approaches, patient-related studies and upcoming challenges in this stimulating but also controversial field of gene therapy research. This source will make our doctors become comfortable with the common problems of gene therapy and inspire others to delve a bit more deeply into a topic of interest.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

H.Trent Spencer and Anindya Dasgupta (2011). Engineered Drug Resistant Cell-Mediated Immunotherapy, Gene Therapy - Developments and Future Perspectives, Prof. Chunsheng Kang (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-617-1, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/gene-therapy-developments-and-future-perspectives/engineered-drug-resistant-cell-mediated-immunotherapy>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2011 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen