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## 1. Introduction

During the past decades, many researchers have investigated stability of switched systems; due to its potential for real world application such as transportation systems, computer systems, communication systems, control of mechanical systems, etc. A switched systems is composed of a family of continuous time (Alan \& Lib, 2008; Alan \& Lib, 2009, Alan et al., 2008; Hien et al., 2009; Hien \& Phat, 2009; Kim et al., 2006; Li et al., 2009; Niamsup, 2008; Li et al., 2009; Lien et al., 2009; Lib et al., 2008) or discrete time systems (Wu et al., 2004) and a switching condition determining at any time instant which subsystem is activated.
In recent years, the stability of systems with time delay has received considerable attention. Switched system in which all subsystems are stable was studied in (Lien et al., 2009) and switched system in which subsystems are both stable and unstable was studied in (Alan \& Lib, 2008; Alan \& Lib, 2009, Alan et al., 2008). The commonly used approach to stability analysis of switched systems is Lyapunov theory and some important preliminaries results have been applied to obtain sufficient conditions for stability of switched systems. A single Lyapunov function approach is used in (Alan \& Lib, 2008) and a multiple Lyapunov functions approach is used in (Hien et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2006; Li et al., 2009; Lien et al., 2009; Lib et al., 2008) and the references therein. The asymptotical stability of the linear with time delay and uncertainties has been considered in (Lien et al., 2009). In (L.V.Hien et al., 2009), the authors investigated the exponential stability and stabilization of switched linear systems with time varying delay and uncertainties by using the strictly complete systems of matrices approach. The strictly complete of the matrices has been also used for the switching condition, see (Hien et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2005; Niamsup, 2008; Lib et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2004). In this paper, stability analysis for switched linear and nonlinear systems with uncertainties and time-varying delay are studied. We obtain the new conditions for exponential stability of switched system in which subsystems consist of stable and unstable subsystems. The stability conditions are derived in terms of linear matrix inequality (LMI) by using a new Lyapunov

[^0]function. The free weighting matrices and Newton-Leibniz formula are applied. As a results, the obtained stability conditions are less conservative comparing to some previous existing results in the literatures. In particular, comparing to (Alan \& Lib, 2008), our results give a much less conservative results, namely, for stable subsystems, the condition that state matrices are Hurwitz stable is not required. Moreover, advantages of the paper are that the delay is time-varying and switched system may have uncertainties. The paper is organized as follows. In section 1, problem formulation and introduction is addressed. In section 2, we give some notations, definitions and the preliminary results that will be used in this paper. Switching design for the exponential stability of the switched system is presented in Section 3. In section 4, numerical examples are given to illustrate the theoretical results. The paper ends with conclusions and cited references.

## 2. Preliminaries

The following notations will be used throughout this paper. $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ denotes the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space. $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denotes the space of all matrices of $n \times n$-dimensions. $A^{T}$ denotes the transpose of $A$. I denotes the identity matrix. $\lambda(A), \lambda_{M}(A), \lambda_{m}(A)$ denote the set of all eigenvalues of $A$, the maximum eigenvalue of $A$, and the minimum eigenvalue of $A$, respectively. For all real symmetric matrix $X$, the notation $X>0(X \geq 0, X<0, X \leq 0)$ means that $X$ is positive definite (positive semidefinite, negative definite, negative semidefinite, respectively.) For a vector $x,\left\|x_{t}\right\|=\sup _{s \in\left[-h_{M}, 0\right]}\|x(t+s)\|$ with $\|x\|$ being the Euclidean norm of vector $x$.
The switched system under the consideration is described by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t)= & {\left[A_{\sigma}+\Delta A_{\sigma}(t)\right] x(t)+\left[B_{\sigma}+\Delta B_{\sigma}(t)\right] x(t-h(t)) } \\
& +f_{\sigma}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))), t>0 \\
x(t)= & \phi(t), t \in\left[-h_{M}, 0\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the state vector. $\sigma(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow S=\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ is the switching function. Let $i \in S=S_{u} \cup S_{s}$ such that $S_{u}=\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$ and $S_{s}=\{r+1, r+2, \ldots, N\}$ be the set of the unstable and stable modes, respectively. $N$ denotes the number of subsystems. $A_{i}, B_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are given constant matrices. $\Delta A_{i}(t), \Delta B_{i}(t)$ are uncertain matrices satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A_{i}(t)=E_{1 i} F_{1 i}(t) H_{1 i}, \Delta B_{i}(t)=E_{2 i} F_{2 i}(t) H_{2 i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{j i}, H_{j i}, j=1,2, i=1,2, \ldots, N$ are given constant matrices with appropriate dimensions. $F_{j i}(t)$ are unknown, real matrices satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j i}^{T}(t) F_{j i}(t) \leq I, j=1,2, i=1,2, \ldots, N, \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix of appropriate dimension.
The nonlinear perturbation $f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))), i=1,2, \ldots, N$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))\right\| \leq \gamma_{i}\|x(t)\|+\delta_{i}\|x(t-h(t))\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}>0$. The time-varying delay function $h(t)$ is assumed to satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) when $\Delta A_{i}(t)=0$ and $\Delta B_{i}(t)=0$ and $f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))=0$

$$
0 \leq h_{m} \leq h(t) \leq h_{M}, \dot{h}(t) \leq \mu, t \geq 0
$$

(ii) when $\Delta A_{i}(t) \neq 0$ or $\Delta B_{i}(t) \neq 0$ or $f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) \neq 0$

$$
0 \leq h_{m} \leq h(t) \leq h_{M}, \dot{h}(t) \leq \mu<1, t \geq 0
$$

where $h_{m}, h_{M}$ and $\mu$ are given constants.
Definition 2.1 (Hien et al., 2009) Given $\beta>0$. The system (1) is $\beta$-exponentially stable if there exists a switching function $\sigma(\cdot)$ and positive number $\gamma$ such that any solution $x(t, \phi)$ of the system satisfies

$$
\|x(t, \phi)\| \leq \gamma e^{-\beta t}\|\phi\|, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{+},
$$

for all the uncertainties.
Lemma 2.1 (Hien et al., 2009) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, matrices $W, E, F, H$ with $W>0, F^{T} F \leq I$, and scalar $\varepsilon>0$, one has
(1.) $E F H+H^{T} F^{T} E^{T} \leq \varepsilon^{-1} E E^{T}+\varepsilon H^{T} H$,
(2.) $2 x^{T} y \leq x^{T} W^{-1} x+y^{T} W y$.

Lemma 2.2 (Alan \& Lib, 2008) Let $u:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following delay differential inequality:

$$
\dot{u}(t) \leq \alpha u(t)+\beta \sup _{\theta \in[t-\tau, t]} u(\theta), t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Assume that $\alpha+\beta>0$. Then, there exist positive constant $\xi$ and $k$ such that

$$
u(t) \leq k e^{\xi\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $\xi=\alpha+\beta$ and $k=\sup _{\theta \in\left[t_{0}-\tau, t_{0}\right]} u(\theta)$.
Lemma 2.3 (Alan \& Lib, 2008) Let the following differential inequality:

$$
\dot{u} \leq-\alpha u(t)+\beta \sup _{\theta \in[t-\tau, t]} u(\theta), t \geq t_{0}
$$

hold. If $\alpha>\beta>0$, then there exist positive $k$ and $\zeta$ such that

$$
u(t) \leq k e^{-\zeta\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0},
$$

where $\zeta=\alpha-\beta$ and $k=\sup _{\theta \in\left[t_{0}-\tau, t_{0}\right]} u(\theta)$.
Lemma 2.4 (Schur Complement Lemma) (Boyd et al., 1985) Given constant symmetric $Q, S$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ where $R>0, Q=Q^{T}$ and $R=R^{T}$ we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Q & S \\
S^{T} & -R
\end{array}\right]<0 \Leftrightarrow Q+S R^{-1} S^{T}<0 .
$$

## 3. Main results

In this section, we establish exponential stability of uncertain switched system with time-varying delay. For simplicity of later presentation, we use the following notations:
$\lambda^{+}=\max _{i}\left\{\xi_{i}, \forall i \in S_{u}\right\}, \xi_{i}$ denotes the growth rates of the unstable modes.
$\lambda^{-}=\min _{i}\left\{\zeta_{i}, \forall i \in S_{s}\right\}, \zeta_{i}$ denotes the decay rates of the stable modes.
$T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ denotes the total activation times of the unstable modes over $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$.
$T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ denotes the total activation times of the stable modes over $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$.
$N(t)$ denotes the number of times the system is switched on $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$.
$l(t)$ denotes the number of times the unstable subsystems are activated on $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$.
$N(t)-l(t)$ denotes the number of times the stable subsystems are activated on $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& \psi=\frac{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{j}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{j}\right)\right\}} \text {. } \\
& \alpha_{1}=\min _{i}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\} . \\
& \alpha_{2}=\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}+h_{M} \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right\}+\frac{h_{M}^{2}}{2} \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(R_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& +h_{M}^{2} \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right\} \\
& +2 h_{M}^{2} \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(A_{i}^{T} T_{i} A_{i}\right), \lambda_{M}\left(A_{i}^{T} T_{i} B_{i}\right), \lambda_{M}\left(B_{i}^{T} T_{i} A_{i}\right), \lambda_{M}\left(B_{i}^{T} T_{i} B_{i}\right)\right\}, \\
& \alpha_{3}=\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}+h_{M} \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right\}+\frac{h_{M}^{2}}{2} \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(R_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& +h_{M}^{2} \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right\} . \\
& \Omega_{1, i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Phi_{11, i} & \Phi_{12, i} \\
* & \Phi_{13, i}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \Phi_{11, i}=A_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} A_{i}+Q_{i}+h_{M} R_{i}+h_{M} S_{11, i}+h_{M} A_{i}^{T} T_{i} A_{i}, \\
& \Phi_{12, i}=B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+h_{M} S_{12, i}+h_{M} A_{i}^{T} T_{i} B_{i}, \\
& \Phi_{13, i}=-(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h_{M}} Q_{i}+h_{M} S_{22, i}+h_{M} B_{i}^{T} T_{i} B_{i} . \\
& \Omega_{2, i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Phi_{21, i} & \Phi_{22, i} \\
* & \Phi_{23, i}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \Phi_{21, i}=A_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} A_{i}+Q_{i}+h_{M} R_{i}+h_{M} S_{11, i}+h_{M} A_{i}^{T} T_{i} A_{i}+h_{M} X_{11, i}+Y_{i}+Y_{i}^{T}, \\
& \Phi_{22, i}=B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+h_{M} S_{12, i}+h_{M} A_{i}^{T} T_{i} B_{i}+h_{M} X_{12, i}-Y_{i}+Z_{i}^{T}, \\
& \Phi_{23, i}=-(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h_{M}} Q_{i}+h_{M} S_{22, i}+h_{M} B_{i}^{T} T_{i} B_{i}+h_{M} X_{22, i}-Z_{i}-Z_{i}^{T} . \\
& \Omega_{3, i}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{11, i} & X_{12, i} & Y_{i} \\
* & X_{22, i} & Z_{i} \\
* & * & \frac{T_{i}}{2}
\end{array}\right] . \\
& \Xi_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Phi_{31, i} & \Phi_{32, i} \\
* & \Phi_{33, i}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \Phi_{31, i}=A_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} A_{i}+Q_{i}+h_{M} R_{i}+h_{M} S_{11, i}+\varepsilon_{1 i}^{-1} H_{1 i}^{T} H_{1 i}+\varepsilon_{1 i} P_{i} E_{1 i}^{T} E_{1 i} P_{i}+\varepsilon_{2 i} P_{i} E_{2 i}^{T} E_{2 i} P_{i}, \\
& \Phi_{32, i}=B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+h_{M} S_{12, i}, \\
& \Phi_{33, i}=-(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h_{M}} Q_{i}+h_{M} S_{22, i}+\varepsilon_{2 i}^{-1} H_{2 i}^{T} H_{2 i} . \\
& \Theta_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Phi_{41, i} & \Phi_{42, i} \\
* & Y_{43, i}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \Phi_{41, i}=A_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} A_{i}+Q_{i}+h_{M} R_{i}+h_{M} S_{11, i}+\varepsilon_{3 i}^{-1} \gamma_{i} I+\varepsilon_{3 i} P_{i} P_{i}+\varepsilon_{4 i}^{-1} H_{4 i}^{T} H_{4 i} \\
& +\varepsilon_{4 i} P_{i} E_{4 i}^{T} E_{4 i} P_{i}+\varepsilon_{6 i} P_{i} E_{5 i}^{T} E_{5 i} P_{i}, \\
& \Phi_{42, i}=B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+h_{M} S_{12, i},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Phi_{43, i}=-(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h_{M}} Q_{i}+h_{M} S_{22, i}+\varepsilon_{3 i}^{-1} \delta_{i} I+\varepsilon_{5 i}^{-1} H_{5 i}^{T} H_{5 i}$.

### 3.1 Exponential stability of linear switched system with time-varying delay

In this section, we deal with the problem for exponential stability of the zero solution of system (1) without the uncertainties and nonlinear perturbation $\left(\Delta A_{i}(t)=\Delta B_{i}(t)=\right.$ $\left.0, f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))=0\right)$.
Theorem 3.1 The zero solution of system (1) with $\Delta A_{i}(t)=\Delta B_{i}(t)=0$ and $f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-$ $h(t)))=0$ is exponentially stable if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $P_{i}, Q_{i}, R_{i}$,
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\ S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}\end{array}\right], T_{i}$ and appropriate dimension matrices $Y_{i}, Z_{i}$ such that the following conditions hold:
A1. (i) For $i \in S_{u}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1, i}>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $i \in S_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{2, i}<0 \text { and } \Omega_{3, i} \geq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A2. Assume that, for any $t_{0}$ the switching law guarantees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{t \geq t_{0}} \frac{T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)}{T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \geq \frac{\lambda^{+}+\lambda^{*}}{\lambda^{-}-\lambda^{*}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{*} \in\left(0, \lambda^{-}\right)$. Furthermore, there exists $0<v<\lambda^{*}$ such that
(i) If the subsystem $i \in S_{u}$ is activated in time intervals $\left[t_{i_{k}-1}, t_{i_{k}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \psi-v\left(t_{i_{k}}-t_{i_{k}-1}\right) \leq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, l(t) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If the subsystem $j \in S_{s}$ is activated in time intervals $\left[t_{j_{k}-1}, t_{j_{k}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \psi+\zeta_{j} h_{M}-v\left(t_{j_{k}}-t_{j_{k}-1}\right) \leq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, N(t)-1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the following Lyapunov functional:

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right)=V_{1, i}(x(t))+V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{5, i}\left(x_{t}\right)
$$

where $x_{t} \in C\left(\left[-h_{M}, 0\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), x_{t}(s)=x(t+s), s \in\left[-h_{M}, 0\right]$ and
$V_{1, i}(x(t))=x^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)$,
$V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) Q_{i} x(s) d s$,
$V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)} x^{T}(\xi) R_{i} x(\xi) d \xi d s$,
$V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}x(\xi) \\ x(\xi-h(\xi))\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\ S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}x(\xi) \\ x(\xi-h(\xi))\end{array}\right] d \xi d s$,
$V_{5, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} \dot{x}^{T}(\xi) T_{i} \dot{x}(\xi) d \xi d s$.
It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}\|x(t)\|^{2} \leq V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \alpha_{2}\left\|x_{t}\right\|^{2}, t \geq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1, i}(x(t)) & \leq \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}\|x(t)\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{j}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{j}\right)\right\}} \min _{j}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{j}\right)\right\} x^{T}(t) x(t) \\
& \leq \frac{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{j}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{j}\right)\right\}} x^{T}(t) P_{j} x(t) \\
& =\frac{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{j}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{j}\right)\right\}} V_{1, j}(x(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\psi=\frac{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{j}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{j}\right)\right\}}$. Obviously $\psi \geq 1$ and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \psi V_{j}\left(x_{t}\right), \forall i, j \in S \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of $V_{1, i}(x(t))$ along trajectories of any subsystem $i$ th we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{1, i}(x(t))= & \dot{x}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} \dot{x}(t) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[x^{T}(t) A_{i}^{T} P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t-h(t)) B_{i}^{T} P_{i} x(t)\right. \\
& \left.+x^{T}(t) P_{i} A_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} B_{i} x(t-h(t))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, by taking derivative of $V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right), V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right), V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)$ and $V_{5, i}\left(x_{t}\right)$, respectively, along the system trajectories yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) & =x^{T}(t) Q_{i} x(t)-(1-\dot{h}(t)) e^{-2 \beta h(t)} x^{T}(t-h(t)) Q_{i} x(t-h(t))-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& \leq x^{T}(t) Q_{i} x(t)-(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h(t)} x^{T}(t-h(t)) Q_{i} x(t-h(t))-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) & =\int_{-h(t)}^{0}\left[x^{T}(t) R_{i} x(t)-e^{2 \beta s} x^{T}(t+s) R_{i} x(t+s)\right] d s-2 \beta V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& \leq h_{M} x^{T}(t) R_{i} x(t)-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s-2 \beta V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{V}_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0}\left[\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(\xi) \\
x(\xi-h(\xi))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(\xi) \\
x(\xi-h(\xi))
\end{array}\right]\right. \\
& \left.-e^{2 \beta s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t+s) \\
x(t+s-h(t+s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t+s) \\
x(t+s-h(t+s))
\end{array}\right]\right] d s \\
& \left.-e^{2 \beta s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t+s) \\
x(t+s-h(t+s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t+s) \\
x(t+s-h(t+s))
\end{array}\right]\right] d s \\
& -2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& \leq h_{M}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \\
& \left.-e^{2 \beta s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t+s) \\
x(t+s-h(t+s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t+s) \\
x(t+s-h(t+s))
\end{array}\right]\right] d s \\
& -2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& \leq h_{M}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \\
& -2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \text {, } \\
& \dot{V}_{5, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0}\left[\dot{x}^{T}(t) T_{i} \dot{x}(t)-\dot{x}^{T}(t+s) T_{i} \dot{x}(t+s)\right] d s \\
& \leq h_{M} \dot{x}^{T}(t) T_{i} \dot{x}(t)-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}^{T}(s) T_{i} \dot{x}(s) d s \\
& =h_{M} \dot{x}^{T}(t) T_{i} \dot{x}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}^{T}(s) T_{i} \dot{x}(s) d s-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}^{T}(s) T_{i} \dot{x}(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the derivative of $V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right)$ along the any trajectory of solution of (1) is estimated by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Omega_{1, i}^{\star}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s-2 \beta V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}
S_{11, i} S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} \\
S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \\
& -2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+h_{M} \dot{x}(t)^{T} T_{i} \dot{x}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}^{T}(s) T_{i} \dot{x}(s) d s \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}^{T}(s) T_{i} \dot{x}(s) d s, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{1, i}^{\star}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} A_{i}+Q_{i}+h_{M} R_{i}+h_{M} S_{11, i} & B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+h_{M} S_{12, i} \\
* & -(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h_{M}} Q_{i}+h_{M} S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)} x^{T}(\xi) R_{i} x(\xi) d \xi d s & \leq \int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)} x^{T}(\xi) R_{i} x(\xi) d \xi d s \\
& \leq h_{M} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s & \leq-\frac{1}{h_{M}} \int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)} x^{T}(\xi) R_{i} x(\xi) d \xi d s \\
& =-\frac{1}{h_{M}} V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s)  \tag{14}\\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \leq-\frac{1}{h_{M}} V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) T_{i} \dot{x}(s) d s \leq-\frac{1}{2 h_{M}} V_{5, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (12), (13), (14) and (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Omega_{1, i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\left(2 \beta+\frac{1}{h_{M}}\right)\left(V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2 h_{M}} V_{5, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) T_{i} \dot{x}(s) d s . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

For $i \in S_{u}$, we have

$$
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Omega_{1, i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]
$$

By (5), (16) and Lemma 2.2, there exists $\xi_{i}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{\xi_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}=\frac{2 \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(\Omega_{1, i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{i}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}$.
For $i \in S_{S}$, we have that when $X_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}X_{11, i} & X_{12, i} \\ * & X_{22, i}\end{array}\right] \geq 0$, the following holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{M}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} X_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} X_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right] d s \geq 0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Newton-Leibniz formula, (Wu et al., 2004), we can write

$$
x(t-h(t))=x(t)-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) d s
$$

Then, for any appropriate dimension matrices $Y_{i}$ and $Z_{i}$, we have

$$
2\left[x^{T}(t) Y_{i}+x^{T}(t-h(t)) Z_{i}\right]\left[x(t)-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) d s-x(t-h(t))\right]=0
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 x^{T}(t) Y_{i} x(t)-2 x^{T}(t) Y_{i} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) d s-2 x^{T}(t) Y_{i} x(t-h(t))+2 x^{T}(t-h(t)) Z_{i} x(t) \\
& -2 x^{T}(t-h(t)) Z_{i} \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) d s-2 x^{T}(t-h(t)) Z_{i} x(t-h(t))=0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

From (16) with (18) and (19), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Omega_{2, i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\left(2 \beta+\frac{1}{h_{M}}\right)\left(V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2 h_{M}} V_{5, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t)) \\
\dot{x}(s)
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Omega_{3, i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t)) \\
\dot{x}(s)
\end{array}\right] d s . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

By (6), (20) and Lemma 2.3, there exist $\zeta_{i}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\zeta_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{i}=\min \left\{\frac{\min _{i}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(-\Omega_{2, i}\right)\right\}}{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}, 2 \beta, \frac{1}{2 h_{M}}\right\}$.
Let $N(t)$ denotes the number of times the system is switched on $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} N(t)=$ $+\infty$. Suppose that $\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)=i_{0}, \sigma\left(t_{1}\right)=i_{1}, \ldots$ and $\sigma(t)=i$.

Let $l(t)$ denotes the number of times the unstable subsystems are activated on $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$ and $N(t)-l(t)$ denotes the number of times the stable subsystems are activated on $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$. Suppose that $t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} t_{n}=+\infty$.
From (11), (17) and (21), suppose that the $j$ th subsystem of unstable mode is activated on the interval $\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right)$,

- if the $i$ th subsystem of unstable mode is activated on the interval $\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right)$, then

$$
V_{j}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \psi\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{l-1}}\right)\right\| e^{\xi_{i}\left(t_{l}-t_{l-1}\right)} e^{\xi_{j}\left(t-t_{l}\right)}, t \in\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right) .
$$

- if the $i$ th subsystem of stable mode is activated on the interval $\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right)$, then

$$
V_{j}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \psi\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{l-1}}\right)\right\| e^{-\zeta_{i}\left(t_{l}-t_{l-1}\right)} e^{\xi_{j}\left(t-t_{l}\right)}, t \in\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right) .
$$

Suppose that the $j$ th subsystem of stable mode is activated on the interval $\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right)$,

- if the $i$ th subsystem of unstable mode is activated on the interval $\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right)$, then

$$
V_{j}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \psi\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{l-1}}\right)\right\| e^{\xi_{i}\left(t_{l}-t_{l-1}\right)} e^{-\zeta_{j}\left(t-t_{l}\right)}, t \in\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right)
$$

- if the $i$ th subsystem of stable mode is activated on the interval $\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right)$, then

$$
V_{j}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \psi\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{l-1}}\right)\right\| e^{-\zeta_{i}\left(t_{l}-t_{l-1}\right)} e^{-\zeta_{j}\left(t-t_{l}\right)}, t \in\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right) .
$$

In general, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) & \leq \prod_{m=1}^{l(t)} \psi e^{\xi_{i m}\left(t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right)} \times \prod_{n=l(t)+1}^{N(t)-1} \psi e^{\zeta_{i_{n}} h_{M}} e^{-\zeta_{i_{n}}\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)} \times\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\zeta_{i}\left(t-t_{N(t)-1}\right)} \\
& \leq \prod_{m=1}^{l(t)} \psi e^{\lambda^{+}\left(t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right)} \times \prod_{n=l(t)+1}^{N(t)-1} \psi e^{\zeta_{i_{n}} h_{M}} e^{-\lambda^{-}\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)} \times\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\lambda^{-}\left(t-t_{N(t)-1}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

$t \geq t_{0}$. Using (7), we have

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \prod_{m=1}^{l(t)} \psi \times \prod_{n=l(t)+1}^{N(t)-1} \psi e^{\zeta_{i_{n}} h_{M}} \times\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\lambda^{*}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

By (8) and (9), we get

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\left(\lambda^{*}-v\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

Thus, by (10), we have

$$
\|x(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}}\left\|x_{t_{0}}\right\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{*}-v\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

which concludes the proof of the Theorem 3.1.

### 3.2 Robust exponential stability of linear switched system with time-varying delay

In this section, we give conditions for robust exponential stability of the zero solution of system (1) without nonlinear perturbation, namely $f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))=0$. The following is the main result.
Theorem 3.2 The zero solution of system (1) with $f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))=0$ is robustly exponentially stable if there exist positive real numbers $\varepsilon_{1 i}, \varepsilon_{2 i}$, positive definite matrices $P_{i}, Q_{i}, R_{i}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\ S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}\end{array}\right]$ such that the following conditions hold:
A1. (i) For $i \in S_{u}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{i}>0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $i \in S_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{i}<0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A2. Assume that, for any $t_{0}$ the switching law guarantees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{t \geq t_{0}} \frac{T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)}{T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \geq \frac{\lambda^{+}+\lambda^{*}}{\lambda^{-}-\lambda^{*}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{*} \in\left(0, \lambda^{-}\right)$. Furthermore, there exists $0<v<\lambda^{*}$ such that
(i) If the subsystem $i \in S_{u}$ is activated in time intervals $\left[t_{i_{k}-1}, t_{i_{k}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \psi-v\left(t_{i_{k}}-t_{i_{k}-1}\right) \leq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, l(t) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If the subsystem $j \in S_{s}$ is activated in time intervals $\left[t_{j_{k}-1}, t_{j_{k}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \psi+\zeta_{j} h_{M}-v\left(t_{j_{k}}-t_{j_{k}-1}\right) \leq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, N(t)-1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the following Lyapunov functional:

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right)=V_{1, i}(x(t))+V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)
$$

where $x_{t} \in C\left(\left[-h_{M}, 0\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), x_{t}(s)=x(t+s), s \in\left[-h_{M}, 0\right]$, and $V_{1, i}(x(t))=x^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)$,
$V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) Q_{i} x(s) d s$,
$V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)} x^{T}(\xi) R_{i} x(\xi) d \xi d s$,
$V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}x(\xi) \\ x(\xi-h(\xi))\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\ S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}x(\xi) \\ x(\xi-h(\xi))\end{array}\right] d \xi d s$.
It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}\|x(t)\|^{2} \leq V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \alpha_{3}\left\|x_{t}\right\|^{2}, t \geq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \psi V_{j}\left(x_{t}\right), \forall i, j \in S \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of $V_{1, i}(x(t))$ along trajectories of any subsystem $i$ th, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{1, i}(x(t))= & \dot{x}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} \dot{x}(t) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[x^{T}(t) A_{i}^{T} P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) \Delta A_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t-h(t)) B_{i}^{T} P_{i} x(t)\right. \\
& +x^{T}(t-h(t)) \Delta B_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} A_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} \Delta A_{i}(t) x(t) \\
& \left.+x^{T}(t) P_{i} B_{i} x(t-h(t))+x^{T}(t) P_{i} \Delta B_{i}(t) x(t-h(t))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and from (2) and (3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x^{T}(t) \Delta A_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t) & \leq \varepsilon_{1 i}^{-1} x^{T}(t) H_{1 i}^{T} H_{1 i} x(t)+\varepsilon_{1 i} x^{T}(t) P_{i} E_{1 i}^{T} E_{1 i} P_{i} x(t), \\
2 x^{T}(t-h(t)) \Delta B_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t) & \leq \varepsilon_{2 i}^{-1} x^{T}(t-h(t)) H_{2 i}^{T} H_{2 i} x(t-h(t))+\varepsilon_{2 i} x^{T}(t) P_{i} E_{2 i}^{T} E_{2 i} P_{i} x(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, by taking derivative of $V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right), V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)$ and $V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)$, respectively, along the system trajectories yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{V}_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq x^{T}(t) Q_{i} x(t)-(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h(t)} x^{T}(t-h(t)) Q_{i} x(t-h(t))-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& \dot{V}_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq h_{M} x^{T}(t) R_{i} x(t)-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s-2 \beta V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& \dot{V}_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq h_{M}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right] \\
&-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \\
&-2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the estimation of derivative of $V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right)$ along any trajectory of solution of (1) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Xi_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s-2 \beta V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \\
& -2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

For $i \in S_{u}$, we have

$$
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Xi_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]
$$

Similar to Theorem 3.1, from (22) and (29), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{\xi_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}=\frac{2 \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(\Xi_{i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{i}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}$.
For $i \in S_{s}$, from (13), (14) and (29), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Xi_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\left(2 \beta+\frac{1}{h_{M}}\right)\left(V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to Theorem 3.1, from (23) and (31), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\zeta_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{i}=\min \left\{\frac{\min _{i}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(-\Xi_{i}\right)\right\}}{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}, 2 \beta\right\}$.
In general, from (28), (30) and (32), with the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \prod_{m=1}^{l(t)} \psi e^{\lambda^{+}\left(t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right)} \times \prod_{n=l(t)+1}^{N(t)-1} \psi e^{\tau_{i n} h_{M}} e^{-\lambda^{-}\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)} \times\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\lambda^{-}\left(t-t_{N(t)-1}\right)},
$$

$t \geq t_{0}$. Using (24), we have

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \prod_{m=1}^{l(t)} \psi \times \prod_{n=l(t)+1}^{N(t)-1} \psi e^{\xi_{i n} h_{M}} \times\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\lambda^{*}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

By (25) and (26), we get

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\left(\lambda^{*}-v\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Thus, by (27), we have

$$
\|x(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}}\left\|x_{t_{0}}\right\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{*}-v\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

which concludes the proof of the Theorem 3.2.

### 3.3 Robust exponential stability of switched system with time-varying delay and nonlinear perturbation

In this section, we deal with the problem for robust exponential stability of the zero solution of system (1).

Theorem 3.3 The zero solution of system (1) is robust exponentially stable if there exist positive real numbers $\varepsilon_{3 i}, \varepsilon_{4 i}, \varepsilon_{5 i}$, positive definite matrices $P_{i}, Q_{i}, R_{i}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\ S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}\end{array}\right]$ such that the following conditions hold:

A1. (i) For $i \in S_{u}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{i}>0 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $i \in S_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{i}<0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A2. Assume that, for any $t_{0}$ the switching law guarantees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{t \geq t_{0}} \frac{T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)}{T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \geq \frac{\lambda^{+}+\lambda^{*}}{\lambda^{-}-\lambda^{*}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{*} \in\left(0, \lambda^{-}\right)$. Furthermore, there exists $0<v<\lambda^{*}$ such that
(i) If the subsystem $i \in S_{u}$ is activated in time intervals $\left[t_{i_{k}-1}, t_{i_{k}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \psi-v\left(t_{i_{k}}-t_{i_{k}-1}\right) \leq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, l(t) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If the subsystem $j \in S_{s}$ is activated in time intervals $\left[t_{j_{k}-1}, t_{j_{k}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \psi+\zeta_{j} h_{M}-v\left(t_{j_{k}}-t_{j_{k}-1}\right) \leq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, N(t)-1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the following Lyapunov functional:

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right)=V_{1, i}(x(t))+V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)
$$

where $x_{t} \in C\left(\left[-h_{M}, 0\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), x_{t}(s)=x(t+s), s \in\left[-h_{M}, 0\right]$ and
$V_{1, i}(x(t))=x^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)$,
$V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) Q_{i} x(s) d s$,
$V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)} x^{T}(\xi) R_{i} x(\xi) d \xi d s$,
$V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)=\int_{-h(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} e^{2 \beta(\xi-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}x(\xi) \\ x(\xi-h(\xi))\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\ S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}x(\xi) \\ x(\xi-h(\xi))\end{array}\right] d \xi d s$.
It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}\|x(t)\|^{2} \leq V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \alpha_{3}\left\|x_{t}\right\|^{2}, t \geq 0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \psi V_{j}\left(x_{t}\right), \forall i, j \in S \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of $V_{1, i}(x(t))$ along trajectories of any subsystem $i$ th we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{1, i}(x(t))= & \dot{x}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} \dot{x}(t) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[x^{T}(t) A_{i}^{T} P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) \Delta A_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t-h(t)) B_{i}^{T} P_{i} x(t)\right. \\
& +x^{T}(t-h(t)) \Delta B_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t)+f_{i}^{T}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) P_{i} x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} A_{i} x(t) \\
& +x^{T}(t) P_{i} \Delta A_{i}(t) x(t)+x^{T}(t) P_{i} B_{i} x(t-h(t))+x^{T}(t) P_{i} \Delta B_{i}(t) x(t-h(t)) \\
& \left.+x^{T}(t) P_{i} f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))\right] .
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { From lemma 2.1, we have }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 f_{i}^{T}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) P_{i} x(t) \leq & f_{i}^{T}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) W_{i}^{-1} f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) \\
& +x^{T}(t) P_{i} W_{i} P_{i} x(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $W_{i}=\varepsilon_{3 i} I_{i}$ and from (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 f_{i}^{T}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) P_{i} x(t) \leq & \varepsilon_{3 i}^{-1} f_{i}^{T}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) f_{i}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t))) \\
& +\varepsilon_{3 i} x^{T}(t) P_{i} P_{i} x(t) \\
\leq & \varepsilon_{3 i}^{-1}\left[\gamma_{i} x^{T}(t) x(t)+\delta_{i} x^{T}(t-h(t)) x(t-h(t))\right] \\
& +\varepsilon_{3 i} x^{T}(t) P_{i} P_{i} x(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and from (2) and (3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x^{T}(t) \Delta A_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t) & \leq \varepsilon_{4 i}^{-1} x^{T}(t) H_{4 i}^{T} H_{4 i} x(t)+\varepsilon_{4 i} x^{T}(t) P_{i} E_{4 i}^{T} E_{4 i} P_{i} x(t), \\
2 x^{T}(t-h(t)) \Delta B_{i}^{T}(t) P_{i} x(t) & \leq \varepsilon_{5 i}^{-1} x^{T}(t-h(t)) H_{5 i}^{T} H_{5 i} x(t-h(t))+\varepsilon_{5 i} x^{T}(t) P_{i} E_{5 i}^{T} E_{5 i} P_{i} x(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, by taking derivative of $V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right), V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)$ and $V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)$, respectively, along the system trajectories yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & x^{T}(t) Q_{i} x(t)-(1-\mu) e^{-2 \beta h(t)} x^{T}(t-h(t)) Q_{i} x(t-h(t))-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right), \\
\dot{V}_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & h_{M} x^{T}(t) R_{i} x(t)-\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s-2 \beta V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right), \\
\dot{V}_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & h_{M}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
S_{11, i} & S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} & S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \\
& -2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the derivative of $V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right)$ along any trajectory of solution of (1) is estimated by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Theta_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)} x^{T}(s) R_{i} x(s) d s-2 \beta V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\int_{t-h(t)}^{t} e^{2 \beta(s-t)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}
S_{11, i} S_{12, i} \\
S_{12, i}^{T} \\
S_{22, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-h(s))
\end{array}\right] d s \\
& -2 \beta V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

For $i \in S_{u}$, it follows from (40) that

$$
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t)  \tag{41}\\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Theta_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Similar to Theorem 3.1, from (33) and (41), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{\xi_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}=\frac{2 \max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(\Theta_{i}\right)\right\}}{\min _{i}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}$.

For $i \in S_{s}$, from (13), (14) and (40), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]^{T} \Theta_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x(t-h(t))
\end{array}\right]-2 \beta V_{2, i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
& -\left(2 \beta+\frac{1}{h_{M}}\right)\left(V_{3, i}\left(x_{t}\right)+V_{4, i}\left(x_{t}\right)\right) . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to Theorem 3.1, from (34) and (43), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(t)\left\|V_{i}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\zeta_{i}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{i}=\min \left\{\frac{\min _{i}\left\{\lambda_{m}\left(-\Theta_{i}\right)\right\}}{\max _{i}\left\{\lambda_{M}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}}, 2 \beta\right\}$.
In general, from (39), (42) and (44), with the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \prod_{m=1}^{l(t)} \psi e^{\lambda^{+}\left(t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right)} \times \prod_{n=l(t)+1}^{N(t)-1} \psi e^{\zeta_{i n} h_{M}} e^{-\lambda^{-}\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)} \times\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\lambda^{-}\left(t-t_{N(t)-1}\right)}
$$

$t \geq t_{0}$. Using (35), we have

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \prod_{m=1}^{l(t)} \psi \times \prod_{n=l(t)+1}^{N(t)-1} \psi e^{\tau_{i_{n}} h_{M}} \times\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\lambda^{*}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

By (36) and (37), we get

$$
V_{i}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq\left\|V_{i_{0}}\left(x_{t_{0}}\right)\right\| e^{-\left(\lambda^{*}-v\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

Thus, by (38), we have

$$
\|x(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}}\left\|x_{t_{0}}\right\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{*}-v\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}
$$

which concludes the proof of the Theorem 3.3.

## 4. Numerical examples

Example 4.1 Consider linear switched system (1) with time-varying delay but without matrix uncertainties and without nonlinear perturbations. Let $N=2, S_{u}=\{1\}, S_{s}=\{2\}$. Let the delay function be $h(t)=0.51 \sin ^{2} t$. We have $h_{M}=0.51, \mu=1.02, \lambda\left(A_{1}+B_{1}\right)=$ $0.0046,-0.0399, \lambda\left(A_{2}\right)=-0.2156,0.0007$. Let $\beta=0.5$.
Since one of the eigenvalues of $A_{1}+B_{1}$ is negative and one of eigenvalues of $A_{2}$ is positive, we can't use results in (Alan \& Lib, 2008) to consider stability of switched system (1). By using the LMI toolbox in Matlab, we have matrix solutions of (5) for unstable subsystems and (6) for stable subsystems as the following:
For unstable subsystems, we get
$P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}41.6819 & 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 & 41.5691\end{array}\right], Q_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}24.7813 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & 24.7848\end{array}\right], R_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}33.1027 & -0.0001 \\ -0.0001 & 33.1044\end{array}\right]$,
$S_{11,1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}33.1027 & -0.0001 \\ -0.0001 & 33.1044\end{array}\right], S_{12,1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-0.0372 & -0.0023 \\ -0.0023 & 0.7075\end{array}\right], S_{22,1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}50.0412 & 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 & 50.0115\end{array}\right]$,
$T_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}41.7637 & -0.0001 \\ -0.0001 & 41.7920\end{array}\right]$.
For stable subsystems, we get
$P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}71.8776 & 2.3932 \\ 2.3932 & 110.8889\end{array}\right], Q_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}7.2590 & -0.3265 \\ -0.3265 & 0.8745\end{array}\right], R_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}10.4001 & -0.4667 \\ -0.4667 & 1.2806\end{array}\right]$,
$S_{11,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}12.7990 & -0.4854 \\ -0.4854 & 3.5031\end{array}\right], S_{12,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-3.1787 & 0.0240 \\ 0.0240 & -2.8307\end{array}\right], S_{22,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}4.6346 & -0.0289 \\ -0.0289 & 4.0835\end{array}\right]$,
$T_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}16.9964 & 0.0394 \\ 0.0394 & 17.7152\end{array}\right], X_{11,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}17.2639 & -0.1536 \\ -0.1536 & 14.2310\end{array}\right], X_{12,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-9.6485 & -0.1466 \\ -0.1466 & -12.5573\end{array}\right]$,
$X_{22,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}16.9716 & -0.1635 \\ -0.1635 & 13.8095\end{array}\right], Y_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}-3.4666 & -0.1525 \\ -0.1525 & -6.3485\end{array}\right], Z_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}6.8776 & -0.0574 \\ -0.0574 & 5.7924\end{array}\right]$.
By straight forward calculation, the growth rate is $\lambda^{+}=\xi=2.8291$, the decay rate is $\lambda^{-}=$ $\zeta=0.0063, \lambda\left(\Omega_{1,1}\right)=25.8187,25.8188,58.7463,58.8011, \lambda\left(\Omega_{2,2}\right)=-10.1108,-3.7678$,
$-2.0403,-0.7032$ and $\lambda\left(\Omega_{3,2}\right)=1.4217,4.2448,5.4006,9.1514,29.3526,30.0607$. Thus, we may take $\lambda^{*}=0.0001$ and $v=0.00001$. Thus, from inequality (7), we have $T^{-} \geq 456.3226 T^{+}$. By choosing $T^{+}=0.1$, we get $T^{-} \geq 45.63226$. We choose the following switching rules:
(i) for $t \in[0,0.1) \cup[50,50.1) \cup[100,100.1) \cup[150,150.1) \cup \ldots$, subsystem $i=1$ is activated.
(ii) for $t \in[0.1,50) \cup[50.1,100) \cup[100.1,150) \cup[150.1,200) \cup \ldots$, subsystem $i=2$ is activated. Then, by Theorem 3.1, the switching system (1) is exponentially stable. Moreover, the solution $x(t)$ of the system satisfies

$$
\|x(t)\| \leq 11.8915 e^{-0.000045 t}, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

The trajectories of solution of switched system switching between the subsystems $i=1$ and $i=2$ are shown in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively.
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Fig. 1. The trajectories of solution of linear switched system.


Fig. 2. The trajectories of solution of subsystem $i=1$.


Fig. 3. The trajectories of solution of subsystem $i=2$.
Example 4.2 Consider uncertain switched system (1) with time-varying delay and nonlinear perturbation. Let $N=2, S_{u}=\{1\}, S_{s}=\{2\}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.1130 & 0.00013 \\
0.00015 & -0.0033
\end{array}\right], B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.0002 & 0.0012 \\
0.0014 & -0.5002
\end{array}\right] \\
& A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-5.5200 & 1.0002 \\
1.0003 & -6.5500
\end{array}\right], B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.0245 & 0.0001 \\
0.0001 & 0.0237
\end{array}\right], \\
& E_{1 i}=E_{2 i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.2000 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 0.2000
\end{array}\right], H_{1 i}=H_{2 i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.1000 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 0.1000
\end{array}\right], i=1,2, \\
& F_{1 i}=F_{2 i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sin t & 0 \\
0 & \sin t
\end{array}\right], i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.1 x_{1}(t) \sin \left(x_{1}(t)\right) \\
0.1 x_{2}(t-h(t)) \cos \left(x_{2}(t)\right)
\end{array}\right], \\
& f_{2}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.5 x_{1}(t) \sin \left(x_{1}(t)\right) \\
0.5 x_{2}(t-h(t)) \cos \left(x_{2}(t)\right)
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{1}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))\right\|^{2} & =\left[0.1 x_{1}(t) \sin \left(x_{1}(t)\right)\right]^{2}+\left[0.1 x_{2}(t-h(t)) \cos \left(x_{2}(t)\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \leq 0.01 x_{1}^{2}(t)+0.01 x_{2}^{2}(t-h(t)) \\
& \leq 0.01\|x(t)\|^{2}+0.01\|x(t-h(t))\|^{2} \\
& \leq 0.01[\|x(t)\|+\|x(t-h(t))\|]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|f_{1}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))\right\| \leq 0.1\|x(t)\|+0.1\|x(t-h(t))\| .
$$

The delay function is chosen as $h(t)=0.25 \sin ^{2} t$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{2}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))\right\|^{2} & =\left[0.5 x_{1}(t) \sin \left(x_{1}(t)\right)\right]^{2}+\left[0.5 x_{2}(t-h(t)) \cos \left(x_{2}(t)\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \leq 0.25 x_{1}^{2}(t)+0.25 x_{2}^{2}(t-h(t)) \\
& \leq 0.25\|x(t)\|^{2}+0.25\|x(t-h(t))\|^{2} \\
& \leq 0.25[\|x(t)\|+\|x(t-h(t))\|]^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|f_{2}(t, x(t), x(t-h(t)))\right\| \leq 0.5\|x(t)\|+0.5\|x(t-h(t))\| .
$$

We may take $h_{M}=0.25$, and from (4), we take $\gamma_{1}=0.1, \delta_{1}=0.1, \gamma_{2}=0.5, \delta_{2}=0.5$. Note that $\lambda\left(A_{1}\right)=0.11300016,-0.00330016$. Let $\beta=0.5, \mu=0.5$. Since one of the eigenvalues of $A_{1}$ is negative, we can't use results in (Alan \& Lib, 2008) to consider stability of switched system (1). From Lemma 2.4 , we have the matrix solutions of (33) for unstable subsystems and of (34) for stable subsystems by using the LMI toolbox in Matlab as the following:

For unstable subsystems, we get
$\varepsilon_{31}=0.8901, \varepsilon_{41}=0.8901, \varepsilon_{51}=0.8901$,
$P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.2745 & -0.0000 \\ -0.0000 & 0.2818\end{array}\right], Q_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.4818 & -0.0000 \\ -0.0000 & 0.5097\end{array}\right], R_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.8649 & -0.0000 \\ -0.0000 & 0.8729\end{array}\right]$,
$S_{11,1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.8649 & -0.0000 \\ -0.0000 & 0.8729\end{array}\right], S_{12,1}=10^{-4} \times\left[\begin{array}{cc}-0.1291 & -0.8517 \\ -0.8517 & 0.1326\end{array}\right]$,
$S_{22,1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1.0877 & -0.0000 \\ -0.0000 & 1.0902\end{array}\right]$.
For stable subsystems, we get
$\varepsilon_{32}=2.0180, \varepsilon_{42}=2.0180, \varepsilon_{52}=2.0180$,
$P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0.2741 & 0.0407 \\ 0.0407 & 0.2323\end{array}\right], Q_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1.3330 & -0.0069 \\ -0.0069 & 1.3330\end{array}\right], R_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1.0210 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & 1.0210\end{array}\right]$,
$S_{11,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1.0210 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & 1.0210\end{array}\right], S_{12,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-0.0016 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & -0.0016\end{array}\right]$,
$S_{22,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.8236 & -0.0006 \\ -0.0006 & 0.8236\end{array}\right]$.
By straight forward calculation, the growth rate is $\lambda^{+}=\xi=8.5413$, the decay
rate is $\lambda^{-}=\zeta=0.1967, \lambda\left(\Theta_{1}\right)=0.1976,0.2079,1.1443,1.1723$ and $\lambda\left(\Theta_{2}\right)=$ $-0.7682,-0.6494,-0.0646,-0.0588$. Thus, we may take $\lambda^{*}=0.0001$ and $v=0.00001$.
Thus, from inequality (35), we have $T^{-} \geq 43.4456 T^{+}$. By choosing $T^{+}=0.1$, we get $T^{-} \geq 4.34456$. We choose the following switching rules:
(i) for $t \in[0,0.1) \cup[5.0,5.1) \cup[10.0,10.1) \cup[15.0,15.1) \cup \ldots$, system $i=1$ is activated.
(ii) for $t \in[0.1,5.0) \cup[5.1,10.0) \cup[10.1,15.0) \cup[15.1,20.0) \cup \ldots$, system $i=2$ is activated.

Then, by theorem 3.3.1, the switched system (1) is exponentially stable. Moreover, the solution $x(t)$ of the system satisfies

$$
\|x(t)\| \leq 1.8770 e^{-0.000045 t}, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

The trajectories of solution of switched system switching between the subsystems $i=1$ and $i=2$ are shown in Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively.


Fig. 4. The trajectories of solution of switched system with nonlinear perturbations

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the exponential stability of uncertain switched system with time varying delay and nonlinear perturbations. We allow switched system to contain stable and unstable subsystems. By using a new Lyapunov functional, we obtain the conditions for robust exponential stability for switched system in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs) which may be solved by various algorithms. Numerical examples are given to illustrate the effectiveness of our theoretical results.
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Fig. 5. The trajectories of solution of system $i=1$


Fig. 6. The trajectories of solution of system $i=2$
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